

DISCRETE PROBABILITY SPACES REVISITED

CHRISTIAN DÖBLER

ABSTRACT. We give an elementary proof of the known fact that every probability measure, defined on an arbitrary σ -field on a countable sample space Ω , may in fact be extended to a probability measure on the power set of Ω . This result is further discussed and motivated in the context of discrete random variables.

1. INTRODUCTION

In axiomatic probability theory, a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is customarily called *discrete*, if

- (a) the sample space Ω is countable, i.e. either finite or countably infinite, and
- (b) $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is the power set of Ω .

In this case, the function $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $p(\omega) := \mathbb{P}(\{\omega\})$, $\omega \in \Omega$, is a *probability mass function* (p.m.f.) on Ω , i.e.

- (i) $p(\omega) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and
- (ii) $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$.

Conversely, if Ω is a countable set and $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (i) and (ii) above, then the set function $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{P}(A) := \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega), \quad A \subseteq \Omega,$$

is a probability measure on the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$, thus making it into a discrete probability space. This yields the well-known one-to-one correspondence between discrete probability spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \mathbb{P})$ and pairs (Ω, p) consisting of a countable set Ω and a p.m.f. p on Ω .

In particular, a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with countable sample space Ω only falls under the above definition of a discrete probability space, if \mathcal{F} happens to be the power set $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ of Ω . Hence, one might wonder, if each such probability measure on $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ may always be extended to a probability measure \mathbb{P}^* on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. In view of the above one-to-one correspondence, this is equivalent to asking, whether there is always a p.m.f. $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that (1) holds for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Such a question in particular arises in the context of *discrete random variables*. Recall that, if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is now an arbitrary probability space, that is Ω is not necessarily assumed countable, and (E, \mathcal{B}) is another measurable space, then a random variable $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{B})$ (i.e. an \mathcal{F} – \mathcal{B} -measurable mapping) is called *discrete*, whenever there is a countable set $D \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X \in D) = 1$.

Mathematisches Institut der Heinrich Heine Universität Düsseldorf
Email: christian.doebler@hhu.de

Keywords: Discrete probability spaces, discrete random variables, extensions of probability measures, countable partitions of σ -fields .

Note that discrete random variables are often most naturally defined on uncountable sample spaces Ω . One may, for instance, think of an infinite sequence of independent, fair coin tosses and let X be the first time $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the coin shows heads, if any. Then, the natural sample space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable and X has the geometric distribution on $D = \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with parameter $1/2$ and, hence, is a discrete random variable.

Since, for a discrete random variable X , the distribution \mathbb{P}_X of X on (E, \mathcal{B}) is concentrated on D we may view it as a probability measure on $(D, \mathcal{B}|D)$, where

$$\mathcal{B}|D := \{B \cap D : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is the trace of \mathcal{B} on D . Hence, when exploring distributional properties of a discrete random variable, we may w.l.o.g. assume that E itself is countable and $D = E$. In this case, according to what has been noticed above, the probability space $(E, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P}_X)$ induced by a discrete random variable is **not** necessarily discrete in the above sense, since the σ -field \mathcal{B} might be strictly smaller than the power set $\mathcal{P}(E)$. This means that probabilities of the form

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{P}(X = x), \quad x \in E,$$

may not be well-defined for $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{B}$.

It should however be mentioned that this issue does not arise in the situation of discrete random variables $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the Borel σ -field on \mathbb{R}^d , since the singletons $\{x\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, are contained in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, if $D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the given countable set belonging to X such that $\mathbb{P}(X \in D) = 1$, then it follows that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)|D = \mathcal{P}(D)$. In particular, $(D, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)|D, \mathbb{P}_X)$ is in fact a discrete probability space in this situation.

In a converse sense, even if the probabilities (2) are all well-defined for a given random variable X which is in fact defined on a countable sample space Ω , the probability measure \mathbb{P} on the underlying probability space might still lack some information, as is illustrated by the following example.

EXAMPLE 1.1. Suppose that the countable set Ω describes all possible scenarios in a financial market and that $X(\omega)$ is the value of some stock at a fixed time, if the scenario ω has occurred. Then, we might well be aware of all probabilities (2) for the possible values x of the stock in the countable set $E \subseteq [0, \infty)$, implying in particular that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}(E)$, but the given σ -field \mathcal{F} on Ω might be as small as

$$\mathcal{F} = \sigma(X) = \{X^{-1}(B) : B \subseteq E\}.$$

This in particular means that the probability that a particular scenario $\omega \in \Omega$ occurs, might not be computable.

Observe however that in this situation, the σ -field \mathcal{F} is generated by the countable, measurable partition (see below for a precise definition)

$$A_x := X^{-1}(\{x\}), \quad x \in E \cap X(\Omega),$$

which makes it easy to extend the probability \mathbb{P} from \mathcal{F} to $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.2 below in Section 2). Thus, it is actually possible to assign probabilities

$$p(\omega) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega\})$$

to all possible market scenarios in such a way that

$$\sum_{\omega \in A_x} p(\omega) = \mathbb{P}(X = x)$$

for all $x \in E$. Moreover, the sizes of the pairwise disjoint sets A_x , $x \in X$, determine the number of degrees of freedom for such a choice.

It is the goal of this note to give a simple proof of the fact that, for a countable sample space Ω , it is always possible to extend a probability measure \mathbb{P} on any σ -field \mathcal{F} on Ω to a probability measure \mathbb{P}^* on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ as in the previous example.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space such that Ω is countable. Then, there is an extension \mathbb{P}^* of \mathbb{P} to $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. In other words, there is a p.m.f. $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Theorem 1.2 is actually a direct corollary of a classical result of Bierlein, see [Bie63, Satz 2B] (in German), [AL77, Corollary 2] or [Bog07, Theorem 1.12.15]. The proofs in these references are however quite demanding and require advanced knowledge of measure theory, whereas our direct proof of Theorem 1.2 only makes use of basic measure theoretic facts. This makes it possible to include it into an introductory course of probability.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on the fact that, as in the example above, every σ -field on a countable set Ω is generated by a countable measurable partition of Ω . We begin by properly defining these notions.

If (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a measurable space, an \mathcal{F} -measurable partition of Ω is a collection $\{B_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for all $i, j \in I$ such that $i \neq j$. Such a collection is called *finite* or *countable*, if the index set I is finite or countable, respectively. If $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ is an \mathcal{F} -measurable partition of Ω , then every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ can be (uniquely) written in the form

$$A = \bigcup_{\substack{i \in I: \\ B_i \subseteq A}} B_i.$$

In particular, if I is countable, then all such unions are again contained in the σ -field \mathcal{F} , so that \mathcal{F} is in fact generated by the partition $\{B_i : i \in I\}$, in this case.

The following known result is fundamental to our proof. Since I did not manage to find a suitable reference for it, a complete proof is included.

LEMMA 2.1. *If (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a measurable space such that Ω is countable, then there is a countable \mathcal{F} -measurable partition $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ of Ω . If \mathcal{F} is finite, then so is the partition.*

Proof. The idea of the proof is to take the minimal (with respect to “ \subseteq ”) non-empty measurable sets in \mathcal{F} as the members of the sought partition. Since Ω might be countably infinite, some care is needed in order to properly identify these.

For $\omega \in \Omega$ we define

$$C_\omega := \bigcap_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{F}: \\ \omega \in A}} A.$$

If \mathcal{F} is not finite, then, as is well-known, it is uncountable so it is not a priori clear that the $C_\omega \in \mathcal{F}$. To see that this is in fact the case, observe first that C_ω consists precisely of those points $\eta \in \Omega$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\omega \in A \Rightarrow \eta \in A.$$

Now, for all pairs $(\omega, \eta) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, let $D_{\omega, \eta} \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\omega \in D_{\omega, \eta}$ but $\eta \notin D_{\omega, \eta}$, if any. If there is no such set $D_{\omega, \eta} \in \mathcal{F}$, then let $D_{\omega, \eta} := \Omega$ so that in particular $D_{\omega, \omega} = \Omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. We claim that

$$(3) \quad C_\omega = \bigcap_{\eta \in \Omega} D_{\omega, \eta}, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

To see this, fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and denote the right hand side in (3) by C'_ω . Since Ω is countable and all $D_{\omega, \eta} \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $C'_\omega \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, as $\omega \in C'_\omega \in \mathcal{F}$ it is clear that $C_\omega \subseteq C'_\omega$ by the definition of C_ω . Conversely, suppose that $\gamma \in C'_\omega$. Then, in particular, $\gamma \in D_{\omega, \gamma}$ so that $D_{\omega, \gamma} = \Omega$ and, thus, every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ that contains ω must also contain γ . Thus, $\gamma \in C_\omega$ and (3) is proved. Since the intersection in (3) is countable, it in particular follows that $C_\omega \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Next, we claim that two such sets C_ω and $C_{\omega'}$, $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$, are either identical or disjoint. Suppose, for instance, that there is an $\eta \in C_\omega \setminus C_{\omega'}$. Then, each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ that contains ω also contains η but there is a $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\omega' \in B$ and $\eta \notin B$. Hence, B cannot contain ω either and, a fortiori, $\omega \in C_{\omega'}^c \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, $C_{\omega'}^c$ appears in the intersection defining C_ω , implying that $C_\omega \cap C_{\omega'} = \emptyset$.

Now, if I is a (necessarily countable) index set and B_i , $i \in I$, is a suitable enumeration of the pairwise distinct ones among the sets C_ω , $\omega \in \Omega$, then the desired partition is found. Indeed, if $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$A = \bigcup_{\omega \in A} C_\omega.$$

If \mathcal{F} is finite, then I must necessarily be finite as well, since the mapping

$$\mathcal{P}(I) \ni J \mapsto \bigcup_{i \in J} B_i \in \mathcal{F}$$

is injective, as the B_i are disjoint and non-empty. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.1 there is a countable \mathcal{F} -measurable partition $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ generating \mathcal{F} . Fix $i \in I$. If B_i is finite, let

$$p(\omega) := \frac{\mathbb{P}(B_i)}{|B_i|}, \quad \omega \in B_i.$$

If B_i is countably infinite, then let $\varphi_i : B_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any bijection and define

$$p(\omega) := \frac{\mathbb{P}(B_i)}{2^{\varphi_i(\omega)}}, \quad \omega \in B_i.$$

Then, $p(\omega) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ and

$$\sum_{\omega \in B_i} p(\omega) = \mathbb{P}(B_i)$$

for each $i \in I$. Moreover, each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ can be written as the disjoint union

$$A = \bigcup_{\substack{i \in I: \\ B_i \subseteq A}} B_i$$

so that

$$\sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega) = \sum_{\substack{i \in I: \\ B_i \subseteq A}} \left(\sum_{\omega \in B_i} p(\omega) \right) = \sum_{\substack{i \in I: \\ B_i \subseteq A}} \mathbb{P}(B_i) = \mathbb{P}(A).$$

In particular, by letting $A = \Omega$ in the last display, it follows that p is a p.m.f., concluding the proof. \square

By inspection of the above proof we can further infer the following about the number of possible extensions in the situation of Theorem 1.2: If $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ is a fixed, countable \mathcal{F} -measurable partition generating \mathcal{F} , then there is a one-to-one correspondence $(q_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto p$ between families of p.m.f.'s q_i on B_i , $i \in I$, and p.m.f.'s p on Ω such that $\sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

REFERENCES

- [AL77] A. Ascherl and J. Lehn. Two principles for extending probability measures. *Manuscripta Math.*, 21(1):43–50, 1977.
- [Bie63] D. Bierlein. Über die Fortsetzung von Wahrscheinlichkeitsfeldern. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 1:28–46, 1962/63.
- [Bog07] V. I. Bogachev. *Measure theory. Vol. I, II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.