# On the Inference of Sociodemographics on Reddit

Federico Cinus<sup>1, 2</sup>, Corrado Monti<sup>1</sup>, Paolo Bajardi<sup>1</sup>, Gianmarco De Francisci Morales<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CENTAI, Turin, Italy <sup>2</sup>Sapienza University, Rome, Italy {federico.cinus, corrado.monti, paolo.bajardi, gdfm}@centai.eu

#### Abstract

Inference of sociodemographic attributes of social media users is an essential step for computational social science (CSS) research to link online and offline behavior. However, there is a lack of a systematic evaluation and clear guidelines for optimal methodologies for this task on Reddit, one of today's largest social media. In this study, we fill this gap by comparing state-of-the-art (SOTA) and probabilistic models.

To this end, first we collect a novel data set of more than 850k self-declarations on age, gender, and partisan affiliation from Reddit comments. Then, we systematically compare alternatives to the widely used embedding-based model and labeling techniques for the definition of the ground-truth. We do so on two tasks: (*i*) predicting binary labels (classification); and (*ii*) predicting the prevalence of a demographic class among a set of users (quantification).

Our findings reveal that Naive Bayes models not only offer transparency and interpretability by design but also consistently outperform the SOTA. Specifically, they achieve an improvement in ROC AUC of up to 19% and maintain a mean absolute error (MAE) below 15% in quantification for large-scale data settings. Finally, we discuss best practices for researchers in CSS, emphasizing coverage, interpretability, reliability, and scalability.

The code and model weights used for the experiments are publicly available.<sup>1</sup>

#### Introduction

A critical issue in social media studies is understanding the connection between individuals' online and offline behaviors. This connection is essential for examining phenomena such as the interplay between online information diets and voting behavior (Bach et al. 2021), or the impact of algorithmic curation on vaccine hesitancy (Sasse et al. 2024). Sociodemographic attributes provide a key bridge between these two realms.

Individuals of similar demographic groups are likely to share significant parts of their offline environments, such as the places they visit (Fan et al. 2023). Furthermore, sociodemographic factors are primary determinants of one's experience of reality (Lee and Chyi 2014) and opinion formation (Hamilton 2011; Capozzi et al. 2021). As such a plethora of studies has used these attributes to characterize social media users and how their online behavior connects to their identity (Rivas et al. 2020; Gjurković et al. 2021; Tadesse et al. 2019). Finally, post-stratification techniques on such features might be necessary to mitigate the selection bias to connect the results observed in online cohorts to the general population (Giorgi et al. 2022).

In this context, inferring sociodemographic attributes is a fundamental task in computational social science (CSS). Researchers face practical requirements for methods used in these studies, such as *reliability*, *coverage*, *interpretability*, and *scalability*. However, this task is inherently challenging due to the lack of ground truth data and the diversity of information available across different social media platforms. To address these challenges effectively, it is crucial to tailor solutions to specific platforms and data sources. For instance, popular platforms such as Twitter have been the focus of numerous studies aimed at comparing methods for sociodemographic inference (Chen et al. 2015; Sadah et al. 2016). Yet, this task has not drawn the same attention for Reddit.

Self-described as "the front page of the Internet," Reddit is a top-ten global website and a hub for social news and discussions. Organized into thematic fora called subreddits (Baumgartner et al. 2020), it provides a rich source for studying online behavior, where participation often reflects offline sociodemographic characteristics (Medvedev, Lambiotte, and Delvenne 2019). Over the years, Reddit has become a prominent platform for academic research. It has been used as a digital observatory for public health-related issues (Balsamo, Bajardi, and Panisson 2019; Lokala et al. 2022; Balsamo et al. 2023), a testbed for structured public debate (Cinelli et al. 2021; Monti et al. 2022; Colacrai et al. 2024) and studied as a breeding ground for far-right ideologies and conspiracy theories (Klein, Clutton, and Dunn 2019; Rollo et al. 2022). While many studies have incorporated sociodemographic information to explore user behavior and social phenomena (Monti et al. 2023), there remains a lack of systematic evaluation of methodologies for inferring such information from user activity.

One of the most widely-used methods has been devised by Waller and Anderson (2021). Their method is unsupervised and constructs an embedding of subreddits in a latent

Copyright © 2025, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://anonymous.4open.science/r/SDI-submission-5234

space based on co-participation. Then it finds a projection of demographic attributes by using some seed pairs as reference subreddits. While this approach provides broad coverage by leveraging the 10 000 most common subreddits as the feature space, it has several notable limitations. As a fully unsupervised method, it lacks systematic evaluation to assess its reliability. Moreover, the approach relies on arbitrarily defined poles for each attribute, which have not been rigorously tested or validated. The use of a neural embeddingbased model (Word2Vec) introduces additional challenges, as it renders the model a black box with components that are not directly interpretable. This lack of interpretability limits its suitability for applications where transparency and explainability are critical.

The goal of this work is to systematically compare the method proposed by Waller and Anderson (2021) with alternative approaches specifically designed for this task. These alternatives are structurally different, rethinking data collection strategies, modeling techniques, and prediction tasks. We explore supervised learning as a foundation, deriving sociodemographic proxies from Reddit user activity logs along with their self-declared sociodemographic information in comments-specifically for gender, age, and partisan affiliation. Using self-declared attributes addresses the scalability challenges of data labeling. To enhance interpretability, we focus on models based on a Naive Bayes framework, which assume a straightforward probabilistic relationship between subreddit participation and demographic attributes. While primarily supervised, we also explore semi-supervised extensions that incorporate unstructured data from the coparticipation network to improve robustness. Additionally, we include decision tree-based models as a benchmark, given their prominence in handling tabular data (Grinsztajn, Oyallon, and Varoquaux 2022). To evaluate these methods and identify the strengths and limitations of major strategies in sociodemographic inference, we use self-declarations as the ground truth across two distinct tasks: (i) user classification, a widely used approach in many Reddit studies; and (ii) quantification, which estimates the proportion of users with a given sociodemographic attribute. Quantification often serves as a privacy-conscious alternative to classification in downstream tasks.

Our key finding is that a simple and interpretable Naive Bayes (NB) model is a reliable and scalable approach for classifying and quantifying sociodemographics on Reddit. Through extensive experiments, we demonstrate that Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB) outperforms the embeddingbased WA model (Waller and Anderson 2021) when using supervised labeling, achieving a ROC AUC above 0.7, and thus increasing the performances on the classification tasks of age, gender and partisan affiliation of 17%, 19%, and 6%, respectively. Surprisingly, even in the distant supervision setting—where training labels are derived from the same assumptions made by Waller and Anderson (2021)— MNB proves to be the best-performing model.

Empirically, supervised models require a minimum of 1000 labeled data points to perform reliably. The benefit of incorporating additional unsupervised co-participation data is limited. Increasing model complexity, e.g., using decision

trees or introducing additional terms in the likelihood, does not significantly improve performance.

We also address the problem of quantification, introducing sociodemographic quantifiers that achieve low mean absolute error (MAE), with errors below 15% in large-scale data settings and below 18% in sparse data scenarios. These quantifiers effectively estimate the proportion of users in each sociodemographic class.

Finally, we showcase the properties of our classifiers and quantifiers, highlighting their interpretability through calibration and feature importance analysis.

## Background

Understanding sociodemographic characteristics is fundamental to computational social science (CSS), as it provides a lens to analyze behaviors, opinions, and interactions within online communities. Reddit offers a rich platform for sociodemographic inference due to its diverse user base and activity patterns. Existing methods often leverage user participation in specific subreddits to infer attributes such as gender, age, or partisan affiliation.

### **Reddit data**

Sociodemographic proxies. Our work builds on prior research by leveraging predictive features based on user behavior-specifically participation by writing a post or comment on a subreddit. Participation patterns are readily accessible and straightforward to extract from logs of Reddit user activity. Unlike more complex features, such as linguistic or semantic text analysis, these patterns are computationally efficient to process and scalable to large datasets, thus making them ideal for widespread application. While text-based features could theoretically provide richer insights, they present substantial challenges, particularly for token-limited architectures such as transformer-based models. Their computational requirements make their usage impractical on the amount of data available on Reddit. In addition, subreddit participation is a nearly universal activity among Reddit users, which ensures comprehensive coverage of the platform's diverse user base. Participation patterns thus provide a compact and manageable representation of user behavior. Focusing on the 10000 most popular subreddits, as suggested by Waller and Anderson (2021), allows to balance informativeness and computational feasibility.

**Ground truth.** A significant barrier to effective sociodemographic inference is the high cost of labeling. Traditional survey methods, although reliable, are expensive, time-consuming, and difficult to scale to the vast datasets typically needed for CSS. Alternative strategies, such as manual annotation or crowdsourcing platforms (Zhang, Wu, and Sheng 2016) such as Mechanical Turk, offer greater scalability but are not without limitations (Kittur, Chi, and Suh 2008; Stritch, Pedersen, and Taggart 2017). Annotator variability, inconsistency, and potential biases in labeling can compromise data quality (Eickhoff 2018; Hettiachchi et al. 2021). Furthermore, these methods often lack interpretability, transparency, and coherence, thus raising ethical concerns that are increasingly relevant in the context of responsible AI (Barbosa and Chen 2019).

Self-declared sociodemographic labels offer a robust and interpretable basis for model training (Beller et al. 2014). By explicitly utilizing information that users voluntarily disclose, these labels eliminate ambiguities and reduce reliance on biased assumptions that are often required in purely behavioral or content-based inference methods. Extracting self-declaration patterns from user messages enables accurate and transparent retrieval of disclosed information, ensuring that the model is grounded in user-provided data. This approach aligns with ethical principles by leveraging consented data while providing a clear foundation for validation and reproducibility. We refer to this desirable property as *authenticity*: using as ground truth only demographic data that has been disclosed directly by the user.

#### Modeling

Numerous modeling strategies exist for sociodemographic inference, each with unique advantages and limitations. Probabilistic models such as naive Bayes excel in handling uncertainty and are straightforward to implement. Logistic regression, widely recognized for its simplicity and interpretability, is another viable option; however, it may encounter collinearity issues when processing features derived from popular subreddits with overlapping user bases. Random forest models provide robust performance by capturing complex, non-linear relationships, but their lack of transparency can hinder interpretability.

Recent advancements in natural language processing (NLP) have introduced new possibilities to use textual data for more precise sociodemographic inference. These models can extract nuanced patterns from user-generated text, achieving high accuracy when trained effectively. However, NLP models also come with significant challenges, including high computational costs, the need for careful calibration to address biases in language use, and concerns about fairness and ethical compliance. Given these constraints, as well as the focus of this paper on features derived from user participation rather than textual data, we consider NLP-based approaches beyond the scope of this study.

The current state-of-the-art (SOTA) for sociodemographic inference on Reddit, as outlined by Waller and Anderson (2021), employs an unsupervised approach based on neural black-box embeddings and has been used extensively (Colacrai et al. 2024; del Rio-Chanona et al. 2023; Hermida-Carrilo et al. 2023; Xia et al. 2024; Monti et al. 2023; Hanley and Durumeric 2023; Corso, Russo, and Pierri 2024; Lenti et al. 2024). While these models can be powerful, their inherent lack of interpretability poses challenges for sociodemographic inference, where transparency and explainability are key. To address possible misclassification issues, a common approach typically uses only extreme model output scores to assign demographic attributes. However, this practice has the obvious downside of arbitrarily reducing the number of users one is able to classify, thereby reducing the overall inclusiveness and effectiveness of the model. Besides this limitation, the reliance on non-interpretable scores can lead to hard-to-spot errors in sociodemographic attribution.

**Supervised models.** Training strategies present a flexible alternative for improving the accuracy and scalability of sociodemographic inference methods. A range of approaches, including supervised and semi-supervised learning, can be tested to address challenges such as data sparsity and noisy labels. Supervised methods leverage labeled data to train predictive models, while semi-supervised techniques additionally make use of the abundant unlabeled data available on platforms such as Reddit, potentially improving generalization even with limited labeled samples.

To explore enhancements in model performance, data augmentation techniques, such as oversampling and undersampling, can be employed (Chawla et al. 2002; He et al. 2008). These methods enrich the training dataset by generating synthetic examples or balancing the dataset to reduce class imbalances, mitigating overfitting, and improving the model's ability to learn diverse patterns (Shorten and Khoshgoftaar 2019). Additionally, stratification can be incorporated into the training process to ensure that the sociodemographic distribution within the dataset is accurately represented (Shahrokh Esfahani and Dougherty 2014). This step is especially critical for sensitive labels, as it helps minimize biases and ensures equitable performance across different sociodemographic groups.

**Tasks.** The usage of sociodemographic inference can be broadly distinguished in two downstream tasks: classification and quantification. Classification models aim to predict user-level sociodemographic attributes based on features derived from user behavior or content. Typically, the per-user label is then combined with some other quantity—for instance, some property of their comments (De Candia et al. 2022). Common attributes of interest include age, gender, affluence, and partisan affiliation. While effective in identifying specific user characteristics, classification methods might raise ethical concerns if such data is shared with third parties, due to their reliance on sensitive data and potentially compromising user privacy.

In contrast, quantification focuses on estimating the prevalence of sociodemographic groups at the population level, thus offering a complementary perspective (González et al. 2017). By emphasizing aggregate trends rather than individual predictions, quantification mitigates biases caused by misclassification errors in classification models and aligns more closely with the broader objectives of CSS (Nature Editorial 2021). For many applications, understanding group-level trends is sufficient, making quantification an essential and often more practical approach for sociodemographic research. The distinction between classification and quantification is crucial, as the latter supports scalable, privacy-preserving analyses while maintaining the integrity of population-level insights.

#### Methods

This section describes first the data sources we use and then the methods we study in our systematic comparison. Table 1: Statistics for self-declarations, with bots and non-coherent users removed. The subreddits are only those filtered by the regular expression on the user's attribute label.

Attribute	Declarations	Users	Inactive Users	Ambiguous Users	Class P	roportion	Subreddits
Year of Birth	420803	401390	1630	17341	Old: 56.19%	Young: 43.81%	9806
Gender	424330	403428	1634	18337	Male: 50.89%	Female: 49.11%	9809
Partisan Affiliation	6369	6118	4	251	Dem.: 54.55%	Rep.: 45.45%	9137

#### **Reddit data**

**Sociodemographic proxies.** As described in the previous section, we identify subreddit participation as the primary source of information for our goals. To ensure robustness and generalizability, we use the 10 000 most popular subreddits based on comment activity from 2016 to 2020 as the feature space. This selection criterion is the same one used by Waller and Anderson (2021) to create their embeddings. It strikes a balance between feature richness and computational feasibility but introduces challenges such as multicollinearity, which can affect model stability.

**Ground truth.** As a reliable ground truth for sociodemographic attributes, we adopt users' self-declaration. In fact, as discussed in the previous section, it respects our criteria of authenticity while at the same time being practical to obtain. To identify the sociodemographic attributes, we define specific regular expressions (regexes). For partisan affiliation, we use patterns such as "I'm a democrat/republican" and "I am a registered democrat/republican," and exclude expressions containing negations. Figure A.4 provides an overview of these regex patterns. For gender and age, we use patterns indicating them (e.g., "F27" or "20M") when adjacent to first person pronouns (e.g., "I", "my"), following previous work (De Candia et al. 2022).

Using these regexes, we label users based on their comments and submissions for age, gender, and partisan affiliation over the period from 2016 to 2020. We then convert the age attribute into the birth year by taking into account the time of disclosure. While the age of a person changes with time, the year of birth is invariant, and thus is a more suitable target for classification that uses data over time. Bots were removed using an external list of identified bots. Subsequently, we retrieved all comments and submissions from the selected 10 000 subreddits for all labeled users. To ensure data reliability, we removed users with inconsistent declarations during the selected time period, which affected approximately 4% of users.

Table 1 presents a quantitative description of the dataset. It includes approximately 400 000 declarations each for gender and year of birth, while the number of declarations for partisan affiliation is two orders of magnitude smaller. The classes are roughly balanced, and the number of subreddits with non-zero activity for the labeled users is slightly smaller than the original 10 000 subreddits selected. The distributions of sociodemographic disclosures are illustrated in Figures A.2a and A.2c in the Appendix.

#### Models

The fundamental task of sociodemographic classification can be described as follows. We are given a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ , representing an observed sequence of subreddit activations  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}^d$  across a set of d subreddits. A model has to predict a class label  $y^{(i)} \in \{0, 1\}$  for each attribute of user i, based on their participation data. As previously described, such a model should provide interpretable predictions and reliable uncertainty estimation, while maintaining high classification performance.

We evaluate a range of models that reflect common practices in the field, including Bayesian models, embeddingbased models (Waller and Anderson 2021), decision treebased models, semi-supervised approaches, and activitymodeling techniques.

WA Model (Waller and Anderson 2021). Each subreddit has a pre-trained embedding represented in a unified, highdimensional vector space. For each attribute (age, gender, affluence, partisanship), a one-dimensional axis is defined by taking "extreme" subreddits as poles for the axis (called "seeds" in the original work). Other subreddits can then be projected onto the one-dimensional axis for each attribute. We assign a z-score to each subreddit for each dimension. Users can also be projected if represented as a weighted combination of the subreddits where they participated. A user's z-score for an attribute is the weighted average of the subreddit z-scores, weighted by the number of comments the user posted in each subreddit. The resulting z-score is then used to predict the user's class for the attribute.

**Random Forest.** Random Forest is an ensemble learning method for classification based on decision trees. It is widely used for tabular data due to its flexibility, strong performance, and resistance to multicollinearity.

**Bayesian Modeling.** Multinomial Naive Bayes (NB) is a standard Bayesian model that assumes feature independence and provides transparent, interpretable predictions. It is fast to train, with closed-form estimators for its parameters. We extend the semi-supervised Multinomial Naive Bayes model introduced by Nigam et al. (2000) and adapt it to our task with some promising extensions to Naive Bayes.

*Semi-Supervised Naive Bayes* (SS NB): incorporates the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm to enable semisupervised learning, allowing the model to leverage both labeled and unlabeled data (Sristy and Somayajulu 2012).

Log-Normal Naive Bayes (logN NB): models a classdependent user activity by assuming a log-normal distribution. Activity is measured as the  $L_1$ -norm of the feature vector. This approach allows for variations in user engagement.

*Semi-Supervised Log-Normal Naive Bayes* (SS logN NB): combines the semi-supervised EM approach with the log-normal activity model, thereby enhancing performance in scenarios with limited labeled data.

Mathematical details of each of these models are provided in the Appendix "Modeling and Learning."

# **Experiments**

This section outlines the experimental setup, including details about the model benchmarks, training settings, and prediction tasks. We then present the results for both the classification and quantification tasks, along with an analysis of the properties of the models.

Our study is guided by this overarching research goal: *"How to best infer sociodemographics on Reddit?"* We articulate this goal into three research questions.

- *RQ 1: Classification*. Which model achieves the best performance in classifying sociodemographic attributes?
- *RQ2: Quantification.* Which model achieves the best performance in estimating the prevalence of a demographic class in a set of users?
- *RQ3: Transparency.* How do desirable transparency properties of the models impact their usage?

### **Experimental Setup**

We consider two main quantitative prediction tasks: classification and quantification of binary sociodemographic attributes: (*i*) Year of Birth: whether a user's year of birth is lower than the median of the user base; (*ii*) Gender: gender, considering only binary categories (Male and Female); (*iii*) Partisan Affiliation: party alignment with the U.S. two main parties (Democrat and Republican).

In contrast to Waller and Anderson (2021), we mapped the self-declared age to the year of birth for each user. This transformation ensures that the attribute remains static, and addresses the evolving nature of age over a time span longer than one year, as in our case study.

Benchmark and Parameters. We evaluate the following classifiers: (i) Majority Model (predicts the most frequent class in the dataset. This serves as a reference point for the minimum acceptable performance); (ii) WA Model (Waller and Anderson 2021); (iii) Random Forest (RF) (with 50 estimators and max depth 10); (iv) Multinomial Naive Bayes (NB) (with additive smoothing parameter  $\alpha = 1$ ).

For quantification tasks, we apply the following quantification *wrappers* on each classifier above:

- Classify & Count (CC): Classifies each data point and returns the raw cardinality of each predicted class. This serves as a simple baseline.
- Adjusted Classify & Count (ACC): Adjusts the raw class proportions by fitting conditional distributions to correct potential biases in the CC method. This implementation follows the method proposed by Moreo, Esuli, and Sebastiani (2021).

Extensions to Naive Bayes for Quantification. To evaluate common practices to enhance the quantification capabilities of the Naive Bayes model, we evaluate the following advanced variants: (i) Semi-Supervised Naive Bayes (SS NB); (ii) Log-Normal Naive Bayes (logN NB), which models user activity as classdependent); (iii) Semi-Supervised Log-Normal Naive Bayes (SS logN NB), a hybrid approach. **Training Settings.** While the method by Waller and Anderson (2021) is unsupervised, the others require a training phase. We compare two distinct training data settings.

*True Supervision:* We use labels derived directly from explicit user self-declarations on Reddit. These labels provide a reliable ground truth based on self-declared sociodemographic attributes and serve as a benchmark for evaluating model performance under ideal conditions.

Distant Supervision: We adopt a procedure inspired by Waller and Anderson (2021): training labels are based on their participation in discriminative subreddits associated with each class of each attribute. For each attribute (year, gender, partisan), we define two sets of five subreddits—one set corresponding to each label (e.g., young/old, male/female, democrat/republican). A user is labeled according to a specific class if their participation in one set of subreddits exceeds their participation in the opposite set for that attribute. To ensure robust and unambiguous labeling, a user is included in the training set only if their participation difference between the two sets exceeds a threshold of three interactions. This threshold minimizes noise and reduces the likelihood of mislabeling due to ambiguity.

The key distinction between the two data settings lies in their respective strengths and limitations. Self-declared labels act as direct proxies for users' sociodemographics, offering high-quality and explicit information. However, these labels are often associated with a narrower range of subreddit activity, which limits the diversity of user behaviors represented in the training data, as shown in Figures A.2a and A.2c. Conversely, distant supervision broadens the scope of user activity by covering a wider range of users whose activity is orthogonal to the choice to be labeled. This expands the dataset and captures more heterogeneous patterns of activity. Nevertheless, distant supervision relies heavily on assumptions about the associations between subreddits and sociodemographic attributes, which may introduce noise and reduce labeling accuracy.

The class distribution for the classification task is depicted in Figure A.1 in the Appendix. To address class imbalances, we apply a Random Over Sampler, which ensures a balanced representation of both classes during training. Furthermore, for training with distant supervision, we apply distant labeling to the entire set of users, regardless of the disclosed attributes, thereby enlarging the user base.

**Evaluation Metrics.** All metrics are computed using selfdeclarations only (also in the distant-supervision case). For classification, performance is measured via average ROC AUC and F1-score, calculated over 100 bootstrapped test samples, each comprising 20% of the data. For quantification, we use the Mean Absolute Error (MAE). We divide the dataset into 70% for training the models and 30% held out as unseen data for building test sets, using 50 different random seeds. For each seed, we apply the Natural Prevalence Protocol (NPP) (Moreo, Esuli, and Sebastiani 2021) to generate 50 test sets, each with class prevalences approximating the natural prevalence of the two classes for each attribute. We compute Absolute Errors for each test set across all repetitions and seeds, then average across all seeds and repetitions to obtain the MAE.



Figure 1: ROC curves for each attribute (Year of Birth, Gender, Partisan Affiliation) and model (Naive Bayes (NB), Waller and Anderson (WA)). Models are trained with **true supervision**, employing random oversampling to address class imbalances, and evaluated using 10-fold stratified cross-validation.



Figure 2: ROC curves for each attribute (Year of Birth, Gender, Partisan Affiliation) and model (Naive Bayes (NB), Waller and Anderson (WA)). Models are trained with **distant supervision**, employing random oversampling to address class imbalances, and evaluated using 10-fold stratified cross-validation.

#### **Results: Classification Task**

Simpler models outperform embedding-based models with supervised labeling. Figure 1 shows that Naive Bayesbased models (NB) consistently outperform embeddingbased models (WA) by a substantial margin in attributes when a sufficient amount of data is available. This performance gap is particularly evident in the gender task, which benefits from the largest dataset size (424k declarations). Naive Bayes achieves an average AUC of 0.80 compared to 0.67 for the WA model.

The datasets for gender and year are notably larger than those for the partisan attribute, with almost two orders of magnitude more declarations (Table 1), contributing to the performance of supervised methods in these cases.

Table 2 shows details for models trained with *true supervision*. Naive Bayes consistently outperforms the Majority baseline by a large margin across all attributes and metrics. Compared to the WA model, NB achieves significant improvements in all metrics, with margins ranging from 0.4 to 1.8, depending on the attribute. Random Forest (RF), despite its higher computational cost compared to NB, demonstrates a competitive advantage only in the *partisan* prediction task, where data scarcity dominates. In this setting, RF slightly outperforms NB in ROC AUC, although the difference is within the error bars of the two classifiers.

Simpler Models outperform embedding-based models with distant supervision. Figure 2 demonstrates that Naive Bayes models (NB) outperform embedding-based methods (WA) when trained with labels derived solely from distant supervision. These labels are generated based on participation in discriminative subreddits, as defined by Waller and Anderson (2021). This result underscores the capability of Naive Bayes models to effectively capture sociodemographic patterns similar to those assumed in the embeddingbased approach while retaining simplicity and interpretability. Thus, the simpler approach is preferable even when selfdeclarations are not available. However, Naive Bayes models trained under distant supervision show lower overall performance, higher variance, and narrower margins than their true-supervised counterparts and the WA model. This reduced performance highlights the inherent limitations of distant supervision, which relies on noisier and less direct labels derived from coarse assumptions about user participation patterns.

Table 2: Results for the classification task with true supervision (mean  $\pm$  std dev).

Attribute	Model	F1	ROC AUC
Year	Majority NB WA RF	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4033 \pm 0.0020 \\ \textbf{0.6864} \pm \textbf{0.0016} \\ 0.6249 \pm 0.0019 \\ 0.5089 \pm 0.0065 \end{array}$	$0.4967 \pm 0.0002$ $0.7368 \pm 0.0017$ $0.6298 \pm 0.0021$ $0.7122 \pm 0.0033$
Gender	Majority NB WA RF	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4231 \pm 0.0022 \\ \textbf{0.6720} \pm \textbf{0.0018} \\ 0.3752 \pm 0.0022 \\ 0.6361 \pm 0.0037 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5322 \pm 0.0007 \\ \textbf{0.7956} \pm \textbf{0.0014} \\ 0.6667 \pm 0.0019 \\ 0.7437 \pm 0.0038 \end{array}$
Partisan	Majority NB WA RF	$\begin{array}{c} 0.551 \ \pm 0.015 \\ \textbf{0.661} \ \pm \textbf{0.013} \\ 0.579 \ \pm 0.013 \\ 0.563 \ \pm 0.027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.552 \ \pm 0.013 \\ 0.713 \ \pm 0.015 \\ 0.673 \ \pm 0.013 \\ \textbf{0.724} \ \pm \textbf{0.015} \end{array}$

**True supervision labels are better than distant supervision.** Training on declared labels yields better performance compared to distant supervision, as evidenced by the degraded scores observed from Figure 1 (true supervision) to Figure 2 (distant supervision). This performance gap arises from several factors. First, declared labels are direct evidence for the target attributes, and thus higher-quality training data. Training and testing on the same type of data inherently offers an advantage due to consistency in data distributions. Second, the dataset of declared labels is approximately two orders of magnitude larger than the dataset derived from distant supervision, which allows the supervised models to learn more robust patterns and generalize better.

### **Results: Quantification Task**

Simpler models outperform embedding-based in quantification with supervised labeling. Table 3 presents the performance of the best-performing models and baseline models on the quantification task using true supervision. The results demonstrate that simpler Bayesian models, such as Multinomial Naive Bayes (NB) and its variants, consistently outperform embedding-based approaches (WA). For instance, the NB model achieves significantly lower mean absolute error (MAE) compared to the WA model and its variations across all attributes (gender, partisan, and year).

This advantage is particularly pronounced for the gender attribute, where the NB model not only reduces the MAE but also exhibits lower standard deviation, highlighting its robustness and effectiveness in quantification tasks. Specifically, the MNB achieves absolute errors of approximately 11%, making it a reliable choice for this attribute.

While incorporating semi-supervised techniques or fitting a log-normal distribution to model user activity lead to incremental performance improvements, the computational overhead of these methods outweighs their benefits when considering the marginal gains relative to the error bars.

Additionally, adjustments to the WA model, such as applying adjusted classify-and-count (ACC) to refine conditional probabilities, result in better performance compared to the base WA classify-and-count (CC) method. However, these improvements remain insufficient to match the performance of Naive Bayes models, with discrepancies as high as

Table 3: Results for the quantification task with true supervision. MAE for each attribute (mean  $\pm$  std dev).

Model	Year	Gender	Partisan
Majority ACC WA CC	$0.532 \pm 0.088$ $0.195 \pm 0.079$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.319 \pm 0.172 \\ 0.481 \pm 0.070 \end{array}$	$0.344 \pm 0.175$ $0.257 \pm 0.082$
WA ACC NB ACC NB logN ACC SS NB ACC SS NB logN ACC RF ACC	$\begin{array}{c} 0.192 \pm 0.137 \\ \textbf{0.143} \pm \textbf{0.106} \\ 0.144 \pm 0.107 \\ \textbf{0.143} \pm \textbf{0.106} \\ \textbf{0.143} \pm \textbf{0.106} \\ \textbf{0.233} \pm 0.155 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.333 \pm 0.147 \\ 0.111 \pm 0.084 \\ 0.111 \pm 0.085 \\ \textbf{0.110} \pm \textbf{0.084} \\ \textbf{0.110} \pm \textbf{0.084} \\ \textbf{0.143} \pm 0.106 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.208 \pm 0.146 \\ \textbf{0.181} \pm \textbf{0.132} \\ 0.181 \pm 0.130 \\ 0.194 \pm 0.141 \\ 0.190 \pm 0.138 \\ 0.220 \pm 0.153 \end{array}$

Table 4: Results for the quantification task results with distant supervision. MAE for each attribute (mean  $\pm$  std dev).

Model	Year	Gender	Partisan
Majority ACC WA CC	$0.539 \pm 0.075$ $0.206 \pm 0.073$	$0.377 \pm 0.113$ $0.480 \pm 0.071$	$0.449 \pm 0.080$ $0.256 \pm 0.079$
WA ACC	$0.444 \pm 0.063$	$0.472 \pm 0.114$	$0.434 \pm 0.116$
NB ACC	$0.094 \pm 0.079$	$0.112 \pm 0.084$	$0.407 \pm 0.084$
NB logN ACC	$0.084 \pm 0.068$ 0.086 + 0.065	$0.110 \pm 0.083$ $0.110 \pm 0.083$	$0.410 \pm 0.084$ $0.408 \pm 0.085$
SS NB logN ACC	$0.086 \pm 0.070$ $0.536 \pm 0.066$	$0.113 \pm 0.087$ $0.495 \pm 0.074$	$0.408 \pm 0.084$ 0.156 ± 0.106
KI ACC	$0.550 \pm 0.000$	$0.495 \pm 0.074$	$0.130 \pm 0.100$

#### 15% for gender.

Simpler bayesian models are better than embeddingbased with distant supervision. Table 4 shows that simpler Bayesian models, such as Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB) and its semi-supervised variants, consistently outperform embedding-based models in quantification tasks under distant supervision. Notably, the best-performing Bayesian model achieves up to a 36% improvement in gender quantification compared to the standard WA model using Classify and Count (CC).

The results also demonstrate the utility of assumptions inherent in distant supervision labeling. For attributes such as year and gender, distant supervision produces comparable or better results while enabling the inclusion of a larger and more diverse user base in the training set. This broader dataset captures more heterogeneous activity patterns, unlike self-declared labels, which may be biased toward users active in fewer subreddits or specific communities.

However, for the partisan attribute, distant supervision proves more challenging. Only more complex models, such as Random Forest, achieve acceptable performance, with MAE values below 0.2. These results highlight the limitations of simpler models for this attribute under distant supervision and emphasize the importance of leveraging labeled data to validate the assumptions and ensure the reliability of distant supervision labels.

Finally, these results underline the critical impact of direct sociodemographic proxies. While distant supervision expands the training dataset, it inherently introduces noise and reduces performance due to its reliance on assumptions about user participation patterns. In contrast, directly declared labels provide a more accurate and robust foundation for model training, which leads to superior performance.

**Supervised models require at least 1k data points to outperform pretrained models.** Figure 3 illustrates the reduc-



Figure 3: Quantification curves. MAE obtained each method vs the number of training samples with true declared labels (true supervision).

tion in MAE as a function of the number of training samples for the various models. The WA model (CC), a pretrained approach introduced by Waller and Anderson (2021), relies on classification followed by counting the proportions of each class for the given binary attribute. As it does not depend on the size of the training dataset, its performance remains constant across all data points. In contrast, all other methods are trained on datasets with true supervision, and the quantifier wrappers (ACC) further refine these models by fitting conditional distributions in the training set to adjust the class proportions.

For year and partisan, the WA Model converges quickly but reaches a performance plateau that falls short of the Naive Bayes models. Similarly, Random Forest exhibits consistently higher MAE than Naive Bayes across all training set sizes. On the gender task in particular, results underscore the importance of quantifiers. Training quantifiers to estimate conditional probabilities is crucial for achieving accurate quantification in this attribute, as shown by the poor performance of the Classify and Count (CC) aggregation technique.

Finally, the size of the training data emerges as a critical factor in model performance. Across all attributes, a minimum of 1000 training samples is consistently required for supervised models to surpass the performance of the pre-trained WA model.

#### **Model Transparency**

By design, Bayesian models inherently provide interpretable characteristics, making them ideal for tasks requiring transparency and explainability. Here, we discuss key interpretability aspects derived from our models.

**Feature Importance.** The interpretability of a Naive Bayes (NB) model stems from its ability to assign log-odds weights to features, reflecting their average importance in predictions. To illustrate how the model enables researchers to derive interpretable insights from feature importance and foster a deeper understanding of sociodemographic patterns, we analyze the log odds and their standard deviations to identify the most influential features for different attributes.

For gender, the key features include SkincareAddiction, raisedbynarcissists, and buildapc, as shown in Figure A.3b. For partisan affiliation, significant subreddits include Conservative, The\_Donald, and SandersForPresident, as depicted in Figure A.3c. For year of birth, features such as teenagers, Minecraft, and dankmemes dominate in determining the year attribute, as illustrated in Figure A.3a.

This analysis showcases the practical utility of Naive Bayes models in uncovering interpretable feature importance, enabling researchers to better understand the sociodemographic behaviors embedded in the data.

**Calibration.** An important aspect of a predictive model is the ability to interpret its predicted scores for each data point as probabilities, which enables meaningful and actionable insights in the downstream tasks. To maximize coverage of Reddit's user base, we use the most popular subreddits as the feature space. However, it introduces challenges such as feature redundancy and overconfidence in Naive Bayes predictions, potentially compromising the reliability of the model's predictions.

To address these issues, we use isotonic regression to calibrate the prediction scores (Figure 4). Calibration ensures that the predicted scores align with true probabilities, and enhances both the interpretability and reliability of the model. Notably, Naive Bayes provides a well-calibrated baseline compared to more complex methods, and its inherent simplicity makes it easier to calibrate effectively. This advantage allows Naive Bayes to maintain accurate probability estimates even in scenarios with large and redundant feature spaces.

**Prediction Intervals.** In the quantification task, data points are independently but not identically distributed, as each data point is sampled according to a Bernoulli distribution with a success probability equal to its calibrated probability score. Calibration ensures that these scores accurately reflect true probabilities, making them reliable inputs for further statistical modeling.

Consequently, the Poisson-Binomial distribution, which generalizes the Binomial distribution for non-identical prob-



Figure 4: Calibration curves for the different attributes, showing the alignment of prediction scores with true probabilities.

abilities, describes the joint distribution of the data. By leveraging the calibrated scores, we can use the known second moment of the Poisson-Binomial distribution to estimate prediction intervals for the quantification measure. These intervals provide a reliable measure of uncertainty around the class proportions, offering interpretable insights into the variability of the predicted outcomes.

**Robustness.** To evaluate the robustness of the classifiers under varying conditions, we tested their performance by modifying user selection thresholds. Specifically, we filtered users based on extreme score values, selecting those with scores either greater than a threshold  $\tau$  or less than  $1 - \tau$ , where  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . This approach examines how classifier performance responds to restricting the test set to users with high confidence predictions.

All classifiers exhibited strong stability across most threshold values. Performance remained consistent, with minimal variation until  $\tau$  dropped below 0.1. At this point, scores varied by 6% to 12%, depending on the attribute and classifier. These findings suggest that classifiers are robust under varying confidence thresholds.

### Discussion

Sociodemographic characterization enables researchers to better understand and model the complex interactions between individuals and their online and offline environments and is an invaluable tool when investigating key societal questions. However, research on Reddit has lacked systematic evaluations and clear guidelines to identify effective methodologies. This study addresses this gap by comparing different models: we offer actionable insights for best practices for CSS research on Reddit and remark on the coverage, interpretability, reliability, and scalability of models.

Our pipeline spans data collection, modeling, and task execution. Thanks to the dataset we collect of over 850 000 self-declarations on age, gender, and partisan affiliation, we can train and evaluate supervised learning methods with high-quality data. This approach avoids reliance on assumed inferred characteristics and preserves the authenticity of the ground-truth data. Moreover, the regex-based data collection process is scalable and robust, thus mitigating labeling costs and reducing potential annotation inconsistencies.

Our approach also highlights the value of the Bayesian framework for sociodemographic inference. By design, this framework provides transparency and aids in probability calibration and interpretability through its composability. It supports further analysis using log odds and enables uncertainty quantification through prediction intervals, thus offering researchers a reliable measure of the robustness of the model. These features should empower researchers to conduct their analyses with greater confidence.

Our findings caution researchers against overly engineered and unnecessarily complex models. Instead, the results emphasize the effectiveness of simpler approaches that respect users' self-declared information while maintaining methodological rigor. This balance between simplicity, interpretability, and ethical transparency is critical for fostering trust in computational social science research.

Finally, our evaluation of classification and quantification tasks provides researchers with clear use cases for both userlevel and population-level analysis. The quantification task, in particular, emphasizes aggregated insights over individual predictions, thus offering a privacy-conscious alternative that reduces risks associated with exposing sensitive user information. The models analyzed in this work achieve both efficiency and accuracy on both tasks, which makes them a robust choice for sociodemographic inference.

Despite the usefulness of our work, it is important to acknowledge some of its limitations. (*i*) *Risks of Mislabeling*. Mislabeling in population studies poses significant risks, including flawed analyses, spurious conclusions, and potentially harmful policy recommendations. Our findings emphasize the need for robust, interpretable pipelines to mitigate these risks and ensure the reliability of sociodemographic insights. (*ii*) *Binary attributes*. To simplify modeling, we reduced all attributes to binary pairs. On gender and political affiliation, such a simplification might reduce the complexity of the world and reduce the visibility of minorities. While the data did not present enough examples to consider other cases, it is important to be conscious of this limitation. (*iii*) *Dynamic attributes*. For similar reasons, we excluded ambiguous and inconsistent user declarations. However, this approach opens opportunities for future research into dynamic disclosures, particularly in analyzing how partisan declarations evolve in response to exogenous events. (iv) Integration of multiple proxies. Our study highlights the strengths and limitations of different proxies, including selfdeclared labels and distant supervision. Nevertheless, integrating multiple proxies into a unified model remains underexplored and represents a promising avenue for future research. (v) Data sources. Finally, in this work, we focused on the possibilities offered by subreddit participation data on demographic inference, excluding from our scope alternative sources, e.g., textual comments made by users. While such alternatives are computationally more expensive and less interpretable, it would be important to quantify their effectiveness in future work.

## References

Bach, R. L.; Kern, C.; Amaya, A.; Keusch, F.; Kreuter, F.; Hecht, J.; and Heinemann, J. 2021. Predicting voting behavior using digital trace data. *Social Science Computer Review*, 39(5): 862–883.

Balsamo, D.; Bajardi, P.; De Francisci Morales, G.; Monti, C.; and Schifanella, R. 2023. The Pursuit of Peer Support for Opioid Use Recovery on Reddit. *International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 17: 12–23.

Balsamo, D.; Bajardi, P.; and Panisson, A. 2019. Firsthand opiates abuse on social media: monitoring geospatial patterns of interest through a digital cohort. In *The World Wide Web Conference*, 2572–2579.

Barbosa, N. M.; and Chen, M. 2019. Rehumanized crowdsourcing: A labeling framework addressing bias and ethics in machine learning. In 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1–12.

Baumgartner, J.; Zannettou, S.; Keegan, B.; Squire, M.; and Blackburn, J. 2020. The pushshift reddit dataset. In *international AAAI conference on web and social media*, volume 14, 830–839.

Beller, C.; Knowles, R.; Harman, C.; Bergsma, S.; Mitchell, M.; and Van Durme, B. 2014. I'ma belieber: Social roles via self-identification and conceptual attributes. In *52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, 181–186.

Capozzi, A.; De Francisci Morales, G.; Mejova, Y.; Monti, C.; Panisson, A.; and Paolotti, D. 2021. Clandestino or Rifugiato? Anti-immigration Facebook Ad Targeting in Italy. In 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1–15.

Chawla, N. V.; Bowyer, K. W.; Hall, L. O.; and Kegelmeyer, W. P. 2002. SMOTE: synthetic minority over-sampling technique. *Journal of artificial intelligence research*, 16: 321–357.

Chen, X.; Wang, Y.; Agichtein, E.; and Wang, F. 2015. A comparative study of demographic attribute inference in Twitter. In *International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 9, 590–593.

Cinelli, M.; De Francisci Morales, G.; Galeazzi, A.; Quattrociocchi, W.; and Starnini, M. 2021. The Echo Chamber Effect on Social Media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(9): e2023301118.

Colacrai, E.; Cinus, F.; De Francisci Morales, G.; and Starnini, M. 2024. Navigating Multidimensional Ideologies with Reddit's Political Compass: Economic Conflict and Social Affinity. In *ACM on Web Conference* 2024, 2582–2593.

Corso, F.; Russo, G.; and Pierri, F. 2024. A Longitudinal Study of Italian and French Reddit Conversations Around the Russian Invasion of Ukraine. In *16th ACM Web Science Conference*, 22–30.

De Candia, S.; De Francisci Morales, G.; Monti, C.; and Bonchi, F. 2022. Social norms on reddit: A demographic analysis. In *14th ACM Web Science Conference 2022*, 139–147.

del Rio-Chanona, R. M.; Hermida-Carrillo, A.; Sepahpour-Fard, M.; Sun, L.; Topinkova, R.; and Nedelkoska, L. 2023. Mental health concerns precede quits: shifts in the work discourse during the Covid-19 pandemic and great resignation. *EPJ Data Science*, 12(1): 49.

Eickhoff, C. 2018. Cognitive biases in crowdsourcing. In *eleventh ACM international conference on web search and data mining*, 162–170.

Eysenbach, G.; and Till, J. E. 2001. Ethical issues in qualitative research on internet communities. *Bmj*, 323(7321): 1103–1105.

Fan, Z.; Su, T.; Sun, M.; Noyman, A.; Zhang, F.; Pentland, A.; and Moro, E. 2023. Diversity beyond density: Experienced social mixing of urban streets. *PNAS nexus*, 2(4): pgad077.

Giorgi, S.; Lynn, V. E.; Gupta, K.; Ahmed, F.; Matz, S.; Ungar, L. H.; and Schwartz, H. A. 2022. Correcting sociodemographic selection biases for population prediction from social media. In *International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 16, 228–240.

Gjurković, M.; Karan, M.; Vukojević, I.; Bošnjak, M.; and Šnajder, J. 2021. PANDORA Talks: Personality and Demographics on Reddit. In *Ninth International Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Social Media*, 138–152.

González, P.; Castaño, A.; Chawla, N. V.; and Coz, J. J. D. 2017. A review on quantification learning. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 50(5): 1–40.

Grinsztajn, L.; Oyallon, E.; and Varoquaux, G. 2022. Why do tree-based models still outperform deep learning on tabular data? ArXiv:2207.08815 [cs].

Hamilton, J. T. 2011. All the news that's fit to sell. In *All the News That's Fit to Sell*. Princeton University Press.

Hanley, H. W.; and Durumeric, Z. 2023. Sub-Standards and Mal-Practices: Misinformation's Role in Insular, Polarized, and Toxic Interactions. *arXiv:2301.11486*.

He, H.; Bai, Y.; Garcia, E. A.; and Li, S. 2008. ADASYN: Adaptive synthetic sampling approach for imbalanced learning. In 2008 IEEE international joint conference on neural networks (IEEE world congress on computational intelligence), 1322–1328. Ieee. Hermida-Carrilo, A.; Sepahpour-Fard, M.; Sun, L.; Topinkova, R.; Nedelkoska, L.; et al. 2023. Mental health concerns prelude the great resignation: Evidence from social media. *arXiv*:2208.07926.

Hettiachchi, D.; Sanderson, M.; Goncalves, J.; Hosio, S.; Kazai, G.; Lease, M.; Schaekermann, M.; and Yilmaz, E. 2021. Investigating and mitigating biases in crowdsourced data. In *Companion Publication of the 2021 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, 331–334.

Kittur, A.; Chi, E. H.; and Suh, B. 2008. Crowdsourcing user studies with Mechanical Turk. In *SIGCHI conference* on human factors in computing systems, 453–456.

Klein, C.; Clutton, P.; and Dunn, A. G. 2019. Pathways to conspiracy: The social and linguistic precursors of involvement in Reddit's conspiracy theory forum. *PloS one*, 14(11): e0225098.

Lee, A. M.; and Chyi, H. I. 2014. When Newsworthy is Not Noteworthy: Examining the value of news from the audience's perspective. *Journalism studies*, 15(6): 807–820.

Lenti, J.; Aiello, L. M.; Monti, C.; and Morales, G. D. F. 2024. Causal Modeling of Climate Activism on Reddit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10562*.

Lokala, U.; Srivastava, A.; Dastidar, T. G.; Chakraborty, T.; Akhtar, M. S.; Panahiazar, M.; and Sheth, A. 2022. A computational approach to understand mental health from reddit: knowledge-aware multitask learning framework. In *International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 16, 640–650.

Medvedev, A. N.; Lambiotte, R.; and Delvenne, J.-C. 2019. The anatomy of Reddit: An overview of academic research. *Dynamics on and of Complex Networks III: Machine Learning and Statistical Physics Approaches 10*, 183–204.

Monti, C.; Aiello, L. M.; De Francisci Morales, G.; and Bonchi, F. 2022. The language of opinion change on social media under the lens of communicative action. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1): 17920.

Monti, C.; D'Ignazi, J.; Starnini, M.; and De Francisci Morales, G. 2023. Evidence of demographic rather than ideological segregation in news discussion on reddit. In *ACM Web Conference* 2023, 2777–2786.

Moreno, M. A.; Goniu, N.; Moreno, P. S.; and Diekema, D. 2013. Ethics of social media research: common concerns and practical considerations. *Cyberpsychology, behavior, and social networking*, 16(9): 708–713.

Moreo, A.; Esuli, A.; and Sebastiani, F. 2021. QuaPy: a python-based framework for quantification. In *30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, 4534–4543.

Nature Editorial. 2021. The powers and perils of using digital data to understand human behaviour. *Nature*, 595: 149– 150. Editorial.

Nigam, K.; McCallum, A. K.; Thrun, S.; and Mitchell, T. 2000. Text classification from labeled and unlabeled documents using EM. *Machine learning*, 39: 103–134.

Ramírez-Cifuentes, D.; Freire, A.; Baeza-Yates, R.; Puntí, J.; Medina-Bravo, P.; Velazquez, D. A.; Gonfaus, J. M.; and Gonzàlez, J. 2020. Detection of suicidal ideation on social media: multimodal, relational, and behavioral analysis. *J. of medical internet research*, 22(7): e17758.

Rivas, R.; Sadah, S. A.; Guo, Y.; Hristidis, V.; et al. 2020. Classification of health-related social media posts: Evaluation of post content–classifier models and analysis of user demographics. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 6(2): e14952.

Rollo, C.; De Francisci Morales, G.; Monti, C.; and Panisson, A. 2022. Communities, Gateways, and Bridges: Measuring Attention Flow in the Reddit Political Sphere. In Hopfgartner, F.; Jaidka, K.; Mayr, P.; Jose, J.; and Breitsohl, J., eds., *Social Informatics*, volume 13618 of *SocInfo*, 3– 19. [best paper award]: Springer. ISBN 978-3-031-19096-4 978-3-031-19097-1.

Sadah, S. A.; Shahbazi, M.; Wiley, M. T.; and Hristidis, V. 2016. Demographic-based content analysis of web-based health-related social media. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 18(6): e148.

Sasse, K.; Mahabir, R.; Gkountouna, O.; Crooks, A.; and Croitoru, A. 2024. Understanding the determinants of vaccine hesitancy in the United States: A comparison of social surveys and social media. *Plos one*, 19(6): e0301488.

Shahrokh Esfahani, M.; and Dougherty, E. R. 2014. Effect of separate sampling on classification accuracy. *Bioinformatics*, 30(2): 242–250.

Shorten, C.; and Khoshgoftaar, T. M. 2019. A survey on image data augmentation for deep learning. *Journal of big data*, 6(1): 1–48.

Sristy, N. B.; and Somayajulu, D. 2012. Semi-supervised Learning of Naive Bayes Classifier with feature constraints. In *First International Workshop on Optimization Techniques for Human Language Technology*, 65–78.

Stritch, J. M.; Pedersen, M. J.; and Taggart, G. 2017. The opportunities and limitations of using Mechanical Turk (Mturk) in public administration and management scholarship. *International Public Management Journal*, 20(3): 489–511.

Tadesse, M. M.; Lin, H.; Xu, B.; and Yang, L. 2019. Detection of depression-related posts in reddit social media forum. *Ieee Access*, 7: 44883–44893.

Waller, I.; and Anderson, A. 2021. Quantifying social organization and political polarization in online platforms. *Nature*, 600(7888): 264–268.

Xia, Y.; Monti, C.; Keller, B.; and Kivelä, M. 2024. Integrated or Segregated? User Behavior Change after Cross-Party Interactions on Reddit. *arXiv:2410.04923*.

Zhang, J.; Wu, X.; and Sheng, V. S. 2016. Learning from crowdsourced labeled data: a survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 46: 543–576.

## **Ethics Statement**

This work follows the guidelines and the ethical considerations by (Eysenbach and Till 2001; Moreno et al. 2013; Ramírez-Cifuentes et al. 2020). All the results provide aggregated estimates and do not include any information on individuals to maximize privacy and minimize potential harm. However, we recognize that our methods, despite being designed to be privacy-respectful and transparent, could have dual-use implications that pose risks to users. For instance, similar techniques could be repurposed to target individuals based on inferred characteristics, potentially leading to misuse in contexts such as advertising or surveillance. We acknowledge that our work could draw additional attention to Reddit users and their behaviors, potentially increasing the platform's visibility as a target for analysis. Moreover, while we aim to highlight the ethical considerations of socio-demographic inference, our study could inadvertently encourage others to apply similar techniques in ways that we cannot control. Balancing these risks, we believe that the benefits of advancing ethical and privacy-conscious methodologies in computational social science outweigh the potential harms. By demonstrating a more responsible approach to socio-demographic inference, we hope to set a precedent for future research that prioritizes user autonomy and transparency. Finally, the users in our study were fully aware of the public nature and free accessibility of the content they posted since the subreddits are of public domain, are not password-protected, and have thousands of active subscribers. Reddit's pseudonymous accounts make the retrieval of the true identity of users unlikely. Nevertheless, as a further privacy measure, the authors' names were anonymized before using the data for analysis. Therefore, our research did not require informed consent.

# Appendix

### **Bayesian Modeling**

We extend the semi-supervised Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB) model introduced by Nigam et al. (2000) by incorporating the conditional probability of subreddit activations given the class label. First, we review the extended model, followed by a description of the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm used for semi-supervised learning in Naive Bayes as outlined by Nigam et al. (2000).

Each data sample in the dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$  consists of an observed sequence of subreddit activations  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , where d is the number of subreddits, and a class label  $y^{(i)} \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$  for user i.

In our case study, k = 2 for each attribute (Year of Birth, Gender, and Partisan Affiliation), but we present the model in its general form. Missing labels are denoted as  $y^{(i)} = -1$ . The observed user's total activity is given by  $a^{(i)} = |\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|_1$ .

In a naive sense, each user's activation over the set of d subreddits is assumed to be independent. In addition, the probabilities of activity a and activations  $\mathbf{x}$  we assume to be conditionally independent given the class y. Hence, we define the joint likelihood of the unsupervised as follows, by setting marginalizing out the labels

$$\mathcal{L}(\Theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y=0}^{k-1} p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y=0}^{k-1} \left( p(y)p(a^{(i)} \mid y) \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} p(x_{j}^{(i)} \mid y) \right)$$

where the 3 groups of learnable parameters, collectively denoted with  $\Theta$ , are:

1. p(y):

- prior probability to observe class y;
- there is one for each of the k classes;
- it satisfies  $\sum_{y=0}^{k-1} p(y) = 1$ .

2.  $p(a \mid y)$ :

• probability to observe an activity a given the class y:

$$p(a \mid y) = \Phi(a+1; \mu_y, \sigma_y) - \Phi(a; \mu_y, \sigma_y)$$
  
where  $\Phi := \text{CDF}(\text{lognormal}(\mu, \sigma))$ 

- $(\mu_u, \sigma_u)$  are the learnable parameters;
- there is one tuple for each of the k classes.

3. p(j | y):

- probability to observe an activation over subreddit j given the class y;
- the probability to observe x independent activations in the subreddit j given the class y is

$$p(x_j \mid y) = p_j(j \mid y)^{x_j}$$

- there is one for each class (k) and each subreddit (d)
- it satisfies  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p(j \mid y) = 1$ .

**Models.** This formulation leads to three main models considered throughout the paper:

- 1. Standard Multinomial Naive Bayes (NB): This model is trained using closed-form counting formulas to estimate the probabilities.
- 2. Log-Normal Naive Bayes (LogN NB): In this model, the parameters of the log-normal (mean and standard deviation) are fitted to the data to model  $p(a \mid y)$ .
- Semi-Supervised Naive Bayes (SS NB): This model uses the Expectation-Maximization algorithm to incorporate both labeled and unlabeled data during training.
- 4. Semi-Supervised Log-Normal Naive Bayes (SS LogN NB): This hybrid model combines the semi-supervised approach with the log-normal distribution, fitting the activity  $(p(a \mid y))$  while leveraging the EM algorithm.

Notice that both NB and LogN NB are trained in a fully supervised manner, assuming all class labels (y) are given.

### Semi-Supervised Learning

In semi-supervised learning, the presence of unlabeled data introduces latent variables, making the problem more complex. To address this issue, parameter estimation relies on the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm, which iteratively refines the parameters by alternating between the following two steps:

**E-step.** We define the conditional class probabilities given the current parameter  $\Theta^t$ . For each sample *i*, and each label *y* the probability is

$$p(y \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{\Theta}^{t}) = \frac{p^{t}(y)p^{t}(a^{(i)} \mid y) \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} p_{j}^{t}(x_{j}^{(i)} \mid y)}{\sum_{y=0}^{k-1} p^{t}(y)p^{t}(a^{(i)} \mid y) \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} p_{j}^{t}(x_{j}^{(i)} \mid y)}$$

where  $\sum_{y} p(y \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{\Theta}^{t}) = 1$ . In the supervised setting, when  $y^{(i)}$  is not missing  $(y^{(i)} \neq -1)$ , there is only one term with probability one:  $p(y \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{\Theta}^{t}) = 1$  if  $y = y^{(i)}, 0$  otherwise.

**M-step.** By MLE, the three sets of parameters are updated in the following way.

1. Class probability:  $\forall y \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ 

$$p^{t+1}(y) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n p(y \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\Theta}^t)}{\alpha_1 k + n}$$

where  $\alpha_1$  is the regularization term;

 $\sigma$ 

2. conditional activity:  $\forall y \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ 

$$\mu_y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log a^{(i)}$$
$$y = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log a^{(i)} - \mu_y\right)^2}$$

3. conditional activations:  $\forall y \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ 

$$p^{t+1}(j \mid y) = \frac{\alpha_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_j^{(i)} p(y \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{\Theta}^t)}{\alpha_2 d + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_j^{(i)} p(y \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{\Theta}^t)}$$

where  $\alpha_2$  is the regularization term.



Figure A.1: (True Supervision) Distribution of the userdeclared labels on the three attributes, divided into train and test set (80/20) for the classification task. Classes 0: Old, Male, Democrat. Classes 1: Young, Female, Republican.

#### Dataset

The ground truth for socio-demographic attributes is based on the  $10\,000$  most active subreddits (2016–2020). The dataset includes approximately  $400\,000$  declarations each for Gender and Year of Birth, while Partisan Affiliation declarations are two orders of magnitude smaller.

Disclosure patterns vary across subreddits, as shown in Figures A.2a and A.2b. For Year of Birth, subreddits such as relationships are highly discriminative, while gaming-related subreddits such as gaming are more prominent for Gender. In contrast, Partisan Affiliation (Figure A.2c) is characterized by subreddits such as politics and news, which reflects the political focus of those communities.

Figure A.1 shows the distribution of user-declared labels across the three attributes (Year, Gender, and Partisan), divided into an 80/20 train-test split for the classification task. The number of declarations for the Partisan attribute is significantly lower-one order of magnitude smaller-compared to the Year and Gender attributes.







(c) Subreddit names for partisan disclosure.

Figure A.2: Subreddit titles for socio-demographic disclosure: year of birth, gender, and partisan affiliation.



Figure A.3: Top 25 most important subreddits for classification (among the top 1% most active subreddits).



Figure A.4: Distribution of matched regular expressions for partisan affiliation per year.