

ON A SUSPENSION FORMULA FOR DENEFF-LOESER ZETA FUNCTIONS

ENRIQUE ARTAL BARTOLO, PEDRO D. GONZÁLEZ PÉREZ, MANUEL GONZÁLEZ VILLA,
AND EDWIN LEÓN CARDENAL

ABSTRACT. Formulas for the topological zeta functions of suspensions by 2 points are due to Artal *et al.* We generalize these formulas to the motivic level and for arbitrary suspensions, by using a stratification principle and classical techniques of generating functions in toric geometry. The same strategy is used to obtain formulas for the motivic zeta functions of some families of non-isolated singularities related to superisolated, Lê-Yomdin, and weighted Lê-Yomdin singularities.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. General settings and notations	3
2. Naive and motivic Zeta functions for certain binomials	6
3. Topological Zeta functions for certain binomials	10
4. Computation of the local motivic zeta functions of G	12
5. A general formula for the topological zeta functions of G and F	13
6. Comparison with previous work	18
References	19

INTRODUCTION

The original goal of this note is to compute the naive motivic local zeta function $Z_{\text{naive}}(F, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$ and its topological specialization, where $F(x_1, \dots, x_d, z) = z^Q - f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_d, z\}$ is the suspension of $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ by Q points. These functions define germs of hypersurface singularities in $(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbb{C}^d, \mathbf{0})$. The naive zeta function for F is given by

$$Z_{\text{naive}}(F, T)_{\mathbf{0}} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mu(\mathcal{X}_n) T^n,$$

where \mathcal{X}_n stands for the set of arcs $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{d+1})_{\mathbf{0}}$ such that $\text{ord } F \circ \varphi = n$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E18; Secondary 14G10, 32S25, 32S45, 14B05.

Key words and phrases. Motivic zeta function, monodromy, hypersurface singularities, arcs and motivic integration.

EAB, MG, and ELC were partially supported by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (grant code: PID2020-114750GB-C31) and by Departamento de Ciencia, Universidad y Sociedad del Conocimiento del Gobierno de Aragón (grant code: E22.20R: “Álgebra y Geometría”). MG and ELC were also partially supported by the European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR and UNIZAR via María Zambrano’s Program and by CONAHCYT project CF-2023-G33. PGP and MG were partially supported by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (grant code: PID2020-114750GB-C33).

A formula for the local topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}(F, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ of the suspension by $N = 2$ points is given in [1, Theorem 1.1]. As an application of the formula, the authors show that the topological zeta function is not a topological invariant of the singularity. Moreover, a correspondent pointed out to the authors of [1] a formula for the suspension by Q points, based upon the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem, see [1, *Note added in proof*, p. 53]. This generalization has apparently been unquestioned for more than two decades now, but it is inaccurate as we will see in Section 6.

One of the main results of this paper is the generalization of the formula in [1, Theorem 1.1]. It is a double generalization, since we consider arbitrary Q instead of only $Q = 2$ and it is done for $Z_{\text{naive}}(F, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$ and not only for the topological zeta function. After specialization, we get the following explicit expression for the local topological zeta function

$$Z_{\text{top}}(F, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{1}{Qt} + \frac{Q-1}{Q} \cdot \frac{s}{s+1} \cdot \frac{t+1}{t} \cdot Z_{\text{top}}(f, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{s}{s+1} \sum_{1 \neq e|Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, t)_{\mathbf{0}}, \quad (1)$$

where $t = s + \frac{1}{Q}$, $J_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a Jordan's totient function and $Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}$ are the twisted topological zeta functions. If we want to iterate the suspensions, we also need a formula for the twisted topological zeta functions. Expression (1) and similar expressions for the twisted zeta functions can be found in Theorem 5.4. Even more, this result allows a more general setting of a pair (F, ω_{d+1}) with ω_{d+1} a monomial holomorphic $(d+1)$ -form.

While these formulas can be seen as a particular case of a Thom-Sebastiani formula, we give another generalization beyond that setting. Namely, we will compute the zeta functions for $G(x_1, \dots, x_d, z) := z^p F(x_1, \dots, x_d, z)$ and a monomial $(d+1)$ -differential form. This situation already appeared in [2], for the computation of the topological zeta function of superisolated singularities. The key point there was the computation of the topological zeta function of the pair (G, ω_{d+1}) where the values $Q = 1$ and $p > 0$ are chosen for G , and the differential form is $\omega_{d+1} = z^d \cdot dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d \wedge dz$.

Let us now describe some possible applications of our results. As pointed to the first author by S. Gusein-Zade, the computation of the local topological zeta functions for Lê-Yomdin singularities [22, 15] reduces by the Stratification principle of [2] to the computation of $Z_{\text{top}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ for a function G with arbitrary values p and Q and ω_{d+1} as above. This is precisely the content of our Theorem 5.1. The computation of A'Campo's monodromy zeta function for Lê-Yomdin singularities in [14, 18], the results in [2] and Theorem 5.1 are the main tools to attack the monodromy conjecture for those singularities. It will also give an alternative proof of the monodromy conjecture for superisolated singularities, a particular case of Lê-Yomdin singularities. Moreover, all these results may be generalized for quotient singularities using the techniques in this work and the results of [17]. This would help to compute the zeta functions for weighted Lê-Yomdin singularities, see [5, 4].

The `Sagemath` package [21] has been particularly useful during the research on this project.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 is devoted to introduce general settings and notations, including some arithmetic function and the basics of arc spaces and motivic/topological zeta functions. The computations of local motivic and topological zeta functions associated to a particular stratum are done in Sections 2 and 3. Section 4 explains how to use the Stratification principle to reduce the main results to the local computations of Sections 2 and 3. The main

results are stated and proved in Section 5. Finally, we compare Theorem 5.4 with the formula proposed in the aforementioned *Note added in proof* in Section 6.

1. GENERAL SETTINGS AND NOTATIONS

1.1. Arithmetic functions.

Denote the set of positive integers by \mathbb{N} . Consider the commutative ring of arithmetic functions $(A, +, *)$ of functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(1) \neq 0$ with the operations of pointwise addition $+$ and the Dirichlet convolution $*$ that is given in terms of the usual product \cdot of the integers by

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d) \cdot g(n/d).$$

There are some simple examples of arithmetic functions:

- The constant function $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $\mathbf{1}(n) = 1$ for any positive integer n .
- The *unit* function $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $\epsilon(1) = 1$ and $\epsilon(n) = 0$ if $n \neq 1$. Note that $\epsilon * f = f * \epsilon = f$ for any $f \in A$.
- For any positive integer k , the functions $\sigma_k : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, given by $\sigma_k(n) = n^k$ for any positive integer n .
- The *Möbius function* $\mu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the function that, given a positive integer n , $\mu(n)$ is the sum of the primitive n th roots of unity. It is well-defined since the cyclotomic polynomials are monic polynomials over the integers.
- The *Euler's totient function* $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the function that, given a positive integer n , counts the number of coprime residues modulo n . It satisfies the famous Gauss' identity

$$\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n \tag{1.1}$$

- For any positive integer k , the *Jordan's totient function* $J_k : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the function that, given a positive integer n , counts the k -tuples of positive integers all less than or equal to n that form a coprime $(k+1)$ -tuple together with n . The Jordan's totient functions are a generalization of Euler's totient function since $J_1 = \phi$.

Remark 1.1. The values of J_k are given by the expression

$$J_k(n) = n^k \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right),$$

Some values of J_2 are listed in OEIS [19].

The following Lemma will be useful to give explicit expressions for the Denef-Loeser zeta functions of a suspension.

Lemma 1.2. *The Jordan's totient functions J_k and the functions σ_k are related under Dirichlet convolution by the following expressions*

$$\sigma_k = \mathbf{1} * J_k, \text{ and } J_k = \mu * \sigma_k,$$

or, equivalently, by the expressions

$$n^k = \sum_{d|n} J_k(d), \text{ and } J_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^k. \tag{1.2}$$

1.2. Arc spaces.

Let φ be an element in $\mathbb{C}[[t]] \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $\text{ord}(\varphi)$ the *order* (with respect to t) of the series φ , and by $\text{ac}(\varphi)$ the coefficient of the leading term of φ , i.e., if $\varphi = a_n t^n + a_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \dots$ and $a_n \neq 0$, then $\text{ord}(\varphi) = n$ and $\text{ac}(\varphi) = a_n$.

Let us fix a system of coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_d, z) of $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ centered at $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$. Denote by $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{C}^{d+1})_{\mathbf{0}}$ the space of n -jets φ of \mathbb{C}^{d+1} centered at $\mathbf{0}$, i.e. such that $\varphi(0) = \mathbf{0}$. Denote the coordinates of $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{C}^{d+1})_{\mathbf{0}}$ as φ_{x_i} or φ_z , i.e., $\varphi = (\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}, \varphi_z)$.

1.3. Grothendieck rings and their specializations.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the category of complex algebraic varieties, i.e., reduced and separated schemes of finite type over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Let us denote by $K_0(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the *Grothendieck group* of algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} , and by the symbol $[X]$ the class of the complex algebraic variety X in $K_0(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Denote by \mathbb{L} the class of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ in $K_0(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the ring $K_0(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$. We also consider the category of complex algebraic varieties endowed with a good action of the profinite group $\hat{\mu}$ of the roots of the unity. The corresponding Grothendieck rings are denoted by adding the superscript $\hat{\mu}$ to the usual symbols.

Denote by $\chi_{\text{top}} : K_0(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the usual Euler characteristic and by $\chi_{\text{top}}(\alpha) : K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the equivariant Euler characteristic with respect to a character $\alpha : \hat{\mu} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of finite order.

1.4. Denef-Loeser zeta functions.

Motivic zeta functions can be generalized to take into account volume forms ω . We fix a volume form $\omega \in \Omega^{\ell}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell})$. Then the local naive motivic zeta function and the local motivic zeta function of a germ $g : (\mathbb{C}^{\ell}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to ω are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{naive}}(g, \omega, T)_{\mathbf{0}} &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [\mathcal{X}_{n,m}] \mathbb{L}^{-n\ell - m} T^n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]], \\ Z_{\text{mot}}(g, \omega, T)_{\mathbf{0}} &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [\mathcal{X}_{n,m}^1] \mathbb{L}^{-n\ell - m} T^n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}$ stands for the set of n -jets $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{C}^{\ell})_{\mathbf{0}}$ such that $\text{ord } g \circ \varphi = n$ and $\text{ord } \varphi^* \omega = m$; $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}^1$ stands for the set of n -jets in $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}$ such that $\text{ac}(g \circ \varphi) = 1$. We also consider the local topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}(g, \omega, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ and the local twisted topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(g, \omega, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ of g at $\mathbf{0}$ given by

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(Z_{\text{naive}}(g, \omega, \mathbb{L}^{-s})_{\mathbf{0}}), \text{ and } \chi_{\text{top}}((\mathbb{L} - 1)Z_{\text{mot}}(g, \omega, \mathbb{L}^{-s})_{\mathbf{0}}, \alpha), \tag{1.4}$$

where e is an integer and $\alpha : \hat{\mu} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character of order e .

Let us consider an embedded resolution of $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ of $g\omega$. Let $\{E_j \mid j \in J\}$ be the irreducible components of $\pi^*g\omega$, including exceptional and strict transform components. As divisors, we write

$$E := (g \circ \pi)^*(0) = \sum_{j \in J} N_j E_j, \quad (\pi^* \omega) = \sum_{j \in J} (\nu_j - 1) E_j.$$

The intersection of the divisor E with $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is stratified by

$$\{E_I^{\circ} \mid \emptyset \neq I \subset J\}, \quad E_I^{\circ} := \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} E_j.$$

For $I \subset J$ and a point $o_I \in E_I^{\circ}$ there is a suitable coordinate system \mathcal{U} in the Zariski topology with two subsets of coordinates. On one side coordinates x_i , $i \in I$, such that E_i is defined

by $x_i = 0$; on the other side a $d - |I|$ system of coordinates $y_1, \dots, y_{d-|I|}$ which parametrizes E_I . Moreover, the pullbacks of f and ω under π will be denoted by g_I and ω_I , they have local equations

$$f \circ \pi = u \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{N_i}, \quad \pi^* \omega = v \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{\nu_i} \cdot \bigwedge_{i \in I} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \wedge dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{d-|I|}$$

where u, v are non-vanishing functions in \mathcal{U} depending on the variables x_i , for $i \in I$, and $y_1, \dots, y_{d-|I|}$.

For $I \subset J$, we denote $g_I := g \circ \pi$ in a neighbourhood of E_I° . For any point in E_I° we can choose coordinates in an open set of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^I \times \mathbb{C}^{d-|I|}$ such that g_I is the product of the monomial $\prod_{i \in I} x_i^{N_i}$ and a unit which may depend on all the variables. We consider also the unramified Galois cover \tilde{E}_I° of E_I° introduced in [11, §3.3].

The following formulas hold:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{naive}}(g, \omega, T)_{\mathbf{0}} &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} [E_I^\circ] \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^{\nu_i} - T^{N_i}} T^{N_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]], \\ Z_{\text{mot}}(g, \omega, T)_{\mathbf{0}} &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} [\tilde{E}_I^\circ] \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^{\nu_i} - T^{N_i}} T^{N_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]], \\ Z_{\text{top}}(g, \omega, s)_{\mathbf{0}} &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i s + \nu_i)}, \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(g, \omega, s)_{\mathbf{0}} &= \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ e|N_i}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i s + \nu_i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

According to [8] the local topological zeta function of a regular function f satisfies $Z_{\text{top}}(f, 0)_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$. This identity can be rephrased, using the expression of the local zeta function in terms of an embedded resolution (1.5), as

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} \nu_i} = 1. \tag{1.6}$$

For the sake of completeness we state a generalization of the Stratification principle, that will be used in §4.

Proposition 1.3 ([2, Stratum principle 1.2]). *Let X be a smooth algebraic variety, and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a regular function. Let $X = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S$ be a finite prestratification of X such that for each $x \in X$, the local naive zeta function at x depends only on the stratum S containing x . Let us denote by $Z_{\text{naive}}(f, \omega, T; S)_x$ the common zeta function associated with the stratum S . Then,*

$$Z_{\text{naive}}(f, \omega, T)_x = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} Z_{\text{naive}}(f, \omega, T; S)_x.$$

The above principle extends to the local motivic zeta function, and implies similar statements for the local topological and local twisted topological zeta functions.

1.5. General setting.

Consider a regular function $f : (\mathbb{C}^d, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and a fixed $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The suspension of f by Q points is defined by the regular function $F : (\mathbb{C}^{d+1}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, given by the expression

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_d, z) = z^Q - f(x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

With applications in mind we will also consider $G(x_1, \dots, x_d, z) := z^p F(x_1, \dots, x_d, z)$, for $p \geq 0$.

1.6. Notations.

Let I be a finite totally ordered set and let H be an algebraic object (e.g. $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \dots$). The canonical basis of H^I is denoted by \mathbf{e}_k , with $k \in I$. An element $\mathbf{v} \in H^I$ is written as $\sum_{k \in I} v_k \mathbf{e}_k$, where v_k is called the coordinate of \mathbf{v} in \mathbf{e}_k . We denote by $|\mathbf{v}|$ the sum $\sum_{k \in I} v_k$ of the coordinates in the canonical basis.

If $\mathbf{v} \in H^I$ and $L \subset I$, then we denote by $\mathbf{v}_L \in H^L$ the *vector* obtained by deleting the entries corresponding to $I \setminus L$. Sometimes we will need a superset of I by adding an extra element z as the last element; the superset $I \cup \{z\}$ is denoted by I_z . The extra element in the canonical basis of H^{I_z} is denoted by \mathbf{e}_z and the corresponding coordinate of a vector $\mathbf{v} \in H^{I_z}$ is denoted by v_z .

2. NAIVE AND MOTIVIC ZETA FUNCTIONS FOR CERTAIN BINOMIALS

In §4 we are going to use the Stratification principle in the motivic setting in order to compute the naive and motivic zeta functions of F and G . In this section we give an explicit formula for the contributions of the different strata coming from the resolution of f .

Let us fix a finite set I and vectors $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N}^{I_z}$, $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}$; we denote $p := N_z$. Let Q be a positive integer. Consider $g_0(\mathbf{x}, z) := z^Q - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I}$ and set

$$g(\mathbf{x}, z) := z^p g_0(\mathbf{x}, z) = z^p \left(z^Q - \prod_{k \in I} x_k^{N_k} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\omega := \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} z^{\nu_z} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{dz}{z} = \prod_{k \in I} x_k^{\nu_k} z^{\nu_z} \left(\prod_{k \in I} \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \right) \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (2.2)$$

We restrict ourselves to the space \mathcal{A} of arcs based at $\mathbf{0}$ not belonging to the arc space of the union of the coordinate hyperplanes and the hypersurface $V(g)$. To such an arc φ , we associate vectors $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{I_z}$ and $\text{ord } \varphi := \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^{I_z}$ determined by the expressions

$$\text{ord } \varphi_k = b_k \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ac}(\varphi_k) = a_k, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \varphi_k = a_k t^{b_k} + \dots \quad \text{for } k \in I_z.$$

We consider a partition of \mathcal{A} in four subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma^+} &:= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{ord}(z^{Q+p} \circ \varphi) > \text{ord}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I} z^p \circ \varphi) = \text{ord}(g \circ \varphi) \} = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid (Q+p)b_z > \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle \} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\sigma^-} &:= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{ord}(g \circ \varphi) = \text{ord}(z^{Q+p} \circ \varphi) < \text{ord}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I} z^p \circ \varphi) \} = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid (Q+p)b_z < \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle \} \\ \mathcal{A}_\rho &:= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{ord}(g \circ \varphi) = \text{ord}(z^{Q+p} \circ \varphi) = \text{ord}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I} z^p \circ \varphi) \} \\ &= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid (Q+p)b_z = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle, \text{ac}(z^{Q+p} \circ \varphi) \neq \text{ac}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I} z^p \circ \varphi) \} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\rho^*} &:= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{ord}(g \circ \varphi) > \text{ord}(z^{Q+p} \circ \varphi) = \text{ord}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I} z^p \circ \varphi) \} \\ &= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid (Q+p)b_z = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle, \text{ac}(z^{Q+p} \circ \varphi) = \text{ac}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I} z^p \circ \varphi) \} \end{aligned}$$

The above subspaces induce a partition of each space $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}$ of n -jets into four subsets

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,m}^\bullet = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{n,m} \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\bullet \}, \quad \text{with} \quad \bullet \in \{ \sigma^+, \rho, \sigma^-, \rho^* \},$$

and splits the (naive) zeta function into four summands

$$Z_{\text{naive}}(g, \omega, T)_{\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{\bullet \in \{ \sigma^+, \rho, \sigma^-, \rho^* \}} \mathbf{W}^\bullet, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{W}^\bullet := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [\mathcal{X}_{n,m}^\bullet] \mathbb{L}^{-n(|I|+1)-m} T^n. \quad (2.3)$$

The spaces \mathcal{A}_\bullet suggest the following conical subdivision of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}$ which is dual to the Newton polyhedron of g .

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^+ &:= \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z} \mid \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle < (Q+p)b_z\}, \\ \sigma^- &:= \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z} \mid \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle > (Q+p)b_z\}, \\ \rho &:= \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z} \mid \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle = (Q+p)b_z\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}$ we denote

$$m_{\mathbf{b}} := \langle \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle - |\mathbf{b}|, \text{ and } n_{\mathbf{b}} := \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle & \text{if } \mathbf{b} \in \sigma^+ \\ (Q+p)b_z & \text{if } \mathbf{b} \in \sigma^- \\ (Q+p)b_z = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle & \text{if } \mathbf{b} \in \rho. \end{cases}$$

These quantities are related with the order of the pullback of ω and g respectively. More precisely, if φ is an arc in \mathcal{A} of order \mathbf{b} and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\bullet$, for $\bullet \in \{\sigma^+, \sigma^-, \rho\}$, then $m_{\mathbf{b}} = \text{ord}(\varphi^*\omega)$, while $n_{\mathbf{b}} = \text{ord}(g \circ \varphi)$. Hence, we can write

$$W^\bullet = \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \bullet \cap \mathbb{N}^{I_z}} [\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^\bullet] \mathbb{L}^{-n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - m_{\mathbf{b}}} T^{n_{\mathbf{b}}}.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho^*}$, then $\mathbf{b} = \text{ord } \varphi \in \rho$, $m_{\mathbf{b}} = \text{ord}(\varphi^*\omega)$ and $n_{\mathbf{b}} < \text{ord}(g \circ \varphi)$. Then,

$$W^{\rho^*} = \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \rho \cap \mathbb{N}^{I_z}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}+i, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\rho^*}] \mathbb{L}^{-i(|I|+1)} T^i \right) \mathbb{L}^{-n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - m_{\mathbf{b}}} T^{n_{\mathbf{b}}}.$$

The following lemma can be deduced from [7, 3, 12]. The key of the proof is to study the class $[V(g_0)]$ where $V(g_0)$ is the zero locus of g_0 in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{I_z}$ and the class of the complement of $V(g_0)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{I_z}$. Let us denote $N_I := \text{gcd } \mathbf{N}_I$ and $e_I := \text{gcd}(Q, N_I)$. Since $V(g_0)$ is in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{I_z}$, we can make a toric change of variables such that

$$V(g_0) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I|-1} \times \{(y, z) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \mid z^Q - y^{N_I} = 0\} \cong e_I \text{ copies of } (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I|}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^{I_z}$.*

(1) *If $\mathbf{b} \in \sigma^\pm$, then $[\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\sigma^\pm}] \mathbb{L}^{-n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - m_{\mathbf{b}}} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \mathbb{L}^{-\langle \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle}$.*

(2) *If $\mathbf{b} \in \rho$, then*

$$[\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^\rho] \mathbb{L}^{-n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - m_{\mathbf{b}}} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} (\mathbb{L} - 1 - e_I) \mathbb{L}^{-\langle \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle}.$$

(3) *If $\mathbf{b} \in \rho$ and $i > 0$, then*

$$[\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}+i, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\rho^*}] \mathbb{L}^{-i(|I|+1) - n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - m_{\mathbf{b}}} = e_I (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \mathbb{L}^{-i - \langle \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle}.$$

Remark 2.2. For $Z_{\text{mot}}(g, \omega, T)_0$ the computation is similar, see [13, 12, 6], and one obtains an expression

$$Z_{\text{mot}}(g, \omega, T)_0 = \widetilde{W}^{\sigma^+} + \widetilde{W}^{\sigma^-} + \widetilde{W}^\rho + \widetilde{W}^{\rho^*},$$

where \widetilde{W}^\bullet is defined analogously as in the naive case, and

(1) if $\mathbf{b} \in \sigma^+$ then $[\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{1, \sigma^+}] = [\mu_{\text{gcd}(N_I, Q+p)}] (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} \mathbb{L}^{n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - |\mathbf{b}|}$;

(2) if $\mathbf{b} \in \sigma^-$ then $[\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{1, \sigma^-}] = [\mu_{Q+p}] (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} \mathbb{L}^{n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1) - |\mathbf{b}|}$;

(3) if $\mathbf{b} \in \rho$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{1, \rho}] &= [V(g_1)] \mathbb{L}^{n_{\mathbf{b}}(|I|+1)-|\mathbf{b}|} \\ [\mathcal{X}_{n_{\mathbf{b}}, m_{\mathbf{b}}}^{1, \rho^*}] &= e_I (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} \mathbb{L}^{i|I|} \text{ for } i > 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $V(g_1)$ is the zero locus of $g_0 - 1$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{I_z}$ with a good action of $\hat{\mu}$. Note that after a toric change of variables it satisfies

$$V(g_1) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I|-1} \times \{(y, z) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \mid z^Q - y^{N_I} = 1\}.$$

According to a celebrated result by Denef and Loeser [9, Theorem 2.2.1] or [11, Corollary 3.3.2], each of the previous partial zeta functions can be expressed as a rational function, i.e., an element in the $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]]$ generated by 1 and by finite products of terms of the form $\mathbb{L}^j T^i (1 - \mathbb{L}^j T^i)^{-1}$, with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Using the machinery of integer points on rational polyhedral cones from [20, Section 4.6], it is possible to get such rational expressions.

Given a pointed convex rational polyhedral cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}}$ be its interior. We define the generating function of \mathcal{C} as

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}}} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}.$$

Let \mathbf{a}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathcal{C}$, be the primitive quasi-generators of a simplicial cone \mathcal{C} . The set

$$D_{\mathcal{C}} := \left\{ \lambda \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}} \mid \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{C}} \lambda_i \mathbf{a}_i \text{ with } \lambda_i \in (0, 1] \right\},$$

is finite. Then,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) = P_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{C}} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^{-1} \text{ where } P_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\beta \in D_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathbf{x}^{\beta}.$$

Remark 2.3. The cones σ^+ and ρ are simplicial. A system of quasi-generators of ρ is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_i := \frac{Q\mathbf{e}_i + N_i\mathbf{e}_z}{e_i}, i \in I, \quad e_i := \gcd(Q, N_i);$$

adding \mathbf{e}_z we obtain a system of quasi generators of σ^+ . Then,

$$\Phi_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = P_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k \in I} P_k(x_k, z)^{-1}, \quad \Phi_{\sigma^+}(x) = P_{\sigma^+}(\mathbf{x}) P_z(z)^{-1} \prod_{k \in I} P_k(x_k, z)^{-1},$$

where

$$P_k(x_k, z) := 1 - \left(x_k^Q z^{N_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{e_k}}, \quad k \in I, \quad P_z(z) = 1 - z.$$

In general, the cone σ^- is not simplicial but we have

$$\Phi_{\sigma^-} = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}} - \Phi_{\sigma^+} - \Phi_{\rho}, \quad \Phi_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}} = (1 - z)^{-1} \prod_{i \in I} (1 - x_i)^{-1}.$$

For $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I$, we denote $\mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{a}T\mathbf{b}} := (\mathbb{L}^{-a_k T^{b_k}} \mid k \in I)$. We may replace I by I_z if necessary.

Then next proposition expresses W^{\bullet} in terms of the generating functions. Beware the generating functions are factorized in order to simplify the Euler specialization in §3.

Proposition 2.4. *Using the generating functions of the cones from Remark 2.3, the terms W^\bullet from (2.3) are expressed as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\sigma^+} &= P_{\sigma^+}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}) K(\mathbb{L}, T) H(\mathbb{L}, T), \\ W^{\sigma^-} &= \tilde{K}(\mathbb{L}, T) \prod_{k \in I} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\nu_k}} - P_{\sigma^+}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{(Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z}) \tilde{K}(\mathbb{L}, T) \tilde{H}(\mathbb{L}, T) \\ &\quad - (\mathbb{L} - 1) P_\rho(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{(Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z}) \tilde{H}(\mathbb{L}, T), \\ W^\rho &= (\mathbb{L} - 1 - e_I) P_\rho(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}) H(\mathbb{L}, T), \\ W^{\rho^*} &= e_I \mathbb{L}^{-1} T P_\rho(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}) \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1} T} H(\mathbb{L}, T), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(\mathbb{L}, T) := \prod_{k \in I} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{P_k(\mathbb{L}^{-\nu_k} T^{N_k}, \mathbb{L}^{-\nu_z} T^p)}, \quad \tilde{H}(\mathbb{L}, T) := \prod_{k \in I} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{P_k(\mathbb{L}^{-\nu_k}, \mathbb{L}^{-\nu_z} T^{Q+p})},$$

and

$$K(\mathbb{L}, T) := \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\nu_z} T^p}, \quad \tilde{K}(\mathbb{L}, T) := \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\nu_z} T^{Q+p}}.$$

Proof. We combine the definition of W^\bullet , with Lemma 2.1 and the generating functions. Let us start with σ^+ :

$$W^{\sigma^+} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \sigma^+ \cap \mathbb{N}^{Iz}} \mathbb{L}^{-\langle \mathbf{b}, \nu \rangle} T^{\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \Phi_{\sigma^+}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}).$$

Using the expression for Φ_{σ^+} , we obtain the result in the statement. For σ^- we have

$$W^{\sigma^-} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \sigma^- \cap \mathbb{N}^{Iz}} \mathbb{L}^{-\langle \mathbf{b}, \nu \rangle} T^{(Q+p)b_z} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \Phi_{\sigma^-}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{(Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z}).$$

and the statement follows. For ρ we have:

$$W^\rho = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} (\mathbb{L} - 1 - e_I) \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \rho \cap \mathbb{N}^{Iz}} \mathbb{L}^{-\langle \mathbf{b}, \nu \rangle} T^{\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} (\mathbb{L} - 1 - e_I) \Phi_\rho(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}).$$

Finally, for ρ^* :

$$W^{\rho^*} = e_I (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-i} T^i \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \rho \cap \mathbb{N}^{Iz}} \mathbb{L}^{-\langle \mathbf{b}, \nu \rangle} T^{\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N} \rangle} = e_I (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|+1} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-1} T}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1} T} \Phi_\rho(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}),$$

and the result follows. \square

Remark 2.5. For the summands of $Z_{\text{mot}}(g, \omega, T)_0$ one obtains

$$(\mathbb{L} - 1) \widetilde{W}^{\sigma^+} = [\mu_{\gcd(N_I, Q-p)}] W^{\sigma^+}, \quad (\mathbb{L} - 1) \widetilde{W}^{\sigma^-} = [\mu_{Q+p}] W^{\sigma^-}, \quad (\mathbb{L} - 1) \widetilde{W}^\rho = W^\rho$$

and

$$\widetilde{W}^{\rho^*} = [\{(y, z) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \mid z^Q - y^{N_I} = 1\}] \cdot P_\rho(\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{L}^{-\nu} T^{\mathbf{N}}) \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1} T} H(\mathbb{L}, T).$$

3. TOPOLOGICAL ZETA FUNCTIONS FOR CERTAIN BINOMIALS

This section is devoted to compute the Euler specialization of the naive and motivic zeta functions computed in §2.

Recall that the topological zeta function is obtained from the naive zeta function by specializing with the Euler characteristic, see (1.4). We define W_{top}^\bullet as $\chi_{\text{top}}(W^\bullet(T = \mathbb{L}^{-s}))$ for the terms W^\bullet of (2.3). Notice that

$$Z_{\text{top}}(g, \omega, s)_0 = W_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^+} + W_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^-} + W_{\text{top}}^\rho + W_{\text{top}}^{\rho^*}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Consider $g(\mathbf{x}, z) = z^p(z^Q - \mathbf{x}^{N_I})$, and $\omega = \mathbf{x}^\nu z^{\nu_z} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{dz}{z}$ as in (2.1) and (2.2). The terms W_{top}^\bullet of the topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}(g, \omega, s)_0$ are expressed in terms of $r := \frac{(Q+p)s + \nu_z}{Q}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^+} &= \frac{1}{\nu_z + ps} \prod_{k \in I} \frac{1}{N_k r + \nu_k}, \\ W_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^-} &= \frac{1}{Qr} \left(\prod_{k \in I} \frac{1}{\nu_k} - \prod_{k \in I} \frac{1}{N_k r + \nu_k} \right), \\ W_{\text{top}}^\rho &= \frac{-e_I^2}{Q} \prod_{k \in I} \frac{1}{N_k r + \nu_k}, \\ W_{\text{top}}^{\rho^*} &= \frac{1}{s+1} W_{\text{top}}^\rho(g, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. Notice that if $p = 0$ and $\nu_z = 1$ then r becomes $t := s + \frac{1}{Q}$.

Proof. Recall that the image of the topological Euler specialization morphism $\chi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ can be recovered from the following two facts. First, since χ is additive, $\chi(\mathbb{L}) = 1$. Note that for $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\mathbb{P}^a] = 1 + \mathbb{L} + \mathbb{L}^2 + \cdots + \mathbb{L}^a = \frac{1 - \mathbb{L}^{a+1}}{1 - \mathbb{L}} \in K_0(\mathbf{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \implies \chi([\mathbb{P}^a]) = a + 1.$$

Secondly, if we allow *formal dimensions* we have that

$$\chi\left(\frac{1 - \mathbb{L}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{a+bs}}\right) = \chi\left(\frac{1}{[\mathbb{P}^{a+bs-1}]}\right) = \frac{1}{a + bs}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\chi(K(\mathbb{L}, T)) = \frac{1}{\nu_z + ps}, \quad \chi(\tilde{K}(\mathbb{L}, T)) = \frac{1}{\nu_z + (Q+p)s} = \frac{Q}{r}.$$

On the other side, the Euler characteristics of the terms P_\bullet appearing in Proposition 2.4 coincide with $|D_\bullet|$ (the *multiplicity* of the cone). In Remark 2.3 we described the primitive quasi-generators of the $|I| + 1$ -dimensional simplicial cone σ^+ and the $|I|$ -dimensional simplicial cone ρ . The multiplicity of σ_I^+ equals the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix formed by these quasi-generators, i.e.,

$$Q^{|I|} \prod_{k \in I} e_k^{-1}.$$

The multiplicity of ρ is the gcd of the $|I|$ -minors of the matrix of the generators which turns out to be

$$Q^{|I|-1} e_I \prod_{k \in I} e_k^{-1}$$

The Euler characteristic of the factor of $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{L}, T)$ for $k \in I$ is

$$\chi\left(\frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\frac{(Q\nu_k + \nu_z N_k) + s(Q+p)N_k}{e_k}}}\right) = \frac{e_k}{(Q\nu_k + \nu_z N_k) + s(Q+p)N_k} = \frac{e_k}{Q(N_k r + \nu_k)}$$

and it coincides with the Euler characteristic of the corresponding factor in $H(\mathbb{L}, T)$. Combining all these facts the result is proved. \square

Recall also that the local twisted topological zeta function is obtained from the motivic one by specializing with the equivariant Euler characteristic, see (1.4). As before, we define the terms $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\bullet}$ as $\chi_{\text{top}}((\mathbb{L} - 1)\widetilde{W}^{\bullet}(T = \mathbb{L}^{-s}), \alpha)$, with α a character of order l , for the terms \widetilde{W}^{\bullet} introduced in Remark 2.2. Notice that

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(g, \omega, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^+} + \widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^-} + \widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\rho} + \widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\rho^*} \quad (3.1)$$

The following proposition is needed to compute the equivariant Euler specializations. For $\bullet \in \{\sigma^+, \sigma^-, \rho\}$ let us define

$$\mathcal{N}(\bullet) := \gcd\left\{\min(\langle \mathbf{a}, (Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z \rangle, \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} \rangle) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \bullet \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{I_z}\right\}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *The numbers $\mathcal{N}(\bullet)$ are given by*

$$\mathcal{N}(\bullet) = \begin{cases} \gcd \mathbf{N} & \text{if } \bullet = \sigma^+ \\ Q + p & \text{if } \bullet = \sigma^- \\ \text{lcm}(\gcd \mathbf{N}_I, Q) \frac{Q+p}{Q} & \text{if } \bullet = \rho. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us start with σ^- . By definition if $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma^- \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}$, then $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} \rangle > (Q+p)a_z = \langle \mathbf{a}, (Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z \rangle$ and then $Q+p$ divides $\mathcal{N}(\sigma^-)$. We have

$$\mathbf{a}' := \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}_I|} \sum_{i \in I} e_i \mathbf{v}_i = \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{N}_I|} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_z, \quad \langle \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{N} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}', (Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z \rangle = Q+p.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{a}'' := \left(1 + \left\lfloor \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{N}_I|} \right\rfloor - \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{N}_I|}\right) \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \langle \mathbf{a}'', \mathbf{N} \rangle > 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{a}'', (Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z \rangle = 0.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{a} := \mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{a}'' \cap \sigma^- \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^I, \quad \langle \mathbf{a}, (Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z \rangle = Q+p,$$

and we deduce that $\mathcal{N}(\sigma^-) = Q+p$.

Let us continue with σ^+ . By definition if $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{I_z}$, then $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} \rangle < \langle \mathbf{a}, (Q+p)\mathbf{e}_z \rangle$. Since the coefficients of \mathbf{a} are integers, then $\gcd \mathbf{N}$ divides the minimum and hence also $\mathcal{N}(\sigma^+)$. Fix $i \in I$, we consider

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{e}_i + N_i \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} \mathbf{e}_j + N_i M \mathbf{e}_z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{I_z}, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = 2\mathbf{e}_i + N_i \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} \mathbf{e}_j + N_i M \mathbf{e}_z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{I_z}, \quad M \gg 0.$$

Note that $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in \sigma^+$ and

$$\langle \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{N} \rangle = N_i \left(k + \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} N_j + Mp \right) \implies \gcd(\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{N} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{N} \rangle) = N_i.$$

Then $\mathcal{N}(\sigma^+)$ divides N_i , for $i \in I$. Let

$$\mathbf{a}_M = p \sum_{j \in I} \mathbf{e}_j + M \mathbf{e}_z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{I_z}, \quad M \gg 0.$$

We have that $\mathbf{a}_M \in \sigma^+$ and $\langle \mathbf{a}_M, \mathbf{N} \rangle = p(|N_I| + M)$ and then $\mathcal{N}(\sigma^+)$ divides pM for $M \gg 0$ and then it divides p . We deduce that $\mathcal{N}(\sigma^+) = \gcd \mathbf{N}$.

Finally for $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$, if $\mathbf{a} \in \rho \cap \mathbb{N}^{I_z}$, we have that

$$\sum_{k \in I} a_k N_k + a_z p = a_z(Q + p) \iff \sum_{k \in I} a_k N_k = a_z Q \implies \mathcal{N}(\rho) \text{ divides } \left(\sum_{k \in I} a_k N_k \right) \frac{Q + p}{Q},$$

and then $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$ divides $\text{lcm}(\gcd \mathbf{N}_I, Q) \frac{Q+p}{Q}$. Let us fix $i \in I$ and for $k = 1, 2$, let

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \frac{\text{lcm}(Q, N_i)}{N_i} \left(k \mathbf{e}_i + N_i \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} \mathbf{e}_j \right) + \frac{\text{lcm}(Q, N_i)}{Q} \left(k + \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} N_j \right) \mathbf{e}_z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{I_z}.$$

These vectors also verify that $\mathbf{a}_k \in \rho$ and the common inner product is

$$\text{lcm}(Q, N_i) \frac{Q+p}{p} \left(k + \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} N_j \right);$$

their gcd is $\text{lcm}(Q, N_i) \frac{Q+p}{p}$. The gcd of all these numbers is the number of the statement and the result follows. \square

Corollary 3.4. Consider $g_0(\mathbf{x}, z) = z^Q - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}_I}$ and $\omega := \mathbf{x}^\nu z \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{dz}{z}$, i.e., as in (2.1) and (2.2) with $p = 0$ and $\nu_z = 1$. The terms $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^\bullet$ of the topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(g_0, \omega, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ are expressed in terms of $t := s + \frac{1}{Q}$ as follows.

- $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^+} = W_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^+}$ if $e \mid N_I$ and 0 otherwise.
- $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^-} = W_{\text{top}}^{\sigma^-}$ if $e \mid Q$ and 0 otherwise.
- $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^\rho = W_{\text{top}}^\rho$ if $e \mid \text{lcm}(Q, N_I)$ and 0 otherwise.
- $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^{\rho^*} = 0$.

Proof. The proof follows the same lines of the proof of Lemma 3.1, taking into account the definition of $\widetilde{W}_{\text{top}}^\bullet$ and Proposition 3.3. \square

4. COMPUTATION OF THE LOCAL MOTIVIC ZETA FUNCTIONS OF G

In this section we compute the local naive and motivic zeta functions of G from §1.5. For this we consider two fixed monomial volume forms

$$\omega_d := x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_d^{\nu_d} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \cdots \frac{dx_d}{x_d}, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{d+1} := \omega_d z^{\nu_z} \frac{dz}{z}, \quad \text{with } \nu_1, \dots, \nu_d, \nu_z \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In order to compute $Z_{\text{mot}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $Z_{\text{naive}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$ we use the following double partition of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{d+1})_{\mathbf{0}}$. In first place we consider the contact of the arcs with the functions z^Q , f , and G . Then for each $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}$ we define the following subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{n,m}^{\sigma^+} &:= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{n,m} \mid (Q+p) \text{ord}_t \varphi_z > \text{ord}_t(z^p f) \circ \varphi = n\} \\ \mathcal{X}_{n,m}^{\sigma^-} &:= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{n,m} \mid (Q+p) \text{ord}_t \varphi_z < \text{ord}_t(z^p f) \circ \varphi = n\} \\ \mathcal{X}_{n,m}^\rho &:= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{n,m} \mid (Q+p) \text{ord}_t \varphi_z = \text{ord}_t(z^p f) \circ \varphi = n\} \\ \mathcal{X}_{n,m}^{\rho^*} &:= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{n,m} \mid (Q+p) \text{ord}_t \varphi_z = \text{ord}_t(z^p f) \circ \varphi < n\}. \end{aligned}$$

These subsets form a partition of $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}$ and induce a decomposition of $Z_{\text{naive}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$ into four pieces $Z_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$ as in (1.3), i.e.

$$Z_{\text{naive}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{\bullet \in \{\sigma^+, \sigma^-, \rho, \rho^*\}} Z_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Analogously one can make a partition of $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}^1$ and induce a decomposition of $Z_{\text{mot}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}}$.

The *second partition* just takes into account the first d coordinates and depends on the choice of an embedded resolution $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ of $f\omega_d$ as in §1.4. The map $\pi \times \text{id} : Y \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ is proper and birational. Note that $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ and $(\pi \times \text{id})^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ can be canonically identified, in particular recall that the irreducible components of $\pi^*f\omega_d$ are $\{E_j \mid j \in J\}$.

For $I \subset J$ and a point $o_I \in E_I^{\circ}$ we consider a system of coordinates \mathcal{U} as in §1.4 with the two subsets of coordinates; \mathbf{x}_I and \mathbf{y} . In $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}$ we add the z -variable. Under this condition, the pullbacks of G and ω_{d+1} under $\pi \times \text{id}$ will be denoted by G_{I_z} and ω_{I_z} , they have local equations $z^p(z^Q - \mathbf{x}_I^{N_I} u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$ and $v \mathbf{x}_I^{\nu_I} z^{\nu_z} \frac{d\mathbf{x}_I}{\mathbf{x}_I} d\mathbf{y} \frac{dz}{z}$ for some non-vanishing functions u, v which may depend on all the variables. Note that the vector ν_I depends only on the index set I and the initial form ω_d , while ν_z is independent of I . Since $\text{ord} G_I \circ \varphi$ and $\text{ord} \omega_I \circ \varphi$ are independent of $\text{ord} \varphi_{\mathbf{y}}$, the subset coordinates \mathbf{y} can be omitted and the computation of the contributions of the different strata reduces to §2 and §3.

Combining both partitions we arrive at

$$Z_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{I \subset J} W_I^{\bullet} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\text{mot}}^{\bullet}(G, \omega_{d+1}, T)_{\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{I \subset J} \widetilde{W}_I^{\bullet}. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. *The summands W_I^{\bullet} of the naive zeta function can be expressed as*

$$W_I^{\bullet} = [E_I^{\circ}] W^{\bullet},$$

where W^{\bullet} are given in Proposition 2.4. A similar expression can be given for the motivic zeta function.

Proof. This is consequence of the local triviality of the local forms G_I along the stratum E_i° and the Stratification principle. \square

5. A GENERAL FORMULA FOR THE TOPOLOGICAL ZETA FUNCTIONS OF G AND F

We are going to use the result of the previous section to compute the topological zeta function of G with respect to a form. As it was stated in the Introduction we are mostly interested in a form where $\nu_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $\nu_z = d + 1$. Since for general monomial forms the arguments follow the same guidelines, we state the theorem in a more general setting.

Theorem 5.1. *Consider $G(\mathbf{x}, z) = z^p(z^Q - f(\mathbf{x}))$, and $\omega_{d+1} = \mathbf{x}^{\nu} z^{\nu_z} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{dz}{z}$. The following explicit expressions for the local topological zeta function in terms of $r = \frac{(Q+p)s + \nu_z}{Q}$ holds.*

$$Z_{\text{top}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{1}{Qr} + U(r, s) Z_{\text{top}}(f, \omega_d, r)_{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{s}{s+1} \sum_{1 \neq e \mid Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, \omega_d, r)_{\mathbf{0}},$$

where $U(r, s) = \frac{s}{r(\nu_z + ps)} - \frac{s}{s+1} \frac{1}{Q}$.

Proof. According to (4.1) and (4.2) and Lemmata 4.1 and 3.1, the topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}(G, \omega_{d+1}, s)_0$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \left(\frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{Qr \prod_{i \in I} \nu_i} + \left(\frac{1}{\nu_z + ps} - \frac{1}{Qr} - \frac{e_I^2}{Q} \frac{s}{s+1} \right) \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \left(\frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{Qr \prod_{i \in I} \nu_i} + \frac{Qr - (\nu_z + ps)}{(\nu_z + ps)Qr} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)} \right) - \frac{s}{Q(s+1)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \frac{e_I^2 \chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (1.6) and the expression of the local zeta function in terms of an embedded resolution (1.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{Qr} + \frac{Qr - (\nu_z + ps)}{(\nu_z + ps)Qr} Z_{\text{top}}(f, \omega_d, r)_0 - \frac{s}{Q(s+1)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \frac{e_I^2 \chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)}.$$

We rewrite the last factor of the last term and apply (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k|Q} k^2 \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ e_I = k}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)} = \sum_{k|Q} \sum_{e|k} J_2(e) \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ e_I = k}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)} \\ &= \sum_{e|Q} J_2(e) \sum_{\substack{e|k|Q \\ e_I = k}} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ i \in I}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)} = \sum_{e|Q} J_2(e) \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ e|N_i \\ i \in I}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i r + \nu_i)} \\ &= \sum_{e|Q} J_2(e) Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, \omega_d, r). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{Pol}(f, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d)$ be the set of poles $Z_{\text{top}}(f, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d, s)$. This set can be used to bound the set of poles of $Z_{\text{top}}(G, z^d dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d \wedge dz, s)$.

Corollary 5.2. *The set $\text{Pol}(G, z^d dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d \wedge dz)$ is contained in*

$$\left\{ -1, -\frac{d+1}{Q+p}, -\frac{d+1}{p} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{\rho_0 Q - d - 1}{Q+p} \mid \rho_0 \in \text{Pol}(f, \omega_d) \right\}.$$

As we stated in the Introduction this formula is useful for the computation of the topological zeta function for Lê-Yomdin singularities. From now on, we consider $p = 0$ and we switch from G to F . Theorem 5.4 contains formulas which express the local topological zeta function of the suspension F of the hypersurface f by Q points in terms of the local topological zeta function and local twisted topological zeta functions of f and Q . The following arithmetic lemma is needed.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $Q, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that l does not divide Q . Let $l_1 := \frac{l}{\gcd(l, Q)}$. Then, the set*

$$D(Q, l_1) := \{M \in \mathbb{N} \mid l_1 \gcd(Q, M) \mid M\}$$

satisfies that

- (1) if $M \in D(Q, l_1)$ then $l_1 \mid M$,
- (2) if $M_1, M_2 \in D(Q, l_1)$ then $\gcd(M_1, M_2) \in D(Q, l_1)$,
- (3) If $M_1 \in D(Q, l_1)$ and $M_1 \mid M_2$ then $M_2 \in D(Q, l_1)$.

As a consequence there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(Q, l_1) = \{kl_1m \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Moreover,

$$m = \min_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \gcd(Q, l_1^n).$$

The proof of Lemma 5.3 will be given after Example 5.9.

Theorem 5.4. Consider $F(\mathbf{x}, z) = z^Q - f(\mathbf{x})$, and $\omega_{d+1} = \mathbf{x}^\nu z \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{dz}{z}$, i.e., with $p = 0$ and $\nu_z = 1$. The following explicit expressions for the local and the local l -twisted Denef-Loeser topological zeta functions of the suspension hold (recall $t = s + \frac{1}{Q}$).

(a) For $l = 1$ we have

$$\frac{s+1}{s} Z_{\text{top}}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{s+1}{Qst} + \frac{Q-1}{Q} \frac{t+1}{t} Z_{\text{top}}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_{1 \neq e \mid Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} \quad (5.1)$$

(b) For $1 \neq l \mid Q$ we have

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{1}{Qt} + Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{t+1}{Qt} Z_{\text{top}}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_{1 \neq e \mid Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}}, \quad (5.2)$$

(c) For $l \nmid Q$ we have

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_{e \mid Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} Z_{\text{top}}^{(\text{lcm}(e, m))}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Remark 5.5. Note that (1) is equivalent to (5.1).

Proof. The statement (5.1) is a consequence of Theorem 5.1. Taking $p = 0$ the polynomial G becomes F . Moreover taking $p = 0$ and $\nu_z = 1$ the parameter r becomes t and $U(r, s)$ becomes $U(t, s) = 1 - \frac{1}{Qt} - \frac{s}{s+1} \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{s}{s+1} \frac{Q-1}{Q} \frac{t+1}{t}$. Finally, multiplying by $\frac{s+1}{s}$ we get (5.1). See Remark 5.7 for the motivation of the factor $\frac{s+1}{s}$.

To compute the local l -twisted topological zeta function $Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ we use the identity (3.1) and Corollary 3.4. If $l \mid Q$, we have

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \left(\frac{\chi(E_I^{\circ})}{Qt \prod_{i \in I} \nu_i} + \left(-\frac{1}{Qt} - \frac{e_I^2}{Q} \right) \frac{\chi(E_I^{\circ})}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ l \nmid N_I}} \frac{\chi(E_I^{\circ})}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)}$$

Then, using (1.6) and the expression of the local zeta function in terms of an embedded resolution (1.5), we have

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{1}{Qt} + Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{Z_{\text{top}}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}}}{Qt} - \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} \frac{e_I^2 \chi(E_I^{\circ})}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)},$$

which gives (5.2) with the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

The identity (3.1) and Corollary 3.4 are used again for the formula of $Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}}$ with $l \nmid Q$. In this case, we have

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)_{\mathbf{0}} = Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(f, \omega_d, t)_{\mathbf{0}} - \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ l \mid \text{lcm}(Q, N_I)}} \frac{e_I^2 \chi(E_I^{\circ})}{Q \prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)}.$$

Let us rewrite the last summand as

$$\sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ l | \text{lcm}(Q, N_I)}} \frac{e_I^2 \chi(E_I^\circ)}{Q \prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)} = \sum_{k|Q} \frac{k^2}{Q} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ k=e_I \\ l | \text{lcm}(Q, N_I)}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Since $l | \text{lcm}(Q, N_I) = \frac{N_I}{e_I} Q$ is equivalent to $l_1 | \text{lcm}(Q, N_I) = \frac{N_I}{e_I} \frac{Q}{\gcd(Q, l)}$ and l_1 is coprime to $\frac{Q}{\gcd(Q, l)}$, applying (1.2) to (5.4) we get

$$\sum_{k|Q} \sum_{e|k|Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ k=e_I \\ l_1 k | N_I}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)} = \sum_{e|Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} \sum_{e|k|Q} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ k=e_I \\ l_1 k | N_I}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)}.$$

From Lemma 5.3 this term can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{e|Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ e|N_I \\ N_I \in D(Q, l_1)}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)} = \sum_{e|Q} \frac{J_2(e)}{Q} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq I \subset J \\ e|N_I \\ l_1 m | N_I}} \frac{\chi(E_I^\circ)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i t + \nu_i)}.$$

Indeed, the conditions $e_I = k$ and $l_1 k | N_I$ are equivalent to $N_I \in D(Q, l_1)$ because of the definitions of e_I and $D(Q, l_1)$. And the condition $N_I \in D(Q, l_1)$ is equivalent to $l_1 m | N_I$ because of the last statement of Lemma 5.3. Finally, using the properties of lcm we get (5.3). \square

As we did for G , we can bound the set of poles of $Z_{\text{top}}(F, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d \wedge dz, s)$.

Corollary 5.6. *The set $\text{Pol}(F, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d \wedge dz)$ is contained in*

$$\left\{ -1, \frac{-1}{Q} \right\} \cup \left\{ \rho_0 - \frac{1}{Q} \mid \rho_0 \in \text{Pol}(f, \omega_d) \right\}.$$

Remark 5.7. Equations (5.1) and (5.2) from Theorem 5.4 can be presented in a compact way using matrices. To see this consider a total order in the finite set $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ of divisors of Q such that 1 is the smallest element, e.g., the usual order \leq in \mathbb{N} . Denote by l_i the i -th element of $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ under this order. We take the following $|\mathcal{D}(Q)|$ -vectors:

- $ZF(s)$ whose first entry is $\frac{s+1}{s} Z_{\text{top}}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)$ and the other entries are $Z_{\text{top}}^{(l_i)}(F, \omega_{d+1}, s)$.
- $Zf(t)$ whose first entry is $\frac{t+1}{t} Z_{\text{top}}(f, \omega_d, t)$ and the other entries are $Z_{\text{top}}^{(l_i)}(f, \omega_d, t)$.
- $A = \left(\frac{s+1}{s}, 1, 1, \dots, 1 \right)^t$.
- J_2 whose i th entry is $J_2(l_i)$.

Finally, set B for the square matrix of size $|\mathcal{D}(Q)|$ defined by $Q \cdot \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{D}(Q)|} - J$, where $\mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{D}(Q)|}$ is the identity matrix, and the rows of J are all equal to the vector J_2 . Then, the statement of Theorem 5.4 becomes:

$$\frac{1}{Q} ZF(s) = \frac{1}{t} A + B \cdot Zf(t).$$

Example 5.8. Consider $f = x^5 + y^6$ and $Q = 10$. We have:

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(d)}(f, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{10s+11}{(30s+11)(s+1)}, & d = 1 \\ \frac{4}{30s+11}, & d = 2, 3, 6 \\ \frac{5}{30s+11}, & d = 5 \\ \frac{-1}{30s+11}, & d = 10, 15, 30 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\text{top}}^{(d)}(F, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{3s+7}{(15s+7)(s+1)}, & d = 1 \\ \frac{6}{15s+7}, & d = 3, 6 \\ \frac{1}{2(15s+7)}, & d = 5 \\ \frac{-5}{2(15s+7)}, & d = 10 \\ \frac{7}{2(15s+7)}, & d = 15, 30 \end{cases}$$

For other values of d , the zeta functions vanish. Therefore, for $t = s + \frac{1}{10}$, we have that

$$10 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s+1}{s} Z_{\text{top}}(F, s)_0 \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(2)}(F, s)_0 \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(5)}(F, s)_0 \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(10)}(F, s)_0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{t} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s+1}{s} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 9 & -3 & -24 & -72 \\ -1 & 7 & -24 & -72 \\ -1 & -3 & -14 & -72 \\ -1 & -3 & -24 & -62 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t+1}{t} Z_{\text{top}}(f, t)_0 \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(2)}(f, t)_0 \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(5)}(f, t)_0 \\ Z_{\text{top}}^{(10)}(f, t)_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us see what happens for the values $l = 3, 6, 15, 30 \nmid Q = 10$. Notice that $l_1 = 3$ always, and $D(Q, l_1) = \{3, 6, 15, 30\}$ with 3 as its minimum. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(F, s)_0 &= Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(f, t)_0 - \frac{1}{10} Z_{\text{top}}^{(3)}(f, t)_0 - \frac{3}{10} Z_{\text{top}}^{(6)}(f, t)_0 - \frac{24}{10} Z_{\text{top}}^{(15)}(f, t)_0 - \frac{72}{10} Z_{\text{top}}^{(30)}(f, t)_0 \\ &+ = Z_{\text{top}}^{(l)}(f, t)_0 - \frac{4}{10} Z_{\text{top}}^{(3)}(f, t)_0 - \frac{96}{10} Z_{\text{top}}^{(15)}(f, t)_0. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.9. Consider the polynomial $f = x^{12} + y^{13} + z^{14} + xy^7 + y^2z^4 + x^2y^2z^3$ from [16, Example 2] and $Q = 84$. The Newton polygon of f contains a compact face determined by the monomials xy^7 , y^4z^4 , and $x^2y^2z^4$ and its contained in the affine hyperplane with equation $5p + 7q + 10r = 54$. For $Q = 84$ and $l = 27 \nmid Q = 84$, we have that $l_1 = 9$, and $m = 3$. For $e \mid 84$ we have

$$\text{lcm}(e, 27) = \begin{cases} 27e & \text{if } 3 \nmid e \\ 9e & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(\text{lcm}(e, 27))}(f, \omega, s)_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(27s+11)} & \text{if } e = 1, 2, 3, 6 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(F, s) &= Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(f, t) - \sum_{e \mid 84} \frac{J_2(e)}{84} Z_{\text{top}}^{(\text{lcm}(27, e))}(f, t) = Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(f, t) - \sum_{e \mid 6} \frac{J_2(e)}{84} Z_{\text{top}}^{(\text{lcm}(27, e))}(f, t) \\ &= Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(f, t) - \frac{1}{84} Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(f, t) - \frac{3}{84} Z_{\text{top}}^{(54)}(f, t) - \frac{8}{84} Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(f, t) - \frac{24}{84} Z_{\text{top}}^{(54)}(f, t) \\ &= \frac{12}{21} Z_{\text{top}}^{(27)}(f, t) = \frac{8}{756s + 317} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.3. The statement (1) follows directly from the definition of the set $D(Q, l_1)$.

For any prime number p let us denote the corresponding p -valuation by ν_p . Recall that if $M_1 \mid M_2$ then $\nu_p(M_1) \leq \nu_p(M_2)$. In particular, if $M := \gcd(M_1, M_2)$, then $\nu_p(M) = \min(\nu_p(M_1), \nu_p(M_2))$.

The property $M \in D(Q, l_1)$ is equivalent to the property

$$\nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M)) \leq \nu_p(M) \quad \text{for all } p. \quad (5.5)$$

Let us assume $M_1, M_2 \in D(Q, l_1)$. Then $M = \gcd(M_1, M_2)$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M)) &= \nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \min(\nu_p(M_1), \nu_p(M_2))) \\ &= \nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M_1), \nu_p(M_2)) \leq \nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M_1)) \leq \nu_p(M_1) \end{aligned}$$

and analogously $\nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M)) \leq \nu_p(M_2)$. Hence

$$\nu_p(l_1) + \min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M)) \leq \min(\nu_p(M_1), \nu_p(M_2)) = \nu_p(M).$$

Thus $M = \gcd(M_1, M_2) \in D(Q, l_1)$ and the statement (2) holds.

Let us assume that $M_1 \in D(Q, l_1)$ and $M_1 \mid M_2$. If $\min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M_1)) = \nu_p(Q)$ then (5.5) implies $\nu_p(l_1) + \nu_p(Q) \leq \nu_p(M)$. Since $M_1 \mid M_2$ we have $\nu_p(l_1) + \nu_p(Q) \leq \nu_p(M_1) \leq \nu_p(M_2)$, i.e., $M_2 \in D(Q, l_1)$. If instead one has, $\min(\nu_p(Q), \nu_p(M_1)) = \nu_p(M_1)$, the latter and (5.5) imply that $\nu_p(l_1) = 0$ and hence $M_2 \in D(Q, l_1)$. We conclude that statement (3) holds.

A set of natural numbers closed by taking multiples and gcd's is the set of multiples of a given number m . The formula for m is straightforward. \square

6. COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS WORK

The formula for the suspension by two points $F = z^2 + f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of a hypersurface singularity f was proved in [1, Theorem 1.1]. Their formula is a special case of (1) or Theorem 5.4. A correspondent suggested to Artal *et al.* the following generalization for $F = z^Q - f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$, i.e. the suspension by Q points,

$$\frac{Q-1}{Q} \frac{s}{s+1} \frac{t+1}{t} \cdot Z_{\text{top}}(f, t)_0 - \frac{s}{s+1} \sum_{1 \neq e \mid Q} \frac{(e+1)\phi(e)}{Q} Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, t)_0 + \frac{1}{Qt}, \quad (6.1)$$

where ϕ is the Euler totient function. This expression is supposedly based on the motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem [10], see the *Note added in proof* in [1].

It is however easy to check that (6.1) is inaccurate. For instance, consider the polynomial $F(x, y, z) = x^Q + y^Q + z^Q$ that is non degenerated with respect to its Newton polyhedra. According to [8, Theorem 5.3 or Example (5.4)], we have that

$$Z_{\text{top}}(F, s)_0 = \frac{(Q-2)(Q-1)s + s + 3}{(s+1)(Qs+3)}. \quad (6.2)$$

On the other hand, $F(x, y, z)$ is the suspension by Q points of the plane curve singularity defined by $f(y, z) = y^Q + z^Q$. An embedded resolution of $\{f=0\}$ is obtained by a single point blow-up. One has a unique exceptional divisor E_1 with numerical data $(N_1, \nu_1) = (Q, 2)$ that is intersected by the Q components of the strict transform of $\{f=0\}$. Hence, using well known formulas for the local topological zeta functions, one gets that

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{(e)}(f, s)_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{2+(2-Q)s}{(Qs+2)(s+1)} & \text{for } e = 1, \\ \frac{2-Q}{Qs+2} & \text{for } 1 \neq e \mid Q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying the above formula (6.1) for the suspension one gets the expression

$$\frac{-Q^2s + (4s+3)Q - 2s}{Q(s+1)(Qs+3)} - \frac{s}{s+1} \sum_{e \mid Q, e \neq 1} \frac{(e+1)\phi(e)}{Q} \frac{2-Q}{Qs+3}. \quad (6.3)$$

The difference between (6.2) and (6.3) is given by

$$\frac{(Q-2)s}{Q(s+1)(Qs+3)} \left((Q+1)(Q-1) - \sum_{e \mid Q, e \neq 1} (e+1)\phi(e) \right) \quad (6.4)$$

As a consequence of Gauss' identity (1.1), the expression (6.4) vanishes if and only if Q is prime. The agreement between (6.2) and (1) or Theorem 5.4 follows from the fact that there is a unique strata with $1 \neq e_I$ and in this case $e_I = Q$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artal, Pi. Cassou-Noguès, I. Luengo, and A. Melle, *The Denef-Loeser zeta function is not a topological invariant*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **65** (2002), no. 1, 45–54.
- [2] ———, *Monodromy conjecture for some surface singularities*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 4, 605–640.
- [3] ———, *Quasi-ordinary power series and their zeta functions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (2005), no. 841, vi+85.
- [4] E. Artal, J.I. Cogolludo, and J. Martín-Morales, *Cremona transformations of weighted projective planes, Zariski pairs, and rational cuspidal curves*, Singularities and Their Interaction with Geometry and Low Dimensional Topology (J. Fernández de Bobadilla, T. Laszlo, and A. Stipsicz, eds.), Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2020.
- [5] E. Artal, J. Fernández de Bobadilla, I. Luengo, and A. Melle, *Milnor number of weighted-Lê-Yomdin singularities*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 22, 4301–4318.
- [6] E. Bultot and J. Nicaise, *Computing motivic zeta functions on log smooth models*, Math. Z. **295** (2020), no. 1-2, 427–462.
- [7] J. Denef and K. Hoornaert, *Newton polyhedra and Igusa’s local zeta function*, J. Number Theory **89** (2001), no. 1, 31–64.
- [8] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Caractéristiques d’Euler-Poincaré, fonctions zêta locales et modifications analytiques*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 4, 705–720.
- [9] ———, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), no. 3, 505–537.
- [10] ———, *Motivic exponential integrals and a motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 2, 285–309.
- [11] ———, *Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000), Progr. Math., vol. 201, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 327–348.
- [12] P.D. González Pérez and M. González Villa, *Motivic Milnor fiber of a quasi-ordinary hypersurface*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **687** (2014), 159–205.
- [13] G. Guibert, *Espaces d’arcs et invariants d’Alexander*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), no. 4, 783–820.
- [14] S.M. Gusein-Zade, I. Luengo, and A. Melle, *Partial resolutions and the zeta-function of a singularity*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 2, 244–256.
- [15] Lê D.T., *Ensembles analytiques complexes avec lieu singulier de dimension un (d’après I. N. Iomdine)*, Seminar on Singularities (Paris, 1976/1977), Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, vol. 7, Univ. Paris VII, Paris, 1980, pp. 87–95.
- [16] A. Lemahieu and L. Van Proeyen, *Monodromy conjecture for nondegenerate surface singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 9, 4801–4829.
- [17] E. León-Cardenal, J. Martín-Morales, W. Veys, and J. Viu-Sos, *Motivic zeta functions on \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein varieties*, Adv. Math. **370** (2020), 107192, 34.
- [18] J. Martín-Morales, *Embedded \mathbb{Q} -resolutions for Yomdin-Lê surface singularities*, Israel J. Math. **204** (2014), no. 1, 97–143.
- [19] N.J.A. Sloane, *Jordan function $J_2(n)$ (a generalization of $\phi(n)$)*, The on-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (2024), <https://oeis.org/A007434>.
- [20] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [21] J. Viu-Sos, *A Sagemath class for computing (local) Igusa and topological zeta functions for Newton-non-degenerated polynomials*, <https://github.com/jviusos/ZetaFunctionsNewtonND-Sagemath> (2024).
- [22] Y. Yomdin, *Complex surfaces with a one-dimensional set of singularities*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **15** (1974), 1061–1082, 1181.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, IUMA, UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, C. PEDRO CERBUNA 12, 50009, ZARAGOZA, SPAIN.

Email address: artal@unizar.es

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA INTERDISCIPLINAR, DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, PLAZA DE LAS CIENCIAS 3, 28040, MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: pdperezg@ucm.es

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, APARTADO POSTAL 402, C.P. 36000, GUANAJUATO, GTO, MÉXICO.

Email address: manuel.gonzalez@cimat.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, IUMA, UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA,, C. PEDRO CERBUNA 12, 50009, ZARAGOZA, SPAIN.

Email address: m.gonzalez@unizar.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA, C. MADRE DE DIOS 53, 26006 LOGROÑO, SPAIN.

Email address: edwin.leon@unirioja.es