

Natural convection in the horizontal annulus: critical Rayleigh number for the steady problem

Arianna Passerini

Department of Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Università di Ferrara, Via Saragat, 1, 44100 Ferrara, Italy

Bernd Rummeler

Institute for Analysis and Numerics, Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg, PF 4120, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany

Michael Růžička

Institute for Applied Mathematics, Universität Freiburg, Eckerstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

Gudrun Thäter¹

Institute for Applied & Numerical Mathematics, KIT, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

Key words: steady convective flow, critical Rayleigh number, annulus

MSC (2010): 76E09, 76E06, 76D03, 35Q35

Abstract: For the 2D Oberbeck-Boussinesq system in an annulus we are looking for the critical Rayleigh number for which the (nonzero) basic flow loses stability. For this we consider the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations and construct a precise functional analytical frame for the Laplace- and the Stokes problem as well as the Bilaplacian operator in this domain. With this frame and the right set of basis functions it is then possible to construct and apply a numerical scheme providing the critical Rayleigh number. These results will be published in ZAMM in 2025.

1 Introduction

Consider the flow of an incompressible Newtonian fluid between two horizontal coaxial cylinders with radii $0 < R_i < R_o$ (cf. Fig. 1). The flow is driven by a gravitational field perpendicular to the cylindrical axis and the temperature difference between T_i on the inner and T_o on the outer jacket (where $T_o < T_i$).

This setting is a model to study very diverse phenomena, such as thermal energy storage systems, aircraft cabin insulation, cooling of electronic components, electrical power cable, and thin films.

In this configuration the flow is mainly characterized by two nondimensional parameters: the “thinness” of the gap between the cylinders, which we measure as the *inverse relative gap width*

$$\mathcal{A} := \frac{2R_i}{R_o - R_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ra} := \frac{\alpha g}{\nu k} (T_i - T_o) (R_o - R_i)^3, \quad (1)$$

the *Rayleigh number*, which classifies the heat transfer regime in the flow. More precisely, it measures the ratio between conduction and convection since in the definition we have α as the volumetric expansion coefficient, g the gravity acceleration, ν the kinematic viscosity, and k stands for the thermal diffusivity.

The study of convective flows between horizontal coaxial cylinders inside a gravitational field shows (see references in [8]) that only for an intermediate range of the parameter \mathcal{A} it is interesting to look at three-dimensional behaviour, and for $\mathcal{A} < 2,8$ (wide gap) as well as $\mathcal{A} > 8,5$ (small gap) everything interesting happens in the cross-section. For that in this paper we study the problem in the two-dimensional annulus (i.e. the cross-section of the above mentioned geometry) knowing that our study will also give information for the three-dimensional flow problem in the small and wide gap cases.

In contrast to the classical Bénard problem in our geometry even for very small Rayleigh numbers there is never a zero velocity solution. Instead there is a so-called basic flow which solves our equations for any Ra but for which we do not know an analytical expression. For that the simplest methods which work for the Bénard problem cannot be adapted for the annulus. But we can still work with the characterisation of the critical Rayleigh number Ra_c as the inverse of the supremum of the ratio between functionals for the kinetic energy and the heat transfer in (10) below (see, e.g., [22]). In order to calculate our Ra_c with this supremum we first derive the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations and later on transform them to an eigenvalue problem for a compact self-adjoint operator. For this we have to make sure that the abstract procedure translates into a precisely known frame for our operators. Here our paper becomes a bit technical since we need a few convenient properties of all involved operators (which partially are almost obvious and partially need a few lines of proof and functional analytical results). In particular we prove that the supremum exists and is indeed a maximum in Lemma 1. Moreover, it is finite and thus, the critical Rayleigh number as defined in (10) is finite as well.

Unfortunately, it is not straightforward to use standard eigenvalue software in order to numerically solve the found eigenvalue problem for the critical Rayleigh number since it turns out not to be stable. Instead we use special sets of bases of

¹Corresponding author E-mail: gudrun.thaeter@kit.edu




Figure 1: Twodimensional flow region – temperature boundary values $T_i > T_o$.

eigenfunctions of the Laplace and the Stokes problem in the annulus (which contain Bessel functions) to formulate and apply a numerical scheme which is stable and approximates the wanted Ra_c without having to refer to determinants which are too big to handle comfortably. Here we have to translate the representation of operators in the existence proof to a (slightly) different set of operators which make the numerical scheme stable.

Finally, in two-dimensional flow people often prefer to work with the streamfunction formulation. For that we include how to formulate the functional analytical frame with the streamfunction and the Bilaplace operator in the annulus.

Let us introduce the necessary *notation*.

General notation A. Let \mathbb{R}^2 be endowed with the usual Euclidian norm $\|\cdot\|$ and elements of \mathbb{R}^2 be denoted by underlined small letters. The unit circle is $\omega := \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|\underline{x}\| = 1\}$ and closed circles around the origin with radius r are $\omega_r := \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|\underline{x}\| = r\} = r\omega$ for all $r \in (0, \infty)$.

Annulus domains. It is useful to study our domain without dimensions. As usual we pick the annulus with fixed gap width 1 using our non-dimensional parameter \mathcal{A} as follows: For any $\mathcal{A} \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} := \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathcal{A}/2 < \|\underline{x}\| < 1 + \mathcal{A}/2\}.$$

Its boundary $\partial\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ consists of two parts, namely the inner and outer boundary $\omega_{\mathcal{A}/2}$ and $\omega_{1+\mathcal{A}/2}$, respectively.

General notation B. Let Ω be any of the domains introduced above. In what follows we will use by way of an abbreviation (\cdot) for (Ω) everywhere. We consider the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $\mathbb{L}_2(\cdot)$ and $\mathbb{W}_2^k(\cdot)$ of scalar functions and the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_2(\cdot) = (\mathbb{L}_2(\cdot))^2$ and $\underline{\mathbb{W}}_2^k(\cdot) = (\mathbb{W}_2^k(\cdot))^2$ of vector functions. The scalar product in $\mathbb{L}_2(\cdot)$ and $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_2(\cdot)$ is written as $(\cdot, \cdot)_2 := (\cdot, \cdot)$ and the norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_2$. The notation $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^k(\cdot)$ is taken for the closure of $C_o^\infty(\cdot)$ in $\mathbb{W}_2^k(\cdot)$. All solenoidal vector functions belonging to $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_o^\infty(\cdot)$ form $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\cdot)$. The closures of $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\cdot)$ in $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_2(\cdot)$ and $\underline{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\cdot)$, respectively, are denoted by $\underline{\mathbb{H}}(\cdot)$ and $\underline{\mathbb{V}}(\cdot)$, respectively. We suppose for all function written in polar coordinates (r, φ) the general periodicity in the angular coordinate φ . We define the dual spaces $\mathbb{W}_2^{-2}(\cdot) := (\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2(\cdot))'$, $\mathbb{W}_2^{-1}(\cdot) := (\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\cdot))'$ and $\underline{\mathbb{V}}'(\cdot) := (\underline{\mathbb{V}}(\cdot))'$ and use the evolution (Gelfand) triples

$$[\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2(\cdot), \mathbb{L}_2(\cdot), \mathbb{W}_2^{-2}(\cdot)], \quad [\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\cdot), \mathbb{L}_2(\cdot), \mathbb{W}_2^{-1}(\cdot)] \quad \text{and} \quad [\underline{\mathbb{V}}(\cdot), \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\cdot), \underline{\mathbb{V}}'(\cdot)]. \quad (2)$$

General notation C. Due to the shape of our domain together with Cartesian we also use polar coordinates - whichever makes more sense. In particular, $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_r$ is the unit vector in direction r , $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_z = \sin \varphi \underline{\mathbf{e}}_r + \cos \varphi \underline{\mathbf{e}}_\varphi$ the unit vector in direction of z , and we collect all arising gradients in Π (thus, it is not the thermodynamical pressure).

$$b := \ln \frac{R_o}{R_i} = \ln \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mathcal{A}} \right), \quad (3)$$

is a purely geometric parameter, unbounded as \mathcal{A} tends to zero. Moreover, we have a number for material properties, the Prandtl number $Pr = \nu/k$. Instead of the temperature T we treat the *excess temperature*

$$\tau := \frac{T}{T_i - T_o} - T^* = \frac{T}{T_i - T_o} - \frac{T_i}{T_i - T_o} + \frac{1}{b} \left(\ln r - \ln \frac{R_i}{R_o - R_i} \right) = \frac{T}{T_i - T_o} - \frac{T_i}{T_i - T_o} + \frac{1}{b} \ln \frac{2r}{\mathcal{A}}. \quad (4)$$

Here, the scalar field T^* is the conductive solution - namely, T^* solves $\Delta T^* = 0$ with boundary conditions $T^*(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{2}, \varphi) = \frac{T_i}{T_i - T_o}$ and $T^*(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{2} + 1, \varphi) = \frac{T_o}{T_i - T_o}$.

Equations. The full non-dimensional Oberbeck-Boussinesq system in polar coordinates on $(0, \infty) \times \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is (see, e.g., [8])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\text{Pr}}(\partial_t \underline{v} + (\nabla^T \cdot \underline{v})^T \cdot \underline{v}) - \Delta \underline{v} + \nabla \Pi &= \frac{\text{Ra}}{b} \sin \varphi \underline{\mathbf{e}}_r + \text{Ra} \tau \underline{\mathbf{e}}_3, \\ \text{div } \underline{v} &= 0, \\ \partial_t \tau + \underline{v}^T \cdot \nabla \tau - \Delta \tau &= \frac{1}{r b} \underline{v}^T \cdot \underline{\mathbf{e}}_r \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

endowed with the boundary and initial conditions

$$\underline{v} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \tau = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{v} = \underline{v}_0, \quad \tau = \tau_0 \quad \text{for } t = 0. \quad (6)$$

In [8] it is discussed in detail that the stability analysis of (5) is closely related to the investigation of the stability of the basic flow which solves the following linear homogeneous system

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^T \cdot \underline{w} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\text{Pr}} \partial_t \underline{w} - \Delta \underline{w} + \nabla p &= \text{Ra} \theta \underline{\mathbf{e}}_3, \\ \partial_t \theta - \Delta \theta &= \frac{w_r}{r b} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with \underline{w} and θ as unknowns and zero Dirichlet boundary conditions. In particular, it is shown in [8, Sec. 4] that the number Ra_c (defined below) is a good approximation of the critical Raleigh number for the asymptotic non-linear stability of steady flows for small \mathcal{A} . We study (7) in detail.

The physical consistency of the Oberbeck-Boussinesq system is not discussed here, but we want to point out that although the isochoric condition is in the system, it can hold true also for compressible fluids, such as perfect gases, as recently shown in [10]. Compared to the classical Oberbeck-Boussinesq system, the system rigorously derived in [10] is different only for an extra independent nondimensional parameter.

2 Description by functionals and Euler-Lagrange equations

The validity of *Poincaré inequalities* ensures, that the spaces $\mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\cdot)$ and $\mathbb{V}(\cdot)$ can be equipped with equivalent norms - which otherwise would only be semi-norms. Namely, we introduce the Dirichlet scalar products and Dirichlet norms using Cartesian coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)_D &:= \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} \right)_2 \quad \forall u, v \in \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\cdot), \quad (\underline{u}, \underline{v})_D := \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} \right)_2 \quad \forall \underline{u}, \underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}(\cdot) \\ \|u\|_D &:= \sqrt{(u, u)_D} \quad \forall u \in \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\cdot), \quad \|\underline{u}\|_D := \sqrt{(\underline{u}, \underline{u})_D} \quad \forall \underline{u} \in \mathbb{V}(\cdot), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where the so-called Frobenius inner product is involved in the definition.

In this paper we provide a procedure to compute the critical Rayleigh number Ra_c starting with a standard method, e.g., from Straughan [22]. So, for fixed \mathcal{A} and given functions $(\underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} D(\underline{w}, \theta) &:= \|\underline{w}\|_D^2 + \|\theta\|_D^2, \\ \mathcal{F}(\underline{w}, \theta) &:= (\theta, w_z) + \frac{1}{b} \left(\theta, \frac{w_r}{r} \right), \quad \text{where } w_z := \underline{w}^T \cdot \underline{\mathbf{e}}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{Ra}_c(\mathcal{A})} := \sup \frac{\mathcal{F}(\underline{w}, \theta)}{D(\underline{w}, \theta)}. \quad (10)$$

The supremum in (10) is calculated for all non-trivial couples of functions $(\underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$.

Lemma 1. *The supremum in (10) is attained as maximum by a pair of functions fulfilling the Euler-Lagrange equations. This means in particular that a critical point of F/D exists.*

Proof. Let $\underline{\mathbf{w}} := (\underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1 := X$. We define

$$J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}) := \frac{\mathcal{F}(\underline{w}, \theta)}{D(\underline{w}, \theta)} \quad \text{and} \quad J_0 := \sup J,$$

where the supremum is taken as in (10). We can directly check that J is homogeneous of degree zero in real $\lambda \neq 0$, or explicitly $J(\lambda \underline{\mathbf{w}}) = J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}) \forall \lambda \neq 0$ and thus values of J are determined by values on the unit sphere $\|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\|_X = \sqrt{D(\underline{w}, \theta)} = 1$. J is not infinitely large, thus $J_0 < \infty$. To see this, we use the boundedness of $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$, eq. (9) for $\underline{\mathbf{w}} := (\underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{L}_2 := X_1$

and the compact embedding of X in X_1 as well as $\|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\|_X = 1$. Therefore the set $\mathcal{F}(\{\underline{\mathbf{w}} : \|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\|_X = 1\})$ is compact. Due to compactness we observe $\lim \mathcal{F}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n) = \mathcal{F}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0)$ and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0)$ is bounded from above by a constant. For that there exists a sequence $\{\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n \in X$ for all elements of the sequence) for which $J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n)$ converges to J_0 . Its projection to the unit sphere converges to J_0 as well. Then there is a subsequence which converges weakly in X to some value $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0 \in X$, and this subsequence strongly in $X_1 = \underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ and almost everywhere $J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n) \rightarrow J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0)$ and thus, the supremum in (10) exists. Next we check due to lower semicontinuity and since $\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n)$ exists, that

$$J_0 = \lim J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n) = \frac{\lim \mathcal{F}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n)}{\lim \mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_n)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0)}{\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0)} \leq J_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_0) = \sup_{\underline{\mathbf{w}} \in X} J(\underline{\mathbf{w}}).$$

If the supremum in (10) is attained for some² $(\underline{\tilde{w}}, \tilde{\theta})$, then $(\underline{\tilde{w}}, \tilde{\theta})$ solve the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations, which we derive now. For an arbitrary real parameter η and arbitrary variations $(\underline{\tilde{u}}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ we consider the real function

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\underline{\tilde{w}} + \eta \underline{\tilde{u}}, \tilde{\theta} + \eta \tilde{\sigma})}{\mathcal{D}(\underline{\tilde{w}} + \eta \underline{\tilde{u}}, \tilde{\theta} + \eta \tilde{\sigma})}.$$

Since $(\underline{\tilde{w}}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a maximum the Gateaux derivative $\frac{d}{d\eta}|_{\eta=0}$ has to vanish. Thus,

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{D}} \right) \Big|_{\eta=0} = \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^2} \left(\mathcal{D} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\eta} - \mathcal{F} \frac{d\mathcal{D}}{d\eta} \right) \right] \Big|_{\eta=0} = \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\eta} \Big|_{\eta=0} - \frac{1}{\text{Ra}_c} \frac{d\mathcal{D}}{d\eta} \Big|_{\eta=0}. \quad (11)$$

Inserting the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\eta} \Big|_{\eta=0} &= (\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{w}_z) + (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{u}_z) + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{\theta}, \frac{\tilde{u}_r}{r}) + (\tilde{\sigma}, \frac{\tilde{w}_r}{r}) \right), \\ \frac{d\mathcal{D}}{d\eta} \Big|_{\eta=0} &= 2(\underline{\tilde{u}}, \underline{\tilde{w}})_D + 2(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma})_D \end{aligned}$$

into (11), we conclude that for all variations $(\underline{\tilde{u}}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ it holds

$$(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{w}_z) + (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{u}_z) + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{\theta}, \frac{\tilde{u}_r}{r}) + (\tilde{\sigma}, \frac{\tilde{w}_r}{r}) \right) = \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} \left((\underline{\tilde{u}}, \underline{\tilde{w}})_D + (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma})_D \right). \quad \blacksquare \quad (12)$$

If we assume higher regularity of $(\underline{\tilde{w}}, \tilde{\theta})$ we can apply integration by parts to find

$$(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{w}_z) + (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{u}_z) + \frac{1}{b} \left((\tilde{\theta}, \frac{\tilde{u}_r}{r}) + (\tilde{\sigma}, \frac{\tilde{w}_r}{r}) \right) = -\frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} \left((\Delta \underline{\tilde{w}}, \underline{\tilde{u}}) + (\Delta \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\sigma}) \right).$$

We have the freedom to choose either a) arbitrary $\underline{\tilde{u}}$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$; or b) $\underline{\tilde{u}} = 0$ and arbitrary $\tilde{\sigma}$. In the first case, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_A} \left(\tilde{\theta} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_3 + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{br} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} \Delta \underline{\tilde{w}} \right)^T \cdot \underline{\tilde{u}} d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\theta} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_3 + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{br} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} \Delta \underline{\tilde{w}} + \underline{\nabla} \tilde{p} = 0.$$

Here we used that $\underline{\tilde{u}}$ is arbitrary but divergence free, which implies that the term in brackets belongs to the orthogonal complement with respect to Helmholtz's decomposition. That's why $\underline{\nabla} \tilde{p}$ is added. In the second case we get

$$\int_{\Omega_A} \left(\tilde{w}_z + \frac{\tilde{w}_r}{br} + \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} \Delta \tilde{\theta} \right) \tilde{\sigma} d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{w}_z + \frac{\tilde{w}_r}{br} + \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} \Delta \tilde{\theta} = 0.$$

Moreover, if we set

$$\underline{\mathbf{e}}_3 + \frac{\underline{\mathbf{e}}_r}{br} = \underline{\nabla} S, \quad \text{where} \quad S := r \sin \varphi + \frac{1}{b} \ln r, \quad (13)$$

the strong form of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the maximum $(\underline{\tilde{w}}, \tilde{\theta})$ of the functional \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{D} read

$$\tilde{\theta} \underline{\nabla} S + \lambda \Delta \underline{\tilde{w}} = -\underline{\nabla} \tilde{p}, \quad \underline{\nabla}^T \cdot \underline{\tilde{w}} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\underline{\tilde{w}}^T \cdot \underline{\nabla} S + \lambda \Delta \tilde{\theta} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c}. \quad (15)$$

In what follows we regard the problem (14)-(15) in the form of

²The notation $(\underline{\tilde{w}}, \tilde{\theta})$ with tilde means that the stationary point has nothing to do with the solutions of (7); as we will see, it is solution of a different system of equations.

Problem A. (Euler-Lagrange equations of the functional \mathcal{F}/D)

We look for nontrivial solutions $(\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ of the equations

$$\theta \underline{\nabla} S + \lambda \Delta \underline{w} = -\underline{\nabla} p, \quad \underline{\nabla}^T \cdot \underline{\tilde{w}} = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\underline{w}^T \cdot \underline{\nabla} S + \lambda \Delta \theta = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (17)$$

where (16), (17) are equations in $\underline{\mathbb{V}}'(\cdot)$ resp. $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^{-1}(\cdot)$.

We will show that Problem A can be equivalently formulated as an eigenvalue problem, which possesses a solution for all non-trivial eigenvalues λ with the corresponding eigenvectors. We then define the critical Rayleigh number Ra_c via the largest eigenvalue λ_{\max} through $\text{Ra}_c := \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}}$. We now prepare the corresponding framework.

3 Standard differential operators and the symmetric operator \tilde{A}

In what follows we take $\Omega := \Omega_A$ as symbol for any of the annulus domains. We will introduce the Laplacian, the Stokes operator, and the Bilaplacian in the sense of functional analysis. The domains of definition of these operators are dense in the Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{L}_2(\Omega)$, $\underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{L}_2(\Omega)$, respectively.

Definition A. The Laplace operator is defined as

$$\mathbf{L}^\circ v := -\Delta_{\underline{x}} v \quad \forall v \in D(\mathbf{L}^\circ) = C_o^\infty(\Omega).$$

We denote the Friedrichs' extension of \mathbf{L}° by $\mathbf{L} := \overline{\mathbf{L}^\circ}$, where \mathbf{L} is defined on $D(\mathbf{L}) := \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{W}_2^2(\Omega)$.

Remark: The range of \mathbf{L} is $R(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega)$. In this sense we write: $\mathbf{L} = -\Delta_{\underline{x}} : D(\mathbf{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \mapsto \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega)$.

We need some preparations to define the Stokes operator. The Leray-Helmholtz projector Υ is the well-defined projector of $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_2(\Omega)$ onto its subspace $\underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega)$ of generalised solenoidal fields with vanishing generalised traces in the normal direction on the boundary. We note, that the Leray-Helmholtz projector Υ is also used in the sense of: $\Upsilon : \underline{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\Omega) \mapsto \underline{\mathbb{V}}(\Omega)$.

Definition B. The Stokes operator is defined as $\mathbf{S}^\circ \underline{v} := -\Delta_{\underline{x}} \underline{v} \quad \forall \underline{v} \in D(\mathbf{S}^\circ) = \underline{\mathbb{V}}(\Omega)$. We denote the Friedrichs' extension of \mathbf{S}° by $\mathbf{S} := \overline{\mathbf{S}^\circ}$, where \mathbf{S} is defined on $D(\mathbf{S}) = \underline{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) = \underline{\mathbb{V}}^2(\Omega) := \underline{\mathbb{W}}_2^2(\Omega) \cap \underline{\mathbb{V}}(\Omega)$.

Remark: The range of \mathbf{S} is $R(\mathbf{S}) = \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega)$. In this context we use $\mathbf{S} = -\Upsilon \Delta_{\underline{x}} : \underline{\mathbb{S}}^2(\cdot) \subseteq \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega) \mapsto \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega)$.

Finally we define the Bilaplacian:

Definition C. The Bilaplacian (biharmonic operator) is defined as

$$\mathbf{B}^\circ v := \Delta_{\underline{x}}^2 v \quad \forall v \in D(\mathbf{B}^\circ) = C_o^\infty(\Omega).$$

We denote the Friedrichs' extension of \mathbf{B}° by $\mathbf{B} := \overline{\mathbf{B}^\circ}$, where $D(\mathbf{B}) := \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{W}_2^4(\Omega)$ is its domain.

Remark: The range of \mathbf{B} is $R(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega)$. We write here: $\mathbf{B} = \Delta_{\underline{x}}^2 : D(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \mapsto \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega)$ as well.

We recall the essential properties of the operators \mathbf{L} , \mathbf{S} , and \mathbf{B} using the Stokes operator \mathbf{S} as example:

Theorem 1. The Stokes operator \mathbf{S} is positive and self-adjoint. Its inverse \mathbf{S}^{-1} is injective, self-adjoint and compact.

The proof of Theorem 1 is a simple modification of Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 in [2]. The essential tools are the Rellich theorem and Lax-Milgram lemma. The well-known theorem of Hilbert and regularity results like [23, Prop. I.2.2] lead to

Lemma 2. The Stokes operator is an operator with a pure point spectrum. All eigenvalues $\lambda_j^* = (\kappa_j)^2$ of \mathbf{S} are real and of finite multiplicity. The associated eigenfunctions $\{\underline{v}_j(\underline{x})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of the Stokes operator \mathbf{S} (counted in multiplicity) are an orthogonal basis of $\underline{\mathbb{H}}(\cdot)$ and $\underline{\mathbb{V}}(\cdot)$. We obtain that

- (a) $\mathbf{S} \underline{v}_j = \lambda_j^* \underline{v}_j$ for $\underline{v}_j \in D(\mathbf{S}) \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (b) $0 < \lambda_1^* \leq \lambda_2^* \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j^* \leq \dots$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j^* = \infty$;
- (c) $\|\underline{v}_j\|_{\underline{\mathbb{H}}} = 1 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Notation 1. We write the eigenpair-systems of the Laplacian \mathbf{L} , the Stokes operator \mathbf{S} , and of the Bilaplacian \mathbf{B} as:

$$\{(\omega_j)^2, \chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \quad \{(\kappa_j)^2, \underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty = \{\lambda_j^*, \underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mu_j^2, \psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty. \quad (18)$$

We choose the systems of eigenfunctions of \mathbf{L} : $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, of \mathbf{S} : $\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and of \mathbf{B} : $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ ordered by increasing eigenvalues and counted in multiplicity as an orthonormal basis in each case, such that:

$$\mathbb{L}_2 = \overline{\text{span}\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty}^{\mathbb{L}_2}, \quad \underline{\mathbb{H}} = \overline{\text{span}\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty}^{\underline{\mathbb{H}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}_2 = \overline{\text{span}\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty}^{\mathbb{L}_2}.$$

Remark: We refer to [13] for formulas defining the complete sets of Laplace and Stokes eigenfunctions on circular annuli

$$\Omega_\sigma^* := \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < \sigma < \|\underline{x}\| < 1\}.$$

The (obvious) transformation rules from Ω_σ^* to $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ are given in [20].

Notation 2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} : \underline{\mathbb{V}}^2(\Omega) \times (\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{W}_2^2(\Omega)) &= D(\mathbf{S}) \times D(\mathbf{L}) \subseteq \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}} = (A_1, A_2) \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} A_1 : \underline{\mathbb{V}}^2(\cdot) \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{W}_2^2(\Omega) \subseteq \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega) \\ A_2 : \underline{\mathbb{V}}^2(\cdot) \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{W}_2^2(\Omega) \subseteq \underline{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega) \end{cases} \\ A_i^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} := A_i|_{D(\mathbf{S}) \times \{0\}}; \quad A_i^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} := A_i|_{\{0\} \times D(\mathbf{L})}, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

be the operators defined by density $\forall \underline{\Psi} \in D(\mathbf{S})$ and $\forall \varphi \in D(\mathbf{L})$ via

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= A_i^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} + A_i^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}}, \quad i = 1, 2 : \\ (\underline{\Psi}, A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \underline{w} + A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \theta) &= -\lambda (\underline{\Psi}, \underline{w})_D + (\underline{\Psi}, \theta \underline{\nabla} S) \\ (\varphi, A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \underline{w} + A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \theta) &= -\lambda (\varphi, \theta)_D + (\varphi, (\underline{\nabla} S)^T \underline{w}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}((\underline{w}, \theta)) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} & A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \\ A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} & A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{w} \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda \mathbf{S} & \underline{\nabla} S \\ (\underline{\nabla} S)^T & -\lambda \mathbf{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{w} \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Using Υ as the projection onto the divergence free vector space we find

$$(A_1 = A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} + A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}})(\underline{w}, \theta) = -\lambda \mathbf{S} \underline{w} + \Upsilon \mathcal{L} \theta \quad \text{and} \quad (A_2 = A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} + A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}})(\underline{w}, \theta) = (\underline{\nabla} S)^T \underline{w} - \lambda \mathbf{L} \theta$$

(cf. Theorem 1 and (13)), where

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \theta \underline{\nabla} S.$$

Lemma 3. $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is symmetric in the Hilbert space $\underline{\mathbb{H}}(\cdot) \times \mathbb{L}_2(\cdot)$.

Proof. We use the definition and our equations to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\Psi}, A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \underline{w}) &= -\lambda \cdot (\underline{\Psi}, \underline{w})_D = (A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \underline{\Psi}, \underline{w}) \quad , \\ (\varphi, A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \theta) &= -\lambda \cdot (\varphi, \theta)_D = (A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \varphi, \theta) \quad , \\ (\underline{\Psi}, A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \theta) &= (\underline{\Psi}, \theta \underline{\nabla} S) = (\theta, (\underline{\nabla} S)^T \cdot \underline{\Psi}) = (\theta, A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \underline{\Psi}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } \begin{pmatrix} A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \\ A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{\underline{\mathbb{W}}} \\ A_2^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}} \end{pmatrix}^T. \quad \blacksquare$$

Notice that the eigenvalue, which is equivalent to Problem A, is related to the symmetric operator given by the blocks outside the diagonal, while the diagonal blocks multiplied with (-1) give a symmetric metric tensor (by definition positive definite for any $\lambda > 0$).

We note, that the energetic extension $\mathbf{S}_{en} : \underline{\mathbb{V}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{V}}'$ of the Stokes operator \mathbf{S} and the energetic extension $\mathbf{L}_{en} : \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_2^{-1}$ of \mathbf{L} are symmetric operators in the Hilbert spaces $\underline{\mathbb{V}}'$ and \mathbb{W}_2^{-1} as well. One can use the structure of (19) to make sure that the extension of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ on $\underline{\mathbb{V}}' \times \mathbb{W}_2^{-1}$ is symmetric.

4 Investigation of Problem A

Our main theoretical result for Problem A is the following:

Theorem 2. For all A problem A (i.e. eqs. (16)-(17)) is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem of the compact self-adjoint operator $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2, \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2)$ (see below). All eigenvalues λ are real and $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the operator $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$, but is an accumulation point of eigenvalues, which is the only element in the continuous spectrum of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$. The critical Rayleigh number Ra_c is fixed through

$$\frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} := |\lambda_{max}| = \|\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

The set $\{\lambda\}$ of the $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ -eigenvalues consists of a countably infinite number of eigenvalues with finite multiplicity. The eigen-triples $(\lambda, \tilde{\underline{w}}, \tilde{\theta}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$ correspond to a solution $(\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ of Problem A.

We will prove Theorem 2 by functional analytical tools. Problem A, i.e., the Euler-Lagrange equations of the functional \mathcal{F}/D , is reduced to an ‘‘algebraic one’’ by the use of the complete system of the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian \mathbf{L} and the Stokes operator \mathbf{S} . In what follows we are going to make some arrangements for the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. *The system (cf. Notation 1)*

$$\{(\underline{v}_j, \chi_k)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a complete basis for the space $\underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$.

Proof. We apply the properties of positive self-adjoint operators with a pure point spectrum (cf. Th. 1, Lemma 2). ■

In what follows we are going to use the Fourier representations for any velocity $\underline{w} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$ and any temperature $\theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ as expansions in the systems of eigenfunctions $\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in the sense of $\underline{\mathbb{H}}$ and \mathbb{L}_2 , respectively (cf. Lem. 2, (18)):

$$\underline{w} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \underline{v}_j \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j \chi_j. \quad (20)$$

The sequences $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ - as sequences of real or complex numbers - are elements of the *Hilbert space of sequences* \mathbb{l}_2 because of the properties of the orthonormal systems $\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ (in $\underline{\mathbb{H}}$ and \mathbb{L}_2). It is defined by $\mathbb{l}_2 := (l_2, \|\cdot\|_{2,(l)})$, with the vector space $l_2 := \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}; a_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (resp. } \in \mathbb{C}) \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 < \infty\}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,(l)}$, which is fixed through the scalar product $(\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty})_{2,(l)} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \bar{b}_j$, $\forall \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2$:

$$\|\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{2,(l)} := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \bar{a}_j} \quad \forall \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2.$$

We choose subspaces of \mathbb{l}_2 regarding any $\underline{w} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$ or any $\theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ as weighted sequences, where the eigenpairs (cf. (18)) will be especially highlighted.

Notation 3. (Special sequence spaces of coefficients)

Let us regard the sequences of eigenvalues from the eigenpairs-system for the Laplacian \mathbf{L} and the Stokes operator \mathbf{S} (cf. Notation 1) ordered by increasing eigenvalues taking into account their multiplicities (and the correspondent eigenfunctions):

$$\{(\omega_j)^2 = |\omega_j|^2\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad , \quad \{\lambda_j^* = |\kappa_j|^2\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad (21)$$

and the correspondent sequences of positive roots:

$$\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad , \quad \{\sqrt{\lambda_j^*} = \kappa_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}.$$

We declare the spaces $\mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{W}}$, $\mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{V}}$ with respect to spectral operators in the following way: $\mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{W}} := (l_2^{\mathbb{W}}, \|\cdot\|_{2,(\mathbb{W})})$ is defined by

$$l_2^{\mathbb{W}} := \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2 : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j^2 |a_j|^2 < \infty\}$$

with the norm and the scalar product $\forall \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2^{\mathbb{W}}$:

$$\|\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{2,(\mathbb{W})} := \sqrt{(\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty})_{2,(\mathbb{W})}} \quad , \quad (\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty})_{2,(\mathbb{W})} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j^2 a_j \bar{b}_j. \quad (22)$$

$\mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{V}} := (l_2^{\mathbb{V}}, \|\cdot\|_{2,(\mathbb{V})})$ is

$$l_2^{\mathbb{V}} := \{\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2 : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j^2 |a_j|^2 < \infty\}$$

with the norm and the scalar product $\forall \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2^{\mathbb{V}}$:

$$\|\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{2,(\mathbb{V})} := \sqrt{(\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty})_{2,(\mathbb{V})}} \quad , \quad (\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty})_{2,(\mathbb{V})} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j^2 a_j \bar{b}_j. \quad (23)$$

Lemma 5. Let $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be the Fourier coefficients of $\underline{w} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$ with respect to the $\underline{\mathbb{H}}$ orthonormal system $\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be the ones for $\theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ with respect to the \mathbb{L}_2 orthonormal system $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{V}} \Leftrightarrow \underline{w} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$, $\|\underline{w}\|_D = \|\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{2,(\mathbb{V})} \forall \underline{w} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$,
- (ii) $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{W}} \Leftrightarrow \theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$, $\|\theta\|_D = \|\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{2,(\mathbb{W})} \forall \theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$,

where we refer to the Dirichlet norms in (8).

Proof. Using our definitions, one easily sees that (22) and (23) are the properties of the Fourier coefficients for the energy spaces $\mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ (for L) and $\underline{\mathbb{V}}$ (for S) (cf. [24] (4.1.8) and (4.1.9)). \blacksquare

The Cartesian product space $\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}}$ is naturally equipped with the following scalar product and norm (cf. Notation 7)

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})]_{\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}}} &:= ((\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})_{2,(\mathbb{V})} + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})_{2,(\mathbb{W})}) & \forall (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \in \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}} \\ \|(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})\|_{\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}}} &:= \sqrt{[(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})]_{\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}}}}. \end{aligned}$$

After these preparations we are now in the position to carry out the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. (*Theorem 2*)

We rewrite Problem A in the weak formulation, (where we use the potential S from (13)), i.e. we are looking for $(\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ fulfilling for arbitrary $\underline{u} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\sigma \in \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ the equations

$$(\theta, (\nabla S)^T \underline{u}) = \lambda (\underline{u}, \underline{w})_D, \quad (24)$$

$$(\sigma, (\nabla S)^T \underline{w}) = \lambda (\theta, \sigma)_D. \quad (25)$$

By taking the sum of (24) and (25) we obtain

$$(\sigma, (\nabla S)^T \underline{w}) + (\theta, (\nabla S)^T \underline{u}) = \lambda \left((\underline{u}, \underline{w})_D + (\theta, \sigma)_D \right), \quad (26)$$

which corresponds to (12) with $\lambda = \text{Ra}_c$. We express the elements \underline{u} , \underline{w} , σ and θ as Fourier series in the systems of eigenfunctions $\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with respect to of $\underline{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\mathbb{L}_2(\cdot)$, respectively, i.e.

$$\underline{w} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \underline{v}_j, \quad \underline{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \underline{v}_j \quad (27)$$

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j \chi_j, \quad \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \chi_j. \quad (28)$$

Moreover, we set

$$\mathbf{a} = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \{c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d} = \{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad (29)$$

and regard them as sequences of Fourier coefficients. For sequences \mathbf{a} considered as a row we write in this sense \mathbf{a}^T . In what follows we are going to use the usual notation of double series as products of matrices. This method was employed, e.g., by E. Schmidt [21] and is a standard method of functional analysis. The background for this method is the rearrangement theorem for double series. Inserting (27) and (28) in the equations (24) and (25) results in

$$\mathbf{a}^T (C \cdot \mathbf{d} - \lambda [\text{diag}(\kappa_k^2)] \cdot \mathbf{c}) = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\mathbf{b}^T (C^T \cdot \mathbf{c} - \lambda [\text{diag}(\omega_k^2)] \cdot \mathbf{d}) = 0, \quad (31)$$

where

$$C_{j,k} := (\chi_k, (\nabla S)^T \underline{v}_j). \quad (32)$$

One easily sees that $|C_{j,k}| \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \gamma_S$ with $\gamma_S := \max_{\underline{x} \in \Omega_A} (|\frac{\partial S}{\partial r}| + |\frac{\partial S}{\partial \varphi}|)$, using that $\|\underline{v}_j\|_{\underline{\mathbb{H}}} = 1$ and $\|\chi_k\|_{\mathbb{L}_2} = 1$ $\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. In $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ the sum of the equations (30) and (31) can be written as

$$(\mathbf{a}^T, \mathbf{b}^T) \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ C^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\kappa_k^2) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(\omega_k^2) \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (33)$$

i.e. the sequences of Fourier coefficients corresponding to \underline{u} , \underline{w} , σ and θ belong to $\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}}$. Also, $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{L}_2$, with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \{\tilde{a}_j := \kappa_j a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \{\tilde{b}_j := \omega_j b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{c}} = \{\tilde{c}_j := \kappa_j c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{d}} = \{\tilde{d}_j := \omega_j d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \quad (34)$$

because of $\underline{u}, \underline{w} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\sigma, \theta \in \mathring{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$. Additionally, we define the matrix \tilde{C} by

$$\tilde{C}_{j,k} := \frac{1}{\kappa_j \omega_k} (\chi_k, (\nabla S)^T \underline{v}_j) = \frac{1}{\kappa_j \omega_k} C_{j,k} \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}. \quad (35)$$

So now we are able to rewrite problem (33) in the $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ -setting, namely,

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^T, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^T) \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{C} \\ \tilde{C}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (36)$$

where I denotes the identity in \mathbb{L}_2 . We interpret (36) as an operator equation in $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$. This is possible since the relation (36) is valid for arbitrary $(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \in \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$. We define the linear and bounded operators $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{I} : \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I} := \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37)$$

Finally, equation (36) here is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

The operators $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and \mathcal{I} are elements of the Banach space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2)$ of linear and bounded operators. We are now interested in the properties and the operator norms of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and \mathcal{I} . It is well known, that the identity \mathcal{I} in $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2)$ and $\|\mathcal{I}\|_{\mathcal{L}} = 1$. The boundedness of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is obvious (cf. (32) and (35)). To show the compactness of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we use that it can be approximated by a sequence of finite operators $\{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2)$, with $\{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we use the matrices

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\ell,j,k} := \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{j,k} \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : & j, k \leq \ell \\ 0 \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : & j > \ell \vee k > \ell \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

and $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the definitions:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

The convergence $\{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ follows from (32), (35), $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_j = \infty$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_k = \infty$.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is evidently symmetric, one has to show that it is self-adjoint. This follows since $D(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ for the symmetric operator $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ (cf. [24, Th.1 in 4.1.6]).

The existence of all real eigenvalues λ (and all the eigen-elements $(\underline{w}, \theta) \in \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$) is a simple consequence of [24, Th.1 in 4.2.6] with regard to the properties of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and the problem (38). One has to note, that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as a linear and compact operator on the separable infinite-dimensional space $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ has at most a countably infinite number of eigenvalues, where the non-zero eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ (counted in multiplicity) can be ordered by their absolute values (cf. [24] (Th.1, 4.2.6)). There we have to take into account the finite multiplicity of the non-zero eigenvalues. The number zero: $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is an element of the continuous spectrum in either case as the only accumulation point of the eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, but not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, in what follows, that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}$ exists as a linear but unbounded operator. So we finish the proof by showing that $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ in the following Lemma 6. ■

Lemma 6. $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, but as an accumulation point of eigenvalues the only element of the continuous spectrum of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2)$.

Proof. Assuming, that $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, resp. of problem (16)-(17), than eq. (16) becomes

$$\theta \underline{\nabla} S = -\underline{\nabla} p.$$

By applying the curl operator to both sides we obtain

$$\underline{\nabla} \times (\theta \underline{\nabla} S) = \underline{\nabla} \theta \times \underline{\nabla} S = \underline{0}.$$

But, as it is easy to check, the condition that $\underline{\nabla} \theta$ and $\underline{\nabla} S$ are parallel vectors requires that

$$\theta = \frac{1}{b} \ln r + r \sin \varphi$$

(modulo some constants), which does not obey the boundary conditions for the temperature. Finally we get by application of [24] (Th.1, 4.2.6). the property of $\lambda = 0$ to be the only element of the continuous spectrum of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. ■

5 The numerical approximation of the critical constant

Taking into account, that the maximum of our functional corresponds to the largest positive eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_{max} = \frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c}$ of problem (16)-(17), respectively to the operator norm of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, we are going to create an approximation method. We use determinants of square matrices of finite dimension. (regarded as elements of the sequence of finite operators) to create approximations for λ . Following ideas and methods of E. Schmidt [21] it is easy to prove, that our sequence (or subsequence) of approximations $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{\ell_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty = \{\lambda^{\ell_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is monotonically increasing with the limit $\lambda = \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}}$.

One could study the equation for the eigenvalues λ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ also in the sense of a limes of finite-dimensional $2\ell \times 2\ell$ -matrices $\{\Gamma_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\Gamma_\ell \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\ell, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ according to description (46):

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \det \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell - \lambda \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\ell \right] =: \det \left[\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda \mathcal{I} \right] = \det \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0. \quad (41)$$

Remark: We choose a number $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way, that the truncated eigenpair-systems of the Laplacian and the Stokes operator written as

$$\{(\omega_j)^2, \chi_j\}_{j=1}^\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \{(\kappa_j)^2, \underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\ell \quad (42)$$

have the following properties:

1. The spaces spanned by the systems $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$ and $\{\underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$ contain the entire eigenspaces of all eigenvalues $\{(\omega_j)^2\}_{j=1}^\ell$ resp. $\{(\kappa_j)^2\}_{j=1}^\ell$, particularly of $(\omega_\ell)^2$ and $(\kappa_\ell)^2$.
2. The number ℓ is specified by explicit determination of the eigenpair-systems until a number $m \gg \ell$.

Lemma 7. *There exists a strictly monotonically increasing sequence $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \rightarrow \infty$ fulfilling with (42) as:*

$$\{(\omega_j)^2, \chi_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \{(\kappa_j)^2, \underline{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell_j} \quad (43)$$

the properties of the remark above for any $\mathcal{A} \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof. We use the intermeshing property of eigenvalues $\{(\omega_j)^2\}_{j=1}^\infty$ resp. $\{(\kappa_j)^2\}_{j=1}^\infty$ which grow in the exact same manner with respect to their multiplicities. There one has to note, that we have $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a 2d-domain and that the divergence $\operatorname{div} \underline{v}_j = 0$ works like a one-dimensional restriction for 2d-vector fields on 2d-domains. ■

For the approximations of $\lambda = \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ we use the Courant minimax principle. Let us introduce step by step notations for sets of square block matrices $\forall \ell \in \{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$: We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$ the $\ell \times \ell$ -matrices $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\ell,j,k} := \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{j,k} \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : j, k \leq \ell, \quad (44)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{j,k}$ is defined in (35). The identity matrix of size ℓ is denoted by \hat{I}_ℓ . \hat{I}_ℓ is the known square matrix with

$$\hat{I}_{\ell,j,k} := \delta_{j,k} \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : j, k \leq \ell, \quad (45)$$

where $\delta_{j,k}$ is the Kronecker delta ($\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$). For all $\ell \in \{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ we define the block matrices Γ_ℓ and J_ℓ by

$$\Gamma_\ell := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \\ \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\ell^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_\ell := \hat{I}_{2\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{I}_\ell & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{I}_\ell \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

One can also introduce a sequence of block matrices $\{\Gamma_\ell\}_{\ell \in \{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \ell_j < \ell_{j+1}}$ from a theoretical point of view.

It is worth to note, that the numerical methods and computer algebra systems for the evaluation of all eigenvalues are restricted to small matrix sizes we do not try to compute all eigenvalues of the matrices $\{\Gamma_\ell\}_{\ell \in \{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \ell_j < \ell_{j+1}}$ to focus on approximations of $\lambda = \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}}$. The Courant minimax principle for $\lambda = \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ is standard

$$\lambda = \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}} := \max_{\|(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})\|_{\ell_2 \times \ell_2} = 1} [(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix}], \quad (47)$$

or again with Courant minimax written as a simple limiting value like in the proof of [24, Th. in Sec. 4.2.5]

$$\lambda = \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\|_{\mathcal{L}} = \lim_{\tilde{j} \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\ell_{\tilde{j}}}, \|\underline{z}\|=1} (\underline{z}^T \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}} \cdot \underline{z}), \quad (48)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidian norm of the $\mathbb{R}^{2\ell_{\tilde{j}}}$.

One can use the straightforward method of the evaluation of all of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}}$ for $\tilde{j} = 1, 2, 3$ to calculate

$$\lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}}} := \max_{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\ell_{\tilde{j}}}, \|\underline{z}\|=1} (\underline{z}^T \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}} \cdot \underline{z}) \quad \forall \tilde{j} \in \mathbb{N} \quad (49)$$

in a first step. The start process sector is accurately described by the order $2\ell_{\tilde{j}}$ of the square matrices through $2\ell_{\tilde{j}} \approx 40$.

We get the inequalities: $\lambda^{\ell_1} \leq \lambda^{\ell_2} \leq \lambda^{\ell_3} \leq \dots$ using Lemma 7 and the definition (49) of $\lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}}}$, where we recognize the sequence $\{\lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}}}\}_{\tilde{j}=1}^\infty$ to be monotonically increasing with the limit λ as a simple consequence. So we approach the eigenvalue λ from below. We take the square (block) matrices of order $2\ell_{\tilde{j}}$: $\Gamma_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}}$ and $J_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}}$ (cf. (46)) to explain the functions

$$F_{\tilde{j}}(\lambda) := \det \left[\Gamma_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}} - \lambda J_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}} \right] \quad \forall \tilde{j} \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (50)$$

We evaluate λ^{ℓ_j} by calculating the zeros of $F_{\tilde{j}}(\lambda)$ in the interval $[\lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}-1}}, \lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}-1}} + \delta(\mathcal{A})]$. There we use the bisection method. The initial approximations (start interval) are chosen by means of $\lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}-1}}$ to be $[\lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}-1}}, \lambda^{\ell_{\tilde{j}-1}} + \delta(\mathcal{A})]$, where one can take the constant $\delta(\mathcal{A}) \approx 1$. The initial approximations are taken like in the complete induction method with $\tilde{j} - 1 \geq 1$. This method for the approach of λ is also restricted by the matrix size. Because of the very long calculation time for determinants for large $\ell_{\tilde{j}}$ we make a rough estimate for the border area around $\ell_{\tilde{j}} \approx 400$. That applies to other representations of $F_{\tilde{j}}(\lambda)$ (cf.(50)) like

$$F_{\tilde{j}}(\lambda) := \det \left[\lambda^2 \cdot \hat{I}_{\ell_{\tilde{j}}} - \hat{C}_{\tilde{j}} \cdot \hat{C}_{\tilde{j}}^T \right] \quad \forall \tilde{j} \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (51)$$

Now we present some results for the numerical approximation of critical Rayleigh numbers. The calculations were implemented and performed in Maple 2021 (and Maple 2022) to ensure tight tolerances for the eigenfunctions, eigenvalues and Γ_{ℓ_j} . In particular we use some tools for the investigation of Besselfunctions (cf., e.g., [13] and [20]).

We present our numerical results of approximations for $\text{Ra}_c(\mathcal{A})$ in the cases $\mathcal{A} = 1$ and $\mathcal{A} = 10$ (cf. [14]). The numbers ℓ_j are chosen in the way described in Lemma 7.

ℓ_j	$\lambda_1^{\ell_j}$	$\text{Ra}_c(\mathcal{A} = 1)$
7	0.065275715709889022434641241556310	30.639265739938989496469183142650
12	0.067433400164287488107980906050821	29.658892998535072667362035625305
22	0.070024363834146514766687200657022	28.561487609327265913546978946005
49	0.070752337753538312200877678082614	28.267617205340757028944998021332
92	0.070843842842887568707945584675261	28.231105481325421889287981461511
300	0.070926398413629912903178212704811	28.198245571928834452591903765447

ℓ_j	$\lambda_1^{\ell_j}$	$\text{Ra}_c(\mathcal{A} = 10)$
11	0.036922959760029763204380893713543	54.166838547029519643082315254994
21	0.036922959760029763204380893713509	54.166838547029519643082315255044
39	0.036988540331501778832593839394502	54.070800904156620330758041435409
62	0.036988763473199326352547015240593	54.070474711843912995766624606994
90	0.036988784077179802197566851096866	54.070444592794772101553849052213

Here one can observe, that the sequences $\{\lambda_1^{\ell_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$ are monotonically increasing. This increasing behavior of the $\lambda_1^{\ell_j}(\mathcal{A})$ is justified by the growing dimension of the variation-sets (the matrix sizes of the Γ_{ℓ_j}) in both tables.

6 Alternative proof via the stream-function

We will sketch another way for a proof of a modified version of Theorem 2 using streamfunctions. For this reason we rephrase the propositions of Theorem 2 in the following Theorem 3.

Theorem 3. *For all \mathcal{A} Problem A (cf. (16)-(17)) is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem of the compact self-adjoint operator $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2)$ (see below). All the eigenvalues λ are real and $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, but is as an accumulation point of eigenvalues the only element of the continuous spectrum of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. The critical Rayleigh number Ra_c is fixed through*

$$\frac{2}{\text{Ra}_c} := |\lambda_{max}| = \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

The set $\{\lambda\}$ of the $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ -eigenvalues consists of an countably infinite number of (finite multiplicity) eigenvalues.

The eigen-triples $(\lambda, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ correspond to a solution $(\lambda, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ of Problem A (cf. (16)-(17)).

Remark: The use of streamfunctions will need additional efforts in the treatment of Problem A. Especially we get worse estimates (68) in comparison to the proof of Theorem 2

In a first step we are going to make some arrangements for the proof of Theorem 3. Again, we use Fourier representations for any streamfunction $\Psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$ as expansions in the systems of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for the Bilaplacian \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 8. *The system (cf. Notation 1) $\{(\psi_j, \chi_k)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete basis for the space $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2 \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$.*

Proof. We have to apply the properties of positive self-adjoint operators with a pure point spectrum (cf. Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, or [24, Th. in 4.5.1]). ■

In what follows we are going to use the Fourier representations for any streamfunction $\Psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$ and any temperature $\theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ as expansions in the systems of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in the sense of \mathbb{L}_2 respectively (cf. Lemma 2 and (18)):

$$\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \psi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j \chi_j. \quad (52)$$

The sequences $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are - as sequences of real or complex numbers - elements of *Hilbert space of sequences* \mathbb{L}_2 ([24, Lemma 2. in 2.1.3]). Parseval's equation is fulfilled because of the properties of the complete orthonormal systems $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ (in \mathbb{L}_2). (We note that the system $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthogonal system in the space $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2 := (\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2, \|\cdot\|_\Delta)$. Here the vector space $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2$ is endowed with the norm to the Δ -(quasi-)scalar product (cf. Notation 4). The system $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a complete orthogonal system in $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ by construction.

Notation 4. $\forall \Psi, \Phi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$ by

$$(\Phi, \Psi)_\Delta := (\Delta\Phi, \Delta\Psi) \quad (53)$$

we denote the Δ -(quasi-)scalar product on the vector space $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2$.

Remark: The Δ -(quasi-)scalar product generates an equivalent to the standard norm of $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2$ on the vector space $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2$.

Notation 5. (Special sequence space of coefficients)

Let us regard the sequence of eigenvalues from the eigenpairs-system for the Bilaplacian \mathbf{B} (cf. Notation 1) ordered by increasing eigenvalues taking into account their multiplicities (and the correspondent eigenfunctions):

$$\{(\mu_j)^2 = |\mu_j|^2\}_{j=1}^\infty \quad \text{and the correspondent sequences of positive roots: } \{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty. \quad (54)$$

We define the space $\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}2}$, with respect to spectral operators in the following way: $\mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}2} := (l_2^{\mathbb{W}2}, \|\cdot\|_{2,(\mathbb{W}2)})$ is defined by

$$l_2^{\mathbb{W}2} := \{ \{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in l_2 : \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j^2 |a_j|^2 < \infty \}$$

$$\text{with the norm and the scalar product } \forall \{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in l_2^{\mathbb{W}2} : \quad (55)$$

$$\|\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{2,(\mathbb{W}2)} := \sqrt{(\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty)_{2,(\mathbb{W}2)}} \quad , \quad (\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty)_{2,(\mathbb{W}2)} := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j^2 a_j \bar{b}_j.$$

Like in Section 4 Lemma 5 we obtain the following

Lemma 9. Let the Fourier coefficients of $\Psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$ with respect to the \mathbb{L}_2 orthonormal system $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ given by $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Then the following statements are true:

$$(iii) \quad \{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{L}_2^{\mathbb{W}2} \Leftrightarrow \Psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{(\Psi, \Psi)_\Delta} = \|\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{2,(\mathbb{W}2)} \quad \forall \Psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2,$$

where we refer to the scalar product in (53).

Proof. One only has to rewrite our definitions. ■

Let us now consider the representation of two-dimensional (or three-dimensional) vector fields by means of the streamfunction $\Psi = \Psi(r, \varphi)$, or, by considering the reference frame of toroidal vector fields here chosen:

$$\underline{w} = \underline{\nabla} \Psi \times \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1,$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1$ denotes the unit vector in the horizontal direction. We are going to employ the common vector product of the three-dimensional space to avoid additional notations as the wedge-product. So we understand our terms in the sense of three-dimensional expression and our results also as three-dimensional vector fields with nonvanishing coefficients of $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1$ respectively in the following passage. One can calculate the curl of all terms in (16) and (17) by assuming higher regularity

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\nabla} \times (\theta \underline{\nabla} S) &= \underline{\nabla} \theta \times (\underline{\nabla} S) \\ \underline{\nabla} \times (\lambda \Delta \underline{w}) &= -\lambda \Delta^2 \Psi \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1 \\ (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\nabla}) p &= \underline{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Problem A provides

$$\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \theta \times \underline{\nabla} S) - \lambda \Delta^2 \Psi = 0 \quad (56)$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \Psi \times \underline{\nabla} S) - \lambda \Delta \theta = 0. \quad (57)$$

We are going to investigate (56) and (57) as bilinear forms again. So we seek for $(\lambda, \underline{w} = \underline{\nabla} \Psi \times \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ fulfilling for arbitrary $\underline{u} = \underline{\nabla} \Phi \times \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1$, and σ , the equations (written with $\Psi, \Phi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$):

$$(\Phi, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \theta \times \underline{\nabla} S)) = \lambda (\Phi, \Psi)_\Delta, \quad (58)$$

$$(\sigma, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \Psi \times \underline{\nabla} S)) = -\lambda (\sigma, \theta)_D, \quad (59)$$

where we have used $\underline{w} = \underline{\nabla} \Psi \times \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1 \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}$ (cf. Problem A) and the Δ -(quasi-)scalar product in (58).

Lemma 10. $\forall \psi, \chi \in D(\mathbf{L})$, (resp. $\forall \psi, \chi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$) we have

$$(\psi, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \chi \times \nabla S)) = \int_{\Omega_A} (\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot \nabla \chi \times \nabla S) \psi d\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\Omega_A} (\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla S) \chi d\mathbf{x} = -(\chi, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \psi \times \nabla S)). \quad (60)$$

Proof. Since $\nabla \times \nabla S = \mathbf{0}$, the left-hand side is equal to

$$\int_{\Omega_A} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\psi \nabla \times (\chi \nabla S)) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega_A} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot \nabla \times (\psi \chi \nabla S) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_A} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \psi \times (\chi \nabla S)) d\mathbf{x}$$

and, on the other hand, here the first integral on the right-hand side vanishes, because of the Gauss theorem and of the boundary condition for ψ :

$$\int_{\Omega_A} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot \nabla \times (\psi \chi \nabla S) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial \Omega_A} \psi \chi (\nabla S)^T d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

We are now in the position to give a shortened proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. (Theorem 3)

We start with a weak formulation (cf. (16)-(17)) with the streamfunction. By taking the sum of (58) and (59) we get:

$$(\Phi, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \theta \times \nabla S)) + (\sigma, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \Psi \times \nabla S)) = \lambda \left((\Phi, \Psi)_\Delta - (\theta, \sigma)_D \right). \quad (61)$$

Now we consider the elements $\Phi, \Psi \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^2$ and $\sigma, \theta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ as Fourier series in the systems of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ in the sense of \mathbb{L}_2 and \mathbb{L}_2 respectively. We set

$$\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j \psi_j \quad , \quad \Phi = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \psi_j \quad (62)$$

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^\infty d_j \chi_j \quad , \quad \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \chi_j \quad . \quad (63)$$

The sequences of Fourier coefficients are denoted by \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} as in (29). The sequences are regarded as columns. The Fourier series are substituted in (58) and (59) and

$$\mathbf{a}^T (B \mathbf{d} - \lambda [\text{diag}(\mu_k^2)] \mathbf{c}) = 0, \quad (64)$$

$$\mathbf{b}^T (B^T \mathbf{c} - \lambda [\text{diag}(\omega_k^2)] \mathbf{d}) = 0, \quad (65)$$

where

$$B_{j,k} := (\psi_j, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \chi_k \times \nabla S)) \quad , \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}. \quad (66)$$

The sum of the equations (64) and (65) (the equivalent to (61)) can be written in $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ as:

$$(\mathbf{a}^T, \mathbf{b}^T) \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mu_k^2) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(\omega_k^2) \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (67)$$

For Lemma 10 we get the structure and the symmetry of the following linear operator written as a ‘‘block matrix’’ on $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But here the elements $B_{j,k}$ in (66) are not uniformly bounded in contrast to the uniform boundedness of the elements $C_{j,k}$ of C in Section 4 in (32). In order to estimate the $B_{j,k}$ we need the equivalent norms on $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2$ respectively on $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$. $\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ this results in

$$|B_{j,k}| = |(\psi_j, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \chi_k \times \nabla S))| = |(\chi_k, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^T \cdot (\nabla \psi_j \times \nabla S))| \leq \gamma_* \gamma_S^{II} \max(\mu_j, \omega_k), \quad (68)$$

where we have used γ_* as constant for the two equivalent norms and $\gamma_S^{II} := \max_{\underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \Omega_A} \sqrt{(|\frac{\partial S}{\partial r}|^2 + |\frac{\partial S}{\partial \varphi}|^2)}$, $\|\psi_j\|_{\mathbb{L}_2} = 1$, and $\|\chi_k\|_{\mathbb{L}_2} = 1 \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

Now the sequences of Fourier coefficients corresponding to Φ , Ψ , σ and θ belong to the spaces $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ (cf. Lemma 5 and Notation 5). Thus, it follows that $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{L}_2$, with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \{\tilde{a}_j := \mu_j a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \{\tilde{b}_j := \omega_j b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{c}} = \{\tilde{c}_j := \mu_j c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{d}} = \{\tilde{d}_j := \omega_j d_j\}_{j=1}^\infty. \quad (69)$$

Additionally, we define the matrix \tilde{B} by

$$\tilde{B}_{j,k} := \frac{1}{\mu_j \omega_k} B_{j,k} \quad \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}. \quad (70)$$

So now we are able to formulate the problem (67) equivalently in the $\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$ -sense through

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^T, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^T) \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{B}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (71)$$

where I denotes the identity in \mathbb{l}_2 again. We interpret (71) as an operator equation in $\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$. This is possible since the relation (71) is valid for arbitrary $(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \in \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$.

We define the linear and bounded operators $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and \mathcal{I} by; $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{I} : \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$ by:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{B}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I} := \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

The operators $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and \mathcal{I} are elements of the Banach space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2, \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2)$ of linear and bounded operators. Now we have made all preparations to follow the arguments of Section 4. To show the compactness of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ we use that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ can be approximated by a sequence of finite operators $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2, \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2)$, with $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, where we use $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ matrices \tilde{B}_ℓ defined along the lines of (39) for \tilde{C} . The convergence $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ follows from (68), (70), $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_j = \infty$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_k = \infty$. Finally we use Lemma 6 again in a modified formulation: $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ resp. of problem (16)-(17) and we set for the proof $\underline{w} = \underline{\nabla} \Psi \times \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1$. ■

7 Orthogonality relations

Firstly, we focus on the multiplicity of the eigenvalues.

Notation 6. Let $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2, \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2)$ be the operator stated in Theorem 2 (equivalent to Problem A ((16)-(17)) for all A). We call $N(\tilde{C} - \lambda \mathcal{I})$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$ the null space of the operator $\tilde{C} - \lambda \mathcal{I}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$N(\tilde{C} - \lambda \mathcal{I}) := \{\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2 : (\tilde{C} - \lambda \mathcal{I})\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{o}}\}, \quad (73)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})$, $\tilde{\mathbf{o}} \in \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$, with $\tilde{\mathbf{o}}$ as the zero element of the space $\mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$. The multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ of \tilde{C} is

$$\mathfrak{N}(\lambda) := \dim N(\tilde{C} - \lambda \mathcal{I}). \quad (74)$$

We will prove the following orthogonality relations regarding the eigen-triples of Problem A:

Lemma 11. For any eigenvalue λ of \tilde{C} (resp. of the Problem A (cf. (16)-(17))), there also exists the eigenvalue $(-\lambda)$. We see that for every eigen-triple $(\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ there exists a corresponding eigen-triple $(-\lambda, \underline{w}^-, \theta^-) := (-\lambda, -\underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$. The eigenvalues λ and $-\lambda$ have the same multiplicity: $\mathfrak{N}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{N}(-\lambda)$.

Proof. Let us assume that $(\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}}^2 \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1 \cap \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^2$ is a fixed solution of eqs. (16), (17). Then by inserting $(-\lambda, -\underline{w}, \theta)$ in (16) and (17) (resp. multiplying the second equation (17) with -1) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \underline{\nabla} S - \lambda \Delta(-\underline{w}) &= -\underline{\nabla} p, \quad \underline{\nabla}^T \cdot \tilde{\underline{w}} = 0, \\ -\underline{w}^T \cdot \underline{\nabla} S - \lambda \Delta \theta &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is hence evident that the problem is fulfilled by

$$(-\underline{w}, \theta),$$

where the elements (\underline{w}, θ) and $(-\underline{w}, \theta)$ are linearly independent in the Cartesian product.

Now we use the linear and bounded operators \tilde{C} and \mathcal{I} ; $\tilde{C}, \mathcal{I} : \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{l}_2 \times \mathbb{l}_2$ (37) and the equivalent eigenvalue problem (cf. (38)). The sequences of Fourier coefficients \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} corresponding to \underline{w} and θ belong to the spaces $\mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\mathbb{l}_2^{\mathbb{W}}$. So we apply the notations $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}$, $(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{l}_2)$ cf. (34) for the correspondent eigen-triple $(\lambda, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \cong (\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta)$. Let us suppose that the eigen-triple $(\lambda, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \cong (\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta)$ fulfils the equation:

$$\tilde{C} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{C} \\ \tilde{C}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{C} \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \\ \tilde{C}^T \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (75)$$

It is obvious, that also $(-\lambda, -\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \cong (-\lambda, -\underline{w}, \theta)$ satisfies the ‘‘ruling’’ equation as an eigen-triple.

$$\tilde{C} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{C} \\ \tilde{C}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{C} \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \\ -\tilde{C}^T \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (76)$$

The multiplicities of λ and $-\lambda$ are equal. To see this we use (75), (76) and $\mathfrak{N}(\lambda) < \infty$ from Theorem 2. Then we choose $(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \in N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda \mathcal{I})$ as a fixed element of an $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ -orthonormal basis of $N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda \mathcal{I})$ to get, that $(-\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})$ is an element of an $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ -orthonormal basis of $N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} + \lambda \mathcal{I})$. The assertion is showed by interchanging the roles of λ and $-\lambda$. ■

We write down the statement of the scalar product and orthogonality in the Cartesian product of Hilbert spaces to illustrate the use of an orthonormal basis on $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ (cf. Section 4, (47)).

Notation 7. Let us use the abbreviations from (29) for elements of the Hilbert sequence space \mathbb{L}_2 . Then the scalar product on the Cartesian product is defined via

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})]_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2} &:= \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})_{2,(\mathcal{I})} + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})_{2,(\mathcal{I})}) \\ \forall (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) &\in \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2 \end{aligned}$$

The norm on $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ (cf. (47)) is taken in the usual way as

$$\|(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})\|_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2} = \sqrt{|[(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})]_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2}|}.$$

Lemma 12. The inner product $[(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})]_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2}$ given in Notation 7 is a scalar product on $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ and the Hilbert space $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ is defined by $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2 := (\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, [(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)]_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2})$. Let the orthonormal basis of the \mathbb{L}_2 be

$$\{\delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{with } \delta_j := \{\delta_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (77)$$

where $\delta_{j,k}$ denote the Kronecker δ (as function of the variables j and k).

In this way one obtains an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ by choosing the system:

$$\{\gamma_j = (\delta_j, \delta_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup \{\eta_j = (-\delta_j, \delta_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}. \quad (78)$$

Proof. The properties of a scalar product on $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ are easily checked. The only critical point in showing that we have an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ by the choosing system (78) is the simple calculation:

$$[\gamma_j, \eta_j]_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2} = [(\delta_j, \delta_j), (-\delta_j, \delta_j)]_{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2} = 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The completeness follows, since the zero element of $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ is the only element $\xi \in \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$, which is orthogonal to the system (78). ■

Remark: Important properties of the eigenvalues λ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are written down in Theorem 2: The eigenvalues λ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are real and $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ but the only accumulation point of the eigenvalues. The set of all eigenvalues $\{\lambda\}$ consists of a countably infinite number of (finite multiplicity: $\mathfrak{N}(\lambda) < \infty$) eigenvalues. The features of the construction of an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ can be transferred to the spaces $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ and $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{W}_2^1$ in a one to one manner. The connections of the factors in the Cartesian products are conserved for the Cartesian products together with the features: $\mathbb{V} := \mathcal{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\mathbb{W}_2^1 := \mathcal{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{L}_2)$. There we have considered the Stokes operator and the Laplacian as positive definite operators in the context of spectral operators.

Proposition 13. (Orthogonality) Let λ_1, λ_2 be eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2)$, with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then the corresponding eigenspaces $N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda_1 \mathcal{I})$ and $N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda_2 \mathcal{I})$ are orthogonal subspaces in $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2 $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$ and $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. The statement is obtained by the application of [24, Sec. 4.2.3]. ■

Theorem 4. (Completeness) The set of orthonormalized eigenfunctions of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ generates a complete basis for the Cartesian product space $\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2$. If by $\{\lambda_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$ we denote the set of eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, we see

$$\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2 = \overline{\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda_\ell \mathcal{I})}^{\mathbb{L}_2 \times \mathbb{L}_2}. \quad (79)$$

Proof. For every λ_ℓ there exists a finite orthonormal basis in $N(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \lambda_\ell \mathcal{I})$. According to Proposition 13 the eigenspaces for different λ are orthogonal to each other. So we have only to show (79). We use, that $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and that the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is self-adjoint and compact. So we apply the orthogonal decomposition Theorem and the Theorem about the spectrum of compact operators from [24, Sec. 4.5.2]) to get the justification of (79). ■

Proposition 14. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}: D(\mathcal{S}) \times D(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ be regarded as in (83). Then is $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ a self-adjoint positive definite operator. In the sense of (83) and of the matrix representation on product spaces $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a component-by-component combination of the self-adjoint positive definite operators \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{L} with pure point spectrum. We get for the “diagonal matrices”

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{-1} & \underline{0} \\ (\underline{0})^T & \mathcal{L}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \underline{0} \\ (\underline{0})^T & \mathcal{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} & \underline{0} \\ (\underline{0})^T & \mathcal{L}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (80)$$

and for $\underline{u} := (\underline{\Psi}, \varphi) \in \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$

$$\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{w} \right) = (\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\Psi}, \mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{w}) + (\mathbf{L}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi, \mathbf{L}^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta) = (\underline{\Psi}, \underline{w})_D + (\varphi, \theta)_D. \quad (81)$$

The energetic space of $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ is $\underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1 = \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2)$. The self-adjoint operators $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are compact in $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2, \underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2)$. This is also true for $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}$ regarded as: $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}: \underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$.

Proof is a one to one transference of [24, Sec. 4.5.2] on product spaces. ■

Theorem 5. For all A Problem A is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem of the compact self-adjoint operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ on the Cartesian product space $\underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$.

Proof. Let us use Notation 2 and (19). So we write

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}((\underline{w}, \theta)) = (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} - \lambda \tilde{\mathfrak{A}})((\underline{w}, \theta)) = \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \nabla S \\ (\nabla S)^T & 0 \end{array} \right) - \lambda \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{S} & \underline{0} \\ (\underline{0})^T & \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \underline{w} \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (82)$$

where one can understand the zeros in $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ also as the zero elements of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\mathbb{H}}, \underline{\mathbb{H}})$ resp. $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_2)$.

The operators $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}: D(\mathbf{S}) \times D(\mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}: \underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ in (82) are also defined through the bilinear forms at $(\underline{\Psi}, \varphi) \in \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\underline{\Psi}, \varphi), \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(\underline{w}, \theta)) &:= (\underline{\Psi}, \underline{w})_D + (\varphi, \theta)_D \\ ((\underline{\Psi}, \varphi), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\underline{w}, \theta)) &:= (\underline{\Psi}, \theta \nabla S) + (\varphi, (\nabla S)^T \underline{w}). \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Eqs. (83) transfer in the sense on dense definition. First we investigate the properties of $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ to establish a relationship to the task of Problem A. The nontrivial solutions $(\lambda, \underline{w}, \theta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ of (16)-(17) are equations in $\underline{\mathbb{V}}$ resp. $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^{-1}$. Using the notation $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{en}$ for the energetic expansion of $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ in the sense of $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{en}: \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^{-1}$ and $\underline{w} := (\underline{w}, \theta) \in \underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ we may write

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} - \lambda \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{en}) \underline{w} = \underline{0}. \quad (84)$$

We prove the boundedness of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ using γ_S (cf. (32)). The crucial tool for our proof is the mapping property: By an appropriate norm on $\underline{\mathbb{V}} \times \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{W}}_2^1$ we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as a unitary map on $\underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ etc. The idea of one to one mappings result in: $\underline{u} = \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{v}$ and $\underline{w} = \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\eta}$. For Problem A we find using (82) and (83) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{v}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\eta}) - \lambda (\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{v}, \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\eta}) &= \\ (\underline{v}, \underbrace{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{=: \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}} \underline{\eta}) - \lambda (\underline{v}, \underline{\eta}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Finally we use the identity $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}$ in $\underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ to get

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} - \lambda \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}) \underline{\eta} = \underline{0} \quad (86)$$

as the equivalent eigenvalue problem in $\underline{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{L}_2$ for the self-adjoint compact operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$. ■

References

- [1] J. Boussinesq, *Théorie Analytique De La Chaleur* Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1903.
- [2] P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes Equations* Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, Chicago, 1988.
- [3] C.R. Doering and C. Foias, *Energy dissipation in body-forced turbulence*, J. Fluid Mech., 467 (2002), pp. 289–306.
- [4] M.P. Dyko, K. Vafai, and A.K. Mojtabi, *A numerical and experimental investigation of stability of natural convective flow within horizontal annulus*, J. Fluid Mech., 381 (1999), pp. 27–61.
- [5] B. Duka, C. Ferrario, A. Passerini, and P. Piva, *Non-linear approximations for natural convection in a horizontal annulus*, Int. J. of Non-linear Mech., 42 (2007), pp. 1055–1061.

- [6] C. Ferrario, A. Passerini, and S. Piva, *A Stokes-like system for natural convection in a horizontal annulus*, *Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl.*, 9 (2008), pp. 403–411.
- [7] C. Ferrario, A. Passerini, and G. Thäter, *Natural convection in horizontal annuli: a lower bound for the energy*, *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, 62 (2008), pp. 246–259.
- [8] C. Ferrario, A. Passerini, M. Růžička, and G. Thäter, *Theoretical results on steady convective flows between horizontal coaxial cylinders* *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 71 (2011), pp. 465–486.
- [9] C. Foias, O. Manley, and R. Temam, *Attractors for the Bénard problem: existence and physical bounds on their fractal dimension*, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.*, 11 (1987), pp. 939–967.
- [10] D. Grandi and A. Passerini, *On the Oberbeck-Boussinesq approximation for gases*, *Int. J. of Non-Linear Mech.*, 134 (2021), 103738.
- [11] J.P. Kelliher, *Eigenvalues of the Stokes operator versus the Dirichlet Laplacian in the plane*, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 244(1) (2010), pp. 99-132. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2010.244.99
- [12] T.H. Kuehn and R.J. Goldstein, *An experimental and theoretical study of natural convection in the annulus between horizontal concentric cylinders*, *J. Fluids Mech.*, 74 (1976), pp. 695–719.
- [13] D.S. Lee, B. Rummler, *The eigenfunctions of the Stokes operator in special domains. III.*, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 82 (2002), pp. 399–407.
- [14] D. Markert, *The numerical approximation of the Rayleigh number on 2D annuli*, Thesis, Univ.Magdeburg (2021).
- [15] A. Oberbeck, *Über die Wärmeleitung der Flüssigkeiten bei der Berücksichtigung der Strömungen infolge von Temperaturdifferenzen*, *Ann. Physik und Chemie*, 7 (1879) 271;
Über die Bewegungerscheinungen der Atmosphäre, *Sitz. Ber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.*, (1888) 383 and 1120.
- [16] A. Passerini, M. Růžička, and G. Thäter, *Natural convection between two horizontal coaxial cylinders*, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 89 (2009), pp. 399–413.
- [17] A. Passerini and G. Thäter, *Boussinesq-type approximation for second-grade fluids*, *Int. J. of Non-Linear Mech.*, 40 (2005), pp. 821–831.
- [18] R.E. Powe, C.T. Carley, and E.H. Bishop, *Free convective flow patterns in cylindrical annuli*, *J. Heat Transfer*, 91 (1969), pp. 310–314.
- [19] K.R. Rajagopal, M. Růžička, and A.R. Srinivasa, *On the Oberbeck-Boussinesq approximation*, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 6 (1996), pp. 1157–1167.
- [20] B. Rummler, M. Růžička, and G. Thäter, *Exact Poincaré constants in two-dimensional annuli* *ZAMM* 97-1 (2017), pp. 110–122, <https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.201500299>
- [21] E. Schmidt, *Über die Auflösung linearer Gleichungen mit unendlich vielen Unbekannten.*, *Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo* 25 (1908), pp. 53–77.
- [22] B. Straughan, *The Energy Method, Stability And Nonlinear Convection*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, (1992).
- [23] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations, theory and numerical analysis*, 3rd edit., Amsterdam: North Holland (1984).
- [24] H. Triebel, *Higher Analysis* J.A. Barth, Leipzig, (1992).
- [25] P. Teerstra and M.M. Yovanovich, *Comprehensive review of natural convection in horizontal circular annuli*, 7th AIAA/ASME Joint Thermo-physics and Heat Transfer Conference, Albuquerque, NM, June 15-18, in: *HTD-Vol.357-4* (1998) 141ff.
- [26] J.S. Yoo, *Natural convection in a narrow horizontal cylindrical annulus: $P_r \leq 0.3$* , *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow*, 17 (1996), pp. 587-593;
Transition and multiplicity of flows in natural convection in a narrow horizontal cylindrical annulus: $P_r = 0.4$, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 42 (1999), pp. 709–733;
Prandtl number effect on bifurcation and dual solutions in natural convection in a horizontal annulus, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 42 (1999), pp. 3279–3290.