

A BIALGEBRA THEORY OF POST-LIE ALGEBRAS VIA MANIN TRIPLES AND GENERALIZED HESSIAN LIE GROUPS

DILEI LU, CHENGMING BAI, AND LI GUO

ABSTRACT. We develop a bialgebra theory of post-Lie algebras that can be characterized by Manin triples of post-Lie algebras associated to a bilinear form satisfying certain invariant conditions. In the absence of dual representations for adjoint representations of post-Lie algebras, we utilize the geometric interpretation of post-Lie algebras to find the desired invariant condition, by generalizing pseudo-Hessian Lie groups to allow constant torsion for the flat connection. The resulting notion is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra, which is a post-Lie algebra equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form. Moreover, generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras are also naturally obtained from quadratic Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one. On the other hand, the notion of partial-pre-post-Lie algebra (pp-post-Lie algebras) is introduced as the algebraic structure underlying generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras, by splitting one of the two binary operations of post-Lie algebras. The notion of pp-post-Lie bialgebras is introduced as the equivalent structure of Manin triples of post-Lie algebras associated to a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form, thereby establishing a bialgebra theory for post-Lie algebras via the Manin triple approach. We also study the related analogs of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, \mathcal{O} -operators and successors for pp-post-Lie algebras. In particular, there is a construction of pp-post-Lie bialgebras from the successors of pp-post-Lie algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Bialgebra theories	2
1.2. The Manin triple approach	2
1.3. The Manin triple approach to a bialgebra theory for post-Lie algebras	3
1.4. Outline of the paper	4
2. Generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras	5
2.1. Post-Lie algebras and their geometric interpretation	5
2.2. Generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie groups and generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras	6
2.3. Generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras from quadratic Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one	8
3. Partial-pre-post-Lie algebras	10
3.1. Partial-pre-post-Lie algebras and post-Lie algebras	10
3.2. Partial splitting of operations of post-Lie algebras and pp-post-Lie algebras	12
3.3. Pp-post-Lie algebras from generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras	16
4. Pp-post-Lie bialgebras, \mathcal{O} -operators and classical Yang-Baxter equation	17
4.1. Matched pairs, Manin triples of post-Lie algebras and pp-post-Lie bialgebras	17
4.2. A class of pp-post-Lie bialgebras and pp-post-classical Yang-Baxter equation	21
4.3. \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras and pre-pp-post-Lie algebras	25
References	29

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17D25, 17B62, 22E60, 17B38, 58D17, 53C05, 16T10, 17A30, 17A36.

Key words and phrases. Post-Lie algebra, Hessian Lie group, Manin triple, bialgebra, classical Yang-Baxter equation, \mathcal{O} -operator, pre-Lie algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper develops a bialgebra theory from Manin triples of post-Lie algebras, accomplished by an invariant bilinear form from generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie groups and pp-post-Lie algebras from partial splitting of operations of post-Lie algebras.

1.1. Bialgebra theories. A bialgebra structure consists of an algebra structure and a coalgebra structure coupled by certain compatibility conditions. In the case of associative algebras, one of the common compatibility conditions is that the comultiplication is an algebra homomorphism, giving the usual notion of an (associative) bialgebra and a Hopf algebra [44]; another one is that the comultiplication behaves like a derivation, leading to the infinitesimal bialgebra of Joni and Rota [27]. In the case of Lie algebras, the latter compatibility gives the Lie bialgebra of Drinfeld [19, 21]. In addition to its broad applications [19, 21, 22], Lie bialgebra has shown its significance by the close connections with the important notions of Manin triples of Lie algebras, the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE), also called the classical r -matrices, \mathcal{O} -operators (that is, relative Rota-Baxter operators) on Lie algebras, and pre-Lie algebras [3, 19, 29, 41]. These connections are depicted in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{pre-Lie} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}\text{-operators on} & \longrightarrow & \text{solutions of} & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Manin triples of} \\ \text{algebras} & & \text{Lie algebras} & & \text{CYBE} & & \text{bialgebras} & & \text{Lie algebras} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Especially, the Lie bialgebra is characterized by a Manin triple of Lie algebras associated to a bilinear form satisfying an invariant condition.

After the establishment of a theory for the infinitesimal bialgebra along the line of (1) [1, 2, 5], a similar approach has been taken to establish the bialgebra theories for quite a few other algebraic structures over the past few years, such as pre-Lie algebras [4], dendriform algebras [5], Leibniz algebras [46], L-dendriform algebras [11], perm algebras [12], 3-Lie algebras [10] and Rota-Baxter associative and Lie algebras [7, 8].

Such a bialgebra theory, once established, is not only rich in theory with related structures similar to the diagram in (1). It often plays important roles in many areas and have connections with other structures arising from mathematics and physics. For example, Lie bialgebras are the infinitesimal versions of Poisson-Lie groups, and are crucial in the study of quantum groups [19, 21]. Pre-Lie bialgebras correspond to the underlying algebraic structure of para-Kähler Lie groups [4]. Novikov bialgebras are used to construct infinite-dimensional Lie bialgebras [26].

1.2. The Manin triple approach. Other than the associative bialgebra, the rest of the above bialgebras are obtained by the so-called Manin triple approach. Roughly speaking, for a given algebraic structure, to establish its bialgebra theory with this approach, one constructs triples $(A, A^*, A \oplus A^*)$ with each component equipped with the given algebraic structure and with a bilinear form \mathcal{B} defined on $A \oplus A^*$ satisfying certain “invariant” conditions. Thus,

- (a) the algebraic structure on A gives the algebraic component of the desired bialgebra theory,
- (b) the algebraic structure on A^* , by duality, gives the coalgebra component of the desired bialgebra theory, and most essentially
- (c) the interaction of A and A^* in $A \oplus A^*$ through the bilinear form \mathcal{B} gives the compatibility conditions of the desired bialgebra theory.

However, despite its general name, there is no uniform procedure to follow in the Manin triple approach. The critical issue is finding the invariant condition for a bilinear form \mathcal{B} on $A \oplus A^*$ and this has to be done on a case-by-case basis. The following remarks illustrate the challenges that can be encountered in this approach.

- (a) Usually, if there is a suitable notion of dual representations for a given algebraic structure, then it can be used to obtain an invariant bilinear form. This important property of an algebraic structure is extracted as the *properness* in the general discussion in [30]. In the absence of the properness property, finding a bilinear form with a suitable invariant condition becomes difficult. This is the case for transpose Poisson algebras [31].
- (b) Even with a suitable invariant condition of the bilinear form in place for the Manin triples of a given algebraic structure, the resulting bialgebra might not be realizable within the original algebraic structure. For example, a Manin triple of Lie algebras associated to a (skew-symmetric) symplectic form gives rise to a pre-Lie bialgebra [4], and a Manin triple of pre-Lie algebras associated to a nondegenerate symmetric 2-cocycle defines the bialgebra theory of the L-dendriform algebra [37]. We note for later relevance that the L-dendriform algebra is obtained from splitting the operation of the pre-Lie algebra. In the context of the general procedure of splitting an operad [6], the L-dendriform algebra is the successor of the pre-Lie algebra, which in turn is the successor of the Lie algebra.

1.3. The Manin triple approach to a bialgebra theory for post-Lie algebras. The notion of post-Lie algebras was introduced by Vallette in connection with homology of partition posets and the Koszul duality of operads [47]. They attract more attentions in the study of numerical integration on Lie groups and manifolds [34, 36]. In a differential geometric context, a post-Lie algebra appears naturally as the algebraic structure of the flat connection with constant torsion on a Lie group [35]. Moreover, post-Lie algebras also showed up in numerous other topics in pure and applied mathematics such as modified classical Yang-Baxter equation [9], Hopf algebras and factorization theorems [23], Rota-Baxter operators [24], Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorems [20] and regularity structures in stochastic partial differential equations [14].

The goal of the present paper is to develop a bialgebra theory for post-Lie algebras via the Main triple approach. Here we will need to resolve both of the challenges mentioned above.

1.3.1. Finding the invariant bilinear form from generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie groups. First we need to find a suitable invariant bilinear form in order to define Manin triples of post-Lie algebras. Unfortunately, no desired dual representations have been found for the adjoint representation of a post-Lie algebra.

Instead we obtained the needed invariant bilinear form from the (pseudo-)metrics in Riemannian geometry, by generalizing pseudo-Hessian Lie groups to allow the torsion of the connection to be constant instead of zero, motivated by the aforementioned Riemannian geometric interpretation of post-Lie algebras and certain geometric structures. Explicitly, on the one hand, a post-Lie algebra corresponds to a Lie group with a left-invariant connection which is flat and has constant torsion. On the other hand, a pseudo-Hessian Lie group is a Lie group with a flat and torsion-free left-invariant connection such that it is compatible with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric in the sense that the Codazzi equation is satisfied. Combining the two structures in a natural way leads us to the notion of a generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie group. As the algebraic structure corresponding to a generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie group, the notion of a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra is introduced, which is a post-Lie algebra equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form satisfying an invariant condition. Quite remarkably, this invariant condition is exactly what we need, corresponding to the Codazzi equation and the invariant condition associated to the Lie bracket decided by the constant torsion. It is also exactly the condition satisfied by the flat left-invariant connection and the left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on the generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie group.

There is another significance of generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras. It is known that a Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight one induces a post-Lie algebra [9]. If the Rota-Baxter Lie algebra is quadratic in the sense that the Lie algebra has a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form, then the induced post-Lie algebra is also generalized pseudo-Hessian in the above sense.

1.3.2. *Finding the underlying algebraic structure for the bialgebra by partially splitting.* The second challenge is also present in our development of a bialgebra theory of post-Lie algebras. More precisely, the bialgebra structure arising from Manin triples of post-Lie algebras associated to the above-found invariant bilinear form cannot be realized in terms of post-Lie algebras. To find the right structure, we draw inspiration from the fact that the Manin triple of pre-Lie algebras associated to a nondegenerate symmetric 2-cocycle gives rise to the bialgebra theory of L-dendriform algebras [37], which is the splitting structure (successor) of pre-Lie algebras [6].

Thus we speculated that Manin triples of post-Lie algebras should give the bialgebra theory of a splitting structure (successor) of post-Lie algebras, arriving at the notion of partial-pre-post-Lie algebras (pp-post-Lie algebras). An interesting twist in this search is that pp-post-Lie algebras present a new kind of splitting of operations: rather than splitting both operations of the post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ as is usually the case [6], the pp-post-Lie algebra is obtained from only splitting the operation \circ into the sum of two operations \triangleright and \triangleleft , without changing the Lie bracket $[-, -]$. Furthermore, the characterization of pp-post-Lie algebras is given in terms of post-Lie algebras with the specific representations on the dual spaces instead of the representations on the underlying vector spaces of the post-Lie algebras themselves.

1.3.3. *Pp-post-Lie bialgebras, their CYBE and pre-structures.* With both of the challenges under control, we are able to define the notion of pp-post-Lie bialgebras that can be equivalently characterized by Manin triples of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear forms. We also give an analog of the theory for classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE) in Lie algebras to construct pp-post-Lie bialgebras. Explicitly, the study of a special class of pp-post-Lie bialgebras leads to the introduction of the pp-post-classical Yang-Baxter equation (PPP-CYBE) in a pp-post-Lie algebra whose antisymmetric solutions give pp-post-Lie bialgebras. The PPP-CYBE is interpreted in terms of operator forms and hence the notions of \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras and pre-pp-post-Lie algebras are introduced to provide antisymmetric solutions of the PPP-CYBE in certain bigger pp-post-Lie algebras. These connections can be illustrated by the following diagram in analog to (1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{pre-pp-post-Lie} & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{O}\text{-operators on} \quad} & \text{antisymmetric} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{pp-post-Lie} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Manin triples} \\ \text{algebras} & & \text{solutions of} & & \text{bialgebras} & & \text{of post-Lie} \\ & & \text{the PPP-CYBE} & & & & \text{algebras} \end{array}$$

In particular, there is a construction of pp-post-Lie bialgebras from pre-pp-post-Lie algebras. Also note that the operad of pre-pp-post-Lie algebras is the successor of the operad of pp-post-Lie algebras.

1.4. **Outline of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, after recalling the geometric interpretation of post-Lie algebras, we introduce the notion of a generalized (pseudo)-Hessian Lie group, by generalizing the torsion-free condition for the flat connection for a (pseudo)-Hessian Lie group to the constant torsion condition (Definition 2.5). As its corresponding algebraic structure, the notion of a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra is introduced (Definition 2.8). Finally, refining the construction of post-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one, there is a natural construction of generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form (Proposition 2.15).

In Section 3, we first introduce the notion of partial-pre-post-Lie algebras (pp-post-Lie algebras) (Definition 3.1) and then give some basic properties. They are characterized in terms of representations of post-Lie algebras, illustrating a “partial” splitting of operations of post-Lie algebras (Proposition 3.11). Such a characterization is further interpreted in terms of an analog of \mathcal{O} -operators, namely, dual p- \mathcal{O} -operators (Theorem 3.17). As a consequence, pp-post-Lie algebras are obtained from generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras (Proposition 3.18).

In Section 4, we introduce the notions of a Manin triple of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear form (Definition 4.2) and a pp-post-Lie bialgebra (Definition 4.7). The equivalence between these two notions is interpreted in terms of certain matched pairs of post-Lie algebras. The study of a special class of pp-post-Lie bialgebras leads to the introduction of the PPP-CYBE in a pp-post-Lie algebra whose antisymmetric solutions give pp-post-Lie bialgebras (Corollary 4.16). The PPP-CYBE is given an operator form in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras (Corollary 4.23), and the construction of skew-symmetric solutions of PPP-CYBE is given in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras (Theorem 4.24). Finally, pre-pp-post-Lie algebras are introduced to provide antisymmetric solutions of the PPP-CYBE in a bigger pp-post-Lie algebra (Corollary 4.30).

Notations. Unless otherwise specified, all the vector spaces and algebras are finite-dimensional over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0, although many results and notions remain valid in the infinite-dimensional case. For a vector space V , let

$$\tau : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V, \quad u \otimes v \mapsto v \otimes u, \quad u, v \in V,$$

be the flip operator. Let A be a vector space with a binary operation \circ . Define linear maps $L_\circ, R_\circ : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$ respectively by

$$L_\circ(a)b := a \circ b, \quad R_\circ(a)b := b \circ a, \quad a, b \in A.$$

In particular, when $(A, \circ := [-, -])$ is a Lie algebra, we use the usual notion of the adjoint operator $\text{ad}(a)(b) := [a, b]$ for all $a, b \in A$.

2. GENERALIZED PSEUDO-HESSIAN POST-LIE ALGEBRAS

We begin by recalling the notion of post-Lie algebras and their geometric interpretation. We then introduce the notion of a generalized (pseudo-)Hessian Lie group as a generalization of a (pseudo-)Hessian Lie group to give the generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra as the corresponding algebraic structure. Finally, the construction of post-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one is enriched to a natural construction of generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form.

2.1. Post-Lie algebras and their geometric interpretation.

We first recall the notion of post-Lie algebras and some basic facts.

Definition 2.1. [47] A **post-Lie algebra** is a triple $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ where $(A, [-, -])$ is a Lie algebra and $\circ : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a binary operation satisfying the following equalities:

$$x \circ [y, z] = [x \circ y, z] + [y, x \circ z], \quad (2)$$

$$(x \circ y - y \circ x + [x, y]) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (3)$$

Remark 2.2. (a) Recall [15] that a **pre-Lie algebra** is a pair (A, \circ) in which A is a vector space and $\circ : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a binary operation satisfying the following equation:

$$(x \circ y) \circ z - x \circ (y \circ z) = (y \circ x) \circ z - y \circ (x \circ z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (4)$$

Obviously, (A, \circ) is a pre-Lie algebra if and only if $(A, \circ, [-, -] = 0)$ is a post-Lie algebra.

(b) Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra. Then both $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ = 0, [-, -])$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -]^{\text{op}}, [-, -])$ are post-Lie algebras, where $[x, y]^{\text{op}} = [y, x]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 2.3. [47] *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra. The binary operation*

$$\{x, y\} := x \circ y - y \circ x + [x, y], \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (5)$$

*defines a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(A), \{-, -\})$, called the **sub-adjacent Lie algebra** of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$, and $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is also called a **compatible post-Lie algebra** structure on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(A), \{-, -\})$.*

Proposition 2.4. [35] *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra. Then the two binary operations*

$$x * y = x \circ y + [x, y], \quad [x, y]^{\text{op}} = [y, x], \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (6)$$

*define a post-Lie algebra $(A, *, [-, -]^{\text{op}})$. Moreover both $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ and $(A, *, [-, -]^{\text{op}})$ have the same sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(A), \{-, -\})$, where $\{-, -\}$ is defined by Eq. (5).*

Next we recall the geometric description of post-Lie algebras [35]. Let G be a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant affine connection ∇ . Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ be the associated Lie algebra of G , consisting of all left-invariant vector fields on G . Since ∇ is left-invariant, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_X Y$ of Y in the direction of X is also left-invariant and thus defines an \mathbb{R} -linear, non-associative binary operation \circ on \mathfrak{g} by

$$X \circ Y := \nabla_X Y. \quad (7)$$

The torsion T is given by

$$T(X, Y) := X \circ Y - Y \circ X - [X, Y], \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (8)$$

and it admits a covariant differential ∇T . Furthermore, the curvature R is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z := X \circ (Y \circ Z) - Y \circ (X \circ Z) - [X, Y] \circ Z, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (9)$$

When the connection ∇ is flat and has constant torsion, i.e., $R = 0 = \nabla T$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, -T(-, -))$ is a compatible post-Lie algebra structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$. Here $-T(-, -)$ is a Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} because of the antisymmetry of T and the first Bianchi identity:

$$\mathfrak{S}(T(T(X, Y), Z) + (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z) - R(X, Y)Z) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (10)$$

where \mathfrak{S} denotes the sum over the three cyclic permutations of (X, Y, Z) . Moreover, the flatness of ∇ is equivalent to Eq. (3) as can be seen by inserting Eq. (8) into the equation $R = 0$:

$$(X \circ Y - Y \circ X - T(X, Y)) \circ Z = [X, Y] \circ Z = X \circ (Y \circ Z) - Y \circ (X \circ Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g},$$

whereas Eq. (2) follows from the definition of the covariant differential of T :

$$0 = (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z) = X \circ T(Y, Z) - T(X \circ Y, Z) - T(Y, X \circ Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Moreover, by the bijection between left-invariant affine connections on a Lie group and binary operations on its Lie algebra [40, page 70], there is a bijection between flat left-invariant affine connections with constant torsion on a Lie group and compatible post-Lie algebra structures on its Lie algebra. In particular, (\mathfrak{g}, \circ) is a pre-Lie algebra when the left-invariant affine connection ∇ is flat and torsion-free, i.e., $R = 0 = T$. Recall that giving an (left-invariant) **affine structure** on a Lie group G means equipping G with a flat and torsion-free (left-invariant) affine connection. Thus there is a natural bijection between left-invariant affine structures on a Lie group and compatible pre-Lie algebra structures on its Lie algebra [15].

2.2. Generalized pseudo-Hessian Lie groups and generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras.

Recall that a **Hessian (resp. pseudo-Hessian) Lie group** [16, 42, 43] is a triple $(G, \nabla, \langle -, - \rangle)$ where G is a connected Lie group, ∇ is a flat and torsion-free left-invariant affine connection and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is a left-invariant Riemannian (resp. pseudo-Riemannian) metric on G , satisfying the Codazzi equation:

$$\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle - \langle X, \nabla_Y Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_Y X, Z \rangle - \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (11)$$

We introduce the notion of a generalized Hessian Lie group whose connection has constant torsion instead of the torsion-free condition, extending the Hessian structures on Lie groups.

Definition 2.5. A **generalized Hessian (resp. pseudo-Hessian) Lie group** is a triple $(G, \nabla, \langle -, - \rangle)$ where G is a connected Lie group, ∇ is a flat left-invariant affine connection with constant torsion T and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is a left-invariant Riemannian (resp. pseudo-Riemannian) metric satisfying the Codazzi equation (11) and

$$\langle T(X, Y), Z \rangle = \langle X, T(Y, Z) \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (12)$$

Remark 2.6. When the connection is torsion-free, a generalized (pseudo-)Hessian Lie group reduces to a (pseudo-)Hessian Lie group.

The following conclusion is obvious.

Proposition 2.7. Let $(G, \nabla, \langle -, - \rangle)$ be a generalized Hessian Lie group. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ be the Lie algebra of G . Define \circ and T by Eqs. (7) and (8) respectively. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, -T(-, -))$ is a post-Lie algebra and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is an inner product on \mathfrak{g} satisfying Eqs. (11) and (12).

This result motivates us to introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.8. A bilinear form \mathcal{B} on a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is called **invariant** if

$$\mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = \mathcal{B}(x, [y, z]), \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(x \circ y, z) - \mathcal{B}(x, y \circ z) = \mathcal{B}(y \circ x, z) - \mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (14)$$

A **generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra** is a quadruple $(A, \circ, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ where $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra and \mathcal{B} is a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$.

Remark 2.9. Recall the following two notions.

- (a) A bilinear form \mathcal{B} on a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is called **invariant** if \mathcal{B} satisfies Eq. (13). A Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B} is called a **quadratic Lie algebra** and denoted by $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$.
- (b) A **pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra** [37] is a triple (A, \circ, \mathcal{B}) where (A, \circ) is a pre-Lie algebra and \mathcal{B} is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form satisfying Eq. (14). In fact, a bilinear form \mathcal{B} on a pre-Lie algebra (A, \circ) satisfying Eq. (14) is called a **2-cocycle** on (A, \circ) in the sense of the cohomology theory of pre-Lie algebras [4].

Thus a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ reduces to a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra if $[-, -] = 0$, whereas it reduces to a quadratic Lie algebra if $\circ = 0$.

It is well known that a connected Lie group admits a bi-invariant Riemannian metric if and only if its Lie algebra admits an invariant inner product [28].

Example 2.10. Let G be an n -dimensional connected Lie group G and $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ be its Lie algebra. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be a basis of $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$. A left-invariant affine connection ∇ on G is therefore uniquely determined by the n^3 Christoffel coefficients Γ_{ij}^k defined by

$$\nabla_{X_i} X_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k X_k, \quad \forall X_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This identifies ∇ with a linear map $\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$, under which the torsion and curvature become

$$T(X, Y) = \Lambda_X Y - \Lambda_Y X - [X, Y], \quad R(X, Y)Z = [\Lambda_X, \Lambda_Y]Z - \Lambda_{[X, Y]}Z, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

- (a) The case when $\Lambda = 0$ corresponds to a flat left-invariant affine connection ∇^- with constant torsion given by $T(X, Y) = -[X, Y]$ such that $\nabla_X^- Y = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, which is called the **(-)-connection** of G . It defines the trivial post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ = 0, [-, -])$.

(b) The case when $\Lambda = \text{ad}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} T(X, Y) &= [X, Y] - [Y, X] - [X, Y] = [X, Y], \\ R(X, Y)Z &= [X, [[Y, Z]] - [Y, [X, Z]] - [[X, Y], Z] = 0, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding connection ∇^+ , called the **(+)-connection** of G , again has constant torsion and $\nabla_X^+ Y = [X, Y]$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. It defines the post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ = [-, -], [-, -]^{\text{op}})$.

If there is a bi-invariant Riemannian metric $\langle -, - \rangle$ on G , then $\langle -, - \rangle$ is an invariant inner product on $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$. Thus $(G, \nabla^-, \langle -, - \rangle)$ and $(G, \nabla^+, \langle -, - \rangle)$ are generalized Hessian Lie groups and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is an invariant inner product on the post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, 0, [-, -])$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], [-, -]^{\text{op}})$ respectively. Both the $(-)$ and $(+)$ -connections on Lie groups were first introduced by Cartan and Schouten [18] and are called **Cartan-Schouten connections**. See [17, 38, 40] for more details.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra and \mathcal{B} be an invariant bilinear form on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. Define a bilinear form ω by*

$$\omega(x, y) := \mathcal{B}(x, y) - \mathcal{B}(y, x), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Then ω is a 2-cocycle of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(A), \{-, -\})$, that is, ω satisfies

$$\omega(\{x, y\}, z) + \omega(\{y, z\}, x) + \omega(\{z, x\}, y) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

Proof. The 2-cycle condition is verified directly applying (5). \square

Moreover, from a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra, we naturally get another one.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ be a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra. Then \mathcal{B} is an invariant bilinear form on the post-Lie algebra $(A, *, [-, -]^{\text{op}})$ given in Proposition 2.4, such that $(A, *, [-, -]^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B})$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra.*

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}(x * y, z) - \mathcal{B}(x, y * z) - \mathcal{B}(y * x, z) + \mathcal{B}(y, x * z) \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \mathcal{B}(x \circ y + [x, y], z) - \mathcal{B}(x, y \circ z + [y, z]) - \mathcal{B}(y \circ x + [y, x], z) + \mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z + [x, z]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

2.3. Generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras from quadratic Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight one.

Definition 2.13. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra. A **Rota-Baxter operator of weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$** on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is a linear map $P : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$[P(x), P(y)] = P([P(x), y] + [x, P(y)] + \lambda[x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (15)$$

A Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ with a Rota-Baxter operator P of weight λ is called a **Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight λ** and denoted by $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], P)$.

Proposition 2.14. [9] *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight one. Define*

$$x \circ y := [P(x), y], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (16)$$

*Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra, called the **induced post-Lie algebra** from the Rota-Baxter Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], P)$.*

Proposition 2.15. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight one and $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, [-, -])$ be the induced post-Lie algebra. If there is an invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B} on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$, then \mathcal{B} is invariant on the post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, [-, -])$. In particular, if $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], P, \mathcal{B})$ is a **quadratic Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight one** in the sense that $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], P)$ is Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight one and $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ is a quadratic Lie algebra, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra.*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{B}(x \circ y, z) = \mathcal{B}([P(x), y], z) = -\mathcal{B}(y, [P(x), z]) = -\mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z). \quad (17)$$

Then Eq. (14) follows, giving the conclusion. \square

Remark 2.16. A bilinear form \mathcal{B} on a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is called **left-invariant** if \mathcal{B} satisfies Eqs. (13) and (17). In the case of pre-Lie algebras, a left-invariant bilinear form is automatically a 2-cocycle. Moreover, there is a natural bijection between the set of pre-Lie algebras with a nondegenerate symmetric left-invariant bilinear form and the set of connected and simply connected Lie groups with a left-invariant flat pseudo-metric [7, 11, 33].

Example 2.17. Let $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be the 3-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra with a basis:

$$\left\{ e_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_3 = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad i = \sqrt{-1},$$

whose products are given by $[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_3, e_1] = e_2$. The Killing form κ on $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\kappa(e_i, e_j) := \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(e_i)\text{ad}(e_j)) = -2\delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

is a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form. The linear operator P on $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$P(e_1) = e_1, \quad P(e_2) = -\frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3, \quad P(e_3) = -\frac{i}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_3,$$

is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight one [39]. By Proposition 2.15, κ is also invariant on the induced post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \circ, [-, -])$ with \circ explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \circ e_1 &= 0, & e_2 \circ e_1 &= \frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, & e_3 \circ e_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3, & e_1 \circ e_2 &= e_3, \\ e_2 \circ e_2 &= -\frac{i}{2}e_1, & e_3 \circ e_2 &= \frac{1}{2}e_1, & e_1 \circ e_3 &= -e_2, & e_2 \circ e_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_1, & e_3 \circ e_3 &= -\frac{i}{2}e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \circ, [-, -], \kappa)$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra.

Example 2.18. Recall [25] that a Rota-Baxter operator $P : G \rightarrow G$ on a Lie group G is a smooth map satisfying

$$P(g_1)P(g_2) = P(g_1P(g_1)g_2(P(g_1))^{-1}), \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

Then the map P_{*e} , which is the tangent of P at the identity e , is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight one on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$. Thus there is an induced post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, [-, -])$ where the operation \circ is defined by Eq. (16). Moreover, if G is a connected Lie group equipped with a Rota-Baxter operator P and a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle -, - \rangle$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \circ, [-, -], \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra by Proposition 2.15.

3. PARTIAL-PRE-POST-LIE ALGEBRAS

We introduce the notion of partial-pre-post-Lie algebras (pp-post-Lie algebras) and then study some basic properties. They are characterized in terms of representations of post-Lie algebras, illustrating a ‘‘partial’’ splitting of operations of post-Lie algebras. Such a characterization is further interpreted in terms of an analog of \mathcal{O} -operators, namely, dual p- \mathcal{O} -operators. As a consequence, pp-post-Lie algebras are obtained from generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras.

3.1. Partial-pre-post-Lie algebras and post-Lie algebras.

Definition 3.1. A **partial-pre-post-Lie algebra (pp-post-Lie algebra)** is a quadruple $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$, where $(A, [-, -])$ is a Lie algebra and $\triangleright, \triangleleft : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ are binary operations satisfying

$$x \triangleleft [y, z] = [x, y] \triangleleft z + [z, x] \triangleleft y, \quad (18)$$

$$[x, y \triangleleft z + z \triangleleft y] = [x, z] \triangleleft y + y \triangleleft [x, z] = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$x \triangleright [y, z] - [y, z] \triangleleft x = [x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y, z] + [y, x \triangleright z - z \triangleleft x], \quad (20)$$

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleleft z) = (x \triangleright y - y \triangleleft x) \triangleleft z + y \triangleleft (x \triangleright z + x \triangleleft z) - [x, y \triangleleft z], \quad (21)$$

$$\{x, y\} \triangleright z = x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) - y \triangleright (x \triangleright z) + [y, x \triangleleft z] - [x, y \triangleleft z] - [x, y] \triangleleft z, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\{x, y\} := x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y - y \triangleright x - y \triangleleft x + [x, y], \quad x, y, z \in A. \quad (23)$$

Remark 3.2. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra.

- (a) If the Lie bracket $[-, -]$ is abelian, then $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is an L-dendriform algebra. Here recall [13] that an **L-dendriform algebra** is a triple $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, where A is a vector space and $\triangleright, \triangleleft : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ are binary operations satisfying the following equations.

$$(x \triangleright y - y \triangleleft x) \triangleleft z = x \triangleright (y \triangleleft z) - y \triangleleft (x \triangleright z + x \triangleleft z), \quad (24)$$

$$(x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y - y \triangleright x - y \triangleleft x) \triangleright z = x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) - y \triangleright (x \triangleright z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (25)$$

- (b) If the operation \triangleleft is trivial, then $(A, \triangleright, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra.

Lemma 3.3. Let $(A, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra and $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ be binary operations on A . Define a binary operation $\{-, -\}$ by Eq. (23) and a binary operation \circ by

$$x \circ y := x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (26)$$

Then the following conclusions hold.

- (a) If Eq. (20) holds, then Eq. (19) holds if and only if Eq. (2) holds.
(b) If Eq. (21) holds, then Eq. (22) holds if and only if Eq. (3) holds.

Proof. (a). Let $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that Eq. (19) holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ [y, z] &= x \triangleright [y, z] + x \triangleleft [y, z] \stackrel{(19)}{=} x \triangleright [y, z] - [y, z] \triangleleft x \\ &\stackrel{(20)}{=} [x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y, z] + [y, x \triangleright z - z \triangleleft x] \stackrel{(19)}{=} [x \circ y, z] + [y, x \circ z]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Eq. (2) holds. Conversely, suppose that Eq. (2) holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright [y, z] + x \triangleleft [y, z] &\stackrel{(2)}{=} [x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y, z] + [y, x \triangleright z + x \triangleleft z] \\ &\stackrel{(20)}{=} x \triangleright [y, z] - [y, z] \triangleleft x - [y, x \triangleright z - z \triangleleft x] + [y, x \triangleright z + x \triangleleft z] \\ &= x \triangleright [y, z] - [y, z] \triangleleft x + [y, z \triangleleft x + x \triangleleft z]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$x \triangleleft [y, z] + [y, z] \triangleleft x = [y, x \triangleleft z + z \triangleleft x].$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleleft [y, z] + [y, z] \triangleleft x &= z \triangleleft [y, x] + [y, x] \triangleleft z, \\ x \triangleleft [z, y] + [z, y] \triangleleft x &= y \triangleleft [z, x] + [z, x] \triangleleft y, \\ y \triangleleft [x, z] + [x, z] \triangleleft y &= z \triangleleft [x, y] + [x, y] \triangleleft z. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the above equations, we have $2(y \triangleleft [x, z] + [x, z] \triangleleft y) = 0$ and hence Eq. (19) holds.

(b). Let $x, y, z \in A$. By Eq. (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright (y \triangleleft z) - y \triangleright (x \triangleleft z) &= (x \triangleright y - y \triangleleft x - y \triangleright x + x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z + y \triangleleft (x \circ z) - x \triangleleft (y \circ z) \\ &\quad - [x, y \triangleleft z] + [y, x \triangleleft z] \\ &= (\{x, y\} - [x, y]) \triangleleft z + y \triangleleft (x \circ z) - x \triangleleft (y \circ z) - [x, y \triangleleft z] + [y, x \triangleleft z]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y\} \triangleright z - x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) + y \triangleright (x \triangleright z) - [y, x \triangleleft z] + [x, y \triangleleft z] + [x, y] \triangleleft z \\ &= \{x, y\} \triangleright z - x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) + y \triangleright (x \triangleright z) - (x \triangleright (y \triangleleft z) - y \triangleright (x \triangleleft z) - \{x, y\} \triangleleft z - y \triangleleft (x \circ z) + x \triangleleft (y \circ z)) \\ &= \{x, y\} \circ z - x \triangleright (y \circ z) + y \triangleright (x \circ z) + y \triangleleft (x \circ z) - x \triangleleft (y \circ z) \\ &= \{x, y\} \circ z - x \circ (y \circ z) + y \circ (x \circ z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Eq. (22) holds if and only if Eq. (3) holds. \square

Therefore we have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra. Define a binary operation \circ by Eq. (26). Then $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra. It is called the associated **horizontal post-Lie algebra** of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ and $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is called a **compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure** on the post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra.*

(a) *Define a binary operation \bullet by*

$$x \bullet y := x \triangleright y - y \triangleleft x, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (27)$$

*Then $(A, \bullet, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra. It is called the associated **vertical post-Lie algebra** of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ and $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is called a **compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure** on the post-Lie algebra $(A, \bullet, [-, -])$.*

(b) *The associated horizontal post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ and vertical post-Lie algebra $(A, \bullet, [-, -])$ have the same sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(A), \{-, -\})$ with $\{-, -\}$ defined by Eq. (23). It is called the **sub-adjacent Lie algebra** of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$.*

Proof. (a). It follows from a similar proof as the one for the sufficient part of Lemma 3.3.

(b). It follows from Proposition 2.3, Corollary 3.4, Item (a) and the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= x \circ y - y \circ x + [x, y] = x \bullet y - y \bullet x + [x, y] \\ &= x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y - y \triangleright x - y \triangleleft x + [x, y] \quad \forall x, y \in A. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Example 3.6. There exists a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ on the post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \circ, [-, -])$ in Example 2.17 where \triangleright and \triangleleft are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \triangleright e_1 &= 0, \quad e_2 \triangleright e_1 = e_3, \quad e_3 \triangleright e_1 = -e_2, \quad e_1 \triangleright e_2 = \frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad e_2 \triangleright e_2 = -\frac{i}{2}e_1, \\ e_3 \triangleright e_2 &= \frac{5}{2}e_1, \quad e_1 \triangleright e_3 = -\frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3, \quad e_2 \triangleright e_3 = -\frac{5}{2}e_1, \quad e_3 \triangleright e_3 = -\frac{i}{2}e_1, \quad e_1 \triangleleft e_1 = 0, \\ e_2 \triangleleft e_1 &= \frac{i}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad e_3 \triangleleft e_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3, \quad e_1 \triangleleft e_2 = -\frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad e_2 \triangleleft e_2 = 0, \quad e_3 \triangleleft e_2 = -2e_1, \\ e_1 \triangleleft e_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{i}{2}e_3, \quad e_2 \triangleleft e_3 = 2e_1, \quad e_3 \triangleleft e_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that both the associated horizontal and vertical post-Lie algebras of $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ are $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \circ, [-, -])$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra. Define*

$$\triangleright^t, \triangleleft^t : A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \quad x \triangleright^t y = x \triangleright y, \quad x \triangleleft^t y = -y \triangleleft x, \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

*Then $(A, \triangleright^t, \triangleleft^t, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra, which is called the **transpose** of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. The associated horizontal post-Lie algebra of $(A, \triangleright^t, \triangleleft^t, [-, -])$ is the associated vertical post-Lie algebra $(A, \bullet, [-, -])$ of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ and the associated vertical post-Lie algebra of $(A, \triangleright^t, \triangleleft^t, [-, -])$ is the associated horizontal post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$, that is,*

$$\bullet^t = \circ, \quad \circ^t = \bullet.$$

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

Thus it is enough to only consider the associated horizontal post-Lie algebra of a pp-post-Lie algebra.

3.2. Partial splitting of operations of post-Lie algebras and pp-post-Lie algebras. We interpret pp-post-Lie algebras in terms of representations of post-Lie algebras.

Recall that a **representation of a Lie algebra** $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is a pair $(V; \rho)$, where V is a vector space and $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a linear map satisfying $\rho([x, y]) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 3.8. [45] Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra. A **representation** of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is a quadruple $(V; l, r, \rho)$ where V is a vector space and $l, r, \rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ are linear maps, such that $(V; \rho)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra $(A, [-, -])$ and l, r satisfy the following equations.

$$\rho(x \circ y) = l(x)\rho(y) - \rho(y)l(x), \quad (28)$$

$$r([x, y]) = \rho(x)r(y) - \rho(y)r(x), \quad (29)$$

$$r(x \circ y) = l(x)r(y) - r(y)(l(x) - r(x) + \rho(x)), \quad (30)$$

$$l(\{x, y\}) = l(x)l(y) - l(y)l(x), \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (31)$$

where $\{-, -\}$ is defined by Eq. (5). Representations $(V_1; l_1, r_1, \rho_1)$ and $(V_2; l_2, r_2, \rho_2)$ of a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ are **equivalent** if there exists a linear isomorphism $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that

$$\varphi(l_1(x)v) = l_2(x)\varphi(v), \quad \varphi(r_1(x)v) = r_2(x)\varphi(v), \quad \varphi(\rho_1(x)v) = \rho_2(x)\varphi(v), \quad \forall x \in A, v \in V_1.$$

Example 3.9. Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra. Then $(A; L_\circ, R_\circ, \text{ad})$ is a representation of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$, called the **adjoint representation** of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra and V be a vector space. Let $l, r, \rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ be linear maps. Then the quadruple $(V; l, r, \rho)$ is a representation of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ if and only if there is a post-Lie algebra structure on $A \oplus V$ with the binary operations $\circ_{A \oplus V}$ and $[-, -]_{A \oplus V}$ respectively defined by*

$$(x_1 + v_1) \circ_{A \oplus V} (x_2 + v_2) = x_1 \circ x_2 + l(x_1)v_2 + r(x_2)v_1, \quad (32)$$

$$[x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2]_{A \oplus V} = [x_1, x_2] + \rho(x_1)v_2 - \rho(x_2)v_1, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in A, v_1, v_2 \in V. \quad (33)$$

We denote this post-Lie algebra structure on $A \oplus V$ by $A \ltimes_{l,r,\rho} V$.

Proof. It is a special case of the matched pair of post-Lie algebras in Proposition 4.1 when $B = V$ is equipped with the zero multiplications. \square

Let V and A be vector spaces. For a linear map $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$, define a linear map $\rho^* : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V^*)$ by

$$\langle \rho^*(x)v^*, u \rangle = -\langle v^*, \rho(x)u \rangle, \quad \forall x \in A, u \in V, v^* \in V^*, \quad (34)$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the standard pairing between V and V^* .

Proposition 3.11. *Let $(A, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra and $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ be binary operations on A . Define a binary operation \circ by Eq. (26). Then $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra if and only if $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra of which $(A^*; L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, -R_{\triangleleft}^*, \text{ad}^*)$ is a representation.*

Proof. It is known that $(A^*; \text{ad}^*)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra $(A, [-, -])$. We rewrite Eqs. (28)–(31) in the case that $l = L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*$, $r = -R_{\triangleleft}^*$ and $\rho = \text{ad}^*$, which will be labeled by Eqs. (28')–(31') respectively. Define a binary operation \bullet by Eq. (27). Let $x, y, z \in A$, $a^* \in A^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\text{ad}^*(x \circ y) - [(L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*)(x), \text{ad}^*(y)])a^*, z \rangle &= \langle a^*, ([(L_{\triangleright} - R_{\triangleleft})(x), \text{ad}(y)] - \text{ad}(x \circ y))z \rangle \\ &= \langle a^*, -[x \circ y, z] + x \bullet [y, z] - [y, x \bullet z] \rangle; \\ \langle (-R_{\triangleleft}^*([x, y]) + \text{ad}^*(x)R_{\triangleleft}^*(y) - \text{ad}^*(y)R_{\triangleleft}^*(x))a^*, z \rangle &= \langle a^*, (R_{\triangleleft}([x, y]) + R_{\triangleleft}(y)\text{ad}(x) - R_{\triangleleft}(x)\text{ad}(y))z \rangle \\ &= \langle a^*, z \triangleleft [x, y] + [x, z] \triangleleft y - [y, z] \triangleleft x \rangle; \\ \langle (-R_{\triangleleft}^*(x \circ y) + (L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*)(x)R_{\triangleleft}^*(y) - R_{\triangleleft}^*(y)(L_{\triangleright}^*(x) + \text{ad}^*(x)))a^*, z \rangle & \\ = \langle a^*, (R_{\triangleleft}(x \circ y) + R_{\triangleleft}(y)(L_{\triangleright} - R_{\triangleleft})(x) - (L_{\triangleright}(x) + \text{ad}(x))R_{\triangleleft}(y))z \rangle & \\ = \langle a^*, z \triangleleft (x \circ y) + (x \bullet z) \triangleleft y - x \triangleright (z \triangleleft y) - [x, z \triangleleft y] \rangle. & \end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (20) \iff Eq. (28'); Eq. (18) \iff Eq. (29'); Eq. (21) \iff Eq. (30').

(\implies) Suppose that $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra and (28')–(31') hold. In particular, Eqs. (18), (20) and (21) hold. Hence by Lemma 3.3, $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra.

(\impliedby) Suppose that $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra. By Corollary 3.4, $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra. Moreover, Eqs. (28')–(30') hold and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle ((L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*)([x, y]) - [(L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*)(x), (L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*)(y)])a^*, z \rangle \\ &= \langle a^*, -\{x, y\} \circ z + \{x, y\} \triangleleft z + x \triangleright (y \bullet z) - (y \bullet z) \triangleleft x - y \triangleright (x \bullet z) + (x \bullet z) \triangleleft y + z \triangleleft \{x, y\} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \langle a^*, -x \circ (y \circ z) + y \circ (x \circ z) + \{x, y\} \triangleleft z + x \triangleright (y \bullet z) - (y \bullet z) \triangleleft x - y \triangleright (x \bullet z) \\ &\quad + (x \bullet z) \triangleleft y + z \triangleleft \{x, y\} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \langle a^*, [x, z \triangleleft y] + [x, y \triangleleft z] - [y, x \triangleleft z] - [y, z \triangleleft x] + z \triangleleft [y, x] + [y, x] \triangleleft z \rangle \stackrel{(2),(20)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, Eq. (31') holds automatically. Hence $(A^*; L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, -R_{\triangleleft}^*, \text{ad}^*)$ is a representation of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. \square

Remark 3.12. In fact, similarly, if Eqs. (28'), (30') and (2) hold, then (31') hold if and only if Eq. (22), or equivalently, Eq. (3) holds. Hence $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra if and only if Eqs. (2) (or equivalently, (19)) and (28')–(31') hold.

There is a theory of splitting the operations of algebras in terms of the successors of operads [6]. Explicitly, a binary operation \circ on a vector space A is split into a sum of two binary operations $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ such that $(A; L_{\triangleright}, R_{\triangleleft})$ gives a natural module structure (representation) of the algebra (A, \circ) . In this sense, the operad of the algebras with operations $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ is the successor of the operad of the algebras with the operation \circ . For example, the operad of L-dendriform algebras is the successor of the operad of pre-Lie algebras, that is, the operation of a pre-Lie algebra is split into the

sum of two operations defining an L-dendriform algebra as above. In this sense, one can also define the (ordinary) splitting of the operations of a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ to be an algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, \star)$ satisfying

$$x \circ y = x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y, \quad [x, y] = x \star y - y \star x, \quad \forall x, y \in A,$$

and $(A; L_{\triangleright}, R_{\triangleleft}, L_{\star})$ is a representation of the post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. Moreover, the operad of such algebras is the successor of the operad of post-Lie algebras. Such an algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, \star)$ is called a **pre-post-Lie algebra**. Note that in this case, both the operations \circ and $[-, -]$ are split.

Remark 3.13. The pp-post-Lie algebra has the following special properties that is worth noting.

- (a) Proposition 3.11 demonstrates a new kind of splitting property of a post-Lie algebra, that is, the split of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ into the pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is “partial” in the sense that, unlike the pre-post-Lie algebra just defined above, the operation \circ splits into the sum of the operations \triangleright and \triangleleft , whereas the Lie bracket $[-, -]$ remains unchanged.
- (b) The characterization of pp-post-Lie algebras is given in terms of post-Lie algebras with the specific representations on the dual spaces instead of the representations on the underlying vector spaces themselves. Note that if there is a well-constructed dual representation for any representation of an algebra (such an algebra is called proper in [30]) such as pre-Lie algebras, then obviously, the splitting of the operations can be characterized in terms of the algebras with the specific representations on the underlying vector spaces themselves as well as equivalently their dual representations (on the dual spaces). Unfortunately, there is not a characterization of a pp-post-Lie algebra in terms of a post-Lie algebra with a specific representations on the underlying vector space itself, due to the fact that no dual representation of a representation of a post-Lie algebra has been found.

Similar to the \mathcal{O} -operators (or relative Rota-Baxter operators) giving a further interpretation of the usual splitting of operations [6], we give an interpretation of pp-post-Lie algebras as the partial splitting of operations of post-Lie algebras in terms of analogs of \mathcal{O} -operators. However, note that these operators are defined on the dual spaces of the representation spaces instead of the representation spaces themselves.

Definition 3.14. Let $(V; l, r, \rho)$ be a representation of a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. A linear map $T : V^* \rightarrow A$ is called a **dual p- \mathcal{O} -operator** on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to $(V; l, r, \rho)$ if

$$T(u^*) \circ T(v^*) = T((l^* - r^*)(T(u^*))v^* - r^*(T(v^*))u^*), \quad (35)$$

$$[T(u^*), T(v^*)] = T(\rho^*(T(u^*))v^*) = -T(\rho^*(T(v^*))u^*), \quad \forall u^*, v^* \in V^*. \quad (36)$$

In addition, a dual p- \mathcal{O} -operator is called **strong** if T satisfies

$$\rho^*(T(u^*))v^* = -\rho^*(T(v^*))u^*, \quad (37)$$

$$\rho^*(T(u^*))\left(r^*(T(v^*))w^* + r^*(T(w^*))v^*\right) = r^*\left([T(u^*), T(w^*)\right]v^* + r^*(T(v^*))\rho^*(T(u^*))w^* = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\rho^*\left([T(u^*), T(v^*)\right]w^* + \rho^*\left([T(v^*), T(w^*)\right]u^* + \rho^*\left([T(w^*), T(u^*)\right]v^* = 0, \quad \forall u^*, v^*, w^* \in V^*. \quad (39)$$

Proposition 3.15. Let $(V; l, r, \rho)$ be a representation of a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. Let $T : V^* \rightarrow A$ be a dual p- \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to $(V; l, r, \rho)$. Define three binary operations $\triangleright_{V^*}, \triangleleft_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*} : V^* \otimes V^* \rightarrow V^*$ by

$$u^* \triangleright_{V^*} v^* := (l^* - r^*)(T(u^*))v^*, \quad u^* \triangleleft_{V^*} v^* := -r^*(T(v^*))u^*, \quad [u^*, v^*]_{V^*} := \rho^*(T(u^*))v^*, \quad (40)$$

for all $u^*, v^* \in V^*$. Then $(V^*, \triangleright_{V^*}, \triangleleft_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*})$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra if and only if T is strong.

Proof. It is obvious that $(V^*, [-, -]_{V^*})$ is a Lie algebra if and only if T satisfies Eqs. (37) and (39).

(\implies) Suppose that $(V^*, \triangleright_{V^*}, \triangleleft_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*})$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra. Let $u^*, v^*, w^* \in V^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(T(u^*))\left(r^*(T(v^*))w^* + r^*(T(w^*))v^*\right) &= -[u^*, v^* \triangleleft w^* + w^* \triangleleft v^*] \\ &\stackrel{(19)}{=} -[u^*, w^*] \triangleleft v^* - v^* \triangleleft [u^*, w^*] = r^*\left([T(u^*), T(w^*)]\right)v^* + r^*(T(v^*))\rho^*(T(u^*))w^* \stackrel{(19)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Eq. (38) holds and hence T is strong.

(\impliedby) Suppose that T is strong. Let $u^*, v^*, w^* \in V^*, a \in V$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u^* \triangleleft [v^*, w^*] - [u^*, v^*] \triangleleft w^* - [w^*, u^*] \triangleleft v^*, a \rangle \\ &= \langle -r^*\left(T(\rho^*(T(v^*))w^*)\right)u^* + r^*(T(w^*))\rho^*(T(u^*))v^* + r^*(T(v^*))\rho^*(T(w^*))u^*, a \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(36),(37)}{=} \langle -r^*\left([T(v^*), T(w^*)]\right)u^* - r^*(T(w^*))\rho^*(T(v^*))u^* + r^*(T(v^*))\rho^*(T(w^*))u^*, a \rangle \\ &= \langle u^*, -\left(\rho(T(v^*))r(T(w^*)) - \rho(T(w^*))r(T(v^*)) - r([T(v^*), T(w^*)])\right)a \rangle \stackrel{(29)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Eq. (18) holds. Similarly, Eqs. (19)-(22) hold. Thus $(V^*, \triangleright_{V^*}, \triangleleft_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*})$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra. \square

Proposition 3.16. *If a dual p - Θ -operator on a post-Lie algebra is invertible, then it is strong.*

Proof. Suppose that T is an invertible dual p - Θ -operator on a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to a representation $(V; l, r, \rho)$. Define binary operations $\triangleright_{V^*}, \triangleleft_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*} : V^* \otimes V^* \rightarrow V^*$ by Eq. (40). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^* \circ_{V^*} v^* &:= u^* \triangleright_{V^*} v^* + u^* \triangleleft_{V^*} v^* = (l^* - r^*)(T(u^*))v^* - r^*(T(v^*))u^* = T^{-1}(T(u^*) \circ T(v^*)) \\ [u^*, v^*]_{V^*} &= \rho^*(T(u^*))v^* = T^{-1}([T(u^*), T(v^*)]), \quad \forall u^*, v^* \in A^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(V^*, \circ_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*})$ is a post-Lie algebra. Moreover, it is straightforward to show that Eqs. (18), (20) and (21) hold. By Lemma 3.3, $(V^*, \triangleright_{V^*}, \triangleleft_{V^*}, [-, -]_{V^*})$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra. Then by Proposition 3.15, T is strong. \square

Theorem 3.17. *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be a post-Lie algebra. There is a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ if and only if there is an invertible dual p - Θ -operator on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to a representation $(V; l, r, \rho)$. In this case, $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ are defined by*

$$x \triangleright y := T((l^* - r^*)(x)T^{-1}(y)), \quad x \triangleleft y := -T(r^*(y)T^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (41)$$

Proof. (\implies) By Proposition 3.11, $(A^*; L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, -R_{\triangleleft}^*, \text{ad}^*)$ is a representation of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. For all $x, y \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}(x) \circ \text{id}(y) &= x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y = \text{id}\left(\left((L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*)^* - (-R_{\triangleleft}^*)^*\right)(\text{id}(x))y - (-R_{\triangleleft}^*)^*(\text{id}(y))x\right), \\ [\text{id}(x), \text{id}(y)] &= [x, y] = \text{id}\left((\text{ad}^*)^*(\text{id}(x))y\right) = -[y, x] = -\text{id}\left((\text{ad}^*)^*(\text{id}(y))x\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{id} : (A^*)^* \cong A \rightarrow A$ is an invertible dual p - Θ -operator on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to the representation $(A^*; L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, -R_{\triangleleft}^*, \text{ad}^*)$.

(\impliedby) Suppose that T is an invertible dual p - Θ -operator on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to a representation $(V; l, r, \rho)$. Then we have

$$x \circ y \stackrel{(35)}{=} T((l^* - r^*)(x)T^{-1}(y) - r^*(y)T^{-1}(x)) \stackrel{(41)}{=} x \triangleright y + x \triangleleft y, \quad [x, y] \stackrel{(36)}{=} T(\rho^*(x)T^{-1}(y)),$$

for all $x, y \in A$. By Propositions 3.15 and 3.16, $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$, where the binary operations $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ are defined by Eq. (41). \square

3.3. Pp-post-Lie algebras from generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebras.

Proposition 3.18. *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ be a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra. Then there exists a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ with $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{B}(x \triangleright y, z) = -\mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z - z \circ x), \quad (42)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(x \triangleleft y, z) = \mathcal{B}(x, z \circ y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (43)$$

Moreover, the representations $(A; L_\circ, R_\circ, \text{ad})$ and $(A^*; L_\triangleright^* - R_\triangleleft^*, -R_\triangleleft^*, \text{ad}^*)$ of the post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ are equivalent.

Conversely, let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure on a post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. If $(A; L_\circ, R_\circ, \text{ad})$ and $(A^*; L_\triangleright^* - R_\triangleleft^*, -R_\triangleleft^*, \text{ad}^*)$ are equivalent as representations of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$, then there exists a nondegenerate bilinear form \mathcal{B} on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ satisfying Eq. (13) and the equation

$$\mathcal{B}(x \circ y, z) = -\mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z - z \circ x) + \mathcal{B}(z \circ y, x), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (44)$$

In particular, if \mathcal{B} is symmetric, then $(A, \circ, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra.

Proof. Define an invertible linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow A^*$ by

$$\langle \varphi(x), y \rangle = \mathcal{B}(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

For all $u^*, v^*, w^* \in A^*$, there exist $x, y, z \in A$ such that $u^* = \varphi(x), v^* = \varphi(y), w^* = \varphi(z)$. Therefore

$$\langle \varphi(x \circ y), z \rangle = \langle (L_\circ^* - R_\circ^*)(x)\varphi(y), z \rangle - \langle R_\circ^*(y)\varphi(x), z \rangle, \quad \langle \varphi([x, y]), z \rangle = \langle \text{ad}^*(x)\varphi(y), z \rangle.$$

Then we obtain

$$\varphi^{-1}(u^*) \circ \varphi^{-1}(v^*) = \varphi^{-1}((L_\circ^* - R_\circ^*)(\varphi^{-1}(u^*))v^* - R_\circ^*(\varphi^{-1}(v^*))u^*), \quad [\varphi^{-1}(u^*), \varphi^{-1}(v^*)] = \varphi^{-1}(\text{ad}^*(\varphi^{-1}(u^*))v^*).$$

Hence $\varphi^{-1} : A^* \rightarrow A$ is an invertible dual p- \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ associated to the representation $(A; L_\circ, R_\circ, \text{ad})$. By Theorem 3.17, there is a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ on $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ with $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ defined by Eq. (41) in taking $T := \varphi^{-1}, l := L_\circ, r := R_\circ$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(x \triangleright y, z) &= \langle \varphi(x \triangleright y), z \rangle = \langle (L_\circ^* - R_\circ^*)(x)\varphi(y), z \rangle = -\mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z - z \circ x), \\ \mathcal{B}(x \triangleleft y, z) &= \langle \varphi(x \triangleleft y), z \rangle = -\langle R_\circ^*(y)\varphi(x), z \rangle = \mathcal{B}(x, z \circ y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the symmetry of \mathcal{B} , we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(x \circ y, z) = \mathcal{B}(x, z \triangleleft y), \quad (45)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(x \circ y, z) = \mathcal{B}(z, y \circ x) - \mathcal{B}(x \triangleright z, y) = \mathcal{B}(z \triangleleft x, y) - \mathcal{B}(y, x \triangleright z) = \mathcal{B}(y, z \triangleleft x - x \triangleright z). \quad (46)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(L_\circ(x)y), z \rangle &= \mathcal{B}(y, z \triangleleft x - x \triangleright z) = \langle (L_\triangleright^* - R_\triangleleft^*)(x)\varphi(y), z \rangle, \\ \langle \varphi(R_\circ(y)x), z \rangle &= \mathcal{B}(x \circ y, z) = \mathcal{B}(x, z \triangleleft y) = \langle -R_\triangleleft^*(y)\varphi(x), z \rangle, \\ \langle \varphi(\text{ad}(x)y), z \rangle &= \mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = -\mathcal{B}(y, [x, z]) = \langle \text{ad}^*(x)\varphi(y), z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ gives the equivalence between $(A; L_\circ, R_\circ, \text{ad})$ and $(A^*; L_\triangleright^* - R_\triangleleft^*, -R_\triangleleft^*, \text{ad}^*)$ as the representations of $(A, \circ, [-, -])$. The converse statement can be proved by reversing the argument. \square

Corollary 3.19. *Let $(A, \circ, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ be a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra. Then there exists another post-Lie algebra $(A, \bullet, [-, -])$ with \bullet defined by*

$$\mathcal{B}(x \bullet y, z) = -\mathcal{B}(y, x \circ z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (47)$$

Moreover, $(A, \bullet, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ is also a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra.

Proof. By Proposition 3.18, there is a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ on the post-Lie algebra $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ with $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ defined by Eqs. (42)–(43) and $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ is the associated horizontal post-Lie algebra of the pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. It is straightforward to show that $(A, \bullet, [-, -])$ is the associated vertical post-Lie algebra of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ and $(A, \bullet, [-, -], \mathcal{B})$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra. \square

Proposition 3.20. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $(A, \circ, [-, -])$ be the associated horizontal post-Lie algebra. Then $(A \times_{L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, -R_{\triangleleft}^*, \text{ad}^*} A^*, \mathcal{B}_d)$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra, where \mathcal{B}_d is the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form defined by*

$$\mathcal{B}_d(x + a^*, y + b^*) := \langle x, b^* \rangle + \langle y, a^* \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*. \quad (48)$$

Proof. It is direct to check that \mathcal{B}_d is invariant on the post-Lie algebra $A \times_{L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, -R_{\triangleleft}^*, \text{ad}^*} A^*$. \square

4. PP-POST-LIE BIALGEBRAS, \mathcal{O} -OPERATORS AND CLASSICAL YANG-BAXTER EQUATION

We introduce the notions of a Manin triple of post-Lie algebras associated to an invariant bilinear form, and a pp-post-Lie bialgebra. The equivalence between them is interpreted in terms of certain matched pairs of post-Lie algebras. The study of a special class of pp-post-Lie bialgebras leads to the introduction of the PPP-CYBE in a pp-post-Lie algebra whose antisymmetric solutions give pp-post-Lie bialgebras. The PPP-CYBE is given an operator form as the \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras and the construction of skew-symmetric solutions of PPP-CYBE is given in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras.

4.1. Matched pairs, Manin triples of post-Lie algebras and pp-post-Lie bialgebras.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(B, \circ_B, [-, -]_B)$ be post-Lie algebras. Let $l_A, r_A, \rho_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(B)$ and $l_B, r_B, \rho_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$ be linear maps. Define binary operations \circ and $[-, -]$ on $A \oplus B$ by*

$$(x + a) \circ (y + b) := (x \circ_A y + l_B(a)y + r_B(b)x) + (a \circ_B b + l_A(x)b + r_A(y)a), \quad (49)$$

$$[x + a, y + b] := [x, y] + \rho_B(a)y - \rho_B(b)x + [a, b] + \rho_A(x)b - \rho_A(y)a, \quad \forall x, y \in A, a, b \in B. \quad (50)$$

Then $(A \oplus B, \circ, [-, -])$ is a post-Lie algebra if and only if the quadruples $(B; l_A, r_A, \rho_A)$ and $(A; l_B, r_B, \rho_B)$ are the representations of the post-Lie algebras $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(B, \circ_B, [-, -]_B)$ respectively, and the following conditions are satisfied.

$$\rho_A(x)([a, b]_B) = [\rho_A(x)a, b]_B + [a, \rho_A(x)b]_B + \rho_A(\rho_B(b)x)a - \rho_A(\rho_B(a)x)b, \quad (51)$$

$$\rho_A(x)(a \circ_B b) = a \circ_B \rho_A(x)b + [b, r_A(x)a]_B - \rho_A(l_B(a)x)b - r_A(\rho_B(b)x)a, \quad (52)$$

$$\rho_B(a)([x, y]_A) = [\rho_B(a)x, y]_A + [x, \rho_B(a)y]_A + \rho_B(\rho_A(y)a)x - \rho_B(\rho_A(x)a)y, \quad (53)$$

$$\rho_B(a)(x \circ_A y) = x \circ_A \rho_B(a)y + [y, r_B(a)x]_A - \rho_B(l_A(x)a)y - r_B(\rho_A(y)a)x, \quad (54)$$

$$l_A(x)([a, b]_B) = [l_A(x)a, b]_B + [a, l_A(x)b]_B + \rho_A(r_B(a)x)b - \rho_A(r_B(b)x)a, \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_A(x)(a \circ_B b) &= l_A(x)a \circ_B b + a \circ_B l_A(x)b - r_A(x)a \circ_B b + \rho_A(x)a \circ_B b \\ &\quad + r_A(r_B(b)x)a - l_A(l_B(a)x)b + l_A(r_B(a)x)b - l_A(\rho_B(a)x)b, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$l_B(a)([x, y]_A) = [l_B(a)x, y]_A + [x, l_B(a)y]_A + \rho_B(r_A(x)a)y - \rho_B(r_A(y)a)x, \quad (57)$$

$$l_B(a)(x \circ_A y) = l_B(a)x \circ_A y + x \circ_A l_B(a)y - r_B(a)x \circ_A y + \rho_B(a)x \circ_A y \\ + r_B(r_A(y)a)x - l_B(l_A(x)a)y + l_B(r_A(x)a)y - l_B(\rho_A(x)a)y, \quad (58)$$

$$r_A(x)(\{a, b\}_B) = a \circ_B r_A(x)b - b \circ_B r_A(x)a + r_A(l_B(b)x)a - r_A(l_B(a)x)b, \quad (59)$$

$$r_B(a)(\{x, y\}_A) = x \circ_A r_B(a)y - y \circ_A r_B(a)x + r_B(l_A(y)a)x - r_B(l_A(x)a)y, \quad (60)$$

for all $x, y \in A$, $a, b \in B$. In this case, we denote this post-Lie algebra by $A \bowtie_{l_B, r_B, \rho_B}^{l_A, r_A, \rho_A} B$ or simply $A \bowtie B$, and call such an octuple $(A, B, l_A, r_A, \rho_A, l_B, r_B, \rho_B)$ a **matched pair of post-Lie algebras**.

Proof. The checking is straightforward. \square

Definition 4.2. A (standard) **Manin triple of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear form** is a triple $((A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}, \mathcal{B}_d), (A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A), (A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*}))$ for which

- (a) as vector spaces, $A \oplus A^*$ is the direct sum of A and A^* ;
- (b) $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ are post-Lie subalgebras of the post-Lie algebra $(A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$;
- (c) $(A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}, \mathcal{B}_d)$ is a generalized pseudo-Hessian post-Lie algebra with \mathcal{B}_d given by Eq. (48).

Lemma 4.3. Let $((A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}, \mathcal{B}_d), (A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A), (A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*}))$ be a Manin triple of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d . Then there exists a compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A \oplus A^*, \triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$ with $\triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}$ respectively defined by Eqs. (42) and (43) through \mathcal{B}_d . Moreover, $(A, \triangleright_A =: \triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}|_{A \otimes A}, \triangleleft_A =: \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}|_{A \otimes A}, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*} =: \triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}|_{A^* \otimes A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*} =: \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}|_{A^* \otimes A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ are pp-post-Lie subalgebras whose associated horizontal post-Lie algebras are $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ respectively.

Proof. The first conclusion follows from Proposition 3.18. Let $x, y \in A$. Suppose that $x \triangleright_A y = m + a^*$, $x \triangleleft_A y = n + b^*$, where $m, n \in A$ and $a^*, b^* \in A^*$. Then we have

$$\langle a^*, z \rangle = \mathcal{B}_d(x \triangleright_A y, z) \stackrel{(42)}{=} -\mathcal{B}_d(y, x \circ_A z - z \circ_A x) = 0,$$

$$\langle b^*, z \rangle = \mathcal{B}_d(x \triangleleft_A y, z) \stackrel{(43)}{=} \mathcal{B}_d(x, z \circ_A y) = 0, \quad \forall z \in A.$$

Hence $a^* = 0 = b^*$ and thus $x \triangleright_A y, x \triangleleft_A y \in A$. So $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ is a pp-post-Lie subalgebra. Similarly, $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ is also a pp-post-Lie subalgebra. Obviously, the associated horizontal post-Lie algebras of $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ are $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ respectively. \square

Proposition 4.4. Let $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ be pp-post-Lie algebras, and let their associated horizontal post-Lie algebras be $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ respectively. Then there is a Manin triple $((A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}, \mathcal{B}_d), (A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A), (A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*}))$ of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d such that the compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A \oplus A^*, \triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$ with $\triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}$ respectively defined by Eqs. (42) and (43) through \mathcal{B}_d contains $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ as pp-post-Lie subalgebras if and only if $(A, A^*, L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \text{ad}_A^*, L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \text{ad}_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of post-Lie algebras.

Proof. (\implies) Let $x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*$. By Proposition 3.18, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_d(x \circ_{A \oplus A^*} b^*, a^*) \stackrel{(45)}{=} \mathcal{B}_d(x, a^* \triangleleft_{A^*} b^*) = \langle x, a^* \triangleleft_{A^*} b^* \rangle = \langle -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*(b^*)x, a^* \rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_d(x \circ_{A \oplus A^*} b^*, y) \stackrel{(46)}{=} \mathcal{B}_d(b^*, y \triangleleft_A x - x \triangleright_A y) = \langle (L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*)(x)b^*, y \rangle.$$

So $x \circ_{A \oplus A^*} b^* = (L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*)(x)b^* - R_{\triangleleft_A^*}^*(b^*)x$. Similarly,

$$a^* \circ_{A \oplus A^*} y = (L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*)(a^*)y - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*(y)a^*, \quad [x, b^*]_{A \oplus A^*} = \text{ad}_{A^*}^*(x)b^* - \text{ad}_{A^*}^*(b^*)x.$$

Therefore the post-Lie algebra multiplications $\circ_{A \oplus A^*}$ and $[-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}$ on $A \oplus A^*$ are decided by

$$(x + a^*) \circ_{A \oplus A^*} (y + b^*) = x \circ_A y + (L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*)(a^*)y - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*(b^*)x \\ + a^* \circ_{A^*} b^* + (L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*)(x)b^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*(y)a^*, \quad (61)$$

$$[x + a^*, y + b^*]_{A \oplus A^*} = [x, y] + \text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)y - \text{ad}_{A^*}^*(b^*)x + [a^*, b^*] + \text{ad}_A^*(x)b^* - \text{ad}_A^*(y)a^*. \quad (62)$$

By Proposition 4.1, $(A, A^*, L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \text{ad}_A^*, L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \text{ad}_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of post-Lie algebras.

(\Leftarrow) By Proposition 4.1, if $(A, A^*, L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \text{ad}_A^*, L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \text{ad}_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of post-Lie algebras, then there is a post-Lie algebra $(A \bowtie A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$ with $\circ_{A \oplus A^*}$ and $[-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}$ respectively defined by Eqs. (61)–(62). It is straightforward to show that the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d defined by Eq. (48) is invariant on $(A \bowtie A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$. Thus $((A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}, \mathcal{B}_d), (A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A), (A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*}))$ is a Manin triple of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear form. By Lemma 4.3, with the compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A \oplus A^*, \triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$ in which $\triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}$ are respectively defined by Eqs. (42) and (43) through \mathcal{B}_d , the two pp-post-Lie subalgebra structures on A and A^* are exactly $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ respectively. \square

Recall that a **Lie coalgebra** is a pair (\mathfrak{g}, Δ) , where \mathfrak{g} is a vector space and $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear map satisfying the equations

$$\Delta + \tau\Delta = 0, \quad (\text{id} + \sigma_{123} + \sigma_{123}^2)(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = 0,$$

where $\sigma_{123}(x \otimes y \otimes z) = y \otimes z \otimes x$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 4.5. A **pp-post-Lie coalgebra** is a quadruple $(A, \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta)$ where (A, Δ) is a Lie coalgebra and $\delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft} : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ are linear maps satisfying the following equations.

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\delta_{\triangleleft} = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft} + (\tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\delta_{\triangleleft}, \quad (63)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes (\delta_{\triangleleft} + \tau\delta_{\triangleleft}))\Delta = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\delta_{\triangleleft} + \tau\delta_{\triangleleft}) = 0, \quad (64)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\delta_{\bullet} = (\delta_{\circ} \otimes \text{id})\Delta + (\tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{\bullet})\Delta, \quad (65)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{\triangleleft})\delta_{\triangleright} = (\delta_{\bullet} \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft} + (\tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{\circ})\delta_{\triangleleft} - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_{\triangleleft})\Delta, \quad (66)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\delta_{\circ} \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleright} = (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{\triangleright})\delta_{\triangleright} - (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\circ} \\ + (\tau \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{\triangleleft})\Delta, \quad (67)$$

where $\delta_{\circ} = \delta_{\triangleright} + \delta_{\triangleleft}$, $\delta_{\bullet} = \delta_{\triangleright} - \tau\delta_{\triangleleft}$.

Let A be a finite-dimensional vector space and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be a linear map. Let $\cdot_{A^*} : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be the linear dual of δ , that is, the operation \cdot_{A^*} is defined by

$$\langle a^* \cdot_{A^*} b^*, x \rangle := \langle a^* \otimes b^*, \delta(x) \rangle, \quad \forall x \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*. \quad (68)$$

Proposition 4.6. Let A be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let $\delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be linear maps, and $\triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*} : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be their linear duals. Then $(A, \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta)$ is a pp-post-Lie coalgebra if and only if $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra.

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

Definition 4.7. A **pp-post-Lie bialgebra** is a vector space A together with six linear maps

$$\triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -] : A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \quad \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

satisfying the following conditions.

- (a) $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra.
- (b) $(A, \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta)$ is a pp-post-Lie coalgebra.
- (c) For all $x, y \in A$, the following equalities hold.

$$\Delta([x, y]) = (\text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x))\Delta(y) - (\text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\Delta(x), \quad (69)$$

$$\Delta(x \circ y) = (L_{\circ}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(x))\Delta(y) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y) + \text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft}(x), \quad (70)$$

$$\Delta(x \bullet y) = (L_{\bullet}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(x))\Delta(y) - (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\tau\delta_{\triangleleft}(x) + (\text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft}(x), \quad (71)$$

$$\delta_{\bullet}([x, y]) = (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x))\delta_{\bullet}(y) - (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\delta_{\bullet}(x) + (R_{\triangleleft}(x) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y) - (R_{\triangleleft}(y) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x), \quad (72)$$

$$\delta_{\circ}([x, y]) = (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x))\delta_{\circ}(y) - (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\delta_{\bullet}(x) + (R_{\triangleleft}(x) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y) + (L_{\triangleleft}(y) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x), \quad (73)$$

$$\delta_{\bullet}(x \circ y) = (\text{id} \otimes L_{\circ}(x) + (L_{\triangleright} + \text{ad})(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\bullet}(y) - (R_{\triangleleft}(y) \otimes \text{id})\tau\delta_{\triangleleft}(x) + (\text{id} \otimes R_{\circ}(y))(\delta_{\triangleright} + \Delta)(x), \quad (74)$$

$$\delta_{\circ}(x \bullet y) = (\text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(x) + (L_{\triangleright} + \text{ad})(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\circ}(y) - (L_{\triangleleft}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft}(x) + (\text{id} \otimes R_{\bullet}(y))(\delta_{\triangleright} + \Delta)(x), \quad (75)$$

$$\delta_{\triangleleft}([x, y]) = (\text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(x) + L_{\circ}(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft}(y) - (\text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(y) + L_{\circ}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft}(x), \quad (76)$$

$$(\delta_{\circ} - \tau\delta_{\circ} + \Delta)(x \triangleleft y) = (\text{id} \otimes L_{\triangleleft}(x))\delta_{\bullet}(y) + (\text{id} \otimes R_{\triangleleft}(y))\delta_{\circ}(x) - (L_{\triangleleft}(x) \otimes \text{id})\tau\delta_{\bullet}(y) - (R_{\triangleleft}(y) \otimes \text{id})\tau\delta_{\circ}(x), \quad (77)$$

where $\{-, -\}$, \circ and \bullet are defined by Eqs. (23), (26) and (27) respectively, $\delta_{\circ} := \delta_{\triangleright} + \delta_{\triangleleft}$, $\delta_{\bullet} := \delta_{\triangleright} - \tau\delta_{\triangleleft}$. We denote a pp-post-Lie bialgebra by $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -], \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta)$.

Remark 4.8. (a) Recall [19] that a **Lie bialgebra** is a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \Delta)$, where $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is a Lie algebra, (\mathfrak{g}, Δ) is a Lie coalgebra and Eq. (69) holds. Thus for a pp-post-Lie bialgebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -], \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta)$, $(A, [-, -], \Delta)$ is a Lie bialgebra.

(b) Recall [37] that an **L-dendriform bialgebra** $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft})$ consists of an L-dendriform algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and an L-dendriform coalgebra $(A, \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft})$ satisfying certain compatibility conditions. Then for a pp-post-Lie bialgebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -], \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft}, \Delta)$, if $[-, -] = 0 = \Delta$, then $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, \delta_{\triangleright}, \delta_{\triangleleft})$ is an L-dendriform bialgebra.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ be pp-post-Lie algebras. Let linear maps $\delta_{\triangleright_A}, \delta_{\triangleleft_A}, \Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be the linear duals of $\triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}$ and $[-, -]_{A^*}$ respectively. Then $(A, A^*, L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \text{ad}_A^*, L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \text{ad}_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of post-Lie algebras if and only if $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A, \delta_{\triangleright_A}, \delta_{\triangleleft_A}, \Delta_A)$ is a pp-post-Lie bialgebra.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.11, $(A^*, L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \text{ad}_A^*)$ is a representation of $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ if and only if $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra. In addition, by Proposition 4.6, $(A; L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \text{ad}_{A^*}^*)$ is a representation of $(A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ if and only if $(A, \delta_{\triangleright_A}, \delta_{\triangleleft_A}, \Delta_A)$ is a pp-post-Lie coalgebra. Moreover, let $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A, \bullet_A, [-, -]_A)$ be the horizontal and vertical post-Lie algebras of $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ respectively. Then for $x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)(x \circ_A y), b^* \rangle &= \langle a^* \otimes b^*, -\Delta_A(x \circ_A y) \rangle; \\ \langle x \circ_A \text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)(y), b^* \rangle &= \langle a^* \otimes b^*, -(\text{id} \otimes L_{\circ_A}(x))\Delta_A(y) \rangle; \\ \langle -[y, R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*(a^*)x]_A, b^* \rangle &= \langle a^* \otimes b^*, -(\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(y))\delta_{\triangleleft_A}(x) \rangle; \\ \langle -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*((L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*)(x)a^*)(y), b^* \rangle &= \langle a^* \otimes b^*, -(L_{\bullet_A}(x) \otimes \text{id})\Delta_A(y) \rangle; \\ \langle R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*(\text{ad}_A^*(y)a^*)(x), b^* \rangle &= \langle a^* \otimes b^*, -(\text{ad}_A(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft_A}(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In Eqs. (51)–(60), take

$$l_A = L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, r_A = -R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \rho_A = \text{ad}_A^*, l_B = L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, r_B = -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \rho_B = \text{ad}_{A^*}^*.$$

Then Eq. (54) holds if and only if Eq. (70) holds. Similarly, we have

$$\text{Eq. (51)} \iff \text{Eq. (53)} \iff \text{Eq. (69)}, \quad \text{Eq. (55)} \iff \text{Eq. (71)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eq. (57)} &\iff \text{Eq. (72)}, & \text{Eq. (52)} &\iff \text{Eq. (73)}, & \text{Eq. (58)} &\iff \text{Eq. (74)}, \\ \text{Eq. (56)} &\iff \text{Eq. (75)}, & \text{Eq. (60)} &\iff \text{Eq. (76)}, & \text{Eq. (59)} &\iff \text{Eq. (77)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Combining Propositions 4.4 and 4.9, we obtain the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ be pp-post-Lie algebras, and let their associated horizontal post-Lie algebras be $(A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ respectively. Let linear maps $\delta_{\triangleright_A}, \delta_{\triangleleft_A}, \Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be the linear duals of $\triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}$ and $[-, -]_{A^*}$ respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *There is a Manin triple $((A \oplus A^*, \circ_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*}, \mathcal{B}_d), (A, \circ_A, [-, -]_A), (A^*, \circ_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*}))$ of post-Lie algebras associated to the invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d such that the compatible pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A \oplus A^*, \triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}, [-, -]_{A \oplus A^*})$ with $\triangleright_{A \oplus A^*}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus A^*}$ respectively defined by Eqs. (42) and (43) through \mathcal{B}_d contains $(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A)$ and $(A^*, \triangleright_{A^*}, \triangleleft_{A^*}, [-, -]_{A^*})$ as pp-post-Lie subalgebras.*
- (b) *$(A, A^*, L_{\triangleright_A}^* - R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_A}^*, \text{ad}_A^*, L_{\triangleright_{A^*}}^* - R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, -R_{\triangleleft_{A^*}}^*, \text{ad}_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of post-Lie algebras;*
- (c) *$(A, \triangleright_A, \triangleleft_A, [-, -]_A, \delta_{\triangleright_A}, \delta_{\triangleleft_A}, \Delta_A)$ is a pp-post-Lie bialgebra.*

4.2. A class of pp-post-Lie bialgebras and pp-post-classical Yang-Baxter equation.

Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes A$. Set

$$\mathbf{C}(r) := [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}], \quad (78)$$

$$\mathbf{D}(r) := r_{13} \triangleleft r_{12} + r_{12} \bullet r_{23} + r_{13} \circ r_{23}, \quad (79)$$

where \circ and \bullet are defined by Eqs. (26) and (27) respectively, and

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] := \sum_{i,j} [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_i \otimes b_j, \quad [r_{12}, r_{23}] := \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j, \quad [r_{13}, r_{23}] := \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j],$$

$$r_{13} \triangleleft r_{12} := \sum_{i,j} a_i \triangleleft a_j \otimes b_j \otimes b_i, \quad r_{12} \bullet r_{23} := \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes b_i \bullet a_j \otimes b_j, \quad r_{13} \circ r_{23} := \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes b_i \circ b_j.$$

Definition 4.11. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. r is called a solution of the **pp-post-classical Yang-Baxter equation (PPP-CYBE)** in $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ if r satisfies $\mathbf{C}(r) = \mathbf{D}(r) = 0$.

Remark 4.12. Note that $\mathbf{C}(r) = 0$ is precisely the **classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE)** in the Lie algebra $(A, [-, -])$.

For convenience, we define a binary operation \diamond in $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ by

$$x \diamond y := x \triangleleft y + x \triangleright y - y \triangleleft x - y \triangleright x, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (80)$$

Proposition 4.13. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes A$.

Define binary operations \diamond, \circ and \bullet by Eqs. (80), (26) and (27) respectively and linear maps $\delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by

$$\delta_{\triangleright, r}(x) := E(x)r, \quad \delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) := F(x)(-r), \quad \Delta_r(x) := G(x)r, \quad \forall x \in A, \quad (81)$$

where the linear maps $E, F, G : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(A \otimes A)$ are defined respectively by

$$E(x) := L_{\triangleright}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_{\circ}(x), \quad (82)$$

$$F(x) := L_{\circ}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(x), \quad (83)$$

$$G(x) := \text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x), \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (84)$$

- (a) (A, Δ_r) is a Lie coalgebra if and only if the following equations hold.

$$G(x)(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad (85)$$

$$(\text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x))(\mathbf{C}(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (86)$$

(b) Eq. (63) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes L_\bullet(x))(\mathbf{C}(r)) \\ & + \sum_i ((\text{ad}(a_i) \otimes \text{id})\tau F(x)(r + \tau(r))) \otimes b_i = 0, \quad \forall x \in A. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

(c) Eq. (64) holds if and only if the following equations hold.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} + \sigma_{23}) \left(\sum_i ((a_i \otimes F(b_i))(r + \tau(r))) - \mathbf{D}(r) \right) \\ & + \sum_i a_i \otimes F([x, b_i])(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes (L_\triangleleft + R_\triangleleft)(x))(\mathbf{C}(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (89)$$

(d) Eq. (65) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_\triangleleft(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{C}(r)) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id}) \left(\sigma_{23}(\mathbf{D}(r)) - \sum_i a_i \otimes F(b_i)(r + \tau(r)) \right) \\ & - (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(x))(\mathbf{D}(r)) - \sum_i ((R_\triangleleft(a_i) \otimes \text{id})G(x)(r + \tau(r))) \otimes b_i = 0, \quad \forall x \in A. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

(e) Eq. (66) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\text{ad} + L_\triangleleft)(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id}) \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes F(b_i)(r + \tau(r))) - \sigma_{23}(\mathbf{D}(r)) \right) \\ & + (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes L_\bullet(x)) \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes F(b_i)(r + \tau(r)) - \tau F(a_i)(r + \tau(r)) \otimes b_i) - \sigma_{23}(\mathbf{D}(r)) \right) \\ & + \sum_i \left(((R_\triangleleft(a_i) \otimes \text{id})\tau F(x)(r + \tau(r))) \otimes b_i - \tau F(x \circ a_i)(r + \tau(r)) \otimes b_i \right) = 0, \quad \forall x \in A. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

(f) Eq. (67) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes F(b_i)(r + \tau(r))) - \sigma_{23}(\mathbf{D}(r)) \right) \\ & + \sum_i \left((\text{id} \otimes R_\triangleright(a_i))E(x)(r + \tau(r)) \right) \otimes b_i + \left((\text{id} \otimes R_\circ(a_i))G(x)(r + \tau(r)) \right) \otimes b_i \\ & + (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x))(\mathbf{D}(r)) - (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes R_\bullet(x))(\mathbf{C}(r)) \\ & + (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(L_\triangleright(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{D}(r)) - (\tau \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{D}(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x \in A, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where $\sigma_{23}(x \otimes y \otimes z) = x \otimes z \otimes y$ for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proof. We only prove (b). The others are obtained similarly. Let $x \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_r)\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) - (\Delta_r \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) - (\tau \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_r)\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) \\ & = \sum_{i, j} -x \circ a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j - x \circ a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j] - a_i \otimes [x \bullet b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j - a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [x \bullet b_i, b_j] \\ & \quad + a_j \otimes [x \circ a_i, b_j] \otimes b_i + [x \circ a_i, a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes b_i + a_j \otimes [a_i, b_j] \otimes x \bullet b_i + [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes x \bullet b_i \\ & \quad + [b_i, a_j] \otimes x \circ a_i \otimes b_j + a_j \otimes x \circ a_i \otimes [b_i, b_j] + [x \bullet b_i, a_j] \otimes a_i \otimes b_j + a_j \otimes a_i \otimes [x \bullet b_i, b_j] \\ & = B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 & = \sum_{i, j} -x \circ a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j - x \circ a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j] + [x \circ a_i, a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes b_i \\ & = \sum_{i, j} -(L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})([r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}]) + x \circ [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes b_i - [a_i, x \circ a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes b_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i,j} -(L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})([r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] + [r_{12}, r_{13}]) - [a_i, x \circ a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes b_i \\
 &= -(L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{C}(r)) - \sum_i ((\text{ad}(a_i) \otimes \text{id})(L_\bullet(x) \otimes \text{id})r) \otimes b_i, \\
 B_2 &= \sum_{i,j} a_j \otimes [a_i, b_j] \otimes x \bullet b_i - a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [x \bullet b_i, b_j] + [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_j \otimes x \bullet b_i + a_j \otimes a_i \otimes [x \bullet b_i, b_j] \\
 &= -(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes L_\bullet(x))(\mathbf{C}(r)), \\
 B_3 &= \sum_{i,j} a_j \otimes [x \circ a_i, b_j] \otimes b_i - a_i \otimes [x \bullet b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j + [b_i, a_j] \otimes x \circ a_i \otimes b_j + a_j \otimes x \circ a_i \otimes [b_i, b_j] \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes x \bullet [a_j, b_i] \otimes b_j + [b_i, a_j] \otimes x \circ a_i \otimes b_j + a_j \otimes x \circ a_i \otimes [b_i, b_j] \\
 &= \sum_i -(\text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{C}(r)) - ((\text{ad}(a_i) \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x))(r + \tau(r))) \otimes b_i, \\
 B_4 &= \sum_{i,j} [x \bullet b_i, a_j] \otimes a_i \otimes b_j = - \sum_i ((\text{ad}(a_i) \otimes \text{id})(L_\bullet(x) \otimes \text{id})\tau(r)) \otimes b_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 &= -(L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes L_\bullet(x))(\mathbf{C}(r)) \\
 &\quad - \sum_i ((\text{ad}(a_i) \otimes \text{id})\tau F(x)(r + \tau(r))) \otimes b_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 4.14. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Define linear maps $\delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by Eq. (81).*

(a) Eq. (70) holds.

(b) Eq. (71) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$(\text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id})F(y)(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (93)$$

(c) Eq. (72) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$(F([x, y]) + (\text{ad}(x) \otimes \text{id})F(y) - (\text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id})F(x))(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (94)$$

(d) Eq. (73) holds if and only if Eq. (93) holds.

(e) Eq. (74) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F(x \circ y) + (\text{id} \otimes L_\circ(x) + (\text{ad} + L_\triangleright)(x) \otimes \text{id})F(y) \\
 - (R_\triangleleft(y) \otimes \text{id})\tau F(x))(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A,
 \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where \circ is defined by Eq. (26).

(f) Eqs. (75)–(76) hold.

(g) Eq. (77) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E(x \triangleleft y) - F(x \triangleleft y) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \tau)(L_\triangleleft(x) \otimes \text{id})E(y) + G(x) \\
 + (\text{id} \otimes R_\triangleleft(y))(F(x) - E(x)))(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A.
 \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

Proof. We only prove (b). The others are obtained similarly. Let $x, y \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_r(x \bullet y) &= ((L_\bullet(x)\text{ad}(y) - \text{ad}(y)L_\bullet(x)) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes (L_\bullet(x)\text{ad}(y) - \text{ad}(y)L_\bullet(x)))r, \\
 (L_\bullet(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_\bullet(x))\Delta_r(y) &= (L_\bullet(x) \otimes \text{ad}(y) + L_\bullet(x)\text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id} \\
 &\quad + \text{id} \otimes L_\bullet(x)\text{ad}(y) + \text{ad}(y) \otimes L_\bullet(x))r, \\
 -(\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\tau\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y)L_\circ(x) + L_\bullet(x) \otimes \text{ad}(y))\tau(r),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) = (\text{ad}(y)L_{\circ}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{ad}(y) \otimes L_{\bullet}(x))(-r),$$

where \circ and \bullet are defined by Eqs. (26) and (27) respectively. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_r(x \bullet y) - (L_{\bullet}(x) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_{\bullet}(x))\Delta_r(y) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\tau\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) - (\text{ad}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{\triangleleft, r}(x) \\ &= -(\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y)L_{\bullet}(x))r - (L_{\bullet}(x) \otimes \text{ad}(y))r - (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y)L_{\circ}(x) + L_{\bullet}(x) \otimes \text{ad}(y))\tau(r) \\ &= -(\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y)L_{\circ}(x))(r + \tau(r)) - (L_{\bullet}(x) \otimes \text{ad}(y))(r + \tau(r)) \\ &= -(\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}(y))\tau F(x)(r + \tau(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion follows. \square

Combining Propositions 4.13 and 4.14 yields the following result.

Theorem 4.15. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Define linear maps $\delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r, : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by Eq. (81).*

- (a) *$(A, \delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r)$ is a pp-post-Lie coalgebra if and only if Eqs. (85)–(92) hold.*
- (b) *$(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -], \delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r)$ is a pp-post-Lie bialgebra if and only if Eqs. (85)–(96) hold.*

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.15, we have

Corollary 4.16. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Define linear maps $\delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r, : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by Eq. (81). If r is a solution of the PPP-CYBE satisfying*

$$E(x)(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad F(x)(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad G(x)(r + \tau(r)) = 0, \quad \forall x \in A, \quad (97)$$

for the maps E, F, G defined in Eqs. (82)–(84), then $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -], \delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r)$ is a pp-post-Lie bialgebra. In particular, if r is an antisymmetric solution of the PPP-CYBE, then $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -], \delta_{\triangleright, r}, \delta_{\triangleleft, r}, \Delta_r)$ is a pp-post-Lie bialgebra.

Let V be a vector space and $r \in V \otimes V$. Define a linear map $\tilde{r} : V^* \rightarrow V$ by

$$\langle \tilde{r}(u^*), v^* \rangle = \langle r, u^* \otimes v^* \rangle, \quad \forall u^*, v^* \in V^*.$$

Proposition 4.17. *Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$ be antisymmetric. Then r is a solution of the PPP-CYBE in $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ if and only if \tilde{r} satisfies the following equations.*

$$\tilde{r}(a^*) \triangleright \tilde{r}(b^*) = \tilde{r}(L_{\diamond}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^* + R_{\triangleright}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), \quad (98)$$

$$\tilde{r}(a^*) \triangleleft \tilde{r}(b^*) = \tilde{r}(R_{\bullet}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^* - R_{\circ}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), \quad (99)$$

$$[\tilde{r}(a^*), \tilde{r}(b^*)] = \tilde{r}(\text{ad}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^* - \text{ad}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*, \quad (100)$$

where \diamond, \circ and \bullet are defined by Eqs. (80), (26) and (27) respectively.

Proof. Let $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$. For $a^*, b^*, c^* \in A^*$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{r}(a^*) \triangleright \tilde{r}(b^*), c^* \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \sum_{i,j} a_j \otimes a_i \otimes b_j \triangleright b_i \rangle =: \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, r_{13} \triangleright r_{23} \rangle;$$

$$\langle \tilde{r}(L_{\diamond}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^*), c^* \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \sum_{i,j} a_j \otimes a_i \diamond b_j \otimes b_i \rangle =: \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, r_{23} \diamond r_{12} \rangle;$$

$$\langle \tilde{r}(R_{\triangleright}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), c^* \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \sum_{i,j} a_i \triangleright a_j \otimes b_j \otimes b_i \rangle =: \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, r_{13} \triangleright r_{12} \rangle.$$

Thus we have

$$\langle \tilde{r}(a^*) \triangleright \tilde{r}(b^*) - \tilde{r}(L_{\diamond}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^*) - \tilde{r}(R_{\triangleright}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), c^* \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \mathbf{D}(r) + \sigma_{13}\mathbf{D}(r) \rangle.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{r}(a^*) \triangleleft \tilde{r}(b^*) - \tilde{r}(R_{\bullet}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^*) + \tilde{r}(R_{\circ}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), c^* \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, -\sigma_{13}\mathbf{D}(r) \rangle,$$

$$\langle [\tilde{r}(a^*), \tilde{r}(b^*)] - \tilde{r}(\text{ad}^*(\tilde{r}(a^*))b^*) + \tilde{r}(\text{ad}^*(\tilde{r}(b^*))a^*), c^* \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \mathbf{C}(r) \rangle,$$

where $\sigma_{13}(x \otimes y \otimes z) = z \otimes y \otimes x$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Hence \tilde{r} satisfies Eqs. (98)–(100) if and only if $\mathbf{C}(r) = \mathbf{D}(r) = 0$, giving the conclusion. \square

4.3. \mathcal{O} -operators on pp-post-Lie algebras and pre-pp-post-Lie algebras.

Definition 4.18. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and V be a vector space. Let $l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ be linear maps. A **representation** of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a sextuple $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$ where $(V; \rho)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra $(A, [-, -])$ and $l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$r_{\triangleleft}([x, y]) = r_{\triangleleft}(x)\rho(y) - r_{\triangleleft}(y)\rho(x), \quad (101)$$

$$l_{\triangleleft}(x)\rho(y) = l_{\triangleleft}([x, y]) - r_{\triangleleft}(y)\rho(x), \quad (102)$$

$$\rho(x)(l_{\triangleleft} + r_{\triangleleft})(y) = (l_{\triangleleft} + r_{\triangleleft})([x, y]) = (l_{\triangleleft} + r_{\triangleleft})(x)\rho(y) = \rho(x \triangleleft y + y \triangleleft x) = 0, \quad (103)$$

$$(l_{\triangleright} - r_{\triangleleft})(x)\rho(y) = \rho(x \circ y) + \rho(y)(l_{\triangleright} - r_{\triangleleft})(x), \quad (104)$$

$$(r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})([x, y]) = \rho(x)(r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})(y) - \rho(y)(r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})(x), \quad (105)$$

$$(l_{\triangleright} + \rho)(x)l_{\triangleleft}(y) = l_{\triangleleft}(x \bullet y) + l_{\triangleleft}(y)(l_{\triangleright} + l_{\triangleleft})(x), \quad (106)$$

$$(l_{\triangleright} + \rho)(x)r_{\triangleleft}(y) = r_{\triangleleft}(x \circ y) + r_{\triangleleft}(y)(l_{\triangleright} - r_{\triangleleft})(x), \quad (107)$$

$$r_{\triangleright}(x \triangleleft y) = r_{\triangleleft}(y)(r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})(x) + l_{\triangleleft}(x)(r_{\triangleright} + r_{\triangleleft})(y) + \rho(x \triangleleft y), \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\triangleright}(x \triangleright y) &= l_{\triangleright}(x)r_{\triangleright}(y) - r_{\triangleright}(y)(l_{\triangleright} + l_{\triangleleft} - r_{\triangleright} - r_{\triangleleft} + \rho)(x) \\ &\quad - \rho(x)r_{\triangleleft}(y) - r_{\triangleleft}(y)\rho(x) - \rho(x \triangleleft y), \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

$$l_{\triangleright}(\{x, y\}) = l_{\triangleright}(x)l_{\triangleright}(y) - l_{\triangleright}(y)l_{\triangleright}(x) + \rho(y)l_{\triangleleft}(x) - \rho(x)l_{\triangleleft}(y) - l_{\triangleleft}([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (110)$$

where $\{-, -\}$, \circ and \bullet are defined by Eqs. (23), (26) and (27) respectively.

Lemma 4.19. Let $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$ be a representation of a pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. Then $(V^*; l_{\triangleright}^* - r_{\triangleright}^* + l_{\triangleleft}^* - r_{\triangleleft}^*, r_{\triangleright}^*, r_{\triangleleft}^* - l_{\triangleleft}^*, -(r_{\triangleright}^* + r_{\triangleleft}^*), \rho^*)$ is a representation of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$.

Proof. For all $x, y \in A, v \in V, w^* \in V^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (- (r_{\triangleright}^* + r_{\triangleleft}^*)([x, y]) + (r_{\triangleright}^* + r_{\triangleleft}^*)(x)\rho^*(y) - (r_{\triangleright}^* + r_{\triangleleft}^*)(y)\rho^*(x))w^*, v \rangle \\ &= \langle w^*, ((r_{\triangleright} + r_{\triangleleft})([x, y]) + \rho(y)(r_{\triangleright} + r_{\triangleleft})(x) - \rho(x)(r_{\triangleright} + r_{\triangleleft})(y))v \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(103)}{=} \langle w^*, ((r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})([x, y]) + \rho(y)(r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})(x) - \rho(x)(r_{\triangleright} - l_{\triangleleft})(y))v \rangle \stackrel{(105)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Eq. (101) holds. Similarly, Eqs. (102)–(110) hold. This completes the proof. \square

Example 4.20. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra. Then $(A; L_{\triangleright}, R_{\triangleright}, L_{\triangleleft}, R_{\triangleleft}, \text{ad})$ is a representation of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$, which is called the **adjoint representation** of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. Moreover, $(A^*; L_{\triangleright}^*, R_{\triangleright}^*, R_{\bullet}^*, -R_{\circ}^*, \text{ad}^*) := (A^*; L_{\triangleright}^* - R_{\triangleright}^* + L_{\triangleleft}^* - R_{\triangleleft}^*, R_{\triangleright}^*, R_{\triangleright}^* - L_{\triangleleft}^*, -(R_{\triangleright}^* + R_{\triangleleft}^*), \text{ad}^*)$ is also a representation of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$, which is called the **coadjoint representation**.

Proposition 4.21. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra, V be a vector space and $l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ be linear maps. Then $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$ is a representation of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ if and only if there is a pp-post-Lie algebra structure on $A \oplus V$ with $\triangleright_{A \oplus V}, \triangleleft_{A \oplus V}, [-, -]_{A \oplus V}$ respectively defined by

$$(x_1 + v_1) \triangleright_{A \oplus V} (x_2 + v_2) = x_1 \triangleright x_2 + l_{\triangleright}(x_1)v_2 + r_{\triangleright}(x_2)v_1, \quad (111)$$

$$(x_1 + v_1) \triangleleft_{A \oplus V} (x_2 + v_2) = x_1 \triangleleft x_2 + l_{\triangleleft}(x_1)v_2 + r_{\triangleleft}(x_2)v_1, \quad (112)$$

$$[x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2]_{A \oplus V} = [x_1, x_2] + \rho(x_1)v_2 - \rho(x_2)v_1, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in A, v_1, v_2 \in V. \quad (113)$$

We denote it by $A \ltimes_{l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho} V$.

Proof. It can be checked directly by Definitions 3.1 and 4.18. \square

Definition 4.22. Let $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$ be a representation of a pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow A$ is called an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$ if T satisfies the following conditions.

$$T(u) \triangleright T(v) = T(l_{\triangleright}(T(u))v + r_{\triangleright}(T(v))u), \quad (114)$$

$$T(u) \triangleleft T(v) = T(l_{\triangleleft}(T(u))v + r_{\triangleleft}(T(v))u), \quad (115)$$

$$[T(u), T(v)] = T(\rho(T(u))v - \rho(T(v))u), \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (116)$$

In particular, if P is an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to the adjoint representation $(A; L_{\triangleright}, R_{\triangleright}, L_{\triangleleft}, R_{\triangleleft}, \text{ad})$, then P is called a **Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero** on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$.

Then Proposition 4.17 can be restated as follows.

Corollary 4.23. Let $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be a pp-post-Lie algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$ be antisymmetric. Then r is a solution of the PPP-CYBE in $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ if and only if \tilde{r} is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to the representation $(A^*; L_{\circ}^*, R_{\triangleright}^*, R_{\bullet}^*, -R_{\circ}^*, \text{ad}^*)$.

Theorem 4.24. Let $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$ be a representation of a pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. Set $\hat{A} = A \ltimes_{l_{\triangleright}^* - r_{\triangleright}^* + l_{\triangleleft}^* - r_{\triangleleft}^*, r_{\triangleright}^* - l_{\triangleright}^* - l_{\triangleleft}^* + r_{\triangleleft}^*, - (r_{\triangleright}^* + r_{\triangleleft}^*), \rho^*} V^*$. Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be a linear map which is identified as an element in the vector space $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ (through $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, A) \cong V^* \otimes A \subseteq \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$). Then $r = T - \tau(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of the PPP-CYBE in the pp-post-Lie algebra \hat{A} if and only if T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of [13, Theorem 4.14]. \square

Definition 4.25. A **pre-pp-post-Lie algebra** is a sextuple-tuple $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ such that (A, \cdot) is a pre-Lie algebra and $\searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ are binary operations satisfying

$$x \nwarrow [y, z] = (z \cdot x) \nwarrow y - (y \cdot x) \nwarrow z, \quad (117)$$

$$x \swarrow (y \cdot z) = [x, y] \swarrow z - (x \cdot z) \nwarrow y, \quad (118)$$

$$x \cdot (y \swarrow z + z \nwarrow y) = x \swarrow (y \cdot z) + (y \cdot z) \nwarrow y = 0, \quad (119)$$

$$[x, y] \swarrow z + z \nwarrow [x, y] = (x \triangleleft y + y \triangleleft x) \cdot z = 0, \quad (120)$$

$$x \vee (y \cdot z) = (x \circ y) \cdot z + y \cdot (x \vee z), \quad (121)$$

$$x \wedge [y, z] = y \cdot (x \wedge z) - z \cdot (x \wedge y), \quad (122)$$

$$x \searrow (y \swarrow z) + x \cdot (y \swarrow z) = y \swarrow (x \vee z) + (x \searrow y + x \nearrow y - y \swarrow x - y \nwarrow x) \swarrow z, \quad (123)$$

$$x \searrow (y \nwarrow z) + x \cdot (y \nwarrow z) = y \nwarrow (x \circ z) + (x \searrow y - y \nwarrow x) \nwarrow z, \quad (124)$$

$$x \nearrow (y \triangleleft z) - (y \triangleleft z) \cdot x = y \swarrow (x \wedge z) + (x \nearrow y - y \swarrow x) \nwarrow z, \quad (125)$$

$$x \searrow (y \nearrow z) - y \nearrow (x \triangleright z) = (x \vee y - y \wedge x) \nearrow z + x \cdot (y \nwarrow z) + (x \cdot y) \nwarrow z + (x \triangleleft z) \cdot y, \quad (126)$$

$$\{x, y\} \searrow z + [x, y] \swarrow z = x \searrow (y \searrow z) - y \searrow (x \searrow z) + y \cdot (x \swarrow z) - x \cdot (y \swarrow z), \quad (127)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$, where

$$[x, y] := x \cdot y - y \cdot x, \quad x \triangleright y := x \searrow y + x \nearrow y, \quad x \triangleleft y := x \nwarrow y + x \swarrow y,$$

$$x \circ y := x \searrow y + x \nearrow y + x \swarrow y + x \nwarrow y, \quad x \vee y := x \searrow y + x \swarrow y, \quad x \wedge y := x \nearrow y + x \nwarrow y,$$

$$\{x, y\} := x \searrow y + x \nearrow y + x \swarrow y + x \nwarrow y - y \searrow x - y \nearrow x - y \swarrow x - y \nwarrow x + x \cdot y - y \cdot x.$$

Remark 4.26. When $\cdot = 0$, a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra reduces to an L-quadri algebra. The latter is regarded as the Lie algebraic analog of a quadri-algebra [32]. Moreover the operad of L-quadri algebras is the successor of the operad of L-dendriform algebras [6].

Proposition 4.27. Let $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ be a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra. Define

$$x \triangleright y := x \searrow y + x \nearrow y, \quad x \triangleleft y := x \swarrow y + x \nwarrow y, \quad [x, y] := x \cdot y - y \cdot x, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (128)$$

Then $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra, called the **sub-adjacent pp-post-Lie algebra** of $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$, and $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ is called a **compatible pre-pp-post-Lie algebra structure** on the pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. Moreover, $(A; L_{\searrow}, R_{\nearrow}, L_{\swarrow}, R_{\nwarrow}, L)$ is a representation of the pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \triangleleft [y, z] - [x, y] \triangleleft z - [z, x] \triangleleft y \\
 & \stackrel{(128)}{=} x \swarrow (y \cdot z - z \cdot y) + x \nwarrow [y, z] - [x, y] \swarrow z - (x \cdot y - y \cdot x) \nwarrow z \\
 & \quad - [z, x] \swarrow y - (z \cdot x - x \cdot z) \nwarrow y \\
 & \stackrel{(117)}{=} x \swarrow (y \cdot z - z \cdot y) - [x, y] \swarrow z - (x \cdot y) \nwarrow z + [x, z] \swarrow y + (x \cdot z) \nwarrow y \\
 & \stackrel{(118)}{=} [x, z] \swarrow y - x \swarrow (z \cdot y) - (x \cdot y) \nwarrow z = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (18) holds. Similarly, Eqs. (19)–(22) hold. Thus $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ is a pp-post-Lie algebra. Moreover, it is straightforward to show that $(A; L_{\searrow}, R_{\nearrow}, L_{\swarrow}, R_{\nwarrow}, L)$ is a representation of $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$. \square

Theorem 4.28. Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to a representation $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 u \searrow v &:= l_{\triangleright}(T(u))v, & u \nearrow v &:= r_{\triangleright}(T(v))u, & u \cdot v &:= \rho(T(u))v, \\
 u \swarrow v &:= l_{\triangleleft}(T(u))v, & u \nwarrow v &:= r_{\triangleleft}(T(v))u, & \forall u, v \in V.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $(V, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ is a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify the axioms of a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra. \square

Proposition 4.29. There is a compatible pre-pp-post-Lie algebra structure on a pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ if and only if there exists an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$.

Proof. Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow A$ is an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to a representation $(V; l_{\triangleright}, r_{\triangleright}, l_{\triangleleft}, r_{\triangleleft}, \rho)$. Define the binary operations $\searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \searrow y &= T(l_{\triangleright}(x)(T^{-1}(y))), & x \nearrow y &= T(r_{\triangleright}(y)(T^{-1}(x))), & x \cdot y &:= T(\rho(x)T^{-1}(y)), \\
 x \swarrow y &= T(l_{\triangleleft}(x)(T^{-1}(y))), & x \nwarrow y &= T(r_{\triangleleft}(y)(T^{-1}(x))), & \forall x, y \in A.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Theorem 4.28, a direct verification shows that $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ is a compatible pre-pp-post-Lie algebra structure on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$.

Conversely, let $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ be a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra and $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be the sub-adjacent pp-post-Lie algebra. By Proposition 4.27, the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ is an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to the representation $(A; L_{\searrow}, R_{\nearrow}, L_{\swarrow}, R_{\nwarrow}, L)$. \square

Corollary 4.30. Let $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ be a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra and $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ be the sub-adjacent pp-post-Lie algebra. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A and $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ be the dual basis. Then

$$r := \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i^* \otimes e_i - e_i \otimes e_i^*) \tag{129}$$

is an antisymmetric solution of the PPP-CYBE in the pp-post-Lie algebra

$$\widehat{A} := A \ltimes_{L_{\searrow}^* - R_{\nearrow}^* + L_{\swarrow}^* - R_{\nwarrow}^*, R_{\nearrow}^* - L_{\swarrow}^*, -(R_{\nearrow}^* + R_{\nwarrow}^*), L^*} A^*. \tag{130}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.29, the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ is an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ associated to the representation $(A; L_{\searrow}, R_{\nearrow}, L_{\swarrow}, R_{\nwarrow}, L)$. Hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.24. \square

We end the paper by presenting an example to illustrate the above construction.

Example 4.31. In Example 3.6, we define a Rota-Baxter operator P of weight zero on the pp-post-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \triangleright, \triangleleft, [-, -])$ by

$$P(e_1) = e_1, \quad P(e_2) = P(e_3) = 0.$$

Thus by Theorem 4.28, there is a pre-pp-post-Lie algebra structure $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ on the vector space $A := \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ whose nonzero products are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \searrow e_2 &= \frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, & e_1 \searrow e_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3, & e_2 \nearrow e_1 &= e_3, & e_3 \nearrow e_1 &= -e_2, \\ e_1 \swarrow e_2 &= -\frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, & e_1 \swarrow e_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{i}{2}e_3, & e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_3, & e_1 \cdot e_3 &= -e_2, \\ e_2 \nwarrow e_1 &= \frac{i}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_3, & e_3 \nwarrow e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then the nonzero products of the sub-adjacent pp-post-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright', \triangleleft', [-, -]')$ of $(A, \searrow, \nearrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \cdot)$ are given by

$$e_1 \triangleright' e_2 = \frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad e_1 \triangleright' e_3 = -\frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3, \quad e_2 \triangleright' e_1 = e_3, \quad e_3 \triangleright' e_1 = -e_2, \quad (131)$$

$$e_1 \triangleleft' e_2 = -\frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad e_1 \triangleleft' e_3 = -\frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{i}{2}e_3, \quad [e_1, e_2]' = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_3]' = -e_2, \quad (132)$$

$$e_2 \triangleleft' e_1 = \frac{i}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad e_3 \triangleleft' e_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3. \quad (133)$$

Let $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^*\}$ be the dual basis. Then there is a larger pp-post-Lie algebra \widehat{A} defined by Eq. (130). Explicitly, the nonzero products are given by Eqs. (131)–(133) and the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \triangleright' e_2^* &= \frac{i}{2}e_2^* + \frac{1}{2}e_3^*, & e_1 \triangleright' e_3^* &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2^* + \frac{i}{2}e_3^*, & e_2^* \triangleright' e_1 &= e_3^*, & e_3^* \triangleright' e_1 &= -e_2^*, \\ e_1 \triangleleft' e_2^* &= -\frac{i}{2}e_2^* + \frac{1}{2}e_3^*, & e_1 \triangleleft' e_3^* &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2^* - \frac{i}{2}e_3^*, & [e_1, e_2^*]' &= e_3^*, & [e_1, e_3^*]' &= -e_2^*, \\ e_2^* \triangleleft' e_1 &= \frac{i}{2}e_2^* - \frac{1}{2}e_3^*, & e_3^* \triangleleft' e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}e_2^* + \frac{i}{2}e_3^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Corollary 4.30, $r = \sum_{i=1}^3 (e_i^* \otimes e_i - e_i \otimes e_i^*)$ is an antisymmetric solution of the PPP-CYBE in the pp-post-Lie algebra \widehat{A} . By Corollary 4.16, there is a pp-post-Lie bialgebra $(\widehat{A}, \delta_{\triangleright'}, \delta_{\triangleleft'}, \Delta')$ where $\delta_{\triangleright'}, \delta_{\triangleleft'}, \Delta'$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\triangleright'}(e_1) &= 0, & \delta_{\triangleright'}(e_1^*) &= 0, \\ \delta_{\triangleright'}(e_2) &= e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{i}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_3\right) - e_3 \otimes e_1^*, & \delta_{\triangleright'}(e_2^*) &= e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{i}{2}e_2^* - \frac{1}{2}e_3^*\right) - e_3^* \otimes e_1^*, \\ \delta_{\triangleright'}(e_3) &= e_2 \otimes e_1^* + e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{i}{2}e_3\right), & \delta_{\triangleright'}(e_3^*) &= e_2^* \otimes e_1^* + e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2}e_2^* + \frac{i}{2}e_3^*\right), \\ \delta_{\triangleleft'}(e_1) &= 0, & \delta_{\triangleleft'}(e_1^*) &= 0, \\ \delta_{\triangleleft'}(e_2) &= e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3\right) - \left(\frac{i}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_3\right) \otimes e_1^*, \\ \delta_{\triangleleft'}(e_2^*) &= e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{i}{2}e_2^* + \frac{1}{2}e_3^*\right) - \left(\frac{i}{2}e_2^* + \frac{1}{2}e_3^*\right) \otimes e_1^*, \\ \delta_{\triangleleft'}(e_3) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{i}{2}e_3\right) \otimes e_1^* + e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{i}{2}e_3 - \frac{1}{2}e_2\right), \\ \delta_{\triangleleft'}(e_3^*) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}e_2^* - \frac{i}{2}e_3^*\right) \otimes e_1^* + e_1^* \otimes \left(\frac{i}{2}e_3^* - \frac{1}{2}e_2^*\right), \\ \Delta'(e_1) &= 0, & \Delta'(e_1^*) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta'(e_2) &= e_3 \otimes e_1^* - e_1^* \otimes e_3, & \Delta'(e_2^*) &= e_3^* \otimes e_1^* - e_1^* \otimes e_3^*, \\ \Delta'(e_3) &= e_1^* \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1^*, & \Delta'(e_3^*) &= e_1^* \otimes e_2^* - e_2^* \otimes e_1^*.\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by NSFC (11931009, 12271265, 12261131498, 12326319), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and Nankai Zhide Foundation.

Declaration of interests. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data availability. No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, Infinitesimal Hopf algebras. *Contemp. Math.* 267 (2000) 1-29. [2](#)
- [2] M. Aguiar, On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras. *J. Algebra* 244 (2001) 492-532. [2](#)
- [3] C. Bai, A unified algebraic approach to classical Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 40 (2007) 11073-11082. [2](#)
- [4] C. Bai, Left-symmetric bialgebras and an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10 (2008) 221-260. [2](#), [3](#), [7](#)
- [5] C. Bai, Double constructions of Frobenius algebras, Connes cocycles and their duality. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 4 (2010) 475-530. [2](#)
- [6] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2013 (2013) 485-524. [3](#), [4](#), [13](#), [14](#), [26](#)
- [7] C. Bai, L. Guo, G. Liu and T. Ma, Rota-Baxter Lie bialgebras, classical Yang-Baxter equations and special L-dendriform bialgebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 27 (2024) 1347-1372. [2](#), [9](#)
- [8] C. Bai, L. Guo and T. Ma, Bialgebras, Frobenius algebras and associative Yang-Baxter equations for Rota-Baxter algebras. *Asian J. Math.* 38 (2024) 411-435. [2](#)
- [9] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 297 (2010) 553-596. [3](#), [4](#), [8](#)
- [10] C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Bialgebras, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and Manin triples for 3-Lie algebras. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 23 (2019) 27-74. [2](#)
- [11] C. Bai, D. Hou and Z. Chen, On a class of Lie groups with a left invariant flat pseudo-metric. *Monatsh. Math.* 164 (2011) 243-269. [2](#), [9](#)
- [12] C. Bai, Y. Lin and P. Zhou, Infinite-dimensional Lie bialgebras via affinization of perm bialgebras and pre-Lie bialgebras. *J. Algebra* 663 (2025) 210-258. [2](#)
- [13] C. Bai, L. Liu and X. Ni, Some results on L-dendriform algebras. *J. Geom. Phys.* 60 (2010) 940-950. [10](#), [26](#)
- [14] Y. Bruned and F. Katsetsiadis, Post-Lie algebras in regularity structures. *Forum Math. Sigma* 11 (2023) 1-20. [3](#)
- [15] D. Burde, Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 4 (2006) 323-357. [5](#), [6](#)
- [16] G. Cardoso and T. Mohaupt, Special geometry, Hessian structures and applications. *Phys. Rep.* 855 (2020) 1-141. [6](#)
- [17] E. Cartan, La géométrie des groupes de transformation. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 6 (1927) 1-119. [8](#)
- [18] E. Cartan and J. A. Schouten, On the geometry of the group-manifold of simple and semi-simple groups. *Proc. Akad. Wetensch.* 29 (1926) 803-815. [8](#)
- [19] V. Chari and A. Pressley, A Guide to Quantum Groups. Cambridge University Press (1995). [2](#), [20](#)
- [20] V. Dotsenko, Functorial PBW theorems for post-Lie algebras. *Commun. Algebra* 48 (2020) 2072-2080. [3](#)
- [21] V. G. Drinfeld, Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* 27 (1983) 68-71. [2](#)
- [22] V. G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley 1986)*. Amer. Math. Soc. (1987) 798-820. [2](#)
- [23] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, I. Mencattini and H. Z. Munthe-Kaas, Post-Lie algebras and factorization theorems. *J. Geom. Phys.* 119 (2017) 19-33. [3](#)
- [24] X. Gao, M. Liu, C. Bai and N. Jing, Rota-Baxter operators on Witt and Virasoro algebras. *J. Geom. Phys.* 108 (2016) 1-20. [3](#)
- [25] L. Guo, H. Lang and Y. Sheng, Integration and geometrization of Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. *Adv. Math.* 387 (2021) 34. [9](#)

- [26] Y. Hong, C. Bai and L. Guo, Infinite-dimensional Lie bialgebras via affinization of Novikov bialgebras and Koszul duality. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 401 (2023) 2011-2049. [2](#)
- [27] S. A. Joni and G.-C. Rota, Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics. *Studies in Appl. Math.* 61 (1979) 93-139. [2](#)
- [28] A. W. Knap, Lie Groups beyond an Introduction. *Birkhäuser* (1996). [7](#)
- [29] B. Kupersmidt, What a classical r -matrix really is. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 6 (1999) 448-488. [2](#)
- [30] B. Kupersmidt, Phase spaces of algebras. *Mathematics Publications and Other Works*, (2010). http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_mathpubs/2. [3](#), [14](#)
- [31] G. Liu and C. Bai, A bialgebra theory for transposed Poisson algebras via anti-pre-Lie bialgebras and anti-pre-Lie Poisson bialgebras. *Comm. Contemp. Math.* 26 (2024), 2350050. [3](#)
- [32] L. Liu, X. Ni and C. Bai, L-quadri-algebras (in Chinese). *Sci. Sin. Math.* 41 (2011) 105-124. [26](#)
- [33] J. Milnor, Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. *Adv. Math.* 21 (1976) 293-329. [9](#)
- [34] H. Z. Munthe-Kaas and S. Krogstad, On enumeration problems in Lie-Butcher theory. *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.* 19 (2003) 1197-1205. [3](#)
- [35] H. Z. Munthe-Kaas and A. Lundervold, On post-Lie algebras, Lie-Butcher series and moving frames. *Found. Comput. Math.* 13 (2013) 583-613. [3](#), [6](#)
- [36] H. Z. Munthe-Kaas and W. M. Wright, On the Hopf algebraic structure of Lie group integrators. *Found. Comput. Math.* 8 (2008) 227-257. [3](#)
- [37] X. Ni and C. Bai, Pseudo-Hessian Lie algebras and L-dendriform bialgebras. *J. Algebra* 400 (2014) 273-289. [3](#), [4](#), [7](#), [20](#)
- [38] K. Nomizu, Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces. *Amer. J. Math.* 76 (1954) 33-65. [8](#)
- [39] Y. Pan, Q. Liu, C. Bai and L. Guo, PostLie algebra structures on the Lie algebra $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. *Electron J. Linear Algebra* 23 (2012) 180-197. [9](#)
- [40] M. M. Postnikov, Geometry VI: Riemannian Geometry. *Encyclopedia of Math. Sci.*, Springer (2001). [6](#), [8](#)
- [41] M. Semonov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical r -matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17 (1983) 259-272. [2](#)
- [42] H. Shima, Homogeneous Hessian manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 30 (1980) 91-128. [6](#)
- [43] H. Shima, The Geometry of Hessian Structures. World Scientific Publishing Co. (2007). [6](#)
- [44] M. E. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras. Benjamin (1969). [2](#)
- [45] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Homotopy Rota–Baxter operators and post-Lie algebras. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 17 (2023) 1-35. [12](#)
- [46] R. Tang and Y. Sheng, Leibniz bialgebras, relative Rota-Baxter operators and the classical Leibniz Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 16 (2022) 1179-1211. [2](#)
- [47] B. Vallette, Homology of generalized partition posets. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 208 (2007) 699-725. [3](#), [5](#), [6](#)

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA
 Email address: ludyray@126.com

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA
 Email address: baicm@nankai.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, NJ 07102, USA
 Email address: liguo@rutgers.edu