

# Integral embeddings of central simple algebras over number fields

Jiaqi Xie and Fei Xu

February 10, 2025

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n \geq 3$  over a number field  $k$  and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . A criterion for determining exactly when an order of  $K$  can be embedded in an order of  $\mathcal{A}$  is given. Various previous results have been generalized and recovered by applying this criterion.

## 1 Introduction

As a consequence of class field theory, a finite extension  $K/k$  of number fields of degree  $n$  can be embedded into a central simple algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of degree  $n$  over  $k$  if and only if  $K \otimes_k k_v$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  over  $k_v$  for all primes  $v$  of  $k$  (see [17, Proposition A.1]). Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v = M_{m_v}(D_v)$  where  $D_v$  is a central division algebra of degree  $d_v$  for each prime  $v$  of  $k$  and  $\mathfrak{v}$ 's are the primes of  $K$  above  $v$ . Then  $K \otimes_k k_v$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  if and only if  $d_v | [K_{\mathfrak{v}} : k_v]$  for all  $\mathfrak{v}$  above  $v$  (see [17, Proposition A.1]).

However, the local-global principle of integral embeddings of orders does not hold any more. Indeed, Chevalley in [6, Théorème 10] first pointed out that

$$\frac{\#\{\text{conjugacy classes of maximal orders in } M_n(k) \text{ where } \mathfrak{o}_K \text{ can be embedded}\}}{\#\{\text{conjugacy classes of maximal orders in } M_n(k)\}} = \frac{1}{[K \cap H_k : k]}$$

where  $\mathfrak{o}_K$  is the ring of integers of  $K$  and  $H_k$  is the Hilbert class field of  $k$ . It is natural to investigate this problem for general central simple algebras. Indeed, Chinburg and Friedman studied such embeddings of maximal orders for quaternion algebras and established the Chevalley's result for quaternion algebras in [7]. Several extensions to other kinds of orders of quaternions have been given in [5], [9] and [10]. The integral embeddings of orders for certain central simple algebras of higher degree was studied in [2], [3], [4], [11] and [12]. Since existence of integral embeddings of orders for central simple algebras is equivalent to existence of integral points of the corresponding homogeneous spaces, we study this issue by applying strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction for homogeneous spaces in [8]. The main result of this paper is the following theorem (see Theorem 3.3).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n \geq 3$  over a number field  $k$  and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\Xi$  is an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $K$ . Then  $\Xi$  can be embedded into an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -algebras if and only if there is  $g_v \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times$  for every prime  $v$  of  $k$  with*

$$\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g_v^{-1}(\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g_v \quad \text{for all } v < \infty_k$$

and  $g_v \in (\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})^\times$  for almost all  $v$  such that

$$(N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K)$$

where  $N_v$  is the reduced norm map from  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  to  $k_v$  and  $\mathbb{I}_K$  is the idelic group of  $K$ .

It should be pointed out that we do not touch optimal embeddings for central simple algebras of higher degree yet which were studied extensively for quaternions in [14], [1], [21], [19] and [23].

Notation and Terminology are standard. Let  $k$  be a number field,  $\mathfrak{o}_k$  be the ring of integers of  $k$  and  $\Omega_k$  be the set of all primes of  $k$ . For any  $v \in \Omega_k$ , we use  $k_v$  to denote the completion of  $k$  at  $v$ . The set of Archimedean primes of  $k$  is denoted by  $\infty_k$  and a finite prime  $v$  of  $k$  is denoted by  $v < \infty_k$ . The adèles and ideles of  $k$  are denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_k$  and  $\mathbb{I}_k$  respectively. We also use  $\mathbb{A}_k^f$  and  $\mathbb{I}_k^f$  to denote the finite adèles and the finite ideles of  $k$  (without  $\infty_k$ -components) respectively. For any  $v < \infty_k$  and a finite extension  $E/k_v$ , the ring of integers of  $E$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{o}_E$ . For any ring  $R$ , the group of units of  $R$  is denoted by  $R^\times$ . For a central simple algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of degree  $n$  over  $k$ , we use  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  to denote a linear algebraic group over  $k$  which represents the functor

$$((\text{commutative } k\text{-algebras})) \rightarrow ((\text{groups})); \quad E \mapsto (E \otimes_k \mathcal{A})^\times$$

and  $SG_{\mathcal{A}}$  to be a closed subgroup of  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $k$  which represents the functor

$$((\text{commutative } k\text{-algebras})) \rightarrow ((\text{groups})); \quad E \mapsto \ker((E \otimes_k \mathcal{A})^\times \xrightarrow{N} E^\times)$$

where  $N$  is the reduced norm map. Then one has the exact sequence of linear algebraic groups

$$1 \longrightarrow SG_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1 \tag{1.1.1}$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is the multiplicative group over  $k$ . For a variety  $X$  over  $k$ , we write  $\text{Br}(X) = \text{H}_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and the algebraic Brauer group of  $X$

$$\text{Br}_1(X) = \ker(\text{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{H}^2(X \times_k \bar{k}, \mathbb{G}_m))$$

where  $\bar{k}$  is a fixed algebraic closure of  $k$ .

## 2 Class fields associated to orders

In this section, we list some basic arithmetic properties of central simple algebras over a number field which we need in the following section. Some results have already been given in [12]. We repeat them here for completeness.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}_v}$  is a maximal order of a central simple algebra  $\mathcal{A}_v$  over  $k_v$  for a finite prime  $v$  of  $k$ , then  $N_v(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}_v}^\times) = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times$  where  $N_v$  is the reduced norm map of  $\mathcal{A}_v$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}_v \cong M_m(D_v)$  for some positive integer  $m$  where  $D_v$  is a central division algebra of degree  $d$  over  $k_v$  by [22, Chapter IX, §1, Theorem 1], one has that  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}_v}$  is conjugate to  $M_m(\Delta_v)$  where  $\Delta_v$  is the maximal order of  $D_v$  by [20, (17.3) Theorem (i) and (ii)]. By [22, Chapter IX, §2, Corollary 2 of Proposition 6], one only needs to prove that  $N_0(\Delta_v^\times) = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times$  where  $N_0$  is the reduced norm of  $D_v$ . By

[22, Chapter I, §4, Proposition 5 and Chapter IX, §4, Proposition 11], there is an unramified extension  $F/k_v$  of degree  $d$  inside  $D_v$  such that

$$N_{F/k_v}(x) = N_0(x); \quad \forall x \in F.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  is contained in  $\Delta_v$  and  $N_{F/k_v}(\mathfrak{o}_F^\times) = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times$  by [22, Chapter VIII, §1, Prop. 3], one concludes  $N_0(\Delta_v^\times) = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times$  as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}_v = M_m(D_v)$  be a central simple algebra over  $k_v$  where  $D_v$  is a central division algebra over  $k_v$  for  $v < \infty_k$ . If  $\Delta_v$  is the unique maximal order of  $D_v$ , then*

$$\{g \in \mathcal{A}_v^\times : gM_m(\Delta_v)g^{-1} = M_m(\Delta_v)\} = D_v^\times \cdot M_m(\Delta_v)^\times \quad \text{and} \quad N_v(D_v^\times \cdot M_m(\Delta_v)^\times) = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times (k_v^\times)^m$$

where  $N_v$  is the reduced norm map of  $\mathcal{A}_v$ .

*Proof.* For any  $g \in \mathcal{A}_v^\times$  satisfying  $gM_m(\Delta_v)g^{-1} = M_m(\Delta_v)$ , there are  $S_1, S_2 \in M_m(\Delta_v)^\times$  such that

$$g = S_1 \cdot \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \cdot S_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \text{ord}_v(\alpha_1) \leq \dots \leq \text{ord}_v(\alpha_m)$$

by [20, (17.7) Theorem], where  $\text{ord}_v$  is the extension of  $v$ -adic valuation of  $k_v$  over  $D_v$ . Since

$$S_1^{-1} \cdot M_m(\Delta_v) \cdot S_1 = M_m(\Delta_v) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 \cdot M_m(\Delta_v) \cdot S_2^{-1} = M_m(\Delta_v),$$

one obtains

$$\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \cdot M_m(\Delta_v) \cdot \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)^{-1} = M_m(\Delta_v).$$

This implies that  $\text{ord}_v(\alpha_1) = \dots = \text{ord}_v(\alpha_m)$ . Therefore

$$\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \alpha_1 \cdot \text{diag}(1, \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_m) \in D_v^\times \cdot M_m(\Delta_v)^\times.$$

Since  $xyx^{-1} \in M_m(\Delta_v)^\times$  for  $x \in D_v^\times$  and  $y \in M_m(\Delta_v)^\times$ , one has  $g \in D_v^\times \cdot M_m(\Delta_v)^\times$  as desired.

Since

$$N_v(\text{diag}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)) = N_0(\alpha)^m \quad \text{for} \quad \text{diag}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \in M_m(D_v) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha \in D_v$$

where  $N_0$  is the reduced norm of  $D_v$  over  $k_v$ , one has

$$N_v(D_v^\times \cdot M_m(\Delta_v)^\times) = N_0(D_v^\times)^m \cdot N(M_m(\Delta_v)^\times) = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times (k_v^\times)^m$$

as desired by Lemma 2.1 and [20, (33.1) Theorem and (33.4) Theorem].  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  and  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$  and  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$  act on  $\Gamma$  by conjugation, one can define the genus of  $\Gamma$  denoted by

$$\text{gen}(\Gamma) = \text{the orbit of } \Gamma \text{ under the action of } G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$$

and the class of  $\Gamma$  denoted by

$$\text{cls}(\Gamma) = \text{the orbit of } \Gamma \text{ under the action of } G_{\mathcal{A}}(k).$$

Since  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \subset G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$ , one has  $cls(\Gamma) \subseteq gen(\Gamma)$ . Moreover, the classes in  $gen(\Gamma)$  are parametrized by the double cosets  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \backslash G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) / St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)$  where

$$St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma) = \{(g_v) \in G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) : g_v(\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}) g_v^{-1} = \Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \text{ for all } v < \infty_k\}. \quad (2.3.1)$$

By Lemma 2.2 and [18, Chapter 8, Theorem 8.1], the number of classes in  $gen(\Gamma)$  is finite.

When  $\Gamma$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $k$ , then

$$gen(\Gamma) = \{\text{all maximal orders of } \mathcal{A} \text{ over } k\} \quad \text{and} \quad cls(\Gamma) = \{\text{the conjugacy classes of } \Gamma \text{ over } k\}$$

by [20, (17.3) Theorem (i) and (ii)].

**Definition 2.4.** A central simple algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  satisfies the Eichler condition if  $n \geq 3$  or  $n = 2$  and there is  $v \in \infty_k$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v \cong M_2(k_v)$ .

The significance of central simple algebras with the Eichler condition is that the simple and simply connected algebraic group  $SG_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $k$  satisfies strong approximation. The following proposition has been proved in [10, Theorem 3.3] for quaternions and [12, Theorem 3.1] for maximal orders.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  satisfying the Eichler condition. If  $\Gamma$  is a  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the map induced by the reduced norm  $N$*

$$G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \backslash G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) / St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{I}_k / (k^\times \cdot N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)))$$

is bijective.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the Eichler condition, the simple and simply connected algebraic group  $SG_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $k$  satisfies strong approximation by [18, Chapter 7, Theorem 7.12]. Therefore

$$SG_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) = SG_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \cdot (SG_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) \cap St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)).$$

This implies that

$$G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) / (G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \cdot SG_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) \cdot St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)) \cong G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \backslash G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) / St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma).$$

By applying Galois cohomology for the short exact sequence (1.1.1) and Lemma 2.1, one has the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & SG_{\mathcal{A}}(k) & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) & \xrightarrow{N} & k^\times & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, SG_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & SG_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) & \xrightarrow{N} & \mathbb{I}_k & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in \infty_k} H^1(k_v, SG_{\mathcal{A}}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are diagonal and the last one is bijective by [18, Chapter 6, Theorem 6.6].

Then the reduced norm map  $N$  induces an isomorphism

$$G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) / (G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \cdot SG_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) \cdot St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)) \cong N(G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)) / (N(G_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) \cdot N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma))).$$

Let

$$T = \{v \in \infty_k : \mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v \cong M_{m_v}(\mathbb{H}) \text{ where } \mathbb{H} \text{ is a definite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then

$$N(G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)) = \prod_{v \in \infty_k \setminus T} k_v^\times \times \prod_{v \in T} (k_v^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{I}_k^f \quad (2.5.1)$$

by Lemma 2.1 and [20, (33.1) Theorem and (33.4) Theorem] and

$$N(G_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) = \{\alpha \in k^\times : \alpha > 0 \text{ in } k_v \text{ for } v \in T\} \quad (2.5.2)$$

by [20, (33.15) Theorem]. Since  $k^\times$  is dense inside  $\prod_{v \in T} k_v^\times$ , one concludes

$$N(G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k))/(N(G_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) \cdot N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma))) \cong \mathbb{I}_k/(k^\times \cdot N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)))$$

as desired.  $\square$

Now we extend the class field associated maximal orders of a central simple algebra in [12, §3.1] to arbitrary orders.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  and  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The finite abelian extension  $k(\Gamma)/k$  satisfying

$$\text{Gal}(k(\Gamma)/k) \cong \mathbb{I}_k/(k^\times \cdot N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)))$$

by the Artin map in the class field theory is called the class field associated to  $\Gamma$ .

It is clear that  $k(\Gamma)/k$  only depends on  $\text{gen}(\Gamma)$ . Here are some basic properties of  $k(\Gamma)/k$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  and  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- 1) If  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  for  $v < \infty_k$ , then the prime  $v$  is unramified in  $k(\Gamma)/k$ .
- 2) If  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  is a division algebra and  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  for  $v < \infty_k$ , then the prime  $v$  splits completely in  $k(\Gamma)/k$ .
- 3) If  $\Gamma$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $k(\Gamma)/k$  is independent of choice of maximal orders of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this case, we simply write  $k(\mathcal{A})$  for  $k(\Gamma)$ .
- 4) If  $\mathcal{A} = M_n(k)$  and  $\Gamma$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $k(\mathcal{A})/k$  is a subfield of the Hilbert class field  $H_k$  of  $k$  given by

$$\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A})/k) \cong \text{Gal}(H_k/k)/\text{Gal}(H_k/k)^n \cong \text{Cl}(k)/\text{Cl}(k)^n$$

where  $\text{Cl}(k)$  is the ideal class group of  $k$  and the second canonical isomorphism is induced by the Artin map.

*Proof.* 1) Since  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$ , one has  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times \subset N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma))$  by Lemma 2.2. The result follows from [22, Chapter XIII, §10, Corollary 3].

2) Since  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  is a division algebra and  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  is a maximal order of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$ , one has  $k_v^\times \subset N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma))$  by Lemma 2.2. The result follows from [22, Chapter XIII, §10, Corollary 3].

3) It follows from that all maximal orders of  $\mathcal{A}$  are in the same genus by [20, (17.3) Theorem (i) and (ii)].

4) Since

$$N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)) = \left( \prod_{v \in \infty_k} k_v^\times \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_k^n$$

by Lemma 2.2, the result follows from the canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Gal}(H_k/k) \cong \mathrm{Cl}(k) \cong \mathbb{I}_k/(k^\times \cdot (\prod_{v \in \infty_k} k_v^\times \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times))$$

by [15, Chapter VI, §6, (6.9) Proposition] and [22, Chapter V, §3].  $\square$

### 3 Integral Brauer-Manin obstruction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Skolem-Noether Theorem (see [20, (7.21) Theorem]), the following functor

$$((\text{commutative } k\text{-algebras})) \rightarrow ((\text{sets})); \quad E \mapsto \{\sigma : E \otimes_k K \rightarrow E \otimes_k \mathcal{A} \mid \sigma \text{ } E\text{-algebra homomorphism}\}$$

is represented by a homogeneous space  $X_{K,\mathcal{A}}$  over  $k$

$$X_{K,\mathcal{A}} \cong G_{\mathcal{A}}/\mathrm{Res}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \cong SG_{\mathcal{A}}/\Upsilon \tag{3.0.1}$$

where  $\Upsilon = \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{N_{K/k}} \mathbb{G}_m)$  is the norm 1 torus. In fact, one has the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Upsilon & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Res}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{N_{K/k}} & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & SG_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{N} & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & X_{K,\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{id} & X_{K,\mathcal{A}} & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 1 & & 1 & & & & \end{array} \tag{3.0.2}$$

First we compute algebraic Brauer group of  $X_{K,\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X_{K,\mathcal{A}}$  be defined by (3.0.1). Then the map in [8, Proposition 2.12 (ii)] induces a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Br}_1(X_{K,\mathcal{A}})/\mathrm{Br}(k) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(k, \widehat{\Upsilon}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

where  $k^{ab}$  is the maximal abelian extension of  $k$  inside a fixed algebraic closure of  $k$  containing  $K$ .

*Proof.* By [8, Proposition 2.12 (ii)] and (3.0.1), there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Br}_1(X_{K,\mathcal{A}})/\mathrm{Br}(k) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(k, \widehat{\Upsilon})$$

where  $\widehat{\Upsilon}$  is the character group of  $\Upsilon$ . Since

$$1 \longrightarrow \Upsilon \longrightarrow \mathrm{Res}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{N_{K/k}} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1,$$

one obtains the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Res}}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow \widehat{\Upsilon} \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module. By Galois cohomology, one has

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \widehat{\text{Res}}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k, \widehat{\Upsilon}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(k, \widehat{\text{Res}}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)).$$

Since  $\widehat{\text{Res}}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K)} \mathbb{Z}$ , one obtains

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \widehat{\text{Res}}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) = \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{ct}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K), \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H}^2(k, \widehat{\text{Res}}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) = \mathrm{H}^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$$

by Shapiro Lemma (see [16, (1.6.4) Proposition]). Applying Galois cohomology to the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{H}^2(K, \mathbb{Q}) = 0,$$

one obtains the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & \mathrm{H}^2(K, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Therefore one concludes

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \widehat{\Upsilon}) \cong \ker(\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$$

$$\cong \ker(\text{Hom}_{ct}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Hom}_{ct}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$$

as desired.  $\square$

We need the following crucial local result.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . Fix a maximal order  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v \cong M_n(k_v)$  and there is  $g_0 \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times$  such that*

$$\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g_0$$

for  $v < \infty_k$ , then

$$\{g \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times : \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g\} = (\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})^\times \cdot g_0 \cdot (K \otimes_k k_v)^\times.$$

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v = M_n(k_v)$ . By [20, (17.3) Theorem (i) and (ii)], there is  $h \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times$  such that  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} = h \cdot M_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}) \cdot h^{-1}$ . Then

$$\{g \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times : \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g\} = h \cdot \{g \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times : \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g^{-1}M_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g\}.$$

Without loss of generality, one can assume that

$$\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} = M_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$$

with  $g_0 = 1$ . Write

$$K \otimes_k k_v = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{A}|v} K_{\mathfrak{A}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{A}|v} \mathfrak{o}_{K_{\mathfrak{A}}}.$$

Let  $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ -module. For any

$$\xi \in \{g \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times : \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g^{-1}M_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g\},$$

we choose  $a \in \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  such that  $a \cdot \xi^{-1} \in M_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$  and consider a free  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ -module of rank  $n$  inside  $\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  with a basis given by

$$(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \cdot a \cdot \xi^{-1}.$$

Since

$$x \cdot (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = x \cdot (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \cdot a \cdot \xi^{-1} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \cdot a \cdot \xi^{-1} \cdot (\xi \cdot x \cdot \xi^{-1}) = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \cdot (\xi \cdot x \cdot \xi^{-1})$$

for any  $x \in \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  and  $\xi \cdot x \cdot \xi^{-1} \in M_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ , one concludes that  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\eta_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\eta_n$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{o}_{K_{\mathfrak{A}}}$  is a discrete valuation ring for each  $\mathfrak{A}|v$ , one concludes that every ideal in  $\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  is principal. Then there is  $b \in \mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\eta_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\eta_n = b \cdot (\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}).$$

Since  $\{b\epsilon_1, \dots, b\epsilon_n\}$  is also a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\eta_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\eta_n$ , there is  $u \in GL_n(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$  such that

$$(b\epsilon_1, \dots, b\epsilon_n) = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)u = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \cdot a \cdot \xi^{-1}u$$

and  $b \in (K \otimes_k k_v)^\times$ . Therefore

$$\xi = u \cdot (ab^{-1}) \in (\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})^\times \cdot (K \otimes_k k_v)^\times$$

as desired.  $\square$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  satisfying the Eichler condition and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\Xi$  is an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $K$ . Then  $\Xi$  can be embedded into an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if there is  $(g_v)_v \in G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$  with*

$$\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g_v^{-1}(\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g_v \quad \text{for all } v < \infty_k$$

such that  $\rho((N_v(g_v))_v)$  is trivial in  $\text{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k)$ , where

$$\rho : \mathbb{I}_k \longrightarrow \text{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k)$$

is the Artin map and  $N_v$  is the reduced norm map from  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  to  $k_v$  for all  $v \in \Omega_k$ . Equivalently,

$$(N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K).$$

*Proof.* Since  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) = 0$  by Hilbert 90 (see [16, (6.2.1) Theorem]), one can identify

$$X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) \cong G_{\mathcal{A}}(k_v)/(K \otimes_k k_v)^\times \tag{3.3.1}$$



by (3.0.1). Let

$$C_v = \{\sigma_v \in X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) : \sigma_v(\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}) \subset \Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}\}$$

for any  $v < \infty_k$ . Under this identification (3.3.1), one has

$$C_v \cong \{g_v \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times : (\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}) \subset g_v^{-1}(\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g_v\}/(K \otimes_k k_v)^\times$$

for  $v < \infty_k$  by Skolem-Noether Theorem (see [20, (7.21) Theorem]). By the assumption, one has  $C_v \neq \emptyset$  and  $C_v$  is open and compact for all  $v < \infty_k$ . Since both  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  and  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  are maximal orders for almost all primes  $v$  by [20, §10, Exercise 3], one has

$$C_v \cong (\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})^\times \cdot (K \otimes_k k_v)^\times$$

for almost all  $v < \infty_k$  under the identification (3.3.1) by Lemma 3.2.

Since

$$X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k) \cap \left( \prod_{v \in \infty_k} X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} C_v \right) = \{\sigma : \Xi \rightarrow \Gamma \text{ as } \mathfrak{o}_k\text{-algebra homomorphism}\}$$

by [21, Lemma 9.4.6 and Lemma 9.5.3], one concludes that  $\Xi$  can be embedded into  $\Gamma$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -algebra if and only if

$$\left( \prod_{v \in \infty_k} X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} C_v \right)^{\text{Br}_1(X_{K,\mathcal{A}})} \neq \emptyset \quad (3.3.2)$$

by [8, Theorem 3.7] and [13, Corollary 2.9].

Applying Galois cohomology to (3.0.2), one obtains the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Upsilon(k_v) & \longrightarrow & (K \otimes_k k_v)^\times & \xrightarrow{N_{K/k}} & k_v^\times \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \Upsilon) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & SG_{\mathcal{A}}(k_v) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times & \xrightarrow{N} & k_v^\times \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) & & \\ & & \text{ev} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \Upsilon) & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \end{array}$$

for  $v \in \Omega_k$ . For any  $g_v(K \otimes_k k_v)^\times \in X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v)$  with  $g_v \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times$ , there is

$$b \in K \otimes_k \bar{k}_v \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes_k \bar{k}_v \quad \text{such that} \quad N(g_v) = N_{K/k}(b)$$

where  $\bar{k}_v$  is an algebraic closure of  $k_v$ . Then  $g_v^{-1}b \in SG_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{k}_v)$  by [20, §9, Exercise 1] and 1-cocycle

$$\xi : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_v/k_v) \longrightarrow \Upsilon(\bar{k}_v); \quad \sigma \mapsto b^{-1}b^\sigma = (g_v^{-1}b)^{-1}(g_v^{-1}b)^\sigma$$

in  $H^1(k_v, \Upsilon)$  satisfies  $ev(g_v(K \otimes_k k_v)^\times) = \gamma(N(g_v)) = \xi$ . This implies that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) & \xrightarrow{N} & k_v^\times / (N_{K/k}(K \otimes_k k_v)^\times) \\ ev \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \bar{\gamma} \\ H^1(k_v, \Upsilon) & \xrightarrow{id} & H^1(k_v, \Upsilon) \end{array} \quad (3.3.3)$$

commutes, where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is induced by  $\gamma$ .

For any  $b \in \text{Br}_1(X_{K,\mathcal{A}})$ , there is

$$\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(k_v, \hat{\Upsilon}) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in \text{Br}(k)$$

such that  $\theta(\chi) = b + \alpha$  by Proposition 3.1, where  $\theta$  is the map defined in [8, Proposition 2.12 (ii)]. Since the following diagram of pairings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) \times \text{Br}_1(X_{K,\mathcal{A}} \times_k k_v) & & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{BM,v}} & & \text{Br}(k_v) \\ ev \downarrow & & \uparrow \theta & & \downarrow id \\ H^1(k_v, \Upsilon) \times H^1(k_v, \hat{\Upsilon}) & & \xrightarrow{\cup} & & \text{Br}(k_v) \\ \gamma \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow -id \\ H^0(k_v, \mathbb{G}_m) \times H^2(k_v, \mathbb{Z}) & & \xrightarrow{\cup} & & \text{Br}(k_v) \end{array}$$

commutes by [8, Proposition 2.9] and [16, Chapter I, (1.4.7) Proposition], one obtains

$$\langle g_v(K \otimes_k k_v)^\times, b \rangle_{BM,v} + inv_v(\alpha) = -\chi(\rho_v(N_v(g_v)))$$

by [16, (7.2.12) Proposition] for all  $v \in \Omega_k$  where

$$\rho_v : k_v^\times \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(k_v^{ab}/k_v)$$

is the local Artin map and  $k_v^{ab}$  is the maximal abelian extension of  $k_v$ . Therefore (3.3.2) is equivalent to that there is

$$(g_v)_v \in \left( \prod_{v \in \infty_k} X_{K,\mathcal{A}}(k_v) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} C_v \right) \quad \text{such that} \quad \chi(\rho(N_v(g_v)))_v = 0$$

for all  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  by Proposition 3.1. Therefore one concludes that  $\rho((N_v(g_v)))$  is trivial in  $\text{Gal}((k^{ab} \cap K)/k)$  as desired. This is equivalent to that

$$(N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K)$$

by [22, Chapter XIII, §9, Theorem 7]. □

**Corollary 3.4.** *Under the same assumption of Theorem 3.3, an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order  $\Xi$  of  $K$  can be embedded into an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if there is  $(g_v)_v \in G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$  with*

$$\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g_v^{-1}(\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})g_v \quad \text{for all } v < \infty_k$$

such that  $\rho((N_v(g_v)))_v$  is trivial in  $\text{Gal}((k(\Gamma) \cap K)/k)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Xi$  can be embedded into  $\Gamma$  is equivalent to that  $\Xi$  can be embedded into any element in  $\text{cls}(\Gamma)$ , one can replace  $(g_v)_v$  with any element in the double cosets  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \cdot (g_v)_v \cdot \text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma)$  by (2.3.1). Therefore

$$(N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) \Leftrightarrow (N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) \cdot N(\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma))$$

and the result follows from [22, Chapter XIII, §9, Corollary 2].  $\square$

We apply Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 to generalize [12, Theorem 3.9] as follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  satisfying the Eichler condition and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Xi$  be an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $K$  and  $\Gamma$  be an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*If  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  can be embedded into  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ -algebras for all  $v < \infty_k$ , then there are at least  $[k(\Gamma) : K \cap k(\Gamma)]$  classes in  $\text{gen}(\Gamma)$  where  $\Xi$  can be embedded as  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  can be embedded into  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ -algebras for all  $v < \infty_k$ , there is  $\Gamma_0 \in \text{gen}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\Xi \subset \Gamma_0$ . If a class in  $\text{gen}(\Gamma) = \text{gen}(\Gamma_0)$  corresponding the double coset  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \cdot (g_v)_v \cdot \text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma_0)$  satisfies

$$(N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N(\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma_0)) \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K),$$

then  $\Xi$  can be embedded into this class by Corollary 3.4. Therefore  $\Xi$  can be embedded into the classes in  $\text{gen}(\Gamma_0)$  corresponding to the cosets

$$k^\times \cdot N(\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma_0)) \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) / (k^\times \cdot N(\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma_0)))$$

by Proposition 2.5. Since

$$[k^\times \cdot N(\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma_0)) \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) : (k^\times \cdot N(\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma_0)))] = [k(\Gamma_0) : k] / [k(\Gamma_0) \cap K : k] = [k(\Gamma_0) : k(\Gamma_0) \cap K],$$

the result follows from  $k(\Gamma) = k(\Gamma_0)$  as desired.  $\square$

We can also generalize [12, Theorem 4.3] as follows by using Corollary 3.4.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  satisfying the Eichler condition and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Xi$  be an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $K$  and  $\Gamma$  be an  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -order of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assume that there is a finite prime  $v_0$  of  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_{v_0}$  is a division algebra and  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_{v_0}}$  is maximal.*

*If  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  can be embedded into  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ -algebras for all  $v < \infty_k$ , then  $\Xi$  can be embedded into every class of  $\text{gen}(\Gamma)$  as  $\mathfrak{o}_k$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_{v_0}$  is a division algebra and  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_{v_0}}$  is maximal, the prime  $v_0$  splits completely in  $k(\Gamma)/k$  by Proposition 2.7. 2). On the other hand, there is only one prime  $\mathfrak{V}_0$  of  $K$  above  $v_0$  such that  $[K_{\mathfrak{V}_0} : k_{v_0}] = [K : k]$  by [17, Proposition A.1]. This implies that  $K \cap k(\Gamma) = k$ . This implies that  $\Xi$  can be embedded into every class in  $\text{gen}(\Gamma)$  by Corollary 3.4.  $\square$

Finally we can recover [6, Théorème 10] and [2, Theorem 1].

**Corollary 3.7.** (Chevalley and Arenas-Carmona) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over a number field  $k$  satisfying the Eichler condition and  $K/k$  be a field extension of degree  $n$  inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v$  is either matrix algebras or division algebra for each  $v < \infty_k$ , then*

$$\frac{\#\{\text{conjugacy classes of maximal orders in } \mathcal{A} \text{ where } \mathfrak{o}_K \text{ can be embedded}\}}{\#\{\text{conjugacy classes of maximal orders in } \mathcal{A}\}} = \frac{1}{[(K \cap k(\mathcal{A})) : k]}.$$

*Proof.* Since there is a maximal order  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}}$  containing  $\mathfrak{o}_K$  by [20, (10.4) Corollary], all conjugacy classes of maximal orders of  $\mathcal{A}$  are parametrized by the double cosets  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \backslash G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k) / St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}})$  by (2.3.1). By Corollary 3.5, one only needs to show that the conjugacy class of maximal orders of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding the double coset  $G_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \cdot (\sigma_v)_v \cdot St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}})$  where  $\mathfrak{o}_K$  can be embedded satisfies

$$(N_v(\sigma_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N(St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}})) \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K). \quad (3.7.1)$$

Indeed, there is  $(g_v)_v \in G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$  with

$$\mathfrak{o}_K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subset g_v^{-1}(\sigma_v(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})\sigma_v^{-1})g_v$$

for all  $v < \infty_k$  such that  $(N_v(g_v))_v \in k^\times \cdot N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K)$  by Theorem 3.3. Therefore

$$g_v \cdot \sigma_v^{-1} \in \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})^\times \cdot (K \otimes_k k_v)^\times & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v \cong M_n(k_v) \\ (\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v)^\times & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \otimes_k k_v \text{ is a division algebra} \end{cases}$$

for all  $v < \infty_k$  by Lemma 3.2. This implies that

$$(g_v)_v \cdot (\sigma_v)_v^{-1} \in St_{G_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \mathbb{I}_K$$

by Lemma 2.2. Considering the reduced norms of the above element, one concludes that (3.7.1) follows from [20, §9, Exercise 1].  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** In [6], the ratio in Corollary 3.7 is given by  $[(K \cap H_k) : k]^{-1}$  where  $H_k$  is the Hilbert class field of  $k$ . In fact, since  $K/k$  is a finite extension of degree  $n$  in Corollary 3.7, one has

$$\mathbb{I}_k^n \subset N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) \cdot \left( \prod_{v \in \infty_k} k_v^\times \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times \right) = N_{K/k}(\mathbb{I}_K) \cdot \left( \prod_{v \in \infty_k} k_v^\times \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}^\times \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_k^n.$$

This implies that  $(K \cap H_k) = (K \cap k(\mathcal{A}))$  (see also Proposition 2.7).

## References

- [1] M. Arenas, L. Arenas-Carmona and J. Contreras, *On optimal embeddings and trees*, J. Number Theory **193** (2018), 91 - 117.
- [2] L. Arenas-Carmona, *Applications of spinor class fields: embeddings of orders and quaternionic lattices*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), 2021 - 2038.
- [3] L. Arenas-Carmona, *Maximal selectivity for orders in fields*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), 2748 - 2755.

- [4] L. Arenas-Carmona, *Selectivity on division algebras*, Archiv der Math. **103** (2014), 139 - 146.
- [5] W. Chan and F. Xu, *On representations of spinor genera*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), 287 - 300.
- [6] C. Chevalley, *Algebraic Number Theory*, L'arithmétique dan les algèbres de matrices, Herman, Paris, 1936.
- [7] T. Chinburg and E. Friedman, *An embedding theorem for quaternion algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999), 33 - 44.
- [8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and F. Xu, *Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points of homogeneous spaces and representations by integral quadratic forms*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), 309 - 363.
- [9] X. Guo and H. Qin, *An embedding theorem for Eichler orders*, J. Number Theory **107** (2004), 207-214.
- [10] B. Linowitz, *Selectivity in quaternion algebras*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), 1425 - 1437.
- [11] B. Linowitz and T. Shemanske, *Embedding orders in central simple algebras*, Journal de thorie des nombres de Bordeaux **24** (2012), 405 - 424.
- [12] B. Linowitz and T. Shemanske, *Local selectivity of orders in central simple algebras*, Int. J. Number Theory **13** (2017), 853 - 884.
- [13] Q. Liu and F. Xu, *Very strong approximation for certain varieties*, Math. Ann. **363** (2015), 701 - 731.
- [14] C. Maclachlan, *Optimal embeddings in quaternion algebras*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008), 2852 - 2860.
- [15] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Grundlehren **322**, Springer, 1999.
- [16] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Winberg, *Cohomology of Number Theory*, Grundlehren **323**, second edition, Springer, 2008.
- [17] G. Prasad and A. Rapinchuk, *Computation of metaplectic kernel*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **84** (1996), 91 - 187.
- [18] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [19] D. Peng and J. Xue, *Optimal spinor selectivity for quaternion Bass orders*, J. Number Theory **226** (2021), 24 - 50.
- [20] I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- [21] J. M. Voight, *Quaternion algebras*, GTM. **288**, Springer, 2021.
- [22] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, 1967.
- [23] J. Xue and C-F. Yu, *Optimal spinor selectivity for quaternion orders*, J. Number Theory **255** (2024), 166 - 187.