

Algebraic cycles and values of Green's functions I - Products of Elliptic Curves

Ramesh Sreekantan (with an appendix by Kannappan Sampath)

February 10, 2025

Abstract

Gross and Zagier defined certain ‘higher Green’s functions’ on products of modular curves and conjectured that the value of these functions at complex multiplication points should be logarithms of algebraic numbers. This is now a theorem of Li [Li23]

We relate this question to the existence of motivic cycles in the universal family of products of elliptic curves along the lines of Mellit [Mel08] and Zhang [Zha97]. We then construct infinitely many such cycles. In the appendix we work out an example of algebraicity.

The work of Li, Bruinier-Ehlen-Yang [BEY21], Viazovska [Via15] and others relate this conjecture to Borchers’ lifts of weakly holomorphic modular forms. This suggests that there should be a link between motivic cycles in the universal family on the one hand and Borchers lifts of weakly holomorphic modular forms on the other. We formulate a precise conjecture relating the two objects.

Mathematical Sciences Classification (2000): 11G15,11G55,14K22,14C25,14G35,19E15

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Products of Elliptic Curves	3
2.1	Elliptic curves with full level 2 structure.	4
2.2	The Kummer surface of a product of elliptic curves	4
2.2.1	The Kummer curve of an elliptic curve	4
2.2.2	The Kummer surface of a product of elliptic curves	4
3	Motivic Cycles	6
3.1	Motivic cycles on a surface	6
3.2	Decomposable and Indecomposable Cycles	7
3.3	Regulators	7
3.3.1	Archimedean regulator	7
3.3.2	‘Non-Archimedean’ regulator	8
3.3.3	Applications to indecomposability	8

4	Motivic cycles on the Kummer Surface	9
4.1	Geometry of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	10
4.2	Enumerative geometry of rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	11
4.3	The motivic cycles	14
4.4	Indecomposability of the motivic cycle	15
5	Green’s function and the regulator map	17
5.1	Higher Green’s functions	18
5.2	Harmonic weak Maass forms and weakly holomorphic forms.	18
5.3	The conjecture of Gross-Kohnen-Zagier	19
5.4	CM cycles	20
5.5	Green’s Currents and regulators	20
5.6	Algebraicity of values of Green’s functions	22
5.7	Algebraicity results	24
6	The modular complex.	24
6.1	Two exact sequences	24
6.2	A conjecture	25
6.3	Generalizations	26
6.3.1	$K3$ surfaces	26
6.3.2	Higher dimensions	27
7	Appendix - with Kannappan Sampath	27
7.1	The case $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 3$	28
7.1.1	Some computations with elliptic curves in Legendre form	29
7.2	Calculating $x(\phi(\alpha))$ for a 3-isogeny $\phi : E_{\lambda_1} \rightarrow E_{\lambda_2}$	31

Contents

1 Introduction

A conjecture of Gross and Zagier [GZ86], [GKZ87], [Zag87] asserts that values of certain ‘higher Greens functions’ at CM points is the logarithm of an algebraic number. This is now a theorem of Li [Li23], though conditional results were found by Gross, Kohnen and Zagier [GKZ87], Zhang [Zha97], Mellit [Mel08], Viazovska [Via15], Zhou [Zho15] and Bruinier-Ehlen-Yang [BEY21].

The conjecture states the following

Conjecture 1.1. *Let d_1 and d_2 be discriminants such that one of them is fundamental when k is even. For any CM points z_1 and z_2 of discriminants d_1 and d_2 there exists κ in \mathcal{N} and α in H*

depending only on d_1, d_2, k and f such that

$$(d_1 d_2)^{(k-1)/2} G_k^f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \log |\alpha|$$

where H is the composite of the ring class fields H_1 and H_2 over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$ corresponding to z_1 and z_2 respectively.

Further if $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$ then for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/E)$,

$$\sigma(\alpha(z_1, z_2)) = \alpha(\sigma(z_1), \sigma(z_2))$$

The approach of Li, Bruinier-Ehlen-Yang and Viazovska is to link the higher Greens functions to Borcherds lifts of weakly holomorphic modular forms, while the approach of Zhang and Mellit is to link the value to regulators of motivic cycles in the generic fibre of the universal family. The fact that there should be a link between motivic cycles and Borcherds lifts was speculated upon in [Sre01] following the remarkable work of Borcherds [Bor99] proving the theorem of Gross-Zagier, Hirzbruch-Zagier and van der Geer in one fell swoop.

In this paper we construct explicit motivic cycles in the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2((E_1 \times E_2)_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ where $(E_1 \times E_2)_\eta$ is the generic fibre of the universal family over the moduli of products of elliptic curves. More precisely, we construct the cycles in the associated Kummer $K3$ surface.

The cycles we construct are defined in the fibres over the complement of Hecke correspondences. Further, they are indecomposable in the sense of Section 3.2. We show that the regulators of these cycles imply algebraicity for values of the higher Green's functions of degree 2.

The two approaches to this theorem suggests that there should be a link between motivic cycles, on the one hand, and weakly holomorphic modular forms, on the other. In the last section we explain why we expect that and formulate a conjecture.

This paper can be thought of as a 'prequel' to the preprint [Sre22] where we consider the case of simple Abelian varieties. Since the case of products of elliptic curves are the context in which the original conjectures were formulated we felt it would be better to first consider this case.

Acknowledgements.

I would like to thank Jan Bruinier, Ken Sato, Shouwei Ma, V.Patankar, Y.Li, J.Funke, K.Joshi, S. Sarkar among many others for their comments and suggestions. I would especially like to thank K.Sampath for his help with the appendix and for his careful reading. Finally I would like to thank ISI Bangalore for its hospitality when this work was done.

2 Products of Elliptic Curves

In this section we construct motivic cycles in the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(E_1 \times E_2, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ where E_1 and E_2 are elliptic curves. The construction is a generalization of the work of Sato [Sat23]. In [Sre22] we had a very similar construction of elements in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ where A is a simple Abelian surface.

2.1 Elliptic curves with full level 2 structure.

All the material in this section can be found in any standard text book on elliptic curves, for instance Silverman [Sil86].

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(1) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Gamma(2)$ the principle congruent subgroup of level 2 which parametrizes elliptic curves with full level 2 structure. Let E be an elliptic curve with full level 2 structure. Such a curve can be put in **Legendre form**

$$E_\lambda := Y^2 = X(X - 1)(X - \lambda)$$

with the two torsion points being $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$ and $(\lambda, 0)$.

The moduli of such elliptic curves is the open modular curve $Y(2) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(2)$ which is $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. This is a six sheeted cover of the moduli of elliptic curves $Y(1) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(1) = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$. Let $X(2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $X(1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the compactifications of $Y(2)$ and $Y(1)$ respectively.

The map $\pi : X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$ is given by

$$\pi(\lambda) = j(E_\lambda) = 256 \frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda^2)^3}{\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2}$$

This is ramified at $0, 1$ and ∞ and if E_{λ_1} and E_{λ_2} are isomorphic, then

$$\lambda_2 \in \{\lambda_1, 1 - \lambda_1, (\lambda_1 - 1)/\lambda_1, 1/\lambda_1, 1/(1 - \lambda_1), \lambda_1/(\lambda_1 - 1)\}$$

2.2 The Kummer surface of a product of elliptic curves

2.2.1 The Kummer curve of an elliptic curve

The **Kummer curve** K_{E_λ} of E_λ is the curve E_λ/ι_λ , where ι_λ is the involution

$$\iota_\lambda : E_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda$$

$$x \rightarrow -x$$

This is \mathbb{P}^1 with 4 distinguished points $0, 1, \infty$ and λ and can be thought of as the tangent line at λ to the curve $X(2)$. Let π_λ denote the map π on K_{E_λ} . Explicitly, over a point x the fibre is

$$\pi_\lambda^{-1}(x) = \{(x, y), (x, -y)\}$$

where $y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda)$. This is ramified at the four two-torsion points.

2.2.2 The Kummer surface of a product of elliptic curves

If A is an Abelian surface and ι_A is the involution $\iota_A(x) = -x$ then the **Kummer surface** K_A is the surface A/ι_A . This surface has sixteen nodes corresponding to the sixteen two-torsion points which are the fixed points of ι_A .

In the case when $A = E_1 \times E_2$ is the product of two elliptic curves, where $E_i = E_{\lambda_i}$, this has the following description. Let K_{E_1} and K_{E_2} be the two Kummer curves.

The map

$$E_1 \times E_2 \longrightarrow K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$$

is a four sheeted cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let P_x, P_{-x} be the two points over x under the map $E_i \rightarrow K_{E_i}$. The fibre over the a point $(x, x') \in K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$ consists of the four points

$$\{(P_x, P_{x'}), (P_x, P_{-x'}), (P_{-x}, P_{x'}), (P_{-x}, P_{-x'})\}$$


The quotient by the involution $\iota_{E_1 \times E_2} = \iota_{E_1} \times \iota_{E_2}$ lies in between $E_1 \times E_2$ and $K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$. One has

$$E_1 \times E_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_1 \times E_2}} K_{E_1 \times E_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_{E_1 \times E_2}} K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$$

Under the involution ι_A , $(P_x, P_{x'})$ and $(P_{-x}, P_{-x'})$ are identified and so are the points $(P_x, P_{-x'})$ and $(P_{-x}, P_{x'})$.

Since $K_E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ the Kummer surface is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at 8 lines, the lines $\{P\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{P\}$ where P is one of the two torsion points $0, 1, \infty$ or λ_1 , in the first case, or λ_2 in the second. The sixteen points of intersection of the 8 lines are the images of the 2-torsion points. By abuse of notation we will call these points two-torsion points as well.

Let L_c , $c \in \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda_2\}$ denote the lines $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{c\}$ and ${}_cL$, $c \in \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda_1\}$ denote the lines $\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\#_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ denote the configuration of the 8 lines.



$K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$ with the 8 ramified lines.

The blow up of the sixteen nodes on the Kummer surface is a $K3$ surface and that is sometimes called the Kummer surface. We will call it the **Kummer $K3$ surface** and denote it by $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$. It is on this surface that we construct the cycles. Note that it is only the thicker lines $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\lambda_2\}$ which change as we vary λ_1 and λ_2 .

3 Motivic Cycles

3.1 Motivic cycles on a surface

Let X be a surface. We are interested in constructing elements in the motivic cohomology, group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ which is the same as the higher Chow group $CH^2(X, 1)$ [MVW06], Lecture 19, page 159. Elements of this group have the following presentation. An element ξ is a sum

$$\sum (C_i, f_i)$$

where C_i are irreducible curves on X and f_i functions on them such that they satisfy the co-cycle condition

$$\sum \operatorname{div}(f_i) = 0$$

Relations in this group are give by the Tame Symbol τ . If f and g are two functions on X then

$$\tau(\{f, g\}) = \sum_{C \in X^1} \left(C, (-1)^{\operatorname{ord}_C(f) \operatorname{ord}_C(g)} \frac{f^{\operatorname{ord}_C(g)}}{g^{\operatorname{ord}_C(f)}} \right)$$

where X^1 denotes the set of irreducible codimensional 1 subvarieties. A cycle is said to be equivalent to 0 if it is the image of $\tau(f, g)$ for some functions f and g


One way of constructing motivic cycles is the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let Q be a nodal rational curve on X with node P . Let $\nu : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ be its strict transform in the blow up \tilde{X} of X at P . \tilde{Q} meets the exceptional fibre E_P at two points P_1 and P_2 . Both \tilde{Q} and E_P are rational curves. Let f_P be the function with $\operatorname{div}(f_P) = P_1 - P_2$ on \tilde{Q} and similarly let g_P be the function with $\operatorname{div}(g_P) = P_2 - P_1$ on E_P . Then*

$$(\tilde{Q}, f_P) + (E_P, g_P)$$

is an element of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.

This was used by Lewis and Chen [CL05] to prove the Hodge \mathcal{D} -conjecture for certain $K3$ surfaces and by me [Sre14] to prove an analogue of that in the non-Archimedean case for Abelian surfaces.



3.2 Decomposable and Indecomposable Cycles

In this group there are certain *decomposable cycles* coming from the product map

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(X, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$$

The group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(X, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ is K^* , where K is the field of definition of X and the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ is $\text{Pic}(X)$. Over an algebraically close field these are of the form (C, a) where a is a constant function. Let $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{dec}$ denote the group of decomposable cycles.

Non-trivial cycles in the quotient group

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{ind} = H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) / H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{dec}$$

are called *indecomposable cycles*. In general it is not easy to find elements in this group.

In this paper we show that certain cycles we construct using Proposition 3.1 in the Kummer $K3$ surface of a product of two elliptic curves are indecomposable. In the companion paper [Sre22] we show that is also the case for the Kummer surface of a simple Abelian surface.

3.3 Regulators

For motivic cycles Beilinson defined a regulator map which generalizes the usual Dirichlet regulator map. Abstractly this is simply the realization map from the category of mixed motives to category of mixed Hodge structures.

3.3.1 Archimedean regulator

The group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is conjecturally the extension group $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Q}(-2), H^2(X))$ in the category of mixed motives. The regulator map is then the realization of this in the category of mixed Hodge structures. Using the Carlson isomorphism [Car80] the group $H_{\mathcal{D}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = \text{Ext}_{MHS}(\mathbb{Q}(-2), H^2(X))$ can be identified with a generalised torus

$$H_{\mathcal{D}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = \text{Ext}_{MHS}(\mathbb{Q}(-2), H^2(X)) = \frac{F^1 H^2(X, \mathbb{C})^*}{H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})}$$

Explicitly, let $\xi = \sum (C_i, f_i)$ be a cycle and ω in $F^1 H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. Let $[\infty, 0]$ be the path from ∞ to 0 along the real axis and $\gamma_i = f_i^*([\infty, 0])$.

Let $\gamma = \sum \gamma_i$. The condition $\sum \text{div}(f_i) = 0$ implies that the γ is, up to torsion, a boundary, so there is a two cycle Γ such that $\partial(\Gamma) = \gamma$. The regulator then is

$$\langle \text{reg}(\xi), \omega \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} \omega + \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_i \int_{C_i - \gamma_i} \log(f_i) \omega$$

where $\log(f_i)$ is pull back of the the principal branch of the logarithm on $\mathbb{P}^1 - [\infty, 0]$ by f_i .

The Archimedean regulator or Real regulator is the projection of this to the category of \mathbb{R} -MHS. Under that the current is on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}(1))$ and the formula becomes

$$\langle \text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi), \omega \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_i \int_{C_i - \gamma_i} \log |f_i| \omega$$

The advantage of considering this is that the target is a real vector space and not a generalized torus, so determining if the regulator is non-zero is easier.

3.3.2 ‘Non-Archimedean’ regulator

One also has a ‘non-Archimedean’ regulator which is the boundary map in the localization sequence. Let S be a variety with generic point η . Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a family of surfaces over S and let X_η denote the generic fibre and X_s the special fibres over a closed point s . Then one has a localization sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_s} \bigoplus_{s \in S^1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(X_s, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where S^1 denotes the set of irreducible closed subvarieties of codimension 1.

The map ∂ is defined as follows

$$\partial \left(\sum (C_i, f_i) \right) = \sum_i \operatorname{div}_{\bar{C}_i}(f_i).$$

where \bar{C}_i is the closure of C_i in the total space.

The co-cycle condition $\sum \operatorname{div}(f_i) = 0$ ensures that $\sum \overline{\operatorname{div}(f_i)} = 0$ so the image of ∂ is supported on ‘vertical’ fibres X_s since the horizontal components of the divisor cancel out. We call this the ‘non-Archimedean’ regulator as in the case when S is $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of integers of a number field, this is boundary map to the fibres over finite primes.

3.3.3 Applications to indecomposability

We have the following proposition

Proposition 3.2. *Let ξ be a cycle in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$. Let $NS(X) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the image of $CH^1(X)$ under the cycle class map. Then if either of the following holds*

- (Archimedean) For some $\omega \in F^1 H^2(X)$ in $NS(X)^\perp$

$$\langle \operatorname{reg}(\xi), \omega \rangle \neq 0$$

- (Non-Archimedean) Let $NS(X)|_s$ be the restriction of elements of $NS(X)$ to the fibre X_s over a closed point s . Let $(,)$ denote the intersection pairing on $NS(X_s)$. If for some s there is a Z_s in $NS(X_s)$ which is in $NS(X)|_s^\perp$ and such that

$$(\partial(\xi)|_{X_s}, Z_s) \neq 0$$

then ξ is indecomposable.

Proof. If $\xi = (C, a)$ is a decomposable cycle, then

$$\langle \operatorname{reg}(\xi), \omega \rangle = \log(a) \int_C \omega = 0$$

Similarly $\partial(\xi)|_s = \text{ord}_s(a)C|_s$ and so

$$(\partial(\xi)|_s, Z) = \text{ord}_s(a)(C|_s, Z) = 0$$

so in either case, if the pairing is non-zero, the cycle cannot be decomposable. \square

The Archimedean case is usually called ‘regulator indecomposable’. Most authors [CL05], [Sat23], [Col97] compute

$$\langle \text{reg}(\xi), \omega \rangle$$

as a function on the base, analogous to a normal function, and show that it is non-trivial, which implies that the cycle is generically indecomposable. The non-Archimedean case is sometimes easier as one might have a good description of the ‘new’ cycles in the special fibre X_s from which it is easy to show that the pairing is non-zero. This is the approach we will follow.

4 Motivic cycles on the Kummer Surface

Let $E_1 = E_{\lambda_1}$ and $E_2 = E_{\lambda_2}$ be two elliptic curves with full level 2 structure. Suppose there exists an isogeny of odd degree n ,

$$\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$$

Let

$$\Gamma_\phi = \{(x, \phi(x)) | x \in E_1\}$$

be the graph of the isogeny. This is an elliptic curve in $E_1 \times E_2$.

Let

$$\pi : E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow K_{E_1 \times E_2}$$

be the map to the Kummer surface and let

$$C_\phi = \pi(\Gamma_\phi)$$

The map $\pi : \Gamma_\phi \rightarrow C_\phi$ is a double cover ramified only at the four points $(\alpha, \phi(\alpha))$ on $E_1 \times E_2$, where α is in $E_1[2]$, the set of two-torsion points of E_1 . These map to the points (λ_1, λ_2) , $(0, \phi(0))$, $(1, \phi(1))$ and $(\infty, \phi(\infty))$, where by $\phi(c)$ for a cusp c which corresponds to a two torsion point P on E_1 we mean the cusp corresponding to the two torsion point $\phi(P)$. From the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem C_ϕ is a *rational* curve.

We also have the map

$$\psi : K_{E_1 \times E_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

which is ramified at the eight lines $\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{c\}$ where c is $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ or λ_1 in the first case and λ_2 in the second. Let

$$Q_\phi = \psi(C_\phi)$$

For any pair of points (P, P') the four points $(P, P'), (P, -P'), (-P, P'), (-P, -P')$ are identified under the map $\psi \circ \pi$ so both Γ_ϕ and $\Gamma_{-\phi}$ map to the same curve Q_ϕ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. However, in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$, the curves C_ϕ and $C_{-\phi}$ are not the same though

$$Q_\phi = \psi(C_{-\phi})$$

as well.

4.1 Geometry of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

The Neron-Severi group of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and is generated by the rulings $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{P\}$ and $\{Q\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for any points P and Q . Since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, any curve C in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is rationally equivalent to a curve of the form $a(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{P\}) + b(\{Q\} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ where $a = (C, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{P\})$ and $b = (C, \{Q\} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. The tuple (a, b) is called the *bidegree* of C .

Recall that ϕ is of degree n . Hence there are n points of the form $(x, 0)$ on $E_1 \times 0$ and the one point $((0, 0)$ on $0 \times E_2$. These points map to distinct points on $Q_\phi \cap (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \lambda_2)$ and $Q_\phi \cap (\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and every point of intersection is of this type. Therefore the curve Q_ϕ is curve of bidegree $(n, 1)$.

We have the following lemma about curves in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let C be a curve on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of bidegree (a, b) .*

(1). *The arithmetic genus of a curve C is*

$$p_a(C) = (a - 1)(b - 1)$$

(2). *The dimension of the linear system of the divisor C in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is*

$$\dim |C| = ab + a + b = 2(a + b) + p_a - 1$$

.

Proof. Coray [Cor83], Lemma 1.1 □

In particular, $p_a(Q_\phi) = 0$, so it is a *rational* curve. Further, the dimension of the linear system $|Q_\phi|$ is $2n + 1$.

Recall that we have the eight distinguished lines L_c and ${}_cL$ in $K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$ which are ramified under the map $\psi : K_{E_1 \times E_2} \rightarrow K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$. In general, a curve of bidegree (a, b) will meet the 8 lines at $4(a + b)$ points so for Q_ϕ the expected number is $4(n + 1)$.

However, when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, observe that the diagonal Q_{id} of bidegree $(1, 1)$ meets the 8 lines only at the 4 points $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (0, 0), (1, 1)$ and (∞, ∞) and *not* the expected 8 points, since all the points of intersection are double points. We show that that is also the case in general.

Lemma 4.2. *Every point of intersection of Q_ϕ with the eight distinguished lines L_c and ${}_cL$ has multiplicity 2. Hence there are only $2(n + 1)$ distinct points.*

Proof. Let L_c and ${}_cL$ denote the eight distinguished ramified lines and $\#_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ the configuration of the eight lines.

We will show that the set of points in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ lying over $Q_\phi \cap \#_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ is the set $C_\phi \cap C_{-\phi}$. Since Q_ϕ is the image of both C_ϕ and $C_{-\phi}$ the image of the points of $C_\phi \cap C_{-\phi}$ intersect the lines L_c and ${}_cL$ with multiplicity 2. Further, they are nodes of $\psi^{-1}(Q_\phi)$.

Let P be a point in $C_\phi \cap C_{-\phi}$. Then P lies in the image of $\Gamma_\phi \cap \Gamma_{-\phi}$.

$$\Gamma_\phi \cap \Gamma_{-\phi} = \{(x, y) | \phi(x) = y = -\phi(x) = \phi(-x)\}.$$

This implies either $x = -x$ or $\phi(x) = -\phi(x)$. Therefore either x or $\phi(x)$ is a two torsion point. Conversely if (x, y) is on Γ_ϕ where x or y is a two torsion point then

$$-\phi(x) = \phi(-x) = \phi(x) \text{ if } x \in E_1[2]$$

or

$$\phi(x) = y = -y = -\phi(x) \text{ if } y \in E_2[2]$$

So this implies that

$$\Gamma_\phi \cap \Gamma_{-\phi} = \Gamma_\phi \cap \left(\bigcup_{x \in E_1[2]} \{x\} \times E_2 \cup \bigcup_{y \in E_2[2]} E_1 \times \{y\} \right)$$

The image of these sets under π imply

$$C_\phi \cap C_{-\phi} = C_\phi \cap \left(\bigcup_{c \in \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda_2\}} L_c \cup \bigcup_{c \in \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda_1\}} {}_cL \right)$$

and finally under ψ this maps to

$$Q_\phi \cap \left(\bigcup_{c \in \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda_2\}} L_c \cup \bigcup_{c \in \{0, 1, \infty, \lambda_1\}} {}_cL \right)$$

□

The points are of the following type - there are four points of the type $(c, \phi(c))$ where c is a cusp and $\phi(c)$ is as before. The other points are the images of points where the curve Γ_ϕ meets $E_1 \times \{P\}$ where P is a point in $E_2[2]$. There are $(n - 1)$ such points (x, P) where $x \notin E_1[2]$ but each of them is a double point so finally has $2(n - 1) + 4 = 2n + 2$ points.

4.2 Enumerative geometry of rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

If E_1 and E_2 are isogenous by an isogeny ϕ of degree n we see there is a rational curve Q_ϕ of bidegree $(n, 1)$ which meets the eight distinguished lines at $2(n + 1)$ points - and all of them are double points. Four are double points of the configuration $\#$ and there are $\frac{(n-1)}{2}$ points where Q_ϕ meets the lines L_c tangentially.

We would like to ‘deform’ this curve to a curve which is defined for all E_1 and E_2 . For this we appeal to a theorem in the enumerative geometry of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let n be an odd number. There exists a unique (rational) curve of degree $(n, 1)$ meeting the configuration $\#_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ at $2n + 1$ points of the following type*

- 3 double points of the configuration $\#$, no two of which are co-linear.
- $\frac{(n-1)}{2}$ points where the curve meets a vertical line tangentially.

Proof. This is an analogue of the statement that there are finitely many rational curves of degree d passing through k points and tangent to $3d - 1 - k$ lines in \mathbb{P}^2 .

The argument here is much simpler though. From Lemma 4.1, a curve of bidegree $(n, 1)$ is always rational and the dimension the linear system of such curves is $2(n + 1) - 1$. A general curve of this type is given by equations in $[X, Y]$ and $[Z, W]$ of the form

$$aZP(X, Y) + bWQ(X, Y)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P(X, Y)$ and $Q(X, Y)$ are homogeneous of degree n .

The requirement that it passes through a point reduces the dimension by at most 1. The requirement that it is tangent to a given line also reduces the dimension by at most 1. Hence, since the space of rational curves is $2n + 1$ dimensional the space of rational curves satisfying the condition is at least 0 dimensional. Since we know for some λ_1, λ_2 it is non-empty, it is always non-empty. \square

Using this we have the following construction of a rational curve in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ for *any* point (λ_1, λ_2) on $X(2) \times X(2)$. Let $T_n \subset X(1) \times X(1)$ denote the n^{th} Hecke correspondence, namely the moduli of pairs of elliptic curves (E_1, E_2) such that there is an isogeny $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ of degree n . We also use T_n to denote the preimage in $X(2) \times X(2)$. This has six components T_n^γ corresponding to the fibres of the map $X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$ (or equivalently the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)/\Gamma(2)$)

Proposition 4.4. *Let T_n denote the moduli of products of elliptic curves (E_1, E_2) with full level 2 structure and an isogeny $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ of degree n .*

Then, there exists a rational curve $C_{n,1}^{\phi, \gamma}$ in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ such that it is irreducible when (λ_1, λ_2) lies in the complement of T_n^γ . When (λ_1, λ_2) lie in T_n^γ one has

$$C_{n,1}^{\phi, \gamma} = C_\phi \cup C_{-\phi}$$

Proof. Let $Q_\phi = Q_\phi^\gamma$ denote the image of Γ_ϕ in $K_{E_{\lambda_1}} \times K_{E_{\lambda_2}} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ when (λ_1, λ_2) lies on T_n^γ . From Lemma 4.2 Q_ϕ determines $2(n + 1)$ points on the configuration of eight lines. These points are of the following type

- The point (λ_1, λ_2) .
- Three other ‘two torsion’ points (c, c') where c and c' are in $\{0, 1, \infty\}$.

- Other points of the form (x, λ_2) and (x', c) .

The points on L_c and cL where c is a cusp are independent of λ_1 and λ_2 . One can also chose the $(n - 1)$ points (x, λ_2) for any λ_2 . Hence, for any λ_1 and λ_2 , if we discard the point (λ_1, λ_2) we determine $2(n + 1) - 1 = 2n + 1$ points on $K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$. Let Σ be this set.

From Theorem 4.3 there exists a rational curve of bidegree $(n, 1)$ on $K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$ passing through Σ . Let $Q_{n,1} = Q_{n,1}^\gamma$ be this curve. In general $Q_{n,1}$ will meet $\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at one other point $s = (\lambda_1, y)$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \lambda_2$ at a point $t = (x, \lambda_2)$. These are *not* double points unless they coincide.

Let $C_{n,1} = C_{n,1}^{\phi,\gamma}$ be the double cover of $Q_{n,1}$ in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$. This is ramified at the $2n + 1$ points and the points s and t . However, the $2n + 1$ points are all nodes. In the normalization of $C_{n,1}$ all the ramified nodes are no longer ramified, so it is therefore a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at on the two points s and t . Hence is an irreducible smooth conic. In particular it is a *rational* curve.


Hence if (λ_1, λ_2) does not lie on T_n^γ we have rational curve $C_{n,1}$ in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ which has nodes at $2n + 1$ points. Further three of these nodes are nodes of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ as well.

If the two points s and t coincide it implies that E_1 and E_2 are isogenous via ϕ . In that case $Q_{n,1} = Q_\phi$ has *four* points which lie in the image of nodes of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ and curve $C_{n,1}$ is a double cover of Q_ϕ where *all* the ramification points are nodes. The normalization is then an *unramified* double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 . As \mathbb{P}^1 is simply connected, this unramified double cover must consist of two components. The image of the two components are the rational curves C_ϕ and $C_{-\phi}$ and we have

$$C_{n,1} = C_\phi \cup C_{-\phi}$$

□

For instance, if $n = 1$, the curve $Q_{1,1}^{id}$ is simply the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It passes through $(0,0), (1,1)$ and (∞, ∞) but does not pass through (λ_1, λ_2) unless E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic as elliptic curves with level 2 structure. The curves $C_{1,1}^\gamma$ were used by Sato [Sat23].



The curve $Q_{1,1}^{id}$

4.3 The motivic cycles

Let n be an integer and T_n^γ be a component of the Hecke correspondence in $X(2) \times X(2)$. If (λ_1, λ_2) does not lie on T_n^γ we can use the curve $C_{n,1}$ constructed above to obtain a motivic cycle in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ as in Propostion 3.1. In the case when ϕ is the isogeny of degree 1, this is due to Sato [Sat23].

Lemma 4.5. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves with full level two structure such that (λ_1, λ_2) does not lie on T_n^γ - hence, there is an irreducible nodal curve $C_{n,1} = C_{n,1}^{\phi, \gamma}$. For every node c of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ lying on $C_{n,1}$ there exists a cycle*

$$\xi_{n,c}^{\phi, \gamma} = \xi_{n,c} \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$$

in the K3 surface $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ obtained by blowing up all the nodes of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$.

Proof. Let c be a node of $C_{n,1}$ which is also a node of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$. As remarked above, there are three such nodes.

Let $\tilde{C}_{n,1}$ denote the strict transform of $C_{n,1}$ in $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ and let E_c denote the exceptional fibre in the Kummer K3 surface $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$.

Let P_c^1 and P_c^2 be the two points in $\tilde{C}_{n,1} \cap E_c$ lying over the node c and let f_c and g_c be functions on $\tilde{C}_{n,1}$ and E_c respectively with divisors

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c) = P_c^1 - P_c^2 \text{ and } \operatorname{div}_{E_c}(g_c) = P_c^2 - P_c^1$$

we further normalize f_c by requiring

$$f_c(s) = 1$$

where s is the ramified point of $C_{n,1}$ on $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

From Propostion 3.1, the cycle

$$\xi_{n,c}^{\phi, \gamma} = (\tilde{C}_{n,1}, f_c) + (E_c, g_c)$$

is an element of the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.

□

As things stand it is not clear that these cycles are non trivial. We will see later that by going to a cover one can construct cycles in the generic fibre of the universal family. The cycles corresponding to the six different γ are linearly independent as their non-Archimedean regulators are non-zero and different. Hence the cycles are generically non-trivial.

However it appears that $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi, \gamma}$ and $\xi_{n,c'}^{\phi, \gamma}$ have the same non-Archimedean regulator. Sato [Sat23] shows that when $n = 1$ the Archimedean regulators do depend on the choice of cusp c as well - so the space spanned by them is 18 dimensional. We expect something similar to hold in this case as well.

For instance, if $n = 1$ one has the cycles $\xi_{1,(0,0)}^{id, \gamma}, \xi_{1,(1,1)}^{id, \gamma}$ and $\xi_{1,(\infty, \infty)}^{id, \gamma}$ defined on the complement of the diagonal (λ, λ) . One also has similar cycles defined on the complement of the lines $(\lambda, 1/\lambda), (\lambda, 1 - \lambda), (\lambda, 1/(1 - \lambda)), (\lambda, \lambda/(1 - \lambda))$ and $(\lambda, (\lambda - 1)/\lambda)$.

4.4 Indecomposability of the motivic cycle

The cycles are defined for all (λ_1, λ_2) as long as the corresponding elliptic curves are not isogenous. However, they may not be defined on the generic fibre as monodromy around T_n might take P_c^1 to P_c^2 . Hence we might have to go to a cover where the sections P_c^1 and P_c^2 are defined.

Recall that we have the localization sequence for motivic cohomology. To show that our cycle is indecomposable we compute the image of this cycle under the boundary homomorphism.

Theorem 4.6. *The boundary of the cycle $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}$ under the map ∂ is*

$$\partial(\xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}) = (\tilde{C}_\phi - \tilde{C}_{-\phi})$$

up to the boundary of a decomposable element in the fibres over T_n^γ , where \tilde{C}_ϕ is the strict transform in $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ of the image C_ϕ of the graph Γ_ϕ in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ which is defined only when (λ_1, λ_2) lie on T_n^γ .


In particular, the cycle $\tilde{C}_\phi - \tilde{C}_{-\phi}$ is not the restriction of a cycle in the generic fibre and is hence $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}$ is indecomposable.

Proof. Under the map $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2} \rightarrow K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ all the nodes of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ are blown up. For c a node of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$, let E_c denote the exceptional fibre over c

When (λ_1, λ_2) does not lie on T_n^γ , in the Kummer surface the point (λ_1, λ_2) does not lie on $\tilde{C}_{n,1} = \tilde{C}_{n,1}^{\phi,\gamma}$. However, when (λ_1, λ_2) lies on T_n^γ the points s and t coincide at the point (λ_1, λ_2) which is a node of the Kummer surface $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ as well. In the Kummer $K3$ surface $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$, the closure $\tilde{C}_{n,1}$ meets the exceptional fibre E_{λ_1, λ_2} over (λ_1, λ_2) at two points and has fibre

$$\tilde{C}_\phi \cup \tilde{C}_{-\phi} + E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

where \tilde{C}_ϕ and $\tilde{C}_{-\phi}$ are the strict transforms of the curves C_ϕ and $C_{-\phi}$ respectively.



The fibre over the point (λ_1, λ_2) when it on T_n^γ .

There is an involution ι on $C_{n,1}$ determined by the double cover $K_{E_1 \times E_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which fixes the ramified points. Over T_n^γ ,

$$\iota(C_{\pm\phi}) = C_{\mp\phi}$$

This involution lifts to the $K3$ surface $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ and fixes the exceptional fibres E_c

$$\iota(E_c) = E_c$$

If P_c^1 and P_c^2 are the two points lying over a node c then $\iota(P_c^1) = P_c^2$ and $\iota(P_c^2) = P_c^1$.

Let $f_c^\iota(z) = f_c(\iota(z))$. Then

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c^\iota) = P_c^2 - P_c^1$$

Therefore $f_c^\iota = \mu/f_c$ for some function μ on the base. However, we have also normalised f_c by requiring $f_c(s) = 1$. Since $\iota(s) = s$, $f_c^\iota(s) = 1$ as well. Therefore the function $\mu \equiv 1$.

Now consider the boundary $\partial(\xi_c)$.

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c) = \mathcal{H} + a_\phi \tilde{C}_\phi + a_{-\phi} \tilde{C}_{-\phi} + a_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

where \mathcal{H} is the closure of $P_c^1 - P_c^2$. Since $f_c^\iota = 1/f_c$ one has

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c^\iota) = -\mathcal{H} - a_\phi \tilde{C}_\phi - a_{-\phi} \tilde{C}_{-\phi} - a_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

On the other hand, $\iota(\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c)) = \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c^\iota)$. Further $\iota(\tilde{C}_{\pm\phi}) = \tilde{C}_{\mp\phi}$, $\iota(\mathcal{H}) = -\mathcal{H}$ and $\iota(E_c) = E_c$. This implies

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c^\iota) = \iota(\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c)) = -\mathcal{H} + a_\phi \tilde{C}_{-\phi} + a_{-\phi} \tilde{C}_\phi + a_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

Comparing coefficients gives $a_\phi = -a_{-\phi}$ and $a_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = -a_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$. Therefore $a_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = 0$ and

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(f_c) = \mathcal{H} + a_\phi(\tilde{C}_\phi - \tilde{C}_{-\phi}).$$

We now claim $a_\phi \neq 0$. To see this, suppose $a_\phi = 0$. Then, since $\operatorname{div}(f_c)$ does not contain \tilde{C}_ϕ , one has

$$\deg(\operatorname{div}(f_c)|_{\tilde{C}_\phi}) = 0$$

On the other hand

$$\deg(\operatorname{div}(f_c)) = (\operatorname{div}(f_c) \cdot \tilde{C}_\phi) = 1$$

so we have a contradiction and $a_\phi \neq 0$

The remaining part is $\operatorname{div}_{E_c}(g_c) = -\mathcal{H}$. Hence we have

$$\partial(\xi_c) = \partial((\tilde{C}_{n,1}, f_c) + (E_c, g_c)) = a_\phi(\tilde{C}_\phi - \tilde{C}_{-\phi})$$

up to the boundary of a decomposable element. In particular, since this is non-zero, the cycle $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi, \gamma}$ is indecomposable.

To compute a_ϕ we choose a decomposable cycle $(C_{n,1}, b)$ such that T_n lies in the support of $\operatorname{div}(b)$. Such a b exists as T_n is of bidegree (k, k) for some k so $T_n - k(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 + \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\})$ is homologically equivalent to 0. As $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is simply connected, this is also rationally equivalent to 0. The boundary of this cycle is

$$\partial((C_{n,1}, b)) = \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(b) = \tilde{C}_\phi + \tilde{C}_{-\phi} + E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} + \text{other terms}$$

where ‘other terms’ refers to the cycles supported over $\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{n,1}}(b^{-a_\phi} f_c) = \mathcal{H} - 2a_\phi \tilde{C}_{-\phi} - a_\phi E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} + \text{other terms}$$

We can restrict this to \tilde{C}_ϕ . Since \tilde{C}_ϕ does not lie in the support, we have

$$\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{div} b^{-a_\phi} f_c|_{\tilde{C}_\phi}) = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{div} b^{-a_\phi} f_c|_{\tilde{C}_\phi}) = (\mathcal{H}, \tilde{C}_\phi) - 2a_\phi(C_{-\phi}, C_\phi) - a_\phi(E_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \tilde{C}_\phi) = 1 - a_\phi$$

as C_ϕ does not intersect the ‘other terms’. Therefore $a_\phi = 1$. □

In the proof we make the assumption that P_c^1 lies on \tilde{C}_ϕ over T_n^γ . This affects the sign. One has eighteen cycles $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}$ coming from the three choices of c and six choices of γ . From the calculation above the curves $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}$ have the same boundary for $c \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ but if $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ the cycles $\xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}$ and $\xi_{n,c'}^{\phi,\gamma'}$ are distinct. Sato shows that $\xi_{1,c}^{id,\gamma}$ have different Archimedean regulators for different values of c and hence produces eighteen independent cycles. We expect something similar to hold in this general case as well. In particular, we have the following corollary (a result that is well known).

Corollary 4.7. *The group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3((E_1 \times E_2)_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is of infinite rank, where $(E_1 \times E_2)_\eta$ is the generic fibre of the universal family of products of elliptic curves over $X(2) \times X(2)$.*

Remark 4.8. *Spiess [Spi99] constructed motivic cycles on the product of two elliptic curves over a local field with boundary an isogeny of the special fibre. Our cycle is closely related to his. In fact our construction should also work in the mixed characteristic case as the arguments are purely algebraic.*

5 Green’s function and the regulator map

In the previous section, we computed the boundary map, which can be considered to be a ‘non-Archimedean’ regulator. In this section, we compute the Archimedean regulator against a particular choice of $(1, 1)$ form after restricting our cycle to the universal family over a modular curve. In [Sat23] he computed the regulator against a $(2, 0)$ form in the case when $\alpha = 1$ and is able to show it is non-trivial (and in fact depends on the choice of node c).

Our expression is in terms of higher Green’s functions. The fact that this is the case goes back to Zhang [Zha97] and independently Mellit [Mel08]. We apply our formula to a conjecture of Gross, Kohnen and Zagier which asserts that the values of higher Green’s functions at CM points is the log of an algebraic number. The conjecture is now a theorem of [Li23] but his methods are quite different.

5.1 Higher Green's functions

Let Γ be a congruent subgroup and $X = \overline{\mathbb{H}/\Gamma}$. Gross and Zagier [GZ86] considered certain 'higher' Green's functions on $X \times X$ defined as follows. Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ denote the image of Γ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $k \geq 1$. The *Higher Green's function of weight k* for X is defined to be

$$G_k^X(z_1, z_2) = \frac{-2}{[\Gamma : \bar{\Gamma}]} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbf{Q}_k \left(1 + \frac{|z_1 - \gamma z_2|^2}{2 \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z_2)} \right).$$

Here \mathbf{Q}_s is the Legendre function of the second kind defined by the Laplace integral

$$\mathbf{Q}_{s-1}(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1} \cosh(u))^s}, \quad t > 1, s > 1.$$

We will primarily be concerned with the case $\Gamma = \Gamma(2)$.

5.2 Harmonic weak Maass forms and weakly holomorphic forms.

In this section we recall all the spaces of modular forms. Details can be found in [BEY21], Section 3. Let $\Gamma' = Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the metaplectic group - namely the (unique) double cover of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Elements of this group look like $\gamma = (\sigma, g)$ where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ and σ is a choice of square root of $j(g, \tau) = c\tau + d$. That is $\sigma = \pm \sqrt{c\tau + d}$.

If L is an even lattice of type $(2, 1)$, the dual lattice L' is the lattice

$$L' = \{l \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } (l, r) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } r \in L\}$$

In this situation, one has a representation of the metaplectic group called the Weil representation

$$\rho_L : Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[L'/L].$$

If $f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ is a function, and $\gamma = (\sigma, g)$ is an element of Γ' then one defines the *Petersson slash operator* by

$$(f|_{k, \rho_L} \gamma)(\tau) = \sigma(\tau)^{-2k} \rho_L(\gamma)^{-1} f(g\tau)$$

Let

$$\Delta_k = -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + iky \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

be the hyperbolic Laplacian in weight k . A smooth function $f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ is said to be a *harmonic weak Maass form of weight k with representation ρ_L for Γ'* if

1. $f|_{k, \rho_L}(\gamma)(\tau) = f(\tau)$ for all γ in Γ' .
2. $\Delta_k(f) = 0$ - f is harmonic.
3. There is a $C > 0$ such that $f(\tau) = O(e^{Cy})$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x .

Let $H_{k,\rho_L}^!$ (Γ') denote the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight k with respect to ρ_L . Let $M_{k,\rho_L}^!$ (Γ'), M_{k,ρ_L} (Γ') and S_{k,ρ_L} (Γ') denote the subspaces of weakly holomorphic modular forms, holomorphic modular forms and cusp forms of weight k with respect to the Weil representation ρ_L respectively. Here *weakly holomorphic* means that f can have poles at the cusps.

One has a differential operator

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_k : H_{k,\rho_L}^! (\Gamma') &\longrightarrow M_{2-k,\bar{\rho}_L}^! (\Gamma') \\ \xi_k(f)(\tau) &= y^{k-2} \overline{\left(-2iy^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\right)}\end{aligned}$$

Let H_{k,ρ_L} (Γ') denote the subspace of $H_{k,\rho_L}^!$ (Γ') which maps to the cusp forms $S_{2-k,\bar{\rho}_L}$ (Γ') under ξ_k . One has

$$S_{k,\rho_L} (\Gamma') \subset M_{k,\rho_L} (\Gamma') \subset M_{k,\rho_L}^! (\Gamma') \subset H_{k,\rho_L} (\Gamma') \subset H_{k,\rho_L}^! (\Gamma')$$

The space of weakly holomorphic forms is the kernel of $\xi_k|_{H_{k,\rho_L} (\Gamma')}$ and one has a sequence [BEY21] Section 3.2

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{k,\rho_L}^! (\Gamma') \longrightarrow H_{k,\rho_L} (\Gamma') \xrightarrow{\xi_k} S_{2-k,\bar{\rho}_L} (\Gamma') \longrightarrow 0$$

The space of harmonic weak Maass forms can also be identified with the space of ‘mock modular forms’ and corresponding map to the space of modular forms is called the ‘shadow map’.

5.3 The conjecture of Gross-Kohnen-Zagier

In [GZ86] and [GKZ87], Gross and Zagier and later Gross, Kohnen and Zagier made precise conjectures about the values of higher Greens functions at algebraic points. The conjecture is the following. Let $G_s(z_1, z_2) = G_s^X(z_1, z_2)$ be the Green’s function defined above and for α in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\det(\alpha) = m$, let

$$G_s^\alpha(z_1, z_2) = G_s(z_1, \alpha z_2)$$

and

$$G_s^m(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha} G_s^\alpha(z_1, z_2) = G_s(z_1, z_2)|_{T_m}$$

Let $f = \sum c_f(m)a^m$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $(2-2k)$ for Γ with $c_f(-m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $m < 0$. Let

$$G_k^f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_m c_f(-m)m^{k-1}G_k^m(z_1, z_2)$$

Then they conjecture

Conjecture 5.1. *Let d_1 and d_2 be discriminants such that one of them is fundamental when k is even. For any CM points z_1 and z_2 of discriminants d_1 and d_2 there exists κ in \mathcal{N} and α in H depending only on d_1, d_2, k and f such that*

$$(d_1 d_2)^{(k-1)/2} G_k^f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \log |\alpha|$$

where H is the composite of the ring class fields H_1 and H_2 over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$ corresponding to z_1 and z_2 respectively.

Further if $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$ then for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/E)$,

$$\sigma(\alpha(z_1, z_2)) = \alpha(\sigma(z_1), \sigma(z_2))$$

The original conjecture was stated in terms of a Green's function determined by 'relations among coefficients of modular forms of weight $2k$ ' but it is known that this space can be identified with the space of weakly holomorphic forms of weight $(2 - 2k)$.

Several special case or conditional results have been obtained by many people - starting with Gross-Zagier [GZ86], Gross-Kohnen-Zagier [GKZ87], Zhang [Zha97], Mellit [Mel08], Viazovska [Via15], Zhou [Zho15] and Brunier-Ehelen-Yang [BEY21]. This is now a theorem of Li [Li23]. His approach is to use that the higher Green's functions can be realised as Borcherds lifts of weakly holomorphic modular forms.

5.4 CM cycles

Let τ be an imaginary quadratic number. The elliptic curve E_τ has CM by $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{D+\sqrt{D}}{2}]$ where D is the discriminant of τ . Let

$$Z_\tau = \Gamma_{\sqrt{D}} - E_\tau \times \{0\} + D\{0\} \times E_\tau$$

where $\Gamma_{\sqrt{D}}$ is the graph of multiplication by \sqrt{D} . Let

$$S_\tau = c(Z_\tau - \sigma^*(Z_\tau))$$

where $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$ and c is a real number chosen so that $(S_\tau, S_\tau) = -1$. S_τ is called the CM cycle at τ . By construction S_τ is orthogonal to the generic Neron-Severi group.

For a non-cuspidal point y on X , let ω_y be a holomorphic form on E_y such that $\int_{E_y} \omega_y \bar{\omega}_y = 1$. Then, since S_y satisfies $(S_y, S_y) = -1$ there is a constant k independent of y such that if y is a CM point the cycle class of the CM cycle S_y is given by

$$cl(S_y) = k(\omega_{1,y} \bar{\omega}_{2,y} + \bar{\omega}_{1,y} \omega_{2,y}) := \eta_y.$$

However, note that η_y is defined for *all* non-cuspidal points y .

5.5 Green's Currents and regulators

If τ is a CM point and S_τ the CM cycle, let $g_2(\tau)$ denote the Green's current for S_τ with the following properties [Zha97] Section 3.1,

- $\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} g_2(\tau) = \delta_{S_\tau}$
- The integral

$$\int g_2(\tau) \eta = 0$$

for any $\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i}$ closed form η on $W(\mathbb{C})$.

Let η_y be as above and

$$H_2(\tau, y) = \int_{E_y \times E_y} \eta_y g_2(\tau)$$

Zhang [Zha97], Proposition 3.4.1, states the following:

Proposition 5.2 (Zhang, Prop 3.4.1). *If τ is a CM point then*

$$H_2(\tau, y) = \frac{1}{2} G_2^X(\tau, y)$$

Namely, the Green's current of S_τ evaluated on the form η_y is half the value of the Green's function of weight 2 at the point (τ, y) .

Remark 5.3 (Higher codimensional CM cycles). *In fact one has CM cycles S_τ^j of codimension j in the CM fibres E_τ^{2j} of the compactification of the $2j^{\text{th}}$ -self product of the universal elliptic curve. These are defined as*

$$S_\tau^j = c \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_j} \text{sgn}(g) g^*(Z_\tau^j)$$

where c is such that $(S_\tau^j)^2 = (-1)^j$.

Zhang shows that weight $j+1$ Green's function are related to the Green's currents of these CM cycles. Precisely, there is a distinguished (j, j) form η_y^j in E_y^{2j} such that

$$H_{j+1}(\tau, y) = \int_{E_y^{2j}} \eta_y^j g_{j+1}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} G_{j+1}^X(\tau, y)$$

Remark 5.4 (Shimura Curves). *One can also define CM cycles in the case when the base is a Shimura curve [Bes98] and one would expect a similar expression for the Green's current of these CM cycles in terms of Green's functions.*

If $W \rightarrow S$ is a family of varieties over a base S and ξ is a class in the motivic cohomology of the generic fibre, its 'non-archimedean' regulator can be thought of as a function on the base S defined at those $s \in S$ where $\xi|_s$ is defined. Pairing it against a form ω of the generic fibre, we get a function

$$F(s) = \langle \text{reg}(\xi|_s), \omega|_s \rangle.$$

This is analogous to a 'normal function' in transcendental algebraic geometry. For the regulator of the motivic cohomology groups we are interested in, this function takes values in a generalised torus analogous to the classical normal functions; by contrast the real regulator takes real values.

In the case when S is a modular curve, W the universal Abelian surface and ξ is an indecomposable cycle in the generic fibre, then using Zhang's theorem above one has the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be a modular curve and A_η the generic fibre of the universal abelian surface. Let ξ be a cycle in the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ with boundary $\partial(\xi) = \sum_\tau a_\tau S_\tau$, where S_τ is the CM cycle in the fibre over a CM point τ . Then if η_y is the $(1, 1)$ form defined above,*

$$\langle \text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi|_y), \eta_y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_\tau G_2^X(\tau, y)$$

as long as y does not lie in the support of $\partial(\xi)$.

Proof. The real regulator of an element of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is a current on $(1, 1)$ -forms in the family. From [Sou92] Chapter III, Theorem 1, for instance, it can also be obtained as a linear combination of the Green's currents associated to the cycles appearing in the boundary. If $\partial(\xi) = \sum a_\tau Z_\tau$ for some points τ and cycles Z_τ in the fibre over those points, then

$$\langle \text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi|_y), \omega_y \rangle = \sum a_\tau \int_{A_\tau} \omega_y g_{Z_\tau}$$

where g_{Z_τ} is the Green's current associated to Z_τ and $\omega|_y$ is a form in $F^1 H^2$ of the generic fibre restricted to the fibre over y .

From Zhang's theorem above the regulator evaluated on the $(1, 1)$ form η_y is a linear combination of higher Green's functions. Precisely if ξ is a motivic cycle in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ with

$$\partial(\xi) = \sum a_\tau S_\tau$$

then, if y is not in the support of the boundary, one has

$$\langle \text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi), \eta_y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_\tau G_2^X(\tau, y).$$

If ξ is a decomposable cycle then this expression is 0 as η_y is orthogonal to the generic Neron-Severi, so if it is non-zero the cycle is indecomposable. In particular, in the definition of ξ , the precise functions do not matter as changing the function by a multiplicative constant changes the cycle by a decomposable cycle. □

5.6 Algebraicity of values of Green's functions

We now apply the above theorem to show that if ξ is an indecomposable cycle then the values of the higher Green's functions at certain CM points are logarithms of algebraic numbers.

Theorem 5.6. *Let X be a modular curve and A_η the generic fibre of the universal abelian surface. Let $\xi = \sum (C_i, f_i)$ be a cycle in the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ with boundary $\partial(\xi) = \sum_\tau a_\tau S_\tau$, where S_τ is the CM cycle in the fibre over a CM point τ . Then if y is a CM point outside the support of $\partial(\xi)$ we have*

$$\sum a_\tau \frac{1}{2} G_2^X(\tau, y) = \log \prod |f_i(C_i \cap S_y)|$$

where by f evaluated at a divisor $\sum a_P P$ we mean $\prod f(P)^{a_P}$. In particular, the value is the logarithm of an algebraic number.

Proof. Recall that if y is a CM point, one has the CM cycle S_y in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ with cycle class η_y . Further, if y is outside the support of the boundary of the motivic cycle, the cycle Ξ restricts to give a cycle $\Xi|_y = \Xi_y$ in the motivic cohomology group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.

There is a compatibility between the intersection product on motivic cohomology and the cup product on Deligne cohomology, [EV88], (Proposition 7.4.). In our case this implies that the regulator

of the cycle Ξ evaluated at η_y is then the same as the regulator of the product of the cycles Ξ_y and S_y in the motivic cohomology groups of A_y :

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^5(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(3)).$$

Elements of the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^5(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(3))$ are of the form $\sum_z(z, a_z)$ where z is a point defined over possibly an extension k_z of K and $a_z \in K_1(z) = k_z^*$. The regulator of such an element is $\log \prod |a_z|$.

Explicitly, if $\Xi_y = \sum(C_i, f_i)$ then $\Xi_y \cap S_y = \sum(f_i, C_i \cap S_y)$. If $C_i \cap S_y = \sum_{P_i} a_P P$ then this is $\prod_i \prod_{P \in S_y \cap C_i} (P, f_i(P)^{a_P})$. The regulator of this is

$$\log \prod_i \prod_P |f_i(P)^{a_P}|$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 5.5 we have

$$\langle \text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi), \eta_y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_{\tau} G_2^X(\tau, y).$$

Hence at a CM point y we have two expressions for the regulator – one in terms of a special value of a higher Green's function and the other in terms of the regulator of an element of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^5(A_y, \mathbb{Q}(3))$.

Combining the two gives

$$\langle \text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi_y), \eta_y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_{\tau} G_2^X(\tau, y) = \log \prod_i \prod_P |f_i(P)^{a_P}|$$

The element ξ_y and the cycle S_y are defined over a number fields. Hence so is $C_i \cap S_y$. Therefore the number

$$\alpha = \prod_i \prod_P f_i(P)^{a_P}$$

is the value of an algebraic function evaluated at algebraic points and is hence an algebraic number. \square

Remark 5.7. *There is nothing special about the case of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(A, \mathbb{Q}(2))$, the same argument works for $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2k-1}(A^{k-1}, \mathbb{Q}(k))$. In that case one obtains algebraicity results for G_k^X . For instance, in the case $k = 1$ this is simply the statement that if f is a function with $\text{div}(f) = \sum a_{\tau} z_{\tau}$ then at another two CM points τ' and τ''*

$$\log |f(\tau')/f(\tau'')| = \sum a_{\tau} (G_1^X(\tau, \tau') - G_1^X(\tau, \tau''))$$

Remark 5.8. *If $k > 1$ one has decomposable cycles in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2k-1}(A^{k-1}, \mathbb{Q}(k))$. However, the regulator of such cycles will be 0 when computed against the form η_y^{k-1} as by construction the CM cycles are orthogonal to the cycles obtained by restriction from the generic Neron-Severi group. Hence this provides a test for indecomposability.*

5.7 Algebraicity results

Consider the cycle $\xi = \xi_{n,c}^{\phi,\gamma}$. From the computations in the previous section, the boundary of this cycle is supported over points (z_1, z_2) on $X(2) \times X(2) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to where E_{z_1} and E_{z_2} are isogenous by an isogeny ϕ of degree n and they lie on the component T_n^γ of T_n determined by γ . The boundary is $\Gamma_\phi - \Gamma_{-\phi}$.

Let X be a modular curve on $X(2) \times X(2)$ - namely a component of a Hecke correspondence T_m where the generic Picard number is 3. If $m \neq \deg(\phi)$ then the cycle ξ restricts to give a cycle in the generic Kummer surface over X . The boundary of this cycle is supported on the points of $X \cap T_n^\gamma$. In these fibres the Picard number is 4 and they are CM points. From Propostion 5.5 the regulator of this cycle can be expressed in terms of Green's functions of weight 2

$$\langle \text{reg } \xi | X, \omega_y \rangle = \sum_{x \in X \cap T_n^\gamma} G_2^X(x, y)$$

From Theorem 5.6 we obtain algebraicity results for values of Green's functions at certain CM points.

There are a couple of points to note. The first is that while the points where the boundary of the cycle ξ is supported are certain sums of CM points, the point y is a single CM point.

The second is that the calculation does not involve the underlying modular curve - the cycle $\Gamma_\phi - \Gamma_{-\phi}$ is (a multiple of) the CM cycle for those products of elliptic curves lying on the modular curve, so it seems reasonable to expect the following to be true:

Conjecture 5.9. *Let $\Omega_{z_1, z_2} = \omega_{z_1} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{z_2} + \bar{\omega}_{z_1} \otimes \omega_{z_2}$. Then*

$$\langle \text{reg}(\xi_c^n), \Omega_{z_1, z_2} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} G_2^n(z_1, z_2)$$

When restricted to a modular curve, the function becomes a function of one variable and is the function we consider above. For instance, if we restrict to the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ then

$$\frac{1}{2} G_2^n(z, z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_\tau G_2^X(\tau, z).$$

where $T_n \cap \Delta_X = \sum a_\tau \tau$.

6 The modular complex.

6.1 Two exact sequences

Let X be the modular curve considered above, A_η the generic fibre of the universal family of Abelian surfaces (in this case $E \times E$) and A_x the special fibre over a point x .

Let $\Gamma' = Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ as before. Let L be the transcendental lattice of $H^2(A_\eta, \mathbb{Z})$. Since the generic Picard number is 3, this is an even integral lattice of type $(2, 1)$.

Let

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+2}(W^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{CM} = \text{Ker} : \{H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+2}(W^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{\text{hom}} \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+2}(A_\eta^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))\}$$

This is the subgroup of null homologous codimension $(j + 1)$ cycles generated by the CM cycles. A priori it is only contained in the kernel, but from the Schoen [Sch93] one knows that integrally the difference consists of cycles supported on cusps. A version of the Manin-Drinfeld theorem shows that these cycles are torsion and so rationally they are 0.

As remarked in the introduction, the proof of the Algebraicity Conjecture of Gross and Zagier is now a theorem of Li [Li23]. His proof is analytic based on realizing the Green's function as a regularised Theta lift. Our approach is more algebraic realizing the value of the Greens function in terms of the regulator of motivic cycles.

To reconcile the two approaches we observe the following. One has a short exact sequence coming from the long exact localization sequence which is

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+1}(A_{\eta}^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{\text{ind}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{CM}} H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j}(A_x^j, \mathbb{Q}(j)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+2}(W^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{CM} \longrightarrow 0$$

where X^{CM} denotes the set of CM points on X . On the other hand, one has a sequence in the theory of modular forms [BEY21] Section 3.2,

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\frac{1}{2}-j, \rho_L}^1(\Gamma') \longrightarrow H_{\frac{1}{2}-j, \rho_L}(\Gamma') \xrightarrow{\xi_{\frac{1}{2}-j}} S_{\frac{3}{2}+j, \rho_L}(\Gamma') \longrightarrow 0$$

One has maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+1}(A_{\eta}^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{\text{ind}} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{CM}} H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j}(A_x^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+2}(W^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{CM} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{reg} & & \downarrow g_x & & \downarrow A^j \\ & & \mathcal{G}_{j+1}^0(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_{j+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & A^{j+1}(X) \\ & & \uparrow \Phi^j & & \uparrow \Phi^j & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\frac{1}{2}-j, \rho_L}^1(\Gamma') & \longrightarrow & H_{\frac{1}{2}-j, \rho_L}(\Gamma') & \xrightarrow{\xi_{\frac{1}{2}-j}} & S_{\frac{3}{2}+j, \rho_L}(\Gamma') \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where reg is the map which takes Ξ to $\langle \text{reg}(\Xi), \eta_y^j \rangle$ and similarly g_x is the Green's current evaluated on η_y^j . $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}(X)$ is the space of Green's functions of degree $j + 1$ and $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}^0(X)$ is the subspace of Green's functions arising from the regulator. Φ^j is the regularised Theta lift of [BEY21] (5.1).

6.2 A conjecture

One can then conjecture that both the maps have the same image. More precisely, we conjecture

Conjecture 6.1. *Let f be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2} - j$ and representation ρ_L then there is a motivic cycle ξ_f in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2j+1}(A_{\eta}^j, \mathbb{Q}(j+1))_{\text{ind}}$ such that*

$$\langle \text{reg}(\xi_f), \eta_y^j \rangle = \Phi^j(y, f)$$

Since there are no modular forms of weight $\frac{5}{2}$ for $\Gamma(2)$ there should be weakly holomorphic modular forms f_n of weight $-\frac{1}{2}$ with principal part $\frac{1}{q^n}$. One expects that the corresponding motivic cycle to this is $\xi_{n,c}$.

Ramakrishnan [Ram07] introduced the notion of a ‘modular’ complex - a subcomplex of the complex that defines motivic cohomology (or higher Chow groups) of a Shimura variety. This is the subcomplex defined by Shimura subvarieties, boundary components, Hecke translates of all of these and the relations between them. He constructs elements in this modular complex. In fact all known examples of non-trivial elements of the motivic cohomology of Shimura varieties lie in this complex.

Analogously, in Kuga fibre varieties over a Shimura variety, one can consider the subcomplex generated by modular subvarieties and special cycles over them, like the *CM* cycles. The conjecture suggests that there should be a corresponding sequence of modular forms and that the regulators of these cycles can be computed in terms of Borcherds lifts of modular forms. In particular, the regulator of the cycles constructed by [Ram07] should be given by Borcherds lifts.

6.3 Generalizations

In this paper we considered the case of elements in the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(E_1 \times E_2, \mathbb{Q}(2))$. There are several directions one can generalize this construction. The construction is done in the Kummer of the product of elliptic curves. One way is to consider more general *K3* surfaces.

6.3.1 *K3* surfaces

In [Sre22] we made a similar construction in the Kummer surface of a simple Abelian surface. In [Sre24a] we showed that the construction can be generalised to *K3* surfaces of degree 2, which are double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at a sextic. The Kummer surface of an Abelian surface corresponds to when the sextic is a product of six lines.

Different singular sextics give rise to *K3* surfaces which are double covers of del Pezzo surfaces. The moduli of such surfaces correspond to orthogonal Shimura varieties of type $(2, n)$ for n up to 19 [YZ23]. In [Sre24b] we use (-1) curves to construct several cycles in the generic *K3* double cover of a del Pezzo surface. Here too, in if one knows the existence of rational curves of certain types - as one might expect from enumerative geometry - one can generalize the construction to get infinitely many independent cycles. Since the moduli are orthogonal Shimura varieties as well, perhaps Borcherds lifts of weakly holomorphic forms are related to regulators of these cycles too.

A slightly different special case is to consider *K3* surfaces obtained as the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at a curve of bidegree $(4, 4)$. This is a generalisation of the Kummer surface of a product of elliptic curves studied here. The same construction would work there to determine interesting motivic cycles.

6.3.2 Higher dimensions

The other direction is for higher dimensions. In all these cases we have considered the case of $j = 1$. In [Sre23] we show that in fact the cycles can be seen as coming from a construction of Bloch [Blo00] for products of curves. So perhaps a construction on the product of curves might lead to higher indecomposable cycles on A^j .

In [Col02] and [SS20] it is shown that the regulator of cycles of Bloch and Collino can be realised as natural extensions of the mixed Hodge structure on the fundamental group. In work in progress with Subham Sarkar, we have an analogous candidate for the regulator of the higher cycles on products of curves and are optimistic that the corresponding cycle will provide higher indecomposable cycles on A^j .

7 Appendix - with Kannappan Sampath

Let n_1, n_2 and n_3 be positive integers; suppose also that n_1 is odd. These determine Hecke correspondences X_{n_i} in the surface $X(2) \times X(2)$ corresponding to those pairs of elliptic curves which have an isogeny of degree n_i between them.

Recall from the previous sections, that for a pair of elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 over \mathbf{Q} with an isogeny $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Gamma_\phi & \hookrightarrow & E_1 \times E_2 & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
 C_\phi & \hookrightarrow & K_{E_1 \times E_2} & \xleftarrow{\text{blow-up}} & \tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi & & \\
 Q_\phi & \hookrightarrow & K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2} & \simeq & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1
 \end{array}$$

Here, as before,

- Γ_ϕ denotes the graph of the isogeny ϕ ,
- $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ denotes the Kummer surface associated to the abelian surface $E_1 \times E_2$,
- K_{E_i} is the Kummer line associated to E_i (abstractly isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1),
- the maps π and $\psi \circ \pi$ are the canonical quotient maps and their geometry is explicitly described at the beginning of Section 4,
- C_ϕ and Q_ϕ are the images of Γ_ϕ under π and $\psi \circ \pi$ respectively; as discussed in Section 4, the curve C_ϕ is a rational curve in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ and Q_ϕ is a rational curve of bidegree $(\deg \phi, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,
- $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ is the Kummer K3 surface associated to $E_1 \times E_2$ obtained by blowing up the 16 points $\{\pi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \alpha_i \in E_i[2]\}$.

Let \tilde{C}_ϕ denote the strict transform of C_ϕ in the Kummer K3 surface $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$. As in Lemma 4.5, n_1 determines a motivic cycle $\Xi_{n_1} \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ defined for those pairs (E_1, E_2) of elliptic curves whose moduli point is in $(X(2) \times X(2)) \setminus X_{n_1}$.

For pairs (E, E') of elliptic curves in X_{n_i} (with $i = 2, 3$), we have cycles $\Gamma_{\phi_i} - \Gamma_{-\phi_i}$ in $E \times E'$ coming from the isogeny $\phi_i : E \rightarrow E'$ (of degree n_i) and the corresponding cycles $\tilde{Z}_{\phi_i} = \tilde{C}_{\phi_i} - \tilde{C}_{-\phi_i}$ in the Kummer K3 surface $\tilde{K}_{E \times E'}$.

The cycles \tilde{Z}_{ϕ_i} are orthogonal to the generic Neron-Severi group as well as to each other on the supersingular Kummer K3s associated to those points in $X_{n_2} \cap X_{n_3}$. This implies that, on X_{n_3} , the cycle \tilde{Z}_{ϕ_2} is a multiple of the CM cycle at a point on $X_{n_2} \cap X_{n_3}$.

Recall that, for a surface S , if $\Xi = \sum_i (C_i, f_i) \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(S, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \simeq \text{CH}^2(S, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $Z \in \text{CH}^1(S) = \text{CH}^1(S, 0)$ is a codimension 1 cycle in S , then their intersection is given by

$$\Xi \cap Z = \sum_i \prod_{x \in C_i \cap Z} f_i(x). \quad (1)$$

where, for a 0-cycle $x = \sum a_j x_j$, the notation $f_i(x)$ stands for $\prod_j f_i(x_j)^{a_j}$. In particular, the values of $\Xi_{n_1} \cap \tilde{Z}_{\phi_2}$ defines a function on X_{n_2} defined on $X_{n_2} \cap X_{n_1}^c$. The values of this function at a point $\tau \in X_{n_2} \cap X_{n_3} \cap X_{n_1}^c$ is an algebraic number; moreover, it should be an integral multiple of the value of the higher Green's function of degree 2 corresponding to the higher Chow cycle Ξ_{n_3} at the CM cycle in the Kummer K3 surface corresponding to τ .

Our goal here is to determine the function $\Xi_{n_1} \cap \tilde{Z}_{\phi_2}$ in the case $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 3$. Note now that Ξ_{n_1} is Sato's cycle and is discussed as a special case of the constructions of this paper in Section 4.2. It will be denoted Ξ_I in the sequel (where I stands for the identity isogeny).

7.1 The case $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 3$

Recall from Lemma 4.5 that the higher Chow cycle $\Xi_I \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is defined for all pairs (E_1, E_2) away from the diagonal (also denoted X_{n_1} above) in $X(2) \times X(2)$; moreover, as in Proposition 3.1, the higher Chow cycle Ξ_I is determined by a choice of a node P in the rational curve C_I and is explicitly the following

$$\Xi_I = (\tilde{C}_I, f) + (E_P, g)$$

where

- \tilde{C}_I is the strict transform of the locus C_I (and is in fact the “(embedded) normalization” of the nodal rational curve C_I),
- E_P is the exceptional fiber over the point P in $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ (meeting \tilde{C}_I at the two points in \tilde{C}_I lying over P),
- the function f defined on \tilde{C}_I is such that $\text{div}(f) = P_1 - P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are the two points in $\tilde{C}_I \cap E_P$ mapping to P in C_I ,

- the function g defined on E_P is such that $\text{div}(g) = P_2 - P_1$.

For the purpose of describing the function f , it is convenient to work the curves E_1 and E_2 in Legendre form as the Legendre family is naturally identified with the moduli space $X(2)$.

7.1.1 Some computations with elliptic curves in Legendre form

Let E_λ denote the elliptic curve in $\mathbb{P}_{[X:Y:Z]}^2$ given by

$$Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z) \quad (2)$$

with $\lambda \neq 0, 1$.

Let λ_1 and λ_2 be the Legendre parameters of the elliptic curves $E_1 \subset \mathbb{P}_{[X_1:Y_1:Z_1]}^2$ and $E_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_{[X_2:Y_2:Z_2]}^2$ respectively. Let

$$F_i(x) = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda_i)$$

so that on the affine patch $Z_i = 1$, the curve E_i is given by $y^2 = F_i(x)$. The Kummer surface $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ is then a double cover of $K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$ branched at the configuration $\#_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ of eight lines discussed in Section 2.2.2; canonically it is a divisor in the total space $\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(2, 2))$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(2, 2)$ over $\mathbb{P}_{[X_1:Z_1]}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{[X_2:Z_2]}^1$; it is useful to view \mathbf{T} as being a quasi-projective subvariety of the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 4)$ and endowed with the coordinates $(X_1X_2, X_1Z_2, X_2Z_1, X_2Z_2, w)$. In fact, \mathbf{T} is cut out by the equation

$$w^2 = Z_1Z_2\Phi_1(X_1, Z_1)\Phi_2(X_2, Z_2)$$

where $\Phi_i(X_i, Z_i) = Z_i^3F_i(X_i/Z_i)$. The curve C_I is the locus in $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ covering the diagonal in $K_{E_1} \times K_{E_2}$ so it is given by

$$w^2 = Z_1^2F_1(X_1, Z_1)F_2(X_1, Z_1).$$

The nodes in C_I lie over the points $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$ and (∞, ∞) in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

We will now describe the strict transform \tilde{C}_I of C_I in the blowup of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ at the nodes in C_I . Over the open subset $U_{Z_1Z_2} = \{Z_1 \neq 0, Z_2 \neq 0\}$ of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$, the variety \tilde{C}_I can be thought of as the blow-up of the plane $\frac{X_1}{Z_1} = \frac{X_2}{Z_2}$ in the node of C_I over $P = (0, 0)$. Accordingly, we will work with local coordinates (x, w) for the plane $\frac{X_1}{Z_1} = \frac{X_2}{Z_2}$ where $x = \frac{X_1}{Z_1} = \frac{X_2}{Z_2}$. In sum, we see that $\tilde{C}_I|_{U_{Z_1Z_2}}$ is a subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_{(x,w)}^2 \times \mathbb{P}_{[u:v]}^1$ cut out by

$$uw = vF_1(x), \quad w^2 = F_1(x)F_2(x).$$

The exceptional fiber E_P meets \tilde{C}_I where $u = 1$, which gives us $v^2 = \frac{F_2(x)}{F_1(x)}$ over the node and $v = \frac{w}{F_1(x)}$ over other points. The points P_1 and P_2 lying in $\tilde{C}_I \cap E_P$ over the node $P = (0, 0)$ are $((0, 0), \pm\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}})$ and the function f may be taken to be

$$f = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}v + \sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}v - \sqrt{\lambda_2}}.$$

Similarly, we can describe \tilde{C}_I over the open subset $U_{X_1 X_2} = \{X_1 \neq 0, X_2 \neq 0\}$ of $K_{E_1 \times E_2}$ containing the node over (∞, ∞) ; $\tilde{C}_I|_{U_{X_1 X_2}}$ is a subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_{(z,w)}^2 \times \mathbb{P}_{[u',v']}^1$, with $z = \frac{Z_1}{X_1} = \frac{Z_2}{X_2}$, and is cut out by

$$u'w = v'(1 - \lambda_1 z), \quad w^2 = (1 - \lambda_1 z)(1 - \lambda_2 z).$$

We will note now that \tilde{Z}_ϕ does not meet E_P . Note that the curve $\tilde{C}_{\pm\phi}$ maps to $Q_\phi = (\psi \circ \pi)(\Gamma_\phi)$ while the exceptional fiber lies over $P = (0, 0)$. We will argue that ϕ may be chosen so that Q_ϕ does not contain P —indeed, if e is a 2-torsion point, then so is $\phi(e)$ (since $\deg \phi$ is odd), and if e_0^i, e_1^i , and $e_{\lambda_i}^i$ be the three non-trivial torsion points on E_i , we see that we may take ϕ such that $\phi(e_0^1) \neq e_0^2$. Since $\psi \circ \pi$ is the x -coordinate map, it follows that $P = (0, 0)$ does not lie on Q_ϕ .

We now calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_I \cap \tilde{Z}_\phi &= \prod_{z \in \tilde{C}_I \cap \tilde{Z}_\phi} f(z) \\ &= \prod_{z \in \tilde{C}_I \cap \tilde{C}_\phi} f(z) \prod_{z \in \tilde{C}_I \cap \tilde{C}_{-\phi}} f(z)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We compute the intersection $\tilde{C}_I \cap \tilde{C}_{\pm\phi}$ by first computing the intersection $Q_I \cap Q_\phi$. Since Q_I is the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $Q_\phi = \{(x(\alpha), x(\phi(\alpha))) : \alpha \in E_1\} \cup \{(\infty, \infty)\}$, the intersection $Q_I \cap Q_\phi$ consists of the point (∞, ∞) and the remaining points are parametrized by those points $\alpha \in E_1$ such that $x(\alpha) = x(\phi(\alpha))$.

The fiber in $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ of $\tilde{C}_I \cap (\tilde{C}_\phi \cup \tilde{C}_{-\phi})$ over a point $x = x(\alpha)$ in $Q_I \cap Q_\phi$ consists of two points; one of these point lies on \tilde{C}_ϕ and the other on $\tilde{C}_{-\phi}$; call them P_ϕ^x and $P_{-\phi}^x$ respectively. They are explicitly (in the affine chart $\{u = 1\}$) given by:

$$P_{\pm\phi}^x = \left((x, \sqrt{F_1(x)}), (x, \pm\sqrt{F_2(x)}), \pm\sqrt{\frac{F_2(x)}{F_1(x)}} \right).$$

Similarly, the fiber in $\tilde{K}_{E_1 \times E_2}$ of $\tilde{C}_I \cap (\tilde{C}_\phi \cup \tilde{C}_{-\phi})$ over (∞, ∞) consists of two points; viz.,

$$\tilde{P}_{\pm\phi} = (0, 0, \pm 1).$$

Now, we have that

$$f(P_{\pm\phi}^x) = \frac{\pm\sqrt{\lambda_1 F_2(x)} + \sqrt{\lambda_2 F_1(x)}}{\pm\sqrt{\lambda_1 F_2(x)} - \sqrt{\lambda_2 F_1(x)}} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\tilde{P}_{\pm\phi}) = \frac{\pm\sqrt{\lambda_1} + \sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\pm\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}}$$

and the function $\Xi_I \cap \tilde{Z}_\phi$ is

$$\Xi_I \cap \tilde{Z}_\phi = \prod_{x \in Q_I \cap Q_\phi} \frac{f(P_\phi^x)}{f(P_{-\phi}^x)} \quad (4)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1} + \sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}} \right)^2 \prod_{x \in (Q_I \cap Q_\phi) \setminus \{(\infty, \infty)\}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1 F_2(x)} + \sqrt{\lambda_2 F_1(x)}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1 F_2(x)} - \sqrt{\lambda_2 F_1(x)}} \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

To finish the computation, it suffices to determine the values of x explicitly.

7.2 Calculating $x(\phi(\alpha))$ for a 3-isogeny $\phi : E_{\lambda_1} \rightarrow E_{\lambda_2}$

We proceed by first computing these x -coordinates in a different model for E_2 and transform them to points in the Legendre form.

But first, the 3-torsion points on E_1 are given by the following lemma:

Lemma 7.1. *A point $P_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ is a non-trivial 3-torsion point on E_λ if and only if x_0 satisfies*

$$3x_0^4 - 4(\lambda + 1)x_0^3 + 6\lambda x_0^2 - \lambda^2 = 0.$$

Proof. Let μ denote the slope of the tangent line at P_0 . Then, the x - and y -coordinates of $2P_0$ and $-P_0$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(2P_0) &= (\lambda + 1) + \mu^2 - 2x_0 & x(-P_0) &= x_0 \\ y(2P_0) &= -y_0 - \mu(x(2P_0) - x_0) & y(-P_0) &= -y_0 \end{aligned}$$

(as is readily obtained from the chord-tangent description of the addition law on an elliptic curve in Weierstrass form). Now, P_0 is a 3-torsion point if and only if $2P_0 = -P_0$ which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x(2P_0) &= (\lambda + 1) + \mu^2 - 2x_0 = x_0 \\ -y_0 - \mu(x(2P_0) - x_0) &= -y_0 \end{aligned}$$

Note now that the two equations are equivalent. Plugging in μ , viz.,

$$\mu = \frac{3x_0^2 - 2(\lambda + 1)x_0 + \lambda}{2y_0}$$

and noting that $y_0^2 = x_0(x_0 - 1)(x_0 - \lambda)$, the claimed equivalence follows after straightforward algebra. \square

We wish to explicitly compute the Weierstrass model for the target of the isogeny whose kernel is the subgroup $\langle P_0 \rangle$ generated by a non-trivial 3-torsion point P_0 on E_λ . For this, we use Kohel's algorithm¹ [Koh96].

Lemma 7.2. *Let $P_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ denote a non-trivial 3-torsion point on E_λ . Then Kohel's Weierstrass model for $E_\lambda/\langle P_0 \rangle$ is given by $y^2 = G_\lambda(x)$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda(x) &= x^3 - (\lambda + 1)x^2 - (30x_0^2 - 20(\lambda + 1)x_0 + 9\lambda)x \\ &\quad - 70x_0^3 + 80(\lambda + 1)x_0^2 - 2(8\lambda^2 + 37\lambda + 8)x_0 + 8\lambda + 8\lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\phi : E_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda/\langle P_0 \rangle$ denotes the isogeny whose kernel is $\langle P_0 \rangle$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x(\phi(Q)) &= \frac{4y^2 - (6x^2 - 4(\lambda + 1)x + 2\lambda)(x - x_0) + (3x - 2x_0)(x - x_0)^2}{(x - x_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{(4x^3 - 4(\lambda + 1)x^2 + 4\lambda x) - (6x^2 - 4(\lambda + 1)x + 2\lambda)(x - x_0) + (3x - 2x_0)(x - x_0)^2}{(x - x_0)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for points $Q = (x(Q), y(Q)) = (x, y)$ on E_λ .

¹The original ideas are due to Vélú [V71] (as Kohel mentions in his thesis [Koh96]); see also p. 44 of [Elk98].

Proof. For proof, we refer to Kohel’s thesis [Koh96] where this is worked out in greater generality. \square

Now let $\beta : E_{\lambda_1}/\langle P_0 \rangle \rightarrow E_{\lambda_2}$ be the isomorphism between the Weierstrass form $E_{\lambda_1}/\langle P_0 \rangle$ and its Legendre form. This isomorphism is easy to describe—if $x_0(\lambda_1), x_1(\lambda_1), x_2(\lambda_1)$ are the roots of the polynomial equation $G_{\lambda_1}(x) = 0$, then we may translate x so that $x_0(\lambda_1)$ maps to 0, then scale x so that $x_1(\lambda_1) - x_0(\lambda_1)$ maps to 1 (this will involve scaling y correspondingly); one sees that the Legendre parameter of the target of the isogeny ϕ is then

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{x_3(\lambda_1) - x_0(\lambda_1)}{x_2(\lambda_1) - x_0(\lambda_1)}.$$

As is clear from the description, the map β is harder to write down explicitly over (a suitable extension of) $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda_1)$.

The calculation is complete once we solve the polynomial equation

$$x(\alpha) = x((\beta \circ \phi)(\alpha))$$

for $\alpha \in E_1$.

References

- [Bes98] Amnon Besser. Elliptic fibrations of $K3$ surfaces and QM Kummer surfaces. *Math. Z.*, 228(2):283–308, 1998.
- [BEY21] Jan Hendrik Bruinier, Stephan Ehlen, and Tonghai Yang. CM values of higher automorphic Green functions for orthogonal groups. *Invent. Math.*, 225(3):693–785, 2021.
- [Blo00] Spencer J. Bloch. *Higher regulators, algebraic K-theory, and zeta functions of elliptic curves*, volume 11 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [Bor99] Richard E. Borcherds. The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 97(2):219–233, 1999.
- [Car80] James A. Carlson. Extensions of mixed Hodge structures. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, pages 107–127. Sitjhoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980.
- [CL05] Xi Chen and James D. Lewis. The Hodge- D -conjecture for $K3$ and abelian surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 14(2):213–240, 2005.
- [Col97] A. Collino. Griffiths’ infinitesimal invariant and higher K -theory on hyperelliptic Jacobians. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 6(3):393–415, 1997.

- [Col02] Elisabetta Colombo. The mixed Hodge structure on the fundamental group of hyperelliptic curves and higher cycles. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 11(4):761–790, 2002.
- [Cor83] Daniel F. Coray. Enumerative geometry of rational space curves. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 46(2):263–287, 1983.
- [Elk98] Noam D. Elkies. Elliptic and modular curves over finite fields and related computational issues. In *Computational perspectives on number theory (Chicago, IL, 1995)*, volume 7 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 21–76. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [EV88] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg. Deligne-Beilinson cohomology. In *Beilinson’s conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 43–91. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [GKZ87] B. Gross, W. Kohlen, and D. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L -series. II. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):497–562, 1987.
- [GZ86] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L -series. *Invent. Math.*, 84(2):225–320, 1986.
- [Koh96] David Kohel. *Endomorphism rings of elliptic curves over finite fields*. PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 1996.
- [Li23] Yingkun Li. Algebraicity of higher green functions at a cm point. *Invent. Math.*, (1), 2023.
- [Mel08] Anton Mellit. Higher green’s functions for modular forms, 2008.
- [MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2 of *Clay Mathematics Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006.
- [Ram07] Dinakar Ramakrishnan. Modular curves, modular surfaces, and modular fourfolds. In *Algebraic cycles and motives. Vol. 1*, volume 343 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 278–292. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Sat23] Ken Sato. A group action on higher chow cycles on a family of kummer surfaces. 2023.
- [Sch93] Chad Schoen. Complex multiplication cycles and a conjecture of Beilinson and Bloch. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 339(1):87–115, 1993.
- [Sil86] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

- [Sou92] C. Soulé. *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*, volume 33 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. With the collaboration of D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer.
- [Spi99] Michael Spiess. On indecomposable elements of K_1 of a product of elliptic curves. *K-Theory*, 17(4):363–383, 1999.
- [Sre01] Ramesh Sreekantan. Relations among Heegner cycles on families of abelian surfaces. *Compositio Math.*, 127(3):243–271, 2001.
- [Sre14] Ramesh Sreekantan. Higher Chow cycles on Abelian surfaces and a non-Archimedean analogue of the Hodge- D -conjecture. *Compos. Math.*, 150(4):691–711, 2014.
- [Sre22] Ramesh Sreekantan. Algebraic cycles and values of green’s functions - arxiv 2208.08325, 2022.
- [Sre23] Ramesh Sreekantan. Old and new motivic cycles on abelian surfaces- arxiv 2304.09819. 2023.
- [Sre24a] Ramesh Sreekantan. Indecomposable motivic cycles on k^3 surfaces of degree 2 - arxiv 2401.01052, 2024.
- [Sre24b] Ramesh Sreekantan. Motivic cycles on k^3 double covers of del pezzo surfaces - arxiv 2411.03704, 2024.
- [SS20] Subham Sarkar and Ramesh Sreekantan. The fundamental group and extensions of motives of Jacobians of curves. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 130(1):Paper No. 18, 36, 2020.
- [V71] Jacques Vélú. Isogénies entre courbes elliptiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 273:A238–A241, 1971.
- [Via15] Maryna Viazovska. CM values of higher Green’s functions and regularized Petersson products. In *Arithmetic and geometry*, volume 420 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 493–503. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [YZ23] Chenglong Yu and Zhiwei Zheng. Moduli of nodal sextic curves via periods of K^3 surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 430:Paper No. 109219, 20, 2023.
- [Zag87] Don Zagier. L -series and the Green’s functions of modular curves. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 689–698. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Zha97] Shouwu Zhang. Heights of Heegner cycles and derivatives of L -series. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):99–152, 1997.

- [Zho15] Yajun Zhou. Kontsevich-Zagier integrals for automorphic Green's functions. I. *Ramanujan J.*, 38(2):227–329, 2015.