

# Global Geometry within an SPDE Well-Posedness problem

Hongyi Chen, Cheng Ouyang

February 10, 2025

## Abstract

On a closed Riemannian manifold, we construct a family of intrinsic Gaussian noises indexed by a regularity parameter  $\alpha \geq 0$  to study the well-posedness of the Parabolic Anderson model. We show that with rough initial conditions, the equation is well-posed assuming non-positive curvature with a condition on  $\alpha$  similar to that of Riesz kernel-correlated noise in Euclidean space. The argument was made in direct mode, showing that it is possible to bypass Fourier analysis, which was used in all previous work with rough initial conditions. Non-positive curvature was used to overcome a new difficulty introduced by non-uniqueness of geodesics in this setting, which required exploration of global geometry. The well-posedness argument also produces exponentially growing in time upper bounds for the moments. Using the good structure of our noise, we obtain new exponentially growing in time second moment lower bounds for our solutions with bounded initial condition.

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Colored Noise on Compact Riemannian Manifolds</b>                             | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>The <math>\triangleright</math> operator and <math>\mathcal{L}_n</math></b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| 3.1      | Upper bound of $\mathcal{L}_1$ for large time ( $t \geq \varepsilon$ ) . . . . . | 7         |
| 3.2      | Upper Bound for $\mathcal{L}_1$ : $t < \varepsilon$ . . . . .                    | 10        |
| 3.2.1    | Preparation in geometry and topology . . . . .                                   | 11        |
| 3.2.2    | Proof of Theorem 11 . . . . .  | 13        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Upper Bound for Kernel function and Well-Posedness</b>                        | <b>17</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Lower Bound assuming bounded initial condition</b>                            | <b>19</b> |

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M$  be a  $d$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. We consider the formal Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_M) u(t, x) = \beta u(t, x) \cdot W, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times M, \\ u(0, x) = \mu, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is a constant,  $\Delta_M = -\text{div}(\text{grad})$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator (we follow the geometric convention with the negative sign), and  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $M$ . We say that a random field  $\{u(t, x)\}_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times M}$  is a mild solution if it satisfies

$$u(t, x) = \int_M P_t(x, y) \mu(dy) + \beta \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y) u(s, y) W(dy, ds) = J_0(t, x) + \beta I(t, x) \quad (2)$$

where  $P_t(x, y)$  is the heat kernel on  $M$  and  $J_0(t, x) := \int_M P_t(x, y) \mu(dy)$  is the homogeneous solution to the heat equation. The second integral  $I(t, x)$  is to be understood in the sense of Itô-Walsh [Wal86].

The Itô-Walsh solution theory for (1) has been successful for many purposes of study. However, the white noise becomes too singular to apply the Walsh theory when space dimension is greater than 1; and solutions have been constructed in the Stratonovich sense via renormalization techniques (see [HL15; HL18])

for spatial white noise on Euclidean spaces for  $d = 2, 3$ , and [BDM22; HS23; SZ24] for closed manifolds with spatial white noise for  $d = 2, 3$ ). Finer properties have been difficult to study in these scenarios due to the complex regularity structures associated to the system (exceptions being [GY23; KPZ21]). In addition, renormalized Stratonovich solutions have not been defined for rough initial conditions.

In dimension  $d = 1$ , the Walsh theory gives a solution to (1) with  $W$  being space-time white noise. This allowed for much of the progress in the study of the KPZ equation, formally written as

$$\partial_t h + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_M h = \beta |\nabla h|^2 + W,$$

since  $u = e^h$  solves (1) [BG97]. The KPZ equation is the namesake of the KPZ universality class, in which many physically interesting models such as polymers [ACQ10; DGL23] and interface growth [Cor16; MQR21] are known or conjectured to belong to. One of the surprising results in this subject is that (1) remembers its initial condition in surprising ways. For instance, on  $\mathbb{R}$  the one point statistics of the solutions differ if one has a uniform vs Dirac delta initial condition [Cor16]. In all of the works involving the Dirac delta initial condition, the Brownian bridge made an appearance, a phenomenon which is now known to occur for all measure-valued initial condition problems [BCM22; CH19; CK19]. Exploration of these properties in one and higher dimensions is still ongoing.

In Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , [Dal99] extended the Walsh theory assuming bounded initial condition to  $d \geq 2$  and noise white in time and colored in space with homogeneous covariance  $G(x, x') = G(x - x')$ . Here, the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\hat{G}(d\xi)}{1 + |\xi|^2} < +\infty, \quad (3)$$

was given for such noise, where  $\hat{G}$  is the Fourier transform of  $G$ . Condition (3) is usually referred as the Dalang's condition in the literature and understood as a regularity requirement on  $W$ . The result in [Dal99] was extended to measure-valued initial conditions in [CK19]. In this setting, many interesting properties such as fluctuations [CSZ17; DG22; GHL23; KN24; Tao24], spacial ergodicity [Che+21], and intermittency [CH19; CK19] were established. While all of these results are interesting, the fact that well-posedness heavily relied on Fourier analysis (especially for measure-valued initial conditions) makes it difficult to study analogous problems on non-Euclidean spaces for noises with singularity. One notable extension for bounded initial conditions is [Bau+23], which showed that Dalang's condition can be affected by Sub-Riemannian degeneracy. However, this work also relied heavily on the good structure of the (group) Fourier transform on Sub-Riemannian Heisenberg groups. [COV23] worked on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , which showed that a type of Dalang's condition holds even for measure-valued initial conditions. It should be noted that despite  $\mathbb{T}^d$  being a nice subset of Euclidean space, the presence of the Brownian bridge made it impossible to simply copy the argument from  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , but the geometric significance of this was hidden by Fourier analysis.

The exponential growth of moments in time has been linked to the study of intermittency, which is the presence of high peaks in the graph of the solution [BC95; CM94; Kho14; Mol91]. For  $d = 1$  space-time white noise, [BC14] gave a formula for the second moment starting from the Dirac delta initial condition using discrete approximations, which was re-proven in [Che13; CD13] using stochastic analysis, as a special case of a general result for measure-valued initial conditions. [Che13; CD13] also proved exponentially growing  $p$ -th moment upper bounds, which were extended to  $d \geq 2$  in [CK19] with noise white in time and homogeneously colored in space assuming (3). On compact manifolds, [TV02] showed an exponentially growing in time almost sure upper bound hold for nice noise and uniform initial condition, which hints that intermittency is a local property. In [Bau+23], second moment upper and lower bounds were proven for bounded initial conditions on the sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group. For measure-valued initial conditions,  $p$ -th moment upper bounds and second moment lower bounds were shown in bounded domains in Euclidean space [CCL23] and the Torus  $\mathbb{T}^d$  [COV23], following the ideas of [Che13; CD13]. Both heavily relied on the well-established Fourier theory of these spaces.

The contribution of this article is twofold. First, we introduced a family of colored noise in compact manifolds that allows us to study the PAM in the Itô-Walsh setting. We show that, under Dalang's condition, the PAM admits a unique  $L^2$ -solution even with rough initial data (a finite measure on the manifold). In addition, the compactness of the manifold forces the second moment of the solution to explode exponentially in time. Second, we proved all the aforementioned results in direct mode without

resorting to Fourier analysis tools. This approach is quite general, allowing it to be extended to study the PAM with rough initial data in other complex spaces, such as fractals. A central aspect of the work is the role of the Brownian bridge. Denote by  $p_{t,x,y}(s, z)$  the density of the Brownian bridge that starts at  $x$  and reaches  $y$  at time  $t$ . Our analysis requires a good understanding of how the measure is concentrated for all time  $t$  and  $0 < s < t$  and for all  $x, y$ . In particular on a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$ , when  $x$  and  $y$  are in the cut-locus of each other, the global geometry of the manifold enters the play and imposes the main difficulty for the analysis. To our best knowledge, this is the first instance of global geometry appearing in the study of well-posedness for a linear differential equation of this type. In order to tackle this difficulty, we assume throughout our discussion that the sectional curvature of  $M$  is non-positive. This curvature condition ensures that there are only finitely many distance minimizing geodesics between any two points, which simplifies the analysis.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, following [COV23], we construct a family of colored noises on  $M$  which are smoother than the white noise. In sections 3 and 4, we establish a Dalang's condition for compact manifolds with non-positive sectional curvature similar to that found in [COV23], and prove that similar upper and lower bounds for the moments hold. Along the way, we identify the specific geometric difficulty hinted at by [COV23]: the fact that on compact manifolds, distance minimizing geodesics may not be unique. Informally, the Brownian Bridge in short time sees the number of minimizing geodesics (see [Hsu90] for a large deviation characterization of this statement), and the fact that they are finite for non-positively curved manifolds allows us to obtain Euclidean-like results. The execution of this intuition is laid out in section 3.2, and it requires precise use of the geometry and topology of negatively curved spaces. The exploration of this problem in other geometric settings will be left for future projects, for which we believe that the structure of geodesics will still play a major role. Finally in section 5, we use the structure of our noise to product a lower bound which grows exponentially in time, which strengthens the belief that the solution is intermittent on all compact manifolds.

We list here some conventions and notations we employ in the rest of the paper.

- We follow convention and use  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  and  $c_1, c_2$  etc. to denote generic constants that are independent of quantities of interest. We will also use  $C_M$  to denote a constant depending on  $M$ . The exact values of these constants may change from line to line.
- For  $x \in M$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $B(x, r)$  will denote the geodesic ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ .
- $B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(r)$  will be a ball of radius  $r > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $m_0 = \int_M dx$  will be the volume of the manifold.
- $i_M > 0$  will be the injectivity radius of  $M$ . We will also fix a constant  $\delta = i_M/8$ .

## 2 Colored Noise on Compact Riemannian Manifolds

In this section, we construct an intrinsic family of Gaussian noises on  $M$  that we call *colored noise* on manifold. As one will see below, these noises are smoother than the white noise and allows the study of (1) in the Itô sense.

Denote by  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_M$  and by  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$  an orthonormal sequence of corresponding eigenfunctions. Thus  $\Delta_M \phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n$  and  $\int_M \phi_i \phi_j dm = \delta_{ij}$ . For any  $\varphi \in L^2(M)$ , there is a unique decomposition

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \phi_n(x). \quad (4)$$

In particular,  $a_0 = m_0^{-1/2} \int_M \varphi dm$  where  $m_0 = m(M)$  is the volume of  $M$ .

We introduce a family of spatial Gaussian noises  $\dot{W}$  on  $M$  with parameters  $\alpha, \rho \geq 0$  as follows. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a complete probability space such that for any  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  on  $M$  both  $W(\varphi)$  and  $W(\psi)$  are centered Gaussian random variables with covariance given by

$$\mathbb{E}(W(\varphi)W(\psi)) = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\alpha, \rho} := \rho a_0 b_0 + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{a_n b_n}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \quad (5)$$

where  $a_n$ 's and  $b_n$ 's are the coefficients of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in decomposition (4), respectively. For  $\rho > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha, \rho}$  be the completion of  $L^2(M)$  under  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha, \rho}$ . It is clear that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{\alpha, \rho}, \mathbb{P})$  gives an abstract Wiener space.

**Remark 1.** When  $\rho = 0$ , some special care is needed in order to identify a suitable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha,0}$ . Let  $L_0^2(M)$  be the space of  $L^2(M)$  functions on  $M$  such that  $a_0 = 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha$  the completion of  $L_0^2$  under  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha,\rho}$ . One could have set  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha,0} = \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha$ . However, when solving SPDEs on compact manifolds, it is desirable to consider Wiener integrals  $W(\varphi)$  where  $\varphi$  is a function on the manifold such that  $a_0 = \frac{1}{m_0} \int_M \varphi(x) dx \neq 0$ , where  $m_0$  is the volume of  $M$ . For this purpose, consider  $\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha + \mathbb{R} := \{\varphi + c : \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha, \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . We can identify  $\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha + \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha$  through the equivalence relation  $\sim$ , in which  $\varphi \sim \psi$  if  $\varphi - \psi$  is a constant. Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha,0} = (\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha + \mathbb{R}) / \sim.$$

Throughout the rest of our discussion, we will also adopt the short-hand  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha,0}$ .

**Remark 2.** It is clear from (5) that  $L^2(M) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\alpha,\rho} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\beta,\rho}$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$ . Moreover, the colored noise includes the white noise on  $M$  if we pick  $\rho = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$ .

The covariance structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha,\rho}$  admits a kernel. Indeed, let  $p_t(x, y)$  be the heat kernel on  $M$  and set for  $\alpha, \rho > 0$ ,

$$G_\alpha(x, y) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \left( P_t(x, y) - \frac{1}{m_0} \right) dt, \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\alpha,\rho}(x, y) := \frac{\rho}{m_0} + G_\alpha(x, y). \quad (6)$$

It is easy to see that one has

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\alpha,\rho} = \int_{M^2} \phi(x) G_{\alpha,\rho}(x, y) \psi(y) m(dx) m(dy).$$

**Remark 3.** By the definition of  $G_\alpha$  one has  $\int_M G_\alpha(x, y) m(dy) = 0$ . Hence  $G_\alpha$  is not non-negative. However, it can be shown that  $G_\alpha$  is bounded below on  $M$  (see [COV23] for example). We therefore can always pick a large enough  $\rho$  so that the spatial covariance function  $G_{\alpha,\rho}$  is non-negative.

The following proposition gives the regularity of  $G_\alpha$  (hence  $G_{\alpha,\rho}$  as well) on diagonal.

**Proposition 4.** For any  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$|G_\alpha(x, y)| \leq \begin{cases} C_\alpha, & \alpha > d/2 \\ C_\alpha(1 + \log^- d(x, y)), & \alpha = d/2 \\ C_\alpha d(x, y)^{2\alpha-d}, & \alpha < d/2. \end{cases}$$

Where  $\log^-(z) = \max(z, -\log z)$  and  $d(x, y)$  is the Riemannian distance on  $M$ .

*Proof.* See [Bro83]. □

To close the discussion in this section, we define the noise on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M$  that is white in time and colored in space.

**Definition 5.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  and consider the following Hilbert space of space-time functions,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\rho} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{H}^{\alpha,\rho}). \quad (7)$$

On a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  we define a centered Gaussian family  $\{W_{\alpha,\rho}(\phi); \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\rho}(M)\}$ , whose covariance is given by

$$\mathbf{E}[W_{\alpha,\rho}(\varphi)W_{\alpha,\rho}(\psi)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \langle \varphi(t, \cdot), \psi(t, \cdot) \rangle_{\alpha,\rho} dt,$$

for  $\varphi, \psi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\rho}$  in the space variable. This family is called colored noise on  $M$  that is white in time.

To simplify notation, we will drop the indexes  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$  and use  $W$  for  $W_{\alpha,\rho}$  throughout the rest of the paper.

### 3 The $\triangleright$ operator and $\mathcal{L}_n$

In order to establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (1) with measure-valued initial condition, we follow the strategy developed in [Che13; CD13]. For this purpose, we introduce:

**Definition 6.** Let  $M^4$  be the Cartesian products of four copies of  $M$ . For  $h, w : \mathbb{R}_+ \times M^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define the operator  $\triangleright$  by

$$h \triangleright w(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') := \int_0^t ds \iint_{M \times M} dz dz' h(t-s, z, x, z', x') w(s, x_0, z, x'_0, z') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z').$$

Define  $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  recursively by

$$\mathcal{L}_n(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') := \begin{cases} P_t(x_0, x) P_t(x'_0, x'), & n = 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_0 \triangleright \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x'), & n > 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The role played by  $\mathcal{L}_n$  can be formally explained as follows. Let  $J_0(t, x)$  be the solution of the homogeneous heat equation starting from  $\mu$ , and define

$$J_1(t, x, x') := J_0(t, x) J_0(t, x'), \quad g(t, x, x') := \mathbb{E}[u(t, x) u(t, x')].$$

Itô isometry then implies

$$g(t, x, x') = J_1(t, x, x') + \beta^2 \int_0^t ds \iint_{M^2} dz dz' P_{t-s}(x, z) P_{t-s}(x', z') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') g(s, z, z').$$

Iterating the above relation suggests the following formal equality,

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x, x') = J_1(t, x, x') &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2n+2} \int_{0 \leq s_n \leq s_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq s_0 \leq t} ds_n \dots ds_0 \iint_{M^{2n+2}} dz_0 dz'_0 \dots dz_n dz'_n \\ &\times J_1(s_n, z_n, z'_n) \prod_{k=0}^n P_{s_{k-1}-s_k}(z_{k-1}, z_k) P_{s_{k-1}-s_k}(z'_{k-1}, z'_k) G_{\alpha, \rho}(z_k, z'_k). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Writing

$$J_1(s_n, z_n, z'_n) = \int_{M^2} \mu(dz) \mu(dz') P_{s_n}(z_n, z) P_{s_n}(z'_n, z'),$$

we have

$$g(t, x, x') = J_1(t, x, x') + \beta^2 \iint_{M^2} \mu(dz) \mu(dz') \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2n} \mathcal{L}_n(t, x, z, x', z').$$

Observe that the validity of the above computation relies on convergence of the following series,

$$\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, x, z, x', z') := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2n} \mathcal{L}_n(t, x, z, x', z'). \quad (10)$$

It has been shown in [Che13; CD13] that the existence and uniqueness of a solution to equation (1) as well as moment estimates of the solution hinge on proper estimates of  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . It also has been shown in the same papers that  $\mathcal{L}_n$  can be controlled inductively by a proper estimate of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  which will be the main focus of the rest of this section.

We first recall the following heat kernel upper bound on a negatively curved compact Riemannian manifold.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $M$  be compact with non-positive sectional curvature. For any  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$P_t(x, y) \leq (2\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{2t}\right) + C(t^m \wedge 1), \quad (11)$$

for all  $t > 0, x, y \in M$ .

*Proof.* For large  $t$ , (11) follows from the following standard estimate on compact manifolds: there exist  $\alpha > 0, C > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x,y \in M} |P_t(x,y) - m_0^{-1}| \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}, \quad t \geq 1.$$

The curvature condition is used for small  $t$ , under which there are only finite many distance minimizing geodesics connecting any two point  $x, y \in M$ . The discussion in the proof of Theorem 5.3.4 of [Hsu02] therefore implies in short time (say  $0 < t < 1$ ) we have

$$P_t(x,y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{2t}}.$$

Combining these finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** Recall that  $i_M$  is the injectivity radius of  $M$ . It is well-known that for  $x, y \in M$  with  $d(x,y) \leq i_M$ , one has (see, e.g., [Hsu02, Theorem 5.1.1])

$$P_t(x,y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{2t}}, \quad 0 < t < 1. \quad (12)$$

When  $d(x,y) > i_M$ , the following holds on any compact manifold  $M$  of dimension  $d$  (Theorem 5.3.4 of [Hsu02]),

$$P_t(x,y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{(2d-1)/2}} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{2t}}, \quad 0 < t < 1. \quad (13)$$

Clearly (12) and (13) imply the global bound,

$$P_t(x,y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{\theta d(x,y)^2}{2t}}, \quad x, y \in M; \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (14)$$

for any fixed  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . We could have used (14) in the proof of Lemma 7 for small  $t$  and obtain

$$P_t(x,y) \leq (2\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta d(x,y)^2}{2t}\right) + C(t^m \wedge 1). \quad (15)$$

As one will see below, (15) is already sufficient for our analysis. For simplicity, we will set  $m = 1$ .

In what follows, we set

$$G_t(x,y) := (2\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{2t}\right) + C(t \wedge 1), \quad (16)$$

and

$$G_{t,x,y}(s,z) := \frac{G_{t-s}(x,z)G_s(z,y)}{G_t(x,y)}. \quad (17)$$

Applying the above lemma, we thus have

$$P_{t-s}(x_0,z)P_s(z,x) \leq G_t(x_0,x) \frac{G_{t-s}(x_0,z)G_s(z,x)}{G_t(x_0,x)} = G_t(x_0,x)G_{t,x_0,x}(s,z).$$

**Remark 9.** Throughout the paper, we denote the injectivity radius of  $M$  by  $i_M$ . Note that for  $\delta = i_M/8$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(z, \cdot)^{2\alpha-d}\|_{L^1(M)} &= \left( \int_{B(z,\delta)} + \int_{B(z,\delta)^c} \right) dz' d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq C_M \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,\delta)} |x|^{2\alpha-d} dx + \frac{m_0}{\delta^{d-2\alpha}} = c_{\alpha,M}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The above estimate will be used repeatedly to bound  $\|d(z, \cdot)^{2\alpha-d}\|_{L^1(M)}$  in the sequel. The inequality in (18) follows by taking the integral into geodesic normal coordinates around  $z$ . The estimate is uniform in  $z$  thanks to the compactness of  $M$ . This procedure will be performed every time when moving an integral

into normal coordinates without stating so in the rest of the paper. In particular, the computation below will be used repeatedly later:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M dz (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} &= \left( \int_{B(x,\delta)} + \int_{B(x,\delta)^c} \right) dz (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} \\
&\leq C_M \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,\delta)} (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2s}} dz + m_0 (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} \\
&= c_M, \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In the rest of this section, we focus on deriving a proper upper bound of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ . It will be obtained by dividing cases according to  $t \geq \varepsilon$  and  $t < \varepsilon$  for a prefixed small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

### 3.1 Upper bound of $\mathcal{L}_1$ for large time ( $t \geq \varepsilon$ )

The following upper bound of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is the main result of this section. It relies on the observation that  $G_{t,x,y}(s,z)$  is comparable to  $G_s(x,z)$  when  $t$  is large and  $s < t/2$ , which will be detailed in (24) below.

**Theorem 10.** *Assume  $\frac{d}{2} > \alpha > \frac{d-2}{2}$  and fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Recall the definition of  $G_t(x,y)$  in (16) and set*

$$k_1(s) := \sup_{x,x' \in M} \int_{M^2} dz dz' G_s(x,z) G_s(x',z') d(z,z')^{2\alpha-d}, \quad s > 0.$$

We have,

$$k_1(s) \leq C_{\alpha,M} (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}),$$

for some positive constant  $C_{\alpha,M}$  depending on  $\alpha$  and  $M$ . Moreover, for all  $t \geq \varepsilon$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_1(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') \leq C_L G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^t k_1(s) ds \right), \tag{20}$$

where  $C_L$  is a positive constant depending on  $\varepsilon$  and  $M$ .

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') = \int_0^t ds \int_{M^2} dz dz' P_{t-s}(x_0, z) P_s(z, x) P_{t-s}(x'_0, z') P_s(z', x') G_{\alpha,\rho}(z, z').$$

Write the time integral  $\int_0^t = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t$ . We first consider  $\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}$  and show that for some positive constant  $C_1$  depending on  $\varepsilon, M$  (but not on  $t, x_0, x, x'_0$  and  $x'$ ), one has

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \leq C_1 G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} k_1(s) ds \right), \quad \text{for all } t \geq \varepsilon. \tag{21}$$

Then by the symmetry of  $s$  and  $t-s$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , a change of variables  $s' = t-s$  gives the same bound for  $\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t$ , that is

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \leq C_1 G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} k_1(s) ds \right).$$

We thus conclude, observing the positivity of  $k_1(s)$ , that for all  $t \geq \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1 &\leq 2C_1 G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} k_1(s) ds \right) \\
&\leq C_L G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^t k_1(s) ds \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which gives the desired upper bound (20). To finish the proof, we need to establish (21) and

$$k_1(s) \leq C_{\alpha,M} (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}), \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \tag{23}$$

To this aim, set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} ds \int_{M^2} dz dz' P_{t-s}(x_0, z) P_s(z, x) P_{t-s}(x'_0, z') P_s(z', x') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z').$$

Since  $0 < s < t/2$ , we have for all  $t \geq \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{t-s}(z, x)}{G_t(x_0, x)} &= \frac{(2\pi(t-s))^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2(t-s)}} + C(t-s) \wedge 1}{(2\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{2t}} + C(t \wedge 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{(\pi t)^{-d/2} + C}{(2\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{R_M^2}{2\varepsilon}} + C} \leq C_2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In the above  $R_M$  is the diameter of  $M$  and  $C_2$  is a positive constant depending on  $\varepsilon$  and  $M$ . Now applying the heat kernel upper bound (11) together with (24) and Proposition 4 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1 &\leq C_3 C_2^2 G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} ds \iint_{M^2} dz dz' d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} G_s(z, x) G_s(z', x') \\ &\leq C_3 C_2^2 G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} k_1(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This gives (21).

In order to show (23), we write

$$\begin{aligned} &G_s(z, x) G_s(z, x') \\ &\leq (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z',x')^2}{2s}} + C \left[ (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} + (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z',x')^2}{2s}} \right] + C^2, \end{aligned}$$

and decompose  $\iint_{M^2} dz dz' d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} G_s(z, x) G_s(z', x')$  into three parts accordingly denoted by  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$ .

Clearly

$$I_3 = C^2 (s^{2d} \wedge 1) \iint_{M^2} dz dz' d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C^2 m_0 c_{\alpha, M} = C_{1, \alpha, M}, \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Here we used (18) for the space integral.

An upper bound for  $I_2$  is straightforward as well:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq 2C \sup_{x \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} \\ &\leq 2C c_{\alpha, M} \sup_{x \in M} \int_M dz (2\pi s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} \\ &\leq 2C c_{\alpha, M} c_M = C_{2, \alpha, M}, \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we used (18) for the second inequality and (19) for the third.

Finally, we estimate  $I_1$ . Let  $U_1 = B(x, \delta)$  and  $U_2 = B(x', \delta)$ , we further decompose the space integral into 4 parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{M^2} (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(z,x)^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z',x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} dz dz' \\ &= \iint_{U_1 \times U_2} + \iint_{U_1^c \times U_2} + \iint_{U_1 \times U_2^c} + \iint_{U_1^c \times U_2^c} = J_1(s) + J_2(s) + J_3(s) + J_4(s). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Since  $d(x, z), d(x, z') \geq \delta$  when  $z$  and  $z'$  are outside the corresponding balls,  $J_4(s)$  can be trivially bounded from above as follows,

$$J_4(s) \leq \iint_{U_1^c \times U_2^c} dz dz' \left( (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} \right)^2 d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq \left( (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} \right)^2 m_0 c_{\alpha, M}. \quad (27)$$



Here, we have used (18) for the last inequality.

Utilizing  $d(z, x) \geq \delta$ , together with (18) and (19), we also have

$$J_2(s) \leq (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} \int_{U_2} (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} \|d(\cdot, z')^{2\alpha-d}\|_{L^1(M)} dz' \leq (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} c_M c_{\alpha, M}. \quad (28)$$

It is clear that  $J_3(s)$  can be treated similarly.

The estimate for  $J_1(s)$  take more effort and is obtained differently according to  $d(x, x') \geq 5i_M/16$  or  $d(x, x') < 5i_M/16$ .

When  $d(x, x') \geq 5i_M/16$ , one has

$$d(z, z') \geq \frac{i_M}{16} \quad \text{for } z \in U_1, z' \in U_2, \quad (29)$$

which, together with (19), implies

$$J_1(s) \leq \iint_{U_1 \times U_2} dz dz' (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(z, x)^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} \left(\frac{i_M}{16}\right)^{2\alpha-d} \leq c_M^2 \left(\frac{i_M}{16}\right)^{2\alpha-d}. \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, when  $d(x, x') < 5i_M/16$  and  $z \in U_1, z' \in U_2$ , one has

$$z' \in B(x', \delta) \implies d(x, z') \leq d(x, x') + d(x', z) \leq \frac{5i_M}{16} + \frac{i_M}{8} = \frac{7i_M}{16} < \frac{i_M}{2}.$$

That is,

$$B(x', \delta) \subset B(x, i_M/2).$$

Therefore

$$J_1(s) \leq \iint_{B(x, i_M/2) \times B(x, i_M/2)} dz dz' (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(z, x)^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}. \quad (31)$$

We will compute the right-hand side of (31) in local coordinates. Choose a normal coordinates  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$  around  $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ . For any  $z, z' \in B(x, i_M/2)$ , denote by

$$|z - z'| = ((z_1 - z'_1)^2 + \dots + (z_d - z'_d)^2)^{1/2},$$

so that  $d(z, x) = |z - x|$ . First note that  $M$  being compact gives a uniform bound on the volume form in (31). Moreover, non-positive curvature implies  $d(z, x') \geq |z - x'|, d(z, z') \geq |z - z'|$ . Indeed, for  $y, y' \in B(x, i_M/2)$ , we have  $d(y, x) = |y - x|, d(y', x) = |y' - x|$ . Then  $d(y, y') \geq |y - y'|$  follows immediately from  $d(y, y')^2 \geq d(y, x)^2 + d(y', x)^2 - 2d(y, x)d(y', x) \cos(\alpha_{yy'}) = |y - y'|^2$ , where  $\alpha_{yy'}$  is the angle made by the geodesics connecting  $x, y$  and  $x, y'$ . (A reference for this inequality is [Pet06, Chapter 6].) With all the considerations above, when estimating the right-hand side of (31) in coordinates we can replace all Riemannian distances by  $|\cdot|$ , and the integral in (31) is upper bounded (up to a multiple of a constant depending on  $M$ ) by

$$\sup_{x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} dz dz' (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{|z-x|^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{|z'-x'|^2}{2s}} |z - z'|^{2\alpha-d}.$$

Standard Fourier analysis shown that the above is finite when  $\alpha > (d-2)/2$  and that the supremum is achieve when  $x = x'$ . In particular, if we pick  $x = x' = 0$ , a change of variables  $y = z/\sqrt{z}, y' = z'/\sqrt{z'}$  together with some elementary computation gives

$$\sup_{x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} dz dz' (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{|z-x|^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{|z'-x'|^2}{2s}} |z - z'|^{2\alpha-d} = O(s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}).$$

Combining with (30), we have shown

$$J_1(s) \leq C_4 \left(1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}\right), \quad (32)$$

for some constant  $C_4 > 0$  depending on  $M$ .

Now inserting estimates (27), (28) and (32) into (26), we obtain

$$I_1 \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}), \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Together with the estimates for  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , the proof is thus completed.  $\square$

### 3.2 Upper Bound for $\mathcal{L}_1$ : $t < \varepsilon$

We now turn our attention to the estimate of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  in small time. The main result of this section is summarized in Theorem 11 below. Recall the definition of  $G_t(x, y)$  and  $G_{t,x,y}(s, z)$  in (16) and (17) respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{t,x_0,x}(s, z) &= \frac{G_{t-s}(x_0, z)G_s(z, x)}{G_t(x_0, x)} \\ &\leq \left(2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left\{\left(\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{2t} - \frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2s} - \frac{d(z, x)^2}{2(t-s)}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\text{termwithonly1Gaussian}}{C} \\ &\leq \left(2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left\{\left(\frac{d(x_0, x)^2}{2t} - \frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2s} - \frac{d(z, x)^2}{2(t-s)}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad + \left((2\pi(t-s))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} + (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z, x)^2}{2s}}\right) + C(t^d \wedge 1) \\ &:= \Xi_{t,x_0,x}(s, z) + f_{t,x_0,x}(s, z) + C. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, to lighten the notation, whenever there is no confusion we will use  $\Xi(*)$  and  $\Xi(*')$  (respectively,  $f(*)$  and  $f(*')$ ) for  $\Xi_{t,x,y}(s, z)$  (respectively,  $f_{t,x,y}(s, z)$ ) depending on the space variables being  $x, y, z$  or  $x', y', z'$ . With this notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{t,x_0,x}(s, z)G_{t,x'_0,x'}(s, z') &\leq \Xi(*)\Xi(*') + f(*)f(*') + C^2 \\ &\quad + \Xi(*)f(*') + \Xi(*')f(*) + C[f(*) + f(*') + \Xi(*) + \Xi(*')]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Denote the right-hand side of (33) by  $R_{t,x_0,x,x'_0,x'}(s, z, z')$ . We now state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 11.** *Assume  $\frac{d}{2} > \alpha > \frac{d-2}{2}$ . Define for each  $s > 0$ ,*

$$k_2(s) := \sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' R_{t,x_0,x,x'_0,x'}(s, z, z') d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}.$$

*If  $M$  has non-positive sectional curvature, then*

$$k_2(s) \leq C_M(1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}), \quad \text{for all } s > 0,$$

*for some positive constant depending on  $M$ . In addition, for all  $t > 0$*

$$\mathcal{L}_1(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') \leq C_S G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^t k_2(s) ds \right),$$

*where  $C_S$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $M$ .*

**Remark 12.** *The upper bound of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  claimed in Theorem 11 is indeed valid for all  $t > 0$  (not only for small  $t < \varepsilon$ ). From the analysis below, we expect it to be sharp for small time  $t$ . However, it happens to match the upper bound obtained in Theorem 10 for large time as well.*

The main difficulty in proving Theorem 11 stems from terms involving  $\Xi(*)$  and  $\Xi(*')$  on the right-hand side of (33), for which we need to carefully study the quantity in the exponential of  $\Xi(*)$ . We have for every triplet  $(z, x, y)$  in  $M \times M \times M$ ,

$$\frac{d(x, y)^2}{2t} - \frac{d(x, z)^2}{2s} - \frac{d(z, y)^2}{2(t-s)} = \frac{1}{2\frac{s(t-s)}{t}} \left( \frac{s}{t} \frac{t-s}{t} d(x, y)^2 - \frac{t-s}{t} d(x, z)^2 - \frac{s}{t} d(z, y)^2 \right).$$

Let  $a = s/t$ , so we have  $0 < a < 1$ . The term inside the parenthesis is thus

$$-F_a(z, x, y) := a(1-a)d(x, y)^2 - (1-a)d(y, z)^2 - ad(z, x)^2. \quad (34)$$

On  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , elementary computation shows that  $F_a(z, x, y) = d(z, w)^2$ , where  $w$  is the point on the line segment connecting  $x$  and  $y$  satisfying  $d(x, w) = ad(x, y)$ . One certainly should not expect such an identity to hold on a general manifold. In order to analyze  $F_a(z, x, y)$  on a manifold, we will use some metric geometry, for which the sectional curvature of  $M$  being non-positive is a crucial condition.

### 3.2.1 Preparation in geometry and topology

In this section, we recall some notions and well known facts in geometry and topology. Some auxiliary results needed in the proof of Theorem 11 will also be established. All geodesics connecting two points  $x$  and  $y$  on  $M$  are parametrized on time interval  $[0, 1]$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a geodesic triangle connecting points  $p, q, r$  in  $M$ . Suppose  $\bar{\Delta}$  is a triangle with the same side lengths in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  connecting points  $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}$ . Denote by  $[pq]$  a geodesic connecting the points  $p, q$ .  $\bar{x} \in [\bar{p}\bar{q}]$  is a *comparison point* of  $x \in [pq]$  if  $d(q, x) = |\bar{q} - \bar{x}|$ . Comparison points for other sides are defined similarly. We say  $\Delta$  satisfies the *CAT(0) inequality* if for all  $x, y \in \Delta$  and comparison points  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{\Delta}$ ,

$$d(x, y) \leq |\bar{x} - \bar{y}|.$$

$M$  is a *CAT(0) space* if all geodesic triangles satisfy the CAT(0) inequality.




Figure 1: CAT(0) inequality, from [BH99, Chapter II.1, figure 1.1]

**Remark 13.** Note that on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  one has  $F_a(z, x, y) = d(z, w)^2$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a geodesic triangle with vertices  $x, y, z$  in a geodesic metric space  $X$ . If the CAT(0) inequality is satisfied for  $\Delta$ , applying it to  $z$  and  $w$ , where  $w \in [xy]$  satisfies  $d(x, w) = ad(x, y)$ , we have  $F_a(z, x, y) \geq d(z, w)^2$ .

The above remark is the key observation that allows us to have a handle on  $F_a(z, x, y)$ . To see this, we need some facts about path homotopies taken from [Jos08, Appendix B].

On a manifold  $M$ , paths  $c_1, c_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  sharing the same endpoints are *homotopic* if there exists  $H : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow M$  continuous such that  $H(t, 0) = c_1(t)$  and  $H(t, 1) = c_2(t)$ ,  $H(s, 0) = c_1(0) = c_2(0)$  and  $H(s, 1) = c_1(1) = c_2(1)$ . Denote by  $c_1 \simeq c_2$  if  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are homotopic. It is clear that  $\simeq$  gives an equivalence relation, and a homotopy class of curves consists of all curves in the homotopy equivalence class. Equivalence classes of homotopic paths with the same endpoints in  $M$  form a group which does not depend on the choice of end points and is isomorphic to the group of homotopy-equivalent loops, which is called the *fundamental group* denoted by  $\pi_1(M)$ . It is well known that the fundamental group of a manifold is countable [Lee10, Theorem 7.21]. A space where the fundamental group is trivial is *simply connected*.

For two manifolds  $M, \bar{M}$ , a map  $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$  is a *covering map* if for any  $p \in M$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$  such that any connected component of  $\pi^{-1}(U_p)$  is mapped homeomorphically onto  $U_p$ . We say  $\bar{M}$  is the *universal cover* of  $M$  if  $\bar{M}$  is simply connected. For any manifold, a universal cover is unique up to homeomorphism. Any path homotopy  $H : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow M$  lifts to a corresponding path homotopy  $\bar{H} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \bar{M}$  [Hat02, Proposition 1.30]. A metric tensor on  $M$  induces a metric tensor on  $\bar{M}$ , where the  $\pi$ -preimages of geodesics in  $M$  are geodesics in  $\bar{M}$  and  $\pi$  becomes a local isometry [BH99, Chapter I.3]. In particular, given a geodesic triangle  $\Delta xyz$  on  $M$  where the concatenation of two sides is homotopic to the third, there always exists a geodesic triangle  $\Delta \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}$  in  $\bar{M}$  which is the pre-image of  $\Delta xyz$  and the corresponding side lengths are the same.

The following Cartan-Hadamard Theorem is standard in differential geometry.

**Theorem 14.** (*Cartan-Hadamard*) If a manifold  $M$  of dimension  $d$  admits a metric tensor satisfying  $\text{sec}_M \leq 0$ , the following holds for its universal cover  $\bar{M}$ :

1.  $\bar{M}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  via  $\exp_{\bar{p}}$ , the exponential map based at any point  $\bar{p} \in \bar{M}$ .
2.  $\bar{M}$  equipped with the induced metric tensor from  $M$  is a CAT(0) space.
3. For any  $p \in M$ ,  $\exp_p : \bar{M} \cong T_p M \rightarrow M$  is a covering map.

*Proof.* See [Jos08, Corollary 6.9.1] for statement 1, [BH99, Theorem II.4.1] for statement 2, and [Lee18, Theorem 12.8] for statement 3.  $\square$

**Remark 15.** Let  $d$  be the distance function on  $M$  and  $\bar{d}$  be the distance function associated with the induced metric on  $\bar{M}$ . Because  $\exp_p$  is a local isometry for any  $p \in M$ , we must have  $\bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq d(x, y)$  for any  $x, y \in M$  and any two lifts  $\bar{x}$  of  $x$  and  $\bar{y}$  of  $y$ .

**Lemma 16.** Suppose  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Let  $x, y \in M$ . In every homotopy class of curves connecting  $x$  and  $y$ , a unique geodesic exists and minimizes length over curves with endpoints  $x, y$  in that homotopy class.

*Proof.* See [Jos08, Theorem 6.9.1].  $\square$

**Lemma 17.** Fix  $L > 0$ . For  $x, y \in M$ , denote by  $N_L(x, y)$  the number of geodesics connecting  $x$  and  $y$  with length bounded by  $L$ . Assume  $M$  has non-positive sectional curvature, then  $0 < N_L(x, y) < +\infty$ . In addition, when  $M$  is compact,  $N_L(x, y)$  is uniformly bounded in  $x, y \in M$ .

*Proof.* Take a lift  $\bar{x}$  of  $x$  and consider  $B(\bar{x}, L)$  in  $\bar{M}$ . Since  $M$  has non-positive sectional curvature Cartan-Hadamard Theorem implies that each geodesic connecting  $x$  and  $y$  with length shorter than  $L$  corresponds to a unique lift of  $y$  in  $B(\bar{x}, L)$ . Thus the first statement in the theorem is equivalent to bounding the number of lifts of  $y$  inside  $B(\bar{x}, L)$ . By the definition of the injectivity radius  $i_M$ ,  $2i_M$  is the shortest length of any geodesic loop. Thus for any two lifts  $\bar{y}, \bar{y}'$  of  $y$  we must have  $\bar{d}(\bar{y}, \bar{y}') \geq 2i_M$ . This implies that for any chosen lift  $\bar{y}$  of  $y$ ,  $B(\bar{y}, i_M)$  has no other lifts of  $y$  in it. Thus lifts of  $y$  in  $B(\bar{x}, L)$  are isolated, hence could only be finite.

The uniform bound (in  $x$  and  $y$ ) will be proved by contradiction and uses compactness of  $M$ . Suppose  $\sup_{x, y \in M} N_L(x, y) = +\infty$ , then there is a sequence  $(x_n, y_n) \subset M \times M$  such that  $N_L(x_n, y_n) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $n$  tends to infinity. Since  $M$  is compact, this sequence has at least one limit point which we denote by  $(x, y)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$ . Pick and fix a lift  $\bar{x}$  of  $x$ . In what follows, we will construct infinitely many lifts of  $y$  in a closed ball centered at  $\bar{x}$ , which contradicts the fact that all lifts of  $y$  should be  $2i_M$  apart.

First recall that  $R_M$  is the diameter of  $M$ . All lifts of  $y_n$  are inside a ball of radius  $L + R_M$  centered at  $\bar{x}$ . On the other hand, since  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$ , we have  $d(y_n, y) < i_M$  for  $n > N$ , where  $N$  depends on  $i_M$ . We now show there are infinite many lifts of  $y$  inside  $\bar{B}(\bar{x}, L + R_M + i_M)$ . Indeed, since the covering map is locally isometric to  $M$ , for any fixed  $n > N$  each lift of  $y_n$  must correspond to a unique lift of  $y$  at most  $i_M$  away from its corresponding lift of  $y_n$ . Moreover, since  $n > N$ , all these lifts of  $y$  lie inside the ball  $\bar{B}(\bar{x}, L + R_M + i_M)$ . By assumption, there are at least  $N_L(x_n, y_n)$  number lifts of  $y$  for each  $n$ , and  $N_L(x_n, y_n) \uparrow \infty$ . The proof is thus completed.  $\square$

**Remark 18.** Lemma 17 is false if we do not restrict the lengths of geodesics. For example, consider  $(0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  identified with  $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ . Then any line  $y = \frac{1}{2^n}x, n \in \mathbb{N}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  produces a geodesic connecting  $(0, 0)$  to  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  when projected down to  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Obviously the lengths of these geodesic segments go to infinity as  $n \uparrow +\infty$ .

**Definition 19.** For any  $x, y \in M$ , let  $\Gamma_{xy} = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{N(x, y)}$  be the collection of geodesics up to length  $2R_M$  connecting them, and denote by  $\bar{xy}$  a (not necessarily unique) minimizing geodesic connecting them. For each  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_{xy}$ , the Sausage  $S_{xy}^i$  around  $\gamma_i$  is defined by

$$S_{xy}^i := \{z \in M : \text{there exists } \bar{xz} \text{ and } \bar{zy} \text{ such that } \bar{xz} \sqcup \bar{zy} \simeq \gamma_i\}.$$

For  $a \in [0, 1]$  and  $\delta = i_M/8$ , the restricted ball around  $\gamma_i(a)$  is defined by  $B_{xy}^i(a, \delta) := B(\gamma_i(a), \delta) \cap S_{xy}^i$ , and the set outside the restricted ball in the sausage will be denoted  $C_{xy}^i(a, \delta) := S_{xy}^i \setminus B_{xy}^i(a, \delta)$ .

**Remark 20.** For any fixed  $x, y \in M$ , since the lengths of minimizing geodesics  $\bar{xz}$  and  $\bar{zy}$  are bounded by  $R_M$ ,  $\bar{xz} \sqcup \bar{zy}$  has length no greater than  $2R_M$ . Lemma 16 then implies that any  $z \in M$  must be in  $S_{xy}^i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, N_M$ . Thus  $\{S_{xy}^i\}_{i=1}^{N(x, y)}$  covers  $M$ .

**Remark 21.** Thanks again to Lemma 16, each homotopy class contains a unique geodesic that minimizes distance among all curves in that homotopy class. Therefore a point not in the cut-locus of either  $x$  or  $y$  can only be in one sausage. This implies all sausages are measurable sets. Indeed, subtracting the cut-locus of  $x$  and  $y$  which has measure 0, each sausage is an open set.

**Remark 22.** In general, lifts of a triangle in  $M$  may not be a triangle in  $\bar{M}$ . The construction of  $S_{xy}^i$  ensures that for any  $z \in S_{xy}^i$  the triangle formed by  $\bar{x}\bar{z} \sqcup \bar{z}\bar{y} \sqcup \gamma_i$  can be lifted to a geodesic triangle  $\Delta \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}$  in  $\bar{M}$  with the same side lengths. Apparently,  $\Delta \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}$  satisfies the CAT(0) inequality since  $\bar{M}$  is a CAT(0) space by the Cartan-Hadamard theorem. This fact is crucial for our analysis of  $F_a(z, x, y)$ .

Now we are able to state the main result of this section. Recall the definition of  $S_{xy}^i$  and  $C_{xy}^i$  in Definition 19.

**Lemma 23.** Fix  $x, y \in M$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq N(x, y)$ ,  $z \in S_{xy}^i$ , and  $a \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$F_a(z, x, y) \geq \max_{i: z \in S_{xy}^i} d(z, \gamma_i(a))^2. \quad (35)$$

In particular, we have  $F_a(z, x, y) \geq \delta^2$  if  $z \in C_{xy}^i(a, \delta)$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, N(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* The second statement follows trivially from the first, it suffices to prove the first.

Suppose  $z \in S_{xy}^i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, N(x, y)$ . By the definition of  $S_{xy}^i$ , there exist minimizing geodesics  $\bar{x}\bar{z}, \bar{z}\bar{y}$  such that the  $\bar{x}\bar{z} \sqcup \bar{z}\bar{y} \simeq \gamma_i$ . For any curve  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ , denote by  $L(\gamma)$  the length of  $\gamma$ . Recall the definition of  $F_a(z, x, y)$  in (34). Since  $L(\gamma_i) \geq d(x, y)$ , we have for every  $a \in (0, 1)$

$$F_a(z, x, y) \geq (1-a)d(x, z)^2 + ad(z, y)^2 - a(1-a)L(\gamma_i)^2. \quad (36)$$

Thanks to Remark 22, we can lift the geodesic triangle  $\Delta xyz$  onto a geodesic triangle  $\Delta \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}$  of the same side lengths in  $T_x M$ , which is a CAT(0) space. For each  $a \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\bar{w}_i(a)$  be the lift of  $\gamma_i(a)$  to this triangle. By definition,  $\bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = L(\gamma_i)$ . As noted in Remark 22, this triangle satisfies the CAT(0) inequality. If we define  $\bar{F}_a$  using the universal cover distance  $\bar{d}$  the same way as  $F_a$ :

$$\bar{F}_a(\bar{z}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) := (1-a)\bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{z})^2 + a\bar{d}(\bar{z}, \bar{y})^2 - a(1-a)\bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^2, \quad (37)$$

we have the right hand side of (36) equal to  $\bar{F}_a(\bar{z}, \bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . Hence

$$F_a(z, x, y) \geq \bar{F}_a(\bar{z}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

On the other hand, applying the CAT(0) inequality to  $\Delta \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}$  and Remark 13 along with Remark 15 gives us

$$\bar{F}_a(\bar{z}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq \bar{d}(\bar{z}, \bar{w}_i(a))^2 \geq d(z, \gamma_i(a))^2.$$

The proof is now completed.  $\square$

### 3.2.2 Proof of Theorem 11

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') &= \int_0^t ds \int_{M^2} dz dz' P_{t-s}(x_0, z) P_s(z, x) P_{t-s}(x'_0, z') P_s(z', x') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') \\ &= G_t(x, x_0) G_t(x', x'_0) \int_0^t ds \int_{M^2} dz dz' G_{t, x_0, x}(s, z) G_{t, x'_0, x'}(s, z) G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z'). \end{aligned}$$

As before, we can decompose the time integral into two parts  $\int_0^t = \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t$ . We claim

$$\int_{M^2} dz dz' G_{t, x_0, x}(s, z) G_{t, x'_0, x'}(s, z) G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') \leq k_2(s) \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}), \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, t/2). \quad (38)$$

Then by the symmetry between  $s$  and  $t-s$ , and that between  $x, x_0$  and  $x', x'_0$ , we can conclude

$$\int_0^t ds \int_{M^2} dz dz' G_{t, x_0, x}(s, z) G_{t, x'_0, x'}(s, z) G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') \leq 2C_M \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} k_2(s) ds \leq 2C_M \int_0^t k_2(s) ds,$$

which, together with (38), gives the desired bound in Theorem 11.

In what follows we establish (38). Recall the decomposition in (33), the rest of the proof is divided into two steps.

*Step 1: Terms not involving  $\Xi(*)$  or  $\Xi(*')$ .*

The statement holds trivially for  $C^2$ . The terms of the form  $Cf$  can be treated similarly to  $I_2$  in the proof of Theorem 10. This leaves us with the term  $f(*)f(*')$ , which we compute below:

$$\begin{aligned} f(*)f(*') &= (2\pi(t-s))^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2(t-s)}} + (2\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(z, x)^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{-d} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} (t-s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} + e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{d(z, x)^2}{2s}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The second term in the above is the same as  $I_1(s)$  in the proof of Theorem 10, and thus upper bounded by  $C_M(s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}} + 1)$ . In addition, since we assumed  $s < t/2$  (or, equivalently,  $t > 2s$ ) together with the fact that  $2\alpha - d < 0$ , so does the first when taking the supremum over  $t > 2s$ .

Finally, we estimate the third term in (39). It suffices to show

$$\sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' (2\pi)^{-d} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} (t-s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_M(s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}} + 1). \quad (40)$$

For this purpose, observe that for  $s \in [0, t/2)$ , we have  $\frac{t}{2} < t-s$ , which implies  $(t-s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} \leq (t/2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2t}}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{M^2} dz dz' (2\pi)^{-d} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} (t-s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq \int_M dz (t/2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2t}} (2\pi)^{-d} \int_M dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq C_M \sup_{z \in M} \left\{ \int_M dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Here we have used Remark 9 for the second inequality. For the spatial integral in (41), we apply the decomposition for  $\delta = i_M/8$ ,

$$\int_M = \int_{B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta)} + \int_{M \setminus [B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta)]}. \quad (42)$$

For the second integral above, since  $z' \notin B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta)$ , the integrand is bounded by  $\delta^{2\alpha-d} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}}$ , and so does the integral thank to the fact that  $M$  is compact.

For the first integral, we divide by cases according to  $d(x', z) \geq \frac{5i_M}{16}$  and  $d(x', z) < \frac{5i_M}{16}$ .

*Case 1:*  $d(x', z) \geq \frac{5i_M}{16}$ . In this case,  $B(x', \delta) \cap B(z, \delta) = \emptyset$ . Hence

$$z' \in B(x', \delta) \implies d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} < (i_M/16)^{2\alpha-d},$$

while

$$z' \in B(z, \delta) \implies e^{-\frac{d(x', z')^2}{2s}} \leq e^{-\frac{(i_M/16)^2}{2s}}.$$

By Remark 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta)} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq \int_{B(z, \delta)} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} + \int_{B(x', \delta)} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq \int_{B(z, \delta)} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{(i_M/16)^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} + \int_{B(x', \delta)} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} (i_M/16)^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq C_\alpha s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{(i_M/16)^2}{2s}} + C_M (i_M/16)^{2\alpha-d}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

*Case 2:*  $d(x', z) < \frac{5i_M}{16}$ . We have for  $z' \in B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta)$ ,

$$d(x', z') < \frac{7i_M}{16} < \frac{i_M}{2},$$

which implies  $B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta) \subset B(x', \frac{iM}{2})$ . We then apply

$$\int_{B(x', \delta) \cup B(z, \delta)} \leq \int_{B(x', \frac{iM}{2})}$$

and take normal coordinates at  $x' = 0$ , changing all distance functions to Euclidean distances following the same considerations as used in treating  $J_1(s)$  in the proof of Theorem 10. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, \frac{iM}{2})} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z'|^2}{2s}} |z - z'|^{2\alpha-d} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z'|^2}{2s}} |z - z'|^{2\alpha-d} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z'|^2}{2s}} |z'|^{2\alpha-d} = O(s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where the last equality is obtained by a change of variable  $w = z'/\sqrt{s}$ . Putting together the considerations from (42) to (44), we conclude

$$\int_M dz' s^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_{M, \delta} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} [e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} + e^{-\frac{(iM/16)^2}{2s}}] + 1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}. \quad (45)$$

It is clear that the right-hand side of (45) is independent of the choice of  $x'$  and upper bounded by  $C_M(s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}} + 1)$  for a proper choice of  $C_M > 0$ . The proof of (40) is thus completed.

*Step 2. Terms involving  $\Xi(*)$  and  $\Xi(*')$ .*

First recall that  $R_M$  is the radius of  $M$ . For  $x_0, x \in M$ , set  $n = N_{2R_M}(x_0, x)$  the number of geodesics connecting  $x_0$  and  $x$  with length no longer than  $2R_M$ , and denote by  $\Gamma_{x_0 x} = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n$  the collection of such geodesics. For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $S_{x_0 x}^i$ ,  $B_{x_0 x}^i(s/t, \delta)$ , and  $C_{x_0 x}^i(s/t, \delta)$  are introduced in Definition 19. To lighten the notation, we will use  $S^i, B^i(s)$  and  $C^i(s)$  when there is no confusion. For  $x'_0, x' \in M$ ,  $\Gamma_{x'_0 x'} = \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^m$ ,  $S_{x'_0 x'}^j$ ,  $B_{x'_0 x'}^j(s/t, \delta)$ , and  $C_{x'_0 x'}^j(s/t, \delta)$  (as well as  $S^{j'}, B^{j'}(s')$  and  $C^{j'}(s')$ ) are defined analogously. Note that both  $n$  and  $m$  are uniformly bounded above thanks to Lemma 17. We finally emphasize that since we assume  $s \in (0, t/2)$  in (38) one has  $\frac{t-s}{t} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  which will be used repeatedly below.

By symmetry of the roles between  $x_0, x$  and  $x'_0, x'$ , we need only bound three types of integrals listed below:

- (i)  $\iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}$ ,
- (ii)  $\iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) f(*') d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}$ ,
- (iii)  $\iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) \Xi(*') d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}$ .

For integral (i), by (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ & = \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \left(2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-F_{s/t}(z, x_0, x)}{2\frac{s(t-s)}{t}}\right\} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ & \leq c_{\alpha, M} \int_M dz \left(2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-F_{s/t}(z, x_0, x)}{2\frac{s(t-s)}{t}}\right\} \\ & \leq c_{\alpha, M} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S^i} dz \left(2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-F_{s/t}(z, x_0, x)}{2\frac{s(t-s)}{t}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 23 and (19), for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the space integral in the summation above is further bounded by

$$\int_{S^i} dz \left(2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-d(z, \gamma_i(s/t))^2}{2\frac{s(t-s)}{t}}\right\} \leq c_M.$$

Since the above bound is uniform in  $x, x_0, x', x'_0$  and  $t \geq s$ , we conclude that for all  $s > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_M. \quad (46)$$

For integral (ii), since  $s \in (0, t/2)$  we have

$$(2\pi(t-s))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2(t-s)}} \leq (\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x_0, z)^2}{2t}}.$$

Recalling the definition of  $f(*)$  in (33), integral (ii) is bounded above by

$$\iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) \left[ (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} + (\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2t}} \right] d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}. \quad (47)$$

An estimate of the Gaussian term without  $s$  is straightforward, and can be obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) (\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2t}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &= \int_M dz' (\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2t}} \int_M dz \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq \int_M dz' (\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2t}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S^i} \right) dz \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq C_M \sup_{z' \in M} \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S^i} \right) dz \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (19) for the last step. To proceed, we apply lemma 23 to  $\Xi(*)$  and estimate in (45) in order to obtain for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^i} dz \Xi(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} &\leq \int_{S^i} dz \left( 2\pi \frac{s(t-s)}{t} \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-d(z, \gamma_i(s/t))^2}{2 \frac{s(t-s)}{t}} \right\} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq \int_M dz (\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z, \gamma_i(s/t))^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

We thus have,

$$\sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) (\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2t}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}). \quad (48)$$

For the Gaussian term with  $s$  in (47), Remark 20 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S^i} \right) dz \left( \int_{B(x', \delta)} + \int_{B(x', \delta)^c} \right) dz' \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \iint_{S^i \times B(x', \delta)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \iint_{S^i \times B(x', \delta)^c} \right) dz dz' \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 23 for  $\Xi(*)$ , it is clear that one can treat the integrals in the second summation similarly to  $J_2(s)$  in the proof of Theorem 10, which leads to an upper bound by  $C_M s^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{s}}$ . For the first



summation, applying again Lemma 23 to  $\Xi(*)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{S^i \times B(x', \delta)} \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} dz dz' \\
& \leq \left( \iint_{B^i(s) \times B(x', \delta)} + \iint_{C^i(s) \times B(x', \delta)} \right) \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} dz dz' \\
& \leq \iint_{B(\gamma_i(s/t), \delta) \times B(x', \delta)} (\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{d(z, \gamma_i(s/t))^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} dz dz' \\
& \quad + \iint_{M \times B(x', \delta)} (\pi s)^{-d} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} dz dz'. \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that have chosen  $\delta = i_M/8$ , the first integral (and second, respectively) on the right-hand side of (49) can be treated in the same as  $J_1(s)$  (and  $J_2(s)$ , respectively) in the proof of Theorem 10. We therefore conclude that

$$\iint_{S^i \times B(x', \delta)} \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(z', x')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} dz dz' \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}).$$

Hence

$$\sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) (2\pi s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x'_0, z')^2}{2s}} d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}). \tag{50}$$

Collecting (48) and (50), we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) f(*) d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}). \tag{51}$$

Finally, for integral (iii), Remark 20 implies

$$\iint_{M \times M} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \iint_{S^i \times S^{j'}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \iint_{B^i(s) \times B^j(s)'} + \iint_{B^i(s) \times C^j(s)'} + \iint_{C^i(s) \times B^j(s)'} + \iint_{C^i(s) \times C^j(s)'}.$$

For each summand, we first apply lemma 23 to  $\Xi(*)$  and  $\Xi(*)'$ , then each term can be estimated similarly to  $J_1(s)$ ,  $J_2(s)$ ,  $J_3(s)$  and  $J_4(s)$  in proof of Theorem 10. We thus have

$$\sup_{t \geq 2s} \sup_{x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M} \iint_{M^2} dz dz' \Xi(*) \Xi(*)' d(z, z')^{2\alpha-d} \leq C_M (1 + s^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}). \tag{52}$$

Combining (46), (51) and (52), the proof is thus completed.

## 4 Upper Bound for Kernel function and Well-Posedness

Combining Theorem 10 and Theorem 11, we have the following. Define for all  $s > 0$

$$k(s) := k_1(s) + k_2(s). \tag{53}$$

**Theorem 24.** *Suppose  $M$  has non-positive sectional curvature. Then for any  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M$ ,*

$$\mathcal{L}_1(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') \leq (C_L + C_S) G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \left( \int_0^t k(s) ds \right).$$

Observe that  $C_L + C_S$  does not depend on space arguments, which is essential for inductively bounding  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . For the same purpose, we will need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 25.** *Define inductively  $\{h_n(t)\}_{n \geq 1}$  by*

$$h_1(t) = \int_0^t k(s) ds, \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(t) = \int_0^t h_{n-1}(t-s) k(s) ds, \quad n \geq 2.$$

*Then  $h_n$  is non-decreasing for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. The case  $n = 1$  is true by non-negativity of  $k(t)$ . Now suppose it holds up to  $n$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1}(t + \varepsilon) &= \int_0^{t+\varepsilon} h_n(t + \varepsilon - s)k(s)ds \\ &\geq \int_0^t h_n(t + \varepsilon - s)k(s)ds \\ &\geq \int_0^t h_n(t - s)k(s)ds = h_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following theorem gives the desired estimate for  $\mathcal{L}_n$ .

**Theorem 26.** *There exists  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\alpha$  and  $M$  such that for all  $t > 0$  and  $x_0, x, x'_0, x' \in M$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') \leq 2^n C^n G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') h_n(t). \quad (54)$$

In addition, set for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$H_\lambda(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} h_n(t).$$

Recall the definition of  $\mathcal{K}_\beta$  in (10). We have

$$\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, x_0, x, x'_0, x') \leq G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') H_{2\beta^2 C}(t). \quad (55)$$

*Proof.* We again proceed by induction, where the case  $n = 1$  is the content of Theorem 24. Now suppose it holds up to  $n - 1$ . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n &= \int_0^t ds \iint_{M^2} dz dz' P_{t-s}(z, x) P_{t-s}(z', x') \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(s, x_0, z, x'_0, z') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') \\ &\leq (2C)^{n-1} G_t(x_0, x) G_t(x'_0, x') \int_0^t ds h_{n-1}(s) \iint_{M^2} dz dz' G_{t, x_0, x}(s, z) G_{t, x'_0, x'}(s, z') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 25 and symmetry of the roles of  $s$  and  $t - s$  in  $G_{t, x_0, x}(s, z)$ , we need only to upper bound

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t ds h_{n-1}(s) \iint_{M^2} dz dz' G_{t, x_0, x}(s, z) G_{t, x'_0, x'}(s, z') G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z')$$

because  $\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}$  can be treated likewise. A change of variables  $s = t - s$  shows that the above equals

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} ds h_{n-1}(t - s) \iint_{M^2} dz dz' G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') G_{t, x, x_0}(s, z) G_{t, x', x'_0}(s, z').$$

The space integral is handled in large time the same as in Section 4 and small time the same as in Section 5, giving us

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} ds h_{n-1}(t - s) \iint_{M^2} dz dz' G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') G_{t, x, x_0}(s, z) G_{t, x', x'_0}(s, z') \leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} h_{n-1}(t - s) k(s) ds.$$

Adding with the part which starts with  $\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}$  gives (54). Now recall the definition of  $\mathcal{K}_\beta$  in (10), the upper bound in (55) is a direct consequence of (54) and the definition of  $H_\lambda$ . □

The following result for  $H$  is needed to obtain exponential (in time) moment bounds for the solution  $u$ .

**Lemma 27.** *Let  $\alpha > \frac{d-2}{2}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . There exist constants  $C, \theta > 0$  depending on  $\alpha, \lambda$  such that for all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$H_\lambda(t) \leq C e^{\theta t}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is taken from [CK19, Lemma 2.5]. We have for all  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} h_n(t) dt = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} k(t) dt \right)^n. \quad (56)$$

Theorem 10 and Theorem 11 implies

$$k(t) \leq C_M (1 + t^{\frac{2\alpha-d}{2}}).$$

Together with our assumption on  $\alpha$ , the integral on the right-hand side of (56) is finite and decreases to 0 as  $\gamma \uparrow \infty$ . Clearly we can select  $\theta := \inf \{ \gamma > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\gamma t} k(t) dt < \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \}$ . This would give us for all  $\gamma > \theta$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} H_\lambda(t) e^{-\gamma t} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda^{2n} h_n(t) e^{-\gamma t} dt \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda^{2n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\gamma t} k(t) dt \right)^n < \infty.$$

This together with the fact that  $H_\lambda$  is non-decreasing (since  $h'_n$ s are) implies the desired bound for  $H_\lambda$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to state the main result of the paper. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $M$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , define  $W_t(A) := W(\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s) \mathbb{1}_A(x))$ . Define the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(W_s(A) : 0 \leq s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}) \vee \mathcal{N},$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the collection of  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 28.** A random field  $\{u(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0, x \in M}$  is an Itô mild solution to the Cauchy problem if all the following holds.

- (i) Every  $u(t, x)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable.
- (ii)  $u(t, x)$  is jointly measurable with respect to  $B((0, \infty) \times M) \otimes \mathcal{F}$
- (iii) For all  $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times M$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t ds \iint_{M^2} dz dz' G_{\alpha, \rho}(z, z') P_{t-s}(x, z) u(s, z) P_{t-s}(x, z') u(s, z') \right] < \infty$$

- (iv)  $u$  satisfies (2).

**Theorem 29.** For any  $\alpha > \frac{d-2}{2}$  and finite measure  $\mu$  on  $M$ , the Cauchy problem (1.1) has a random field solution  $\{u(t, x)\}_{t > 0, x \in M}$  which is  $L^p(\Omega)$  continuous for  $p \geq 2$  and satisfies the two-point correlation formula

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t, x)u(t, x')] = J_1(t, x, x') + \beta^2 \iint_{M^2} \mu(dz)\mu(dz') K_\beta(t, z, x, z', x').$$

Also the following moment bound holds, where  $C = C_L + C_S$ ,  $C', \theta > 0$  depending on  $\alpha, \beta, C$  and  $p$  :

$$\mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sqrt{2} J_0(t, x) (H_{4\beta C \sqrt{p}}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C' J_0(t, x) e^{\theta t}.$$

*Proof.* The six-step Picard iteration scheme used in [Che13; CD13] with the modifications presented in [CK19] is usable here to obtain  $L^2(\Omega)$  continuity and the correlation formula. The same proof as Theorem 1.3 in [COV23] is possible by the above estimates for the first inequality in the  $p$ -th moment bound. The exponential bound for the  $p$ -th moment is due to Lemma 27.  $\square$

## 5 Lower Bound assuming bounded initial condition

We close our discussion by presenting an exponential lower bound for the second moment of the solution. It is proved under an extra condition that the initial data is given by a bounded measurable function under which one has the Feynman-Kac representation for the second moment of the solution to the parabolic Anderson model.

First recall the following spectral decomposition of the heat kernel,

$$P_t(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y),$$

where  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_M$  and  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions. The definition of  $G_\alpha$  in (6) then gives

$$G_\alpha(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\alpha} \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y).$$

**Theorem 30.** *Assume  $\alpha > \frac{d-2}{2}$  and  $\mu(dx) = f(x)dx$ , where  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and  $\inf_{x \in M} f(x) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose in addition  $\rho > 0$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that,*

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t, x)^2] \geq \varepsilon^2 e^{ct}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

*Proof.* When  $f$  is bounded, standard approximation argument gives the Feynman-Kac formula for the second moment (see, e.g., [HN09; Hu+15])

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t, x)^2] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[ f(B_s) f(B'_s) \exp \left\{ \beta^2 \int_0^t G_{\alpha, \rho}(B_s, B'_s) ds \right\} \right],$$

where  $B, B'$  are two independent Brownian motions on  $M$  starting at  $x$ . Under the assumption  $\inf_{x \in M} f(x) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ , the second moment is bounded below by

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t, x)^2] \geq \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp \left\{ \beta^2 \int_0^t G_{\alpha, \rho}(B_s, B'_s) ds \right\} \right] \geq \varepsilon^2 \exp \left\{ \beta^2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x G_{\alpha, \rho}(B_s, B'_s) ds \right\}, \quad (57)$$

where the second inequality follows from an application of Jensen's inequality. Recall the definition of  $G_{\alpha, \rho}$  in (6), the exponent on the right-hand side of (57) equal to

$$\beta^2 \left( \frac{\rho t}{m_0} + \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^t G_\alpha(B_s, B'_s) ds \right] \right).$$

In order to compute the expectation above, note that for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\phi_n$  is the eigenfunction of the Laplacian corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ , hence

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\phi_n(B_s)] = \mathbb{E}_x[\phi_n(B'_s)] = \phi_n(x) e^{-\lambda_n s}.$$

We therefore have, as  $t \uparrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^t G_\alpha(B_s, B'_s) ds \right] &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x[G_\alpha(B_s, B'_s)] ds = \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_n^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E}_x[\phi_n(B_s) \phi_n(B'_s)] ds \\ &= \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_n^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E}_x[\phi_n(B_s)]^2 ds = \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_n^{-\alpha} e^{-2\lambda_n s} \phi_n(x)^2 ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_n t}}{2\lambda_n^{\alpha+1}} \phi_n(x)^2 \quad \uparrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\phi_n(x)^2}{2\lambda_n^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{1}{2} G_{\alpha+1}(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the assumption on  $\alpha$  for the well-posedness of equation (2) implies  $\alpha + 1 > \frac{d}{2}$ , which shows that  $G_{\alpha+1}(x, x)$  is finite thanks to Proposition 4. Hence, the exponent on the right-hand side of (57) is of order

$$\frac{\beta^2 \rho}{m_0} t + \frac{\beta^2}{2} G_{\alpha+1}(x, x), \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \infty.$$

The proof is thus completed.  $\square$

The exponential lower bound stated in the above theorem is the result of the compactness of  $M$ . Indeed, a Brownian motion on a compact manifold is ergodic and hence the time average converges to the space average:

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t G_\alpha(B_s, B'_s) ds \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_0^2} \int_{M \times M} G_\alpha(x, x') dx dx' = 0.$$

This is the main intuition that leads to the proof. We believe that the assumption on the initial data is only a technical assumption; we expect that the exponential lower bound still holds for rough initial data.

## References

- [ACQ10] Gideon Amir, Ivan Corwin, and Jeremy Quastel. “Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in  $1 + 1$  dimensions”. In: *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 64 (2010).
- [Bau+23] Fabrice Baudoin, Cheng Ouyang, Samy Tindel, and Jing Wang. “Parabolic Anderson model on Heisenberg groups: The Itô setting”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 285.1 (2023), p. 109920. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2023.109920>.
- [BC14] Alexei Borodin and Ivan Corwin. “Macdonald processes”. In: *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 158.1 (2014), pp. 225–400.
- [BC95] Lorenzo Bertini and Nicoletta Cancrini. “The stochastic heat equation: Feynman-Kac formula and intermittence”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 78 (1995), pp. 1377–1401.
- [BCM22] Raluca Balan, Le Chen, and Yiping Ma. “Parabolic Anderson model with rough noise in space and rough initial conditions”. In: *Electronic Communications in Probability* 27 (2022), pp. 1–12.
- [BDM22] Ismaël Bailleul, Nguyen Viet Dang, and Antoine Mouzard. “Analysis of the Anderson operator”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.04705* (2022).
- [BG97] Lorenzo Bertini and Giambattista Giacomin. “Stochastic Burgers and KPZ equations from particle systems”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 183 (1997), pp. 571–607.
- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and Andr’e Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.
- [Bro83] Gunnar A. Brosamler. “Laws of the iterated logarithm for Brownian motions on compact manifolds”. In: *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* 65 (1983), pp. 99–114.
- [CCL23] David Candil, Le Chen, and Cheuk Yin Lee. “Parabolic stochastic PDEs on bounded domains with rough initial conditions: moment and correlation bounds”. In: *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations* (2023).
- [CD13] Le Chen and Robert C. Dalang. “Moments and growth indices for the nonlinear stochastic heat equation with rough initial conditions”. In: *Annals of Probability* 43 (2013), pp. 3006–3051.
- [CH19] Le Chen and Jingyu Huang. “Comparison principle for stochastic heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ”. In: *The Annals of Probability* 47.2 (2019), pp. 989–1035.
- [Che+21] Le Chen, Davar Khoshnevisan, David Nualart, and Fei Pu. “Spatial ergodicity for SPDEs via Poincaré-type inequalities”. In: *Electronic Journal of Probability* 26 (2021), pp. 1–37.
- [Che13] Le Chen. “Moments, Intermittency, and Growth Indices for Nonlinear Stochastic PDE”s with Rough Initial Conditions”. In: 2013.
- [CK19] Le Chen and Kunwoo Kim. “Nonlinear Stochastic Heat Equation Driven by Spatially Colored Noise: Moments and Intermittency”. In: *Acta Mathematica Scientia* 39 (2019), pp. 645–668.
- [CM94] René Carmona and Stanislav A Molchanov. *Parabolic Anderson problem and intermittency*. Vol. 518. American Mathematical Soc., 1994.
- [Cor16] Ivan Corwin. “Kardar-Parisi-Zhang universality”. In: *Notices of the AMS* 63.3 (2016), pp. 230–239.
- [COV23] Le Chen, Cheng Ouyang, and William Vickery. “Parabolic Anderson model with colored noise on torus”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10802* (2023).
- [CSZ17] Francesco Caravenna, Rongfeng Sun, and Nikos Zygouras. “Universality in Marginally Relevant Disordered Systems”. In: *The Annals of Applied Probability* (2017), pp. 3050–3112.
- [Dal99] Robert C. Dalang. *Extending martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous S. P. D. E’s*. 1999. DOI: 10.1214/EJP.v4-43. URL: <https://infoscience.epfl.ch/handle/20.500.14299/32026>.
- [DG22] Alexander Dunlap and Yu Gu. “A forward-backward SDE from the 2D nonlinear stochastic heat equation”. In: *The Annals of Probability* 50.3 (2022), pp. 1204–1253.

- [DGL23] Alexander Dunlap, Yu Gu, and Liying Li. “Localization length of the  $1+1$  continuum directed random polymer”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré*. Vol. 24. 7. Springer, 2023, pp. 2537–2555.
- [GHL23] Luca Gerolla, Martin Hairer, and Xue-Mei Li. “Fluctuations of stochastic PDEs with long-range correlations”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09811* (2023).
- [GY23] Promit Ghosal and Jaeyun Yi. *Fractal geometry of the PAM in 2D and 3D with white noise potential*. 2023. arXiv: 2303.16063 [math.PR]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.16063>.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [HL15] Martin Hairer and Cyril Labbé. “A simple construction of the continuum parabolic Anderson model on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ”. In: *Electronic Communications in Probability* 20.none (2015), pp. 1–11. DOI: 10.1214/ECP.v20-4038. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/ECP.v20-4038>.
- [HL18] Martin Hairer and Cyril Labbé. “Multiplicative stochastic heat equations on the whole space”. In: *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* 20.4 (2018), pp. 1005–1054.
- [HN09] Yaozhong Hu and David Nualart. “Stochastic heat equation driven by fractional noise and local time”. In: *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 143.1 (2009), pp. 285–328.
- [HS23] Martin Hairer and Harprit Singh. “Regularity structures on manifolds and vector bundles”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.05049* (2023).
- [Hsu02] Elton P Hsu. *Stochastic analysis on manifolds*. 38. American Mathematical Soc., 2002.
- [Hsu90] Pei Hsu. “Brownian bridges on Riemannian manifolds”. In: *Probability theory and related fields* 84 (1990), pp. 103–118.
- [Hu+15] Yaozhong Hu, Jingyu Huang, David Nualart, and Samy Tindel. “Stochastic heat equations with general multiplicative Gaussian noises: Hölder continuity and intermittency”. In: *Electronic Journal of Probability* 20.none (2015), pp. 1–50. DOI: 10.1214/EJP.v20-3316. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v20-3316>.
- [Jos08] Jürgen Jost. *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*. Vol. 42005. Springer, 2008.
- [Kho14] Davar Khoshnevisan. *Analysis of stochastic partial differential equations*. Vol. 119. American Mathematical Soc., 2014.
- [KN24] Sefika Kuzgun and David Nualart. “Convergence of densities of spatial averages of the parabolic Anderson model driven by colored noise”. In: *Stochastics* 96.2 (2024), pp. 968–984.
- [KPZ21] Wolfgang König, Nicolas Perkowski, and Willem van Zuijlen. *Longtime asymptotics of the two-dimensional parabolic Anderson model with white-noise potential*. 2021. arXiv: 2009.11611 [math.PR]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.11611>.
- [Lee10] John Lee. *Introduction to topological manifolds*. Vol. 202. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [Lee18] John M Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*. Vol. 2. Springer, 2018.
- [Mol91] Stanislav A Molchanov. “Ideas in the theory of random media”. In: *Acta Applicandae Mathematica* 22 (1991), pp. 139–282.
- [MQR21] Konstantin Matetski, Jeremy Quastel, and Daniel Remenik. “The KPZ fixed point”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 227.1 (2021), pp. 115–203.
- [Pet06] Peter Petersen. “Riemannian Geometry”. In: Springer, 2006.
- [SZZ24] Hao Shen, Rongchan Zhu, and Xiangchan Zhu. *Global well-posedness for 2D generalized Parabolic Anderson Model via paracontrolled calculus*. 2024. arXiv: 2402.19137 [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.19137>.
- [Tao24] Ran Tao. “Gaussian fluctuations of a nonlinear stochastic heat equation in dimension two”. In: *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations* 12.1 (2024), pp. 220–246.
- [TV02] Samy Tindel and Frederi Viens. “Almost sure exponential behaviour for a parabolic SPDE on a manifold”. In: *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 100.1 (2002), pp. 53–74. ISSN: 0304-4149. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149\(02\)00102-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(02)00102-3).
- [Wal86] John B. Walsh. “An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations”. In: 1986.