

# SEPARATION PROPERTY FOR THE NONLOCAL CAHN-HILLIARD-BRINKMAN SYSTEM WITH A SINGULAR POTENTIAL AND DEGENERATE MOBILITY

SHEETAL DHARMATTI <sup>1</sup> and GREESHMA K <sup>2</sup>

This work studies the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman (CHB) system, which models the phase separation of a binary fluid in a bounded domain and porous media. We focus on the system with a singular potential (logarithmic form) and a degenerate mobility function. The singular potential introduces challenges due to the blow-up of its derivatives near pure phases, while the degenerate mobility complicates the analysis. Our main result is the separation property, which ensures that the solution eventually stays away from the pure phases. We adopt a new method, inspired by the De Giorgi's iteration, introduced for the two-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard equation with constant mobility. This work extends previous results and provides a general approach for proving the separation property for similar systems.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 35B40, 35B45, 35K55, 76S05, 76D99, 76T99

**Keywords and phrases.** Cahn-Hilliard equation, Brinkman equation, Logarithmic potential, Separation property, Singular potential, Degenerate mobility

## Introduction

The Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman (CHB) system describes the behaviour of two immiscible, incompressible and isothermal fluids in a bounded domain and porous media. This system consists of the Cahn-Hilliard equation for the relative concentration of fluids and the Brinkman equation, a modified Darcy's law for fluid velocity. Let  $\Omega$  be an open, bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Consider the relative concentration of two fluids defined as  $\varphi = (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)$ , where  $\varphi_i$  represents the concentration of each fluid. The variable  $\varphi$  lies in the interval  $[-1, 1]$  with the extreme values  $\pm 1$  corresponding to pure phases. The nonlocal CHB system is given by,

$$\varphi' + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\varphi) = \nabla \cdot (m(\varphi)\nabla\mu), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.2)$$

$$-\nabla \cdot (\nu(\varphi)\nabla\mathbf{u}) + \eta\mathbf{u} + \nabla\pi = \mu\nabla\varphi + \mathbf{h}, \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (1.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial n} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.6)$$

$$\varphi(\cdot, 0) = \varphi_0, \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (1.7)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>sheetal@iisertvm.ac.in School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, Maruthamala PO, Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, 695551, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>greeshmak21@iisertvm.ac.in School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, Maruthamala PO, Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, 695551, INDIA,

**Acknowledgements :** Greeshma K would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), India, for the Innovation in Science Pursuit for Inspired Research (INSPIRE) Fellowship (IF210199). The work of Sheetal Dharmatti is supported by SERB grant SERB-CRG/2021/008278 of the Government of India.

Here,  $\mu$  represents the chemical potential, and the system is nonlocal due to the convolution term involving  $J$ , the interaction kernel. Let  $m$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $\eta$  denote the mobility, viscosity and permeability of the fluid, respectively. The term  $F$  is the potential, and  $h$  is an external forcing term and  $a$  is defined as  $a(x) = \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)dy$ . The system enforces incompressibility, a no-slip boundary condition for  $\mathbf{u}$  and a no-flux condition for  $\mu$ .

In most of the practical problems, the potential appears to be singular. Due to the difficulty in handling this term, there are works in which the potential is regularised to a polynomial function satisfying certain growth conditions on its derivatives. Consider a primary example of a singular potential, the logarithmic potential defined by,

$$F_{\log}(r) = ((1+r)\log(1+r) + (1-r)\log(1-r)), \quad r \in (-1,1). \quad (1.8)$$

where  $0 < \theta < \theta_c$ . This potential is singular due to the blow-up of its derivatives near the pure phases  $\pm 1$ . Further, we consider a degenerate mobility function,

$$m(r) = (1-r^2), \quad r \in (-1,1). \quad (1.9)$$

The local as well as non-local CHB systems have been studied for well-posedness and regularity under various assumptions on potential and mobility [3, 2, 5, 4]. The existence of a weak solution for the system (1.1)-(1.7) with constant mobility and singular potential is established in [1], while [4] addresses the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution under higher regularity assumptions in the initial data. In addition, they have also studied the distributional-type optimal control problem.

In [2], the authors proved the existence of a strong solution for the local CHB system with a logarithmic potential and constant mobility. Moreover, the authors have shown that the solution  $\varphi$  will eventually be contained in a closed subinterval of  $(-1, 1)$ , called the separation property. This property holds for dimensions 2 and 3 and was proven using the Trudinger-Moser inequality.

The phase field equation, a variant of the Cahn-Hilliard equation, has been studied in [14, 15]. In [14], the convergence of the solution to a single equilibrium is established by exploiting a generalised Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality. They proved separation property to verify the assumptions of Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality for a potential,

$$F(r) = r \log r + (1-r)\log(1-r), \quad r \in (0,1). \quad (1.10)$$

and mobility,

$$m(r) = \frac{1}{r(1-r)}, \quad r \in (0,1). \quad (1.11)$$

which satisfies  $mF''$ , a constant. The proof employs the Alikakos-Moser iterative method. Similar results under weaker assumptions on the potential were provided in [15] with a simplified proof. The separation property for the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation is analyzed in [8] for potential (1.8) and mobility (1.9) using similar techniques as in [14].

A recent work [11] introduced a new approach based on the De Giorgi iteration method to prove the separation property for two-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard equation with constant mobility with local and non-local potentials. This method allows for a broader class of potentials provided that they satisfy a blow-up condition of  $F''$ . Additionally, this method enables us to evaluate the separation scales explicitly. The result for the Cahn-Hilliard equation has been extended to dimension three in [16].

In this work, we prove the separation property for the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.7) for a logarithmic potential described in (1.8) with mobility (1.9) and for the potential (1.10) with mobility (1.11). We adopt the framework introduced in [11] to prove the result. The major difficulty in implementing the method from [11] is due to the nonconstant, degenerate mobility function, and the main hurdle is that the method requires a global estimate for the  $L^p$  norm of  $F'$  or  $F''(s)$  uniform in time. Thus, our method is applicable for any potential that satisfies assumptions **[A1]- [A4]**, detailed in the next section, and satisfies uniform in time estimate for the  $L^p$  norm of  $F'(s)$  or  $F''(s)$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will introduce the mathematical setup and preliminary results required. In section 3, we will state and prove our main result, separation property for the system (1.1)-(1.7).

## 2 Mathematical setup and preliminary results

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , be a bounded open domain with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $H$  and  $V$  represent spaces  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $H^1(\Omega)$  respectively. The norm in  $H$  and  $V$  are denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_V$  respectively. The duality pairing between spaces  $V$  and  $V'$  is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We denote the closure of divergence-free space,  $\mathcal{V} := \{u \in (C_0^\infty(\Omega))^2; \nabla \cdot u = 0\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$  by  $\mathbb{G}_{div}$  and  $\mathbb{V}_{div}$  respectively. Then we have the following characterisation from [17],

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{G}_{div} &= \{\mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) : \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \\ \mathbb{V}_{div} &= \{\mathbf{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) : \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{V}_{div}}$  be the norm in  $\mathbb{G}_{div}$  and  $\mathbb{V}_{div}$  respectively. Let  $\mathbb{V}'_{div}$  be the dual space of  $\mathbb{V}_{div}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the duality pairing between  $\mathbb{V}_{div}$  and  $\mathbb{V}'_{div}$ . We introduce some more spaces useful for further analysis.

$$\begin{aligned}V_0 &= \{u \in V; \bar{u} = 0\}, V'_0 = \{f \in V'; \bar{f} = 0\}, \\ V_2 &= \{u \in H^2(\Omega); \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

We introduce a linear operator,  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  by,

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

The restriction of  $A$  to  $V_0$  is an isomorphism from  $V_0$  to  $V'_0$ . We define the inverse map,  $\mathcal{N} : H \rightarrow V_2$ , such that  $\mathcal{N}(f) = v$ , where  $v$  is a solution of  $\Delta v = f; \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0$ . On account of this definition the following properties holds.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Au, \mathcal{N}f \rangle &= \langle u, f \rangle, \forall u \in V, f \in V'_0, \\ \langle f, \mathcal{N}g \rangle &= \langle g, \mathcal{N}f \rangle, \forall f, g \in V'_0.\end{aligned}$$

In addition note that, norms  $\|f\|_{V'_0}$  and  $\|\nabla \mathcal{N}f\|$  are equivalent.

We study the non-local Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman (CHB) system with a singular type potential and non-constant mobility under the following set of assumptions.

**[N]** The viscosity  $\nu$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exist some  $\nu_0, \nu_1 > 0$  such that  $\nu_0 \leq \nu(s) \leq \nu_1, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\eta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  is such that  $\eta(x) \geq 0$ , for a.e  $x \in \Omega$ .

**[J]**  $J \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is an even function and  $a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)dy \geq 0$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ . And  $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |J(x-y)|dy < \infty, b := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla J(x-y)|dy < \infty$ .

To treat the case of singular potential we need the following assumptions on the potential and mobility:

**[A1]** The mobility  $m \in C([-1, 1])$  such that  $m(s) \geq 0, \forall s \in [-1, 1]$  and  $m(s) = 0$  iff  $s = \pm 1$ . Further, there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $m$  is non-increasing in  $[1 - \epsilon_0, 1]$  and non-decreasing in  $[-1, -1 + \epsilon_0]$ .

**[A2]** The potential,  $F = F_1 + F_2$  where  $F_1 \in C^2(-1, 1)$  and  $F_2 \in C^2[-1, 1]$ . And  $\lambda := mF_1'' \in C([-1, 1])$  is such that there exists some  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $\lambda(s) \geq \alpha_0, \forall s \in [-1, 1]$ .

**[A3]**  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} F'(s) = \pm \infty$ . There exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $F''$  is non-decreasing in  $[1 - \epsilon_0, 1)$  and non-increasing in  $(-1, -1 + \epsilon_0]$ .

**[A4]** There exists  $\alpha_1 > 0$  such that  $m(s)(F''(s) + a(x)) \geq \alpha_1, \forall s \in (-1, 1)$  and a.e  $x \in \Omega$ .

We will state the wellposedness result for the system (1.1)-(1.7) with potential (1.8) from [4, Proposition 2.1] as a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1 (Wellposedness of the nonlocal CHB system with singular potential and degenerate mobility).** *Let  $\varphi_0 \in H$  be such that  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathbf{h} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}'_{div})$  and assumptions [N], [J], [A1]-[A4] hold. Further, assume there exists a function  $M \in C^2(-1, 1)$  such that  $m(s)M''(s) = 1, \forall s \in [-1, 1]$  with  $M(0) = M'(0) = 0$  and satisfies the condition  $M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then there exists a weak solution  $(\varphi, \mathbf{u})$  for the nonlocal CHB system, (1.1)-(1.7) of the following regularity.*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\in L^2(0, T; V) \cap C([0, T]; H) \cap H^1(0, T; V'), \\ \mathbf{u} &\in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}_{div}). \end{aligned}$$

We need uniform global estimates on the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.7) to prove the separation property. The challenge comes because of the degenerate mobility term. We obtained two estimates, (2.1) and (2.9) under assumptions, [A1]-[A4] on potential,  $F$  and mobility,  $m$ . However, it is generally difficult to derive uniform estimates for  $\|F'(\varphi)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  with these assumptions. Therefore, we focus on the logarithmic potential (1.8). For this specific potential, we derive estimate (2.10).

## 2.1 Uniform global estimates

In this section we will derive a dissipative energy inequality satisfied by the solution to the system (1.1)-(1.7) given by Lemma 2.1. Moreover, we derive a global estimate for  $L^1$  norm of  $F'(\varphi)$  for the potential (1.8), which is crucial in the proof of the separation property.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $h \in L^2_{loc}([0, \infty); \mathbb{G}_{div})$  and  $(\varphi, \mathbf{u})$  be the unique solution of (1.1)-(1.7). Then there exists a constant,  $C > 0$  such that,*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\varphi(t)\|^2 + \int_t^{t+1} (\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{div}}^2 + \|m(\varphi)\nabla \mu\|^2) \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Consider the following equation obtained by testing (1.1) with  $\varphi$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|^2 + ((m + \lambda)\nabla \varphi, \nabla \varphi) + (m(\varphi)\nabla a - \nabla J * \varphi, \nabla \varphi) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Applying Hölder's and Young's inequalities we obtain,

$$(m(\varphi)(\varphi\nabla a - \nabla J * \varphi), \nabla \varphi) \leq \|m\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}) \|\nabla \varphi\| |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + C,$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\varphi$ . Substitute back in (2.2) and use [A4], we get,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \leq C. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that,  $(\varphi, \varphi') = (\varphi - \bar{\varphi}, \varphi') = (\varphi - \bar{\varphi}, (\varphi - \bar{\varphi})')$ . Applying Poincaré inequality, it follows that,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|^2 \leq C.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we have,

$$\|\varphi(t) - \bar{\varphi}(t)\|^2 \leq \|\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}_0\|^2 e^{-\alpha_1 t} + \frac{2C}{\alpha_1}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

By conservation of mass,  $\bar{\varphi}(t) = \bar{\varphi}_0$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\varphi(t)\|^2 \leq C. \quad (2.4)$$

Integrating (2.3) over  $[t, t + 1]$ , applying the estimate (2.4) leads to,

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

Testing (1.3) with  $\mathbf{u}$ , and estimating each term by applying Hölder's, Young's and Korn's inequalities, we get,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{div}}^2 \leq C(\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathbb{G}_{div}}^2).$$

Therefore by (2.5),

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{V}_{div}}^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

Consider the inner-product,  $(m(\varphi)\nabla\mu, \psi) = ((ma + \lambda)\nabla\varphi, \psi) + (m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi), \psi)$ . Applying the Hölder's inequality, we get,

$$|(m(\varphi)\nabla\mu, \psi)| \leq C\|\varphi\|_V\|\psi\|.$$

Consequently, using (2.5) we have,

$$\|m(\varphi)\nabla\mu\|_{L^2(t, t+1; H)} \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Further, from (1.1) and (2.7)

$$\|\varphi'\|_{L^2(t, t+1; V')} \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

□

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(\varphi, \mathbf{u})$  be the unique solution of (1.1)-(1.7). Then for any  $\tau > 0$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that the following estimate holds true.

$$\sup_{t \geq \tau} \|\varphi'(t)\|_{V'} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^2(t, t+1; H)} + \sup_{t \geq \tau} \|m(\varphi)\nabla\mu\| + \sup_{t \geq \tau} \|\varphi\|_V \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq \tau. \quad (2.9)$$

Additionally, for the potential (1.8),

$$\sup_{t \geq \tau} \|F'(\varphi)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C. \quad (2.10)$$

*Proof.* Differentiate (1.1) w.r.t 't' formally and test with  $\mathcal{N}(\varphi')$ . Apply Hölder's and Young's inequalities to estimate each of its terms, then we arrive at,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi'\|_{V'}^2 + \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \|\varphi'\|^2 \leq C \|\varphi'\|_{V'}^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Using local integrability of  $\|\varphi'\|_{V'}$  from (2.8), we can apply uniform Gronwall lemma [17, Lemma 1.1, Chapter III]. This yields,

$$\sup_{t \geq \tau} \|\varphi'(t)\|_{V'} \leq C. \quad (2.12)$$

Consequently from (1.1), we have,

$$\sup_{t \geq \tau} \|m(\varphi)\nabla\mu\| \leq C. \quad (2.13)$$

Further, integrating (2.11) over  $[t, t+1]$  and applying the estimate (2.12), we obtain,

$$\|\varphi'\|_{L^2(t, t+1; H)} \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq \tau. \quad (2.14)$$

Consider the following inner-product.

$$\begin{aligned} (m(\varphi)\nabla\mu, \nabla\varphi) &= ((ma + \lambda)\nabla\varphi, \nabla\varphi) + (m(\varphi)\nabla a - \nabla J * \varphi, \nabla\varphi), \\ \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 &\leq C + \|m(\varphi)\nabla\mu\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the estimate (2.13), it follows that

$$\sup_{t \geq \tau} \|\varphi\|_V \leq C. \quad (2.15)$$

Next we will derive a global bound for  $\|F'(\varphi)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , uniform in time. In our problem,  $F'(s) = \log(\frac{1+s}{1-s})$ . Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(\varphi) &= \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2} \frac{2}{1-\varphi}\right) = \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}\right) - \log\left(\frac{1-\varphi}{2}\right), \\ F''(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{(1-\varphi^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that  $\|\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C$ , where  $C$  is a constant independent of time. Analogously, we can prove  $\|\log(\frac{1-\varphi}{2})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C$ . Unlike previously derived estimates, the following estimate holds for the particular potential (1.8). This estimate will be used in the next section to prove the separation property. Since  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ , the following proof is same as the proof of [8, Lemma A.2] for the Cahn-Hilliard equation. We used Young's and Hölder's inequalities in following calculations.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left| \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}\right) \right| &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}\right) = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \log(1+\varphi) \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1+\varphi)} \varphi' = -\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1+\varphi)} \nabla \cdot (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu) \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} (ma + \lambda) \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{(1+\varphi)^2} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla \varphi}{(1+\varphi)^2} (1-\varphi^2) (\nabla a \varphi - \nabla J * \varphi) \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} (ma + \lambda) \left(\frac{|\nabla \varphi|}{1+\varphi}\right)^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla \varphi}{(1+\varphi)} (1-\varphi) (\nabla a \varphi - \nabla J * \varphi) \\ &\leq -\alpha_1 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla \varphi|}{1+\varphi}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi}{(1+\varphi)}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega} (1-\varphi)^2 (\nabla a \varphi - \nabla J * \varphi)^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \left| \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}\right) \right|)^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega} (1-\varphi)^2 (\nabla a \varphi - \nabla J * \varphi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the global estimates derived,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left| \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}\right) \right| + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \left| \log\left(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}\right) \right|)^2 \leq C. \quad (2.16)$$

Recall the generalised Poincaré inequality for  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  [18, Lemma 4.1.3]

$$\|f(\varphi) - \frac{1}{|\Omega_1|} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\varphi)\| \leq \frac{C}{|\Omega_1|} \|\nabla f(\varphi)\|, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded domain with  $C^1$  boundary and  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$  such that  $|\Omega_1| > 0$ . To estimate the second term in (2.16), define a set  $\Omega_{1,t}$  as

$$\Omega_{1,t} = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \varphi(x, t) \geq \frac{-(1-\bar{\varphi})}{2} \right\}.$$

We claim  $|\Omega_{1,t}| \geq \frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{4} |\Omega|$ . Suppose to the contrary,  $|\Omega_1| < \frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{4} |\Omega|$ , then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{2} &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1+\varphi}{2} dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega-\Omega_1} \frac{1+\varphi}{2} dx \\ &< \frac{|\Omega_1|}{|\Omega|} + \frac{|\Omega-\Omega_1|}{|\Omega|} \frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{4} \\ &< \frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Consider  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_{1,t}$  in (2.17). Applying Hölder's, generalised Poincaré and Young's inequalities yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq |\Omega| \|\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})\|^2 \leq 2|\Omega| \|\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}) - \frac{1}{|\Omega_1|} \int_{\Omega_1} \log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})\|^2 + \frac{2|\Omega|^2}{|\Omega_1|^2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} \log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2}) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2C^2|\Omega|}{|\Omega_1|^2} \|\nabla \log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})\|^2 + 2|\Omega|^2 \log(\frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{4})^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8C^2}{|\Omega|} \|\nabla \log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})\|^2 + 2|\Omega|^2 \log(\frac{1+\bar{\varphi}}{4})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting back in (2.16), we deduce,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})| dx + \frac{\alpha_1|\Omega|}{16C^2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})| dx \right)^2 \leq C + \frac{\alpha_1|\Omega|^3}{8C^2} \log(\frac{1+\bar{\varphi}_0}{4})^2 \leq C, \quad (2.18)$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $\varphi_0$ . We adopt the techniques used in [14], [8], to obtain a uniform bound for  $\int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})| dx$ . Although  $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , satisfies  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ , note that  $\log(\frac{1+\varphi_0}{2})$  need not be in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore, we consider a sequence  $\varphi_{0,n} \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi_{0,n} \rightarrow \varphi_0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\log(\frac{1+\varphi_{0,n}}{2}) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . The condition  $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1$  assures an existence of a sequence  $\varphi_{0,n}$ . Then the solution to (1.1)-(1.7) corresponding to the initial data  $\varphi_{0,n}$  say,  $(\varphi_n, \mathbf{u}_n)$  satisfies (2.18).

Note that for an initial value problem

$$g'(t) + \beta^2 g(t)^2 = c^2, \quad g(0) = g_0,$$

the solution  $g(t)$  satisfies following inequality,

$$g(t) \leq \frac{c}{\beta} \left( \frac{e^{2c\beta t} \left| \frac{c+\beta g_0}{c-\beta g_0} \right| - 1}{e^{2c\beta t} \left| \frac{c+\beta g_0}{c-\beta g_0} \right| + 1} \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Comparing (2.18) and (2.19),  $\int_{\Omega} \log(\frac{1+\varphi_n}{2}) dx$  is dominated by a constant given as in (2.19) and corresponding to initial data,

$$g(0) = \int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1+\varphi_{0,n}}{2})| dx$$

and  $\beta^2 = \frac{\alpha_1|\Omega|}{16C^2}$ .

Now using continuous dependence of solution we get  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is the solution corresponding to the initial data  $\varphi_0$ . Using Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1+\varphi}{2})| dx \leq \liminf \int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1+\varphi_n}{2})| dx \leq C \quad (2.20)$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\log(\frac{1-\varphi}{2})| dx \leq C. \quad (2.21)$$

This estimate is vital in proving the separation property of the system (1.1)-(1.7) which is the main result of the next section.  $\square$

### 3 Separation property

The separation property for the Cahn-Hilliard equation is established using iterative arguments of Alikakos Moser in [8]. However, we have difficulty in implementing this method to our problem due to a non-local term and the degenerate mobility. Particularly we will not be able to apply the Poincaré inequality to estimate

$\|\sqrt{m(\varphi)}\nabla\mu\|$  since the mobility term is degenerate and varies with  $\varphi$ . We will adopt De-Giorgi's method introduced in a recent paper [11]. This method has been used to prove the separation property for the non-local Cahn-Hilliard equation with a constant mobility and a singular type potential in dimension 2. The advantage of this method is that it does not require any condition on the third derivative of the potential to prove the separation property. Moreover, this method allows us to explicitly calculate the separation scales indicating how far it is from the pure phases. We impose only the blow-up of the second derivative of the potential near the pure phases  $\pm 1$ , which is a natural condition for the potential like (1.8). Our proof uses a general framework, eg, for a potential  $F$  and mobility  $m$  satisfying **[A1]** – **[A4]**. However, we need to use the specific information of the potential in one step towards the end of the proof.

We state our main result, which is the separation property for the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman system with a singular-type potential (1.8) and degenerate mobility (1.9) in dimension 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  be such that  $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} < 1$  and  $|\bar{\varphi}_0| < 1$ . Then for any  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$  which depends on  $\tau$  and  $\varphi_0$  such that the unique solution to (1.1)-(1.7) with potential, (1.8) and mobility, (1.9) satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \geq \tau} \|\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta. \quad (3.1)$$

Before proving the theorem, we will state a lemma proved in [11, Lemma 4.3] and [16, Lemma 3.8] using the induction argument.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies,*

$$y_{n+1} \leq Cb^n y_n^{1+\epsilon},$$

for some  $C > 0$ ,  $b > 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that  $y_0 \leq C^{-1/\epsilon} b^{-1/\epsilon^2}$ . Then,

$$y_n \leq y_0 b^{-n/\epsilon}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In particular,  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* The main idea of the proof is to consider a finite time interval,  $[0, T]$  and a  $\tau > 0$  and then we need to prove that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $t \in [T - \tau, T]$  then measure of the set  $\{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x, t) \geq 1 - \delta\}$  is zero. Since  $T$  is arbitrary we will be able to extend the result for all  $T \geq \tau$  and hence (3.1) will follow. For, we define two sequences, one in time, say  $t_n$  that increases to  $T - \tau$ . And another sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , say  $k_n$  which increases to  $1 - \delta$ . We will prove the integral,

$$\int_{t_n}^T \int_{\{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x, t) \geq k_n\}} 1 dx dt,$$

goes to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity using lemma 3.2. Hence the separation property follows.

First we introduce the mathematical setup. Let  $T > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  from **[A3]** be fixed. We choose  $\tilde{\tau}$  and  $\delta$  such that  $T > 3\tilde{\tau}$  and  $0 < \delta < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$ . We define two sequences, set  $t_{-1} = T - 3\tilde{\tau}$ , and define

$$t_n = t_{n-1} + \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{2^n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

$$k_n = 1 - \delta - \frac{\delta}{2^n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Observe that  $t_n$  is an increasing sequence with bounds  $t_{-1} < t_n < t_{n+1} < T - \tilde{\tau}$ ,  $\forall n \geq 0$  and  $t_n$  converges to  $t_{-1} + 2\tilde{\tau} = T - \tilde{\tau}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly  $k_n$  is an increasing sequence with bounds  $1 - 2\delta < k_n < k_{n+1} < 1 - \delta$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$  and  $k_n$  converges to  $1 - \delta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $n \geq 0$ , define

$$\varphi_n(x, t) = \max\{\varphi(x, t) - k_n, 0\} = (\varphi - k_n)_+.$$

Further,  $I_n := [t_{n-1}, T]$  and

$$A_n(t) = \{x \in \Omega | \varphi(x, t) - k_n \geq 0\}, \forall t \in I_n.$$



And for  $t \in [0, t_{n-1})$ , we define,  $A_n(t) := \phi$ . Clearly,  $I_n \supseteq I_{n+1}, \forall n \geq 1$ . Then  $I_n \rightarrow [T - \tilde{\tau}, T]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition,  $A_{n+1}(t) \subseteq A_n(t), \forall n \geq 1, t \in I_{n+1}$ . We set,

$$y_n = \int_{I_n} \int_{A_n(s)} 1 dx ds, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Our aim is to prove  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $n \geq 0$ , consider cut-off functions,  $\eta_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $|\eta_n(t)| \leq 1, |\eta'_n(t)| \leq 2\frac{2^n}{\tilde{\tau}}, \forall t$  and

$$\eta_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } t \geq t_n, \\ 0, & \text{for } t \leq t_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

We will choose a test function  $v = \varphi_n \eta_n^2$  and test it with (1.1) and integrate it over the interval  $[0, t]$ , for  $t_n \leq t \leq T$ . Note that  $\eta_n = 0$  for  $t \leq t_{n-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (\langle \varphi', \varphi_n \eta_n^2 \rangle + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, \varphi_n \eta_n^2)) + \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)(F''(\varphi) + a) \nabla \varphi, \nabla \varphi_n) \eta_n^2 \\ + \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)((\nabla a) \varphi - \nabla J * \varphi), \nabla \varphi_n) \eta_n^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now we estimate terms in (3.2). Note that  $\varphi_n(x) = 0, \forall x \notin A_n$  and on  $A_n, \varphi'_n = \varphi'$ . Therefore we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \langle \varphi', \varphi_n \eta_n^2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi_n \eta_n\|^2 - \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\varphi_n(s)\|^2 \eta_n \eta'_n ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_n(t)\|^2 - \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n \eta'_n ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t \geq t_n, \eta_n(t) = 1$  and  $\eta_n(t_{n-1}) = 0$ . The second term can be further estimated as follows. Here, we have used,  $|\varphi_n| \leq 2\delta, \forall n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n(s) \eta'_n(s) ds &\leq 2\frac{2^n}{\tilde{\tau}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} \varphi_n^2 \eta_n(s) dx ds \leq 2\frac{2^n}{\tilde{\tau}} (2\delta)^2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} 1 dx ds \leq 2\frac{2^n (2\delta)^2}{\tilde{\tau}} y_n, \\ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, \varphi_n \eta_n^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\varphi_n^2) \eta_n^2 = 0, \quad (\text{Since } \nabla(\eta_n(t)) = \mathbf{0}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0), \\ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)(F''(\varphi) + a) \nabla \varphi, \nabla \varphi_n) \eta_n^2 &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} m(\varphi)(F''(\varphi) + a) |\nabla \varphi_n|^2 \eta_n^2 \\ &\geq \alpha_1 \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2, \quad (\text{by assumption [A4]}). \end{aligned}$$

Now by using Young's inequality and for a  $\delta > 0$  small, which will choose appropriately later on such that

$F''(1-2\delta) \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi), \nabla \varphi_n) \eta_n^2 &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi) \eta_n, \nabla \varphi_n \eta_n) \\
&\leq \frac{F''(1-2\delta)}{4} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 + \frac{1}{F''(1-2\delta)} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} |m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi)|^2 \eta_n^2 \\
&\leq \frac{F''(1-2\delta)}{4} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 + \frac{2\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2)}{F''(1-2\delta)} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} 1 dx ds \\
&\leq \frac{F''(1-2\delta)}{4} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 + \frac{2\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)}{F''(1-2\delta)} y_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all above estimates in (3.2) results in following inequality.

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_1 \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 \leq \frac{F''(1-2\delta)}{4} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 + \left( \frac{2^{n+3}\delta^2}{\tilde{\tau}} + \frac{2\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)}{F''(1-2\delta)} \right) y_n. \quad (3.3)$$

Consider a function  $M \in C^3(-1, 1)$  such that  $m(s)M''(s) = 1$  and  $M(0) = M'(0) = 0$ . Note that if  $x \notin A_n$ , then  $\varphi_n(x)$  is zero and hence  $M(\varphi_n) = 0$ . Consider the test function  $v = M'(\varphi_n)\eta_n^2$ , test with (1.1) and integrate it over  $[0, t]$ , for  $t$  such that  $t_n \leq t \leq T$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{n-1}}^t \langle \varphi', M'(\varphi_n) \rangle \eta_n^2 + \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, M'(\varphi_n)) \eta_n^2 + \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)(F''(\varphi) + a)\nabla \varphi, M''(\varphi_n)(\nabla \varphi_n)) \eta_n^2 \\
+ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi), M''(\varphi_n)(\nabla \varphi_n)) \eta_n^2 = 0. \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

On the set  $A_n$ , we have  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 2\delta$  and since  $M \in C^3(-1, 1)$  we have  $M$  bounded on  $[0, 2\delta]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{n-1}}^t \langle \varphi', M'(\varphi_n) \rangle \eta_n^2 &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} \left( \frac{d}{dt} M(\varphi_n) \right) \eta_n^2 = \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n(t)) \eta_n^2 \right) - 2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n) \eta_n(s) \eta_n'(s) dx ds \\
&= \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n(t)) dx - 2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n) \eta_n(s) \eta_n'(s) dx ds, \\
\int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n) \eta_n(s) \eta_n'(s) dx ds &\leq 2 \frac{2^n}{\tilde{\tau}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n) \eta_n(s) dx ds \\
&\leq 2 \frac{2^n}{\tilde{\tau}} \|M(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} 1 dx ds \leq \frac{2^{n+1}}{\tilde{\tau}} \|M(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} y_n, \\
\int_{t_{n-1}}^t (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi, M'(\varphi_n) \eta_n^2) &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (M(\varphi_n)) \eta_n^2 = 0, \quad (\text{Since } \nabla(\eta_n(t)) = \mathbf{0}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that,  $m(\varphi)M''(\varphi_n) = m(\varphi)(M''(\varphi_n) - M''(\varphi)) + 1$ . This leads to,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)(F''(\varphi) + a)\nabla\varphi, M''(\varphi_n)\nabla\varphi_n\eta_n^2) &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} (F''(\varphi) + a)|\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} m(\varphi)(M''(\varphi_n) - M''(\varphi))(F''(\varphi) + a)|\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using assumption **[A3]** and  $\varphi \geq 1 - 2\delta$  on  $A_n$ , we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} (F''(\varphi) + a)|\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2 &\geq F''(1 - 2\delta) \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} |\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2, \\ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi)(M''(\varphi_n) - M''(\varphi))(F''(\varphi) + a)|\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2 &\leq \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} |\lambda(\varphi) + ma|_{L^\infty} |M'''(\varphi_n)|(\varphi - \varphi_n)|\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2 \\ &\leq |\lambda(\varphi) + ma|_{L^\infty} \|M'''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} |\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Last inequality is obtained using the fact that, on set  $A_n$ ,  $(\varphi - \varphi_n) = k_n \leq 1 - \delta$ . Applying Young's inequality, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi), M''(\varphi_n)\nabla\varphi_n)\eta_n^2 &\leq \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (m(\varphi)((\nabla a)\varphi - \nabla J * \varphi)M''(\varphi_n)\eta_n, \nabla\varphi_n\eta_n) \\ &\leq \frac{F''(1 - 2\delta)}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla\varphi_n\|^2\eta_n^2 + \frac{1}{F''(1 - 2\delta)} \|m(\varphi)\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2) \|M''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty}^2 y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combine all above estimate and from (3.4), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n(x))dx + \frac{F''(1 - 2\delta)}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla\varphi_n\|^2\eta_n^2 &\leq |\lambda + ma|_{L^\infty} \|M'''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \int_{A_n} |\nabla\varphi_n|^2\eta_n^2 \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{2^{n+1}}{\tilde{\tau}} \|M(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)}{F''(1 - 2\delta)} \|M''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right] y_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Adding (3.3) and (3.5), we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_n(t)\|^2 + \int_{A_n} M(\varphi_n(x)) dx + \left( \frac{F''(1-2\delta)}{4} + \alpha_1 \right) \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 \\
& \leq |\lambda + ma|_{L^\infty} \|M'''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 + \left( \frac{2^{n+3}\delta^2}{\tilde{\tau}} + \frac{2\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)}{F''(1-2\delta)} \right) y_n \\
& \quad + \left( \frac{2^{n+1}}{\tilde{\tau}} \|M(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)}{F''(1-2\delta)} \|M''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) y_n \\
& \leq |\lambda + ma|_{L^\infty} \|M'''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \eta_n^2 \\
& \quad + \left[ \frac{2^{n+1}}{\tilde{\tau}} ((2\delta)^2 + \|M(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty}) + \frac{\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)}{F''(1-2\delta)} (2 + \|M''(\varphi_n)\|_{L^\infty}^2) \right] y_n. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

We choose a  $\delta < \frac{1}{4}$ , then we have,  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 2\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\forall n$ . Since  $M \in C^3(-1, 1)$ ,  $M$  and its derivatives upto order 3 are bounded on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Let  $K$  be a constant such that  $\max\{|M(s)|, |M'(s)|, |M''(s)|, |M'''(s)|\} \leq K$  for  $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Additionally, we set the following condition on the choice of  $\delta$ .

$$\frac{1}{F''(1-2\delta)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{8|\lambda + ma|_{L^\infty} K}, \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4|\Omega|}, \frac{2}{(2 + K^2)\tilde{\tau}\|m\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla J\|_{L^1}^2)} \right\}, \quad (3.7)$$

The blow-up of  $F''(s)$  near the point  $s = \pm 1$  ensures the existence of a  $\delta > 0$  satisfying the above condition. Later, we will refine the condition on  $\delta$ . Observe that, using (3.7), the first term on R.H.S of the inequality (3.6) can be absorbed to its L.H.S. Now let us define,

$$P_n = 2^{n+1} \frac{2}{\tilde{\tau}} (1 + K) y_n = 2^{n+1} C y_n.$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of both  $\delta$  and  $n$ . Then from (3.6) it follows that,

$$\max_{t \in I_{n+1}} \|\varphi_n(t)\|^2 \leq P_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{F''(1-2\delta)}{8} \int_{I_{n+1}} \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \leq P_n.$$

We will derive a lower bound for  $\varphi_n$  using the recursive definition.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_n &= \varphi - (1 - \delta - \frac{\delta}{2^n}) = \varphi - (1 - \delta - \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}}) - \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\delta}{2^n} \\
&= \varphi_{n+1} + \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}} \geq \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\varphi_n \geq 0$ ,  $\forall n$ . Now using the result that for any  $p > 1$  and  $s, t > 0$ ,  $(s+t)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(s^p + t^p)$ , and applying Hölder's, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}} \right)^3 y_{n+1} &\leq \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^3 dx ds \\
&\leq \left( \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^4 dx ds \right)^{3/4} \left( \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{A_n} 1 dx ds \right)^{1/4} \\
&\leq \left( 2^3 \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n|^4 dx ds + 2^3 \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\varphi}_n|^4 dx ds \right)^{3/4} \left( \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{A_n} 1 dx ds \right)^{1/4} \\
&\leq 2^{9/4} y_n^{1/4} \left( \underbrace{\int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\varphi}_n|^4 dx ds}_{S_1} + c \underbrace{\int_{I_{n+1}} \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \|\varphi_n\|^2}_{S_2} \right)^{3/4}. \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \int_{I_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|\Omega|^4} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^4 ds = \int_{I_{n+1}} |\Omega|^{-3} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^4 ds = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{I_{n+1}} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4 ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \max_{t \in I_{n+1}} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^2 \int_{I_{n+1}} 1 ds \leq \frac{2\tilde{\tau}}{|\Omega|} P_n^2, \\
S_2 &= \int_{I_{n+1}} \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \|\varphi_n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{F''(1-2\delta)} \left( \max_{t \in I_{n+1}} \|\varphi_n\|^2 \right) \left( F''(1-2\delta) \int_{I_{n+1}} \|\nabla \varphi_n\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{F''(1-2\delta)} 8P_n^2 \leq \frac{2\tilde{\tau}}{|\Omega|} P_n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining above estimates in (3.8) we get,

$$y_{n+1} \leq \frac{2^{3(n+1)}}{\delta^3} 2^{9/4} \left( \frac{4\tilde{\tau}}{|\Omega|} \right)^{3/4} P_n^{3/2} y_n^{1/4} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\leq \frac{2^{\frac{33}{4}} C^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\delta^3} \left( \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{9n}{2}} y_n^{\frac{7}{4}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Our aim is to apply Lemma 3.2 to the inequality (3.9) and obtain  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To apply this lemma, we require  $y_{n+1} \leq Cb^n y_n^{1+\epsilon}$  and a condition on  $y_0$  namely,

$$y_0 \leq C^{-1/\epsilon} b^{-1/\epsilon^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

By comparing with (3.10), we can identify  $C, b$  and  $\epsilon$  as

$$C = \frac{2^{\frac{33}{4}} C^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\delta^3} \left( \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}, \quad b = 2^{\frac{9}{2}}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Thus it remains to prove that a choice of  $\delta$  which satisfies (3.11) can be made. Note that in the set,  $A_0$ ,  $\varphi \geq 1 - 2\delta$  and since  $F'$  is a nondecreasing function on the interval  $[1 - \epsilon_0, 1]$ , we have  $F'(1 - 2\delta) \leq F'(\varphi)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
y_0 &= \int_{T-3\tilde{\tau}}^T \int_{A_0} 1 dx ds \leq \frac{1}{|F'(1-2\delta)|} \int_{T-3\tilde{\tau}}^T \|F'(\varphi(s))\|_{L^1(\Omega)} ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|F'(1-2\delta)|} 3\tilde{\tau}\tilde{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by applying the global estimate for  $\|F'(\varphi)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , (2.10). Therefore, the condition, (3.11) is satisfied if,

$$\frac{1}{|F'(1-2\delta)|\delta^4} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{2^{19} 3 C^2 \tilde{C} \tilde{\tau}^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Observe that  $F'$  is a logarithmic function and as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|F'(1-2\delta)|$  grows faster than the decay of  $\delta^4$ . Hence, we can always find a  $\delta > 0$  that satisfies (3.12). Thus, we choose a  $\delta < \frac{1}{4}$  satisfying (3.12) and (3.7). Applying lemma 3.2 to inequalities (3.9), (3.11), we obtain,

$$y_n \leq y_0 (2^{\frac{9}{2}})^{-\frac{4n}{3}} = y_0 2^{-6n}.$$

Therefore,  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$|\varphi(x, t)| \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \text{for a.e } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t \in [T - \tilde{\tau}, T].$$

In particular, we choose  $T = 2\tau$  and  $\tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{3}$ , we get,

$$-1 + \delta \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \text{for a.e } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t \in \left[ \frac{5\tau}{3}, 2\tau \right].$$

Similarly, we can repeat the argument for any interval of the form  $\left[ \frac{(5+n)\tau}{3}, (2+n)\tau \right]$ . Hence (3.1) holds.  $\square$

With a slight modification in the above calculation, we will be able to prove the separation property for the potential (1.10). We state the result with a brief sketch of the proof.

*Remark.* Let  $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  be such that  $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} < 1$  and  $|\bar{\varphi}_0| < 1$ . Then for any  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$  which depends on  $\tau$  and  $\varphi_0$  such that the unique solution to (1.1)-(1.7) with potential, (1.10) and mobility, (1.11) satisfies,

$$\delta \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times [\tau, \infty). \quad (3.13)$$

For, with potential (1.10) and mobility, (1.11) we get  $\varphi \in [0, 1]$  *a.e.* and a transformation  $\psi = (2\varphi - 1)$  changes the potential (1.10) to (1.8) with the property that  $\psi \in [-1, 1]$ . Consequently, we have the separation property for the system (1.1)-(1.7) with potential (1.10).

**Concluding remarks.** Our proof for the separation property completely relies on the blow-up of derivatives of the potential at pure phases and the global estimate for the  $L^p$  norm of the derivative of the potential. Note that we do not require any condition on third or higher derivatives of the potential. Even though we have done the proof for a specific potential, we use the information on the potential only at the last step to verify a condition on  $y_0$ . Rest of the calculations holds for any potential  $F$  and mobility  $m$  satisfying assumptions [A1] – [A4]. An advantage of the method is that we can explicitly calculate the separation scales. Although we have a coupled system, we require only an appropriate regularity of  $u$ . Then, the proof is similar to that of the CH equation. So far, there are no works on the separation property for the Cahn Hilliard equation with non-constant mobility other than [8], which is for a specific potential. We were trying to do this work in a general setting. However, to verify (3.11), we have to use the global estimate for the derivative of the potential. The assumptions we set on  $F$  are insufficient to derive a uniform bound for the norm of  $F'(\varphi)$  or  $F''(\varphi)$ . Here, we restrict ourselves to a specific potential (1.8).

## References

- [1] C. Cavaterra, S. Frigeri, and M. Grasselli. “Non-local Cahn–Hilliard–Hele–Shaw systems with singular potential and degenerate mobility”. In: *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* (2022).
- [2] M. Conti and A. Giorgini. “The three-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman system with unmatched viscosities”. In: (2018).
- [3] F. Della Porta and M. Grasselli. “On the non-local Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman and Cahn-Hilliard-Hele-Shaw system”. In: *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis* 15 (2016), pp. 299–317.
- [4] S. Dharmatti and K. Greeshma. *Regularity and Optimal Control of Nonlocal Cahn Hilliard Brinkman System with a singular potential*.
- [5] S. Dharmatti and P. L. N. Mahendranath. “Non-local Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman System with regular potential: regularity and optimal control”. In: *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems* 27 (2021), pp. 221–246.
- [6] C. M. Elliott and H. Garcke. “On the Cahn–Hilliard equation with degenerate mobility”. In: *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 27 (1996), pp. 404–423.
- [7] L. C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations [Second ed.]* American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [8] S. Frigeri, C. G. Gal, and M. Grasselli. “Regularity results for the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potential and degenerate mobility”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 287 (2021), pp. 295–328.
- [9] S. Frigeri, M. Grasselli, and E. Rocca. “A diffuse interface model for two-phase incompressible flows with nonlocal interactions and non-constant mobility”. In: *Nonlinearity* 28 (5 2015), p. 1257.
- [10] S. Frigeri et al. “Two-dimensional nonlocal Cahn–Hilliard–Navier–Stokes systems with variable viscosity, degenerate mobility and singular potential”. In: *Nonlinearity* 32 (2019), pp. 678–727.
- [11] C. G. Gal, A. Giorgini, and M. Grasselli. “The separation property for 2D Cahn-Hilliard equations: Local, nonlocal and fractional energy cases”. In: *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst* 43.6 (2023), pp. 2270–2304.

- [12] C.G. Gal, A. Giorgini, and M. Grasselli. “The nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potential: well-posedness, regularity and strict separation property”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 263 (9 2017), pp. 5253–5297.
- [13] S. Kesavan. *Topics in functional analysis and applications[2pr. Ed.]* New Age., 2003.
- [14] S. O. Londen and H. Petzeltová. “Convergence of solutions of a non-local phase-field system”. In: *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* 4.3 (2011), pp. 653–670.
- [15] S. O. Londen and H. Petzeltová. “Regularity and separation from potential barriers for a non-local phase-field system”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 379.2 (2011), pp. 724–735.
- [16] A. Poiatti. “The 3D strict separation property for the nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potential”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.07745* (2023).
- [17] R. Temam. *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*. Vol. 68. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [18] W. P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions, volume 120 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. 1989.