

SECOND BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY OF KNOT QUANDLES

DEEPANSHI SARAF AND MAHENDER SINGH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we explore the bounded cohomology of quandles and its applications to knot theory. We establish two key results that provide sufficient conditions for the infinite dimensionality of the second bounded cohomology of quandles. The first condition involves a subspace of homogeneous group quasimorphisms on the inner automorphism group of the quandle, whereas the second condition concerns the vanishing of the stable commutator length on a subgroup of this inner automorphism group. As topological applications, we show that the second bounded cohomology of the quandle of any non-split link whose link group is non-solvable as well as the quandle of any split link, is infinite dimensional. From these results, we conclude that the second bounded cohomology of the knot quandle can be used to detect the unknot. On the algebraic side, we prove that the second bounded cohomology of a free product of quandles is infinite dimensional if the inner automorphism group of at least one of the free factors is amenable. This leads to the result that the second bounded cohomology of free quandles of rank greater than one, as well as their canonical quotients, is infinite dimensional.

1. INTRODUCTION

Racks and quandles are self-distributive algebraic structures, and their defining axioms correspond to algebraic representations of the Reidemeister moves for planar diagrams of knots and links in 3-sphere. In addition to providing powerful invariants for knots and links, as shown by Joyce [23] and Matveev [28], these structures appear in a broad range of mathematics, including Hopf algebras [1] and set-theoretical solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation [12], to name a few.

A (co)homology theory for racks and quandles was initially introduced by Fenn and Rourke [15], who approached it from a homotopy-theoretic perspective using classifying spaces, defining the homology of the rack space of a rack. Carter et al. [10] applied rack and quandle cohomology to knot theory by constructing state sum invariants. These cohomology theories have since been generalised in [1, 26]. More recently, Szymik [31] showed that quandle cohomology can be viewed as a form of Quillen cohomology.

There has been continuing interest in developing knot invariants, and an important goal is for these invariants to be able to distinguish the unknot. For example, while the knot group can identify the unknot, there are non-trivial knots that share the same Alexander polynomial as the unknot. In contrast, the analogous question for the Jones polynomial remains unresolved. Interestingly, several invariants derived from quandles have proven effective in detecting the unknot. Winker [32] showed that a knot is trivial if and only if

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020. Primary 57M27; Secondary 57K12, 20J05.

Key words and phrases. Amenable group, bounded quandle cohomology, bounded group cohomology, free quandle, knot quandle, quasimorphism, stable commutator length.

its involutory quandle is trivial. Later, Eisermann [13] showed that the second quandle homology $H_2(Q(K), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if and only if K is the unknot, and $H_2(Q(K), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ whenever K is a non-trivial knot. Since $H^2(Q(K), A) \cong \text{Hom}(H_2(Q(K), \mathbb{Z}), A)$ for any abelian group A , it follows that the second quandle cohomology also distinguishes the unknot. More recently, Szymik [30] showed that Alexander-Beck modules can similarly detect the unknot.

Bounded cohomology was introduced by Johnson in the context of Banach algebras [22] and later extended by Gromov to topological spaces [21]. It has since become a key tool in the geometry of manifolds [21], rigidity theory [7], and stable commutator length [9]. In a recent work, Kędra [24] explored a natural metric on racks and quandles based on their inner symmetries. He also introduced a bounded cohomology theory for racks and quandles, proving that a rack or a quandle is bounded with respect to this metric if and only if the comparison map from the second bounded cohomology to the second ordinary quandle cohomology is injective. Furthermore, Kędra showed that knot quandles and free quandles are unbounded, implying that their second bounded cohomology is non-trivial. Fujiwara [18] established that the second bounded cohomology of a knot group is infinite-dimensional, raising the natural question of whether the second bounded cohomology of knot quandles is also infinite-dimensional. Since the bounded cohomology of knot quandles provides a new invariant, another question is whether it can distinguish the unknot. In this paper, we address both of these questions and also establish some general results. Similar to groups, our findings suggest that the second bounded rack or quandle cohomology is well-behaved, although fully understanding the bounded cohomology remains a challenging task.

In Section 2, we review the basic concepts of quandle theory that will be necessary for our work. Section 3 provides an overview of key results on quasimorphisms. We show that there is an isomorphism between a quotient of the space of quandle quasimorphisms and the kernel of the comparison map from the bounded cohomology to the ordinary quandle cohomology (Proposition 3.3). Section 4 presents our main results. Using the fact that a quandle X can be written as a union $\sqcup_{i \in I} (G/H_i, z_i)$ of certain coset quandles, we prove two key results that provide sufficient conditions for the infinite dimensionality of the second bounded cohomology of X . The first condition is infinite dimensionality of the subspace of homogenous group quasimorphisms of G that vanish on a subgroup H_{i_0} (Theorem 4.2), while the second condition involves the infinite dimensionality of the second bounded group cohomology of G and the vanishing of the stable commutator length on a subgroup H_{i_0} of G (Theorem 4.5). Section 5 contains applications of these results to knots, links, free quandles and their canonical quotients. We prove that the second bounded cohomology of the quandle of any split link is infinite dimensional (Proposition 5.5), as is the second bounded cohomology of the quandle of any non-split link with a non-solvable link group (Proposition 5.1). From this, we immediately deduce that the second bounded cohomology of the knot quandle detects the unknot. Next, we show that the second bounded quandle cohomology of a free product of quandles is infinite dimensional if the group of inner automorphisms of at least one of the free factors is amenable (Proposition 5.6). In fact, we establish a similar result for a free k -quandle of rank n whenever $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ or $n \geq 3$ and $k = 2$ (Proposition 5.8).

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section reviews the essential preliminaries that will be employed throughout the paper.

2.1. Racks and quandles. To set our conventions, recall that a *quandle* is a set X equipped with a binary operation $*$ that satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $x * x = x$ for all $x \in X$.
- (2) For each pair $x, y \in X$, there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $x = z * y$.
- (3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

An algebraic structure satisfying only the last two axioms is called a *rack*. The second quandle axiom is equivalent to the existence of a dual binary operation on X , denoted by $(x, y) \mapsto x *^{-1} y$, satisfying $x * y = z$ if and only if $x = z *^{-1} y$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. For each $x \in X$, the map $S_x : X \rightarrow X$ given by $S_x(y) = y * x$ is an automorphism of X that fixes x . The group $\text{Inn}(X)$ generated by such automorphisms is called the *inner automorphism group* of X .

Given a quandle X , we define its *enveloping group* $\text{Env}(X)$ with the presentation

$$\text{Env}(X) = \langle e_x, x \in X \mid e_{x*y} = e_y^{-1} e_x e_y \text{ for all } x, y \in X \rangle.$$

There is a right action of $\text{Env}(X)$ on X , which on generators of $\text{Env}(X)$ is given by

$$x \cdot e_y = x * y$$

for $x, y \in X$. The quandle X is called *connected* if $\text{Env}(X)$ acts transitively on X . We denote a set of representatives of orbits under this action by $\mathcal{O}(X)$.

Example 2.1. Let L be an oriented link in \mathbb{S}^3 . In [23, Section 4.5] and [28, Section 6], Joyce and Matveev independently gave a topological construction of the *link quandle* $Q(L)$ of L , and proved it to be an invariant of the isotopy type of L . Further, it was proved that $Q(L)$ can also be obtained from a regular diagram D of L . Suppose that D has s arcs and t crossings. We assign labels x_1, \dots, x_s to the arcs of D , and then introduce the relation r_l given by $x_k * x_j = x_i$ or $x_k *^{-1} x_j = x_i$ at the l -th crossing of D as shown in Figure 1. They proved that

$$Q(L) \cong \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \mid r_1, \dots, r_t \rangle.$$

If $V(L)$ is a tubular neighborhood of L , then $\text{Env}(Q(L)) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(L))$, the link group of L .



FIGURE 1. Quandle relations at crossings.

Example 2.2. Although links in the 3-sphere offer a rich source of quandles, other interesting examples, especially those stemming from groups, also arise. We will rely heavily on one such construction. Let G be a group, $\{z_i \mid i \in I\}$ a set of elements of G , and $\{H_i \mid i \in I\}$ a set of subgroups of G such that $H_i \leq C_G(z_i)$ for each i . Then we can define a quandle structure on the disjoint union $\sqcup_{i \in I} G/H_i$ of right cosets by

$$H_i x * H_j y = H_i z_i^{-1} x y^{-1} z_j y.$$

We denote this quandle by $\sqcup_{i \in I} (G/H_i, z_i)$. Note that any quandle can be expressed as a quandle of this form [23, Section 2.4].

2.2. Bounded cohomology of groups, racks and quandles. Next, we recall the definition of bounded cohomology of groups [16], and that of racks and quandles [24].

We first recall the construction of the cochain complex defining the group cohomology with real coefficients [6]. Let G be a group. For each $n \geq 0$, let $\mathbf{C}^n(G, \mathbb{R})$ be the real vector space with the basis consisting of maps $G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where we take G^0 to be the trivial group. The coboundary

$$\partial^n : \mathbf{C}^n(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1}(G, \mathbb{R})$$

is given by

(2.2.1)

$$\partial^n(\sigma)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = \sigma(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \sigma(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sigma(g_1, \dots, g_n),$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}^n(G, \mathbb{R})$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) \in G^{n+1}$. The cohomology $\mathbf{H}^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ of the cochain complex $\mathbf{C}^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ is defined to be the *group cohomology* of G with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . Let $\mathbf{C}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ denote the cochain complexes of bounded chains with respect to the sup-norm. Then the cohomology $\mathbf{H}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbf{C}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ is called the *bounded group cohomology* of G with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . We denote the group of bounded group cocycles and coboundaries by $\mathbf{Z}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathbf{B}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R})$, respectively. The inclusion $\mathbf{C}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ induces the *comparison* homomorphism $\mathbf{c}^* : \mathbf{H}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^*(G, \mathbb{R})$.

Let X be a rack and $n \geq 0$ an integer. Let $C^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the real vector space with the basis consisting of maps $X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where we understand X^0 to be a singleton set. The coboundary operator $\delta^{n-1} : C^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by

(2.2.2)

$$\delta^{n-1} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1 * x_i, \dots, x_{i-1} * x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n))$$

for all $f \in C^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $x_i \in X$. A routine check shows that $C^*(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{C^n(X, \mathbb{R}), \delta^n\}$ is a cochain complex. The cohomology $H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ of this complex is called the *rack cohomology* of the rack X .

If X is a quandle, then we consider the subcomplex $D^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ of $C^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(2.2.3) \quad D^n(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{f \in C^n(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ whenever } x_i = x_{i+1} \text{ for some } i\}$$

for $n \geq 2$, and $D^n(X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ for $n \leq 1$. This gives the quotient cochain complex $\overline{C}^*(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{C^n(X, \mathbb{R})/D^n(X, \mathbb{R}), \delta^n\}$, where δ^n is the induced coboundary operator.

Then, the cohomology of this complex is called the *quandle cohomology* of X . For ease of notation, we denote both the rack and the quandle cohomology by $H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$. We refer the reader to [10, Section 3] for details on quandle cohomology.

The bounded cohomology of racks and quandles can be defined similarly to that of groups [24]. Let X be a rack. Then, for a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we write $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in X\}$. Let $C_b^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the subspace of $C^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of all maps $X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are bounded with respect to the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. The coboundary operators δ^n restrict to $C_b^n(X, \mathbb{R})$, and hence $C_b^*(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{C_b^n(X, \mathbb{R}), \delta^n\}$ forms a cochain complex. The cohomology $H_b^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ of this complex is called the *bounded rack cohomology*. The natural inclusion $C_b^n(X, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C^n(X, \mathbb{R})$ induces a homomorphism $c^n : H_b^n(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$, called the *comparison map*. If X is a quandle, we can similarly define the *bounded quandle cohomology* and establish comparison maps. For simplicity, we denote the bounded cohomology of both the rack and the quandle as $H_b^n(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Let X be a rack or a quandle. Kędra defined a metric on each connected component of X by

$$d(x, y) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid y = (((x * x_1) * x_2) * \cdots) * x_n \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X\}.$$

We say that X is *bounded* if the metric d is bounded (has finite diameter) on each connected component of X . Otherwise, it is called *unbounded*. It turns out that knot quandles and free quandles are unbounded with respect to this metric [24, Example 3.17].

A group G is said to be *uniformly perfect* if there exists $N > 0$ such that any element of G can be represented as a product of at most N commutators. It is known from [27, Corollary 2.11] that if G is uniformly perfect, then the comparison map $\mathbf{c}^2 : \mathbf{H}_b^2(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ is injective. For racks and quandles, the following result establishes a similar relation of this metric with the second bounded cohomology [24, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2.3. *A rack or a quandle X is bounded if and only if the kernel of the comparison map $c^2 : H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is trivial.*

3. QUASIMORPHISMS OF GROUPS, RACKS AND QUANDLES

In this section, we revisit the concept of quasimorphisms for groups, racks, and quandles, along with their relationship to the corresponding bounded cohomology.

3.1. Quasimorphisms of groups. We refer to [16] for more details on quasimorphisms of groups and the bounded group cohomology.

A *group quasimorphism* on a group G is a map $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.1.1) \quad D(f) := \sup_{g, h \in G} |f(g) + f(h) - f(gh)| < \infty.$$

The constant $D(f)$ is called the *defect* of f . In terms of group cohomology, f is a group quasimorphism if and only if $\partial^1(f)$ is bounded with respect to the sup-norm. We denote the vector space of all group quasimorphisms on G by $\mathcal{G}(G, \mathbb{R})$.

A group quasimorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *homogeneous* if it satisfies $f(g^n) = n f(g)$ for any $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is known that a homogeneous group quasimorphism is constant on conjugacy classes [9, Subsection 2.2.3], that is,

$$f(h^{-1}gh) = f(g)$$

for any $g, h \in G$. The subspace of all homogeneous group quasimorphisms on G is denoted by $\mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $\mathbf{c}^* : \mathbf{H}_b^*(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^*(G, \mathbb{R})$ be the comparison homomorphism. By [16, Corollary 2.11], we have

$$(3.1.2) \quad \mathcal{G}(G, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbf{C}_b^1(G, \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$(3.1.3) \quad \mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R})/\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R}) \cong \ker(\mathbf{c}^2).$$

In fact, if G is abelian, then [16, Corollary 2.12] gives

$$(3.1.4) \quad \mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R}).$$

3.2. Quasimorphisms of racks and quandles. Next, we recall the definition of quasimorphism of racks and quandles [24, Definition 5.1].

A *rack quasimorphism* on a rack X is a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(3.2.1) \quad \sup_{x, y \in X} |f(x) - f(x * y)| < \infty.$$

We denote the vector space of all rack quasimorphisms on a rack X by $\mathcal{R}(X, \mathbb{R})$. As in the case of groups, f is a rack quasimorphism if and only if $\delta^1(f)$ is bounded with respect to the sup-norm. If X is a quandle, then f will be called a *quandle quasimorphism*, and we denote the corresponding vector space by $\mathcal{Q}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We shall use the following result [24, Lemma 5.2].

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a rack (respectively, quandle). If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a rack (respectively, quandle) quasimorphism, then $\delta^1(f)$ is a bounded rack (respectively, quandle) 2-cocycle such that its cohomology class $[\delta^1(f)]$ lies in the kernel of the comparison map c^2 . If f is unbounded on a connected component of X , then $\delta^1(f)$ is non-trivial.*

Given a rack X , we now determine the kernel of the comparison map $c^2 : H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. By definition, $\ker(c^2)$ consists of $[\alpha] \in H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha = \delta^1(f)$ for some $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. As noted above, $\delta^1(f) = \alpha$ being bounded implies that f is a quasimorphism. Thus, we have a map $\bar{\delta}^1 : \mathcal{R}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ker(c^2)$ given by $f \mapsto [\delta^1(f)]$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a rack. Then the map $\bar{\delta}^1 : \mathcal{R}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ker(c^2)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{R}(X, \mathbb{R}) / (C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R}) + \text{Map}(\pi_0(X), \mathbb{R})) \cong \ker(c^2),$$

where $\pi_0(X)$ is the set of connected components of X .

Proof. Clearly, $\bar{\delta}^1$ is a surjective linear map. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{R}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\bar{\delta}^1(f) = 0$ in $\ker(c^2) \subseteq H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists $g \in C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\delta^1(f) = \delta^1(g)$. It follows that $\delta^1(f - g) = 0$, which gives $(f - g)(x) = (f - g)(x * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Hence, $f - g \in \text{Map}(\pi_0(X), \mathbb{R})$, and consequently

$$\ker(\bar{\delta}^1) \subseteq C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R}) + \text{Map}(\pi_0(X), \mathbb{R}).$$

The reverse inclusion is clear, and the proof is complete. \square

We have a similar result for quandles.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a quandle. Then the map $\bar{\delta}^1 : \mathcal{Q}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ker(c^2)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Q}(X, \mathbb{R}) / (C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R}) + \text{Map}(\pi_0(X), \mathbb{R})) \cong \ker(c^2),$$

where $\pi_0(X)$ is the set of connected components of X .

Example 3.4. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}$ be the permutation rack defined by $m * k = m + 1$. Then $(X, *)$ is a connected and unbounded rack. For each $n \in X$, define $e_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$e_n(m) = \begin{cases} (m - n) & \text{for } m \geq n, \\ 0 & \text{for } m < n. \end{cases}$$

Each map e_n is unbounded since it grows linearly as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and it satisfies the condition

$$|e_n(m * k) - e_n(m)| = |e_n(m + 1) - e_n(m)| = |(m + 1 - n) - (m - n)| = 1.$$

Clearly, the set $\{e_n \mid n \in X\}$ is linearly independent. Thus, in view of Proposition 3.2, the dimension of $\mathcal{R}(X, \mathbb{R}) / C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and hence the kernel of the comparison map is at least countably infinite. Consequently, the second bounded cohomology $H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is at least countably infinite dimensional.

4. SECOND BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY OF QUANDLES

In this section, we examine the dimension of the second bounded cohomology of quandles. Recall from [23, Section 2.4] that any quandle can be expressed as a quandle of the form $\sqcup_{i \in I} (G/H_i, z_i)$. Using this form, we prove that a homogeneous group quasimorphism gives rise to a quandle quasimorphism.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a quandle such that $X = \sqcup_{i \in I} (G/H_i, z_i)$, where G is a group, $\{z_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a finite set of elements of G and $H_i \leq C_G(z_i)$ a subgroup for each i . Let $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-trivial homogeneous group quasimorphism on G that vanish on H_{i_0} for some fixed $i_0 \in I$. Let $\{x_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a set of right coset representatives of H_{i_0} in G such that $\phi(x_{j_0}) \neq 0$ for some $j_0 \in J$. Then the map $\phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi_X(H_{i_0}a) = \phi(x_j)$, where x_j is the unique right coset representative of $H_{i_0}a$ and $\phi_X(H_i a) = 0$ for all $i \neq i_0$, is an unbounded quandle quasimorphism.*

Proof. For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $x_{j_0}^n = hx_k$ for some $k \in J$ and $h \in H_{i_0}$. Since ϕ is a homogeneous group quasimorphism, we obtain

$$|\phi_X(H_{i_0}x_k)| = |\phi(x_k)| = |\phi(h^{-1}x_{j_0}^n)| \geq |\phi(h^{-1}) + \phi(x_{j_0}^n)| - D(\phi) \geq |n\phi(x_{j_0})| - D(\phi),$$

and hence ϕ_X is unbounded.

We note that $|\phi_X(H_\ell a) - \phi_X(H_\ell a * H_r b)| = 0$ for all $\ell, r \in I$ such that $\ell \neq i_0$. For $\ell = i_0$ and any $r \in I$, let x_i and x_k be the right coset representatives of $H_{i_0}a$ and $H_{i_0}a * H_r b = H_{i_0}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r b$, respectively. Thus, we can write $a = ux_i$ and $z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r b = vx_k$ for some $u, v \in H_{i_0}$. Using the fact that ϕ is a homogeneous group quasimorphism on G that vanish on H_{i_0} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_X(H_\ell a) - \phi_X(H_\ell a * H_r b)| \\ &= |\phi_X(H_{i_0}a) - \phi_X(H_{i_0}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r b)| \\ &= |\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_k)| \\ &= |\phi(u^{-1}a) - \phi(v^{-1}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r b)| \\ &= |\phi(u^{-1}a) - \phi(v^{-1}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r b) + \phi(v^{-1}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) - \phi(v^{-1}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) + \phi(b) - \phi(b)| \\ &\leq |\phi(u^{-1}a) - \phi(v^{-1}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) - \phi(b)| + D(\phi) \\ &\leq |\phi(u^{-1}a) + \phi(a) - \phi(a) - \phi(v^{-1}z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) + \phi(z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r b) - \phi(z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) - \phi(b)| + D(\phi) \\ &\leq |\phi(a) - \phi(z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) - \phi(b)| + 3D(\phi) \\ &\leq |\phi(a) - \phi(z_{i_0}^{-1}ab^{-1}z_r) + \phi(z_{i_0}^{-1}) - \phi(z_{i_0}^{-1}) + \phi(ab^{-1}z_r) - \phi(ab^{-1}z_r) - \phi(b)| + 3D(\phi) \\ &\leq |\phi(a) + \phi(z_{i_0}) - \phi(ab^{-1}z_r) + \phi(ab^{-1}) - \phi(ab^{-1}) + \phi(z_r) - \phi(z_r) - \phi(b)| + 4D(\phi) \\ &\leq |\phi(a) + \phi(z_{i_0}) - \phi(ab^{-1}) - \phi(z_r) - \phi(b)| + 5D(\phi) \\ &\leq |\phi(z_{i_0}) - \phi(z_r)| + 6D(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{z_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a finite set, $\sup\{|\phi_X(H_\ell a) - \phi_X(H_\ell a * H_r b)|\}$ is bounded, and hence ϕ_X is a quandle quasimorphism. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a quandle such that $X = \sqcup_{i \in I}(G/H_i, z_i)$, where G is a group, $\{z_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a finite set of elements of G and $H_i \leq C_G(z_i)$ a subgroup for each i . Suppose that the subspace $\mathcal{HG}^{H_{i_0}}(G, \mathbb{R})$ of homogeneous group quasimorphisms on G that vanish on H_{i_0} is infinite dimensional for some $i_0 \in I$. Then $H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. In fact, $\ker(c^2)$ is infinite dimensional.*

Proof. If $\phi \in \mathcal{HG}^{H_{i_0}}(G, \mathbb{R})$, then by Proposition 4.1, ϕ_X is a quandle quasimorphism on X . Further, by Lemma 3.1, $[\delta^1(\phi_X)]$ is a bounded quandle 2-cocycle. This leads to a map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{HG}^{H_{i_0}}(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$$

given by $\Psi(\phi) = [\delta^1(\phi_X)]$. If ϕ is trivial, then $\Psi(\phi)$ is well-defined by setting ϕ_X to be trivial. Recall that, if ϕ is non-trivial, then the construction of ϕ_X depends on a choice of right coset representatives of H_{i_0} in G . We claim that $\Psi(\phi)$ is independent of this choice. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be two sets of right coset representatives of H_{i_0} in G . If necessary, after relabelling, for each $i \in I$, we have $y_i = h_i x_i$ for some $h_i \in H_{i_0}$. Define $\phi'_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

by $\phi'_X(Hy_i) = \phi(y_i)$ for each i . We need to show that $\delta^1(\phi_X - \phi'_X) = \delta^1(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. To achieve this, define $\eta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\eta(H_{i_0}g) = \phi(x_k) - \phi(y_k) = \phi(x_k) - \phi(h_k x_k),$$

where x_k, y_k are right coset representatives of $H_{i_0}g$ and $\eta(H_i g) = 0$ for all $i \neq i_0$. Since ϕ vanish on H_{i_0} , we see that $|\eta(H_i g)| \leq D(\phi)$ for all $i \in I$ and hence $\eta \in C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Observe that for all $i \neq i_0$, we have $\delta^1(\phi_X - \phi'_X)(H_i s, H_k t) = \phi_X(H_i s) - \phi_X(H_i s * H_k t) - \phi'_X(H_i s) + \phi'_X(H_i s * H_k t) = 0$. And, for $i = i_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^1(\phi_X - \phi'_X)(H_{i_0} s, H_k t) &= \phi_X(H_{i_0} s) - \phi_X(H_{i_0} s * H_k t) - \phi'_X(H_{i_0} s) + \phi'_X(H_{i_0} s * H_k t) \\ &= \phi_X(H_{i_0} s) - \phi_X(H_{i_0} z_{i_0}^{-1} s t^{-1} z_k t) - \phi'_X(H_{i_0} s) + \phi'_X(H_{i_0} z_{i_0}^{-1} s t^{-1} z_k t) \\ &= \phi(x_i) - \phi(x_j) - \phi(y_i) + \phi(y_j) \\ &= \eta(H_{i_0} s) - \eta(H_{i_0} s * H_k t) \\ &= \delta^1(\eta)(H_{i_0} s, H_k t), \end{aligned}$$

where x_i, y_i are the coset representatives of $H_{i_0} s$ and x_j, y_j are the coset representatives of $H_{i_0} z_{i_0}^{-1} s t^{-1} z_k t$, respectively. Hence, $\delta^1(\phi_X - \phi'_X) = \delta^1(\eta)$, and the map Ψ is well-defined.

Clearly, Ψ is linear by construction. Suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{HG}^{H_{i_0}}(G, \mathbb{R})$ such that $[\delta^1(\phi_X)] = 0$, that is, there exists $\alpha \in C_b^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\delta^1(\alpha) = \delta^1(\phi_X)$. Thus, the map $f = \alpha - \phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant on connected components of X . Since X has finitely many connected components, f is bounded on X , and hence ϕ_X is bounded. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that ϕ must be a trivial quasimorphism. Hence, Ψ is an injective linear map, and therefore $H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. \square

Lemma 4.3. [5, Lemma 3.6] or [9, Lemma 2.24] *Let G be a group, $[G, G]$ its commutator subgroup and ϕ a homogeneous group quasimorphism on G . Then there is an equality*

$$D(\phi) = \sup_{g \in [G, G]} |\phi(g)|.$$

If G is a group and $g \in [G, G]$, then the *commutator length* $\text{cl}(g)$ of g is the least number of commutators whose product is g . The *stable commutator length* $\text{scl}(g)$ is defined to be the limit

$$\text{scl}(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{cl}(g^n)}{n}.$$

Group quasimorphisms and stable commutator length are related by the following Bavard's Duality Theorem. See [5] or [9, Theorem 2.70].

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a group. Then, for any $g \in [G, G]$, there is an equality*

$$\text{scl}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})} \frac{|\phi(g)|}{D(\phi)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 that the map scl is trivial on $[G, G]$ if and only if every homogeneous group quasimorphism has defect zero, that is, $\mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a quandle such that $X = \sqcup_{i \in I} (G/H_i, z_i)$, where G is a group, $\{z_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a finite set of elements of G and $H_i \leq C_G(z_i)$ a subgroup for each i . Suppose that G is finitely presented with $\mathbf{H}_b^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ infinite dimensional, and there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that H_{i_0} is finitely generated and $\text{scl}(h) = 0$ for every $h \in [H_{i_0}, H_{i_0}]$. Then $H_b^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional.*

Proof. Since G is a finitely presented group, it follows that $\mathbf{H}_n(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated abelian group for $n \leq 2$. [6, p.198, Exercise 1]. The universal coefficient theorem gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^n(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{H}_n(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that $\mathbf{H}^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ is a finite dimensional vector space. But $\mathbf{H}_b^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ is given to be infinite dimensional, and therefore the kernel of the comparison map $\mathbf{c}^2 : \mathbf{H}_b^2(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. Recall from (3.1.3) that

$$\mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R})/\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R}) \cong \ker(\mathbf{c}^2).$$

Since G is finitely generated, $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is finite dimensional, and hence $\mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, since scl is trivial on $[H_{i_0}, H_{i_0}]$, it follows that $\mathcal{HG}(H_{i_0}, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(H_{i_0}, \mathbb{R})$. Since H_{i_0} is finitely generated, the space $\text{Hom}(H_{i_0}, \mathbb{R})$ is finite dimensional. Let us consider the restriction homomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{HG}(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{HG}(H_{i_0}, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(H_{i_0}, \mathbb{R})$ given by $f \mapsto f|_{H_{i_0}}$. Thus, $\ker(\theta) = \mathcal{HG}^{H_{i_0}}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. The result now follows from Theorem 4.2. \square

Remark 4.6. There are many groups with vanishing stable commutator length. For instance, amenable groups [9, Proposition 2.65], uniformly perfect groups [27, Corollary 2.11] and groups obeying a law [8, Main Theorem] are examples of groups where the stable commutator length vanish. Here, a group is *amenable* if it admits a finitely-additive left-invariant probability measure. Further, a group G is said to *obey a law* if there is a free group F and a non-trivial element $w \in F$ such that $\phi(w) = 1$ for every homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow G$. For example, abelian groups are amenable and obey laws.

5. SECOND BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY OF LINK AND FREE QUANDLES

In this section, we provide applications of our results from the preceding section to the second bounded cohomology of link quandles and free quandles.

5.1. Second bounded cohomology of link quandles. Recall that a connected 3-manifold is *irreducible* if every embedded 2-sphere bounds a 3-ball. Further, a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold is called *Haken* if it contains a two-sided incompressible surface. Let L be an oriented link in \mathbb{S}^3 with components K_1, \dots, K_t and $V(L) = \cup_{i=1}^t V(K_i)$ be its tubular neighbourhood. Let $X(L) := \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(L)$ and $\partial V(K_i)$ be the boundary of $V(K_i)$. For each i , we have $\pi_1(\partial V(K_i)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and the inclusion map $\kappa_i : \partial V(K_i) \rightarrow X(L)$ induces a group homomorphism

$$\kappa_i^* : \pi_1(\partial V(K_i)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X(L)).$$

The group $H_i := \kappa_i^*(\pi_1(\partial V(K_i)))$ is called the i -th *peripheral subgroup* of $\pi_1(X(L))$. First we consider the case of non-split links in the 3-sphere.

Proposition 5.1. *Let L be an oriented non-split link such that its link group $\pi_1(X(L))$ is not solvable. Then $H_b^2(Q(L), \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional, with its dimension equal to the cardinality of the continuum.*

Proof. By [3, Theorem 1.20], the fundamental group of a compact 3-manifold with a toroidal boundary is solvable if and only if it is virtually solvable. Thus, the link group $\pi_1(X(L))$ is not virtually solvable. Since L is a non-split link, $X(L) = \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(L)$ is irreducible and a Haken 3-manifold [3, p.57, C.22]. It follows from [19, Corollary 1.3] that the dimension of $\mathbf{H}_b^2(\pi_1(X(L)), \mathbb{R})$ equals the cardinality of the continuum. Let K_1, \dots, K_t be the components of L . As in the proof of [4, Theorem 3.6], we can write $Q(L) \cong \sqcup_{i=1}^t (\pi_1(X(L))/H_i, m_i)$, where m_i, l_i are the longitude and the meridian of the i -th component of L and $H_i = \langle m_i, l_i \rangle$ is the i -th peripheral subgroup. Since $\pi_1(X(L))$ is a finitely presented group and H_i is its finitely generated abelian subgroup for each i , Theorem 4.5 yields the desired result. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let K be a non-trivial oriented knot. Then $H_b^2(Q(K), \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional, with its dimension equal to the cardinality of the continuum. In particular, the second bounded cohomology of the knot quandle detects the unknot.*

Proof. By [14, Corollary 4.10], the fundamental group of a compact Haken 3-manifold with trivial second homotopy group is solvable if and only if it contains no free group of rank two. By [29, page 29, 56, 102] or [11, Theorem 1], the knot group $\pi_1(X(K))$ of a non-trivial knot K contains a free group of rank two, and hence is not a solvable group (see also [18, Theorem 1.6]). The result now follows from Proposition 5.1. \square

Remark 5.3. If L is the Hopf link, then $Q(L)$ is the trivial quandle on two elements, and hence its second bounded cohomology is finite dimensional [24, Example 4.4]. Thus, the hypothesis that the link group is not solvable is essential in Proposition 5.1.

Next, we consider the case of split links. Before that, we make the following general observation.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X_1, \dots, X_m be quandles such that $X_i \cong \sqcup_{x_j \in \mathcal{O}(X_i)} (\text{Env}(X_i)/H_j, e_{x_j})$ for each i , where H_j is the stabiliser of x_j in $\text{Env}(X_i)$. Then*

$$X_1 * \dots * X_m \cong \bigsqcup_{z_k \in K} (\text{Env}(X_1) * \dots * \text{Env}(X_m)/H_k, z_k),$$

where $K = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(X_i)$ and $z_k = e_{x_k}$ for $x_k \in K$.

Proof. It follows from [23, Section 2.4] that

$$X_1 * \dots * X_m \cong \bigsqcup_{z_k \in K} (\text{Env}(X_1 * \dots * X_m)/H_k, z_k),$$

where $H_k = \text{Stab}_{\text{Env}(X_1 * \dots * X_m)}(z_k)$ and $z_k = e_{x_k}$ for $x_k \in K$. By [4, Lemma 4.1], we have $\text{Env}(X_1 * \dots * X_m) = \text{Env}(X_1) * \dots * \text{Env}(X_m)$. If $g \in \text{Env}(X_1) * \dots * \text{Env}(X_m)$ is a reduced word such that $z_k \cdot g = z_k$ for $x_k \in \mathcal{O}(X_i)$, then we get the relation $g^{-1}e_{x_k}g = e_{x_k}$ in

$\text{Env}(X_1) * \cdots * \text{Env}(X_m)$. This implies that g must be a word in the generators of $\text{Env}(X_i)$. Hence, for each $x_k \in \mathcal{O}(X_i)$, we have $H_k = \text{Stab}_{\text{Env}(X_i)}(e_{x_k})$, and the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let L be an oriented split link with $t \geq 2$ components. Then $H_b^2(Q(L), \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional, with its dimension equal to the cardinality of the continuum.*

Proof. Let K_1, \dots, K_t be the components of the link L . Then we have $Q(L) \cong Q(K_1) * \cdots * Q(K_t)$. Here, $Q(K_i) \cong (\text{Env}(Q(K_i))/H_i, m_i)$, where m_i is the meridian of the i -th component K_i and H_i is the i -th peripheral subgroup. By [4, Lemma 4.1], we have $\text{Env}(Q(L)) \cong \text{Env}(Q(K_1)) * \cdots * \text{Env}(Q(K_t))$. It follows from [17, Corollary 1.1] that the dimension of $\mathbf{H}_b^2(\text{Env}(Q(L)), \mathbb{R})$ is equal to the cardinality of the continuum. For each i , by Lemma 5.4, we have $H_i = \text{Stab}_{\text{Env}(Q(K_i))}(m_i)$, which is abelian, and hence has trivial stable commutator length. Thus, it follows from Theorem 4.5 that the dimension of $H_b^2(Q(L), \mathbb{R})$ is equal to the cardinality of the continuum. \square

5.2. Second bounded cohomology of free products.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $m \geq 2$ and X_1, \dots, X_m be quandles such that atleast one of $\text{Inn}(X_i)$ is an amenable group. Then $H_b^2(X_1 * \cdots * X_m, \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional.*

Proof. For each i , we write $X_i \cong \bigsqcup_{x_j \in \mathcal{O}(X_i)} (\text{Env}(X_i)/H_j, e_{x_j})$, where H_j is the stabiliser of x_j in $\text{Env}(X_i)$. By Lemma 5.4, we can write

$$X_1 * \cdots * X_m \cong \bigsqcup_{z_k \in K} (\text{Env}(X_1) * \cdots * \text{Env}(X_m)/H_k, z_k),$$

where $K = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(X_i)$, $z_k = e_{x_k}$ for $x_k \in K$. Since $\text{Env}(X_i)$'s are always infinite, it follows from [17, Corollary 1.1] that $\mathbf{H}_b^2(\text{Env}(X_1) * \cdots * \text{Env}(X_m), \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\text{Inn}(X_1)$ is amenable. Note that there is a central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\pi) \longrightarrow \text{Env}(X_1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Inn}(X_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where π is given by $\pi(e_x) = S_x$ for each $x \in X_1$. Restricting the central extension to H_k for some $x_k \in \mathcal{O}(X_1)$, we obtain an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\pi) \cap H_k \longrightarrow H_k \xrightarrow{\pi|_{H_k}} \pi(H_k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By [16, Proposition 3.4], subgroups and extensions of amenable groups are amenable. Hence, H_k is amenable, and therefore the stable commutator length vanish on H_k . The result now follows from Theorem 4.5. \square

As an application of Theorem 5.6, we recover the following main result of Aoki [2, Theorem 1].

Corollary 5.7. *The second bounded cohomology of a free quandle of rank more than one is infinite dimensional.*

For a fixed $k \geq 2$, a quandle X is called a k -quandle if each $S_x : X \rightarrow X$ has order dividing k . In other words, if X is a k -quandle, then

$$x *^k y := \underbrace{(((x * y) * y) * \cdots)}_{k \text{ times}} * y = x$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If X is a k -quandle, then we define its k -enveloping group by

$$\text{Env}_k(X) = \langle e_x, x \in X \mid e_x^n = 1, e_{x*y} = e_y^{-1} e_x e_y \text{ for all } x, y \in X \rangle.$$

There is a surjective group homomorphism $\text{Env}_k(X) \rightarrow \text{Inn}(X)$ given by $e_x \mapsto S_x$.

Proposition 5.8. *The second bounded cohomology of a free k -quandle of rank n is infinite dimensional whenever $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ or $n \geq 3$ and $k = 2$.*

Proof. Let $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set with $n \geq 2$. By [23, Corollary 2, Section 2.11], the free k -quandle $FQ_k(S)$ on S is the set of conjugates of elements of S in the group $F_k(S) = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_k * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_k}_{n \text{ times}}$. Thus, we can write $FQ_k(S) \cong \sqcup_{i=1}^n (F_k(S) / C_{F_k(S)}(a_i), a_i)$. It follows

from [17, Corollary 1.1] that the second bounded group cohomology of $F_k(S)$ is infinite dimensional for each $k \geq 3$. Further, for each i , we have $C_{F_k(S)}(a_i) \cong C_{\mathbb{Z}_k}(a_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$. Hence, Theorem 4.5 implies that the $H_b^2(FQ_k(S), \mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional. \square

Remark 5.9. Let S be a set of two elements and $FQ_2(S)$ be the free 2-quandle on S . We see that $\text{Env}_2(FQ_2(S)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$, the infinite dihedral group. By [25, Example 2.8], $\text{Env}_2(FQ_2(S))$ is bounded. Further, by [25, Lemma 2.9(a)], $\text{Inn}(FQ_2(S))$ is also bounded being a quotient of $\text{Env}_2(FQ_2(S))$. Since $\text{Env}_2(FQ_2(S))$ is amenable, $\text{Inn}(FQ_2(S))$ is also amenable. Finally, it follow from [24, Theorem 1.3] that the second bounded cohomology of $FQ_2(S)$ is finite dimensional, in fact, four dimensional.

Remark 5.10. The quandles considered in propositions 5.1, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.8 are all unbounded, and have infinite dimensional second bounded cohomology. Thus, it is plausible to ask whether there exists an unbounded quandle such that its second bounded cohomology is non-trivial but finite dimensional. Note that the free 2-quandle $FQ_2(S)$ on a set S with two elements is unbounded. In fact, for each even integer $n \geq 2$, we have $d(a, w_n) = n$ where $w_n = \underbrace{(((a * b) * a) * \cdots)}_{n \text{ times}} * a$. Hence, $FQ_2(S)$ is the desired quandle.

Acknowledgement. DS thanks IISER Mohali for the PhD research fellowship. MS is supported by the Swarna Jayanti Fellowship grants DST/SJF/MSA-02/2018-19 and SB/SJF/2019-20/04.

Declaration. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest and that there is no data associated with this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch and M. Graña, *From racks to pointed Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. 178 (2003), no.2, 177–243.
- [2] M. Aoki, *Quasimorphisms on free racks and free quandles*, (2024), arXiv:2404.14752.
- [3] M. Aschenbrenner, S. Friedl, and H. Wilton, *3-manifold groups*, EMS Ser. Lect. Math. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2015. xiv+215 pp.
- [4] V. G. Bardakov, M. Singh, and M. Singh, *Link quandles are residually finite*, Monatsh. Math. 191 (2020), no. 4, 679–690.
- [5] C. Bavard, *Longueur stable des commutateurs*.(French) [Stable length of commutators], Enseign. Math. (2) 37 (1991), no. 1-2, 109–150.
- [6] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Corrected reprint of the 1982 original Grad. Texts in Math., 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. x+306 pp.
- [7] M. Burger and N. Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology and applications to rigidity theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 12 (2002), no. 2, 219–280.
- [8] D. Calegari, *Quasimorphisms and laws*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 10 (2010), no. 1, 215–217.
- [9] D. Calegari, *scl*, MSJ Mem., 20 Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2009. xii+209 pp.
- [10] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada, L. Langford, and M. Masahico, *Quandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no. 10, 3947–3989.
- [11] J. Cossey, I. M. S. Dey, and S. Meskin, *Subgroups of knot groups*, Math. Z. 121 (1971), 99–103.
- [12] V. G. Drinfeld, *On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory*, in Quantum Groups (Leningrad, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math. 1510, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, 1–8.
- [13] M. Eisermann, *Homological characterization of the unknot*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 177 (2003), no. 2, 131–157.
- [14] B. Evans and L. Moser, *Solvable fundamental groups of compact 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 168 (1972), 189–210.
- [15] R. Fenn, C. Rourke, and B. Sanderson, *Trunks and classifying spaces*, Appl. Categ. Structures 3 (1995), no. 4, 321–356.
- [16] R. Frigerio, *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*, Math. Surveys Monogr., 227 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. xvi+193 pp.
- [17] K. Fujiwara, *The second bounded cohomology of an amalgamated free product of groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), no. 3, 1113–1129.
- [18] K. Fujiwara, *The second bounded cohomology of a group acting on a Gromov-hyperbolic space*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)76 (1998), no.1, 70–94.
- [19] K. Fujiwara and K. Ohshika, *The second bounded cohomology of 3-manifolds*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 38 (2002), no. 2, 347–354.
- [20] R. I. Grigorchuk, *Some results on bounded cohomology*, Combinatorial and geometric group theory (Edinburgh, 1993), 111–163. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 204 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [21] M. Gromov, *Volume and bounded cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 56 (1982), 5–99.
- [22] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 127. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1972. iii+96 pp.
- [23] D. Joyce, *An algebraic approach to symmetry with applications to knot theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1979.
- [24] J. Kędra, *On the geometry and bounded cohomology of racks and quandles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 33 (2024), no.5, Paper No. 2450020, 21 pp.
- [25] J. Kędra, A. Libman, and B. Martin, *Strong and uniform boundedness of groups*, J. Topol. Anal. 15 (2023), no. 3, 707–739.

- [26] V. Lebed and L. Vendramin, *Homology of left non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation*, Adv. Math. 304 (2017), 1219–1261.
- [27] S. Matsumoto and S. Morita, *Bounded cohomology of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1985), no. 3, 539–544.
- [28] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, in Russian: Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 119 (1) (1982) 78–88, translated in Math. USSR Sb. 47 (1) (1984), 73–83.
- [29] L. P. Neuwirth, *Knot groups*, Ann. of Math. Stud., No. 56 Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1965. vi+113 pp.
- [30] M. Szymik, *Alexander-Beck modules detect the unknot*, Fund. Math. 246 (2019), no. 1, 89–108.
- [31] M. Szymik, *Quandle cohomology is a Quillen cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371 (2019), no. 8, 5823–5839.
- [32] S. K. Winker, *Quandles, knots invariants and the n -fold branched cover*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, SECTOR 81, S. A. S. NAGAR, P. O. MANAULI, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA.

Email address: saraf.deepanshi@gmail.com

Email address: mahender@iisermohali.ac.in