FINITE TIME BLOW-UP FOR A NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION WITH SMOOTH COEFFICIENTS

OSCAR JARRÍN AND GASTÓN VERGARA-HERMOSILLA

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider an n-dimensional parabolic partial differential equation with a smooth coefficient term in the nonlinear gradient term. This equation was first introduced and analyzed in [E. Issoglio, On a non-linear transport-diffusion equation with distributional coefficients, Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 267, Issue 10 (2019)], where one of the main open questions is the possible finite-time blow-up of solutions. Here, leveraging a virial-type estimate, we provide a positive answer to this question within the framework of smooth solutions.

1. Introduction and main result

This article investigates the finite-time blow-up of smooth solutions to the following nonlinear parabolic equation:

(1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where, given a time T>0 and $n\geq 1,\ u:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ denotes the unknown solution, $\mathfrak{b}:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ is a prescribed coefficient term, and $u_0:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ stands for the initial datum.

The primary motivation for studying this class of models stems from different physical and probabilistic contexts. In the latter, specific choices of singular coefficients $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ are particularly relevant when modeling the realization of random noise. We refer to the interested reader to [9, 10, 11] for further details.

In the deterministic case, the equation (1) was first introduced and studied in [12]. In order to describe some of the main results in such paper, it is convenient to recall that, for any parameter $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, the Hölder-Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be characterized through the general framework of Besov spaces yielding the equivalence $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx B_{\infty,\infty}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see for instance [17, Chapter 2]). Within this framework, the main contribution of [12] is to establish the existence and uniqueness of local-in-time solutions $u \in \mathcal{C}_t \mathcal{C}_x^{\alpha+1}$, which arise from initial data in Hölder-Zygmund spaces of positive order $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for appropriate values of $\alpha > 0$, and coefficient terms in Hölder-Zygmund spaces of negative order $\mathcal{C}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for suitably chosen $\beta < 0$. In particular, as mentioned above, this singular coefficient terms are motivated by a probabilistic interpretation.

Date: February 7, 2025.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35B44; Secondary: 35A24, 35B30.

Key words and phrases. Parabolic equations; Nonlinear gradient terms; Local well-posedness; Blow-up of solutions; Virial-type estimates.

More precisely, such solutions are constructed by using the following (formally equivalent) mild formulation:

 $u(t,\cdot) = h_t * u_0 + \int_0^t h_{t-\tau} * (|\nabla u(\tau,\cdot)|^2 \mathfrak{b}(\tau,\cdot)) d\tau,$

where h_t denotes the well-known heat kernel. In this formulation, we highlight that the nonlinear expression $|\nabla u(t,x)|^2$, together with the coefficient term $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$, make the nonlinear term delicate to handle. To overcome this issue, some suitably constraints on the parameters $\beta < 0 < \alpha$ are required. Specifically, the technical relationship $-1/2 < \beta < 0 < \alpha < 1$, together with the assumptions that $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}_x^{\alpha+1}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}_t\mathcal{C}_x^{\beta}$, allowed the author of [12] to prove a suitably control on the nonlinear term above. Consequently, using a contraction principle, the solution $u \in \mathcal{C}_t\mathcal{C}_x^{\alpha+1}$ to the problem above is rigorously obtained for a time $T_0 > 0$, which is sufficiently small respect with the quantity $\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}$ and the parameters α, β . For more details, we refer to [12, Theorem 3.7].

Due to the restrictions on the existence time T_0 , which are essentially imposed by the nonlinear term $|\nabla u|^2$, one of the main *open questions* in [12] concerns the global-in-time or blow-up in finite time of solutions to the equation (1). In this context, in [12, Proposition 3.13], it is proven a first blow-up criterion, essentially stating that if blow-up occurs then it must holds in a finite time $t_* > 0$.

Thus, with the aim of studying the possible blow-up of solutions, in [3] the authors analyzed the following toy model for equation (1):

(2)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^2 * \mathfrak{b}, & 0 < \alpha \le 2, \\ \mathfrak{u}(0, x) = \mathfrak{u}_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Here, the classical Laplacian operator is substituted by its fractional power, which is defined at the Fourier level by the symbol $|\xi|^{\alpha}$. However, the main featured of this model is given by the modified nonlinear term, where the expression $|\nabla u|^2$ becomes the nonlocal expression with the same derivative order $|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u|^2$; and the product with the coefficient term $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ becomes a product of convolution.

This somehow strong modification on the nonlinear term, allow the authors of [3] to apply a Fourier-based method to prove the blow-up in finite time of solutions. First, for any initial datum $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in [3, Theorem 1] the authors construct local-in-time mild solutions $u \in L^{\infty}([0,T_0],H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to the equation (2). These solutions are obtained from an interesting relationship between the fractional power α and the regularity in spatial variable assumed on the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$. More precisely, the weak smoothing effects of the fractional Laplacian operator in the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ are compensated by stronger regular coefficients $\mathfrak{b} \in L_t^{\infty} H_x^{\gamma}$ with $0 \le 1 - \alpha \le \gamma < 1$. In contrast, stronger smoothing effects in the case when $1 < \alpha \le 2$ allow to consider singular coefficients $\mathfrak{b} \in L_t^{\infty} H_x^{-\gamma}$, with $0 \le \gamma < \alpha - 1$.

Having constructed mild solutions $u \in L_t^{\infty} H_x^1$, the main result of [3] states that, in the Fourier variable, one can define well-prepared initial data $\widehat{u_0}(\xi)$ and coefficient terms $\widehat{\mathfrak{b}}(t,\xi)$ to obtain the blow-up of the associated solution $u(t,\cdot)$ at a finite time $t_*>0$, which explicitly depends on the fractional power α by the expression $t_*=\frac{\ln(2)}{2\alpha}$.

This approach is mainly inspired by the method of Montgomery-Smith [16], which was firstly considered to study the blow-up of the well-known cheap Navier-Stokes equations. See also [15, Section 11.2] and [8] for more details. In this method, since the operator $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has the positive

symbol $|\xi|$ in the Fourier level, along with the well-known fact that the convolution product with $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}(t,x)$ becomes a pointwise product with $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}(t,\xi)$, the authors of [3] are able to derive suitably lower bounds for the mild formulation of the solution in the Fourier variable:

$$\widehat{u}(t,\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^{\alpha}t} \, \widehat{u}_0(\xi) + \int_0^t e^{-|\xi|^{\alpha}(t-\tau)} \Big(|\xi| \, \widehat{u} * |\xi| \, \widehat{u} \Big) \, \widehat{\mathfrak{b}} \Big) (\tau,\xi) \, d\tau.$$

These lower bounds, involving well-chosen weight functions defined in the separate variables $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, yield the finite blow-up of the expression $||u(t,\cdot)||_{H^1}$, when $t \to t_*$.

However, the method of Montgomery-Smith seems to break down for the original equation (1). Essentially, in contrast to the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, now each component of the gradient operator ∇ in the nonlinear term has the imaginary symbol $\mathbf{i}\xi_j$. Consequently, when deriving lower bound on the expression $\widehat{u}(t,\xi)$, one loses the sign information in the nonlinear term, which is one of the key elements in this method. Consequently, the previous results [3, 12] left open the possible blow-up of solution to the equation (1).

In this context, this article gives a positive answer to this problematic of equation (1). Our main contribution is to show that, in this equation, one can define suitable chosen initial data $u_0(x)$ and coefficient terms $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ which, together with the nonlinear effects of the term $|\nabla u|^2$, produces a blow-up in finite time in the solution.

To achieve such result, we apply a different approach mainly inspired by [5], in the setting of a nonlocal transport equation, and [6], in the setting of the fractional Burgers equation. As we will elaborate in more detail in the following section, this method fundamentally relies on the differential formulation of equation (1), where, for a well-chosen weight function, we are able to derive a *virial-type estimate* on the solution yielding its blow-up in finite time.

The main result. To implement the method mentioned above, we will work with smooth solutions of equation (1). Specifically, we will require certain local boundedness and continuity properties of their derivatives with respect to the spatial variable. For the completeness of this article, we will start by constructing these solutions, which arise from initial data and coefficient terms in Sobolev spaces.

Proposition 1.1. For s > n/2 + 3, let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an initial datum. Additionally, assume that the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ satisfies

(3)
$$\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty[, H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))).$$

Then, there exists a time $T_0 > 0$, which depends on u_0 and \mathfrak{b} , and there exists a function

$$u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T_0],H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

which is the unique solution to the equation (1).

By the assumption s > n/2 + 3, the Sobolev embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds. Then, it follows that $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}([0,+\infty[,\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T_0],\mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Particularly, the solution u(t,x) verifies the the equation (1) in the classical sense. It is worth mentioning that these solutions could also be obtained using the more general framework of Besov spaces. Additionally, we do not study the optimality of the relationship s > n/2 + 3 for obtaining smooth solutions. As explained, our main objective is to focus directly on the blow-up issue.

Now, with this information at hand, we briefly describe the strategy to prove the finite blow-up of smooth solutions u(t,x) to equation (1). In this equation, the quadratic term $|\nabla u|^2$ suggests to introduce the vector field $\mathbf{v}(t,x) := \nabla u(t,x)$, which is a solution of the following nonlinear system:

(4)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v} = \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla (|\mathbf{v}|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}), \\ \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \nabla u_0. \end{cases}$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{C}_t H_x^s$ with s > n/2 + 3, it follows that $v \in \mathcal{C}_t H_x^{s-1} \subset \mathcal{C}_t \mathcal{C}_x^2$. Consequently, the vector field v(t,x) solves this system in the classical sense.

Using the information $v \in C_t C_x^2$, the key idea in our method is to first show that the solution v(t,x) blows-up in a finite time $t_* > 0$, which in turn yields the blow-up of the quantity $||u(t,\cdot)||_{H^s}$ as $t \to t_*$.

The blow-up phenomenon of the solution $\mathbf{v}(t,x)$ arises from the nonlinear effects of the term $\nabla(|\mathbf{v}|^2 \mathfrak{b})$, along with certain a priori conditions on the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$. Specifically, we assume that there exists a time $0 < T^* < +\infty$, which can be fixed sufficiently large, and there exists a quantity $\mathfrak{b}_* = \mathfrak{b}_*(n,T^*) > 0$, which depends on the dimension n and the time T^* , such that

(5)
$$\inf_{0 \le t \le T^*} \left(\inf_{x \in [-1,1]^n} \mathfrak{b}(t,x) \right) \ge \mathfrak{b}_* > 0.$$

Here, we assume that over the interval of time $[0, T^*]$ the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t, x)$ remains a strictly positive function over the prescribed domain $[-1, 1]^n$. This set is chosen specifically to illustrate the idea behind our main estimates, also known as virial-type estimates. Nevertheless, this assumption can be generalized to any bounded convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We refer to Remark 1 below for further details.

More precisely, in expressions (10)–(11) below, we define a suitable vector field $\mathbf{w}(x)$ localized over the domain $[-1,1]^n$. Then, given the solution $\mathbf{v}(t,x)$ of the system (4), the virial-type estimates that we shall derive allow us to study the time evolution of the functional

$$I(t) := \int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) dx.$$

The assumption below on the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ yields that this functional satisfies an ordinary differential inequality with a quadratic nonlinearity. From this, the expression I(t) blows up in finite time as long as the initial data $u_0(x)$ satisfies the condition:

(6)
$$\left(\int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla u_0(x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx\right)^2 \ge \mathfrak{C} \|u_0\|_{H^s},$$

for some constant $\mathfrak{C} > 0$ depending on n, κ and \mathfrak{b}_* , where κ is a parameter introduced in expression (11) below. In this context, our main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Under the same hypothesis of Proposition 1.1, assume that the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ satisfies the condition (5) and the initial datum $u_0(x)$ satisfies the condition (6).

Then, the quantity $||u(t,\cdot)||_{H^s}$ blows-up at the finite time $t_* > 0$, which is explicitly provided in the expression (20) below.

The following comments are in order. First, as previously mentioned, we show that smooth solutions of equation (1) can develop a blow-up phenomenon in the case of a family of well-chosen coefficient terms satisfying assumption (5) and a family of well-chosen initial data satisfying (6). In particular, this type of assumption on initial data appears in previous blow-up results [1, 6, 14] for different one-dimensional models. In this setting, our result can also be seen as a generalization of this method to the n-dimensional case. On the other hand, it is interesting to point out that a functional similar to I(t) was previously considered in the study of the Dyadic model of the Navier-Stokes equations in [4].

With minor technical modifications, our main result also holds in the (simpler) case of equation (1) without coefficient terms:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + |\nabla u|^2.$$

Indeed, the existence of H^s -solutions follows the same reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 1.1, where the additional assumption (3) is no longer required. Additionally, the blow-up result can be obtained as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 by setting $\mathfrak{b}(t,x) \equiv 1$ and using the same well-chosen weight $\mathbf{w}(x)$. This fact shows that, the blow-up phenomenon of solutions essentially arises from the nonlinear effects of the gradient term $|\nabla u|^2$.

In this context, we also refer to the interesting previous work [7], where, under well-chosen initial data and specific relationships between the parameters $1 < p, q < +\infty$, the blow-up of solutions was studied for the following nonlinear gradient model:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u - |\nabla u|^q + \lambda u^p, \quad u(0,\cdot) = u_0, \qquad \lambda > 0.$$

However, the important nonlinear effects of the term λu^p and the negative sign in front of the nonlinear gradient term prevent this result from holding for equation (1).

On the other hand, we think that our result could also hold in the more general case of equation (1), as originally introduced in [12]:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + F(\nabla u) \mathfrak{b},$$

where $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear function of *quadratic type*. Specifically, the function $F(\cdot)$ is assumed to be a \mathcal{C}^1 -function whose partial derivatives are Lipschitz-continuous with the same Lipschitz constant. In this context, our main result could apply to this equation by assuming the following additional condition on $F(\cdot)$:

$$F(x) \ge c|x|^2$$
, for any $x \in [-1, 1]^n$,

where c > 0 is a constant. Nevertheless, as pointed out in [12], the key example of this nonlinear expression is $F(x) = |x|^2$, which makes equation (1) a reasonable model for studying the blow-up phenomenon.

Organization of the rest of the article. In Section 2, we recall some well-known tools that we will use in our proofs. Section 3 is devoted to providing a proof of Proposition 1.1. Finally, in Section 4, we prove our main result stated in Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

In order to keep this paper reasonably self-contained, in this section we collect some results for the proof of our main results. Thus, we begin by presenting well-known facts about Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 2.1 (H^s estimate). Let $s_1, s_2 \ge 0$. Then, there is a constant C > 0, which depends on the dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and the parameter s_2 , such that

$$||h_t * \varphi||_{H^{s_1+s_2}} \le C (1+t^{-s_2}) ||\varphi||_{H^{s_1}}.$$

A proof of this result can be consulted in [13].

Proposition 2.2 (Banach algebra). Let $f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for s > n/2. Then, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach algebra. In particular, there exists C > 0, which depends on the dimension n and the parameter s, such that

$$||fg||_{H^s} \le C||f||_{H^s}||g||_{H^s}.$$

A proof of this result can be consulted in the appendix of book [2].

Finally, we recall a classical abstract result which will be considered to construct an unique (local in time) solution of (1).

Theorem 2.1 (Banach-Picard principle). Consider a Banach space $(\mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}})$ and a bounded bilinear application $B: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$:

$$||B(e,e)||_{\mathcal{E}} \le C_B ||e||_{\mathcal{E}}^2.$$

Given $e_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $||e_0||_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{4C_B}$, then the equation

$$e = e_0 - B(e, e),$$

admits a unique solution $e \in \mathcal{E}$ which satisfies $||e||_{\mathcal{E}} \leq 2\gamma$.

3. Local wellposedness result: Proof of Proposition 1.1

In order to prove Proposition 1.1, in this section we will use the Banach-Picard principle for a time $0 < T < +\infty$ small enough. To this end we consider the Banach space

$$\mathcal{E}_T = \mathcal{C}([0,T], H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

which is endowed with its natural norm. Thus, with this framework at hand, we begin by considering the following classical estimate for the initial data.

Lemma 3.1. Let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with s > n/2 + 3. Then, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\|h_t * u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \le C_1 \|u_0\|_{H^s}.$

The proof of this result is straightforward and is thus left to the interested reader.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with s > n/2+3. Then, there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{B}}(T) > 0$, which depends on the time T, such that

(8)
$$\left\| \int_0^t h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot) ds \right\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \le C_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^2.$$

Proof. To start, we consider the H^s -norm to the term $\int_0^t h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot) ds$ to get

$$\left\| \int_0^t h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot) ds \right\|_{H^s} \le \int_0^t \|h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot)\|_{H^s} ds.$$

Then, by using Proposition 2.1 with $s_1 = s - 1$ and $s_2 = 1$, we can write

$$\int_0^t \|g_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot)\|_{H^s} ds \le \int_0^t \left(C + \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \||\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} ds.$$

Now, since $H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach algebra for $\sigma > n/2$ (see Proposition 2.2 with $\sigma = s-1$), we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot) ds \right\|_{H^s} \le \int_0^t \left(C + \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|\nabla u(s,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \|\mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} ds.$$

Thus, by taking the L^{∞} -norm on the time interval [0,T], we get

$$\left\| \int_0^t h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \le \left(\int_0^T C + \frac{C}{(T-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \right) \|\mathfrak{b}\|_{L_t^{\infty} H_x^{s-1}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^2,$$

and then, by considering our assumption on \mathfrak{b} , we conclude the estimate

$$\left\| \int_0^t h_{t-s} * |\nabla u|^2 \mathfrak{b}(s,\cdot) ds \right\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \le C(T + 2\sqrt{T}) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^2.$$

Therefore, by considering $C_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = C(T + 2\sqrt{T})$ we deduce (8) and we conclude the proof of this lemma.

End of the proof. Gathering together the hypothesis assumed in the statement of the proposition and the estimates obtained in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we conclude the existence of a time $0 < T < +\infty$ such that

(9)
$$||u_0||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \frac{C}{T + 2\sqrt{T}}.$$

Thus, by applying the Banach-Picard principle stated in Theorem 2.1 we obtain a unique (local in time) solution of (1). This concludes the proof of Proposition 1.1.

4. Blow-up result: Proof of Theorem 1.1

For s > n/2 + 3, let $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_0], H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be the smooth solution to the equation (1), which is obtained in Proposition 1.1, from any initial datum $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we argue by contradiction and we assume the following key hypothesis

(H): the solution u(t,x) can be extended to the entire interval of time $[0,+\infty[$.

Then, we define vector field $\mathbf{v}(t,x) := \nabla u(t,x)$, which verifies the system (4). Additionally, from the hypothesis (H), the vector field $\mathbf{v}(t,x)$ exists globally-in-time.

On the other hand, for any $x=(x_1,\dots,x_i,\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$, we define the following vector field of weights:

(10)
$$w(x) = (w_1(x_1), \dots, w_i(x_i), \dots, w_n(x_n)),$$

where, for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, the component $w_i(x_i)$ is defined by the expression:

(11)
$$w_i(x_i) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign}(x_i)(|x_i|^{-\kappa} - 1), & -1 < x_i < 1, \ x_i \neq 0, \quad 0 < \kappa < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From this expression we directly deduce that each component is a odd function verifying $w_i(0) = 0$, and which support is the [-1, 1]. Therefore, the vector field w(x) verifies supp $(w) = [-1, 1]^n$.

Remark 1. When considering a general bounded convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we fix a vector $x_0 = (x_0^1, \dots x_0^n)$ in the interior of Ω such that $\prod_{j=1}^n [x_0^j - a, x_0^j + a] \subset \Omega$ for some sufficiently small a > 0.

Then, following the same ideas of expression (11), we define the weight:

$$w_i(x_i) := \begin{cases} sign(x_i - x_0^i)(|x_i - x_0^i|^{-\kappa} - 1), & x_0^i - a < x_i < x_0^i + a, \ x_i \neq x_0^i, & 0 < \kappa < 1, \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Now, in each term of the system (4), we take the \mathbb{R}^n -inner product with the vector field $\mathbf{w}(x)$, and we integrate with respect to the spatial variable over \mathbb{R}^n . Additionally, from the support of $\mathbf{w}(x)$, we obtain the following identity:

(12)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx = \int_{[-1,1]^n} \Delta \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx + \int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla(|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx.$$

In the following technical propositions, we derive a lower bound for each term on the right-hand side of (12).

To state the first proposition below, recall that the system (4) is directly related to the equation (1) through the relationship $v(t,x) := \nabla u(t,x)$. Thus, we will shift from one to the other as needed, without distinction.

Proposition 4.1. For s > n/2 + 3, let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the initial datum in equation (1). Assume the hypothesis (H). Consequently, for a given time T > 0, the solution v(t, x) of the system equation (4) is defined over the interval [0, T]. Additionally, let $0 < \kappa < 1$ be the parameter introduced in expression (11).

Then, there exists a constant $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(n, \kappa, T) > 0$, depending on the dimension n, the parameter κ and the time T, such that for any time 0 < t < T the following lower bound holds:

(13)
$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} \Delta v(t,x) \cdot w(x) \, dx \ge -\mathbf{C} \|u_0\|_{H^s}.$$

Proof. Returning to expressions (10) and (11), since $0 < \kappa < 1$, we can directly deduce that $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Additionally, we can find a constant $C_{n,\kappa} > 0$ such that $\|w\|_{L^1} \leq C_{n,\kappa} < +\infty$.

Then, applying Hölder inequalities and Sobolev embeddings (with a parameter $n/2 < \sigma < s-3$), and recalling that $v(t,x) := \nabla u(t,x)$, we write

(14)
$$\left| \int_{[-1,1]^n} \Delta \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \right| \leq \|\Delta \mathbf{v}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^1} \leq C_{n,\kappa} \|\Delta \mathbf{v}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ \leq C_{n,\kappa} C \|\Delta \mathbf{v}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{\sigma}} \leq C_{n,\kappa} C \|\mathbf{v}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{\sigma+2}} \leq C_{n,\kappa} C \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{\sigma+3}} \\ \leq C_{n,\kappa} C \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s}.$$

Here, we still need to estimate the term $\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s}$. From the hypothesis (H), note that the solution u(t,x) to equation (1) is extended to the interval $[0,+\infty[$ by the following standard iterative argument. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and suitably chosen times $0 < T_k < T_{k+1}$, particularly $T_{k+1} - T_k$ must be sufficiently small, we consider the initial datum $u(T_k,\cdot)$, and applying again Picard's fixed point scheme in the space $L_t^\infty H_x^s$ we extend the solution to the interval of time $[T_k, T_{k+1}]$. Additionally, we know that there exists a constant $c_k > 0$ such that $\sup_{T_k \le t \le T_{k+1}} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s} \le c_k \|u(T_k,\cdot)\|_{H^s}$.

Iterating these estimates, one can find a constant C_k big enough, where $C_k > \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa} c_j$, such that the following estimate holds:

$$\sup_{T_k \le t \le T_{k+1}} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s} \le c_k \|u(T_k,\cdot)\|_{H^s} \le C_k \|u_0\|_{H^s}.$$

Then, for the given time T > 0, there exists $k = k(T) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^s} \le \sum_{j=0}^{k(T)} \sup_{T_j \le t \le T_{j+1}} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^s} \le \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k(T)} C_j\right) \|u_0\|_{H^s} =: C(T) \|u_0\|_{H^s}.$$

With this control at hand, by returning to estimate (14) we obtain

$$\left| \int_{[-1,1]^n} \Delta \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \right| \le C_{n,\kappa} \, C \, C(T) \, \|u_0\|_{H^s} := \mathbf{C}(n,\kappa,T) \|u_0\|_{H^s},$$

from which the desired estimate (13) directly follows. With this we conclude the proof.

Proposition 4.2. Assume that the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ satisfies the condition stated in (5) with the fixed time T^* . Then, within the framework of Proposition 4.1, setting the time $T \leq T^*$, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$ the following lower estimate holds:

(15)
$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \ge \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2^{n+1}} \left(\int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \right)^2.$$

Proof. To begin we consider expression (10), to write

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{[-1,1]^n} \partial_i (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_i(x_i) \, dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-1}^1 \cdots \int_{-1}^1 \partial_i (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_i(x_i) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_i \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-1}^1 \cdots \left(\int_{-1}^1 \partial_i (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_i(x_i) dx_i \right) dx_1, \cdots dx_{i-1} \, dx_{i+1} \cdots dx_n.$$

Then, for a fixed $1 \leq i \leq n$, we will study the term $\int_{-1}^{1} \partial_i (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_i(x_i) dx_i$. Note that, by splitting the integral into the intervals [-1,0] and [0,1], recalling that by (11) the weight $w_i(x_i)$ verifies $w_i(-1) = w_i(0) = w_i(1) = 0$, and performing integration by parts, we get

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \partial_{i} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i}$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{0} \partial_{i} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i} + \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{i} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i}$$

$$= -\int_{-1}^{0} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w'_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i} - \int_{0}^{1} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w'_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i}.$$

On the other hand, also from (11) it follows that

$$w_i'(x_i) = \begin{cases} -\kappa(-x_i)^{-\kappa - 1}, & -1 < x_i < 0, \\ -\kappa x_i^{-\kappa - 1}, & 0 < x_i < 1, \end{cases}$$

hence, we can write

(17)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \partial_{i} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i}$$

$$= \kappa \int_{-1}^{0} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) (-x_{i})^{-\kappa-1} dx_{i} + \kappa \int_{0}^{1} (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^{2} \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) x_{i}^{-\kappa-1} dx_{i}.$$

Additionally, always by (11), the following pointwise estimates holds

$$\begin{cases} (-x_i)^{-\kappa - 1} \ge \frac{1}{2} w_i^2(x_i), & -1 < x_i < 0, \\ x_i^{-\kappa - 1} \ge \frac{1}{2} w_i^2(x_i), & 0 < x_i < 1. \end{cases}$$

In fact, concerning the first estimate above, for any $-1 < x_i < 0$ we write $w^2(x_i) = ((-x_i)^{-\kappa} - 1)^2 \le (-x_i)^{-2\kappa} + 1$. Since $0 < \kappa < 1$ and $0 < -x_i < 1$, it follows that $(-x_i)^{-2\kappa} \le (-x_i)^{-\kappa-1}$ and $1 \le (-x_i)^{-\kappa-1}$. Thus, we obtain $w_i^2(x_i) \le 2(-x_i)^{-\kappa-1}$. The second estimate follows from analogous computations.

Now, considering these pointwise estimates and the condition on the coefficient $\mathfrak{b}(t,x)$ stated in (5), we return to the identity (17) to write

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \partial_i (|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x)) w_i(x_i) dx_i \ge \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} |\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, w_i^2(x_i) dx_i.$$

Thus, with this estimate at hand, we come back to the identity (16) to obtain

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla \left(|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x) \right) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-1}^1 \cdots \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, w_i^2(x_i) dx_i \right) dx_1, \cdots dx_{i-1} \, dx_{i+1} \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-1}^1 \cdots \int_{-1}^1 |\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, w_i^2(x_i) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_i \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \cdots \int_{-1}^1 |\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2(x_i) \right) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_i \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2} \int_{[-1,1]^n} |\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 |\mathbf{w}(x)|^2 \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2} \int_{[-1,1]^n} |\mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

Finally, since the Lebesgue measure of the set $[-1,1]^n$ is directly computed as $|[-1,1]^n| = 2^n$, by the Jensen inequality it follows that

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla \left(|\mathbf{v}(t,x)|^2 \, \mathfrak{b}(t,x) \right) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_* \, 2^n}{2} \int_{[-1,1]^n} |\mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x)|^2 \, \frac{dx}{2^n}$$

$$\geq \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_* \, 2^n}{2} \left(\int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, \frac{dx}{2^n} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2^{n+1}} \left(\int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \right)^2.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.2.

End of the proof. With the information obtained in estimates (13) and (15), we return to the identity (12) to obtain

(18)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \ge -\mathbf{C} \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \frac{\kappa \, \mathfrak{b}_*}{2^{n+1}} \left(\int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \right)^2.$$

With this inequality, following similar ideas of [14], we conclude the blow-up in finite of the solution u(t, x) as follows. First, we define the functional

$$I(t) := \int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(t,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx,$$

and the constants

$$c_1 := \frac{\kappa \mathfrak{b}_*}{2^{n+1}} > 0, \qquad c_2 := \mathbf{C} \|u_0\|_{H^s} > 0.$$

Thus, with this notation at hand we recast (18) as the following ordinary differential inequality:

$$I'(t) \ge c_1 I^2(t) - c_2$$

with initial datum given by

(19)
$$I(0) = \int_{[-1,1]^n} \mathbf{v}(0,x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx = \int_{[-1,1]^n} \nabla u_0(x) \cdot \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx.$$

To continue, we denote by J(t) the solution of the initial value problem below

$$\begin{cases} J'(t) = c_1 J^2(t) - c_2, \\ J(0) = I(0), \end{cases}$$

which, given the constant

$$c_3 := \frac{\sqrt{c_1}I(0) - \sqrt{c_2}}{\sqrt{c_1}I(0) + \sqrt{c_2}},$$

is explicitly computed as:

$$J(t) = \sqrt{\frac{c_2}{c_1}} \frac{1 + c_3 e^{2\sqrt{c_1 c_2} t}}{1 - c_3 e^{2\sqrt{c_1 c_2} t}}.$$

At this point, in the condition (6) on the initial datum u_0 , we define the constant

$$\mathfrak{C} := \frac{\mathbf{C}}{c_1} > 0.$$

Here, we must stress the fact that this condition implies that $\sqrt{c_1}I(0) - \sqrt{c_2} > 0$, and then $c_3 > 0$. On the other hand, from the (explicit) expression defining c_3 above, it holds $0 < c_3 < 1$. Thus, a direct computation shows that the function J(t) blows-up at the finite time

(20)
$$t_* := \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1 c_2}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{c_3} \right) > 0.$$

Additionally, by the standard comparison principle of ordinary differential equations, it follows that $I(t) \geq J(t)$, and the functional I(t) also blows-up at the time t_* .

Now, recalling the bound $\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^1([-1,1]^n)} \leq C_{n,\kappa} < +\infty$, and considering the Hölder inequality, we can write

$$I(t) \leq \|\mathbf{v}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}([-1,1]^n)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^1([-1,1]^n)}$$

$$\leq C_{n,\kappa} \|\mathbf{v}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, by the Sobolev embeddings (with a parameter $n/2 < \sigma < s - 1$), we obtain

$$I(t) \leq C_{n,\kappa} \|\mathbf{v}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{\sigma}}$$

$$\leq C_{n,\kappa} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{\sigma+1}}$$

$$\leq C_{n,\kappa} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{s}}.$$

Consequently, the quantity $||u(t,\cdot)||_{H^s}$ blows-up at the finite time t_* . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors warmly thank Professor Rafael Granero-Belinchón for suggesting this method to study blow-up phenomenon, and Professor Alexey Cheskidov for his helpful comments and advises.

Statements and Declaration. Data sharing does not apply to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study. In addition, the authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest, and all of them have equally contributed to this paper.

References

- [1] V. Arnaiz and A. Castro. Singularity formation for the fractional Euler-alignment system in 1D. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 374(1):487–514, (2021).
- [2] J. Bedrossian and V. Vicol. The mathematical analysis of the incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations: an introduction, (Vol. 225) American Mathematical Society (2022).
- [3] D. Chamorro and E. Issoglio. Blow-up regions for a class of fractional evolution equations with smoothed quadratic nonlinearities. Mathematische Nachrichten Volume 295, Issue 8 (2022).
- [4] A. Cheskidov. Blow-up in finite time for the dyadic model of the Navier-Stokes equations. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 360(10), 5101-5120 (2008).
- [5] A. Córdoba, D. Córdoba, and M.A. Fontelos. Formation of singularities for a transport equation with nonlocal velocity. Ann. of Math. (2) 162: 1377-1389, (2005).
- [6] H. Dong, D. Du and D. Li. Finite Time Singularities and Global Well-posedness for Fractal Burgers Equations. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 2009, Vol. 58, No. 2 pp. 807-821 (2009).
- [7] M. Fila. Remarks on Blow Up for a Nonlinear Parabolic Equation with a Gradient Term. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 111, Number 3, (1991).
- [8] I. Gallagher and M. Paicu. Remarks on the blow-up of solutions to a toy model for the Navier-Stokes equations. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 137:20752083, (2009).
- [9] M. Hinz, E. Issoglio, M. Zähle. Elementary Pathwise Methods for Nonlinear Parabolic and Transport Type Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Fractal Noise, Modern Stochastics and Applications. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, (2014) vol 90. Springer, Cham.
- [10] M. Hinz, M. Zähle. Gradient type noises II Systems of stochastic partial differential equations, J. Functional Analysis, Vol 256(10), pp 3192–3235 (2009).
- [11] E. Issoglio. Transport Equations with Fractal Noise Existence, Uniqueness and Regularity of the Solution, J. Analysis and its App. vol 32(1), pp 37–53 (2013).
- [12] E. Issoglio. On a non-linear transport-diffusion equation with distributional coefficients, Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 267, Issue 10, (2019), pp 5976–6003.
- [13] O. Jarrín and G. Loachamín. From anomalous to classical diffusion in a nonlinear heat equation. Journal of Elliptic and Parabolic Equations, 9(2), 1071-1099 (2023).
- [14] O. Jarrín and G. Vergara-Hermosilla. On the blow-up for a Kuramoto-Velarde type equation. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena Volume 470, Part A, 134407 (2024).
- [15] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset. The Navier-Stokes Problem in the 21st Century. Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2016).
- [16] S. Montgomery-Smith. Finite time blow up for a Navier-Stokes like equation. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 129:30173023, (2001).
- [17] H. Triebel. Theory of function spaces, Birkhauser, Basel (1983).

ESCUELA DE CIENCIAS FÍSICAS Y MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LAS AMÉRICAS, VÍA A NAYÓN, C.P.170124, QUITO, ECUADOR

 $\it Email\ address:$ (corresponding author) oscar.jarrin@udla.edu.ec

Institute for Theoretical Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China Email address: gaston.v-h@outlook.com