

Stationary multiple euclidon solutions to the vacuum Einstein equations

Aleksandr A. Shaideyman* and Kirill V. Golubnichiy^o

*Department of Theoretical Physics ,
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia,
6 Miklukho-Maklaya St., 117198, Moscow, Russia

^oDepartment of Mathematics
Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA
Emails: ashaideman@rambler.ru, kgolubni@ttu.edu

Abstract

In [1] the non-linear superposition of the stationary euclidon solution with an arbitrary axially symmetric stationary gravitational field on the basis of the method of variation of parameters was constructed. In the paper [1] stationary soliton solution of the Einstein equations was generalized to the case of a stationary seed metric. The formulae obtained in [1], [2] have a simple and compact form, permitting an effective non-linear "addition" of the solutions. These euclidon solutions serve as "building blocks" of the theory, which allows for the construction of almost all known solutions to the vacuum static axially-symmetric Einstein equations, including such important ones as the Kerr-NUT solution. The stationary euclidon solution has a clear physical interpretation as a relativistic accelerated non-inertial reference frame, which provides a different perspective on the physical interpretation of well-known solutions, such as the Kerr solution [3].

1 Introduction

The first exact solutions of the axially symmetric stationary vacuum equations were presented by Lewis [4] and Van Stockum [5]. Later Papapetrou [6] obtained a canonical form of the line element, and Ernst [7] formulated the stationary vacuum problem in the form of a single equation for a complex function. An outstanding result was obtained in 1963 by Kerr [8], whose solution possibly describes the exterior gravitational field of a rotating source. A family of asymptotically flat solutions of the stationary vacuum equations was found by Tomimatsu and Sato [9], [10]. Later, a survey of known solutions was given by Kinnersley [11]. Possible generalizations of the Tomimatsu-Sato metric were found by Yamazaki [12], [13]. Hori [14] and Cosgrove [15], [16], and asymptotically not-flat

solutions of the Ernst problem were obtained in [17], [19], [4]. The Ernst equation [7] makes it possible to extract the solutions by the method of separation of variables [20], [21]. In a series of papers [22], [23], [24], a non-canonical form of the stationary metrics was used to obtain new solutions of the Einstein equations. An approximate method for constructing solutions depending on two harmonic functions was proposed in [25], [26]. Ernst [27] dreamt of construction of such a kind of solutions, but exact ones.

2 Basic equations

As was shown by Papapetrou [6], the line element, describing a stationary axially symmetric gravitational field without loss of generality can be present in the following canonical form:

$$ds^2 = f^{-1}[e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2] - f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^2 \quad (2.1)$$

Here ρ, φ, z and t are canonical Weyl coordinates and time, respectively; $f(\rho, z)$, $\gamma(\rho, z)$ and $\omega(\rho, z)$ are unknown functions to be determined from the field equations.

The vacuum Einstein equations are given by

$$R_{ik} = 0,$$

where R_{ik} is the Ricci tensor.

Therefore we obtain all the Einstein equations in the case of a stationary axially symmetric gravitational field outside the sources:

$$f\Delta f = (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - f^4 \rho^{-2} (\vec{\nabla} \omega)^2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\vec{\nabla}(f^2 \rho^{-2} \vec{\nabla} \omega) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \rho} = \frac{1}{4} \rho f^{-2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)^2 - f^4 \rho^{-2} \left(\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right) \right], \quad (2.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \rho f^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - f^4 \rho^{-2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

The operators $\vec{\nabla}$ and Δ defined by the formulae

$$\vec{\nabla} \equiv \vec{\rho}_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \vec{z}_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\Delta \equiv \vec{\nabla}^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2},$$

($\vec{\rho}_0$ and \vec{z}_0 being unit vectors), i.e., they are similar to the ordinary Laplacian and gradient operators for flat space expressed in cylindrical coordinates provided that there is no angular coordinate dependence.

It should be noted that the integrability condition of Equations 2.4, 2.5 for determining the function $\gamma(\rho, z)$ is the system 2.2, 2.3 which does not contain $\gamma(\rho, z)$. Hence, the problem of obtaining exact axially symmetric solutions of the Einstein Equations outside the sources reduces to integration of the differential Equations 2.2, 2.3

In the prolate ellipsoidal coordinates (x, y) the operators Δ and $\vec{\nabla}$ take the form

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{1}{k_0^2(x^2 - y^2)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(x^2 - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [(1 - y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}] \right),$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \equiv \frac{1}{k_0(x^2 - y^2)^{1/2}} \left(\vec{x}_0 \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{y}_0 \sqrt{1 - y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right),$$

\vec{x}_0 and \vec{y}_0 being unit vectors,
and Equations 2.4, 2.5 take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x} = \frac{1 - y^2}{4f^2(x^2 - y^2)} \{ & x(1 - y^2) \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] - x(1 - y^2) \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] \\ & - 2y(1 - y^2) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial y} = \frac{x^2 - 1}{4f^2(x^2 - y^2)} \{ & y(1 - y^2) \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] - y(1 - y^2) \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right], \\ & - 2x(1 - y^2) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The line element 2.1 in this case can be rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = k_0^2 f^{-1} [e^{2\gamma} (x^2 - y^2) \left(\frac{dx^2}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{dy^2}{1 - y^2} \right) + \\ + (x^2 - 1)(1 - y^2) d\varphi^2] - f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the metric coefficient f , γ and ω are functions of x and y only.

Accordingly in this case the Equation

$$\Delta \psi \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(x^2 - 1) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [(1 - y^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}] = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

and the Equation

$$\Delta' \chi \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial \rho^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

can be rewritten as

$$(x^2 - 1) \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial x^2} + (1 - y^2) \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial y^2} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

There exist two possibilities of writing down Equations 2.2, 2.3 and in a coordinate-free form.

1. If we switch from the rotation potential ω to the new potential Φ by the formulae

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\rho} = \frac{\rho}{f^2} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z}, \quad \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z} = -\frac{\rho}{f^2} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\rho}, \quad (2.13)$$

or, in the prolate ellipsoidal coordinates,

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x} = \frac{k_0(1-y^2)}{f^2} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial y} = -\frac{k_0(x^2-1)}{f^2} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x}, \quad (2.14)$$

we obtain the following field equations:

$$f\Delta f = (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - (\vec{\nabla} \Phi)^2, \quad \vec{\nabla}(f^{-2}\vec{\nabla}\Phi) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

The set of two second-order differential equations can be reduced to four first-order differential equations.

Let us introduce the notations

$$f^{-1}\vec{\nabla} f = \vec{A}, \quad f^{-1}\vec{\nabla} \Phi = \vec{B}. \quad (2.16)$$

In this case, from we obtain the following set of four first-order differential equations for \vec{A} and \vec{B} :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \vec{A} &= -\vec{B}^2, & \operatorname{div} \vec{B} &= (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}), \\ \operatorname{rot} \vec{A} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} &= -[\vec{A} \times \vec{B}], \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

This form of the field equations was developed in [28], [29]. In the Weyl canonical coordinates we can rewrite 2.16 as

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho}, & A_2 &= \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \\ B_1 &= \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho}, & B_2 &= \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

In this case, using 2.17 and 2.18, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}(\rho A_1) + \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial z} &= -(B_1^2 + B_2^2), \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}(\rho B_1) + \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial z} &= A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2, \\ \frac{A_1}{\partial z} - \frac{A_2}{\partial \rho} &= 0, & \frac{B_1}{\partial z} - \frac{B_2}{\partial \rho} &= A_2 B_1 - A_1 B_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Let us consider an analogue of the system 2.19 in the ellipsoidal coordinates (x, y) . Introducing the functions

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y},$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}, \quad (2.20)$$

we have from Equations 2.19 the following equivalent set of four first-order differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[(x^2 - 1)\alpha_1] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[(1 - y^2)\alpha_2] &= -(x^2 - 1)\beta_1^2 - (1 - y^2)\beta_2^2, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[(1 - y^2)\beta_1] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[(1 - y^2)\beta_2] &= -(1 - y^2)\alpha_1\beta_1 + (1 - y^2)\alpha_2\beta_2, \\ \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial y} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \beta_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial y} = \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Introducing the Ernst complex potential

$$\varepsilon = f + i\Phi \quad (2.22)$$

gives us, from 2.15, the equation

$$(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^*)\Delta\varepsilon = 2(\vec{\nabla}\varepsilon)^2, \quad (2.23)$$

where ε^* is the complex conjugate of ε .

A transformation of 2.23

$$\varepsilon = (\xi - 1)(\xi + 1)^{-1}, \quad (2.24)$$

leads to one more form of the equations for a stationary axially symmetric gravitational field:

$$(\xi\xi^* - 1)\Delta\xi = 2\xi^*(\vec{\nabla}\xi)^2. \quad (2.25)$$

For Equation 2.23 we can prove the following theorem :

Theorem 1. *If $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is a solution of equation, then the functions*

$$\varepsilon = \frac{iA_0 + B_0\tilde{\varepsilon}}{C_0 + iD_0\tilde{\varepsilon}}, \quad \varepsilon^* = \frac{-iA_0 + B_0\tilde{\varepsilon}^*}{C_0 - iD_0\tilde{\varepsilon}^*}, \quad (2.26)$$

where A_0, B_0, C_0 and D_0 are arbitrary real constants subject to the constraint $A_0D_0 + B_0C_0 \neq 0$, also satisfy Equation 2.23.

Its proof can be obtained immediately by substitution of into the corresponding Equation in 2.23.

From Equations 2.26 , with the help of 2.22 , one can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{(A_0D_0 + B_0C_0)\tilde{f}}{(C_0 - D_0\tilde{\Phi})^2 + D_0^2\tilde{f}^2}, \\ \Phi &= \frac{A_0C_0 + (B_0C_0 - A_0D_0)\tilde{\Phi} - B_0D_0(\tilde{f}^2 + \tilde{\Phi}^2)}{(C_0 - D_0\tilde{\Phi})^2 + D_0^2\tilde{f}^2} + E_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where \tilde{f} and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy Equations 2.15. It should be noted that if we let in $A_0 = E_0 = 0$, $B_0 = C_0 = 1$, we obtain the Ehlers transformation ([37], see also [11])

$$f = \frac{\tilde{f}}{(1 - D_0\tilde{\Phi})^2 + D_0^2\tilde{f}^2}, \quad \Phi = \frac{\tilde{\Phi} - D_0(\tilde{f}^2 + \tilde{\Phi}^2)}{(1 - D_0\tilde{\Phi})^2 + D_0^2\tilde{f}^2}. \quad (2.28)$$

The inverse transformation

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}, \quad \varepsilon^* = \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^*}. \quad (2.29)$$

is recognized to be a special case of 2.26 corresponding to the choice $B_0 = C_0 = E_0 = 0$, $A_0 = D_0$. So 2.27 take the form

$$f = \frac{\tilde{f}}{\tilde{f}^2 + \tilde{\Phi}^2}, \quad \Phi = \frac{\tilde{\Phi}}{\tilde{f}^2 + \tilde{\Phi}^2}. \quad (2.30)$$

It can be pointed out that the inverse transformation leaves the metric function γ unchanged, i.e., $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}$.

As an another particular case of 2.26, the constant phase transformation

$$\xi = e^{i\alpha_0}\tilde{\xi}, \quad (2.31)$$

where α_0 is real constant and the function $\tilde{\xi}$ is introduced according 2.24, gives us a possibility of obtaining new solutions of the Ernst equation 2.25 from old ones.

The transformation

$$\varphi \rightarrow C_1\varphi + C_2t, \quad t \rightarrow C_3\varphi + C_4t, \quad (2.32)$$

where C_1 , C_2 , C_3 and C_4 are real constants, preserves the characteristic form of the Papapetrou line element 2.1. In this connection we can proof the following theorem.

2. The substitution $f = \rho/F$ in equations gives us the following equations:

$$F\Delta F = (\vec{\nabla}F)^2 + (\vec{\nabla}\omega)^2, \quad \vec{\nabla}(F^{-2}\vec{\nabla}\omega) = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

The set of two second-order differential equations can also be reduced to a set of four first-order differential equations.

If we introduce the notations

$$F^{-1}\vec{\nabla}F = A, \quad F^{-1}\vec{\nabla}\omega = B, \quad (2.34)$$

we obtain (2.17).

Introducing the functions

$$\varepsilon_1 = F + \omega \quad \varepsilon_2 = F - \omega, \quad (2.35)$$

we rewrite Equations (2.33) in the symmetric form

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\Delta\varepsilon_1 &= 2(\vec{\nabla}\varepsilon_1)^2, \\ (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\Delta\varepsilon_2 &= 2(\vec{\nabla}\varepsilon_2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

For the field equations and one can prove theorems, similar to and. So, for equations we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *If $\tilde{\varepsilon}_1$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_2$ are solution of equations , then the functions*

$$\varepsilon = \frac{A_0 + B_0\tilde{\varepsilon}_1}{C_0 + D_0\tilde{\varepsilon}_1}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \frac{-A_0 + B_0\tilde{\varepsilon}_2}{C_0 - D_0\tilde{\varepsilon}_2}, \quad (2.37)$$

where A_0, B_0, C_0 and D_0 are arbitrary real constants subject to the constraint $B_0C_0 - A_0D_0 \neq 0$, also satisfy equations.

From 2.37 with the help of 2.35 we obtain

$$F = \frac{(B_0C_0 - A_0D_0)\tilde{F}}{(C_0 + D_0\tilde{\omega})^2 - D_0^2\tilde{F}^2},$$

$$\omega = \frac{A_0C_0 + (A_0D_0 + B_0C_0)\tilde{\omega} - B_0D_0(\tilde{F}^2 - \tilde{\omega}^2)}{(C_0 + D_0\tilde{\omega})^2 - D_0^2\tilde{F}^2}, \quad (2.38)$$

where \tilde{F} and $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy Equation 2.23.

The papers [30]-[33] were devoted to the symmetric of vacuum stationary axially symmetric Einstein equations.

One more possible formulation of the axially symmetric equations was proposed in 1978 by Cosgrove [38], [39]. Rather than examine the system of two second-order partial differential equations for the function f and Φ , Cosgrove preferred to analyze one fourth-order partial differential equation for the metric function γ .

Finally, it should be noted that the procedure for calculating the relativistic multipole moments was given by Geroch [40], [41] and Hansen [42].

A simplified procedure for calculating the Geroch and Hansen multipole moments, was proposed by Hoenselaers [43], who found a relation between the Ernst potential of an arbitrary axially symmetric stationary vacuum metric and the corresponding Geroch-Hansen multipole moments.

The relativistic and coordinate-invariant definitions of multipole moments were also proposed in [44], [45]. Although one is led to these definitions by different mathematical approaches, it can be shown that all of them are physically equivalent [46], [47].

3 The Lewis's class of solutions. One-stationary euclidon solution

In 1932 Lewis [4] obtained the following class of exact solutions of Equations (ref2.13, 2.15) and:

$$f_L = \frac{\rho \sinh(\psi + U_0)}{C_1 \cosh U_0},$$

$$\Phi_L = \frac{1}{C_1 \cosh U_0} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} + C_2, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\omega_L = C_1 \frac{\cosh \psi}{\sinh(\psi + U_0)} + C_3.$$

Here C_1, C_2, C_3 and U_0 are arbitrary constants, $\psi(\rho, z) = (1/\rho)(\partial\chi/\partial\rho)$ and $\chi(\rho, z)$ are functions satisfying the linear Equations 2.9, 2.11.

Note that Weyl's static solution $f_W = (\rho/C_1)e^{-\psi}$, $\Phi_W = C_2$ and $\omega_W = C_3$ (where C_2 and C_3 are usually set equal to zero) can be obtained from 3.1 in the limit $U_0 \rightarrow \infty$.

To obtain the basic classes of stationary vacuum solutions in a more or less unified way, we shall use the representation of Equations 2.15 in the form of four first-order differential equations 2.19 for the functions 2.18, which we shall further subject to certain algebraic dependences.

The class of solutions 3.1 can be obtained by making the functions 2.18 satisfy the condition

$$A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 = \frac{B_1}{\rho}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then one can introduce the new function $M(\rho, z)$ such that

$$B_1 = M A_2, \quad B_2 = -M \left(A_1 - \frac{1}{\rho} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

Then from 2.19 we have the equation

$$M(M^2 - 1)\Delta M = (2M^2 - 1)(\vec{\nabla} M)^2. \quad (3.4)$$

If the function $M(\rho, z)$ is known, one can easily find the functions F and Φ from Equations 2.18 with help of the relations

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{M(M^2 - 1)} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho}, & A_2 &= \frac{1}{M(M^2 - 1)} \frac{\partial M}{\partial z}, \\ B_1 &= \frac{1}{M^2 - 1} \frac{\partial M}{\partial z}, & B_2 &= \frac{1}{1 - M^2} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

1. Let us consider the most important cases of the class of solutions under study.

1-I. $M^2 > 1$. In this case Equation 3.4, by the substitution

$$M = \frac{1}{\cos(\psi + U_0)}, \quad (3.6)$$

reduces to the linear Equation 2.9. In this case we obtain the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{\rho}{\cos U_0} \sin(\psi + U_0), & \Phi &= \frac{1}{\cos U_0} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z}, \\ \omega &= \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin(\psi + U_0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\sin \psi + \frac{\cos \psi}{\cos U_0} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \ln \rho + \gamma',$$

where the functions ψ and χ satisfy Equations 2.9 and 2.11, respectively, and are related to each other by $\psi = (1/\rho)(\partial \chi / \partial \rho)$. The function γ' can be obtained from Equations.

The function γ' is defined by

$$\frac{\partial \gamma'}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\rho}{4} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right], \quad \frac{\partial \gamma'}{\partial z} = \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}. \quad (3.8)$$

It should be noted that the solution 3.7 can be transformed to the static vacuum Weyl class by a complex linear transformation of the coordinates φ and t ([55]).

1-II. $M^2 = 1$.

The solution in this case has the form

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\rho}, & \Phi &= \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial z}, \\ \omega &= -\rho\left(\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\rho}\right)^{-1}, & \gamma &= \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\ln\rho, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Delta'\chi = 0,$$

and it determines the Van Stockum metric [5].

1-III. $M^2 < 1$. In this case

$$M = \cosh^{-1}(\psi + U_0) \quad (U_0 = \text{const}), \quad (3.10)$$

and we obtain 3.1.

The metric function γ is in this case

$$\gamma = \gamma_L = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\sinh\psi + \frac{\cosh\psi}{\cosh U_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\rho + \gamma', \quad (3.11)$$

The function γ' can be obtained from 3.8.

3.1 determine the static vacuum Weyl class because the function ω can be eliminated by a linear transformation

$$\varphi \rightarrow C_1^0\varphi + C_2^0t, \quad t \rightarrow C_3^0\varphi + C_4^0t,$$

where C_1^0, C_2^0, C_3^0 and C_4^0 are real constants.

(a) If one takes the expression

$$\chi = \chi_1 = \int \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} dz,$$

then

$$\psi = \psi_1 = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\chi_1}{\partial\rho} = -\ln\rho + \ln[(z - z_1 + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2})],$$

where z_1 is a displacement constant.

The solution in this particular case has the form

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_1 = (z - z_1) + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \cdot \tanh U_0, \\ \Phi &= \Phi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{\cosh U_0}, & \omega &= \omega_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{\cosh U_0} \cdot \frac{1}{f_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\ln f_1 - \frac{1}{2}\ln \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}.$$

The line element then takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\rho^2 + dz^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}} + \frac{\rho^2}{f_1} d\varphi^2 - f_1 \left[dt - \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{\cosh U_0} \cdot \frac{1}{f_1} d\varphi \right]^2. \quad (3.13)$$

It can be checked that a direct substitution of the solution 3.12 nullifies all components of the Riemann tensor, which corresponds to flat space.

So we call the solution a stationary euclidon.

(b) If we choose the function χ in the form

$$\chi = \chi_2 = \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{\rho^2}{4}(2 \ln \rho - 1),$$

then we obtain the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} f = f_2 = W(\rho), \quad \Phi = \Phi_2 = \frac{z}{\cosh U_0}, \\ \omega = \omega_2 = \frac{1 + \rho^2}{\rho \cosh U_0} \frac{1}{W(\rho)}, \\ \gamma = \gamma_2 = \frac{\ln W(\rho)}{2}, \quad W(\rho) = \frac{1 + \tanh U_0}{2} - \frac{1 - \tanh U_0}{2} \cdot \rho^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

which is a special case of the general stationary cylindrically symmetric solution [48], i.e., the Kasner metric ([49], see also [50]-[54]). The line element in this case takes the form

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + dz^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{W(\rho)} d\varphi^2 - W(\rho) \left[dt - \frac{1 + \rho^2}{\rho \cosh U_0} \frac{1}{W(\rho)} d\varphi \right]^2, \quad (3.15)$$

which can be obtained from the Minkowski metric by transformation

$$\varphi' = \frac{1 + \tanh U_0}{2} \varphi + \frac{1 - \tanh U_0}{2} t, \quad t' = \frac{1 + \tanh U_0}{2} t. \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore, we call the solution a stationary euclidon of second kind.

2. Let us finally consider simple methods of solving Equations

2-I. We rewrite Equations 2.33 in the form

$$\vec{\nabla} \left[\frac{\vec{\nabla}(F^2 - \omega^2)}{F^2} \right] = 0, \quad \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{\vec{\nabla} \omega}{F^2} \right) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

and choose the solution of Equations in the form $F = F(\psi)$ and $\omega = \omega(\psi)$ where ψ is an arbitrary solution of the equation $\Delta\psi = 0$.

In this case, integrating the set of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d}{d\psi} \left[\frac{1}{F^2} \frac{d}{d\psi} (F^2 - \omega^2) \right] = 0, \quad \frac{d}{d\psi} \left(\frac{1}{F^2} \frac{d\omega}{d\psi} \right) = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

we find

$$\frac{d}{d\psi} (F^2 - \omega^2) = a_0 F^2, \quad \frac{d\omega}{d\psi} = b_0 F^2, \quad (3.19)$$

$$a_0 = \text{const.}, \quad b_0 = \text{const.}$$

The integration 3.19, as well as the following choice and renaming of the constants,

$$b_0 = -\frac{1}{C_1 \cosh U_0}, \quad a_0 = \frac{2C_3}{C_1 \cosh U_0} - 2 \tanh U_0,$$

brings the solution to its final form

$$f = \rho \frac{\sinh(\psi + U_0)}{C_1 \cosh U_0}, \quad \omega = \frac{C_1 \cosh \psi}{\sinh(\psi + U_0)} + C_3,$$

i.e., to the Lewis class of solutions.

2-II. The Lewis class of solutions can be easily found with the aid of the symmetry transformation.

In a special case, setting in 2.38

$$\tilde{F} = e^{-\psi} \quad (\Delta\psi = 0, U_0 = \text{const.}),$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = 0, \quad A_0 = -D_0 = e^{-U_0}, B_0 = C_0 = 1,$$

we obtain

$$F = \frac{\cosh U_0}{\sinh(\psi + U_0)}, \quad \omega = \frac{\cosh \psi}{\sinh(\psi + U_0)}.$$

Recalling that $F = \rho/f$, we arrive at the Lewis class of solutions.

4 The euclidon method

A study of methods of generating exact solutions, based on internal symmetries of the Einstein equations, has been attracting the attention of gravitation physicists.

The publications by Ehlers [56], Ozvath [57] and Harrison [58] pioneer these investigations. Subsequently, one can distinguish three approaches to this problem.

The group-theoretic technique, which is very useful in construction of the new metrics containing an arbitrary large number of parameters, was introduced by Geroch [59], Kinnersley [60] and Maison [61], and used in the papers [62]-[75]. The main achievements of this approach are related with the group of continuous transformations of the Ernst equation, with the Hoenselaers-Kinnersley-Xanthopoulos (HKX) transformations [68],[69], with the aid of which one can obtain a set of asymptotically flat stationary vacuum metrics [76]-[98].

The second approach, introduced originally by Belinsky and Zakharov [99], [100], is based on the application of the inverse scattering method to the Einstein's equations. At this point one can obtain new results in general relativity [101]-[120].

Finally, the last approach to generate new solutions from old was founded on the applications of the Backlund transformations. For the first time in general relativity the Backlund transformations were introduced by Harrison [121] and Neugebauer [122], and their possible usage one can find from [123]-[131].

Although these approaches are independent, they all are mathematically equivalent, that was shown by Cosgrove [132]-[134].

The major result of the applications of techniques discussed above is the construction of the non-linear superposition of N Kerr solutions aligned along their common rotational axis. Therewith the Tomimatsu-Sato metric has been recognized to be a special case of this solution [135]-[155].

Furthermore, the stationary vacuum problem can be reduced to the one singular equation [156]. The application of the technique of the generalized Riemannian problem to general relativity yields a large class of new solutions [157].

In the paper [158] the method of the variation of constants was proposed. With the help of this technique one can brought to the nonlinear superposition of the Kerr space-time, with an arbitrary vacuum field [159], [160].

In [1] the nonlinear superposition of the stationary euclidon solution with an arbitrary axially symmetric stationary gravitational field on the basis of the method of variation of parameters was constructed. In the paper [2] stationary soliton solution of the Einstein equations was generalized to the case of a stationary seed metric. The formulae obtained in [1], [2] have a simple and compact form, permitting an effective nonlinear "addition" of the solutions. The euclidon method propounded in [1] was used in the article [161].

In this paper the both methods [1], [2] are considered again and some new applications are presented.

Let $f^0(\rho, z)$, $\Phi^0(\rho, z)$, $\omega^0(\rho, z)$ be some arbitrary solution of the equations

$$f\Delta f = (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - (\vec{\nabla} \Phi)^2, \quad f\Delta \Phi = 2\vec{\nabla} \Phi \vec{\nabla} f,$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\rho}{f^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}, \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z} = -\frac{\rho}{f^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho}. \quad (4.1)$$

(f^0 , Φ^0 , ω^0) - we call the seed solution.

One of the simplest solutions of Equation (4.1) is the one-stationary euclidon solution

$$f_E = \frac{(z - z_1) + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \tanh U_0}{C_1},$$

$$\Phi_E = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{C_1 \cosh U_0} + C_2, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\omega_E = C_1 \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{(z - z_1) + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \cdot \tanh U_0} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh U_0} + C_3,$$

where U_0, C_1, C_2 , and C_3 are arbitrary constants.

Straightforward calculations show the solution (4.2) turns all components of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor to zero. Thus, it makes sense to call the solution (4.2) a euclidon solution. One can say that it characterizes some relativistic noninertial frame of reference in flat space-time. Nevertheless, the solution (4.2) allows one to generate solutions describing curved space-time.

In order to make a nonlinear "composition" of the solution (4.2) with the seed solution, we use the method of variation of parameters. We shall consider C_1, C_2, C_3 and U_0 in the solution (4.2) to be functions

$$C_1 \rightarrow f^0(\rho, z), \quad C_2 \rightarrow \omega^0(\rho, z), \quad C_3 \rightarrow \Phi^0(\rho, z), \quad U_0 \rightarrow U(\rho, z). \quad (4.3)$$

In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{(z - z_1) + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \tanh U(\rho, z)}{f^0}, \\ \Phi &= \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{f^0 \cdot \cosh U(\rho, z)} + \omega^0(\rho, z), \\ \omega &= f^0 \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2}}{(z - z_1) + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \tanh U(\rho, z)} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh U(\rho, z)} + \\ &\quad + \Phi^0(\rho, z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

A substitution of (4.4) into Equations (4.1) leads to the following set of first-order differential equations for the unknown function $U(\rho, z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho} &= (z - z_1) \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\rho}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial z} \\ &+ \left[(z - z_1) \sinh U + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \cdot \cosh U \right] \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial \rho} + \\ &\quad + \rho \frac{\sinh U}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial z}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} &= -\frac{\rho}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \rho} + \frac{z - z_1}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial z} + \\ &+ \left[(z - z_1) \sinh U + \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \cdot \cosh U \right] \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial z} - \rho \frac{\sinh U}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial \rho}. \end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen that the integrability condition for Equations (4.5) is satisfied.

Equations (4.5) are nonlinear. The problem of linearization of the system (4.5) can be solved by the substitution $U(\rho, z) = \ln[a(\rho, z)/b(\rho, z)]$. Then we have the following set of linear equations for the functions $a(\rho, z)$ and $b(\rho, z)$

$$\begin{aligned} 2f^0 \frac{\partial a}{\partial \rho} &= a \left(\hat{L}_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) f^0 - b \left(\hat{L}_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \Phi^0, \\ 2f^0 \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} &= -a \left(\hat{L}_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) f^0 + b \left(\hat{L}_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \Phi^0, \\ 2f^0 \frac{\partial b}{\partial \rho} &= -b \left(\hat{L}_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) f^0 - a \left(\hat{L}_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \Phi^0, \\ 2f^0 \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} &= b \left(\hat{L}_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) f^0 + a \left(\hat{L}_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \Phi^0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where \hat{L}_1 and \hat{L}_2 are the linear operators

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \hat{L}_1 &= (z - z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \hat{L}_2 &= \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - (z - z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the problem of nonlinear superposition of the solution (4.4) with an arbitrary axially symmetric stationary solution of the Einstein equations reduces to integrating a set of first-order linear differential equations. Methods of treating this problem are well studied.

5 Application of the euclidon method. Two-stationary euclidon solution (the Kerr-NUT solution)

1. It is convenient to go over to prolate ellipsoidal coordinates (x, y) , which are connected to the Weyl coordinates (ρ, z) by the relations

$$\rho = k_0 \sqrt{(x^2 - 1)(1 - y^2)}, \quad z = k_0 xy \quad (5.1)$$

(k_0 - a real constant).

In terms of the coordinates (x, y) the Equations (4.1), (4.4) and (4.4) are rewritten

$$\frac{\partial \omega^0}{\partial x} = \frac{k_0(1 - y^2)}{(f^0)^2} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial \omega^0}{\partial y} = -\frac{k_0(x^2 - 1)}{(f^0)^2} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial x}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$f = \frac{k_0}{f^0} [xy - 1 + (x - y) \tanh U], \quad \Phi = \frac{k_0(x - y)}{f^0 \cosh U} + \omega^0, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\omega = f^0 \frac{(x - y)}{xy - 1 + (x - y) \tanh U} \frac{1}{\cosh U} + \Phi^0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} &= \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - y^2}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial y} \\ &+ [\cosh U + \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \sinh U] \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - y^2}{x - y}\right) \frac{\sinh U}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial y}, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} &= -\left(\frac{x^2 - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial y} \\ &+ [\cosh U + \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \sinh U] \frac{1}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial y} - \left(\frac{x^2 - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{\sinh U}{f^0} \frac{\partial \Phi^0}{\partial x}.\end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Choosing the function in the form of

$$U = \ln \frac{a}{\sqrt{f^0}} - \ln \frac{b}{\sqrt{f^0}} \quad (5.5)$$

We arrive at the following system of linear differential equations for the functions

$$\begin{aligned}
2f^0 \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} &= a(1+y)\hat{L}_1 f^0 + b(1-y)\hat{L}_2 \Phi^0, \\
2f^0 \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} &= -a(x-1)\hat{L}_2 f^0 + b(x+1)\hat{L}_1 \Phi^0, \\
2f^0 \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} &= b(1-y)\hat{L}_2 f^0 - a(1+y)\hat{L}_1 \Phi^0, \\
2f^0 \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} &= b(x+1)\hat{L}_1 f^0 + a(x-1)\hat{L}_2 \Phi^0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

The operators take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(x-y)\hat{L}_1 &= (x-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (1-y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\
(x-y)\hat{L}_2 &= (x+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (1+y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.
\end{aligned}$$

1-I. If we choose

$$f^0 = 1, \quad \Phi^0 = \omega^0 = 0, \tag{5.7}$$

in this case we obtain from

$$f = f_{1,-}^0 = k_0(xy-1) + k_0(x-y)\tanh U_0, \quad \Phi = \Phi_{1,-}^0 = \frac{k_0(x-y)}{\cosh U_0}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\omega = \frac{(x-y)}{xy-1 + (x-y)\tanh U_0} \frac{1}{\cosh U_0},$$

where $U = U_{1,-} = U_0$.

1-II. If we choose

$$f^0 = f_{1,-}^0, \quad \Phi^0 = \Phi_{1,-}^0, \tag{5.9}$$

in this case we obtain from

$$f = f_{2,-,-}^0 = \frac{k_0(xy-1) + k_0(x-y)\tanh U}{f_{1,-}^0}, \quad \Phi = \Phi_{2,-,-}^0 = \frac{k_0}{f_{1,-}^0} \frac{(x-y)}{\cosh U} + \omega_{1,-}^0,$$

where $U = U_{2,-,-} = \ln \frac{a}{b} = \text{const}$,

$$\frac{a}{b} = -\sqrt{\frac{1+\tanh U_0}{1-\tanh U_0}}, \quad \tanh U = \tanh U_0, \quad \cosh U = -\cosh U_0,$$

and

$$f = f_{2,-,-}^0 = 1, \quad \Phi = \omega = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

1-III. Two-stationary euclidon solution.

If we choose the external field in the form

$$f^0 = f_{1,+}^0 = k_0(xy + 1) + k_0(x + y) \tanh U_0, \quad \Phi^0 = \Phi_{1,+}^0 = \frac{k_0(x + y)}{\cosh U_0}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\omega^0 = \omega_{1,+}^0 = \frac{(x + y)}{xy + 1 + (x + y) \cdot \tanh U_0} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh U_0}$$

where U_0 is a constant, we find

$$\hat{L}_1 f^0 = k_0(1 + \tanh U_0), \quad \hat{L}_2 \Phi^0 = \frac{k_0}{\cosh U_0},$$

$$\hat{L}_2 f^0 = k_0(\tanh U_0 - 1), \quad \hat{L}_1 \Phi^0 = \frac{k_0}{\cosh U_0}$$

$$k_0^{-1}a = (x + 1)\sqrt{1 + \tanh U_0} - \alpha_0(1 - y)\sqrt{1 - \tanh U_0},$$

$$k_0^{-1}b = \alpha_0(1 + y)\sqrt{1 + \tanh U_0} - (x - 1)\sqrt{1 - \tanh U_0}.$$

Here α_0 is an integration constant. The function U in this case has the form [162]

$$U(x, y) = U_{2,-,+}(x, y) = \ln[(x + 1)\sqrt{1 + \tanh U_0} - c_0(1 - y)\sqrt{1 - \tanh U_0}] - \ln[c_0(1 + y)\sqrt{1 + \tanh U_0} - (x - 1)\sqrt{1 - \tanh U_0}]. \quad (5.12)$$

If we set in

$$\tanh U_0 = \tanh U_0^a = \frac{1 - a_0^2}{1 + a_0^2}, \quad c_0 = \frac{b_0 - a_0}{1 + a_0 b_0}, \quad (5.13)$$

then, accordingly, a stationary two-Euclidean solution is constructed, which coincides with the Kerr-NUT solution in form:

$$f = f_{2,-,+}^0 = \frac{k_o(xy - 1) + k_o(x - y) \tanh U(x, y, a_0, b_0)}{f_{1,+}^0} = \frac{A_2}{B_2},$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_{2,-,+}^0 = \frac{k_0}{f_{1,+}^0} \cdot \frac{(x - y)}{\cosh U(x, y, a_0, b_0)} + \omega_{1,+}^0 = \frac{C_2}{B_2},$$

$$A_2 = (x^2 - 1)(1 + a_0 b_0)^2 + (y^2 - 1)(b_0 - a_0)^2,$$

$$B_2 = [(1 + a_0 b_0)x + (1 - a_0 b_0)]^2 + [(b_0 - a_0)y + (b_0 + a_0)]^2, \quad (5.14)$$

$$C_2 = 2[(b_0 + a_0)(1 + a_0 b_0)x - (b_0 - a_0)(1 - a_0 b_0)y].$$

If we set $a_0 = -b_0$, then (5.14) yields the Kerr solution.

2-IV.

$$f = f_{2,+,-}^0 = \frac{k_o(xy + 1) + k_o(x + y) \tanh U}{f_{1,-}^0},$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_{2,+,-}^0 = \frac{\kappa_0}{f_{1,-}^0} \frac{(x+y)}{\cosh U} + \omega_{1,-}^0, \quad (5.15)$$

where

$$U = U_{2,+,-}(x, y) = U_{2,-,+}(-x, y).$$

6 Superposition of two-stationary euclidon solution with an arbitrary stationary vacuum field

Nonlinear superposition of the Kerr solution with an arbitrary axially symmetric Einstein field can be fulfilled by the variation of constants in the solution obtainable from the Kerr one by the constant phase transformation [158], [159], [160]. We shall carry out the consideration in terms of the functions f and Φ satisfying, as is known, the equations

$$f\Delta f = (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - (\vec{\nabla} \Phi)^2, \quad f\Delta \Phi = 2\vec{\nabla} f \vec{\nabla} \Phi. \quad (6.1)$$

To perform the superposition of the two-stationary Kerr solution with an arbitrary solution of the system (6.1) it is not enough to variate one constant because both the functions f^0 and Φ^0 are not equal to zero. Therefore, we should take as "seed" varying solution not the Kerr solution but its generalization containing two independent constants a_0 and b_0 , e.g. the solution of the form (5.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f-1}{1} &= -\frac{2[x(1-a_0^2b_0^2) + y(b_0^2-a_0^2) + (a_0+b_0)^2 + (a_0b_0-1)^2]}{[x(1+a_0b_0) + 1 - a_0b_0]^2 + [y(b_0-a_0) + a_0+b_0]^2}, \\ \frac{\Phi-0}{1} &= \frac{2[x(a_0+b_0)(1+a_0b_0) + y(a_0-b_0)(1-a_0b_0)]}{[x(1+a_0b_0) + 1 - a_0b_0]^2 + [y(b_0-a_0) + a_0+b_0]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

which reduces to Kerr solution bu at at $b_0 = -a_0$.

Then the superposition formulae generalizing (6.2) in the case $\Phi^0 \neq 0$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f-f^0}{f^0} &= -\frac{2[x(1-a^2b^2) + y(b^2-a^2) + (a+b)^2 + (1-ab)^2]}{[x(1+ab) + 1 - ab]^2 + [y(b-a) + a+b]^2}, \\ \frac{\Phi-\Phi^0}{f^0} &= \frac{2[x(a+b)(1+ab) + y(a-b)(1-ab)]}{[x(1+ab) + 1 - ab]^2 + [y(b-a) + a+b]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where a and b are some functions of the coordinates (x, y) and should be determined from the field equations.

Substituting (6.3) into (6.1) we can find the dependence of a and b on the functions f^0 and Φ^0 :

$$\begin{aligned} a_{,x} &= -\frac{1}{2(x+y)f^0} \{ [(xy+1)f^0_{,x} + (1-y^2)f^0_{,y}]2a \\ &+ [(xy+1)\Phi^0_{,x} + (1-y^2)\Phi^0_{,y}](1-a^2) + (x+y)(1+a^2)\Phi^0_{,x} \}, \\ a_{,y} &= -\frac{1}{2(x+y)f^0} \{ [-(x^2-1)f^0_{,x} + (xy+1)f^0_{,y}]2a \end{aligned}$$

$$+[-(x^2 - 1)\Phi^0_{,x} + (xy + 1)\Phi^0_{,y}](1 - a^2) + (x + y)(1 + a^2)\Phi^0_{,y} \}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$b_{,x} = \frac{1}{2(x - y)f_0} \{ [(xy - 1)f^0_{,x} + (1 - y^2)f^0_{,y}]2b \\ + [(xy - 1)\Phi^0_{,x} + (1 - y^2)\Phi^0_{,y}](1 - b^2) - (x - y)(1 + b^2)\Phi^0_{,x} \}, \\ b_{,y} = \frac{1}{2(x - y)f_0} \{ [-(x^2 - 1)f^0_{,x} + (xy - 1)f^0_{,y}]2b \\ + [-(x^2 - 1)\Phi^0_{,x} + (xy - 1)\Phi^0_{,y}](1 - b^2) - (x - y)(1 + b^2)\Phi^0_{,y} \}.$$

Relations (6.3) and (6.4) determine the desired superposition of the solution (6.2) with an arbitrary Einstein vacuum field.

If we put

$$a = \exp(-U^a), \quad b = -\exp(U^b). \quad (6.5)$$

from (6.4) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial U^a}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{xy + 1}{x + y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - y^2}{x + y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} \\ + [\cosh U^a + \left(\frac{xy + 1}{x + y}\right) \sinh U^a] \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - y^2}{x + y}\right) \frac{\sinh U^a}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial y}, \\ \frac{\partial U^a}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{x^2 - 1}{x + y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{xy + 1}{x + y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} \\ + [\cosh U^a + \left(\frac{xy + 1}{x + y}\right) \sinh U^a] \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial y} - \left(\frac{x^2 - 1}{x + y}\right) \frac{\sinh U^a}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}. \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial U^b}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - y^2}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} \\ + [\cosh U^b + \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \sinh U^b] \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - y^2}{x - y}\right) \frac{\sinh U^b}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial y}, \\ \frac{\partial U^b}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{x^2 - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} \\ + [\cosh U^b + \left(\frac{xy - 1}{x - y}\right) \sinh U^b] \frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial y} - \left(\frac{x^2 - 1}{x - y}\right) \frac{\sinh U^b}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}. \quad (6.7)$$

7 Three- und four- stationary euclidon solution

1-I. Let us consider superposition (6.3) of the stationary two-euclidon solution (6.2) with the stationary own-euclidon solution ($f_{1,-}^0$, $\Phi_{1,-}^0$).

This stationary three-euclidon solution is easy to rewrite as

$$f = f_{3,-,+,-}^0 = \frac{k_0(xy - 1) + k_0(x - y) \tanh U(a, b)}{f_{2,+,-}^0}, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_{3,-,+,-}^0 = \frac{1}{f_{2,+,-}^0} \cdot \frac{k_0(x - y)}{\cosh U(a, b)} + \omega_{2,+,-}^0, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$f_{2,+,-}^0 = \frac{k_0(xy + 1) + k_0(x + y) \tanh U^a}{f_{1,-}^0}, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\omega_{2,+,-}^0 = f_{1,-}^0 \frac{(x + y)}{xy + 1 + (x + y) \cdot \tanh U^a} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh U^a} + \Phi_{1,-}^0.$$

And from (5.12), (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned} U(a, b) &= U_{3,-,+,-}(x, y) = \\ &= \ln[(x + 1)\sqrt{1 + \tanh U^a} - \alpha(1 - y)\sqrt{1 - \tanh U^a}] - \\ &\quad - \ln[\alpha(1 + y)\sqrt{1 + \tanh U^a} - (x - 1)\sqrt{1 - \tanh U^a}], \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

where

$$\tanh U^a = \frac{1 - a^2}{1 + a^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{b - a}{1 + ab}, \quad (7.5)$$

$$a = \exp(-U^a), \quad b = -\exp(U^b).$$

$$U^a = U_{2,+,-}(x, y), \quad U^b = U_{2,-,-}(x, y).$$

From (5.3) it is easy to see that these formulas also define a superposition of the stationary own-euclidon solution (5.8) with the stationary two-euclidon solution ($f_{2,+,-}^0$, $\omega_{2,+,-}^0$) with $U = U(a, b) = U_{3,-,+,-}(x, y)$.

1-II.

$$f = f_{3,-,-,+}^0 = \frac{k_0(xy - 1) + k_0(x - y) \tanh U(a, b)}{f_{2,-,+}^0},$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_{3,-,-,+}^0 = \frac{1}{f_{2,-,+}^0} \cdot \frac{k_0(x - y)}{\cosh U(a, b)} + \omega_{2,-,+}^0, \quad (7.6)$$

where

$$f_{2,-,+}^0 = \frac{k_0(xy - 1) + k_0(x - y) \tanh U^a}{f_{1,+}^0},$$

$$\omega_{2,-,+}^0 = f_{1,+}^0 \frac{(x - y)}{xy - 1 + (x - y) \cdot \tanh U^a} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh U^a} + \Phi_{1,+}^0. \quad (7.7)$$

And from (5.12), (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
U(a, b) &= U_{3,-,-,+}(x, y) = \\
&= \ln[(x+1)\sqrt{1+\tanh U^a} - \alpha(1-y)\sqrt{1-\tanh U^a}] - \\
&\quad - \ln[\alpha(1+y)\sqrt{1+\tanh U^a} - (x-1)\sqrt{1-\tanh U^a}],
\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

where

$$\tanh U^a = \tanh U_0 = \frac{1-a^2}{1+a^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{a-b}{1+ab}, \tag{7.9}$$

$$U^a = U_{2,+,+}(x, y) = U_{2,-,-}(x, y), \quad U^b = U_{2,-,+}(x, y),$$

$$a = \exp(-U^a) = -\sqrt{\frac{1-\tanh U_0}{1+\tanh U_0}},$$

$$b = -\exp U^b = -\frac{(x+1)\sqrt{1+\tanh U_0} - \alpha_0(1-y)\sqrt{1-\tanh U_0}}{\alpha_0(1+y)\sqrt{1+\tanh U_0} - (x-1)\sqrt{1-\tanh U_0}},$$

If $\alpha_0 \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{\sqrt{1-\tanh^2 U_0}}{y+\tanh U_0}$$

$$\exp U(a, b) = -\sqrt{\frac{1+\tanh U_0}{1-\tanh U_0}}, \quad \tanh U(a, b) = \tanh U_0$$

and

$$f_{3,-,-,+}^0 \rightarrow f_{1,+}^0,$$

$$\Phi_{3,-,-,+}^0 \rightarrow \Phi_{1,+}^0. \tag{7.10}$$

Similarly for $\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0$.

2.

Superposition of the stationary two-euclidon solution (6.2) with the stationary two-euclidon solution $(f_{2,-,+}^0, \Phi_{2,-,+}^0)$ gives stationary four-euclidon solution

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= f_{4,-,+,-,+}^0 = \frac{k_0(xy-1) + k_0(x-y)\tanh U(a, b)}{f_{3,+,-,+}^0}, \\
\Phi &= \Phi_{4,-,+,-,+}^0 = \frac{1}{f_{3,+,-,+}^0} \cdot \frac{k_0(x-y)}{\cosh U(a, b)} + \omega_{3,+,-,+}^0,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

where

$$f_{3,+,-,+}^0(x, y) = -f_{3,-,+,-}^0(-x, y),$$

$$\omega_{3,+,-}^0(x, y) = -\omega_{3,-,+,-}^0(-x, y). \tag{7.12}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
U(a, b) &= U_{4,-,+,-,+}(x, y) = \\
&= \ln[(x+1)\sqrt{1+\tanh U^a} - \alpha(1-y)\sqrt{1-\tanh U^a}] -
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\ln[\alpha(1+y)\sqrt{1+\tanh U^a} - (x-1)\sqrt{1-\tanh U^a}], \quad (7.13)$$

where

$$\tanh U^a = \frac{1-a^2}{1+a^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{b-a}{1+ab},$$

$$a = \exp(-U^a), \quad b = -\exp U^b,$$

$$U^a = U_{3,+,-,+}(x,y), \quad U^b = U_{3,-,-,+}(x,y).$$

From (7.11) it is easy to see that these formulas also define a superposition of the stationary own-euclidon solution (5.8) with the stationary three-euclidon solution $(f_{3,+,-,+}^0, \omega_{3,+,-,+}^0)$ with $U = U(a,b) = U_{4,-,+,-,+}(x,y)$.

In a similar way we can get the stationary 2N-euclidon solution reducing to the Zipoy solution [163] in a static limit.

References

- [1] Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV, V.A. CHERNYAEV AND S.L. ELSGOLTS, Gravitation and Cosmology 5, 335 (1999).
- [2] Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV, A.A. SHAIDEMAN AND S.L. ELSGOLTS, Gravitation and Cosmology 6, 254 (2000).
- [3] Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV, A.A. SHAIDEMAN AND K.V. GOLUBNICHYI, New Euclidon Method of Generating Stationary Vacuum Einstein Fields, World Scientific, Singapoure (2025).
- [4] T. LEWIS, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 136, 176 (1932).
- [5] W. J. VAN STOCKUM, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A 57, 135 (1937).
- [6] A. PAPAPETROU, Annul. Physik B 12, 309 (1953).
- [7] F.J. ERNST, Phys. Rev. 167(5), 1175 (1968).
- [8] R.P. KERR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11(5), 1776 (1963).
- [9] A. TOMIMATSU AND H. SATO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29(19), 1344 (1972).
- [10] A. TOMIMATSU AND H. SATO, Prog. Theor. Phys. 50(1), 95 (1973).
- [11] W. KINNERSLEY, Proc. 7th Int. Conf. on General Relativity and Gravitation eds. G. Shaviv and J. Rosen, Wiley, New York-London, 109 (1975).]
- [12] M. YAMAZAKI, Prog. Theor. Phys. 57, 1951 (1977). .
- [13] M. YAMAZAKI, J. Mayh. Phys, 18, 2502 (1977),
- [14] S. HORI, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95(6), 1097 (1996),
- [15] CM. COSGROVE, J. Phys. 4: Math. Gen, A10, 1481 (1977),
- [16] CM, COSGROVE, J. Phys. A: Math, Gen. A10, 2093 (1977).
- [17] FJ. ERNST, J. Math, Phys. 18, 233 (1977),
- [18] C HOENSELAERS, J Phys. A: Math Gen, A11(4), 75 (1978).
- [19] P.V. PRYSE, Class. Quantum Grav. 10, 163 (1993).
- [20] Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV AND V. §. MANKO, Jzv. Vuzov Fiz. 4, 116 (1985),
- [21] S. PERSIDES AND B.C. XANTHOPOULOS, J. Math Phys. 29, 674 (1988).
- [22] RB. HOFFMAN, J. Math, Phys. 10, 953 (1969).
- [23] D. COX AND W. KINNERSLEY, J. Math. Phys. 20, 1225 (1979).
- [24] M.G. TSEITLIN, Teor. Matem. Fiz. 64, 51 (1985).

- [25] J.N. ISLAM, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 79, 161 (1976).
- [26] J.N. ISLAM, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* 7, 809 (1976).
- [27] F.J. ERNST, *J. Math. Phys.* 15, 1409 (1974).
- [28] H. LEVY, *Nuovo Cim.* 56B, 253 (1968).
- [29] J.J.J. MAREK, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 64, 167 (1968).
- [30] R. GEROCH, *J. Math. Phys.* 12, 918 (1968).
- [31] D. KRAMER AND G. NEUGEBAUER, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 10, 132 (1968).
- [32] R. CATENACCI AND D. ALONSO, *J. Math. Phys.* 17, 2232 (1976).
- [33] Y. TANABE, *J. Math. Phys.* 20, 1486 (1979).
- [34] C.M. COSGROVE, *J. Math. Phys.* 21, 2417 (1980).
- [35] C.M. COSGROVE, *J. Math. Phys.* 22(11), 2624 (1981).
- [36] C.M. COSGROVE, *J. Math. Phys.* 23, 615 (1982).
- [37] J. EHLERS, "Konstruktionen und Charakterisierung der Einsteinschen Gravitationsfeldgleichungen" (Dissertation, Hamburg) (1957).
- [38] C.M. COSGROVE, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 11, 2389 (1978).
- [39] C.M. COSGROVE, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 11, 2405 (1978).
- [40] R. GEROCH, *J. Math. Phys.* 11, 1955 (1970).
- [41] R. GEROCH, *J. Math. Phys.* 11, 2580 (1970).
- [42] O. HANSEN, *J. Math. Phys.* 15, 46 (1974).
- [43] C. HOESELERS, in: "Gravitational Collapse and Relativity", Proc. 14th Yamada Conference, Kyoto, Japan, ed. H. Sato and T. Nakamura, World Scientific, Singapore, 1986, p. 176.
- [44] K. S. THOME, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 52, 299 (1980).
- [45] R. BEIG AND W. SIMON, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* 376, 333 (1981).
- [46] Y. GURSEL, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 12, 1003 (1983).
- [47] R. BEIG AND W. SIMON, *J. Math. Phys.* 24, 1163 (1983).
- [48] D. KRAMER, H. STEPHANI, M.A.H. MAC CALLUM AND E. HERIT, "Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1980).
- [49] E. KASNER, *Am. J. Math.* 43, 217 (1921).
- [50] V. NARLIKAR AND K. KARMARKAR, *Curr. Sci.* 15, 69 (1946).

- [51] A. KELLNER, "1-dimensionale Gravitationsfelder" (Dissertation, Gottingen) (1975).
- [52] A. HARVEY, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* 21(10), 1021 (1989).
- [53] K.D. KRORI AND R. BHATTACHARJEE, *J. Math. Phys.* 31, 147 (1990).
- [54] M.A.H. MAC CALLUM, *Wiss. Z. Friedrich-Schiller Univ. Jena, Naturwiss. R.* 39, 102 (1990).
- [55] R.B. HOFFMAN, *Phys. Rev.* 182, 1361 (1969).
- [56] J. EHLERS, *Collog. theorie relative. Bruxelles* 49, (1959).
- [57] J. OZSVATH, *Abhandl. Math. Natur Cl. Acad.Wiss. ind Liter.*1, 1 (1965).
- [58] BK. HARRISON, *J. Math, Phys.* 9, 1744 (1968).
- [59] R.J. GEROCH, *J, Math. Phys.* 13, 393 (1972).
- [60] W. KINNERSLEY, *J. Math. Phys.* 18, 1529 (1977).
- [61] D. MAISON, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 41, (1978).
- [62] W. KINNERSLEY AND DM. CHITRE, *J. Math. Phys:* 18, 1538 (1977).
- [63] W. KIRMERSLEY AND D.M. CHITRE, *J. Math. Phys.* 19, 1926 (1978).
- [64] W. KINNERSLEY AND D.M. CHITRE, *J. Math. Phys.* 19, 2037 (1978).
- [65] W. KINNERSLEY AND D.M. CHITRE, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 40, 1608 (1978).
- [66] DM. CHITRE, *J. Math. Phys.* 19, 1626 (1978).
- [67] D. MAISON, *J. Math. Phys.* 20, 871 (1979).
- [68] C. HOENSELAERS, W. KINNERSLEY AND B. XANTHOPOULOS, *J. Math. Phys.* 20, 2530 (1979).
- [69] C. HOENSELAERS, W. KINNERSLEY AND B. XANTHOPOULOS, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 42, 481 (1979).
- [70] C. HGENSELAERS, *J. Math. Phys.* 21, 2241 (1980).
- [71] C. HOENSELAERS, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 14, 427 (1981).
- [72] W. DIETZ AND C. HOENSELAERS, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*382, 221 (1982).
- [73] W. DIETZ, *Gen. Relay. Grav.* 15, 911 (1983).
- [74] C. HOENSELAERS AND W. DIETZ, *Gen. Relav. Grav.* 16,71 (1984).
- [75] W. DIETZ, *Gen. Relav. Grav.* 16, 249 ((1984).
- [76] I. HAUSER AND F.J. ERNST, *Phys. Rev. D*20, 365 (1979).

- [77] I. HAUSER AND F.J. ERNST, Phys. Rev. D20, 1783 (1979).
- [78] I. HAUSER AND F.J. ERNST, J. Math. Phys. 21, 1126 (1980).
- [79] I. HAUSER AND F.J. ERNST, J. Math. Phys. 21, 1418 (1980).
- [80] I. HAUSER AND F.J. ERNST, J. Math. Phys. 22, 1051 (1981).
- [81] C. HOENSELAERS, Axisymmetric Stationary Vacuum Solutions of Einstein's Equations. Habilitationsschrift, Universitat Munchen (1982).
- [82] C. HOENSELAERS, J. Phys.A: Math. Gen. 15, 3531 (1982).
- [83] W. DIETZ, AND C. HOENSELAERS, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 778 (1982).
- [84] See: Proc. of 2nd Marcel Grossman Meeting of General Relativity. Ed.R. Ruffini, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1982).
- [85] K. VENO, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto University. 19, 59 (1983).
- [86] Y. WU AND M. GE, J. Math. Phys. 24, 1187 (1983).
- [87] See: Proc. of 3 Marcel Grossman Meeting of General Relativity. E.R. Ruffini, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
- [88] W. DIETZ, On Techniques for Generating Solutions and Generated Two Mass Solutions of Einstein's Vacuum Equations. Habilitationsschrift, Universitat Wurzburg (1984).
- [89] C. HOENSELAERS AND N. DADHICH, Class. Quant. Graf. 1, 389 (1984).
- [90] H. QUEVEDO AND B.MASHHOON, Phys. Lett. A109, 13 (1985).
- [91] See: Proc. of 4th Marsel Grossman Meeting of General Relativity. Ed.R. Ruffini, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985).
- [92] H. QUEVEDO, Phys. Rev. D33, 324 (1986).
- [93] H. QUEVEDO, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Cologne (1987).
- [94] H. QUEVEDO, Phys. Rev. D39, 2904 (1989).
- [95] H. QUEVEDO, Fortschr. Phys. 38, 733 (1990).
- [96] H. QUEVEDO AND B.MASHHOON, Phys. Lett. A148, 149 (1990).
- [97] H.QUEVEDO Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1050 (1991).
- [98] H. QUEVEDO, Phys. Rev. D43, 3902 (1993).
- [99] A. BELINSKY AND V-E. ZAKHAROV, Sov. Phys. JETP 48, 985 (1978),
- [100] V.A. BELINSKY AND V.E. ZAKHAROV, Sov. Phys. JETP 50, 1 (1979).
- [101] R.T. JANTZEN, Nuovo Cimento B59, 287 (1980).

- [102] G.A. ALEKSEEV, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 26, 158 (1981).
- [103] G. NEUGEBAUER, Phys. Lett. A86, 91 (1981).
- [104] V.A. BELINSKY AND M PRANCAVIGLIA, Gen. Relat. Grav. 14, 213 (1982),
- [105] E. VERDAGUER, J.Phys. A.Math. Gen. 15,1261 (1982).
- [106] M. YAMAZAKI, Phys. Lett. 50, 1027 (1983).
- [107] B.J. CARR AND E.B. VERDAGUER, Phys. Rev. D28, 2995 (1983).
- [108] J. IBANEZ AND E. VERDAGUER, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1313 (1983).
- [109] A.P. VESELOV, Theor. Math. Phys. 54, 155 (1983).
- [110] R.J. GLEISER, Gen. Relat. Grav. 16, 1077 (1984).
- [111] P.S. LETELIER, J. Math. Phys. 26, 467 (1985).
- [112] J. IBANEZ AND E. VERDAGUER, Phys. Rev. D31, 251 (1985).
- [113] K.C. DAS, Phys. Rev. D31, 927 (1985).
- [114] G.A. ALEKSEEV, Gravit. Electromagn. 4, 3 (1989).
- [115] A. DAGOTTO, R. GLEISER, G. CONZALEZ AND J. PULLIN, Phys. Lett. A146, 15 (1990).
- [116] S.L. TERTYCHNIY, Class. Quant. Grav. 7, 1345 (1990).
- [117] P.T. BOYD, J.M. CENTRELLA AND S.A. KLASKY, Phys. Rev. D43, 79 (1991).
- [118] V.A. BELINSKY, Phys. Rev. D44, 3109 (1991).
- [119] Y. WANG AND Z. HE, J. Shanghai Jiaotong Univ. 28, 62 (1994).
- [120] A. NAKAMURA, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 63, 1214 (1994).
- [121] B.K. HARRISON, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1197 (1978).
- [122] G. NEUGEBAUER, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 12, 67 (1979).
- [123] G. NEUGEBAUER AND D. KRAMER, Exp. Tech. Phys. 28, 3 (1980).
- [124] G. NEUGEBAUER, J. Phys. A: Math, Gen. 13, 19 (1980),
- [125] G. NEUGEBAUER, J. Phys, A: Math. Gen. 13, 1737 (1980),
- [126] D. KRAMER AND G. NEUGEBAUER, Phys. Lett, A75, 259 (1980),
- [127] B.K. HARRISON, Phys, Rev, D21, 1695 (1980),
- [128] E. KYRIAKOPOULOS, Gen, Relat. Gray. 12, 989 (1980),
- [129] M. OMOTE AND M. WADATI, J; Math. Phys. 22, 961 (1981),

- [130] F.J. CHINEA, Phys. Rev. D24, 1053(1981),
- [131] F. FAYOS, E. FORNELLS AND J.A. LOBO, Gen. Relat. Grav. 11, 1093 (1989).
- [132] C.M. COSGROVE, J, Math. Phys. 21, 2417 (1980).
- [133] C.M. COSGROVE, J. Math. Phys. 22, 2624 (1981).
- [134] C.M. COSGROVE, J. Math. Phys. 23, 615 (1982).
- [135] F.J. CHINEA, Phys. Rev. D26, 2175 (1982).
- [136] M. OMOTE AND M. WADATI, Physica A114, 100 (1982).
- [137] F.J. CHINEA, Physica A114, 151 (1982),
- [138] F.J. CHINEA, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 221 (1983).
- [139] B.K. HARRISON, J. Math. Phys. 24, 2178 (1983).
- [140] M. GURSES, Phys. Rev. Lett, 51, 1810 (1983).
- [141] R.S. WARD, Gen, Relat Grav. 15, 105 (1983).
- [142] R.S. WARD, Phys. Lett. A100, 349 (1984).
- [143] M. GURSES, Phys. Lett. A101, 388 (1984),
- [144] E. KYRIAKOPOULOS, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20, 1667 (1987).
- [145] E. KYRIAKOPOULOS, Gen. Relat. Grav. 20, 1067 (1988).
- [146] E. KYRIAKOPOULOS, Class. Quant Grav. 6, 35 (1989).
- [147] E. KYRIAKOPOULOS, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5, 1285 (1990).
- [148] Y. WU, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 31, 161 (1992).
- [149] M. GURSES Phys. Rev. Lett 70, 367 (1993).
- [150] A. TOMIMATSU AND H. SATO, Supp. Prog. Theor. Phys. 70, 215 (1981).
- [151] K. OOHARA AND H. SATO, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65, 1891 (1981).
- [152] A. TOMIMATSU AND M. KIHARA, Prog. Theor. Phys. 67, 1406 (1982).
- [153] M. YAMAZAKI, Prog. Theor. Phys. 69, 503 (1983).
- [154] A. TOMIMATSU, Prog. Theor. Phys. 70, 385 (1983).
- [155] C. HOENSELAERS, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72, 761 (1984).
- [156] A.T. ILITCHEV AND N.R. SIBGATULLIN, Izv. Vuzov, Ser. Fizika 9,75 (1986)
- [157] D.A. KOROTKIN, Theor. Matem. Fizika 77, 25 (1988).

- [158] Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV, V.S. MANKO AND S.M. ABRAMYAN, Problemi Statist. Fizitg j Teor. Polya (in Russian) 129, (1987).
- [159] Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV AND V.S. MANKO, Gen. Relat. Grav. 20, 327 (1988),
- [160] S.B. BEISEKKEEV AND Ts.I. GUTSUNAEV, Pisma Zh. Exsp. Theor. Fiz. 54, 597
- [161] J. GARIEL AND G. MARCILHACY, Gravitation and Cosmology 8, 209 (2002).
- [162] A.A. SHAIDEMAN, “Generation of vacuum axially symmetric solutions to the equations of General Relativity using stationary Euclidons”, PhD thesis, Moscow, 2005 (in Russian).
- [163] D.M. ZIPOY, J. Math. Phys. 7, 1137 (1966).