THE SPINE OF A SUPERSINGULAR *l*-isogeny graph

TAHA HEDAYAT, SARAH ARPIN, AND RENATE SCHEIDLER

ABSTRACT. Supersingular elliptic curve ℓ -isogeny graphs over finite fields offer a setting for a number of quantum-resistant cryptographic protocols. The security analysis of these schemes typically assumes that these graphs behave randomly. Motivated by this debatable assertion, we explore structural properties of these graphs. We detail the behavior, governed by congruence conditions on p, of the ℓ -isogeny graph over \mathbb{F}_p when passing to the spine, i.e. the subgraph induced by the \mathbb{F}_p -vertices in the full ℓ -isogeny graph. We describe the diameter of the spine and offer numerical data on the number of vertices, over both \mathbb{F}_p and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, in the center of the ℓ -isogeny graph. Our plots of these counts exhibit an intriguing wave-shaped pattern which warrants further investigation.



1. INTRODUCTION

Supersingular elliptic curve isogeny graphs have undergone a surge of research activity in recent years, in part due to their suitability as a mathematical foundation for quantum-safe cryptographic applications. In particular, the path finding problem in these graphs seems to be intractable even on a quantum computer. For a prime p, the supersingular isogeny graph $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ has as its vertex set the

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H52, 11G20, 11-04, 11-11, 05C40.

Key words and phrases. Supersingular elliptic curve $\ell\text{-}\mathrm{isogeny}$ graph, spine, graph diameter, graph center.

 $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ -isomorphism classes of supersinguar elliptic curves, labeled by their *j*-invariants in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . The directed edges of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are the ℓ -isogenies between elliptic curves representing vertices, where ℓ is a (usually small) prime. Given two vertices, represented by two elliptic curves E, E' over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, the path finding problem in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ asks to find a path from E to E' comprised of ℓ -isogenies. The presumed intractability of this problem provides security for a number of cryptographic protocols, including [CLG09, DFKL⁺20, FFK⁺23] and their variants.

It is well-known that supersingular ℓ -isogeny graphs are optimal expander graphs, and are in fact Ramanujan graphs when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. The security analysis of supersingular isogeny based cryptographic schemes typically assumes that $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ behaves like a "random" Ramanujan graph, a supposition that has since been called into question. For example, the *p*-power Frobenius acting on $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ pairs up paths with their Frobenius conjugate paths. It also fixes vertices in \mathbb{F}_p and those ℓ isogenies between them that are defined over \mathbb{F}_p . Moreover, path finding becomes substantially easier when the start and end vertices belong to \mathbb{F}_p [DG16, CJS14]. Such special structural features exhibited by the subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ induced by the \mathbb{F}_p -vertices, referred to as the *spine* of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, may make it possible to distinguish a supersingular elliptic curve ℓ -isogeny graph from a random optimal expander or Ramanujan graph.

These questions prompted the authors of $[\text{ACNL}^+23]$ to launch a thorough investigation into the spine S_{ℓ}^p of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. To that end, they considered the supersingular isogeny \mathbb{F}_p -graph $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, where the vertices are now \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves and the edges are \mathbb{F}_p -rational ℓ -isogenies. The structure of this graph is well understood and was described in detail in [DG16]. There is a natural two-step process of passing from $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to the spine $\mathcal{S}_{\ell}^p \subset \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$: vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ corresponding to twists of curves are identified in \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p (with any \mathbb{F}_p -isogenies between them turning into loop edges), and edges arising from ℓ -isogenies not defined over \mathbb{F}_p are then added. In [ACNL⁺23], the possible behaviors exhibited by the connected components of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ under this process was analyzed in detail. Here, we expand on this exploration, refining the results of [ACNL⁺23] and offering new findings.

Our contribution herein is two-fold. In Section 4, we describe all the ways, characterized by explicit congruence conditions on p, in which components of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ can behave when passing to \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p for the arguably most interesting case of $\ell = 2$. In Section 5, we do the same for $\ell = 3$ and give a road map of how to extend our approach to isogeny degrees $\ell \geq 5$.

Leveraging our spine structure results, we describe the diameter (the largest possible directed distance between any pair of vertices) in any component of S_{ℓ}^p in Section 6. This is followed by an extensive numerical investigation of the center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, i.e. the set of vertices for which the largest distance to any other vertex is minimal. Center vertices can be thought of as having increased connectivity to the rest of the graph compared to the vertices outside the center. The fact that Frobenius is a graph automorphism on $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ that fixes precisely the \mathbb{F}_p -vertices might suggest that spine vertices are more prominently represented in the center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ than vertices outside \mathbb{F}_p . Our numerical experiments for $\ell = 2, 3$ and the first 2260 primes $p \neq 2, 3$ (i.e. $5 \leq p < 20000$) demonstrate that this is in fact not the case for this range of parameters, thereby providing evidence against this claim. Our plots of \mathbb{F}_p -vertex counts belonging to the center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ exhibit

a rather mysterious wave pattern, where wave peaks are spaced increasingly far apart for larger values of p. Similar wave shapes appear for $\ell = 3$, and this behavior becomes even more pronounced when plotting the size of the entire center of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ rather than just the number of its spine vertices. We were unable to explain this phenomenon, which clearly warrants further investigation and strongly hints at previously undiscovered hidden structural patterns in supersingular isogeny graphs.

1.1. Accompanying data and code. The data used to create the figures in this work, as well as the SageMath $[S^+25]$ code used to generate that data, can be found at the GitHub repository [Hed25]. Consult the README.md file for a list of the included files and their functionalies. This code is modified from its original version, which was created by the first author in the summer of 2023. Data collected in .csv files was generated using SageMath 10.4 $[S^+25]$ on a MacBook Pro, Apple M3, with 16 GB of memory, running macOS Sonoma 14.6.1.

1.2. Acknowledgments. The first and third author acknowledge the support of the *Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada* (NSERC). The second author is a faculty fellow of the Commonwealth Cyber Initiative and is supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant.

2. Supersingular elliptic curve isogeny graphs

Let p be a prime, \mathbb{F}_p the finite field of p elements and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p . We consider supersingular elliptic curves over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and recall that every $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism class of such curves contains a representative that is defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves are classified by their j-invariant, which is thus an element of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . The j-invariants of curves with extra automorphisms may or may not be supersingular; specifically, j = 1728 is supersingular if and only if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and j = 0 is supersingular if and only if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

While the endomorphism ring of a supersingular elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_p is isomorphic to a maximal order in a quaternion algebra, the ring of endomorphisms defined over \mathbb{F}_p of any elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p is isomorphic to an imaginary quadratic order. Specifically, when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, all supersingular elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{F}_p have \mathbb{F}_p -endomorphism ring isomorphic to the maximal order $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ of discriminant -4p. When $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, all such curves have \mathbb{F}_p -endomorphism ring isomorphic to either the maximal order $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-p})/2]$ of discriminant -p or its index 2 suborder $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ of discriminant -4p. For any quadratic discriminant Δ , denote by $h(\Delta)$ the class number (i.e. the size of the class group) of the quadratic order of discriminant Δ .

Proposition 2.1 (Class number, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then the quadratic order $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-p})/2]$ is maximal and has odd class number h(-p), and its quadratic suborder $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ has index 2 and class number h(-4p) = 3h(-p).

Proof. Suppose $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-p})/2]$ has discriminant -p which is fundamental, so this is the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. The suborder $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ has discriminant -4p and hence index 2 in the maximal order.

For any quadratic discriminant $\Delta < 0$, the 2-rank of the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$, i.e. its number of 2-Sylow factors, is one less than the number of prime factors of Δ

by genus theory (see [JW09, p. 170] for example). Hence h(-p) is odd when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The identity h(-4p) = 3h(-p) follows from [Cox22, Cor. 7.28]).

When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the 2-rank of the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ is 1, but this does not determine the 2-adic valuation of h(-4p).

Now fix a prime ℓ . We associate two directed graphs to the set of supersingular elliptic curves and their ℓ -isogenies. For both graphs, the set of vertices does not depend on ℓ , but the set of edge does. Two isogenies are said to be \mathbb{F}_{p} -equivalent (resp., $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ -equivalent) if they are equal up to post-composition with an \mathbb{F}_{p} -automorphism (resp., an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ -automorphism).

Definition 2.2 (Supersingular elliptic curve isogeny graphs). Let p and ℓ be primes. Define the following two graphs:

- The supersingular elliptic curve ℓ isogeny graph over \mathbb{F}_p , denoted $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, is the graph whose vertices are \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves and whose edges are ℓ -isogenies of these curves up to \mathbb{F}_p -equivalence.
- The supersingular elliptic curve ℓ -isogeny graph over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, denoted $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, is the graph whose vertices are $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves and whose edges are ℓ -isogenies of these curves up to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -equivalence.

By identifying ℓ -isogenies with their duals, both graphs become undirected. We will work with the undirected variants when it is convenient and edge direction does not matter.

It is well-known that $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is an optimal expander graph, and is in fact an $(\ell+1)$ -regular Ramanujan graph when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. We recall three key results governing the structure of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$:

- For any *j*-invariant *j*, the neighbors of *j* in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are precisely the roots of $\Phi_{\ell}(j, Y) \pmod{p}$. The multiplicity of an edge joining *j* to *j'* is the multiplicity of the root *j'* of $\Phi_{\ell}(j, Y) \pmod{p}$. An explicit list of modular polynomials of can be found at [Sut].
- Two (not necessary distinct) *j*-invariants j, j' are joined by a multi-edge in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ if both are roots of the polynomial $\operatorname{Res}_{\ell}(X) \pmod{p}$, where

(2.1)
$$\operatorname{Res}_{\ell}(X) = \operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{\ell}(X,Y), \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\Phi_{\ell}(X,Y); Y\right),$$

the resultant of the level ℓ modular polynomial $\Phi_{\ell}(X, Y)$ and its partial derivative with respect to Y when both are considered as polynomials in Y with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$.

• A root of the Hilbert class polynomial $H_{\Delta}(X)$ for the imaginary quadratic order of discriminant Δ is a supersingular *j*-invariant if and only if *p* is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$.

The structure of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for $p \geq 5$ was first described in [DG16]. Following volcano terminology, vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ corresponding to elliptic curves with \mathbb{F}_p -endomorphism ring $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-p})/2]$ are said to lie on the *surface*; those with \mathbb{F}_p -endomorphism rings $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ lie on the *floor*. When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, all vertices are on the floor. We recall the main structure theorem of [DG16] here.

Theorem 2.3. [DG16, Thm. 2.7], Structure of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime.

(1) If $\ell = 2$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ consists of h(-4p) vertices joined in adjacent pairs.





(3) If $\ell = 2$ and $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ consists of 2h(-p) vertices, organized into *volcanoes*. Each volcano contains a (possibly degenerate) cycle consisting of vertices on the surface, each of which is adjacent to a unique vertex on the floor.



(4) If $\ell > 2$, with $\ell \neq p$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a disoint union of (possibly degenerate) cycles. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then each cycle contains either surface vertices or floor vertices, but not both.



In cases (3) and (4), the length of each cycle is the order of the class generated by a prime ideal above ℓ in the class group of the corresponding quadratic order. When $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, vertices on the surface may be incident with loop edges.

Note that cycles may be degenerate, i.e. consist of one vertex only. In particular, for $\ell > 2$, $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ may consist of isolated vertices (possibly with loops); for example, when the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{-p}{\ell}\right) = -1$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ has class number 1. We characterize loops and multi-edges in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ when $p > \ell$.

Proposition 2.4 (Loops in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$). Suppose $p > \ell$.

(1) If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then a vertex on the surface of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is incident with a loop if and only if $4\ell - p$ is a perfect square. In this case, every vertex on the surface is incident with two distinct pairs of loops, each pair corresponding to a degree ℓ endomorphism and its dual.



(2) No vertex of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on the floor is incident with a loop.

Proof. Loops in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ correspond to degree ℓ endomorphisms over \mathbb{F}_p , which in turn correspond to elements of norm ℓ in the appropriate quadratic order.

(1) For brevity, put $\omega = (1 + \sqrt{-p})/2$ and let $\alpha = a + b\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ be the element of norm ℓ corresponding to loop incident with a vertex on the surface of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Since $p > \ell$, we obtain

$$4p > 4\ell = 4N(\alpha) = (2a+b)^2 + b^2p \ge b^2p.$$

so $|b| \leq 1$. If b = 0, then $\ell = N(\alpha) = a^2$ which is impossible since ℓ is prime. This forces $b = \pm 1$, so $4\ell - p = (2a \pm 1)^2$ is a perfect square. Conversely, if $4\ell - p = m^2$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then m must be odd. Thus, $\alpha = a + \omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ with a = (m - 1)/2 is an element of norm ℓ . Note that $\alpha = (m + \sqrt{-p})/2$, so the 4 loops at j correspond to the 4 elements $(\pm m \pm \sqrt{-p})/2 \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ of norm ℓ .

(2) Now let $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-p} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$. Then $N(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2 p$. If $b \neq 0$, then $N(\alpha) \geq p > \ell$, where if b = 0, then $N(\alpha) = a^2$ which is not prime and hence also distinct from ℓ . Hence $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ contains no elements of norm ℓ .

In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ can only contain loops if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $p \leq 4\ell - 1$. Applying this to $\ell = 2, 3$ immediately yields the following.

Corollary 2.5 (Loops in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for $\ell = 2, 3$). For $\ell = 2, 3$ and $p > \ell$, the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains no loops except when $(\ell, p) \in \{(2, 7), (3, 11)\}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has one vertex that is incident with two pairs of loops.

We now turn to multi-edges in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that are not loops.

Proposition 2.6 (Multi-edges in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$). Suppose $p > \ell$.

(1) If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains no directed multi-edges except possibly loops unless $(\ell, p) = (2, 3)$. Here, $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ has one directed triple edge.



(2) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains directed multi-edges if and only if it has at least two vertices and $2\ell - p$ is a perfect square. In this case, the vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are joined pairwise by pairs of double edges in opposite directions.



Proof. A directed multi-edge in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that is not a loop corresponds to multiple \mathbb{F}_p -non-equivalent ℓ -isogenies $\phi, \psi : E \to E'$ where E and E' are not isomorphic over \mathbb{F}_p . Then $\hat{\phi}\psi$ is an endomorphism on E of degree ℓ^2 , and we have a multi-edge if and only if $\hat{\phi}\psi$ is not the multiplication by ℓ map on E. Thus, multi-edges arise from elements $\alpha \neq \pm \ell$ of norm ℓ^2 in the corresponding quadratic order which are not squares up to sign.

(1) Assume $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Note that ℓ does not ramify in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ as $\ell \neq p$. Suppose first that ℓ splits in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, and write $(\ell) = \mathfrak{l}\overline{\mathfrak{l}}$ with prime ideals $\mathfrak{l}, \overline{\mathfrak{l}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Note that this precludes the case p = 3, as $\ell < p$ implies $\ell = 2$ in this case, but 2 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ with $\alpha \neq \pm \ell$ and $N(\alpha) = \ell^2$. Unique prime ideal factorization, together with $(\alpha) \neq (\ell)$, forces $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{l}^2$ or $(\alpha) = \overline{\mathfrak{l}}^2$. Assume the former; the case $(\alpha) = \overline{\mathfrak{l}}^2$ is entirely analogous. Then \mathfrak{l}^2 is principal. Since h(-p) is odd by Proposition 2.1, \mathfrak{l} must be principal. This means that α is a square in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ up to sign, which we precluded.

Now assume that ℓ is inert in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Since (ℓ) is the only prime ideal of norm ℓ^2 , unique prime ideal factorization implies $(\alpha) = (\ell)$. The assumption $\alpha \neq \ell$ now forces p = 3 (the only case where $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ has non-trivial

units) and hence $\ell = 2$ since $\ell < p$. Thus, $\alpha = \pm 2\zeta^k$ where k = 1, 2 and ζ is a primitive cube root of unity, so $\pm \alpha \in \{1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1 - \sqrt{-3}\}$. Indeed, among the four \mathbb{F}_3 -isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_3 , exactly two, represented by the curves $E_{\pm} : y^2 = x^3 \pm x$, are 2-isogenous over \mathbb{F}_3 . The curve E_+ is on the floor, whereas E_- is on the surface and has, up to sign, three \mathbb{F}_3 -rational automorphisms $(x, y) \mapsto (x + i, y)$ for i = 0, 1, 2. So there are three \mathbb{F}_3 -inequivalent 2-isogenies from E_- to E_+ , producing three directed edges. Their duals differ only by post-composition by an automorphism on E_- and are hence equivalent, yielding one edge in the opposite direction.

(2) Now suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ with $N(\alpha) = \ell^2$, $\alpha \neq \pm \ell$ and $\pm \alpha$ not a square in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$. Write $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-p}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a^2 + b^2p = \ell^2$ and $b \neq 0$, so $|a| < \ell$. We have

(2.2)
$$b^2 p = \ell^2 - a^2 = (\ell - |a|)(\ell + |a|),$$

so p divides $\ell - |a|$ or $\ell + |a|$. Since $1 \leq \ell - |a| \leq \ell < p$, the first of these possibilities cannot happen; hence $p \mid \ell + |a|$. Write $\ell + |a| = kp$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $k \geq 1$ and $k\ell < kp = \ell + |a| < 2\ell$, forcing k = 1 and hence $p = \ell + |a|$. By (2.2), we have $b^2 = \ell - |a| = 2\ell - p$, so $2\ell - p$ is a perfect square. Conversely, if $2\ell - p = b^2$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the 4 elements $\alpha = \pm (p - \ell) \pm b\sqrt{-p}$ all have norm ℓ^2 , are distinct from $\pm \ell$, and are not squares in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$.

Note that as in the case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{l}^2$ or $(\alpha) = \overline{\mathfrak{l}}^2$, where \mathfrak{l} and $\overline{\mathfrak{l}}$ are the two prime ideals above (ℓ) in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$. So the ideal class of \mathfrak{l} has order 2 in the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. This means that if $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has more than one vertex and $2\ell - p$ is a perfect square (which rules out $\ell = 2$ as $p \geq 5$), all the cycles in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ as described in part (4) of Theorem 2.3 have length 2. They correspond to two pairs of directed edges and their respective duals.

Proposition 2.6 shows that if $p > \ell > 2$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ can only contain directed double edges when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \leq 2\ell - 1$. The cases $\ell = 2, 3$ can now once again be easily deduced.

Corollary 2.7 (Multi-edges in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for $\ell = 2, 3$). For $\ell = 2, 3$ and $p > \ell$, apart from the loops of Corollary 2.5, $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains no directed multi-edges except when $(\ell, p) \in \{(2, 3), (3, 5)\}$.

A list of prime pairs (ℓ, p) with $2 \leq \ell < 100$ and $p > \ell$ for which $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has directed multi-edges, including loops, can be found in the file name loops & multi-edges in G_1(Fp).pdf at the GitHub repository [Hed25]. A notebook with code to generate visual depictions of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, entitled Graph_Viz.ipynb, is also available at [Hed25].

3. The spine of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

In this section, we review the relationship between $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$; specifically the process of moving from \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism classes, and from ℓ -isogenies defined over \mathbb{F}_p to those defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. This material is a summary of [ACNL⁺23].

Definition 3.1 (Spine). The spine S_{ℓ}^p is the subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ induced by the vertices in \mathbb{F}_p . Specifically, the vertices of S_{ℓ}^p are the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{F}_p , and its edges are all the ℓ -isogenies joining these vertices.

There are natural maps between the graphs $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, and \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p .

Definition 3.2. Define $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ to take vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to their $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism classes and edges to their $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -equivalence classes.

Next, define $\Theta : \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ to add edges between vertices in $\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma)$ that correspond to isogenies defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and not defined over \mathbb{F}_p . In particular, $\Theta(\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma)) = \mathcal{S}_{\ell}^p$.

Lastly, define $\Omega = \Theta \circ \Gamma : \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathcal{S}_{\ell}^p \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p).$

When Ω is applied to $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, the following graph structural changes are possible:

Definition 3.3.

Stacking: Two connected components of G_ℓ(F_p) stack under the map Γ of Definition 3.2 if they are the same graph when labeled by j-invariants.



• Folding: A connected component of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ folds under the map Γ if it only contains vertices corresponding to both quadratic twists for every *j*-invariant appearing as a vertex in the component.

$$(j_1)$$
 (j_1) (j_2) (j_1)

• Attachment at a vertex: Two components of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ have a vertex attachment under the map Γ if they both contain a vertex with the same *j*-invariant but the neighbors of that shared *j*-invariant are not the same in the two components.



• Attachment by a new edge: Two connected components of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ have an *edge attachment* if a new edge appears under the map Θ of Definition 3.2 which connects these two components.



Definition 3.3 immediately implies the following.

Lemma 3.4. Folding and stacking are mutually exclusive.

Proof. If two components stack, then one component's vertices correspond to the quadratic twists of the other component's vertices. If a component folds, then the quadratic twists of its vertices belong to that same component. \Box

As shown in [ACNL⁺23, Prop. 3.16 and Cor. 3.24], vertex attachment is only possible at the *j*-invariant 1728 and only for $\ell > 2$. Attachment by a new edge implies a double edge in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ by [ACNL⁺23, Cor. 3.15]. We recall the precise theorems from [ACNL⁺23] describing the changes to $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ under the map Ω :

Theorem 3.5 ([ACNL⁺23, Thm. 3.29]). Let $\ell = 2$. Under the map $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ of Definition 3.2, only stacking and folding are possible. Under the map $\Theta : \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, at most one attachment by a new edge is possible. In particular, attachment by a vertex is not possible.

Theorem 3.6 ([ACNL⁺23, Thm. 3.18]). Let p and $\ell > 2$ be distinct primes such that the order of a prime ideal \mathfrak{l} above ℓ in the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ is odd. Under the map $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$:

- the two components containing vertices corresponding to j = 1728 fold and attach at the vertex j = 1728;
- all other components stack.

Under the map $\Theta : \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, the number of new edges is bounded by the degree of $\operatorname{Res}_{\ell}(X) \pmod{p}$, with $\operatorname{Res}_{\ell}(X)$ given in (2.1).

In the next two sections, building on the results of $[\text{ACNL}^+23, \text{Sec. 3}]$, we explicitly describe the structure of S_2^p and and S_3^p in terms of specific congruence conditions on p. We also provide a road map for extending this approach in principle to any ℓ and outline obstacles one might encounter. Small primes are treated separately elsewhere: a detailed description of the graphs $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for $2 \leq p \leq 13$ can be found under the file name SmallCharacteristic-GraphDescription.pdf. This information can also be generated with the notebook Small_Prime_Information.ipynb, and the notebook Graph-Viz.ipynp generates images of all three graphs. All these sources are available at [Hed25].

4. Structure of the spine \mathcal{S}_2^p

In this section, we provide congruence conditions that govern the structure of S_2^p , the spine for $\ell = 2$. This case carries the most interest, especially when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, due to the volcano structure of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. For much of this section, we only consider primes $p \geq 17$; for details on the primes $2 \leq p \leq 13$, consult the sources cited at the end of Secton 3.

As expected, our investigation makes extensive use of the modular poynomial $\Phi_2(X, X)$ and the polynomial $\text{Res}_2(X)$ as defined in (2.1). These polynomials are given as follows:

(4.1) $\Phi_2(X, X) = -(X - 1728)(X - 8000)(X + 3375)^2$,

(4.2) $\operatorname{Res}_2(X) = -2^2 X^2 (X - 1728) (X + 3375)^2 (X^2 + 191025X - 121287375)^2.$

By Corollary 2.5, $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains loops only for p = 7. We recall a well-known result about loops in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

Lemma 4.1 (Loops in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$). Let $p \neq 2, 7$. Loops occur in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ at vertices corresponding to precisely the following *j*-invariants, all belonging to \mathbb{F}_p :

1728 if
$$p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

8000 if $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$
-3375 if $p \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$.

Proof. The loops in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$] are precisely the roots of the polynomial $\Phi_2(X, X)$ of (4.1). Its linear factors are the Hilbert class polynomials $H_{-4}(X)$, $H_{-8}(X)$ and $H_{-7}(X)$. The congruence conditions on p characterize when p is inert in the corresponding imaginary quadratic fields.

The *j*-invariants listed in Lemma 4.1 need not be distinct for primes $p \leq 5$; these small primes are handled explicitly in the document SmallCharacteristicGraph-Description.pdf at [Hed25].

Proposition 4.2 (Folding for $\ell = 2$). The only connected components of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that fold are those containing vertices with j = 8000 (if $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$) and/or those containing vertices with j = 1728 (if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$).

Proof. A component folds if and only if it contains only vertices corresponding to both \mathbb{F}_p -twists of a supersingular elliptic curve *j*-invariant; see [ACNL+23, Cor. 3.28]. The congruence class for j = 8000 has been updated to specify the supersingular primes for this *j*-invariant. In [ACNL+23], the authors mistakenly declare that j = 8000 is only supersingular for $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, when in fact j = 8000 is supersingular for $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. In fact, the two models that the authors list for the curve over \mathbb{Z} :

 $E_{8000}: y^2 = x^3 - 4320x + 96768, \quad E_{8000}^t: y^2 = x^3 - 17280x - 774144$

are twists by $\sqrt{-2}$ (not $\sqrt{2}$, as stated by the authors). Since -2 is not a square modulo p for $p \equiv 5,7 \pmod{8}$, E and E' reduce to supersingular quadratic twists over \mathbb{F}_p for such primes p. Moreover, as noted in [ACNL⁺23], these curves have a \mathbb{Z} -rational 2-isogeny between them, which means they belong to the same connected component of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Likewise, \mathbb{Z} -models for j = 1728 show that both \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphism classes lie on the same connected component of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Since edge attachment forces a double edge, we need to identify double edges in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ that join two vertices in \mathbb{F}_p . This amounts to ascertaining when the roots of the polynomial $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$ in (4.2) are supersingular and belong to \mathbb{F}_p . For j = 0, this requires $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and for the roots 1728 and -3375 of $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$, this was addressed in Lemma 4.1. So we need only consider the quadratic factor of $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$, which is in fact the Hilbert class polynomial $H_{-15}(X)$.

Lemma 4.3 (Multi-edges in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$). Let $p \ge 7$. In addition to the loops identified in Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ has multi-edges when p = 7, 13 or $p \equiv 11, 14 \pmod{15}$.

Proof. By (4.2), additional double edges correspond to the roots modulo p of $H_{-15}(X) = X^2 + 191025X - 121287375$, which are $(-191025 \pm 85995\sqrt{5})/2$. For p odd, their reductions modulo p belong to \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $p \mid 85995 = 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13$ or 5 is a quadratic residue modulo p. So assuming $p \geq 7$, $H_{-15} \pmod{p}$ has roots in \mathbb{F}_p if and only if p = 7, 13 or $(\frac{5}{p}) = 1$. The latter condition holds if and only if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$.

The roots of $H_{-15}(X) \pmod{p}$ are *j*-invariants of supersingular elliptic curves if and only if $\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right) = -1$. Note that this holds for p = 7 and p = 13, in which case $H_{-15}(X)$ has the double root $-191025/2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$; else it has two distinct roots in \mathbb{F}_p . Assuming $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = 1$, the condition $\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right) = -1$ reduces to $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = -1$, or equivalently, $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Finally, $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $p \equiv 11$ or 14 (mod 15). **Proposition 4.4** (New edges and edge attachment for $\ell = 2$). Suppose $p \ge 17$.

- (1) If $p \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then new edges appear at the supersingular *j*-invariants which are roots of $H_{-15}(X)$. The new edge joining the two distinct roots of $H_{-15}(X)$ is attaching. Thus, edge attachment happens when $p \equiv 11, 29, 41, 59, 89, 101 \pmod{120}$.
- (2) If $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then attachment by an edge can only happen between vertices distinct from -3375, 1728 and 0 whose *j*-invariants are roots of $H_{-15}(X)$.

Proof. This is an extension of [ACNL⁺23, Cor. 3.30], where the authors proved the result under the assumption that p > 101.

New edges correspond to distinct roots of $H_{-15}(x)$ that are supersingular *j*-invariants in \mathbb{F}_p . Since $p \neq 7, 13$, the roots of $H_{-15}(x)$ are distinct modulo *p* and are supersingular for $p \equiv 11$ or 14 (mod 15) by Lemma 4.3. Combining these congruence conditions with $p \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ results in the set of congruence classes listed in part (1). In this case, if p > 101, then the new edge is an attaching edge by [ACNL⁺23, Cor. 3.30]. It thus suffices to verify this for p = 29, 41, 59, 71, 89, and 101. For p = 29, 41 and 59, edge attachment holds by the connectivity of $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and the fact that all the supersingular *j*-invariants belong to \mathbb{F}_p . For p = 89 and 101, direct computation of \mathcal{S}_2^p confirms the edge attachment.

The case $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ is covered in [ACNL⁺23, Prop. 3.25].

For $p \equiv 11, 14 \pmod{15}$ with $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, or equivalently, $p \equiv 71$ or 119 (mod 120), the new edges of Proposition 4.4 may or may not be attaching.

Triple edges in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ also play a role in ascertaining edge attachment. The following theorem characterizes the occurrence of triple edges in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

Proposition 4.5 (Triple edges in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$). For brevity, let $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$.

- (1) If $p \in \mathcal{P}_2$, then $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is identical to the spine \mathcal{S}_2^p and consists of a single vertex with a triple loop.
- (2) If $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2$, and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then there is a triple edge from the vertex corresponding to j = 0 to the vertex corresponding to j = 54000.
- (3) For all other primes $p, \mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ does not contain triple edges.

Proof. A proof for the primes $p \in \mathcal{P}_2$ can be found in the document Small-CharacteristicGraphDescription.pdf at [Hed25], so suppose $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2$. The primes p for which \mathcal{S}_2^p contains a triple edge are those for which the polynomials $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$ of (4.2) and the polynomial

$$\operatorname{Res}_{2}^{(2)}(X) = \operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{\ell}(X,Y), \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Y^{2}}\Phi_{\ell}(X,Y); Y\right)$$
$$= -2^{2} \cdot 3X(X - 405)(X^{2} - 2571X + 1492425)$$

share a common root in \mathbb{F}_p . The respective sets of roots of these two polynomials are

$$\left\{0, 1728, -3375, \frac{-191025 \pm 85995\sqrt{5}}{2}\right\}, \quad \left\{0, 405, \frac{2571 \pm 39\sqrt{421}}{2}\right\}.$$

The *j*-invariant 0 is always a common root of both polynomials, so whenever j = 0 is supersingular (i.e. whenever $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$), the graph S_2^p has a triple edge. Solving $\Phi_2(0, Y) = 0$ for Y over \mathbb{Z} , we see that this triple edge is to the vertex j = 54000 and is hence not a loop (as $54000 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ for $p \geq 7$). We show that $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$ and $\operatorname{Res}_2^{(2)}(X)$ have no other shared roots when $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2$, thus ruling out any other triple edges in \mathcal{S}_2^p .

For brevity, let $f(X) = X^2 - 2571X + 1492425$ denote the quadratic factor of $\operatorname{Res}_2^{(2)}(X)$. We first observe that the root j = 1728 of $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$ is not a root of $\operatorname{Res}_2^{(2)}(X)$. For $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2$, we note that $1728 \not\equiv 0, 405 \pmod{p}$ and $f(1728) = 3^6 \cdot 7^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Similarly, the root j = -3375 of $\operatorname{Res}_2(X)$ is not also a root of $\operatorname{Res}_2^{(2)}(X)$, as $-3375 \not\equiv 0$ or 405 modulo p and $f(-3375) = 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ when $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2$.

Finally, we establish that $H_{-15}(X)$ and f(X) have no shared root. Equating the roots of these two polynomials modulo p yields the following sequence of implications:

$$\frac{-191025 \pm 85995\sqrt{5}}{2} \equiv \frac{2571 \pm 39\sqrt{421}}{2} \pmod{p},$$

$$(85995)^2 \cdot 5 \equiv \left(193596 \pm 39\sqrt{421}\right)^2 \pmod{p},$$

$$-504351432 \equiv \pm 15100488\sqrt{421} \pmod{p},$$

$$(-504351432)^2 \equiv (15100488)^2 \cdot 421 \pmod{p},$$

$$158371952330592000 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

The only prime $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2$ that divides 158371952330592000 is p = 11. The constant coefficients of $H_{-15}(X)$ and f(X) are both multiples of 11, and it is now easy to verify that the only common root of these two polynomials modulo 11 is 0.

With these ingredients, we are ready to explicitly describe the graph structure of S_2^p . As in Theorem 2.3, we consider three cases according to the structure of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, covered in Theorems 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8, respectively. As before, we refer to the document SmallCharacteristicGraphDescription.pdf at [Hed25] for the primes p with $2 \le p \le 13$.

Theorem 4.6 (Spine structure, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell = 2$). Let $p \geq 17$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. (mod 4). When mapping $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ into the spine \mathcal{S}_2^p , there may be stacking, folding, or attachment by new edges. The following congruence classes of p determine precisely which of these occur and how often.

- (1) p = 29: $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_{29})$ has two components: the component containing the two vertices with j = 8000 folds, and there is an edge attachment connecting this folded component to the other component. The spine \mathcal{S}_2^p is the entire 2-isogeny graph $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.
- (2) $p \equiv 29,101 \pmod{120}$, $p \neq 29$: the component containing the two vertices with j = 8000 folds, all other components stack, and there is an edge attachment connecting two stacked components. The spine S_2^p consists of one isolated vertex corresponding to j = 8000 with a loop, one component with four vertices, and the remaining h(-4p)/2 - 5 vertices are joined in pairs.
- (3) $p \equiv 41,89 \pmod{120}$: all components stack, and there is an edge attachment. The spine S_2^p consists of one connected component with four vertices, and the remaining h(-4p)/2 4 vertices are joined in pairs.
- (4) $p \equiv 13, 37, 53, 61, 77, 109 \pmod{120}$: the component containing the two vertices with j = 8000 folds, all other components stack, and there are no

edge attachments. The spine S_2^p consists of one isolated vertex corresponding to j = 8000 with a loop, and the rest of the h(-4p)/2 - 1 vertices connect in pairs.

(5) $p \equiv 1, 17, 49, 73, 97, 113 \pmod{120}$: all components stack and there are no edge attachments. The spine S_2^p consists of h(-4p)/2 vertices joined in pairs.

The five cases are summarized in Table 4.1.

	Edge attachment		No edge attachment
No fold	$p \equiv 41,89 \pmod{120}$		$p \equiv 1, 17, 49, 73, 97, \\113 \pmod{120}$
One fold	w/ folded comp.	not w/ folded comp.	$p \equiv 13, 37, 53, 61, 77, \\109 \pmod{120}$
	p = 29	$p \equiv 29,101 \pmod{120},$ $p \neq 29$	

TABLE 4.1. Spine structure for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Proof. The case p = 29 can be verified by directly computing S_2^{29} .

We consider the cases for stacking and folding first, followed by those of edge attachment. The individual congruence conditions can be combined by the Chinese Remainder Theorem to provide the statements in this theorem.

Folding and stacking: By Proposition 4.2, the only connected components which could possibly fold are those containing j = 8000 and j = 1728. Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, j = 1728 is not a supersingular *j*-invariant. The *j*-invariant 8000 is supersingular over \mathbb{F}_p whenever $p \equiv 5,7 \pmod{8}$. Combining this with our assumption that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the connected component with vertices having *j*-invariant 8000 will fold whenever $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. The rest of the components necessarily stack. Edge attachment: Every edge that appears in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ but does not already belong

to $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ results in a double edge by [ACNL+23, Lem. 3.14]. If a new edge is not attaching, this would result in a triple edge in the case of $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ due to the structure of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Since there are no triple edges for p > 13 by Proposition 4.5, every new edge must produce an edge attachment.

By Proposition 4.4, attachment by a new edge happens when $p \equiv 11, 29, 41, 59, 89, 101 \pmod{120}$. Combining this with our assumption that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ yields the congruence classes $p \equiv 29, 41 \pmod{60}$.

To ascertain whether or not this edge attaches to the folding component (corresponding to j = 8000), we observe that j = 8000 is supersingular only when $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \pmod{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and 8000 is a root of $H_{15}(X)$ only for p = 29 (under the condition p > 13). This explains the second column of Table 4.1. The third column is obtained by sorting the remaining congruence classes modulo 120 by their congruence class modulo 8.

Theorem 4.7 (Spine structure, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell = 2$). Let $p \geq 17$ with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. When mapping $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ into the spine \mathcal{S}_2^p , there may be stacking, folding, or attachment by new edges. The connected component of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ containing the two vertices with j = 1728 always folds. The following congruence classes of p determine precisely which of these occur and how often.

(1) p = 59: the folded component gets edge attached to another component by an edge between two vertices on the floor.

(2) $p \equiv 11,59 \pmod{120}$ and $p \neq 11,59$: an edge attachment takes place between two stacked components with the attaching edge being incident to two vertices on the floor.

(3) $p \equiv 19, 43, 67, 83, 91, 107 \pmod{120}$: no edge attachment takes place. The three cases are summarized in Table 4.2.

No edge attachment	$p \equiv 19, 43, 67, 83, 91, 107 \pmod{120}$	
EA w/ folded comp.	p = 59	
EA not w/ folded comp.	$p \equiv 11, 59 \pmod{120}$ and $p \neq 11, 59$	

TABLE 4.2. Spine structure for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$

Proof. The case p = 59 can be again be observed directly by computing S_2^{59} .

As before, we consider stacking and folding first, followed by edge attachment. Chinese remaindering again produces the specified congruence classes for p.

Folding and stacking: By Proposition 4.2, the only connected components which could possibly fold are those containing j = 8000 or j = 1728. Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, j = 8000 is not a supersingular *j*-invariant, but j = 1728 is supersingular, and folding happens for the component containing the two vertices with j = 1728. Edge attachment: By Proposition 4.4, attachment by a new edge happens when $p \equiv 11, 29, 41, 59, 89, 101 \pmod{120}$. Combining this with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ yields $p \equiv 11, 59 \pmod{120}$.

To ascertain when the new edge attaches to the folded component, note that this component consists precisely of curves with j = 1728 or j = 287496. This can be seen by taking a model for j = 1728 over \mathbb{Z} and computing the possible 2-isogenies. For p > 13, the *j*-invariant j = 1728 is not a root of $H_{-15}(X)$, and j = 287496 is a root of $H_{-15}(X)$ precisely when p = 59. In the remaining cases, the edge attachment occurs between stacking components. This produces Table 4.2.

Theorem 4.8 (Spine structure, $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell = 2$). Let $p \geq 17$ with $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. (mod 8). When mapping $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ into the spine \mathcal{S}_2^p , there may be stacking, folding, or attachment by new edges. Only the unique connected component of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ containing j = 1728 and j = 8000 folds. The following congruence classes of p determine the presence of new edges.

- (1) $p \equiv 71,119 \pmod{120}$: there is a new double-edge in S_2^p which may or may not be an attachment.
- (2) $p \equiv 7, 23, 31, 47, 79, 103 \pmod{120}$: edge attachment does not occur.

Proof. We proceed as in the proofs of the previous two theorems. By Proposition 4.2, the only components of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ which could possibly fold are those containing j = 8000 and j = 1728. For $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, both these are supersingular, and are distinct for p > 13. By [ACNL⁺23, Ex. 3.8], exactly one of the occurrences of 1728 in $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ lies on the surface of a volcano. Since h(-p) is odd by Proposition 2.1, any volcano rim contains an odd number of vertices. In order for folding to occur, this rim must also contain two adjacent vertices with the same *j*-invariant, which is only possible for j = 8000. Hence the unique component containing j = 1728 and j = 8000 folds.

A new edge is added when $p \equiv 11, 14 \pmod{15}$ by Proposition 4.4, but this may or may not be an edge attachment. Other than this edge, there are no new

14

non-loop edges, so edge attachment cannot occur. Combining $p \equiv 11, 14 \pmod{15}$ with $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ gives $p \equiv 71, 119 \pmod{120}$.

Remark 4.9. Theorem 4.8 shows that precisely one connected component of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ folds for $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. The structure of this folding component tells us that the smallest positive integer k such that there exists an \mathbb{F}_p -rational isogeny of degree 2^k between an elliptic curve with j = 8000 and an elliptic curve with j = 1728 is $k = (\operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{l}_2) - 1)/2$, where $\operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{l}_2)$ denotes the (necessarily odd) order of a prime ideal above 2 in the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$.

Remark 4.10. Whether or not the new edge of Theorem 4.8 (1) produces an edge attachment depends entirely on whether the roots of $H_{-15}(X)$ belong to the same connected component of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. When $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, the volcano structure of this graph leaves too many possibilities. There is no single simple condition to establish the existence of an endomorphism etc., so we are not able to determine whether or not the new (double) edge is attaching. We provide examples for each case.

Example 4.11 (p = 71, no edge attachment). For p = 71, edge attachment is in principle possible by Theorem 4.8; however, it does not occur. The graph $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_{71})$ consists of a single component. It has 7 vertices on the surface joined to 7 vertices on the floor. This component folds and there is a new edge, but clearly this cannot be an edge attachment: any time $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ consists of a single connected component, edge attachment is not possible. See Figure 4.1.



FIGURE 4.1. (A): The graph $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_{71})$, with vertices labeled by the triple of invariants (j, c_4, c_6) . (B): The spine graph \mathcal{S}_2^{71} , with vertices labeled by *j*-invariant. Images created in [S⁺25].

Example 4.12 (p = 1319, edge attachment). For $p = 1319 \equiv 71 \pmod{120}$, edge attachment is possible by Theorem 4.8, and in fact it occurs. The graph $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_{1319})$ has five connected components. Each component is a volcano with 9 vertices on the surface and 9 vertices on the floor. Two pairs of connected components stack and



FIGURE 4.2. (A): One (of five) connected components of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_{1319})$, with vertices labeled by the triple of invariants (j, c_4, c_6) . (B): The spine graph \mathcal{S}_2^{1310} , with vertices labeled by *j*-invariant. Images created in [S⁺25].

the remaining connected component folds. The two stacked components attach at a new edge at the vertices with j-invariants 446 and 1103. See Figure 4.2.

5. Structure of \mathcal{S}^p_{ℓ} for $\ell \geq 3$

The process of moving from $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p for $\ell \geq 3$ is less involved than the analogous procedure for $\ell = 2$ due to the substantially simpler structure of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ as a collection of disjoint cycles. We provide an overview of the general method for computing spine structures, with particular focus on the case $\ell = 3$, where we describe the structure of \mathcal{S}_{3}^{p} in a manner similar to Theorems 4.6-4.8.

Note that if $(\frac{-p}{\ell}) = -1$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has no edges, including loops, by Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5. In this case, all components (i.e. isolated vertices) stack, none fold, there is no vertex attachment, and only new edges are introduced.

Step 1: Loops. Determine the vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ belonging to \mathbb{F}_p that incur loops by factoring $\Phi_{\ell}(X, X)$ over \mathbb{F}_p and determining the congruence classes for p such that these vertices correspond to supersingular j-invariants in \mathbb{F}_p . For edge attachment (investigated in step 3), also ascertain which of these loops belong to $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ via Proposition 2.4.

By Corollary 2.5, $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains loops loops only for $\ell = 11$. The loops in $\mathcal{G}_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are given as follows.

Lemma 5.1 (Loops in $\mathcal{G}_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$). Let $p \neq 2, 3, 11$. Loops occur in $\mathcal{G}_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ at vertices corresponding to precisely the following *j*-invariants, all belonging to \mathbb{F}_p :

0 and 54000 if
$$p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

8000 if $p \equiv 5,7 \pmod{8}$
-32768 if $p \equiv 2,3,6,7,8,10 \pmod{11}$

Proof. We have

 $\Phi_3(X,X) = -X(X-54000)(X-8000)^2(X+32768)^2 = H_{-3}H_{-12}H_{-8}^2(X)H_{-11}(X)^2.$

The congruence conditions on p come from the inertness of p in the corresponding quadratic fields.

The *j*-invariants of Lemma 5.1 are not all distinct for $p \leq 5$.

Step 2: Folding and vertex attachment. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then there are two different components containing 1728 by Theorem 3.6. The two components fold and get attached at vertex j = 1728, and all other components stack.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the components that can fold are those containing two neighbours in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with the same *j*-invariant, where $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ does not incur a loop (but of course $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ does). These vertices, along with loops (see step 1), correspond to vertices $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\Phi_{\ell}(j, j) = 0$.

Proposition 5.2 (Folding and vertex attachment for $\ell = 3$). If $p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$, then only the two components containing j = 1728 fold and attach at 1728. If $p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$, then only the component containing j = 0 folds and there is no vertex attachment. Else there is neither folding nor vertex attachment.

Proof. For $p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$, this is Theorem 3.6. Suppose $p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$. Then j = 0,54000 are supersingular (they are the roots of $H_{-3}(X)$ and $H_{-12}(X)$, respectively), whereas 1728 is not. Two elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{F}_p with j = 0 are $E_0: y^2 = x^3 + 1$ and $E_0^t: y^2 = x^3 - 3$, its twist by -3. Since $-3 \notin \mathbb{F}_p$, the are non-isomorphic over \mathbb{F}_p . There is a 3-isogeny from E_0 to E_p^t with kernel $\langle (0,1) \rangle$ and hence defined over \mathbb{F}_p , i.e. corresponding to an edge in $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Now 0 and 54000 are the only \mathbb{F}_p -vertices j in $\mathcal{G}_3(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ that are roots of $\Phi_3(j, Y)$. Using the same reasoning as in the proof of [ACNL+23, Thm. 3.18], we can show that all their occurrences in $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ belong to the same component, and this is the only component that folds.

For all other primes p, we have $\left(\frac{-p}{3}\right) = -1$, so $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains no edges.

Step 3: New edges and edge attachment. From the factorization of the polynomials $\operatorname{Res}_{\ell}(X)$ into Hilbert class polynomials, determine the new edges; it may not always be possible to ascertain whether or not they attach.

We sketch the idea for $\ell = 3$. We have

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{Res}_3(X) = -3^3 X^2 (X - 8000)^2 (X - 1728)^2 H_{-20}(X) H_{-32}(X) H_{-35}(X).$$

We first find congruence conditions on p under which $H_{-20}(X)$, $H_{-32}(X)$, $H_{-35}(X)$ have supersingular roots in p, and when any two or all three of these polynomials share a root. Next, rather than resorting to another resultant polynomial to check for triple edges as we did in Proposition 4.5, we investigate edge incidence at each of the loop vertices j listed in Lemma 5.1 by considering the polynomial $\Phi_3(j, Y)$. For each of these vertices, we check whether there are new loops at j or a new edge from j to 0, 1728 or another vertex (resulting in a multi-edge). We have

$$\begin{split} \Phi_3(0,Y) &= Y(Y+12288000)^3 = YH_{-27}(Y)^3,\\ \Phi_3(54000,Y) &= H_{-12}(Y)H_{-108}(Y),\\ \Phi_3(8000,Y) &= (Y-8000)^2H_{-72}(Y),\\ \Phi_3(-32768,Y) &= H_{-11}(Y)^2H_{-99}(Y),\\ \Phi_3(1728,Y) &= H_{-36}(Y)^2. \end{split}$$

For each of these polynomials, we check whether the factors have roots in \mathbb{F}_p that represent supersingular *j*-invariants, and whether roots between factors collide modulo *p*. All these conditions impose congruence restrictions on *p*. Putting it all together, we obtain three spine structure theorems, differentiated by number of folding components. For brevity, put

 $\mathcal{P}_3 = \{5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 61, 71, 79, 89, 101, 139, 151, 199, 271\}.$

The spines for $p \in \mathcal{P}_3$, along with the graphs $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, are explicitly described in the document SmallCharacteristicGraphDescription.pdf at [Hed25], so we only consider primes $p \notin \mathcal{P}_3$ here.

Theorem 5.3 (Spine structure, $\ell = 3$, no folding). Suppose $p \notin \mathcal{P}_3$. In the following cases, no connected component of $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ folds and no vertex attachment takes place. In addition to new loops, new edges are added as follows.

(1) None when

 $p\equiv1,13,37,43,67,73,97,109,121,157,163,169,187,193,\\ 253,277,283,289,307,313,337,361,373,397,403,421,\\ 433,457,493,517,523,529,541,547,577,589,613,643,\\ 667,673,697,709,733,757,781,787,793,817 \pmod{840}$

(2) One when

 $p \equiv 61, 103, 127, 181, 211, 223, 229, 241, 247, 331, 349, 367,$ 379, 409, 463, 481, 487, 499, 571, 583, 601, 607, 649, 661, $703, 727, 739, 769, 823, 829 \pmod{840}.$

(3) Two that do no share any vertices when

 $p \equiv 19, 79, 139, 151, 319, 451, 619, 631, 691, 751, 799, 811 \pmod{840}$

(4) Three that do no share any vertices when

 $p \equiv 31, 199, 271, 391, 439, 559 \pmod{840}$.

Theorem 5.4 (Spine structure, $\ell = 3$, one component folds). Suppose $p \notin \mathcal{P}_3$. In the following cases, the connected component of $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ containing j = 0 folds and no vertex attachment takes place. In addition to new loops, new edges are added as follows.

(1) None when

$$\begin{split} p &\equiv 17, 29, 53, 113, 137, 149, 173, 197, 221, 233, 257, 281, 293, 317, \\ &353, 377, 389, 401, 437, 449, 473, 533, 557, 569, 593, 617, 641, \\ &653, 677, 701, 713, 737, 773, 797, 809, 821 \pmod{840}. \end{split}$$

(2) One when

 $p \equiv 41, 89, 101, 209, 269, 341, 461, 509, 521, 629, 689, 761 \pmod{840}$

Theorem 5.5 (Spine structure, $\ell = 3$, two components folds). Suppose $p \notin \mathcal{P}_3$. In the following cases, the two connected components of $\mathcal{G}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ containing j = 1728 fold and get attached at j = 1728. In addition to new loops, new edges are added as follows.

(1) None when

 $p \equiv 83, 107, 227, 323, 347, 443, 467, 563, 587, 683, 803, 827 \pmod{840}$.

(2) One when

 $p \equiv 11, 23, 47, 143, 167, 179, 263, 383, 407, 491, 503, 527, 611, 647, 659, 743, 767, 779 \pmod{840}.$

(3) Two that do no share any vertices when

 $p \equiv 59, 71, 131, 191, 239, 251, 299, 359, 419, 431, 599, 731 \pmod{840}$.

(4) Three that do no share any vertices when

 $p \equiv 311, 479, 551, 671, 719, 839 \pmod{840}$.

Note that Theorem 5.3 covers exactly the setting when $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has no edges. Theorem 5.4 deals with the case when j = 0 is supersingular and j = 1728 is not, while in Theorem 5.5, both j = 0 and j = 1728 are supersingular.

One obstacle to obtaining general explicit structure results about S_{ℓ}^p for $p \geq 5$, stated only in terms of congruence classes of p, is the fact that degrees of Hilbert class polynomials grow as the corresponding discriminant increases in absolute value. Already for $\ell = 5$, this becomes a problem: the polynomial $\operatorname{Res}_5(X)$ has degree 54 and decomposes over \mathbb{Z} into linear, quadratic and quartic irreducible factors. While formulas exist for the roots of degree 4 polynomials, the conditions on p become increasingly complicated, and for irreducible factors of degree and higher, such root formulas may no longer exist.

6. Experiments on the Structural Properties of Isogeny Graphs

As mentioned in the introduction, $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is an optimal expander graph and in fact a Ramanujan graph for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ (when neither 0 nor 1728 is supersingular). The fact that we can partition the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ into two categories, namely the \mathbb{F}_p -vertices and the $(\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p)$ -vertices, casts doubt upon the assumption that $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ behaves like a random graph. To shed further light on this question, we gathered a substantial amount of data on graph-theoretic invariants of spines \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p for $\ell \leq 5$ and many primes p. We provide an in-depth study of a selection of these invariants in this section.

6.1. Relevant notions and definitions. Let G be a directed graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). Recall that G is said to be *strongly connected* if it contains a directed path between any two vertices. The *distance* d(v, w) from a vertex v to a vertex w is the length (i.e. the number of edges) in a shortest path from v to w in G. If no such path exists, we set $d(v, w) = \infty$. Also, d(v, v) = 0.

Definition 6.1 (Eccentricity). Let $v \in V(G)$. The *out-eccentricity* and *in-eccentricity* of v are the respective quantities

$$ecc^+(v) = \max\{d(v, w) : w \in V(G) \text{ and } d(v, w) \neq \infty\},\$$
$$ecc^-(v) = \max\{d(w, v) : w \in V(G) \text{ and } d(w, v) \neq \infty\}.$$

These notions capture the furthest distance required to travel between v and any other reachable vertex in eithger direction.

Definition 6.2 (Diameter). If every component of G is strongly connected, then the *diameter* of G is the quantity

$$diam(G) = \max\{ecc^+(v) : v \in V(G)\}.$$

Otherwise, the diameter of G is infinite, i.e. $diam(G) = \infty$.

The diameter is the largest distance between any two vertices of G. Similarly, the radius is the smallest distance between any two vertices of G.

Definition 6.3 (Radius). If every component of G is strongly connected, then the *radius* of G is the quantity

$$rad(G) = \min\{ecc^+(v) : v \in V(G)\}.$$

Otherwise, the radius of G is infinite, i.e. $rad(G) = \infty$.

Finally, the center of G is the set of vertices of G for which the distance to any other vertex is minimal (i.e. takes on the value of the radius).

Definition 6.4 (Center). If the radius of G exists, then the *center* of G is the set

$$cen(G) = \{ v \in V(G) : ecc^+(v) = rad(G) \}.$$

As suggested by the name, center vertices can be thought of "central" in the sense that they are better connected to the entire graph compared to vertices outside the center.

6.2. Diameter of the spine S_2^p . In light of the structure theorems from Section 4, the diameters of the connected components of S_2^p can be explicitly computed in almost all cases. Again, for this entire section assume p > 13.

Remark 6.5 (Diameters for $\ell > 2$). One could use the structure theorems from Section 5 to make similar statements about the diameter of S_3^p (or even S_ℓ^p for $p \ge 5$). However, compared to the case $\ell = 2$, less is known about the order r of an ideal class of a prime ideal above an odd prime ℓ in the appropriate class group, and it is harder to obtain concrete statements. Indeed, the $\ell > 2$ case is similar to the $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ case for $\ell = 2$ (Theorem 6.8), where the lengths of the cycles in $\mathcal{G}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ depend on the quantity r.

Following the structure theorems in Section 4, we determine the diameters of the connected components of S_2^p in the cases $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Examples illustrating each case can be found in a notebook at [Hed25] entitled **SpineDiameter_examples.ipynb**. For $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, we cannot determine edge attachments, which prevents us from classifying the diameter of S_2^p completely in this case.

Recall that (the undirected version of) $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ consists of pairs of vertices joined by a single edge when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Mapping into the spine \mathcal{S}_2^p , the generic behavior for these components is to stack. The diameters of the connected components of \mathcal{S}_2^p thus depend on the number of folds and edge attachments.

Theorem 6.6 (Spine Diameters, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell = 2$). Let $p \ge 17$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. There are h(-4p)/2 vertices in \mathcal{S}_2^p . The following congruence conditions on p completely determine the diameters of the components of \mathcal{S}_2^p :

(1) If $p \equiv 1, 17, 49, 73, 97, 113 \pmod{120}$, then S_2^p consists of h(-4p)/2 vertices joined in pairs, so each connected component of S_2^p has diameter 1.

- (2) If $p \equiv 41,89 \pmod{120}$, then one connected component of S_2^p has four vertices (diameter 3), and the remaining h(-4p)/2 4 vertices are joined in pairs (diameter 1).
- (3) If p = 29, then $S_2^p = \mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, so it contains three vertices and the diameter is 2.
- (4) If $p \equiv 29,101 \pmod{120}$, then one connected component of S_2^p has a single vertex with a loop, one connected component has four vertices (diameter 3), and the remaining h(-4p)/2-5 vertices are joined in pairs (diameter 1).
- (5) If $p \equiv 41,89 \pmod{120}$, then one connected component of S_2^p has four vertices (diameter 3) and the remaining h(-4p)/2 4 vertices are joined in pairs (diameter 1).
- (6) If $p \equiv 13, 37, 53, 61, 77, 109 \pmod{120}$, then one connected component of S_2^p is a single vertex with a loop, and the remaining h(-4p)/2 1 vertices are joined in pairs (diameter 1).

Proof. This is a direct result of applying Theorem 4.6 to the possible graph structure of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ given in Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 6.7 (Spine Diameters, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell = 2$). Let $p \ge 17$ with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. There are 2h(-p) vertices in \mathcal{S}_2^p . The following congruence conditions on p completely determine the diameters of the components of \mathcal{S}_2^p :

- (1) If $p \equiv 19, 43, 67, 83, 91, 107 \pmod{120}$, then one connected component of S_2^p consists of two adjacent vertices (diameter 1) and the remaining 2h(-p)-2 vertices are joined in groups of four in tripods from case (2) of 2.3 (diameter 2).
- (2) If p = 59, then S_2^p is a single connected component formed by a tripod edge joined by an edge to a folded tripod component (diameter 4).
- (3) If $p \equiv 11, 59 \pmod{120}$ $(p \neq 59)$, then one connected component of S_2^p consists of two adjacent vertices (diameter 1), one connected component is 8 vertices in two tripod shapes joined by a double edge (diameter 5), and the remaining 2h(-p) 9 vertices are adjacent in groups of four in tripod formation.

Proof. Follows directly from applying Theorem 4.7 to Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 6.8 (Spine Diameters, $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell = 2$). Let $p \geq 17$ with $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. (mod 8). There are h(-p) vertices in \mathcal{S}_2^p . Let r denote the order of the ideal class generated by either of the prime ideals above 2 in the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. If $p \equiv 7, 23, 31, 47, 79, 103 \pmod{120}$, then the diameter of the spine is (r+3)/2.

Proof. Follows directly from applying Theorem 4.8 to Theorem 2.3.

If $p \equiv 71,119 \pmod{120}$, then the diameter of S_2^p is uncertain. Attaching edges will approximately double the diameter of the resulting connected component, but there are no clear congruence conditions for when this will occur. See Figure 6.1 for a plot visualizing the mean diameters of the components of S_2^p for a range of primes $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. The notebook used to collect the data in this figure can be found in the file SpineDiameter.ipynb at [Hed25].

6.3. Center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. In this work, we computed the centers of supersingular elliptic curve 2- and 3-isogeny graphs and computed how many center vertices belong to their respective spines. The accompanying data are listed in the



FIGURE 6.1. Mean spine component diameters in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, for 250 primes $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ with $23 \leq p \leq 7879$.

file center012925.csv. They were generated with the notebook Center_Data-Generation.ipynb and plotted using Center_DataProcessing.ipynb. All these sources can be found at [Hed25].

Recall that the center of a graph (Definition 6.4) is the set of vertices with minimal out-eccentricity. These vertices are well-connected to every other vertex in the graph. Considering that the *p*-power Frobenius map is a graph automorphism on $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ that fixes the vertices of \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p , one might expect the spine vertices to be over-represented in the center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. To see this, we note that for any vertex $v \in \mathbb{F}_p$, the set of distances from *v* displays a symmetry whereby distances can be paired up. Specifically, if *w* is any vertex of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and w^p its Frobenius conjugate, then $d(v,w) = d(v,w^p)$. This property does not hold for vertices $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$. So the set of distances from vertices in \mathbb{F}_p only supports "half the randomness" of the set of distances from vertices outside \mathbb{F}_p .

In our first experiment, we plotted the number of \mathbb{F}_p -vertices in the center of $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ as p ranges through the primes from 5 to 19997. Immediately, an intriguing wave-like pattern emerged, as seen in Figure 6.2. It is particularly interesting that wave peaks appear to grow further apart as p increases. This is atypical for properties associated to elliptic curves over finite fields that are governed by the congruence class of p modulo 12.

A first point of investigation was to see if elliptic curves with extra automorphisms had any effect. To that end, we separated the data into congruence classes of $p \pmod{4}$ and $p \pmod{3}$, and the resulting plots are found in Figure 6.3. No definitive pattern emerges for the congruence classes of $p \pmod{3}$. The highest data points appear in the congruence class of $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and high counts seem more prevalent for this congruence class. At the same time, the data points for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ are also spread out over the entire data range.

One might ask about the likelihood of 1728 belonging to the center. Overwhelmingly, this was not the case: out of the 1135 primes $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ with



FIGURE 6.2. Number of \mathbb{F}_p -vertices in the center of $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for $5 \leq p \leq 19997$; a total of 2260 data points.

 $5 \leq p < 20000$, the only primes p for which 1728 lies in the center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are p = 7, 11, 19. This is to be expected, as j = 1728 has only two neighbors $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ (itself and one other vertex), whereas generic curves have three distinct neighbors in $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.



FIGURE 6.3. Data from Figure 6.2 sorted by congruence class of p. On the left, blue and red represent $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, respectively. On the right, blue and red correspond to $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, respectively.

Moreover, the congruence class of $p \pmod{12}$ did not seem to explain the wave pattern with increasing distances between the peaks. In order to ascertain whether counting only \mathbb{F}_p -vertices in the center was just the shadow of a broader phenomenon, we decided to examine the entire center of $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. In this experiment, we



FIGURE 6.4. Size of the center of $\mathcal{G}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for $5 \leq p \leq 19997$; a total of 2260 data points.

computed the size of the center for the same set of 2260 primes p from 5 to 19997. The results are depicted in Figure 6.4. Indeed, the wave phenomenon is even more pronounced over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Similar wave shapes appear for $\ell = 3$. At the present time, we have no mathematical explanation for this behavior.

7. Conclusions and future work

The spine S_{ℓ}^p of the supersingular ℓ -isogeny graph $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, our main protagonist herein, is obtained by mapping the supersingular ℓ -isogeny graph $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ into $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ via a natural two-step process. When passing from \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphism classes of curves to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism classes, vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ representing *j*-invariants of twists are identified, leading to either stacking or folding of connected components of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Components may be joined via attachment at a vertex (for $\ell > 2$ only, and only for *j*-invariant 1728) or an edge. Passing from ℓ -isogenies over \mathbb{F}_p to those over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ subsequently introduces new edges.

The authors of [ACNL⁺23] provided the first major insight into this arguably surprisingly predictable process. Our structure theorems in Sections 4 and 5 offer a refinement of their work by characterizing this behavior almost completely in the cases $\ell = 2, 3$ via congruence conditions on p, and outlining a general road map for determining S_{ℓ}^p for larger primes ℓ . For any particular pair (ℓ, p) , the graphs $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, S_{ℓ}^p and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ can be explicitly generated using our code at [Hed25]; for small primes p and $\ell = 2, 3$, they are described explicitly in a separate document there and cited throughout this paper.

Our structure theorems make it possible to determine the diameter of S_2^p , i.e. the largest distance between any two vertices of S_2^p . This is entirely explicit, and shows that the diameter tends to be very small, when $p \neq 7 \pmod{8}$; in the case $p \equiv 7$

(mod 8), the diameter is determined by the order of the ideal class represented by a prime ideal above 2 in the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$.

It is natural to ask how the spine is situated inside the full ℓ -isogeny graph. To that end, we considered the centers of both S_2^p and $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, i.e. the collection of vertices whose furthest distance to any other vertex is minimal. We found that the count of center vertices of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ defined over \mathbb{F}_p , as p grows, follows a remarkable wave-like pattern. A similar pattern was observed for $\ell = 3$. The wave crests are spaced further apart for larger values of p. For $\ell = 2$, the number of spine vertices in the center of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ tends to be large more frequently when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ as compared to $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The as yet entirely unexplained wave shape is amplified when considering the size of the entire center of $\mathcal{G}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ rather than only its \mathbb{F}_p -vertices, thereby providing further evidence that ℓ -isogeny graphs $\mathcal{G}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ do not behave like a "random" optimal expander graph as sometimes asserted in the security analysis of isogeny based cryptographic protocols.

Our discovery of unusual graph theoretic features of S_{ℓ}^p may well be just the tip of an iceberg of broader phenomena. Even the normalized versions of our center data, i.e. the proportion of \mathbb{F}_p -vertices inside the center of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, shows unexpected patterns. Furthermore, beyond the findings reported herein, we conducted extensive numerical experiments generating a substantial volume of data on both internal and external connectivity properties of the spine, as well as counts and proportions of vertices in the periphery of \mathcal{S}_{ℓ}^p and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Periphery vertices can be thought of as being furthest away from some other vertex; their out-eccentricity is maximal and takes on the value of the diameter. Our findings raise a number of intriguing unanswered questions; analyzing and understanding the results of our experiments is very much work in progress as we strive to shed further light on the structural feautures and patterns found in supersingular elliptic curve ℓ -isogeny graphs.

References

- [ACNL⁺23] Sarah Arpin, Catalina Camacho-Navarro, Kristin Lauter, Joelle Lim, Kristina Nelson, Travis Scholl, and Jana Sotáková. Adventures in Supersingularland. Exp. Math., 32(2):241–268, 2023.
- [CJS14] Andrew Childs, David Jao, and Vladimir Soukharev. Constructing elliptic curve isogenies in quantum subexponential time. J. Math. Cryptol., 8(1):1–29, 2014.
- [CLG09] Denis X. Charles, Kristin E. Lauter, and Eyal Z. Goren. Cryptographic hash functions from expander graphs. J. Cryptology, 22(1):93–113, 2009.
- [Cox22] David A. Cox. Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$ —Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, third edition, 2022. With contributions by Roger Lipsett.
- [DFKL⁺20] Luca De Feo, David Kohel, Antonin Leroux, Christophe Petit, and Benjamin Wesolowski. SQISign: compact post-quantum signatures from quaternions and isogenies. In Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2020. Part I, volume 12491 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 64–93. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [DG16] Christina Delfs and Steven D. Galbraith. Computing isogenies between supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_p . Des. Codes Cryptogr., 78(2):425–440, 2016.
- [FFK+23] Luca De Feo, Tako Boris Fouotsa, Péter Kutas, Antonin Leroux, Simon-Philipp Merz, Lorenz Panny, and Benjamin Wesolowski. SCALLOP: scaling the CSI-FiSh. In Public-key cryptography—PKC 2023. Part I, volume 13940 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 345–375. Springer, Cham, [2023] ©2023.
- [Hed25] Taha Hedayat. Lucant-2025-supersingular-ell-isogeny-spine. https://github.com/ TahaHedayat/LUCANT-2025-Supersingular-Ell-Isogeny-Spine, 2025.

[JW09]	Michael J. Jacobson, Jr. and Hugh C. Williams. Solving the Pell equation. CM
	Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer, New York
	2009.

- [S⁺25] William A. Stein et al. Sage Mathematics Software (Version 10.4). The Sage Development Team, 2025. http://www.sagemath.org.
- [Sut] Andrew V. Sutherland. Modular polynomials. https://math.mit.edu/~drew/ ClassicalModPolys.html. Accessed: 2025-01-17.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada

 $Email \ address: \verb+taha.hedayat@ucalgary.ca+$

Virginia Tech Department of Mathematics, 225 Stanger Street, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

 $Email \ address: \ \tt{sarpin@vt.edu}$

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada

Email address: rscheidl@ucalgary.ca