

Modeling the prion protein-mediated transport of extracellular vesicles on the neuron surface

G. Pozzi¹, G. Mazzilli², G. D'Arrigo³, C. Verderio³, G. Legname⁴,

S. Turzi⁵, P. Ciarletta^{2*}

¹ DISMA, Politecnico di Torino, corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy

² MOX Laboratory, Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano,
piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.

³ Institute of Neuroscience of Milan, CNR National Research Council of Italy, Veduggio al
Lambro, 20854, Italy.

⁴ Department of Neuroscience, Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati
(SISSA), Trieste, Italy.

⁵ Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32,
20133 Milano, Italy.

Abstract

Neurodegenerative diseases are among the leading causes of global mortality. They are characterized by the progressive deterioration of specific neuron populations, ultimately leading to cognitive decline and dementia. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are crucial players in the early stages of such diseases, acting as carriers of pathogens and contributing to neuroinflammation and disease propagation. This study proposes a mathematical model to elucidate the movement of EVs bearing prion protein (PrP) on their surface along neuronal surfaces. Supported by experimental data, the model explores the role of the actin polymerization on the EVs transport dynamics.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: pasquale.ciarletta@polimi.it

EVs isolated from non-human astrocytes were analyzed under three conditions: untreated control (Ctrl), neurons treated with Cytochalasin D (CytoD-HN), and EVs treated with Cytochalasin D (CytoD-EV).

Our mathematical model effectively explained the experimental data. In the CytoD-EV dataset, EV movement was modeled using a flashing Brownian ratchet, reflecting directed movement. For active transport in the CytoD-HN set, a symmetric periodic potential models the rolling of the Evs on the neuron surface. The Ctrl scenario results in a combination of the two mechanisms.

Finally, a sensitivity and comparative analysis between numerical results and experimental data showed that the model effectively replicates the Evs motion.

1 Introduction

Neurodegenerative Diseases (NDs) are among the top ten leading causes of death worldwide. Recent experimental findings have shed light on their etiology and pathogenesis [4, 15, 24, 38]. In this landscape, particular attention was devoted to the study of prion diseases. Individuals affected by such pathologies present a structural mutation in the β -sheet conformation of the cellular prion protein (PrP^{C}) [11, 45, 48]. Such misfolded proteins, known as prions (PrP^{Sc}), act as seeds propagating the pathogenic conformation in the central nervous system through a mechanism that resembles viral infections [28, 46]. This mechanism is speculated to be one of the major reasons behind the continuous progression of these diseases [31]. Moreover, prions are insoluble and thus aggregate with other cellular components leading to the formation of deposits, which in turn lead to the death of neurons. The most common neurodegenerative diseases, as Parkinson's and Alzheimer's Diseases, share similar characteristics with prion diseases and thus they are considered prion-like diseases [18].

Recent studies have sparked a great deal of interest in Extracellular Vesicles (EVs) because of their involvement in the intercellular communication in both physiology [26] and pathology, where they participate in the spreading of neurodegenerative diseases [25, 20] through neuron-to-neuron transfer of toxic material [44, 54]. EVs are membranous structures, which contain various molecules (e.g. ATP, actin filaments, miRNA) and carry surface receptors through which they can interact with target cells and deliver their content [14]. They are released by different types of cells into the extracellular microenvironment. Recent findings have reported the ability of large EVs to displace in an interconnected network of neurons, even 'jumping' from one cell to another [12] and to travel along the axon [37, 19], thus being

potential carriers of pathogens in neurodegenerative conditions.

Even though the motion of these EVs has been already described in D'arrigo *et al.* [12], its mechanical characterization has been little investigated and the underlying nature of EV movement is still poorly understood. Two types of mechanisms have been hypothesized for the first time by D'Arrigo *et al.* [12] to explain the behavior of Extracellular Vesicles. A *passive* movement, that occurs when EV motion is driven by the rearrangement of the neuronal cytoskeletal network, to which the vesicle is bound by a neuronal receptor, and an *active* movement, due to the presence of actin filaments in EVs, that makes the vesicles capable of actively rolling over receptors on the neuron surface.

Thus, investigating the onset and development of NDs may lead to several challenges due to the different time and length scales of the processes underlying these pathologies. Although several mathematical models have been proposed to describe the development of NDs at the organ level [56, 55, 43] and the associated damage at the cellular and sub-cellular level [50, 13, 3, 40], the mechanism of seed transport by EVs is still poorly described from a mathematical perspective. However, different approaches have been proposed so far to model the active and passive movement of generic cargoes on biological surfaces.

The simplest description of this such phenomena can be traced back to the modeling of the axonal transport [9, 8]. In a mathematical description of this mechanism, Brown *et al.* assumed that it could be represented as a four state Markovian process, where the neurofilaments can switch between two persistent directional states, anterograde or retrograde, but also move or pause in either state [10]. However, a more sophisticated description of the slow axonal transport was proposed several years earlier by Bloom and Reed [6], who for the first time hypothesize the existence of a motor, that can provide the underlying motive force while forming reversible bonds with elements of the slow transport system. Their model thus resulted in a system of mass action laws describing the aforementioned chemical interactions.

A step towards a more mechanistic description was made in the attempt of describing the operating mode of biomolecular motors, i.e. specialized proteins (myosin V, kinesin, dynein) that are able to perform directed walks, carrying organelles or vesicles from one part of the cell to another. The most popular theoretical approaches adopted in modeling these active molecular units leverage the Brownian ratchet theory [1], based on a variant of the Generalized Langevin Equation (GLE), proposed by Feynman [17]. The idea of a mechanical force induced by nonequilibrium fluctuations in an anisotropic system and capable of generating a drifted motion was repropoed by Ajdari and Prost [2] and Magnasco [33] with the flashing Brownian ratchet. Unlike the Brownian ratchet, which is a one-dimensional diffusion process that

drifts towards a minimum of a periodic asymmetric saw-tooth potential, the flashing Brownian ratchet is a stochastic process that alternates between two regimes: a one-dimensional Brownian motion and a Brownian ratchet [16]. In the wake of these works, a few contributions leveraging formal analytical tools were proposed in the attempt of giving further characterization on the drifted motion emerging from flashing ratchet [39, 36]. Also, Astumian and Bier [5] rigorously demonstrated that zero-average random fluctuations of the height of the kinetic barriers of the chemical reactions or a net force can cause a Brownian particle on nonsymmetric periodic potential to move upward against a constant applied force. Later on, the coupling between the mechanics and the chemical kinetics was also discussed by Keller and Bustamante [29].

Thereafter, several variations on the Brownian ratchet theory were proposed. Goychuk et al. [22] presented a model where the dynamics of kinesin molecular motor, which takes into account the effects of the cytosol viscoelastic nature through a power-law memory kernel, is coupled with the cargo movement by elastic linkers that make it able to fluctuate independently from the motor. Similarly, Marbach et al. in [34] modeled the dynamics of nano-caterpillars equipped with high number of polymeric legs, mimicked by elastic springs, that bind and unbind to a surface in a reversible manner. Lastly, a completely different approach with respect to the Brownian ratchet theory was recently proposed by García-García *et al.* [21], who introduced a prototypical model of a guided active drift, where a Brownian particle leverages the presence of an external guiding field through a coupling between the mechanical degrees of freedom and a chemical reaction. In their model, the active drift on the particle results from a “strong” violation of detailed balance.

The aim of the present article is to propose a mathematical model to characterize the motion of astrocyte-derived extracellular vesicles expressing cellular prion protein (PrP^c) on their surface with the ultimate goal of shedding lights on mechanisms involved in the propagation of misfolded protein, such as prions, in prion-like diseases. The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first describe the acquisition of microscopies data EVs moving on neuronal processes, testing the effect of Cytochalasin D, a drug that inhibits the actin polymerization, and we then discuss the post-processing analysis. We then propose a mathematical model to *in-silico* reproduce the experimental observations and we detail its numerical implementation. In Section 3, we present the main outcomes of the data analysis extracting the qualitative and quantitative information on the EV motion. We later show the results of the numerical simulations, that are finally discussed in Section 4, together with a few concluding remarks.

2 Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All the experimental procedures to establish primary cultures followed the guidelines defined by the European legislation (Directive 2010/63/EU), and the Italian Legislation (LD no. 26/2014).

2.1 License statement

Video recordings employed in this work are the same used in the paper by D'Arrigo *et al.* [12], and utilized for the analysis described below under the license CCBY 4.0 Deed, Attribution 4.0 International, consultable at the following link: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> .

Experimental protocol

Astrocytic cultures were established from the hippocampi and cortices of rat Sprague-Dawley pups (P2) (Charles River, Lecco, Italy), Briefly, after dissection, tissues were dissociated using trypsin (0.25%, Gibco, Thermo Fisher, Leicestershire, UK) and DNase-I (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 15 min at 37°C, followed by fragmentation with a pipette. Dissociated cells were plated on poly-L-lysine-coated (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) T75 flasks in minimal essential medium (MEM, Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and glucose (5.5 g/L, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). To obtain a pure astrocyte monolayer, microglial cells were harvested from 10–14-day-old cultures by orbital shaking for 30 min at 200 rpm. Hippocampal neurons were established from the hippocampi of 18-day-old fetal Sprague Dawley rats (E18) of either sex (Charles River, Lecco, Italy). Briefly, dissociated cells were plated onto poly-L-lysine treated coverslips and maintained in Neurobasal with 2% B27 supplement (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), antibiotics, glutamine and glutamate (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Neurons were used from 2 to 17 DIV (Day-In-Vitro). To block cytoskeleton dynamics, neurons were treated with 1 μ M Cytochalasin D (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 1 hour [12].

EVs were isolated from about 15 million astrocytes exposed to 1 mM ATP (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 30 min in 10ml of Krebs-Ringer's HEPES solution (KRH) (125 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 2 mM CaCl₂, 6 mM D-glucose, 25 mM HEPES/NaOH, pH 7.4). Conditioned KRH was collected and pre-cleared from cell debris by centrifugation at 300× g for 10 min

(twice) with a refrigerated centrifuge (ALC 4227 R, rotor ALC 5690). Medium/large EVs were then pelleted from the supernatant by centrifugation at $10,000\times g$ for 30 min with a refrigerated centrifuge (VWR CT15FE, rotor Hitachi T15A61) and resuspended in $150\ \mu\text{l}$ of neuronal medium. EVs were used immediately after isolation by adding $25\ \mu\text{l}$ of the EV suspension to each coverslip of neurons, in a final volume of about $400\ \mu\text{l}$ before optical tweezers manipulation. In one experimental group, isolated EVs were incubated with $3\ \mu\text{M}$ Cytochalasin D for 1 hour at room temperature in $150\ \mu\text{l}$ of neuronal medium before being delivered by optical tweezers [12].

Large EVs were delivered on the neuron surface by an IR laser beam (1064 nm, CW) collimated into the optical path of an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200 M, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Optical trapping and manipulation of large EVs was performed following the approach previously described²⁷. Briefly, large EVs produced by astrocytes were added to neurons plated on glass coverslips and maintained in $400\ \mu\text{l}$ of neuronal medium in a 5% CO₂ and temperature-controlled recording chamber at 37°C. Single large EVs were trapped and positioned on a selected neuron by moving the cell stage horizontally and the microscope lens axially. After about 30 seconds, the laser was switched off to prove EV–neuron interaction. EVs were considered adhered when they remained attached to neurons after switching off the laser. EVs were considered in motion if the displacement from the contact point is greater than the EV diameter [12].

EVs were live imaged using a digital camera (High Sensitivity USB 3.0 CMOS Camera 1280×1024 Global Shutter Monochrome Sensor, Thorlabs, Newton, NJ, USA) at a frame rate of 2 Hz and recorded for about 20 minutes. Collected movies were divided into three datasets:

- *Ctrl dataset*: control dataset, i.e. no treatment (39 samples);
- *CytoD-HN dataset*: only neurons are treated with CytoD (19 samples);
- *CytoD-EV dataset*: only EVs are treated with CytoD (15 samples);

for an overall number of 73 experimental samples. Only large EVs whose size ranges from 200 to 1300 nm were trapped and delivered to neuron surface for a matter of visibility under the microscope. Example of reconstructed trajectories are shown in Fig.1 (top row). In the following, with the aim of giving a mechanical description of the EV movement, we analyzed the videos of the experiments where the EVs showed a non-negligible movement.




Figure 1: Data acquisition and post processing. (Top row) Example of EVs trajectories (red trace) from microscopies of the three datasets: (left) *Cyto-HN*, (center) *Ctrl* and (right) *Cyto-EV*. (Bottom row) The respective main direction of EVs movement has been extrapolated by a linear regression. Experimental data are represented by black dots while the red line is the regression line.

Data post-processing

Tracking of EV trajectories

The coordinates indicating the position of each EV were acquired from each of the video frames using a custom code in Matlab [27].

Post-processing the microscopy data, we found that the vesicles mostly move tangentially to the neuron surface, so that their motion could be approximated as one-dimensional. To further validate this hypothesis, we compared the mean velocity, computed as the path length over the experiment duration, with the mean velocity obtained by projecting the displacements onto the neuron surface. Thus, to get an estimation of the neurite axis direction, which in good approximation coincides with the main direction of the EV motion, we performed a linear regression on the EV displacements, see Fig. 1 (bottom row).

The described methods revealed to be almost always effective, except in samples where the EV was almost still and so the positions remain confined in a very restricted area that the direction found by

linear regression was no longer meaningful. In these very few cases, the main direction was retrieved by using an alternative method, consisting of linearly interpolating two extreme points of the EV path. With the described approach, we found that the component tangent to the neuron surface accounts for nearly 80% of the motion, on average, in all the three datasets, thus supporting the one-dimensional hypothesis.

As last step before the data analysis, in order to identify directed transport phenomena, we standardized the preferential direction of the EVs towards positive values by inverting the sign of the displacements in samples where the linear regression slope computed on the tangent displacement was negative.

Sampling frequency

The subsampling frequency for the data analysis is chosen by leveraging the autocorrelation function (ACF) analysis of the acquired EVs positions. Indeed, by quantifying the self-similarity of the signal over different time lags, it is possible to verify the suitability of the chosen sampling rate by comparing it with the results obtained from a downsampled signal [52]. We applied this test to our datasets, comparing the sampling frequency $F = 2$ Hz, i.e. including all video frames, with $F = 0.4$ Hz, i.e. the sampling frequency adopted in [12] for the data analysis. Since it emerged that the latter was not sufficiently high but at the same time we cannot draw conclusion on the accuracy of the sampling frequency $F = 2$ Hz, we decided to perform the data analysis using all the available video frames as it was the most accurate solution available to us.

Data analysis

After processing the data with `Matlab` as described above, statistical analysis was performed on all the datasets using the `Python` libraries `SciPy` and `Pandas` [51, 53, 35]. Data were first tested for normal distribution and skewness with an accepted level of significance $P \leq 0.05$. Data are expressed as means \pm STD unless otherwise specified. We notice that, for what concerns the above mentioned analysis, due to the poor quality of some videos, the dataset has been narrowed to 15 samples for the Ctrl set, 13 for the CytoD-EV set and 14 for the CytoD-HN set.

Mathematical model

We propose a model to describe the motion of extracellular vesicles based on the assumptions contained in D'Arrigo et al. [12], according to which vesicle transport occurs through two main mechanisms: *passive*

transport, where the vesicle is passively dragged by the cytoskeletal activity, and *active* transport, due to the actin filaments rearrangements inside the vesicle that makes the vesicles capable of actively "rolling" over receptors on the neuron surface.

The *passive* transport is governed by the behavior of the neuronal receptor to which the EV is linked (see left side of Figure 2). In fact, as similarly discussed in [22], the receptor acts as a motor and drags the vesicle (the cargo) along the neurite thanks to a periodic external force field provided by interaction with the polymeric fibers of the cytoskeleton. The cargo is considered to be attached to the motor through an elastic linker that, in our framework, corresponds to the prion protein. The EV and the receptor are coupled by an elastic force, $f_{el} = k(y - x)$, where x and y are, respectively, the coordinates of the EV and the receptor along the neurite, and k is a spring constant. The receptor also undergoes diffusion along the neuron membrane and we assume that its diffusion coefficient, D_r , is related to its viscous friction coefficient ξ_r by Einstein relation, $D_r = k_B T / \xi_r$ where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature. Likewise, also the vesicle diffuses in the extracellular bath (which, to a good approximation, has the same physical features of water) with diffusion coefficient $D_v = k_B T / \xi_v$, where ξ_v is a viscous friction coefficient. For simplicity, we consider both the vesicle and the receptor to be spherical with radius r_v e r_r , respectively, so that their friction constants are related to their radius by Stokes' law $\xi_v = 2 \cdot 6\pi\eta_w r_v$, $\xi_r = 2 \cdot 6\pi\eta_c r_r$ where η_c and η_w are the viscosity coefficients of cytosol and water [34, 22]. Finally, the stochastic nature of the receptor motion is taken into account with a flashing ratchet model, i.e, we assume that the receptor is constantly transitioning between two potentials: a one-dimensional, periodic, asymmetric saw-tooth function V_1 of period L_1 that accounts for a directed motion, and a vanishing potential $V_0 = 0$ that allows the receptor to diffuse freely. Then, the system evolves according to a set of coupled stochastic equations so that, in general, a biased diffusion ensues

$$\xi_v dx = -k(x - y) dt + \sqrt{k_B T \xi_v} dW_v, \quad (1a)$$

$$\xi_r dy = -S_1(t)V_1'(y) dt + \sqrt{k_B T \xi_r} dW_r, \quad (1b)$$

where W_v , W_r are two independent Brownian processes. In Eq.(1b), $S_1(t)$ is a continuous-time Markov process that represents the two possible states of the neuronal receptor: $S_1 = 1$ if the receptor is bound to the cytoskeleton, while $S_1 = 0$ if the receptor is detached from the cytoskeleton. Therefore, when




Figure 2: EVs motion. (Left) passive movement; (Right) active movement.

$S(t) = 1$, the receptor is under the influence of the potential $V_1(y)$, which we explicitly write as

$$V_1(y) = \begin{cases} h_1 \frac{y}{\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq \alpha L_1, \\ h_1 \frac{L_1 - y}{1 - \alpha} & \text{if } \alpha L_1 < y \leq L_1, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where h_1 is the peak value of the potential representing the height of the energy barrier that the receptor must overcome to slide along the F-actin cytoskeletal network, L_1 is its period, and α is a shape parameter in $(0, 1)$ that measures the asymmetry of the potential. When $\alpha = 1/2$, the potential is symmetric, a condition that we generally avoid in this case, so that we limit our analysis to $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. It is worth to notice that the elastic force f_{el} does not appear in Eq.(1b) because it is assumed to be balanced by the strong binding reactive forces exerted by the cytoskeleton.

The *active* transport of the vesicles is due to its capability to change shape through actin filaments rearrangements, so that it is able to step from one receptor to one nearby. For the sake of simplicity, we assume the receptors to be uniformly distributed along the neurite, with a spacing of approximately the diameter of the EV, so that they are easily reachable by the PrP legs of the vesicle (see right side of Figure 2). The particle is assumed to be always bound to one of the neural receptors, hence, as in the passive transport, it is subject to an elastic force $f_{el} = k(y - x)$. The stepping from a receptor to a neighboring one has no preferential direction, so we decided to model the receptor motion with a flashing

Brownian ratchet that switches between the vanishing potential V_0 and a *symmetric* saw-tooth potential V_2 , in contrast with the *asymmetric* one used for the passive case. We introduce a new variable y_{act} , that represents the position of the active receptor, i.e., the receptor that is currently linked to the vesicle. Similarly to the previous case, we posit the following equations for the EV position x , and the active receptor y_{act}

$$\xi_v dx = -k(x - y_{\text{act}}) dt + \sqrt{k_B T \xi_v} dW_v, \quad (3a)$$

$$dy_{\text{act}} = -S_2(t) \frac{V_2'(y_{\text{act}})}{\xi_{\text{eff}}} dt + \sqrt{D_r} dW_r, \quad (3b)$$

where D_r is a rotational diffusion coefficient that we take to be equal to $\frac{k_B T}{\xi_{\text{eff}}}$, with ξ_{eff} computed as the average between ξ_v and ξ_r . Unlike Eq.(1b), and despite its appearance, Eq.(3b) is not a momentum balance equation for the overdamped receptor motion. It simply states that the position of the receptor currently linked to the vesicle can change in a small time-step dt for two reasons: a rotational diffusion of the vesicle and an elongation of the vesicle shape through its internal actin filaments rearrangements, whose effect is modeled with a symmetric sawtooth potential, in analogy with the passive case. The constants k and ξ_v are the same introduced for the passive transport, W_v is a Brownian process and $S_2(t)$ represents a Markov process with values 1 and 0 that models the random binding and unbinding process. Specifically, the symmetric periodic potential V_2 is taken to be

$$V_2(y_{\text{act}}) = \begin{cases} 2h_2 y_{\text{act}} & \text{if } 0 \leq y_{\text{act}} \leq L_2/2 \\ 2h_2 (L_2 - y_{\text{act}}) & \text{if } L_2/2 \leq y_{\text{act}} \leq L_2 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where h_2 is the peak value, representing the height of the energy barrier that the vesicle must overcome to break the bond with the receptor to which it is currently linked, and L_2 is the period of the potential, approximately equal to the distance between the receptors.

The overall motion of EVs is a combination of the passive and active mechanisms described above. The binding and unbinding probabilities are obtained as the product of the binding and unbinding rates π_k^{on} and π_k^{off} ($k = 1, 2$ for, respectively, passive and active models) with a small time-step Δt , the corresponding Markov chain is described in Fig.3. To account for the possible random switching among passive and active transport, we also consider the transition rates η_{PA} (from passive to active) and η_{AP}




Figure 3: Graph describing the transition probabilities in a small time-step Δt of the overall Markov process comprising $S_1(t)$, $S_2(t)$ and the switching between transport models. The binding and unbinding rates in the passive model are π_1^{on} and π_1^{off} , while in the active model are π_2^{on} and π_2^{off} . The two models are stochastically coupled with switching rates η_{PA} and η_{AP} .

(from active to passive).

Numerical method

The experimental datasets include three different scenarios: (1) control (Ctrl), (2) neurons treated with CytoD (CytoD-HN) and (3) EVs treated with CytoD (CytoD-EV). In the mathematical model, these scenarios are distinguished by the presence of different vesicle transport mechanisms, namely: (1) both passive and active transport, (2) only active transport and (3) only passive transport (see Fig.3). In case (1) the system can be in any of the four states of Fig.3, in case (2) only transitions between states $S_2 = 0$ and $S_2 = 1$ can occur; in case (3) only transitions between states $S_1 = 0$ and $S_1 = 1$ can occur.

The complete algorithm for case (1), when both active and passive transport are present, is described below.

1. **[initialization]** At $t = 0$, we choose one of the possible states $S_1 = 0$, $S_1 = 1$, $S_2 = 0$ or $S_2 = 1$ with equal probability and initialize the position of the particle and the receptor as $x_0 = 0$ and $y_0 = 0$ (or $y_{act0} = 0$), respectively.

2. **[displacement step]** To a given state, $S_k = 0; 1$, it corresponds a system of equations (either (1) or (3)) that give the displacements of the vesicle and the receptor in a time-step Δt . To update the values of x and y (or y_{act}), we use a standard Euler-Maruyama scheme [49, 7]. Normally distributed random numbers with expected value zero and variance Δt are used for dW_r and dW_v .
3. **[transition step]** Given the state X_t of the chain at time t , the next state of the Markov chain is determined according to the transition probabilities of Fig. 3. More precisely, we partition the interval $[0, 1]$ into sub-intervals of length proportional to the transition probabilities from the current state and draw a uniformly distributed random number R in $[0, 1]$. The state at time $t + \Delta t$ is determined by finding which sub-interval contains R . For example, starting from $X_t = "S_1 = 0"$, the next state will be determined as follows

$$X_{t+\Delta t} = \begin{cases} S_1 = 1 & \text{if } R \in [0, \pi_1^{\text{on}}\Delta t), \\ S_2 = 0 & \text{if } R \in [\pi_1^{\text{on}}\Delta t, (\pi_1^{\text{on}} + \eta_{PA})\Delta t), \\ S_1 = 0 & \text{if } R \in [(\pi_1^{\text{on}} + \eta_{PA})\Delta t, 1]. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

4. Repeat from step 2, until we reach the desired number of steps.

In order to simulate the two CytoD treated sets (cases (2) and (3) above), it is sufficient to set both η_{PA} and η_{AP} to zero and to choose the correct initial state. In this way, one of the two transport mechanisms will be excluded from the whole simulation.

Finally, we remark that, since the systems of stochastic equations (1, 3) includes different characteristic times, related to the transition rates of the Markov processes, the simulation time-step Δt must obviously satisfy the following constraint

$$\Delta t < \min(1/\pi_1^{\text{on}}, 1/\pi_1^{\text{off}}, 1/\pi_2^{\text{on}}, 1/\pi_2^{\text{off}}, 1/\eta_{AP}, 1/\eta_{PA}).$$

3 Results

In this Section we provide the results both from the data analysis on the experimental outcomes and from the numerical simulation of the mathematical model proposed in Section 2.

Indicator	Definition
pathlength	the sum of the absolute value of the displacements over the whole time of the experiment
mean velocity	the ratio between the path length and the time length of the experiment
MF mean velocity	the ratio between the path length and the sum of the time steps corresponding to a non-zero displacement (i.e. the moving frames, MF)
net mean velocity	the mean velocity obtained considering the sign of the displacements instead of their absolute value
zero velocity rate	the ratio of frames with zero displacement to the total number of frames
mean displacement	the average of the absolute value of the displacements
MF mean displacement	the mean displacement computed considering only the moving frames
explored distance	the maximum travelled distance defined as $\max_{t \in [0, T]} x(t) - \min_{t \in [0, T]} x(t)$, where $x(t)$ denotes the spatial coordinate of the particle at time t

Table 1: Set of kinematic indicators introduced to quantitatively describe the EV motion. All the quantities refer to the component of the motion tangent to the neuron surface.

Experimental Results

Normality and Skewness tests

From a qualitative analysis on the video frames, the vast majority of EVs trajectories did not show neither marked trends nor smooth behaviors but rather high frequency oscillations. This lead us to suppose their motion to be characterized by a strong stochastic component. We therefore tested the hypothesis that their motion was completely Brownian by performing a two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, with null hypothesis that the EVS point-to-point displacements were drawn from a Normal distribution. To this purpose, we removed zero-length point-to-point displacements from each of the three dataset. With a confidence level of 95%, the displacements distributions cannot be considered Gaussian. To further elucidate the characteristics of the motion, we performed a skewness test to investigate whether there is a drift component in the motion of EVs in any of the datasets. The analysis shown that both the Ctrl (p -value = 0.00296) and CytoD-EV (p -value = 0.027501) present a directed transport component in the motion, while the set CytoD-HN (p -value = 0.73127) has no drifting component.

Displacement and velocity analysis

To our purposes, we introduce a set of kinematic indicators, reported in Table 1, to quantify the EVs motion. The results of the statistical analysis are then summarized in Table 2.

Name	Indicator	UM	Data sets		Kruskal test Significance
			Ctrl	CytoD-EV	
pathlength	μm	188.7 \pm 163.2	399.6 \pm 284.9	295.6 \pm 214.9	* (AB)
mean velocity	μms^{-1}	0.150 \pm 0.129	0.357 \pm 0.268	0.295 \pm 0.229	* (AB, AC)
MF mean velocity	μms^{-1}	0.762 \pm 0.350	0.705 \pm 0.350	0.775 \pm 0.195	-
net mean velocity	μm^{-1}	0.005 \pm 0.004	0.006 \pm 0.005	0.006 \pm 0.004	-
zero velocity rate	-	0.82 \pm 0.12	0.54 \pm 0.27	0.65 \pm 0.22	** (AB); * (AC)
mean displacement	μm	0.075 \pm 0.064	0.178 \pm 0.134	0.148 \pm 0.115	* (AB, AC)
MF mean displacement	μm	0.1 \pm 0.094	0.565 \pm 0.679	0.338 \pm 0.422	** (AB); * (AC)
explored distance	μm	11.49 \pm 6.9	13.60 \pm 7.2	12.2 \pm 4.5	-

Table 2: Indicators values (mean \pm stdev) computed for each experimental conditions in the dataset. In the last column of the table the results of the Kruskal statistical test are reported. Symbols (*) and (**) denote cases where the p -value (p) of the test is $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively. (AB) indicates that the level of significance is referred to the comparison between the Ctrl and the CytoD-EV population, while (AC) for comparison between the Ctrl and the CytoD-HN population.




Figure 4: Scatter plot illustrating the relation between couples of kinematic indicators for the three dataset Ctrl (blue), CytoEV (orange) and CytoHN(red). A subset of the possible indicator combinations has been selected according to the level of significance of the Kruskal test in Table 2

We observe that, overall, basing on both the indicator values and the outcomes of the Kruskal statistical test on the population median values, Ctrl is the set that differs significantly from the others. In particular, the Ctrl set has a significantly higher *zero velocity rate* compared to the two populations treated with Cytochalasin D. This trend obviously reflected in a lower *mean velocity* and *pathlength* for the Ctrl set. A further support to the evident reduced motility that characterizes the Ctrl set with respect to the CytoEV and CytoHN sets, we notice a lower *MF mean displacement* and *mean displacement*. On contrary, no distinctions among the three population is possible on the basis of the *MF mean velocity*, *net mean velocity* and *explored distance* indicators. Consistently with the outcomes of the Kruskal test in Table 2, a greater characterization of the non treated samples compared to the ones treated with Cytochalasin D emerges also from the scatterplots in Fig. 4, where the clustering of the Ctrl set is evident. Moreover, we notice a non trivial weak negative correlation between the *zero velocity rate* and the *MF mean displacement* indexes, see Fig. 4 (bottom-center). Conversely, we do not observe a clear negative correlation between the *zero velocity rate* and *pathlength* indexes as may be expected, see Fig. 4 (top-right).

The possible existence of a drift term in the Ctrl and CytoD-EV was also investigated. Indeed, at a first sight, in several cases the Ctrl and the CytoD-EV trajectories evidence that the directed transport is characterized by the presence of sudden large movements that make the vesicles travel a relatively long distance in a matter of seconds, as shown in Fig. 5 (right). Although quite rare, these events have a strong impact on the overall directionality of movement. As so, it can be reasonably assumed that these rapid shifts most likely belongs to the tails of the non-zero displacements distribution in Fig. 5 (left). Specifically, rapid long movements shown in Fig. 5(right) are the distributions outliers, which are significantly more prominent in the Ctrl (6, 5%) and in the CytoD-EV (4, 95%) sets than in the CytoD-HN population (3, 9%).

To conclude, although the proposed data analysis is not conclusive due to population variability and limited populations size, it does show interesting trends. In fact, the Ctrl and CytoD-EV sets appear to have a stronger drift component compared to CytoD-HN, suggesting potential differences in their underlying physical mechanisms of movement. This observation further supports the hypothesis put forward by D'Arrigo et al. in [12], which is at the basis of the proposed mathematical model, according to which the cytoskeletal activity is involved in the transport mechanism of the EVs, playing a major role in imposing a drift.




Figure 5: (Left) Violin plot of the displacements absolute value for each dataset: Ctrl (blue), CytoD-EV (Orange) and CytoD-HN (red). Superimposed dots represents the outliers of each population. (Right) Example plot of the position over time for an EV selected from the CytoD-EV set. Red ovals highlight abrupt jumps, supposed to be associated with cytoskeletal transport phenomena, in the EVs position.

Numerical Results

Parameters settings

The model parameters, whenever available, are estimated from available experimental data either by direct measurements or by well-established physical relations, e.g. in the case of the Stokes friction coefficients ξ_v and ξ_r , and are collected in Table 3. On the other hand, other parameters of the model, such as those that determine the shape of the ratchet potentials or the transition rates of the Markov processes, cannot be directly related to experimentally measured physical quantities and are therefore at best calibrated to match the experimental data, see Table 4. We remark that the values indicated in Table 4 for the η_{AP} and η_{PA} should be limited to the simulation of the Ctrl condition. Both the treatment cases, CytoD-EV and CytoD-HN, are indeed reproduced by setting $\eta_{AP} = \eta_{PA} = 0$.

The system is simulated over $N_{\text{runs}} = 200$ independent runs with a time-step $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$ for $N_T = 12 \cdot 10^6$ steps, in order to reproduce the behavior of the EVs for 20 min, i.e. the average length of the experimental movies. The numerical displacements are then sampled with the same acquisition frequency of the experimental data (2 Hz).

Parameter	UM	Value	Method and references
k_B	J/K	$1.380649 \cdot 10^{-23}$	[23]
T	K	310.15	experiments
η_w	kg/(ms)	$6.913 \cdot 10^{-4}$	[30]
η_c	kg/(ms)	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$	(rough estimate) $\times [10 - 1500] \eta_w$ depending on the protein size [22]
r_v	m	$300 \cdot 10^{-9}$	experiments
r_r	m	$7 \cdot 10^{-9}$	(rough estimate) [41]
ξ_v	N·s/m	$7.8184 \cdot 10^{-9}$	hydrodynamic friction of spherical particles in viscous fluids [47]: $2 \cdot 6\pi\eta_w r_v$
ξ_r	N·s/m	$1.8209 \cdot 10^{-9}$	hydrodynamic friction [47]: $2 \cdot 6\pi\eta_c r_r$ - the receptor is assumed to be spherical
L_1	m	$5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	average of the observed jumps in the experimental EVs trajectories
L_2	m	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$	distance of neuronal receptors estimated to be about the EV size to allow for rolling movement.

Table 3: List of model constants and biophysical parameters values that have been estimated either by direct experimental measurements or by well-established physical formulas.

Parameter	Description	Value	Unit
k	spring stiffness	$8 \cdot 10^{-9}$	N/m
h_1	height of saw-tooth potential V_1	$1.7128 \cdot 10^{-14}$	J
h_2	height of saw-tooth potential V_2	$2.141 \cdot 10^{-14}$	J
α	symmetry factor of the potential V_1	0.2	[-]
π_1^{off}	passive unbinding rate (<i>i.e.</i> $V_{S_1=1 \rightarrow S_1=0}^1$)	500	Hz
π_1^{on}	passive binding rate (<i>i.e.</i> $V_{S_1=0 \rightarrow S_1=1}^1$)	180	Hz
π_2^{off}	active unbinding rate (<i>i.e.</i> $V_{S_2=1 \rightarrow S_2=0}^2$)	10	Hz
π_2^{on}	active binding rate (<i>i.e.</i> $V_{S_2=0 \rightarrow S_2=1}^2$)	600	Hz
η_{PA}	Passive \rightarrow Active transition rate	100	Hz
η_{AP}	Active \rightarrow Passive transition rate	200	Hz

Table 4: List of model parameters that have been calibrated to match available experimental data.

Numerical vs *in-vitro* results

From a skewness test performed on simulated data, we found that, in agreement with the experimental data, the only set not showing a drifting component in the displacements is the CytoD-HN set (Ctrl p -value = 0.0001, CytoD-EV p -value 0.04, CytoD-HN p -value = 0.57). This feature also emerges from the numerical Mean Squared Displacement (MSD) curves reported in Fig. 6. Indeed, we observe a clearly quadratical MSD (indicating the presence of a drift) for the CytoD-EV set, less pronounced for the Ctrl set (in accordance with the higher p -value of the test), whereas the CytoD-HN set MSD is linear. Even if due to the low number of experimental samples such trait is less pronounced in the experimental MSD, the numerical and the experimental curves are fairly overlapped. The histograms reported in Fig. 7 show a good agreement of the numerical displacement distribution with that of the experimental data, both in terms of the mean and variance of the distributions. Instead, the discrepancy between the unimodal shape of the numerical displacement distribution and the bimodal shape of the experimental data can be attributed to the combined effect of experimental error in data acquisition and the filtering of null experimental displacements.

Finally, in Fig. 8 we show the comparison between a subset of the kinematic indicators obtained from experimental data and numerical simulations. With the exception of *mean velocity* and *zero velocity rate*, there is a good level of overlap in the confidence intervals (i.e. each rectangle edge, obtained as mean \pm variance) for the indicators in all the three sets. In particular, while *net mean velocity* and *explored distance* exhibit a near complete overlap of the confidence intervals, the numerical ranges of *MF mean velocity* and *MF mean displacement* are noticeably narrower than the corresponding experimental ranges.

Sensitivity analysis to parameter variations

In this Section we perform a sensitivity analysis of the proposed mathematical model, wherein the parameter values are varied one at a time while the remaining parameters are held constant. The outcomes of this analysis are reported in Fig. 9.

We first tested the effect of varying the spring stiffness k and the transition rate from the passive to the active state η_{PA} in the Ctrl scenario. We notice that the stiffening of the bond between the Ev and the axon produce larger displacements and this could be due to the high ability of the receptor to recall the vesicle against thermal fluctuations, see Fig. 9 (*top left*). Unbalancing the system dynamics in favor of the active transport state over the passive transport (i.e. increasing η_{PA}), makes the slight drift




Figure 6: Comparison between the averaged Mean Square Displacement (MSD) obtained from the experimental data (black dashed line) and from the numerical simulations (red continuous line) for the *Ctrl* set (left), the *CytoD-EV* set (center) and the *CytoD-HN* set (right). The gray lines represent the MSD relative to each individual numerical simulation.




Figure 7: Comparison between the histogram of the non-zero displacement (black continuous profile) and the one of the numerical displacements (shaded areas) for the *Ctrl* set (left), the *CytoD-EV* set (center) and the *CytoD-HN* set (right).




Figure 8: Comparison between the experimental (dashed) and numerical (shaded) ranges for the main kinematic indicators. Results for the *Ctrl* set (blue), for the *CytoD-EV* set (orange) and for the *CytoD-HN* (red) are reported. (Left) *MF mean velocity* - *net mean velocity* plane. (Center) *MF mean displacement* - *explored distance* plane. (Right) *mean velocity* - *zero velocity rate* plane. In all the cases, the rectangles are centered on the mean values and their width and height correspond to twice the standard deviation of the considered indicators.

generated by V_1 gradually disappear, see Fig. 9 (*top right*).

We then tuned the symmetry parameter α and the period L_1 associated to the flashing ratchet potential V_1 in the CytoD-EV scenario. We observe that for $\alpha < 1/2$, the histograms are shifted to the right, meaning that the EV transport is biased towards positive values. On the contrary, for $\alpha > 1/2$ the drift shifts towards negative values. Overall, as expected, the transport is more efficient for extreme values of α , see Fig. 9 (*center left*). We then notice that the largest drift is attained for the lowest value of L_1 , see Fig. 9 (*center right*). We believe that it becomes easier with a shorter period for the particle to diffuse and jump to the next potential minimum subject to the flashing ratchet, while with a larger period the particle would have to diffuse over a much longer distance in order to attain the next minimum, significantly decreasing the probability of this event.

Finally, we explored the effect of tuning the height h_2 and the period L_2 of the symmetric saw-tooth potential V_2 in the CytoD-HN scenario. As expected, the larger h_2 the lower the displacements, see Fig. 9 (*bottom left*). Indeed, this parameter represents the energy barrier that the EV has to cross in order to actively move to the next receptor. We observe the same behavior rising the period L_2 , i.e. lowering the density of receptors on the neuron surface, for which the same considerations made for L_1 , see Fig. 9 (*bottom right*).




Figure 9: Sensitivity analysis performed varying a subset of the model parameters: the spring stiffness k (top left), the passive to active transition rate η_{PA} (top right) in the *Ctrl* scenario; the symmetry parameter α (center left) and the period L_1 of the asymmetric saw-tooth potential V_1 (center right) in the *CytoD-EV* scenario; the height h_2 (bottom left) and the period L_2 (bottom right) of the symmetric saw-tooth potential V_2 in the *CytoD-HN* scenario. Where a clear trend is present, black arrows indicate the increase of the parameter.

4 Discussion and conclusions

This study investigated the movement of extracellular vesicles on neuronal surfaces, analyzing three experimental datasets.

The experimental procedure involved isolating EVs from astrocytes and placing them to neuron surfaces, with or without Cytochalasin D treatment. Live imaging of EVs allowed for the tracking of their trajectories, which were then analyzed using custom MATLAB scripts. Statistical analysis using Python libraries provided insights into the kinematic indicators of EV movement, such as mean velocity, zero-velocity rate, and path length. These indicators highlighted significant differences between the Ctrl and CytoD-treated datasets, with the Ctrl group exhibiting lower mobility and higher zero-velocity rates. The datasets were categorized as follows: Ctrl dataset (control, no treatment), CytoD-HN dataset (neurons treated with Cytochalasin D), and CytoD-EV dataset (EVs treated with Cytochalasin D). This categorization allowed for a comparative analysis of how actin polymerization inhibition affects EV transport.

The mathematical model we proposed effectively explained the experimental data by incorporating two distinct transport mechanisms: passive transport and active transport. In the Ctrl dataset, EVs exhibited more constrained diffusion, suggesting a predominance of passive transport mediated by cytoskeletal activity, similarly to the CytoD-EV case where this aspect is intensified. This passive transport was modeled using a flashing Brownian ratchet with an asymmetric sawtooth potential, which allowed us to replicate the directed movement observed experimentally. On the other hand, the active transport of EVs, the dominant mechanism in the CytoD-HN set, was indeed modeled through a flashing Brownian ratchet model with a symmetric periodic potential. The model parameters were calibrated based on experimental measurements and well-established physical relations. Parameters such as the spring stiffness, the heights of the sawtooth potentials, and the transition rates between active and passive states were crucial in accurately capturing the dynamics of EV movement.

Overall, the inhibition of actin polymerization by Cytochalasin D significantly impacted the EVs' ability to move along the neuron. In the CytoD-EV dataset, the EVs still retained a directed transport component, indicating that even when EVs were treated with CytoD, they could still exhibit passive movement due to cytoskeletal actin filament rearrangements. Conversely, the CytoD-HN dataset showed no drifting component, consistent with a purely active transport mechanism where cytoskeletal

dynamics were disrupted and EVs can move by simply rolling on the neuron surface leveraging nearby receptors.

Our primary findings indicate that EVs exhibit distinct mobility patterns depending on the treatment applied. Specifically, EVs in the Ctrl group demonstrated reduced mobility compared to those in the CytoD-treated groups, as evidenced by a higher percentage of zero-velocity occurrences and lower values of path length and mean velocity.

The model's effectiveness was validated by comparing numerical simulations with experimental data. A skewness test on simulated data showed agreement with experimental observations, where only the CytoD-HN set lacked a drifting component in the displacements. The mean squared displacement (MSD) curves further supported these findings, displaying a quadratic form for the CytoD-EV set indicative of drift, while the CytoD-HN set exhibited a linear MSD, reflecting purely diffusive behavior. Additionally, histograms of displacement distributions from numerical simulations closely matched those from experimental data, reinforcing the model's accuracy in capturing the underlying transport dynamics.

Our sensitivity analysis revealed that varying model parameters, such as spring stiffness and the transition rates between active and passive states, significantly affected EV transport characteristics. Increasing the spring stiffness resulted in larger displacements, suggesting a stronger ability of the receptor to pull the EV against thermal fluctuations. Adjusting the transition rates indicated that an imbalance favoring active transport diminished the slight drift generated by the asymmetric sawtooth potential. Furthermore, a decrease in the density of receptors on the neuron surface, as well as an increase in the intensity of the bond, impairs Ev motility.

However, the model has certain limitations. Firstly, it assumes that the EVs and receptors behave as spherical particles, which may oversimplify the actual biological structures. Additionally, the model does not account for potential interactions with other cellular components or environmental factors that could influence EV movement. The Markov processes governing state transitions are also simplified and may not fully capture the complexity of the biological mechanisms involved. Furthermore, the calibration of model parameters is based on a low number of available experimental samples, which may introduce biases or inaccuracies due to measurement limitations.

Future research directions include further refinement of the mathematical model to gain a more detailed understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying EV transport. Additional experiments with larger sample sizes are necessary to confirm the observed trends and reduce data variability. Moreover,

applying this model to specific pathological conditions, such as neurodegenerative diseases, could provide insights into how variations in EV transport mechanisms contribute to the spread of misfolded proteins, potentially identifying and thus modeling new therapeutic targets.

In summary, our work offers a reliable framework for understanding EV behavior and transport mechanisms, with significant implications for research into neurodegenerative diseases and other pathological conditions. The combination of experimental data and mathematical modeling has provided valuable insights into the biophysical processes governing EV movement on neuronal surfaces, paving the way for future advancements in understanding the biophysical laws underlying the prion protein-mediated transport of EVs on the neuron surface, and its key role for activation [32] and evolution of brain diseases [42].

Acknowledgement

GP, PC and ST have been partially supported by MUR, PRIN Research Projects 2020F3NCPX and grant Dipartimento di Eccellenza 2023-2027. GP has been partially supported by GNFM – INdAM through the program Progetto Giovani 2023. We are thankful to Micol Boriotti for her earlier contribution to the model development.

References

- [1] R. Ait-Haddou and W. Herzog. Brownian ratchet models of molecular motors. *Cell biochemistry and biophysics*, 38:191–213, 2003.
- [2] A. Ajdari and J. Prost. Drift induced by a spatially periodic potential of low symmetry-pulsed dielectrophoresis. *Comptes rendus de l'academie des sciences serie II*, 315(13):1635–1639, 1992.
- [3] D. Andrini, V. Balbi, G. Bevilacqua, G. Lucci, G. Pozzi, and D. Riccobelli. Mathematical modelling of axonal cortex contractility. *Brain Multiphysics*, 3:100060, 2022.
- [4] Alzheimer’s Association et al. 2012 alzheimer’s disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer’s & dementia*, 8(2):131–168, 2012.
- [5] R. D. Astumian and M. Bier. Fluctuation driven ratchets: molecular motors. *Physical review letters*, 72(11):1766, 1994.
- [6] J. J. Blum and M. C. Reed. A model for slow axonal transport and its application to neurofilamentous neuropathies. *Cell motility and the cytoskeleton*, 12(1):53–65, 1989.
- [7] P. C. Bressloff. *Stochastic processes in cell biology*, volume 41. Springer, 2014.
- [8] A. Brown. Slow axonal transport: stop and go traffic in the axon. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 1(2):153–156, 2000.
- [9] A. Brown. Slow axonal transport. In Larry R. Squire, editor, *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, pages 1–9. Academic Press, Oxford, 2009.
- [10] A. Brown, L. Wang, and P. Jung. Stochastic simulation of neurofilament transport in axons: the “stop-and-go” hypothesis. *Molecular biology of the cell*, 16(9):4243–4255, 2005.
- [11] F. F. Damberger, B. Christen, D. R. Pérez, S. Hornemann, and K. Wüthrich. Cellular prion protein conformation and function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(42):17308–17313, 2011.
- [12] G. D’Arrigo, M. Gabrielli, F. Scaroni, P. Swuec, L. Amin, A. Pegoraro, E. Adinolfi, F. Di Virgilio, D. Cojoc, G. Legname, et al. Astrocytes-derived extracellular vesicles in motion at the neuron surface: Involvement of the prion protein. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 10(9):e12114, 2021.

- [13] R. De Rooij and E. Kuhl. Physical biology of axonal damage. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*, 12:144, 2018.
- [14] F. Drago, M. Lombardi, I. Prada, M. Gabrielli, P. Joshi, D. Cojoc, J. Franck, I. Fournier, J. Vizioli, and C. Verderio. Atp modifies the proteome of extracellular vesicles released by microglia and influences their action on astrocytes. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, 8:910, 2017.
- [15] B. N. Dugger and D. W. Dickson. Pathology of neurodegenerative diseases. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, 9(7):a028035, 2017.
- [16] S. N. Ethier and J. Lee. The flashing brownian ratchet and parrondo’s paradox. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(1):171685, 2018.
- [17] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, M. Sands, et al. *The Feynman lectures on physics*, volume 1. Addison-Wesley Reading, MA, 1971.
- [18] B. Frost and M.I. Diamond. Prion-like mechanisms in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(3):155–159, 2010.
- [19] M. Gabrielli, I. Prada, P. Joshi, C. Falcicchia, G. D’Arrigo, G. Rutigliano, E. Battocchio, R. Zenatelli, F. Tozzi, A. Radeghieri, et al. Microglial large extracellular vesicles propagate early synaptic dysfunction in alzheimer’s disease. *Brain*, 145(8):2849–2868, 2022.
- [20] X. Gallart-Palau, X. Guo, A. Serra, and S. K. Sze. Alzheimer’s disease progression characterized by alterations in the molecular profiles and biogenesis of brain extracellular vesicles. *Alzheimer’s research & therapy*, 12(1):1–15, 2020.
- [21] R. García-García, P. Collet, and L. Truskinovsky. Guided active particles. *Physical Review E*, 100(4):042608, 2019.
- [22] I. Goychuk, V. O. Kharchenko, and R. Metzler. Molecular motors pulling cargos in the viscoelastic cytosol: how power strokes beat subdiffusion. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 16(31):16524–16535, 2014.
- [23] S.V. Gupta. *Units of measurement*. Springer, 2020.

- [24] J. Hardy and H. Orr. The genetics of neurodegenerative diseases. *Journal of neurochemistry*, 97(6):1690–1699, 2006.
- [25] A. F. Hill. Extracellular vesicles and neurodegenerative diseases. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 39(47):9269–9273, 2019.
- [26] M. M. Holm, J. Kaiser, and M. E. Schwab. Extracellular vesicles: multimodal envoys in neural maintenance and repair. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 41(6):360–372, 2018.
- [27] The MathWorks Inc. Matlab version: 9.13.0 (r2022b), 2022.
- [28] M. Jucker and L. C. Walker. Propagation and spread of pathogenic protein assemblies in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nature neuroscience*, 21(10):1341–1349, 2018.
- [29] D. Keller and C. Bustamante. The mechanochemistry of molecular motors. *Biophysical journal*, 78(2):541–556, 2000.
- [30] L. Korson, W. Drost-Hansen, and F. J. Millero. Viscosity of water at various temperatures. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 73(1):34–39, 1969.
- [31] G. Legname. Elucidating the function of the prion protein. *PLoS pathogens*, 13(8):e1006458, 2017.
- [32] M. Lombardi, M. Gabrielli, E. Adinolfi, and C. Verderio. Role of atp in extracellular vesicle biogenesis and dynamics. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 12:654023, 2021.
- [33] M. O. Magnasco. Forced thermal ratchets. *Physical Review Letters*, 71(10):1477, 1993.
- [34] S. Marbach, J. A. Zheng, and M. Holmes-Cerfon. The nanocaterpillar’s random walk: diffusion with ligand–receptor contacts. *Soft Matter*, 18(16):3130–3146, 2022.
- [35] W. McKinney et al. Data structures for statistical computing in python. In *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference*, volume 445, pages 51–56. Austin, TX, 2010.
- [36] C. S. Peskin, G. B. Ermentrout, and G. F. Oster. The correlation ratchet: a novel mechanism for generating directed motion by atp hydrolysis. In *Cell Mechanics and Cellular Engineering*, pages 479–489. Springer, 1994.

- [37] J. C. Polanco, C. Li, N. Durisic, R. Sullivan, and J. Götz. Exosomes taken up by neurons hijack the endosomal pathway to spread to interconnected neurons. *Acta neuropathologica communications*, 6(1):1–14, 2018.
- [38] S. B. Prusiner. Biology and genetics of prions causing neurodegeneration. *Annual review of genetics*, 47:601–623, 2013.
- [39] H. Qian. The mathematical theory of molecular motor movement and chemomechanical energy transduction. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 27:219–234, 2000.
- [40] D. Riccobelli. Active elasticity drives the formation of periodic beading in damaged axons. *Physical Review E*, 104(2):024417, 2021.
- [41] D. Riesner, K. Kellings, K. Post, H. Wille, H. Serban, D. Groth, M. A. Baldwin, and S. B. Prusiner. Disruption of prion rods generates 10-nm spherical particles having high alpha-helical content and lacking scrapie infectivity. *Journal of virology*, 70(3):1714–1722, 1996.
- [42] Z. Ruan, J.C. Delpech, S. Venkatesan Kalavai, A. A. Van Enoo, J. Hu, S. Ikezu, and T. Ikezu. P2rx7 inhibitor suppresses exosome secretion and disease phenotype in p301s tau transgenic mice. *Molecular neurodegeneration*, 15:1–14, 2020.
- [43] S. Sampaoli, A. Agosti, G. Pozzi, and P. Ciarletta. A toy model of misfolded protein aggregation and neural damage propagation in neurodegenerative diseases. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 144:104083, 2022.
- [44] M. Sardar Sinha, A. Ansell-Schultz, L. Civitelli, C. Hildesjö, M. Larsson, L. Lannfelt, M. Ingelsson, and M. Hallbeck. Alzheimer’s disease pathology propagation by exosomes containing toxic amyloid-beta oligomers. *Acta neuropathologica*, 136:41–56, 2018.
- [45] D. Sarnataro, A. Pepe, and C. Zurzolo. Cell biology of prion protein. *Progress in molecular biology and translational science*, 150:57–82, 2017.
- [46] J. Stephenson, E. Nutma, P. van der Valk, and S. Amor. Inflammation in cns neurodegenerative diseases. *Immunology*, 154(2):204–219, 2018.
- [47] G.G. Stokes et al. On the effect of the internal friction of fluids on the motion of pendulums. 1851.

- [48] W. K. Surewicz and M. I. Apostol. Prion protein and its conformational conversion: a structural perspective. *Prion Proteins*, pages 135–167, 2011.
- [49] R. Toral and P. Colet. *Stochastic numerical methods: an introduction for students and scientists*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [50] H. Van den Bedem and E. Kuhl. Tau-ism: the yin and yang of microtubule sliding, detachment, and rupture. *Biophysical journal*, 109(11):2215–2217, 2015.
- [51] G. Van Rossum and F. L. Drake Jr. *Python reference manual*. Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica Amsterdam, 1995.
- [52] M. Vilela, N. Halidi, S. Besson, H. Elliott, K. Hahn, J. Tytell, and G. Danuser. Fluctuation analysis of activity biosensor images for the study of information flow in signaling pathways. In *Methods in enzymology*, volume 519, pages 253–276. Elsevier, 2013.
- [53] P. Virtanen, R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy, D. Cournapeau, E. Burovski, P. Peterson, W. Weckesser, J. Bright, et al. Scipy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in python. *Nature methods*, 17(3):261–272, 2020.
- [54] Y. Wang, V. Balaji, S. Kaniyappan, L. Krüger, S. Irsen, K. Tepper, R. Chandupatla, W. Maetzler, A. Schneider, E. Mandelkow, et al. The release and trans-synaptic transmission of tau via exosomes. *Molecular neurodegeneration*, 12(1):1–25, 2017.
- [55] J. Weickenmeier, M. Jucker, A. Goriely, and E. Kuhl. A physics-based model explains the prion-like features of neurodegeneration in alzheimer’s disease, parkinson’s disease, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 124:264–281, 2019.
- [56] J. Weickenmeier, E. Kuhl, and A. Goriely. Multiphysics of prionlike diseases: Progression and atrophy. *Physical review letters*, 121(15):158101, 2018.