

Probing new hadronic forces with heavy exotic atoms

Hongkai Liu,^{1,2,*} Ben Ohayon,^{1,†} Omer Shtauf,^{1,‡} and Yotam Soreq^{1,§}

¹*Physics Department, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, Israel*

²*High Energy Theory Group, Physics Department,
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*

We explore the potential of precision spectroscopy of heavy exotic atoms where electrons are substituted by negative hadrons to detect new force carriers with hadronic couplings. The selected transitions are unaffected by nuclear contact terms, thus enabling highly accurate calculations using bound-state QED, provided that the nuclear polarization is under control. Alternatively, we demonstrate that the dipole polarizability, a fundamental property of nuclei, can be extracted from the spectroscopy of exotic atoms in a novel way by combining two transitions while maintaining high sensitivity to new physics. Based on existing data, we extracted world-leading bounds on mediator masses ranging from 0.1 MeV to 10 MeV for two benchmark models and show that forthcoming experiments could enhance the sensitivity to new physics by two orders of magnitude.

I. INTRODUCTION

High-precision atomic spectroscopy plays a key role in fundamental physics. Two significant applications are determining fundamental constants [1, 2] and searching for physics beyond the standard model (BSM), e.g. [3–6]. Such new physics (NP) is well motivated by experimental evidence and strong theoretical arguments and can be associated with low-mass states well below the GeV scale; see e.g. [7]. NP searches in atomic spectra can be broadly categorized into two types. The first category involves scenarios where (approximated) symmetries and/or factorization properties significantly amplify the NP signal compared to the standard model (SM) (see e.g. [8–15]). The second category involves identifying the NP signal by a meticulous comparison between the SM predictions and measurements as in [3, 4, 16, 17]. This work falls into the second category.

We consider the effect of a new spin-independent interaction coupled to hadrons on the spectra of exotic atoms, where the electrons are replaced by \bar{p} , π^- , or K^- , and derive novel bounds and projections for future measurements. These atomic systems present several advantages over electronic or muonic atoms: (i) they allow the study of purely hadronic interactions, unaffected by electronic and muonic couplings; (ii) they enable probing of shorter distances than those in molecules or electronic atoms, enhancing the sensitivity to the detection of new force mediators with higher masses, ~ 10 MeV compared to ~ 4 keV; (iii) they facilitate straightforward theoretical comparisons, as electrons are absent or their influence is greatly reduced [18], making these simple and highly charged systems amenable to precise QED calculations [19–23]. However, these searches face theoretical and experimental challenges.

In the experimental context, the transition energies are proportional to $\alpha^2 Z^2 m_H$ (where Z represents the atomic number, α is the fine-structure constant and m_H denotes the hadron mass), placing them mostly in the x-ray range. Determining the energies of x-ray photons with high accuracy is challenging due to the low resolving power of solid-state detectors [24], and the narrow band and low efficiency of high-resolution wavelength measurement techniques [25]. These difficulties are compounded with the limited rates at which exotic particle beams are available. Nevertheless, high-resolution measurements of the x-ray energies of exotic atoms have been made using crystal spectrometers [26–49]. Moreover, precision x-ray spectroscopy of exotic atoms is currently experiencing a paradigm shift due to the adoption of cryogenic microcalorimeter detectors [50–56], which offer high quantum efficiency and exceptional resolution to measure particle energies [57, 58].

On the theory side, it has been difficult to extend NP searches with atomic spectroscopy to short distances, corresponding to a high mass (> 10 keV) of the NP mediator. Here, factors such as nuclear structure (size, shape, deformation, etc.) and, in certain systems, strong interaction effects [33, 39, 41, 42, 45, 51, 59, 60], become significant. Estimating these factors is highly challenging due to the non-perturbative nature of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) at low energies compounded with the difficulty in solving the nuclear many-body problem. However, circular states, that is, states of a high principal quantum number n , and a maximal angular momentum $l = n - 1$ are not sensitive to the short-distance nuclear effects [20, 59], thus, they are amenable to state-of-the-art QED calculations (given, e.g., in [20]).

For these states, the least known nuclear effect is the long-range part of the nuclear polarization (NPol) [21, 61], which depends on a fundamental nuclear property: static electric dipole polarizability, α_E^N . Clearly, a single measurement cannot distinguish NPol from NP. In this paper, we demonstrate how the utilization of two transitions enables extracting α_E^N simultaneously with NP with minimal loss in sensitivity. Measurements of α_E^N are interesting on their own for nuclear structure stud-

* hliu6@bnl.gov

† bohayon@technion.ac.il

‡ omer.shtauf@campus.technion.ac.il

§ soreq@physics.technion.ac.il

ies [62], as well as for determining the contribution of the SM to nonlinearities of King plots [63], which limits the sensitivity to new ‘‘fifth forces’’ [64]. Simultaneous measurements of distinct photon energies, relating to two transitions, benefit from the broadband capabilities of microcalorimeter detectors.

In the following, we extract new bounds on the parameter space of BSM hadronic interactions from existing data in \bar{p} Ne [39], \bar{p} Pb [65, 66] and π^- ^{14}N [47]. Moreover, we derive projections to \bar{p} ^{20}Ne , \bar{p} ^{132}Xe and K^- ^{20}Ne which are targets of ongoing measurements [56, 67–71]. In particular, we show that these can be the leading probes of certain models, where the bounds from rare kaon decay are very weak [72]. Although there are existing bounds from spectroscopy of hadronic atoms, e.g. from \bar{p} ^4He [73, 74], we analyze atoms that probe higher mediator masses.

II. CONTRIBUTIONS OF NUCLEAR POLARIZATION AND NEW PHYSICS

We consider transitions between circular states in nuclei bound to negatively charged hadrons. To increase NP sensitivity, n is chosen to be as small as possible but large enough so that the effects of QCD are negligible at the relevant accuracy level, given in Table I; see also [20, 59].

Consequently, we parameterize the theoretical prediction of the n level as

$$E_n^{\text{th}} = \underbrace{E_n^{\text{SM-NPol}} + E_n^{\text{NPol}}}_{E_n^{\text{SM}}} + E_n^X, \quad (1)$$

where $E_n^{\text{SM-NPol}}$ is the contribution of the SM excluding the NPol, E_n^{NPol} is the sum of the contributions of the polarizations of the nucleus and the orbiting hadron, and E_n^X is the contribution of NP due to the exchange of an X boson. Hereafter, wherever n is written alone, the angular momentum number is implied to be $l = n - 1$.

Due to the separation of the nuclear polarization effect, we assume that the uncertainty in $E_n^{\text{SM-NPol}}$ is either already negligible compared to the precision goals of ongoing experiments [20], or that it would be reduced hand in hand with the experimental improvements [56].

For the states considered, the orbiting particle velocity is roughly $Z\alpha/n \ll 1$, so the leading-order contribution to the energy levels, given in Table I, is of the Coulomb type $E_n^{\text{SM,LO}} = -(Z\alpha/n)^2\mu/2$, where μ is the reduced mass. In the static (Born-Oppenheimer) approximation, the energy shift associated with the dipole contribution of the electric polarizability is [21, 61]

$$E_n^{\text{NPol}} = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha_E^N + Z^2\alpha_E^H)\langle r^{-4} \rangle_n \equiv \alpha_E^{\text{tot}}h_n^{\text{NPol}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_E^{\text{tot}} \equiv \alpha_E^N + Z^2\alpha_E^H$ is the total polarizability, comprising that of the nucleus α_E^N , and the orbiting hadron α_E^H . For $H = \{\bar{p}, \pi^-, K^-\}$, $\alpha_E^H =$

$(12.0 \pm 0.5, 2.0 \pm 0.9, < 200) \times 10^{-4} \text{fm}^3$ [75–77], respectively. $\langle r^{-4} \rangle_n$ is the expectation value of r^{-4} for a state with principal quantum number n . For circular states, it returns $h_n^{\text{NPol}} = -8\mu^4 Z^4 \alpha^5 (2n-4)!/(n^4 (2n)!)$.

To assess the order of magnitude of α_E^N , we adopt the results of a recent global analysis of photoabsorption cross-section measurements, which returns [78]

$$\alpha_E^N \approx 8 \frac{\left(\frac{A}{132}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{A}{132}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 0.31} \text{fm}^3, \quad (3)$$

where A is the mass number of the nucleus. Eqs. (2) and (3) allow us to approximate the NPol corrections listed in Table I, revealing that they are not negligible at the accuracy goals of the upcoming experiments and that the contribution of α_E^N outweighs that of $Z^2\alpha_E^H$.

As the experiments progress, more refined theoretical calculations of the NPol may become available. Nevertheless, the uncertainties associated with these medium-mass and heavy nuclei are projected to be considerable [62, 78–81], thus, NPol could become a bottleneck to NP searches in these systems. However, in the following, we show that by using two transitions, one can probe NP without having to calculate NPol, thus bypassing this difficulty.

The spin-independent component of new interactions manifests as an effective Yukawa potential [82]

$$V_X(\mathbf{r}) = (-1)^s \frac{g_N^X g_H^X}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_X r}}{r}, \quad (4)$$

where X denotes the new boson with spin s . g_N^X (g_H^X) represents the coupling to the hadron (nucleus). In a non-relativistic approximation, the first-order perturbation of this potential to the energies of circular states is

$$E_n^X = (-1)^s \frac{g_H^X g_N^X}{4\pi} \frac{1}{r_n} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{m_X r_n}{2n}\right)^{2n}} \equiv g_H^X g_N^X h_n^X, \quad (5)$$

where $r_n \equiv n^2/(Z\alpha\mu)$ represents the decoupling radius; the distance beyond which the sensitivity to NP decreases. Note that it corresponds to $\langle r^2 \rangle_n$ when $r \gg 1$. Table I lists the r_n 's of the lower levels in the transitions considered here. They span a range of 20 keV for a Rydberg transition in \bar{p} ^4He , which laser technology can access, up to 6 MeV for the $10 \rightarrow 9$ transition in \bar{p} Pb, situated in the x-ray regime. This highlights the importance of x-ray spectroscopy in investigating short-range interactions.

III. PROBING NEW PHYSICS

The strongest radiative transitions in the cascade of the exotic particle are those between two subsequent circular states [24], so that the transition energies are $E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}} \equiv E_n^{\text{exp}} - E_{n-1}^{\text{exp}}$, where we adopt the notation $X_{n,n-1}^Y \equiv X_n^Y - X_{n-1}^Y$.

Bound-state	(n_i, l_i)	(n_f, l_f)	$E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM,LO}}$ [keV]	$\Delta_{n,n-1}/E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM,LO}}$ [ppm]	$1/r_{n_f}$ [MeV]	$E_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}/E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM,LO}}$ [ppm]
π^- ^{14}N	(5,4)	(4,3)	4.05	3.9 ± 1.7 [47]	0.44	0.005
K^- ^{20}Ne	(6,5)	(5,4)	15.6	± 1	1.4	< 0.5
\bar{p} ^4He	(32,31)	(31,30)	5.11×10^{-3}	$(2.2 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3}$ [73]	0.011	10^{-8}
\bar{p} ^{20}Ne	(13,12)	(12,11)	2.44	14 ± 23 [39]	0.45	0.005
\bar{p} Pb	(12,11)	(11,10)	221	109 ± 77 [65, 66]	4.6	20
\bar{p} Pb	(11,10)	(10,9)	290	114 ± 72 [65, 66]	5.5	40
\bar{p} ^{20}Ne	(6,5)	(5,4)	29.0	± 1	2.6	1
\bar{p} ^{132}Xe	(11,10)	(10,9)	125	± 1	3.7	8
\bar{p} ^{132}Xe	(10,9)	(9,8)	170	± 1	4.5	20

TABLE I. The transitions considered in this work along with $E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM,LO}}$, $\Delta_{n,n-1}/E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM,LO}}$ for measured (projection) with (without) reference, r_n , and an estimation of the relative contribution of NPol.

When the NPol uncertainty, $\sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}}$, is negligible compared to the experimental uncertainty, $\sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}}}$, we can use a single transition, preferably to the lowest n not affected by hadronic interactions, to probe NP. Assuming an agreement between the experiment and the prediction of SM, the upper bound of the projected 95% confidence level (CL) is

$$|E_{n,n-1}^X| = |E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}} - E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM}}| < 2\sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}}}. \quad (6)$$

Combining Eq. (5) and Eq. (6) returns

$$[g_H^X g_N^X]_{1\text{T}} < \frac{2\sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}}}}{|h_{n,n-1}^X|} \xrightarrow{m_X r_n \rightarrow 0} 4\pi Z\alpha R_\sigma, \quad (7)$$

where we denote the fractional accuracy $R_\sigma \equiv \sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}}}/E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}}$. From Eq. (7), we learn that the sensitivity is flat up to the mass of $m_X \sim 1/r_n$, and then quickly decouples as m_X^{2n} . We denote this case as *single transition*, 1T.

The second case is when $\sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}}} < \sigma_{E_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}}$. As the uncertainty in α_E^N is expected to be much larger than the magnitude of the corrections to Eq. (2), we can simultaneously determine α_E^N and probe NP by considering two transitions measured for the same system. We combine Eqs. (1), (2) and (5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,n-1} &\equiv E_{n,n-1}^{\text{exp}} - E_{n,n-1}^{\text{SM-NPol}} \\ &= g_H^X g_N^X h_{n,n-1}^X + \alpha_E^{\text{tot}} h_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $g_H^X g_N^X$ and α_E^{tot} are the two unknowns. Next, assuming that $\Delta_{n,n-1}$ and $\Delta_{n',n'-1}$ were measured, we can solve for $g_H^X g_N^X$ and α_E^{tot} and get

$$[g_H^X g_N^X]_{2\text{T}} = \frac{\Delta_{n,n-1} h_{n',n'-1}^{\text{NPol}} - \Delta_{n',n'-1} h_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}}{h_{n,n-1}^X h_{n',n'-1}^{\text{NPol}} - h_{n',n'-1}^X h_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}}, \quad (9)$$

$$[\alpha_E^{\text{tot}}]_{2\text{T}} = \frac{\Delta_{n',n'-1} h_{n,n-1}^X - \Delta_{n,n-1} h_{n',n'-1}^X}{h_{n,n-1}^X h_{n',n'-1}^{\text{NPol}} - h_{n',n'-1}^X h_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}}}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, by measuring two transitions and combining with theory, we can determine both NPol and NP. For every pair of transitions, there is a specific m_X for which

$h_{n',n'-1}^X h_{n,n-1}^{\text{NPol}} = h_{n,n-1}^X h_{n',n'-1}^{\text{NPol}}$, and the sensitivity is lost. The sensitivity to $g_H^X g_N^X$ is estimated using error propagation of Eq. (9). For simplicity, we assume that the uncertainties on $\Delta_{n,n-1}$ and $\Delta_{n',n'-1}$ are not correlated and are of the same fraction of the energies. This case is denoted as *dual transition*, 2T.

For heavy nuclei, the electric quadrupole polarizability starts to play a role. Its contribution scales as $1/r^6$ and the relative effect compared to the dipole polarizability is $(r_A/r_n)^2$. It is expected to be small for our chosen transitions and nuclei but can still be systematically fitted from the data using additional transitions. Moreover, different isotopes could be probed to better understand this effect.

In Fig. 1, we illustrate the use of 1T Eq. (7) and 2T Eq. (9) by plotting the projection for $\bar{p}^{132}\text{Xe}$ assuming the experimental accuracy of $R_\sigma = 1$ ppm. Three cases are considered: (i) 1T: $10 \rightarrow 9$ neglecting the error on the nuclear polarizability; (ii) 1T: $10 \rightarrow 9$ with 50% error on the nuclear polarizability; (iii) 2T: $11 \rightarrow 10$ and $10 \rightarrow 9$ which is free of NPol. We see that case (i) is best only when NPol is controlled to at least 11% of its value, which is beyond current knowledge for a heavy nucleus such as ^{132}Xe . However, case (iii) is the most sensitive when a realistic uncertainty is assumed, as in case (ii).

IV. CURRENT DATA AND BOUNDS

We consider the available data and ongoing experiments in hadronic atoms and derive novel bounds and future projections on NP; see Fig. 2. They are given in the $m_X - g_H^X g_N^X$ plane and can be matched to specific models as shown for two examples below.

We begin with an analysis of mesonic atoms, which have so far been used to extract meson masses [46–48, 75, 83, 84] and measure strong interaction effects [33, 41, 45, 51, 59]. To utilize them for NP searches, we adopt the pion mass $[m_\pi]_{\text{kin}} = 139.57021(14)$ MeV, accurate to 1.0 ppm, from kinematic measurements [83–85]. The most precise single transition measurement in pionic atoms is of the $5 \rightarrow 4$ line in $\pi^{-14}\text{N}$ and returns $[m_\pi]_{5 \rightarrow 4} = 139.57077(18)$ MeV [47], accurate to




FIG. 1. $\bar{p}^{132}\text{Xe}$ projections with $R_\sigma = 10^{-6}$. The bound in dotted blue (dark blue) is for the $(10, 9) \rightarrow (9, 8)$ transition without (with) NPOL uncertainty of 10 ppm. In dashed blue we show the bound for combining two transitions: $(11, 10) \rightarrow (10, 9)$ and $(10, 9) \rightarrow (9, 8)$ to remove the sensitivity to NPOL.

1.3 ppm. Because the transition energy is proportional to m_π at the percent level, we deduce $\Delta_{5,4}/E_{5,4}^{\text{SM,LO}} = 3.9 \pm 1.7$ ppm. Other high-precision measurements in pionic and kaonic atoms produce weaker bounds [75], are affected by residual electrons [23, 48, 86], or by QCD [33, 41, 45, 51, 87, 88].

The SIDDHARTA-2 experiment at the DAΦNE collider recently demonstrated high-resolution spectroscopy of circular transitions in $K^- \text{Ne}$ [69], of which the one with the highest energy is $6 \rightarrow 5$. For a future projection of the sensitivity of this transition, we consider a 1 ppm accuracy and also assume that the kaon mass is measured independently, e.g. by laser spectroscopy [89].

Next, we consider transitions in antiprotonic atoms. In Ref. [39], the strong interaction shift of the 2p manifold in $\bar{p}\text{D}$ was measured in two ways: (i) Comparing with the known $k\alpha_1$ x-ray fluorescence line in atomic sulfur. (ii) Comparing with the $\bar{p}^{20}\text{Ne}$ $13 \rightarrow 12$ line whose energy was calculated assuming that there is no NP. As both methods return the same $\bar{p}\text{D}$ strong interaction shift, they can be reinterpreted as a measurement of $\bar{p}^{20}\text{Ne}$ $13 \rightarrow 12$ energy calibrated by the sulfur line. From the information given in Table 9 of Ref. [39] we deduce $\Delta_{13,12}/E_{13,12}^{\text{SM,LO}} = 14 \pm 23$ ppm given in Table I.

To probe higher new boson masses, we consider the transitions measured in $\bar{p}\text{Pb}$ [65], where a natural abundance sample was used. Since the lines for different isotopes were not experimentally resolved, the NPOL may be treated as an average over them. A calculation of the corresponding energies is given in [66]. However, it does not include the effect of nuclear polarization. A rough estimation for $^{208}\text{Pb}(11, 10) \rightarrow (10, 9)$ using Eq. (2) returns ~ 40 ppm. As this is of similar magnitude as the experimental error, we remove it employing the 2T method to search for new physics, considering the two lowest-lying measured intervals $11 \rightarrow 10$ and $10 \rightarrow 9$. An agreement is found between experiment [65] and theory [66] resulting in the bound plotted in Fig. 2. Although the accuracy




FIG. 2. New bounds (shaded solid) and projections (dashed) from this work compared to existing bounds from $\bar{p}^4\text{He}$ and HD^+ (shaded gray area).

is not as high as in lighter systems, the compact nature of this system allows one to competitively probe a new boson mass of $1/r_n \approx 6$ MeV. Other high-precision measurements in antiprotonic atoms either produce weaker bounds ([43] and Table IX in [90]), or are affected by QCD [18, 42, 60, 90–92].

Having established that x-ray spectroscopy in hadronic atoms is sensitive to the 0.1–10 MeV NP scale, we consider the up-and-coming PAX experiment at the CERN antiproton decelerator [56, 68, 70, 71]. Suitable transitions, where QCD contact terms and finite-size effects are negligible, were identified in Ref. [20] in the context of the probing of high-field QED. Considering the existing bounds discussed above, an accuracy better than 100 ppm would already probe a new parameter space. To show the full potential of these measurements, we consider an accuracy of 1 ppm for two representative cases: (i) 1T for $6 \rightarrow 5$ line in $\bar{p}^{20}\text{Ne}$. Since $E_{6,5}^{\text{NPOL}} \approx 1$ ppm, it is not expected to be the bottleneck; (ii) 2T combining $12 \rightarrow 11$ and $11 \rightarrow 10$ in $\bar{p}^{132}\text{Xe}$, as $E_{11,10}^{\text{NPOL}} \approx 20$ ppm it can potentially limit the sensitivity of a single-transition measurement.

The existing strongest bound from exotic atoms is from $\bar{p}^4\text{He}$ [73, 74], which decouples at 20 keV, as shown in Fig. 2. Other probes of new hadronic forces are from molecular spectroscopy ($m_X \lesssim 10$ keV) [93, 94] and neutron scattering ($m_X \lesssim 100$ keV) [95]. There are also stringent stellar cooling limits [96, 97] that decouple at 100 keV and are also model dependent, see e.g. [98–104]. Our updated bounds and projections reach a greater mass than previous probes, up to ~ 10 MeV.

V. APPLICATION TO BENCHMARK MODELS

The bounds from Section IV can be cast into specific BSM models; here are the results for two benchmark models. The first is the uds -scalar from Ref. [72] and the second is the B -model (where B stands for a gauged baryon number) assuming predominantly in-




FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 2 with Left: the uds -scalar model. Right: the B -boson model with additional bounds from NA64, CODATA, and new anomaly-canceling fermions (see main text for details).

visible decays. In the following, we show that exotic atoms can be the leading probes of these models for a mediator mass in the 100 keV to 10 MeV range. Note that the Higgs-mixing model is severely constrained by $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + \text{inv.}$ [105, 106].

In the uds -scalar model, a new CP-even scalar, ϕ , with mass m_ϕ , exclusively interacts with the u , d , and s quarks and decays invisibly. The couplings are proportional to the quark masses and are suppressed by the cutoff scale f_ϕ . As shown in Ref. [72], the stringent bounds from $K \rightarrow \pi\phi$ [105, 107] are evaded to leading-order in the chiral expansion and thus relaxed, unlike in the case of scalar Higgs mixing. The effective ϕ -quarks interaction is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi^{\text{int}} = \frac{\phi}{f_\phi} \sum_{q=u,d,s} m_q \bar{q}q, \quad (11)$$

without coupling to other SM particles. Following e.g. [108, 109], we match $\mathcal{L}_\phi^{\text{int}}$ to the hadron level

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi^{\text{int, had}} \subset \phi (g_p^\phi \bar{p}p + g_n^\phi \bar{n}n + 2m_\pi g_\pi^\phi \pi^+ \pi^- + 2m_K g_K^\phi K^+ K^-), \quad (12)$$

with

$$g_{p(n)}^\phi = \frac{m_{p(n)}}{f_\phi} (f_u^{p(n)} + f_d^{p(n)} + f_s^{p(n)}), \quad (13)$$

$$g_{\pi(K)}^\phi = -\frac{m_{\pi(K)}}{2f_\phi}, \quad (14)$$

where $f_u^{p(n)} = 0.018(0.016)$, $f_d^{p(n)} = 0.034(0.038)$, and $f_s^{p(n)} = 0.044(0.044)$ [108]. The interaction with nuclei is given by $g_N^X \approx (A - Z)g_n^X + Zg_p^X$.

The bounds on the uds -model are plotted in the left panel of Fig. 3 in the $m_\phi - f_\phi^{-1} (g_p^\phi)$ plane, where we projected the bounds of Fig. 2. The approximated NLO bounds from rare kaon decay are $1/f_\phi \lesssim 0.8/\text{GeV}$ [72] and are not shown. We note that the probed range of parameter space is mildly fine-tuned, since for a TeV cutoff, the 1-loop correction to m_π is $\delta m_\phi^2 \sim$

$\text{TeV}^2 (m_s/f_\phi)^2 / 16\pi^2$. To conclude, we learn that heavy exotic atoms already set the strongest bounds on the uds model for $0.1 \lesssim m_\phi \lesssim 10$ MeV with the potential to improve by at least an order of magnitude.

The B -boson model introduces a new massive spin-1 gauge boson, B , with universal vector-like couplings to the quarks. The SM charged leptons are coupled via 1-loop kinetic mixing and we assume $\text{BR}(B \rightarrow \text{inv.}) \approx 1$. This model was analyzed in several places, e.g. [110, 111]. The effective interactions with the SM fermions are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_B^{\text{int}} = \frac{g_B}{3} B^\mu \sum_q \bar{q} \gamma_\mu q - \frac{g_B e^2}{48\pi^2} B^\mu \sum_\ell \bar{\ell} \gamma_\mu \ell, \quad (15)$$

where q (ℓ) is the SM quark (charged leptons). The interaction terms of Eq. (15) can be mapped to the hadron interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_B^{\text{int, had}} = g_B B_\mu (\bar{p} \gamma^\mu p + \bar{n} \gamma^\mu n), \quad (16)$$

with no coupling to mesons. The baryon number is an anomalous symmetry, and thus requires additional degrees of freedom. Such considerations lead to strong bounds from rare bottom and kaon decays, see [112–114]. However, a similar model that is anomaly-free can be built by mixing the SM fermions with heavy vector-like fermions as in e.g. [115, 116].

We plot our new $\bar{p}\text{Ne}$ and $\bar{p}\text{Pb}$ bounds and the exotic atom projections for the B model in the right panel of Fig. 3 (there are no bounds from mesonic atoms). We compare our result to the existing bounds from $\bar{p}^4\text{He}$, NA64 [117] and to rescaling of the $B-L$ bound from [17], denoted as CODATA. The last two bounds are based on a loop-induced B -electron coupling that depends on the model's ultraviolet details and can be adjusted accordingly. We learn that $\bar{p}\text{Ne}$ and $\bar{p}\text{Pb}$ are already the robust leading probes of this model and that future measurements have the potential to increase sensitivity by an order of magnitude.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we explored the potential of precision spectroscopy of heavy exotic atoms in which electrons are replaced by \bar{p} , π^- or K^- , to probe new force carriers with hadronic couplings. We have considered transitions that are clean from short-range hadronic effects, thus, the SM contribution can be predicted to high accuracy. However, nuclear polarizability can still have sizable effects on these transition lines. Therefore, we propose to use two lines and simultaneously solve for new-physics and polarizability effects. Based on the available data in $\bar{p}\text{Ne}$, $\bar{p}\text{Pb}$ and $\pi^- \text{N}$, we extract new world-leading bounds on two benchmark models and derive projections for future measurement for several representative systems. For mediator masses of up to 10 MeV, future experiments have

the potential to improve the sensitivity by up to two orders of magnitude.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank N. Paul, K. Pachucki, M. Gorshteyn, and C. Delaunay for illuminating discussions and comments on the manuscript. Special thanks goes to P. Indelicato for valuable input on the existing experimental data and for testing prior calculations for consistency. The work of H.L. is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant Contract DE-SC0012704. The work of B.O. is supported by the Helen-Diller quantum center and ISF (grant no. 2071390). The work of O.S. and Y.S. is supported by grants from the NSF-BSF (grant No. 2021800), the ISF (grant No. 597/24).

-
- [1] S. G. Karshenboim, “Precision physics of simple atoms: QED tests, nuclear structure and fundamental constants,” *Physics Reports* **422** (2005) 1–63.
- [2] P. Mohr, D. Newell, B. Taylor, and E. Tiesinga, “CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2022,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.03787 (2024).
- [3] S. G. Karshenboim, “Constraints on a long-range spin-independent interaction from precision atomic physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 073003.
- [4] S. G. Karshenboim, “Precision Physics of Simple Atoms and Constraints on a Light Boson with Ultraweak Coupling,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 220406.
- [5] M. S. Safronova, *et al.*, “Search for New Physics with Atoms and Molecules,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90** (2018) 025008 [arXiv:1710.01833].
- [6] L. Cong *et al.*, “Spin-dependent exotic interactions.” arXiv:2408.15691.
- [7] R. K. Ellis *et al.*, “Physics Briefing Book: Input for the European Strategy for Particle Physics Update 2020.” arXiv:1910.11775.
- [8] C. S. Wood, *et al.*, “Measurement of parity nonconservation and an anapole moment in cesium,” *Science* **275** (1997) 1759–1763.
- [9] S. G. Karshenboim, “Some possibilities for laboratory searches for variations of fundamental constants,” *Canadian journal of physics* **78** (2000) 639–678.
- [10] C. Bouchiat and P. Fayet, “Constraints on the parity-violating couplings of a new gauge boson,” *Phys. Lett. B* **608** (2005) 87–94 [hep-ph/0410260].
- [11] A. Arvanitaki, J. Huang, and K. Van Tilburg, “Searching for dilaton dark matter with atomic clocks,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 015015 [arXiv:1405.2925].
- [12] C. Delaunay, R. Ozeri, G. Perez, and Y. Soreq, “Probing Atomic Higgs-like Forces at the Precision Frontier,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 093001 [arXiv:1601.05087].
- [13] J. C. Berengut *et al.*, “Probing New Long-Range Interactions by Isotope Shift Spectroscopy,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 091801 [arXiv:1704.05068].
- [14] C. Baruch, P. B. Changala, Y. Shagam, and Y. Soreq, “Constraining P and T violating forces with chiral molecules,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **6** (2024) 043115 [arXiv:2406.02281].
- [15] T. S. Roussy *et al.*, “An improved bound on the electron’s electric dipole moment,” *Science* **381** (2023) adg4084 [arXiv:2212.11841].
- [16] S. G. Karshenboim and V. V. Flambaum, “Constraint on axionlike particles from atomic physics,” *Phys. Rev. A* **84** (2011) 064502.
- [17] C. Delaunay, *et al.*, “Self-Consistent Extraction of Spectroscopic Bounds on Light New Physics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023) 121801 [arXiv:2210.10056].
- [18] L. M. Simons, “Recent Results on Antiprotonic Atoms using a Cyclotron Trap at LEAR,” *Physica Scripta* **1988** (1988) 90.
- [19] B. Aas, R. Eichler, and H. J. Leisi, “Transition wavelengths of muonic X-rays of magnesium, silicon and phosphorus,” *Nuclear Physics A* **375** (1982) 439–452.
- [20] N. Paul, G. Bian, T. Azuma, S. Okada, and P. Indelicato, “Testing Quantum Electrodynamics with Exotic Atoms,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 173001 [arXiv:2011.09715].
- [21] J. Zatorski, V. Patkóš, and K. Pachucki, “Quantum electrodynamics of two-body systems with arbitrary masses up to α^6 order,” *Phys. Rev. A* **106** (2022) 042804 [arXiv:2207.14155].
- [22] J. P. Santos, F. Parente, S. Boucard, and P. Indelicato, “X-ray energies of circular transitions in sigmonic atoms,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* **235** (2005) 206–209. The Physics of Highly Charged Ions.
- [23] J. P. Santos, F. Parente, S. Boucard, P. Indelicato, and J. P. Desclaux, “X-ray energies of circular transitions and electron screening in kaonic atoms,” *Phys. Rev. A* **71** (2005) 032501.
- [24] D. Gotta, K. Rashid, B. Fricke, P. Indelicato, and L. Simons, “X-ray transitions from antiprotonic noble

- gases,” *The European Physical Journal D* **47** (2008) 11–26.
- [25] M. H. Mendenhall, L. T. Hudson, C. I. Szabo, A. Henins, and J. P. Cline, “The molybdenum K-shell x-ray emission spectrum,” *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **52** (2019) 215004.
- [26] W. Ruckstuhl, *et al.*, “High-Precision Muonic X-Ray Measurement of the rms Charge Radius of ^{12}C with a Crystal Spectrometer,” *Physical Review Letters* **49** (1982) 859–862.
- [27] R. Weber, *et al.*, “Spectroscopic quadrupole moment of ^{27}Al from muonic X-rays,” *Physics Letters B* **98** (1981) 343–346.
- [28] R. Weber, *et al.*, “Spectroscopic quadrupole moments of ^{25}Mg and ^{27}Al from muonic X-rays,” *Nuclear Physics A* **377** (1982) 361–378.
- [29] B. Jeckelmann, *et al.*, “Spectroscopic quadrupole moment of ^{23}Na from muonic X-rays,” *Nuclear Physics A* **408** (1983) 495–506.
- [30] W. Ruckstuhl, *et al.*, “Precision measurement of the 2p-1s transition in muonic ^{12}C : Search for new muon-nucleon interactions or accurate determination of the rms nuclear charge radius,” *Nuclear Physics A* **430** (1984) 685–712.
- [31] W. Beer, *et al.*, “Crystal spectrometer for measurements of pionic X-rays,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **238** (1985) 365–380.
- [32] F. W. N. de Boer, *et al.*, “Precision measurement of the 2p-1s transition wavelength in muonic ^{13}C ,” *Nuclear Physics A* **444** (1985) 589–596.
- [33] G. De Chambrier, *et al.*, “Precision measurement of the wavelengths and natural line widths of 3d-2p pionic X-ray transitions in low-Z atoms,” *Nuclear Physics A* **442** (1985) 637–666.
- [34] I. Beltrami, *et al.*, “New precision measurements of the muonic 3d $_{5/2}$ -2p $_{3/2}$ X-ray transition in ^{24}Mg and ^{28}Si : Vacuum polarisation test and search for muon-hadron interactions beyond QED,” *Nuclear Physics A* **451** (1986) 679–700.
- [35] W. Ruckstuhl, *et al.*, “Measurement of the hyperfine splitting of the 1s state in muonic ^7Li as a search for axial-vector muon-nucleon interactions,” *Nuclear Physics A* **433** (1985) 634–648.
- [36] H. Leisi, “MUONIC X-RAYS WITH CRYSTAL-DIFFRACTION SPECTROMTRY,” *Muon Physics: Atoms, Nuclei, and Particles* (1986) 75.
- [37] J. Gilot and H. Leisi, “Crystal-diffraction spectrometry with muonic and pionic atoms,” *Atomic physics 10* (1987) .
- [38] D. Gotta, “High resolution spectroscopy of characteristic X-rays from antiprotonic atoms,” *Nuclear Physics A* **558** (1993) 645–654.
- [39] D. Gotta, *et al.*, “Balmer α transitions in antiprotonic hydrogen and deuterium,” *Nuclear Physics A* **660** (1999) 283–321.
- [40] D. Gotta, “Precision spectroscopy of light exotic atoms,” *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **52** (2004) 133–195.
- [41] A. Hirrtl, *et al.*, “Redetermination of the strong-interaction width in pionic hydrogen,” *The European Physical Journal A* **57** (2021) 1–19.
- [42] D. F. Anagnostopoulos, *et al.*, “New results on strong-interaction effects in antiprotonic hydrogen,” *Nuclear Physics A* **655** (1999) c305–c311. Proceedings of the Fifth Biennial Conference on Low-Energy Antiproton Physics.
- [43] D. F. Anagnostopoulos, D. Gotta, P. Indelicato, and L. M. Simons, “Low-Energy X-Ray Standards from Hydrogenlike Pionic Atoms,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 240801.
- [44] T. Siems, *et al.*, “First Direct Observation of Coulomb Explosion during the Formation of Exotic Atoms,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 4573–4576.
- [45] P. Hauser, *et al.*, “New precision measurement of the pionic deuterium s-wave strong interaction parameters,” *Phys. Rev. C* **58** (1998) R1869–R1872.
- [46] S. Lenz, *et al.*, “A new determination of the mass of the charged pion,” *Physics Letters B* **416** (1998) 50–55.
- [47] M. Trassinelli *et al.*, “Measurement of the charged pion mass using X-ray spectroscopy of exotic atoms,” *Phys. Lett. B* **759** (2016) 583–588 [arXiv:1605.03300].
- [48] B. Jeckelmann, *et al.*, “New precision determination of the π^- mass from pionic X-rays,” *Nuclear Physics A* **457** (1986) 709–730.
- [49] D. Gotta, *et al.*, “Precision measurement of the (3 p–1 s) X-ray transition in muonic hydrogen,” *Physics of particles and nuclei* **45** (2014) 181–183.
- [50] H. Collaboration, *et al.*, “First application of superconducting transition-edge sensor microcalorimeters to hadronic atom X-ray spectroscopy,” *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2016** (2016) 091D01.
- [51] J-PARC E62 Collaboration, “Measurements of Strong-Interaction Effects in Kaonic-Helium Isotopes at Sub-eV Precision with X-Ray Microcalorimeters,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 112503.
- [52] T. Okumura, *et al.*, “Proof-of-Principle Experiment for Testing Strong-Field Quantum Electrodynamics with Exotic Atoms: High Precision X-Ray Spectroscopy of Muonic Neon,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023) 173001.
- [53] B. Ohayon, *et al.*, “Towards Precision Muonic X-ray Measurements of Charge Radii of Light Nuclei,” *Physics* **6** (2024) 206–215.
- [54] T. Okumura, *et al.*, “Few-electron highly charged muonic Ar atoms verified by electronic K x rays,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.07977 (2024) .
- [55] T. Y. Saito, *et al.*, “Application of Hard X-Ray and Gamma-Ray TES Microcalorimeter at Accelerator Facility,” *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity* (2025) 1–5.
- [56] G. Baptista, *et al.*, “Towards Precision Spectroscopy of Antiprotonic Atoms for Probing Strong-field QED,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.08893 (2025) .
- [57] M. De Lucia, *et al.*, “Transition Edge Sensors: Physics and Applications,” *Instruments* **8** (2024) .
- [58] D. Unger, *et al.*, “MMC Array to Study X-Ray Transitions in Muonic Atoms,” *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* (2024) 1–8.
- [59] C. J. Batty, E. Friedman, and A. Gal, “Strong interaction physics from hadronic atoms,” *Physics Reports* **287** (1997) 385–445.
- [60] M. Augsburger, *et al.*, “Measurement of the strong interaction parameters in antiprotonic hydrogen and probable evidence for an interference with inner bremsstrahlung,” *Nuclear Physics A* **658** (1999)

- 149–162.
- [61] T. E. O. Ericson and J. Huefner, “Theory of polarization shifts in exotic atoms,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **47** (1972) 205–239.
- [62] J. Birkhan, *et al.*, “Electric Dipole Polarizability of ^{48}Ca and Implications for the Neutron Skin,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 252501.
- [63] P. Munro-Laylim, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flambaum, “Nuclear polarization and the contributions of relativistic effects to King plot nonlinearity,” *Phys. Rev. A* **105** (2022) 042814.
- [64] A. Wilzewski, *et al.*, “Nonlinear calcium King plot constrains new bosons and nuclear properties,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.10277 (2024) .
- [65] E. Hu, *et al.*, “Mass and magnetic moment of the antiproton by the exotic atom method,” *Nuclear Physics A* **254** (1975) 403–412.
- [66] E. Borie, “Vacuum polarization corrections and spin-orbit splitting in antiprotonic atoms,” *Phys. Rev. A* **28** (1983) 555–558.
- [67] C. Curceanu, *et al.*, “The modern era of light kaonic atom experiments,” *Reviews of Modern Physics* **91** (2019) 025006.
- [68] M. Boonekamp, *et al.*, “Prospects of future vacuum polarisation studies and applications.”
- [69] F. Sgaramella, *et al.*, “High precision X-ray spectroscopy of kaonic neon,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.16101 (2024) .
- [70] H. Fujioka, *et al.*, “Production of ^{169}Yb for calibration of a transition-edge sensor for precision x-ray spectroscopy of antiprotonic atoms.”
- [71] N. Paul, “X-rays of muonic and antiprotonic atoms,” in *International Conference on Exotic Atoms and related topics and Conference on Low Energy Antiprotons*. Vienna (Austria), Austria, 2024.
- [72] C. Delaunay, T. Kitahara, Y. Soreq, and J. Zupan, “Light scalar beyond the Higgs mixing limit.” arXiv:2501.16477.
- [73] **ASACUSA** Collaboration, “Buffer-gas cooling of antiprotonic helium to 1.5 to 1.7 K, and antiproton-to-electron mass ratio,” *Science* **354** (2016) 610–614.
- [74] M. Germann, *et al.*, “Three-body QED test and fifth-force constraint from vibrations and rotations of HD^+ ,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3** (2021) L022028.
- [75] G. Backenstoss, *et al.*, “K- mass and K- polarizability from kaonic atoms,” *Physics Letters B* **43** (1973) 431–436.
- [76] B. Pasquini, P. Pedroni, and S. Sconfiatti, “Proton scalar dipole polarizabilities from real Compton scattering data, using fixed-t subtracted dispersion relations and the bootstrap method,” *J. Phys. G* **46** (2019) 104001 [arXiv:1903.07952].
- [77] M. Moinester, “Pion Polarizability 2022 Status Report,” 2022. arXiv:2205.09954.
- [78] J. N. Orce, C. Ngwetsheni, and B. A. Brown, “Global trends of the electric dipole polarizability from shell-model calculations,” *Phys. Rev. C* **108** (2023) 044309 [arXiv:2309.08810].
- [79] R. W. Fearick, *et al.*, “Electric dipole polarizability of ^{40}Ca ,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5** (2023) L022044.
- [80] I. Brandherm, *et al.*, “Electric dipole polarizability of ^{58}Ni ,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00610 (2024) .
- [81] M. Gorchtein, “A hitchhiker’s guide to nuclear polarization in muonic atoms,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.15274 (2025) .
- [82] H. Yukawa, “On the interaction of elementary particles. I,” *Proceedings of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Japan. 3rd Series* **17** (1935) 48–57.
- [83] K. Assamagan, *et al.*, “Measurement of the muon momentum in pion decay at rest using a surface muon beam,” *Physics Letters B* **335** (1994) 231–236.
- [84] K. Assamagan, *et al.*, “Upper limit of the muon-neutrino mass and charged-pion mass from momentum analysis of a surface muon beam,” *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 6065–6077.
- [85] M. Daum and P. R. Kettle, “The mass of the π^+ ,” *SciPost Phys. Proc.* (2021) 011.
- [86] M. Daum and D. Gotta, “The mass of the π^- ,” *SciPost Phys. Proc.* **5** (2021) 010.
- [87] P. M. Bird, *et al.*, “Kaonic Hydrogen atom X-rays,” *Nuclear Physics A* **404** (1983) 482–494.
- [88] C. J. Batty, *et al.*, “Strong interaction effects in high-Z K^- atoms,” *Phys. Rev. C* **40** (1989) 2154–2158.
- [89] M. Hori, “Determination of the charged kaon mass by laser spectroscopy of kaonic helium atoms at DAPHNE,” 2025. <https://agenda.infn.it/event/45316/>.
- [90] P. Roberson, *et al.*, “Strong interaction and mass measurements using antiprotonic atoms,” *Phys. Rev. C* **16** (1977) 1945–1962.
- [91] T. Köhler, *et al.*, “Precision measurement of strong interaction isotope effects in antiprotonic ^{16}O , ^{17}O , and ^{18}O atoms,” *Physics Letters B* **176** (1986) 327–333.
- [92] H. Poth, *et al.*, “Measurement of strong-interaction effects in light antiprotonic atoms,” *Nuclear Physics A* **466** (1987) 667–684.
- [93] V. I. Korobov, L. Hilico, and J.-P. Karr, “ $m\alpha^7$ -Order Corrections in the Hydrogen Molecular Ions and Antiprotonic Helium,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 103003.
- [94] S. Alighanbari, G. S. Giri, F. L. Constantin, V. I. Korobov, and S. Schiller, “Precise test of quantum electrodynamics and determination of fundamental constants with HD^+ ions,” *Nature* **581** (2020) 152–158.
- [95] V. V. Nesvizhevsky, G. Pignol, and K. V. Protasov, “Neutron scattering and extra short range interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 034020 [arXiv:0711.2298].
- [96] E. Hardy and R. Lasenby, “Stellar cooling bounds on new light particles: plasma mixing effects,” *JHEP* **02** (2017) 033 [arXiv:1611.05852].
- [97] S. Bottaro, A. Caputo, G. Raffelt, and E. Vitagliano, “Stellar limits on scalars from electron-nucleus bremsstrahlung,” *JCAP* **07** (2023) 071 [arXiv:2303.00778].
- [98] C. A. J. O’Hare and E. Vitagliano, “Cornering the axion with CP -violating interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 115026 [arXiv:2010.03889].
- [99] C. Burrage and J. Sakstein, “A Compendium of Chameleon Constraints,” *JCAP* **11** (2016) 045 [arXiv:1609.01192].
- [100] E. Masso and J. Redondo, “Evading astrophysical constraints on axion-like particles,” *JCAP* **09** (2005) 015 [hep-ph/0504202].

- [101] J. Jaeckel, E. Masso, J. Redondo, A. Ringwald, and F. Takahashi, “The Need for purely laboratory-based axion-like particle searches,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 013004 [[hep-ph/0610203](#)].
- [102] W. DeRocco, P. W. Graham, and S. Rajendran, “Exploring the robustness of stellar cooling constraints on light particles,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 075015 [[arXiv:2006.15112](#)].
- [103] R. Budnik, H. Kim, O. Matsedonskyi, G. Perez, and Y. Soreq, “Probing the relaxed relaxion and Higgs portal scenarios with XENON1T scintillation and ionization data,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 015012 [[arXiv:2006.14568](#)].
- [104] I. M. Bloch, *et al.*, “Exploring new physics with O(keV) electron recoils in direct detection experiments,” *JHEP* **01** (2021) 178 [[arXiv:2006.14521](#)].
- [105] **NA62** Collaboration, “Measurement of the very rare $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ decay,” *JHEP* **06** (2021) 093 [[arXiv:2103.15389](#)].
- [106] E. Goudzovski *et al.*, “New physics searches at kaon and hyperon factories,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **86** (2023) 016201 [[arXiv:2201.07805](#)].
- [107] **KOTO** Collaboration, “Search for the $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ Decay at the J-PARC KOTO Experiment.” [[arXiv:2411.11237](#)].
- [108] F. Bishara, J. Brod, B. Grinstein, and J. Zupan, “From quarks to nucleons in dark matter direct detection,” *JHEP* **11** (2017) 059 [[arXiv:1707.06998](#)].
- [109] B. Batell, A. Freitas, A. Ismail, and D. Mckeen, “Probing Light Dark Matter with a Hadrophilic Scalar Mediator,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 095020 [[arXiv:1812.05103](#)].
- [110] P. Ilten, Y. Soreq, M. Williams, and W. Xue, “Serendipity in dark photon searches,” *JHEP* **06** (2018) 004 [[arXiv:1801.04847](#)].
- [111] S. Tulin, “New weakly-coupled forces hidden in low-energy QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 114008 [[arXiv:1404.4370](#)].
- [112] J. A. Dror, R. Lasenby, and M. Pospelov, “New constraints on light vectors coupled to anomalous currents,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 141803 [[arXiv:1705.06726](#)].
- [113] J. A. Dror, R. Lasenby, and M. Pospelov, “Dark forces coupled to nonconserved currents,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 075036 [[arXiv:1707.01503](#)].
- [114] B. A. Dobrescu and C. Frugiuele, “Hidden GeV-scale interactions of quarks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 061801 [[arXiv:1404.3947](#)].
- [115] J. F. Kamenik, Y. Soreq, and J. Zupan, “Lepton flavor universality violation without new sources of quark flavor violation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 035002 [[arXiv:1704.06005](#)].
- [116] C. Delaunay, T. Ma, and Y. Soreq, “Stealth decaying spin-1 dark matter,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 010 [[arXiv:2009.03060](#)].
- [117] **NA64** Collaboration, “Search for a New B-L Z' Gauge Boson with the NA64 Experiment at CERN,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) 161801 [[arXiv:2207.09979](#)].