## THE SECOND MOMENT OF SUMS OF HECKE EIGENVALUES II

NED CARMICHAEL

ABSTRACT. Let f be a Hecke cusp form of weight k for  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $(\lambda_f(n))_{n\geq 1}$  denote its (suitably normalised) sequence of Hecke eigenvalues. We compute the first and second moments of the sums  $\mathcal{S}(x, f) = \sum_{x \leq n \leq 2x} \lambda_f(n)$ , on average over forms f of large weight k. It is proved that when the length of the sums x is larger than  $k^2$ , the second moment is roughly of size  $x^{1/2}$ . This is in sharp contrast to the regime where x is slightly smaller than  $k^2$ , where it was shown in preceding work [3] that the second moment is of size x.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be an even positive integer, and let  $\mathcal{B}_k$  denote a basis for the space of weight k cusp forms for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  consisting of orthogonal Hecke eigenforms. Given  $f \in \mathcal{B}_k$ , write

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz),$$

and normalise so that  $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ . We study the sums of Hecke eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) \coloneqq \sum_{x \le n \le 2x} \lambda_f(n),$$

as f varies over eigenforms in the basis  $\mathcal{B}_k$  with k large (and even).

Similar problems have already been considered. For example, Lester and Yesha [12] study the distribution of sums of Hecke eigenvalues over short intervals. Sums of eigenvalues in progressions have also been investigated in [1], [6], [11], [12] and [13]. Notably, Lau and Zhao [11] prove asymptotics for the variance of these sums (on average over the congruence class) which demonstrate an interesting transition in the average size of the sums as the length of the sums varies relative to the modulus.

The sums  $\mathcal{S}(x, f)$  themselves have been studied previously, for fixed f (and k). Indeed, a 1989 paper of Hafner and Ivić [7] gives

(1.1) 
$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) \ll_f x^{1/3}.$$

(This can be slightly improved, see [16], [20] and [21].) Moreover, the following mean-square estimate is known:

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_0^X \left| \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_f(n) \right|^2 dx = c_f X^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(\log^2 X).$$

This is easily deduced from a result of Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan [4, Theorem 1] (see also [18]). From these results, one may expect the sums S(x, f) to be of size roughly  $x^{1/4}$  on average.

None of the above results are uniform in the weight, however. Deligne's bound states  $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n)$  (where d(n) denotes the divisor function), and therefore shows  $\mathcal{S}(x, f) \ll x \log x$  uniformly in f. One can also easily derive uniform bounds using Perron's formula and standard properties of the *L*-function  $L(s, f) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_f(n) n^{-s}$ . This yields  $\mathcal{S}(x, f) \ll k^{1+\epsilon} + x^{1/2+\epsilon}$ , which beats the bound  $x \log x$  if  $x \geq k^{1+\epsilon}$ . Moreover, if one assumes GRH for L(s, f) then one obtains  $\mathcal{S}(x, f) \ll x^{1/2+\epsilon} k^{\epsilon}$ , improving the unconditional bound when  $x \leq k^{2-\epsilon}$ .

Date: February 5, 2025.

These bounds are reasonable in view of results in the preceding work [3], which we now review. Define the f-averaging operator

(1.2) 
$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} \omega(f) \cdot$$

where  $\omega(f)$  are the harmonic weights

$$\omega(f) = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \|f\|^2.$$

The harmonic weights arise naturally from the Petersson trace formula. We remark that the f-averages are 'normalised' in the sense  $\langle 1 \rangle = \sum_{f} \omega(f) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-k})$ . In [3], the first and second moments of the sums  $\mathcal{S}(x, f)$  were studied. It was proved that for x satisfying  $x \to \infty$  with k, but  $x = o(k^2/\log^6 k)$ , one has

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle \ll e^{-\sqrt{k}}$$
 and  $\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle \sim c(x)x_{\pm}$ 

where  $c(x) = c_k(x)$  is an explicit function satisfying  $1/100 \leq c(x) \leq 2$ . In fact, c(x) = 1 provided  $x \notin [k/(8\pi), k/(4\pi)]$ . Therefore one expects S(x, f) to be roughly of size  $x^{1/2}$  for  $x = o(k^2/\log^6 k)$ , and thus the GRH bound  $S(x, f) \ll x^{1/2+\epsilon}k^{\epsilon}$  is sharp (at least, up to the factor of  $(xk)^{\epsilon}$ ) in this range of x.

However, the sums S(x, f) transition in size approximately when  $k^2/(32\pi^2) \le x \le k^2/(16\pi^2)$ , and we expect the sums to be considerably smaller after this transition (i.e. for  $x \ge k^2/(16\pi^2)$ ). In this paper, we consider the regime where  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ , and demonstrate that the average size of S(x, f) is around  $x^{1/4}$  in this range of x.

1.1. Statement of Results. We now state the theorems. Our first result confirms that the size of S(x, f) is considerably smaller than  $x^{1/2}$  once  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ . Indeed, in this range we prove essentially the bound (1.1), but uniformly for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_k$  when k is large.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let f be a Hecke eigenform of weight k for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , normalised so that  $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ . Then for  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) \ll x^{1/3+\epsilon},$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $\epsilon$ .

We next evaluate the first and second moments (on average over f, see (1.2)) of the sums S(x, f). First, we must introduce some notation. Throughout this paper, we write  $\kappa = k - 1$  for convenience. Define

$$\omega(z) = \omega_{\kappa}(z) = (z^2 - \kappa^2)^{1/2} - \kappa \arctan\left((z^2/\kappa^2 - 1)^{1/2}\right) - \pi/4,$$

and (provided  $nx > \kappa^2/(16\pi^2)$ ) we define

(1.3) 
$$\Omega(n,x) = \Omega_{\kappa}(n,x)$$
$$:= 2(32\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{2nx}) - (16\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nx}).$$

Importantly,  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\Omega(n, x) \ll 1$  for all integers  $n \ge 1$  whenever  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ . We have the following estimate for the first moment.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \le x \le k^4$ , then

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle = (-1)^{k/2} 4 \sqrt{2\pi} \Omega(1,x) x^{1/4} + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2} k^{-1+\epsilon})$$

Finally, we evaluate the second moment.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \le x \le k^{12/5}$ , then

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle = 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{29/30+\epsilon}).$$

Moreover, the above main term satisfies

$$x^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\right) \ll 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\ge 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \ll x^{1/2}.$$

*Remark.* The asymptotic behaviour of the variance

$$(\mathcal{S}(x,f) - \langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle)^2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle - \langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle^2 (1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-k}))$$

is easily deduced from the above. Indeed, if  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \le x \le k^{12/5}$ , one combines Theorems 1.2 & 1.3 to see

$$\langle (\mathcal{S}(x,f) - \langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle)^2 \rangle = 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{29/30+\epsilon}).$$

As before, the main term satisfies

$$x^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\right) \ll 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \ll x^{1/2}.$$

We now offer some explanation for the significant transition in the size of the sums S(x, f)(occurring approximately when  $k^2/(32\pi^2) \leq x \leq k^2/(16\pi^2)$ ). This phenomenon may be explained with reference to the Voronoï type summation formula for S(x, f). This is formulated precisely in Lemma 2.4, but very roughly speaking states that for a normalised eigenform f,

(1.4) 
$$S(x,f) \approx 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda_f(n) \int_1^2 J_{k-1}(4\pi \sqrt{nxt}) dt$$

Here  $J_{k-1}$  denotes the Bessel function. The behaviour of  $J_{k-1}(z)$  is roughly as follows. When the argument z is much smaller than the index k-1,  $J_{k-1}(z)$  is negligibly small.  $J_{k-1}(z)$  then increases to a large global maximum when  $z \approx k-1$ . To the right of this peak, once z is larger than k-1, the Bessel function oscillates with decaying amplitude.

Note that if  $x \leq k^2/(32\pi^2)$  then there exist integers  $n \geq 1$  with  $k^2/(32\pi^2 x) \leq n \leq k^2/(16\pi^2 x)$ . For these n (and some  $1 \leq t \leq 2$ ),  $4\pi\sqrt{nxt} \approx k$ , and therefore one expects the peak of the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})$  to produce a large contribution to the corresponding weight  $\int_1^2 J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})dt$  found in (1.4). Consequently, we expect that the sums S(x, f) can be large when  $x \leq k^2/(32\pi^2)$ .

On the other hand, if  $x \ge k^2/(16\pi^2)$ , then  $4\pi\sqrt{nxt} \ge k$  for all  $n \ge 1$  and  $1 \le t \le 2$ . Thus all Bessel functions appearing in (1.4) are in their oscillatory regime, and consequently one expects a lot of cancellation in the weights  $\int_1^2 J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})dt$ . Consequently, once  $x \ge k^2/(16\pi^2)$ , we expect the size of the sums S(x, f) to be relatively small.

1.2. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Stephen Lester for many helpful discussions and useful comments an earlier draft of this paper. I also thank Bingrong Huang for pointing out the relevant work [8]. This work was supported by the Additional Funding Programme for Mathematical Sciences, delivered by EPSRC (EP/V521917/1) and the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we summarise some results for later use.

2.1. Bessel Functions. The Bessel functions  $J_{\nu}(z)$  will appear throughout this paper. They are defined [19, p.40] by

(2.1) 
$$J_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{(-1)^l}{l! \Gamma(\nu + 1 + l)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu + 2l}.$$

Throughout this section, we assume that the index  $\nu$  and the argument z are real and positive. The behaviour of  $J_{\nu}(z)$  is roughly as follows. When the argument z is small relative to the index  $\nu$ ,  $J_{\nu}(z)$  is small. The transition regime is where  $|z - \nu| \ll \nu^{1/3}$ , i.e. the argument is approximately equal to the index. In this regime  $J_{\nu}(z)$  reaches its global maximum of size  $\approx \nu^{-1/3}$ . Finally, once the argument becomes larger than the index, the Bessel functions oscillate, with the amplitude of the oscillations decaying roughly like  $z^{-1/2}$ .

A detailed discussion of the Bessel function (with references) is given in [3, Appendix A]. Here it suffices to summarise some useful facts in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\nu > 0$  be large. We have the following.

(i) If  $0 \le z \le (\nu + 1)/4$ , then

(2.2) 
$$J_{\nu}(z) \ll z^2 \exp\left(-\frac{14\nu}{13}\right).$$

(ii) If 
$$0 \le z \le (\nu + 1) - (\nu + 1)^{1/3+\delta}$$
 for some  $0 < \delta \le 2/3$ , then

(2.3)  $J_{\nu}(z) \ll \exp(-\nu^{\delta}).$ 

(iii) Uniformly for  $z \ge 0$ , we have the bound

(2.4) 
$$J_{\nu}(z) \ll \nu^{-1/3}$$

(iv) If 
$$z \ge \nu + \nu^{1/3+\epsilon}$$
 for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , then

(2.5) 
$$J_{\nu}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (z^2 - \nu^2)^{-1/4} \cos \omega(z) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (z^2 - \nu^2)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{\nu^2}{z^2 - \nu^2}\right) \sin \omega(z) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{z^4}{(z^2 - \nu^2)^{13/4}}\right),$$

where  $\omega$  is given by

(2.6) 
$$\omega(z) = \omega_{\nu}(z) = (z^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2} - \nu \arctan\left((z^2/\nu^2 - 1)^{1/2}\right) - \pi/4.$$

Remark. For convenience, we record

(2.7) 
$$\omega'(z) = \frac{(z^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2}}{z}$$

and

(2.8) 
$$\omega''(z) = \frac{\nu^2}{z^2 (z^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2}}.$$

*Proof.* The bounds given in parts (i), (ii) and (iii) may be found in [3, Lemma A.2]. We now address part (iv). The full asymptotic expansion in the oscillatory regime is computed, for example, by Olver [15] - see §10.8, ex.8.2 (cf. §10.7, §10.8). Taking n = 1 in Olver's expansion, i.e. truncating after the first two terms gives that for  $z \ge \nu + \nu^{1/3+\epsilon}$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad J_{\nu}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (z^2 - \nu^2)^{-1/4} \Big\{ \cos \omega(z) \hat{U}_0 \Big( \Big( \frac{z^2}{\nu^2} - 1 \Big)^{-1/2} \Big) + \frac{\sin \omega(z)}{\nu} \hat{U}_1 \Big( \Big( \frac{z^2}{\nu^2} - 1 \Big)^{-1/2} \Big) \\ + \mathcal{O} \Big( \nu^{-2} \exp \Big( \frac{2}{\nu} \operatorname{Var} \Big( \hat{U}_1; 0, \Big( \frac{z^2}{\nu^2} - 1 \Big)^{-1/2} \Big) \Big) \operatorname{Var} \Big( \hat{U}_2; 0, \Big( \frac{z^2}{\nu^2} - 1 \Big)^{-1/2} \Big) \Big) \Big\}.$$

Here  $\operatorname{Var}(f; a, b)$  denotes the total variation of a function f on the interval [a, b], and the  $\hat{U}_i$  are polynomials (cf. §10.7, (7.11)) given by

$$\hat{U}_0(t) = 1, \hat{U}_1(t) = \frac{1}{24}(3t + 5t^3) \text{ and } \hat{U}_2(t) = \frac{1}{1152}(81t^2 + 462t^4 + 385t^6).$$

Since  $\hat{U}_1$  and  $\hat{U}_2$  are both increasing and  $\hat{U}_1(0) = \hat{U}_2(0) = 0$ , one has

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{U}_{1}; 0, \left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}} - 1\right)^{-1/2}\right) = \hat{U}_{1}\left(\left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}} - 1\right)^{-1/2}\right) = \frac{\nu}{8(z^{2} - \nu^{2})^{1/2}} + \frac{5\nu^{3}}{24(z^{2} - \nu^{2})^{3/2}}.$$

Our assumption  $z \ge \nu + \nu^{1/3+\epsilon} \implies z^2 - \nu^2 \gg \nu^{4/3+\epsilon}$  implies

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{U}_{1}; 0, \left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}} - 1\right)^{1/2}\right) \ll \nu^{1/3 - \epsilon/2} + \nu^{1 - 3\epsilon/2} \ll \nu^{1 - 3\epsilon/2}.$$

Similarly

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{U}_{2};0,\left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}}-1\right)^{-1/2}\right) = \hat{U}_{2}\left(\left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}}-1\right)^{-1/2}\right) \\ \ll \left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}}-1\right)^{-1} + \left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}}-1\right)^{-2} + \left(\frac{z^{2}}{\nu^{2}}-1\right)^{-3} \ll \frac{\nu^{2}}{(z^{2}-\nu^{2})}\left(1+\frac{\nu^{4}}{(z^{2}-\nu^{2})^{2}}\right).$$

So the error term in (2.9) is

(2.10) 
$$\nu^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{2}{\nu} \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{U}_1; 0, \left(\frac{z^2}{\nu^2} - 1\right)^{-1/2}\right)\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{U}_2; 0, \left(\frac{z^2}{\nu^2} - 1\right)^{-1/2}\right) \\ \ll \exp(\mathcal{O}(\nu^{-3\epsilon/2})) \frac{1}{(z^2 - \nu^2)} \left(1 + \frac{\nu^4}{(z^2 - \nu^2)^2}\right) \ll \frac{z^4}{(z^2 - \nu^2)^3}.$$

In the last step, we used that for any  $z > \nu$ ,

$$1 \ll \frac{z^4}{(z^2 - \nu^2)^2}$$
 and  $\frac{\nu^4}{(z^2 - \nu^2)^2} \ll \frac{z^4}{(z^2 - \nu^2)^2}$ .

The asymptotic given in part (iv) now follows immediately from (2.9) and the bound (2.10).  $\Box$ 

2.2. The Petersson Trace Formula. The key tool for computing f-averages is the Petersson trace formula, which we now formulate. This gives an expression for the averages  $\langle \lambda_f(n) \lambda_f(m) \rangle$ as diagonal and off-diagonal terms. Conveniently, the off-diagonal is negligibly small in certain ranges of m and n.

**Lemma 2.2** (Petersson Trace Formula). Let S(m,n;c) denote the Kloosterman sums

$$S(m,n;c) = \sum_{\substack{a \pmod{c} \\ (a,c)=1}} e\left(\frac{a^*m+an}{c}\right),$$

where  $a^*$  denotes the multiplicative inverse of a modulo c. Set

$$\delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let k be large, and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  as given in (1.2). We have the following.

(i) [9, Theorem 3.6] For any positive integers m and n,

$$\langle \lambda_f(n)\lambda_f(m)\rangle = \delta_{mn} + 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} \sum_{c\geq 1} c^{-1} S(m,n;c) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right).$$

(ii) [17, Lemma 2.1] If m and n are positive integers satisfying  $mn \leq k^2/10^4$ , then

$$\langle \lambda_f(n)\lambda_f(m)\rangle = \delta_{mn} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-k}).$$

2.3. A Voronoï Summation Formula. We now state a Voronoï type summation formula for the sums  $\mathcal{S}(x, f)$ . A version of this for smoothed sums of Hecke eigenvalues is given in [10, ex.9, p.83]; the version here is adapted for the sharp cut-off sums  $\mathcal{S}(x, f)$ . One first requires a suitable smoothing function, given as follows.

**Definition 2.3.** Given  $\Delta \geq 1$ , denote by  $w = w_{\Delta}$  a smooth function  $w : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following:

- supp w = [1, 2],
- $w(\xi) = 1$  for  $1 + \Delta^{-1} \le \xi \le 2 \Delta^{-1}$ , for all integers  $j \ge 0$  and all  $\xi$ , we have  $w^{(j)}(\xi) \ll_j \Delta^j$ .

We now state the Voronoï formula. This is [3, Lemmas 2.4 & 2.5].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\Delta$  be a large parameter satisfying  $\Delta \leq x^{1-\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $w = w_{\Delta}$  be the associated smooth function given in Definition 2.3 above. Then for a Hecke eigenform f of weight k for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , normalised so that  $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) = 2\pi(-1)^{k/2}x\sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda_f(n)\tilde{w}\Big(\frac{nx}{k^2+\Delta^2}\Big) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{x\log x}{\Delta}\Big),$$

where

(2.11) 
$$\tilde{w}(\xi) = \tilde{w}_{\Delta}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty w(t) J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{(k^2 + \Delta^2)\xi t}) dt$$

Moreover, for any integer  $A \ge 0$ ,  $\tilde{w}$  satisfies the bound

(2.12) 
$$\tilde{w}(\xi) \ll_A \xi^{-A}.$$

Throughout this paper, we will require several estimates for the weights (2.11). Observe

(2.13) 
$$\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) = \int_0^\infty w(t) J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt$$

Under our assumption  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ , the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})$  above is always in its oscillatory regime. This leads to cancellation in (2.13) (as discussed in the introduction). By applying asymptotics for the Bessel function valid in the oscillatory regime, we capture this cancellation in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** For  $\Delta \ge 1$ , let  $w = w_{\Delta}$  be given as in Definition 2.3 and  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_{\Delta}$  as in (2.11). Suppose  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ . Then

$$\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(t) (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \cos \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}).$$

*Proof.* We will apply the asymptotic (2.5) of Lemma 2.1 and integrate by parts. Indeed, since we assume  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  it is clear that  $4\pi\sqrt{nxt} \ge \sqrt{2}k$  for all  $n \ge 1$  and  $t \in \text{supp } w = [1, 2]$ . Thus (2.5) gives an asymptotic expansion for  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})$  valid in this range of x. Replacing this in (2.13) (and noting  $16\pi^2 nxt \ge 2k^2 \implies (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2) \asymp nx$ ) shows

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(t) (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \cos\omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(t) (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{\kappa^2}{(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)}\right) \sin\omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}).$$

We now integrate by parts in the latter integral above. First note that (2.7) implies

(2.15) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega(4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) = \frac{1}{2t}(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{1/2}$$

This shows the second integral in (2.14) is

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} w(t) (16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \Big( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{(16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})} \Big) \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt \\ &= -2 \int_{0}^{\infty} tw(t) (16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-5/4} \Big( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{(16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})} \Big) d\Big( \cos \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) \Big) \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \Big\{ \Big( w(t) + tw'(t) - tw(t) \frac{5}{4} \frac{16\pi^{2} nx}{(16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})} \Big) \Big( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{(16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})} \Big) \\ &- tw(t) \frac{5}{24} \frac{16\pi^{2} nx\kappa^{2}}{(16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})^{2}} \Big\} (16\pi^{2} nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-5/4} \cos \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt \ll (nx)^{-5/4}. \end{split}$$

The final bound above follows from the bounds  $w \ll 1$ ,  $w' \ll \Delta$  and the observation supp  $w' \subset [1, 1 + \Delta^{-1}] \cup [2 - \Delta^{-1}, 2]$  (which has measure  $2\Delta^{-1}$ ) (see Definition 2.3). The lemma now follows immediately from (2.14).

2.4. Oscillatory Integrals. Finally, we record two lemmas that will be used later to handle oscillatory integrals. The first of these is essentially a very general formulation of integration

**Lemma 2.6** (Integration by Parts). Let Q, U, R, X > 0 and  $Y \ge 1$  be some parameters. Let  $\rho$  and  $\phi$  be two smooth functions. Assume  $\rho$  is compactly supported on the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and satisfies

$$\rho^{(j)}(t) \ll_j X U^{-j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

Assume  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\phi'(t) \gg R \text{ and } \phi^{(j)}(t) \ll_j YQ^{-j} \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then

(2.16) 
$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rho(t) e^{i\phi(t)} dt \ll_B (\beta - \alpha) X \Big\{ \Big( \frac{QR}{Y^{1/2}} \Big)^{-B} + (RU)^{-B} \Big\},$$

by parts, due to Blomer, Khan and Young [2, Lemma 8.1].

for any integer  $B \geq 0$ .

We will apply this in situations where the terms  $QRY^{-1/2}$  and RU are both large, and thus the integral (2.16) is shown to be negligible. Very roughly speaking, these conditions ensure that the exponential phase  $e^{i\phi(t)}$  in the integral (2.16) oscillates rapidly compared to the weight function  $\rho(t)$ . One then expects this oscillation to create lots of cancellation in the integral.

On the other hand, if the phase function  $\phi$  has a stationary point (that is, a point  $t_0$  for which  $\phi'(t_0) = 0$ ) within the region of integration, one does not expect the integral to be negligible, owing to a large contribution from a neighbourhood of the stationary point. In this situation, Blomer, Khan and Young apply the method of stationary phase (in a very general setting) to asymptotically expand the integral around the stationary point [2, Proposition 8.3]. We state a simplified version of that result here, giving only an upper bound for the integral.

**Lemma 2.7** (Stationary Phase). Let Q, V, X, Y > 0 be some parameters. Let  $\rho$  and  $\phi$  be two smooth functions, with  $\rho$  compactly supported on an interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  where  $\beta - \alpha \geq V$ . Set  $Z := Q + X + Y + (\beta - \alpha) + 1$ , and suppose there exists a fixed  $\eta > 0$  such that

(2.17) 
$$Y \ge Z^{\eta} \text{ and } \frac{VY^{1/2}}{Q} \ge Z^{\eta}.$$

Assume

$$\rho^{(j)}(t) \ll_j XV^{-j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Additionally, suppose there exists a unique point  $t_0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$  satisfying  $\phi'(t_0) = 0$ . Assume further that

$$\phi''(t) \asymp YQ^{-2} \text{ and } \phi^{(j)}(t) \ll_j YQ^{-j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then for any integer  $B \geq 0$ ,

(2.18) 
$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rho(t) e^{i\phi(t)} dt \ll_B \frac{QX}{Y^{1/2}} + Z^{-B}.$$

3. Bounds for Sums of Hecke Eigenvalues: Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will apply Lemma 2.4 to transform the sums (shortening their effective length). We first give the following bound for the weights appearing in the transformed sums, from which the theorem will follow straightforwardly.

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $\Delta \geq 1$ , let  $w = w_{\Delta}$  be given as in Definition 2.3 and  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_{\Delta}$  as in (2.11). Suppose  $x \geq k^2/(8\pi^2)$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left\{\frac{12\pi^2 nx}{(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)} tw(t) - w(t) - tw'(t)\right\} (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \sin\omega(4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}).$$

In particular, we have the bound

(3.2) 
$$\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \ll (nx)^{-3/4}$$

*Proof.* Integrating the expression given in Lemma 2.5 by parts (using (2.15)), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{w}\Big(\frac{nx}{k^2+\Delta^2}\Big) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(t)(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \cos\omega(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})dt + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}) \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty tw(t)(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} d\Big(\sin\omega(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})\Big) + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}) \\ &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \Big\{w(t) + tw'(t) - tw(t)\frac{3}{4}\frac{16\pi^2 nx}{(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)}\Big\}(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \sin\omega(4\pi\sqrt{nxt})dt \\ &+ \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}), \end{split}$$

proving (3.1). To deduce (3.2) from (3.1), one uses the bounds  $w \ll 1$ ,  $w' \ll \Delta$  and notes that w' is supported on a set of measure  $\leq 2/\Delta$ .

Theorem 1.1 is now a simple consequence of Lemma 2.4 and the bound (3.2) of Lemma 3.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Set  $\Delta = x^{2/3}$  throughout this proof. We apply Lemma 2.4 with this choice of  $\Delta$ , which shows

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{S}(x,f) = 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda_f(n) \tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x\log x}{\Delta}\right) \\ \ll x \sum_{n\geq 1} d(n) \left| \tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \right| + x^{1/3} \log x.$$

In the last line, we used Deligne's bound  $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n)$ .

If  $n \geq x^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , then since  $x^{1/3+\epsilon} = \Delta^2/x^{1-\epsilon} \geq (k^2 + \Delta^2)/(2x^{1-\epsilon})$  (from our assumption  $x \geq k^2/(8\pi^2) \implies \Delta^2 = x^{4/3} \geq k^2$ ), (2.12) of Lemma 2.4 gives the bound  $\tilde{w}(nx/(k^2 + \Delta^2)) \ll n^{-2}x^{-1000}$ , say. If  $n \leq x^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , we use the bound  $\tilde{w}(nx/(k^2 + \Delta^2)) \ll (nx)^{-3/4}$  of Lemma 3.1. From (3.3), we conclude the bound

$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) \ll x^{1/4} \sum_{n \le x^{1/3+\epsilon}} \frac{d(n)}{n^{3/4}} + x^{-999} \sum_{n \ge x^{1/3+\epsilon}} \frac{d(n)}{n^2} + x^{1/3} \log x \ll x^{1/3+\epsilon}.$$

# 4. The First Moment: Proof of Theorem 1.2

To compute the first moment  $\langle S(x, f) \rangle$ , our strategy is to transform the sums using Lemma 2.4 and then apply the Petersson trace formula. We first need a more explicit form of the smoothings  $\tilde{w}$  appearing in the transformed sums, given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** For  $\Delta \geq 1$ , let  $w = w_{\Delta}$  be given as in Definition 2.3 and  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_{\Delta}$  as in (2.11). Suppose  $x \geq k^2/(8\pi^2)$ . Then

$$\tilde{w}_{\Delta}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Omega(n, x)(nx)^{-3/4} + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}) + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-1/4}\Delta^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* We use the expression given in Lemma 2.5, and first unsmooth the integral there. From Definition 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 we obtain

(4.1) 
$$\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2+\Delta^2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_1^2 (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \cos \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\left\{\int_1^{1+\Delta^{-1}} + \int_{2-\Delta^{-1}}^2\right\} (16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} dt\right) + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}).$$

Since we assume  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  (which implies  $(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2) \asymp nx$ ), the first error term here is  $\mathcal{O}((nx)^{-1/4}\Delta^{-1})$ . Integrating the main term by parts (recall (2.15)) we obtain

$$\int_{1}^{2} (16\pi^{2}nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-1/4} \cos \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt})dt = 2\int_{1}^{2} t(16\pi^{2}nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} d(\sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}))$$
$$= 2\Omega(n,x)(nx)^{-3/4} - 2\int_{1}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\frac{16\pi^{2}nxt}{16\pi^{2}nxt - \kappa^{2}}\right)(16\pi^{2}nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt})dt.$$

Integrating by parts once again, the remaining integral is easily shown to be  $\mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4})$ . Replacing this expression in (4.1), the lemma is proved.

Equipped with this expression for the weights, Theorem 1.2 now follows straightforwardly from the Voronoï formula (Lemma 2.4) and the Petersson trace formula (Lemma 2.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Throughout this proof, we fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and set  $\Delta = x^{1/2}k^{1-\epsilon/2}$ . We first wish to apply Lemma 2.4 with this choice of  $\Delta$  (applicable since  $k^4 \ge x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2) \Longrightarrow \Delta = x^{1/2}k^{1-\epsilon/2} \le 2\pi\sqrt{2}xk^{-\epsilon/2} \le x^{1-\epsilon/10}$ , say). Since for  $n \ge (k^2 + \Delta^2)k^{\epsilon/2}/x$ , (2.12) provides the bound  $\tilde{w}(nx/(k^2 + \Delta^2)) \ll n^{-2}k^{-1100}$ , Lemma 2.4 (with  $\Delta = x^{1/2}k^{1-\epsilon/2}$ ) shows that for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(x,f) &= 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda_f(n) \tilde{w} \left( \frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{x \log x}{\Delta} \right) \\ &= 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \sum_{n \le (k^2 + \Delta^2) k^{\epsilon/2}/x} \lambda_f(n) \tilde{w} \left( \frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1000}) + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2} k^{-1 + \epsilon/2} \log x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(k^2 + \Delta^2)k^{\epsilon/2}/x = k^{2+\epsilon/2}/x + k^{2-\epsilon/2} \le k^2/10^4$ , we may apply part (ii) of Lemma 2.2 to compute

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle &= 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \sum_{\substack{n \le (k^2 + \Delta^2)k^{\epsilon/2}/x}} \langle \lambda_f(n)\lambda_f(1) \rangle \tilde{w} \Big(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\Big) + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2}k^{-1 + \epsilon/2}\log x) \\ &= 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \tilde{w} \Big(\frac{x}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\Big) + \mathcal{O}\Big(x \sum_{\substack{n \le (k^2 + \Delta^2)k^{\epsilon/2}/x}} \left|\tilde{w} \Big(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\Big)\right| \cdot e^{-k}\Big) + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2}k^{-1 + \epsilon}). \end{split}$$

It is clear (from the bound (3.2), say) that the first error term is  $\mathcal{O}(e^{-k/2})$ . Now applying Lemma 4.1, we conclude

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f) \rangle = 4\sqrt{2\pi}(-1)^{k/2}\Omega(1,x)x^{1/4} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/4}) + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/4}k^{-1+\epsilon/2}) + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2}k^{-1+\epsilon}).$$

## 5. The Second Moment: Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we compute the second moment  $\langle S(x, f)^2 \rangle$  in the range  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \leq x \leq k^{12/5}$ . We begin with the following lemma, which applies the Petersson trace formula to naturally split the second moment into diagonal and off-diagonal terms.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a large parameter satisfying  $x^{1/2} \leq \Delta \leq x^{1-\epsilon}$ . Let  $w = w_{\Delta}$ and  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_{\Delta}$  be the corresponding smoothings, given in Definition 2.3 and (2.11) respectively. Suppose  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \leq x \leq k^4$ . Then we have

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle = \sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\sigma x \log x}{\Delta}\Big) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{x^2 \log^2 x}{\Delta^2}\Big),$$

where

(5.1) 
$$\sigma^2 = (D) + (OD)$$

Here (D) denotes the diagonal terms

The off-diagonal terms are given by (5.3)

$$(OD) = 8\pi^{3}(-1)^{k/2}x^{2} \sum_{m,n \le \Delta^{2}k^{\epsilon}/x} \tilde{w}\Big(\frac{nx}{k^{2} + \Delta^{2}}\Big)\tilde{w}\Big(\frac{mx}{k^{2} + \Delta^{2}}\Big) \sum_{c \ge 1} c^{-1}S(m,n;c)J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\Big).$$

*Proof.* Recall Lemma 2.4, which shows that for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_k$ ,

(5.4) 
$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) = 2\pi (-1)^{k/2} x \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda_f(n) \tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x\log x}{\Delta}\right).$$

We claim we may truncate the sum over n in (5.4) at  $\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ . Indeed, since we assume  $\Delta^2 \ge x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ , we have

$$n \ge \frac{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x} \ge \frac{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{2x} + \frac{k^{2+\epsilon}}{16\pi^2 x} \ge \frac{(k^2 + \Delta^2)k^{\epsilon}}{16\pi^2 x} \implies \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \ll n^{-2}k^{-1100},$$

say, by the bound (2.12) of Lemma 2.4. It follows from (5.4) that

$$\mathcal{S}(x,f) = 2\pi(-1)^{k/2}x \sum_{n \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \lambda_f(n)\tilde{w}\Big(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\Big) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{x\log x}{\Delta}\Big).$$

This allows us to compute

(5.5) 
$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle = 4\pi^2 x^2 \sum_{m,n \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \langle \lambda_f(n)\lambda_f(m) \rangle \tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \tilde{w} \left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left\langle \left| x \sum_{n \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \lambda_f(n)\tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \right| \cdot \frac{x \log x}{\Delta} \right\rangle \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2 \log^2 x}{\Delta^2}\right).$$

Set

(5.6) 
$$\sigma^2 = 4\pi^2 x^2 \sum_{m,n \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \langle \lambda_f(n) \lambda_f(m) \rangle \tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \tilde{w} \left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right).$$

Then

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle = \sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\sigma x \log x}{\Delta}\Big) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{x^2 \log^2 x}{\Delta^2}\Big),$$

as claimed (we have obtained the first error term here by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the first error term of (5.5)). The explicit expression (5.1) for  $\sigma^2$  follows immediately, upon applying the Petersson trace formula (part (i) of Lemma 2.2) to (5.6).

The diagonal terms (D) given in (5.2) will contribute the main term in Theorem 1.3; the off-diagonal terms (OD) given in (5.3) will contribute only an error term. In Section 5.1, we will evaluate the diagonal contribution, and prove the following.

**Lemma 5.2** (Evaluation of (D)). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and assume  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \le x \le k^4$  and  $x^{1/2} \le \Delta \le x^{1-\epsilon}$ . Then the following hold.

(i) We have

(D) = 
$$32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(xk^{\epsilon}\Delta^{-1}).$$

(ii) Moreover, the main term above satisfies

$$x^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\right) \ll 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \ll x^{1/2}.$$

The expression for the main term in part (i) is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 4.1 (which gives an explicit expression for the smoothings  $\tilde{w}$  appearing in (5.2)). It is perhaps reasonable to expect that this main term (appearing in Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 1.3) should be

of size  $x^{1/2}$ . Indeed, for  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  we have the bound  $\Omega(n, x) \ll 1$ , and so it is immediate that in this range

$$(\mathbf{D}) \ll x^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-3/2} \ll x^{1/2}.$$

The more difficult part of the proof of Lemma 5.2 is establishing the lower bound (D)  $\gg x^{1/2} \exp(-\log x/\log \log x)$ . The main term behaves roughly like

$$x^{1/2} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\sin^2 \varphi(n)}{n^{3/2}},$$

for some complicated phase function  $\varphi$  depending on x. Since all terms in this sum are positive, for the lower bound it suffices to show that the phase  $\varphi(n)$  is asymptotically equidistributed modulo  $2\pi$  (since then not all the oscillatory terms can be small simultaneously). This is achieved by a standard application of the Erdős-Turán inequality.

Bounding the off-diagonal contribution (5.3) is the most difficult part of the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 5.2, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 5.3** (Bound for (OD)). Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1/1000$ , and assume  $x^{1/2} \leq \Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . Provided  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \leq x \leq k^4$ , we have

(OD) 
$$\ll k^{-8/3}\Delta^2 + k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} + x^{-1/2}k^{1/6+2\epsilon}\Delta + x^{-3/2}k^{-5/6+4\epsilon}\Delta^3$$
.

We now give a brief sketch of the proof of Lemma 5.3. The basic idea is to reorder summation in (5.3) and apply Poisson summation in the *n* variable. Very roughly speaking, after reordering summation we have

$$\approx x^2 \sum_{c \leq \Delta^2/(kx)} c^{-1} \sum_{m \leq \Delta^2/x} \tilde{w}\left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \sum_{n \leq \Delta^2/x} S(m, n; c) \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right).$$

(The effective range of c is a consequence of the effective support of the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{mn}/c)$ .) Opening the Kloosterman sum (writing  $a^*$  for the multiplicative inverse of a modulo c), we can rewrite the above as

$$\approx x^2 \sum_{\substack{c \leq \Delta^2/(kx)}} c^{-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq c \\ (a,c)=1}} \sum_{\substack{m \leq \Delta^2/x}} e\left(\frac{a^*m}{c}\right) \tilde{w}\left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq \Delta^2/x}} e\left(\frac{an}{c}\right) \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right).$$

We will use

(5.7) 
$$\tilde{w}\left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \ll (mx)^{-3/4} \text{ and } \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \approx (nx)^{-3/4} \sin\varphi(n)$$

where again  $\varphi$  is some complicated phase function depending on x. The first bound is (3.2) of Lemma 3.1, and the latter is a very rough approximation of (3.1) (which suffices for this sketch). Applying the triangle inequality and (5.7), it suffices to bound a sum of shape

$$\approx x^{1/2} \sum_{c \le \Delta^2/(kx)} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2/x} m^{-3/4} \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le c \\ (a,c)=1}} \Big| \sum_{n \le \Delta^2/x} e\Big(\frac{an}{c}\Big) n^{-3/4} \sin \varphi(n) J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\Big) \Big|.$$

The difficult part of the proof is bounding the inner sum over n. One would expect to find cancellation in this sum, coming from the oscillations of the exponential terms, and this is captured by applying Poisson summation. Indeed, this shows that the inner sum over n is roughly equal to the dual sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\tilde{n}\in\mathbb{Z}\\\tilde{n}\equiv-a \pmod{c}}} \int_0^{\Delta^2/x} t^{-3/4} \sin\varphi(t) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mt}}{c}\right) e\left(-\frac{\tilde{n}t}{c}\right) dt.$$

#### NED CARMICHAEL

Integrating by parts, one finds that this dual sum is effectively of length  $\approx \sqrt{mx}/k (\ll \Delta/k^{1-\epsilon})$ . This is shorter than the original sum, and therefore we find some savings.

It remains to estimate the oscillatory integrals appearing in the dual sum, which requires some careful analysis of the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{mt}/c)$ . Handling the integrals using the method of stationary phase, we are able to show that the contribution of the off-diagonal terms is asymptotically smaller than that of the diagonal main term in the range  $x \leq k^{12/5-\epsilon}$ .

Our proof is similar to work of Hough [8], in which a twisted second moment estimate (also in weight aspect) is established for central values of L(s, f). After approximating the central values by Dirichlet polynomials (with coefficients  $\lambda_f(n)$ ) and averaging with the Petersson trace formula, the off-diagonal contribution arising is handled in the same way.

Proof of Theorem 1.3, assuming Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3. We will apply Lemma 5.3 with  $\Delta = x^{1/2}k^{3/5}$  (and  $0 < \epsilon < 1/1000$ ) to bound the off-diagonal contribution. One first checks the condition

$$\Delta = x^{1/2} k^{3/5} \le x^{2/3} k^{1/3 - \epsilon} \iff x \ge k^{24/15 + 6\epsilon}$$

which is assured by our assumptions  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and  $\epsilon < 1/1000$ . Lemma 5.3 therefore shows

(OD) 
$$\ll xk^{-22/15} + x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon} + k^{23/30+2\epsilon} + k^{29/30+4\epsilon}$$
.

Noting that our assumption  $x \le k^{12/5} \implies xk^{-22/15} \le x^{3/4}k^{-13/15} \le x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon}$ , so the above bound may be simplified to

(OD) 
$$\ll x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon} + k^{29/30+4\epsilon}$$

Observe from (5.1) and Lemma 5.2 (applied with our choice of  $\Delta = x^{1/2}k^{3/5}$ ) that

(5.8) 
$$\sigma^2 = (D) + (OD) = 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{29/30+4\epsilon}).$$

Note  $x \leq k^{12/5} \implies x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon} \leq x^{1/2}k^{\epsilon}$ . In particular, we have  $\sigma^2 \ll x^{1/2} + x^{3/4}k^{-3/5+\epsilon} \implies \sigma \ll x^{1/4}k^{\epsilon/2}$ .

Finally, from (5.8) and Lemma 5.1 we have for  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \le x \le k^{12/5}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{S}(x,f)^2 \rangle &= \sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\sigma x \log x}{\Delta}\Big) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{x^2 \log^2 x}{\Delta^2}\Big) \\ &= 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{3/4} k^{-3/5+\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{29/30+4\epsilon}). \end{split}$$

(We used that  $x^2 \log^2 x / \Delta^2 = x \log^2 x k^{-6/5} \le x^{3/4} k^{-3/5+\epsilon}$ , since  $x \le k^{12/5}$ .) This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 (upon replacing  $\epsilon$  by  $\epsilon/4$ ).

5.1. The Diagonal Terms. In this section we investigate the diagonal contribution, which gives the main term in Theorem 1.3. Recall the definition (5.2), which states

(D) = 
$$4\pi^2 x^2 \sum_{n \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \tilde{w} \left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right)^2$$
.

Our goal is the following.

**Lemma 5.2** (Evaluation of (D)). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and assume  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \le x \le k^4$  and  $x^{1/2} \le \Delta \le x^{1-\epsilon}$ . Then the following hold.

(i) We have

(D) = 
$$32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(xk^{\epsilon}\Delta^{-1})$$

(ii) Moreover, the main term above satisfies

$$x^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\right) \ll 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \ll x^{1/2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2, part (i). The explicit expression for the diagonal terms is a simple consequence of Lemma 4.1, which states

$$\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Omega(n, x)(nx)^{-3/4} + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}) + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-1/4}\Delta^{-1}).$$

From the definition (5.2) and the bound  $\Omega(n, x) \ll 1$  we compute

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{D}) &= 4\pi^2 x^2 \sum_{n \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Omega(n, x) (nx)^{-3/4} + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-5/4}) + \mathcal{O}((nx)^{-1/4} \Delta^{-1}) \right)^2 \\ &= 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \frac{\Omega(n, x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left( x^2 \sum_{n \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} (nx)^{-1} \Delta^{-1} \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left( x^2 \sum_{n \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} (nx)^{-1/2} \Delta^{-2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &= 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n, x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left( x^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} n^{-3/2} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{x \log \Delta}{\Delta} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{x k^{\epsilon/2}}{\Delta} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &= 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n, x)^2}{n^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{x k^{\epsilon}}{\Delta} \right), \end{split}$$

as claimed.

Proving part (ii) is much more difficult, and we devote the remainder of the section to this task. It is immediate that  $x^{1/2} \sum_n \Omega(n, x)^2 / n^{3/2} \ll x^{1/2}$ , but it is more difficult to establish an inequality in the opposite direction. It is reasonable to guess  $x^{1/2} \sum_n \Omega(n, x)^2 / n^{3/2} \approx x^{1/2}$ . To prove this, it would of course suffice to show that for at least one  $n \leq 1000$ , say, we have  $|\Omega(n, x)| \geq 1/1000$ . Unfortunately, due to the presence of the oscillatory terms  $\sin \omega (4\pi \sqrt{nx})$  and  $\sin \omega (4\pi \sqrt{2nx})$  in the definition (1.3) of  $\Omega(n, x)$ , it is possible that no such condition holds for some perverse choice of x.

In the remainder of this section, we apply standard techniques to establish the lower bound  $x^{1/2} \sum_n \Omega(n,x)^2/n^{3/2} \gg x^{1/2} \exp(-\log x/\log\log x)$ . It is certainly reasonable to expect that for at least some n, we have

(5.9) 
$$\Omega(n,x) \gg 1.$$

Indeed, sufficient conditions for (5.9) to hold are  $n \ge 4$  and

(5.10) 
$$h(n) \coloneqq \frac{\omega(4\pi\sqrt{2nx})}{2\pi} \in \left[\frac{9}{40}, \frac{11}{40}\right] \pmod{1}.$$

(In other words the fractional part  $\{h(n)\} \coloneqq h(n) - \lfloor h(n) \rfloor \in [9/40, 11/40]$ .) To see this, observe

$$h(n) \in \left[\frac{9}{40}, \frac{11}{40}\right] \pmod{1} \implies \omega(4\pi\sqrt{2nx}) \in \left[\frac{9\pi}{20}, \frac{11\pi}{20}\right] \pmod{2\pi}$$
$$\implies \sin\omega(4\pi\sqrt{2nx}) \ge \sin\left(\frac{9\pi}{20}\right) \ge c,$$

where (for convenience later on) we define the constant

$$c \coloneqq 2^{1/2} \cdot (7/4)^{-3/4} \cdot \frac{101}{100} = 0.938 \dots$$

 $(\sin(9\pi/20) = 0.987...$  for comparison). Consequently, if (5.10) is satisfied we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega(n,x)| &= |2(32\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{2nx}) - (16\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nx})| \\ &\geq 2(32\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{2nx}) - (16\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} \\ &\geq 2c(32\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4} - (16\pi^2 - \kappa^2/(nx))^{-3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

We next use the assumptions  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and  $n \ge 4 \iff 2-1/n \ge 7/4$ . If (5.10) is satisfied, it follows from these assumptions that

(5.11)  

$$|\Omega(n,x)| \ge 2c(32\pi^2)^{-3/4} - (16\pi^2 - 8\pi^2/n)^{-3/4}$$

$$\ge 2c(32\pi^2)^{-3/4} - (8\pi^2)^{-3/4}(7/4)^{-3/4}$$

$$= (8\pi^2)^{-3/4}(2^{-1/2}c - (7/4)^{-3/4})$$

$$\ge (8\pi^2)^{-3/4}(7/4)^{-3/4}(101/100 - 1) \gg 1.$$

Our strategy to prove the lower bound in part (ii) of Lemma 5.2 is to show that the sequence  $(h(n))_{n\geq 1}$  is asymptotically equidistributed modulo 1. This ensures that the condition (5.10) (hence (5.9)) holds for a positive proportion of n. It is these n which will give a large contribution to the main term  $x^{1/2} \sum_{n} \Omega(n, x)^2 / n^{3/2}$ , leading to the desired lower bound.

For any integer N, we define

$$Z(N) \coloneqq \left\{ 4 \le n \le N : h(n) \in \left[\frac{9}{40}, \frac{11}{40}\right] \pmod{1} \right\}.$$

Considering  $n \in Z(N) \implies \Omega(n, x) \gg 1$ , we seek lower bounds for #Z(N). We have the following estimate (see [14, §1]):

(5.12) 
$$\#Z(N) = (N-4)/20 + \mathcal{O}(D(N)).$$

Here (N-4)/20 is the expected size of #Z(N) assuming the sequence h(n) is asymptotically equidistributed modulo 1, and  $D(N) \ge 0$  is the *discrepancy* of the sequence  $(h(n))_{n\ge 4}$ . The discrepancy is a measure of the extent to which this assumption fails, and is defined as

$$D(N) \coloneqq \sup_{[\alpha,\beta] \subset [0,1]} \left| \# \{ 4 \le n \le N : h(n) \in [\alpha,\beta] \} - (\beta-\alpha)(N-4) \right|$$

We will use the following bound for the discrepancy (which is essentially a quantitative version of Weyl's equidistribution theorem).

Lemma 5.4 (Erdős-Turán Inequality [14, Corollary 1.1]). For any positive integer R, we have

(5.13) 
$$D(N) \le \frac{N}{R+1} + 3\sum_{r \le R} \frac{1}{r} \Big| \sum_{n \le N} e(rh(n)) \Big|.$$

To bound the exponential sums appearing in (5.13), we apply van der Corput's method. Specifically, we will require the following standard lemma.

**Lemma 5.5** (van der Corput [10, Theorem 8.20]). Let f be a real-valued function which is p times continuously differentiable, with  $p \ge 2$ . Let  $b - a \ge 1$ , and suppose that if  $\xi \in [a, b]$ ,  $\lambda \le f^{(p)}(\xi) \le \mu \lambda$  for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu \ge 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{a < n \le b} e(f(n)) \ll (b-a)\mu^{2/P}\lambda^{1/(2P-2)} + (b-a)^{1-2/P}\lambda^{-1/(2P-2)}$$

where  $P = 2^{p-1}$  and the implied constants are independent of p.

Equipped with these preliminary results, we now prove the key lower bound in Lemma 5.2.

Proof of Lemma 5.2, part (ii). For any positive integer N, we have the following lower bound for the diagonal main term:

$$32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \geq 32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\in Z(N)} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}}$$

Since  $n \in Z(N) \implies n \le N$  and  $\Omega(n, x) \gg 1$  (see (5.11)), it follows

(5.14) 
$$32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \gg x^{1/2} N^{-3/2} \# Z(N).$$

To optimise the bound (5.14), we wish to choose N small enough that  $x^{1/2}N^{-3/2}$  is of comparable size to  $x^{1/2}$ . However, we must also take N large enough to ensure that #Z(N) is non-zero. To achieve the latter condition, we will choose N large enough that D(N) = o(N), whence (5.12) implies  $\#Z(N) \sim N/20$ .

In order to bound the discrepancy D(N), we will apply Lemma 5.4. But first, we must bound the exponential sums  $\sum_{n \le N} e(rh(n))$  arising from (5.13). To do so, we apply Lemma 5.5. Recall

$$h(\xi) = \frac{\omega(4\pi\sqrt{2x\xi})}{2\pi}$$

For  $\xi \ge 1$ , one computes (see (2.7))

(5.15) 
$$h'(\xi) = \frac{(32\pi^2 x \xi - \kappa^2)^{1/2}}{4\pi\xi} = \sqrt{2x} \Big(\xi^{-1/2} + \sum_{l\geq 1} (-1)^l \binom{1/2}{l} \Big(\frac{\kappa^2}{32\pi^2 x}\Big)^l \xi^{-1/2-l}\Big),$$

where

(5.16) 
$$\binom{1/2}{l} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{3-2l}{2}\right)}{l!} = \prod_{1 \le s \le l} \left(\frac{3-2s}{2s}\right).$$

For  $p \geq 2$ , one computes

(5.17) 
$$\frac{d^{p-1}}{d\xi^{p-1}} \{\xi^{-1/2}\} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{2p-3}{2}\right) \xi^{1/2-p} \\ = \frac{(-1)^p (2p-3)!}{2^{2(p-2)} (p-2)!} \xi^{1/2-p} \asymp \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} \xi^{1/2-p},$$

where the last step follows from Stirling's formula. Similarly, for  $l \ge 1$  one has

$$\frac{d^{p-1}}{d\xi^{p-1}} \{\xi^{-1/2-l}\} = \left(-\frac{2l+1}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{2(l+1)+1}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{2(l+p-1)-1}{2}\right) \xi^{1/2-p-l} = a_p(l) \cdot \xi^{-l} \cdot \frac{d^{p-1}}{d\xi^{p-1}} \{\xi^{-1/2}\}.$$

where we define

$$a_p(l) \coloneqq \frac{(2p-1)(2(p+1)-1)\cdots(2(l+p-1)-1)}{1\cdot 3\cdots(2l-1)}$$

From (5.15), it follows

(5.18) 
$$h^{(p)}(\xi) = \sqrt{2x} \left( \frac{d^{p-1}}{d\xi^{p-1}} \{\xi^{-1/2}\} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{l \ge 1} (-1)^l \binom{1/2}{l} \left( \frac{\kappa^2}{32\pi^2 x} \right)^l a_p(l) \xi^{-l} \right).$$

If  $\xi \geq 2p$  we observe

$$a_p(l)\xi^{-l} \le \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{2p}\right)\cdot\left(1 + \frac{2l-3}{2p}\right)}{1\cdot 3\cdots(2l-1)} \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6p}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{1}{2l-1} + \frac{2l-3}{(2l-1)(2p)}\right) \le 1.$$

Note our assumption  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  implies  $\kappa^2/(32\pi^2 x) \le 1/4$ . Moreover, it is clear from (5.16) that  $|\binom{1/2}{l}| \le 1$ . Consequently, if  $\xi \ge 2p \ge 4$  we bound

(5.19) 
$$\left|\sum_{l\geq 1} (-1)^l \binom{1/2}{l} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{32\pi^2 x}\right)^l a_p(l) \xi^{-l}\right| \le \sum_{l\geq 1} \frac{1}{16^l} = \frac{1}{15}$$

We now conclude from (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19) that for any  $p \ge 2$  and  $\xi \ge 2p$ ,

$$h^{(p)}(\xi) \asymp x^{1/2} \frac{d^{p-1}}{d\xi^{p-1}} \{\xi^{-1/2}\} \asymp \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} \xi^{1/2-p}.$$

We may now apply Lemma 5.5. Suppose  $p \ge 2$ . If  $\xi \in [a, b]$  with  $a \ge 2p$  and  $b \le 2a$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p} \ll h^{(p)}(\xi) \ll \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} a^{1/2-p} \ll 2^p \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p}.$$

So there exist positive (absolute) constants  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that for  $\xi \in [a, b]$  and  $r \ge 1$ ,

$$A_1 r \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p} \le r h^{(p)}(\xi) \le A_2 2^p r \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p}$$

Applying Lemma 5.5 with  $\lambda = A_1 r(p/e)^{(p-1)} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p}$  and  $\mu = A_2 2^p / A_1$ , we find

$$\sum_{a < n \le b} e(rh(n)) \ll b \left(\frac{A_2 2^p}{A_1}\right)^{2/P} \left(A_1 r \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p}\right)^{1/(2P-2)} + b^{1-2/P} \left(A_1 r \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{p-1} x^{1/2} b^{1/2-p}\right)^{-1/(2P-2)} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} b^{1-\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}} r^{\frac{1}{2P-2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} b^{1+\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}-\frac{2}{P}} r^{-\frac{1}{2P-2}},$$

where  $P = 2^{p-1}$ . In the last line, we used that  $1/2 \le (p/e)^{(p-1)/(2^p-2)} \le 2$  and  $1 \le 2^{2p/2^{p-1}} \le 5$  for all  $p \ge 2$ . Summing over dyadic intervals and bounding the contribution of  $n \le 2p$  trivially, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \le N} e(rh(n)) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{1-\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}} r^{\frac{1}{2P-2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{1+\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}-\frac{2}{P}} r^{-\frac{1}{2P-2}} + p.$$

Returning to Lemma 5.4 and applying the estimate above, for any integer  $R \ge 1$  we obtain (5.20)

$$D(N) \ll NR^{-1} + x^{\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{1-\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}} (2P-2) R^{\frac{1}{2P-2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{1+\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}-\frac{2}{P}} \log R + p \log R$$
$$\ll N \left( R^{-1} + 2^p x^{\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{-\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}} R^{\frac{1}{2P-2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}-\frac{2}{P}} \log R + p N^{-1} \log R \right).$$

Choose

(5.21) 
$$p = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} (\log \log x + 3) \right\rfloor, N = \left\lfloor x^{\frac{1}{(2p-3)}} \right\rfloor, \text{ and } R = \left\lfloor x^{\frac{1}{(2p-3)(2P-1)}} \right\rfloor.$$

We claim that with these choices of parameters, the expression given in (5.20) is o(N). Indeed, we now check each term appearing in (5.20). Firstly, it is simple to note

$$R \ge x^{\frac{1}{(2p-3)(2P-1)}} - 1 \ge \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log\log x \cdot 2^{(\log\log x+3)/2}}\right) - 1 = \exp\left(\frac{(\log x)^{1-\log 2/2}}{2^{3/2}\log\log x}\right) - 1 \to \infty,$$

in other words 
$$R^{-1} = o(1)$$
. Next considering the second term in (5.20), one has  
 $2^{p} x^{\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{-\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}} R^{\frac{1}{2P-2}} \leq 2^{(\log \log x+3)/2} x^{\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} (x^{\frac{1}{2p-3}} - 1)^{-\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)}} x^{\frac{1}{(2p-3)(2P-2)(2P-1)}} \\ \ll (\log x)^{\log 2/2} \exp\left(\log x \left(\frac{1}{2(2P-2)} - \frac{2p-1}{2(2p-3)(2P-2)} + \frac{1}{(2p-3)(2P-2)(2P-1)}\right)\right) \\ = \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{(2p-3)(2P-1)} + \frac{\log 2}{2}\log\log x\right) \\ \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(\log x)^{1-\log 2/2}}{2^{3/2}\log\log x} + \frac{\log 2}{2}\log\log x\right) = o(1).$ 

For the third term in (5.20), one checks

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} N^{\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)} - \frac{2}{P}} \log R &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{2(2P-2)}} x^{\frac{1}{2p-3} \left(\frac{2p-1}{2(2P-2)} - \frac{2}{P}\right)} \log \left(x^{\frac{1}{(2p-3)(2P-1)}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\log x}{(2p-3)(2P-1)} \exp \left(-\log x \left(\frac{1}{2(2P-2)} - \frac{2p-1}{2(2p-3)(2P-2)} + \frac{2}{(2p-3)P}\right)\right) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\log x \left(\frac{3P-4}{P(2P-2)(2p-3)}\right) + \log \log x\right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\frac{2\log x}{(2p-3)(2P-2)} + \log \log x\right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\frac{(\log x)^{1-\log 2/2}}{2^{1/2}\log \log x} + \log \log x\right) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

For the final term in (5.20), we note

$$pN^{-1}\log R \le p(x^{\frac{1}{2p-3}} - 1)^{-1}\log\left(x^{\frac{1}{(2p-3)(2P-1)}}\right) \ll \frac{p\log x}{(2p-3)(2P-1)}\exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{2p-3}\right)$$
$$\ll \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{\log\log x} + \log\log x\right) = o(1).$$

So D(N) = o(N) for the N given in (5.21). Thus (5.12) shows  $\#Z(N) \sim N/20$  for this N, which (from (5.21)) is easily seen to satisfy  $N \leq \exp(\log x/(\log \log x - 2))$ . Thus from (5.14), we conclude that for this choice of N,

$$32\pi x^{1/2} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Omega(n,x)^2}{n^{3/2}} \gg x^{1/2} N^{-1/2} \gg x^{1/2} \exp\Big(-\frac{\log x}{2(\log\log x - 2)}\Big) \gg x^{1/2} \exp\Big(-\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\Big).$$

5.2. The Off-diagonal Terms. Our goal is to prove the following off-diagonal bound.

**Lemma 5.3** (Bound for (OD)). Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1/1000$ , and assume  $x^{1/2} \leq \Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . Provided  $k^2/(8\pi^2) \leq x \leq k^4$ , we have

(OD) 
$$\ll k^{-8/3}\Delta^2 + k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} + x^{-1/2}k^{1/6+2\epsilon}\Delta + x^{-3/2}k^{-5/6+4\epsilon}\Delta^3.$$

We now fix  $0 < \epsilon < 1/1000$  for the remainder of this section (§5.2). Recall (5.3), which states (5.22)

$$(OD) = 8\pi^{3}(-1)^{k/2}x^{2} \sum_{m,n \le \Delta^{2}k^{\epsilon}/x} \tilde{w}\Big(\frac{nx}{k^{2} + \Delta^{2}}\Big)\tilde{w}\Big(\frac{mx}{k^{2} + \Delta^{2}}\Big) \sum_{c \ge 1} c^{-1}S(m,n;c)J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\Big).$$

Throughout this section, we will always assume  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and  $x^{1-\epsilon} \ge \Delta \ge x^{1/2}$ . Moreover, considering the ranges of m and c in (5.22) above, we will also frequently assume  $c \ge 1$  and  $m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ . Several lemmas appearing in this section will depend on m and  $\Delta$ , and these will hold uniformly for m and  $\Delta$  in these ranges (and for  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$ ), unless otherwise stated.

As discussed in the introduction to Section 5, our strategy is roughly to interchange the order of summation in (5.22) and apply Poisson summation to the *n*-sum. This will capture some cancellation, coming from the Kloosterman sums, the oscillations of the smoothings  $\tilde{w}$ , and the (in certain ranges of n, m and c) oscillations of the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{mn}/c)$ .

In the following lemma, we perform some initial simplifications. We interchange the order of summation in (5.22) and smooth the resulting sums (with a view to applying Poisson summation later on).

**Lemma 5.6.** Let g be a smooth, compactly supported function satisfying the following:

- $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset [k/10, 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x],$
- $g(\xi) = 1$  for  $k/2 \le \xi \le 2\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,
- for all integers  $j \ge 0$  and all  $\xi$ , we have  $g^{(j)}(\xi) \ll_j \xi^{-j}$ .

We then  $have^1$ 

$$(\text{OD}) = 8\pi^{3}(-1)^{k/2}x^{2} \sum_{c \leq 100\Delta^{2}/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \leq \Delta^{2}k^{\epsilon}/x} \tilde{w}\left(\frac{mx}{k^{2}+\Delta^{2}}\right) \\ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S(m,n;c)\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^{2}+\Delta^{2}}\right)g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right)J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1000}).$$

*Proof.* We first truncate the inner sum over c in (5.22) at  $100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})$ . If  $c \ge 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})$  then for any  $m, n \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$  one has

$$\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c} \le \frac{4\pi\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{cx} \le \frac{4\pi k}{100} \le \frac{k}{4} \implies J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) \ll \frac{mn}{c^2} e^{-14k/13},$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For notational convenience we also implicitly set  $g(4\pi\sqrt{mn}/c) = 0$  for any n < 0.

by the bound given in (2.2) of Lemma 2.1. Using the trivial bound  $|S(m, n; c)| \leq c$  and the bound (3.2) of Lemma 3.1 for the weights  $\tilde{w}$ , the contribution of  $c \geq 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})$  to (5.22) is therefore seen to be

$$\ll x^{1/2} \sum_{m,n \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} (mn)^{1/4} \sum_{c \geq 100 \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} c^{-2} e^{-14k/13} \ll e^{-k}.$$

Truncating the sum over c and interchanging the order of summation, we thus rewrite (5.22) as

(5.23) (OD) = 
$$8\pi^3(-1)^{k/2}x^2 \sum_{c \leq 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \leq \Delta^2 k^\epsilon/x} \tilde{w}\left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right)$$
  
$$\sum_{n \leq \Delta^2 k^\epsilon/x} S(m,n;c)\tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-k}).$$

The lemma now follows from (5.23). In other words, introducing g to the sums (5.23) produces an error that is  $\ll k^{-1000}$ . Indeed, the contribution of the terms with  $4\pi\sqrt{mn}/c < k/2$  to (5.23) is negligible: for these values of m, n and c the bound (2.3) shows  $J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{mn}/c) \ll e^{-k^{1/2}}$ , say, so their contribution is clearly  $\ll k^{-1000}$ . On the other hand, since  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,

$$\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c} > \frac{2\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x} \implies n \ge \frac{n}{c^2} \ge \frac{1}{4\pi^2 m} \Big(\frac{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x}\Big)^2 \ge \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x} \implies \frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2} \ge \frac{k^{\epsilon}}{4\pi^2 (8\pi^2 + 1)},$$

since we assume  $k^2 \leq 8\pi^2 x \leq 8\pi^2 \Delta^2 \implies k^2 + \Delta^2 \leq (8\pi^2 + 1)\Delta^2$ . The bound (2.12) of Lemma 2.4 now shows

$$\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c} > \frac{2\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x} \implies \tilde{w}\left(\frac{nx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \ll n^{-2}k^{-1100}$$

Thus the contribution of these terms to (5.23) is indeed  $\ll k^{-1000}$ .

Our idea is now to replace  $\tilde{w}$  by the explicit expression given in Lemma 3.1. This leads to the following lemma, which is the key starting point for our proof of Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.7. We have the bound

$$(\text{OD}) \ll x^{5/4} \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} m^{-3/4} \left(\max_{t \in [1,2]} |S_1| + \max_{t \in [1,2]} |S_2|\right) + x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3+2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2},$$

where  $S_1 = S_1(t; m, c)$  is given by

(5.24) 
$$S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le c \\ (a,c)=1}} \Big| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (16\pi^{2}nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt}) g\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\Big) J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\Big) e\Big(\frac{an}{c}\Big) \Big|,$$

and  $S_2 = S_2(t; m, c)$  is given by<sup>2</sup> (5.25)

$$S_2 = x \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le c \\ (a,c)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n(16\pi^2 nxt - \kappa^2)^{-7/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{an}{c}\right) \right|.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The factor of x in the definition of  $S_2$  is included for convenience, so that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are of comparable sizes.

*Proof.* Replacing  $\tilde{w}(nx/(k^2 + \Delta^2))$  by the expression given in Lemma 3.1 in Lemma 5.6 and interchanging the order of summation and integration shows

$$(5.26) \quad (\text{OD}) = 2^{9/2} \pi^{5/2} (-1)^{k/2} x^2 \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \tilde{w} \left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \int_0^\infty \left\{ 12\pi^2 x t w(t) \right\}$$

$$\sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} S(m, n_1; c) n_1 (16\pi^2 n_1 x t - \kappa^2)^{-7/4} \sin \omega (4\pi \sqrt{n_1 x t}) g \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_1}}{c}\right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_1}}{c}\right) - (w(t) + tw'(t)) \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} S(m, n_2; c) (16\pi^2 n_2 x t - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi \sqrt{n_2 x t}) g \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_2}}{c}\right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_2}}{c}\right) \right\} dt$$

$$+ \mathcal{O} \left( x^2 \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \left| \tilde{w} \left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \right| \right)$$

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} (nx)^{-5/4} \left| S(m, n; c) g \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_1}}{c}\right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_1}}{c}\right) \right| + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1000}).$$

To bound the error term above, we use the following bounds. From Lemma 3.1, we have  $|\tilde{w}(mx/(k^2 + \Delta^2))| \ll (mx)^{-3/4}$ . Trivially  $|S(m, n; c)| \leq c$ . By the construction of g, we have  $|g(\xi)| \ll 1$  for all  $\xi$ . Finally, we use the Bessel function bound (2.4) of Lemma 2.1, which gives  $|J_{k-1}(4\pi\sqrt{mn}/c)| \ll k^{-1/3}$ . These bounds show that the error in (5.26) is

$$\ll k^{-1/3} \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} m^{-3/4} \sum_{n \ge 1} n^{-5/4} \ll x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3+2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2}.$$

Opening the Kloosterman sums in (5.26) and interchanging the order of summation, we now obtain

$$(5.27) \quad (OD) = 2^{9/2} \pi^{5/2} (-1)^{k/2} x^2 \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} \tilde{w} \left(\frac{mx}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\right)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ 12\pi^2 x t w(t) \sum_{\substack{1 \le a_1 \le c \\ (a_1,c)=1}} e\left(\frac{a_1^*m}{c}\right) \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} n_1 (16\pi^2 n_1 x t - \kappa^2)^{-7/4} \sin \omega (4\pi \sqrt{n_1 x t}) g\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_1}}{c}\right) \right\}$$

$$J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_1}}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{a_1 n_1}{c}\right) - (w(t) + t w'(t)) \sum_{\substack{1 \le a_2 \le c \\ (a_2,c)=1}} e\left(\frac{a_2^*m}{c}\right) \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} (16\pi^2 n_2 x t - \kappa^2)^{-3/4}$$

$$\sin \omega (4\pi \sqrt{n_2 x t}) g\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_2}}{c}\right) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn_2}}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{a_2 n_2}{c}\right) dt + \mathcal{O}(x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3+2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2}).$$
Using the hermode  $\tilde{\omega} (m\pi / (h^2 + \Delta^2)) \ll (m\pi)^{-3/4}$  (which is (2.2)) of Lemma 2.1), the triangle

Using the bound  $\tilde{w}(mx/(k^2 + \Delta^2)) \ll (mx)^{-3/4}$  (which is (3.2) of Lemma 3.1), the triangle inequality shows

$$(5.28) \quad (\text{OD}) \ll x^{5/4} \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} m^{-3/4} \\ \int_0^\infty \left\{ |tw(t)| |S_2(t;m,c)| + |w(t) + tw'(t)| |S_1(t;m,c)| \right\} dt + x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3+2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2} \\ \ll x^{5/4} \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} m^{-3/4} \Big( \max_{t \in [1,2]} |S_2| \int_0^\infty |tw(t)| dt \\ + \max_{t \in [1,2]} |S_1| \int_0^\infty |w(t) + tw'(t)| dt \Big) + x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3+2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2}$$

In the last line, we observed that the range of integration is  $t \in \operatorname{supp} w = [1, 2]$ . Recall also (from Definition 2.3) that  $w \ll 1$ ,  $\operatorname{supp} w' = [1, 1 + \Delta^{-1}] \cup [2 - \Delta^{-2}, 2]$  and  $w' \ll \Delta$ . It follows

$$\int_0^\infty |tw(t)| dt \ll 1 \text{ and } \int_0^\infty |w(t) + tw'(t)| dt \ll 1.$$

The lemma now follows from (5.28).

*Remark.* Considering  $t \in [1,2]$  in Lemma 5.7, from now on we implicitly assume  $t \in [1,2]$  wherever this variable t appears. Indeed, the following lemmas hold uniformly for  $t \in [1,2]$ .

We wish to bound the sums  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . To do so, we first apply Poisson summation, which gives the following.

**Lemma 5.8** (Poisson Summation). For i = 1, 2 we have the bounds

$$S_i \ll c^{1/2} m^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ (n,c) = 1}} |\mathcal{I}_i(n)|.$$

Here

$$\mathcal{I}_i(n) = \int_0^\infty G_i(y) \sin \omega \left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right) e\left(\frac{cn}{16\pi^2 m}y^2\right) dy,$$

where

(5.29) 
$$G_1(y) = c^{3/2} m^{-3/4} y \left(\frac{c^2 x t}{m} y^2 - \kappa^2\right)^{-3/4} g(y) J_{\kappa}(y),$$

and

(5.30) 
$$G_2(y) = c^{7/2} m^{-7/4} x y^3 \left(\frac{c^2 x t}{m} y^2 - \kappa^2\right)^{-7/4} g(y) J_{\kappa}(y).$$

*Proof.* We consider only  $S_1$  here, since  $S_2$  may be handled in exactly the same way. Splitting into progressions, write

$$(5.31) \quad S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le c \\ (a,c)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (16\pi^{2}nxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{nxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{an}{c}\right) \right|$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le c \\ (a,c)=1}} \left| \sum_{b \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{ab}{c}\right) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} (16\pi^{2}(b+lc)xt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{(b+lc)xt}) \right|$$
$$g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{m(b+lc)}}{c}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{m(b+lc)}}{c}\right) \right|.$$

Applying Poisson summation to the inner sum over l, we find

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} (16\pi^2 (b+lc)xt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{(b+lc)xt})g\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{m(b+lc)}}{c}\Big) J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{m(b+lc)}}{c}\Big) \\ = \sum_{\tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty (16\pi^2 (b+vc)xt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{(b+vc)xt}) \\ g\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{m(b+vc)}}{c}\Big) J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{m(b+vc)}}{c}\Big) e(-\tilde{l}v) dv \\ = \sum_{\tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty (16\pi^2 uxt - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{uxt})g\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\Big) J_{k-1}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\Big) e\Big(-\frac{\tilde{l}(u-b)}{c}\Big) \frac{du}{c}. \end{split}$$

(In the last line, we have set u = b + vc.) Consequently, we obtain from (5.31) (5.32)

$$S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq c \\ (a,c)=1}} \left| \sum_{\tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (16\pi^{2}uxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{uxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) \right| du$$

$$= \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq c \\ (a,c)=1}} \sum_{\tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (16\pi^{2}uxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{uxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) \right| du$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (n,c)=1}} \left| \sum_{\tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z} \atop \tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (16\pi^{2}uxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{uxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) du \right|$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (n,c)=1}} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} (16\pi^{2}uxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{uxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) e\left(-\frac{nu}{c}\right) du \right|$$

The last line is a consequence of the triangle inequality. Setting  $y = 4\pi \sqrt{mu}/c$ , we find

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (16\pi^{2}uxt - \kappa^{2})^{-3/4} \sin \omega (4\pi\sqrt{uxt})g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mu}}{c}\right) e\left(-\frac{nu}{c}\right) du = \frac{c^{1/2}}{8\pi^{2}m^{1/4}} \mathcal{I}_{1}(-n).$$

Noting  $\mathcal{I}_1(-n) = \overline{\mathcal{I}_1(n)}$ , the result now follows from (5.32).

*Remark.* Since the integrals  $\mathcal{I}_i(n)$  are taken over  $y \in \operatorname{supp} g = [k/10, 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x]$ , from now on we will assume the  $k/10 \leq y \leq 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$  wherever this variable appears.

Our goal is now to bound the integrals  $\mathcal{I}_i(n)$  appearing in Lemma 5.8 via the method of stationary phase. Before proceeding further, in the following lemma we first handle some very simple cases where  $\mathcal{I}_i(n)$  is negligibly small. After some minor aesthetic rearrangements, we thus truncate the sum over n in Lemma 5.8.

**Lemma 5.9.** Assume  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . For i = 1, 2 we have

(5.33) 
$$S_i \ll c^{1/2} m^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{\frac{m^{1/2} x^{3/2}}{10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}} \le n \le \frac{1000\sqrt{mx}}{k}}} |\mathcal{I}'_i(n)| + k^{-1000},$$

where

(5.34) 
$$\mathcal{I}'_i(n) = \int_0^\infty G_i(y) e^{iF(y)} dy.$$

Here  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are the functions given in Lemma 5.8, and

(5.35) 
$$F(y) = \frac{cn}{8\pi m} y^2 - \omega \left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)$$

Proof. Starting from Lemma 5.8, we first note

$$(5.36) \quad \mathcal{I}_{i}(n) = \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{i}(y) \sin \omega \left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right) e\left(\frac{cn}{16\pi^{2}m}y^{2}\right) dy \\ = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{i}(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^{2} + \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy \\ - \frac{1}{2i} \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{i}(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^{2} - \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy.$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we will show that the integrals above contribute  $\ll k^{-1000}$  to  $S_i$  in many cases. One computes (using (2.7))

(5.37) 
$$\frac{d}{dy}\left\{\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^2 \pm \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right\} = \frac{cn}{4\pi m}y \pm \left(\frac{c^2xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

and (using (2.8))

(5.38) 
$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left\{ \frac{cn}{8\pi m} y^2 \pm \omega \left( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \right) \right\} = \frac{cn}{4\pi m} \pm \frac{\kappa^2}{y^3} \left( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Recall we assume  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . From this, using also the standing assumptions that  $x \geq k^2/(8\pi^2)$ ,  $c \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq 2$ ,  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$  and  $k/10 \leq y \leq 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ , one has (5.39)

$$\frac{c^2xt}{m} \gg \frac{x^2}{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}} \gg x^{2/3} k^{-2/3+\epsilon} \gg k^{2/3}, \text{ say, and } \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} \ll 1 \implies \left(\frac{c^2xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right) \sim \frac{c^2xt}{m} \approx \frac{c^2x}{m}.$$

In particular (using  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,  $y \geq k/10$  and  $c \geq 1$ ),

$$\frac{\kappa^2}{y^3} \left(\frac{c^2 x t}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{-1/2} \asymp \frac{k^2 m^{1/2}}{y^3 c x^{1/2}} \ll \frac{\Delta}{x k^{1-\epsilon/2}}$$

Now the assumption  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3} k^{1/3-\epsilon}$  (and  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ) guarantees

$$\frac{\Delta}{xk^{1-\epsilon/2}} \le \frac{x}{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}} \cdot k^{-3\epsilon/2} \ll \frac{1}{m} \cdot k^{-3\epsilon/2} = o\left(\frac{1}{m}\right).$$

Combining the two calculations above, we have shown (provided  $n \neq 0$ )

(5.40) 
$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left\{ \omega \left( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \right) \right\} = \frac{\kappa^2}{y^3} \left( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} \right)^{-1/2} \asymp \frac{k^2 m^{1/2}}{y^3 c x^{1/2}} = o \left( \frac{cn}{4\pi m} \right).$$

Consequently, for  $n \neq 0$  we have established the second derivative estimate

(5.41) 
$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left\{ \frac{cn}{8\pi m} y^2 \pm \omega \left( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \right) \right\} \asymp \frac{cn}{m}$$

We now claim that for  $n \neq 0$  and  $k/10 \leq y \leq 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$  in the region of integration, we have

(5.42) 
$$\frac{d^j}{dy^j} \left\{ \frac{cn}{8\pi m} y^2 \pm \omega \left( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \right) \right\} \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} y^{2-j} \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} k^{2-j} \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots$$

Indeed, the j = 2 case is included in (5.41). For  $y \ge k/10$ , one has (using (5.39)) that for any a > 0 and an integer  $j \ge 1$ ,

(5.43) 
$$\frac{d^j}{dy^j} \left\{ \left(\frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{-a} \right\} \ll_j \left(\frac{c^2 xt}{m}\right)^{-a-1} \frac{k^2}{y^{3+j}}$$

Thus, upon each differentiation of

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left\{ \omega \left( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \right) \right\} = \frac{\kappa^2}{y^3} \left( \frac{c^2xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} \right)^{-1/2},$$

one saves at least y. That is to say, for j > 2

$$\frac{d^{j}}{dy^{j}} \Big\{ \frac{cn}{8\pi m} y^{2} \pm \omega \Big( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \Big) \Big\} = \pm \frac{d^{j}}{dy^{j}} \Big\{ \omega \Big( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \Big) \Big\} \ll_{j} y^{-(j-2)} \frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} \Big\{ \omega \Big( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \Big) \Big\} \ll_{j} \frac{cn}{m} y^{2-j},$$
using (5.40)) as claimed

(using (5.40)) as claimed.

Finally, we must bound the derivatives of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Recall (from (5.29) and (5.30))

$$G_1(y) = c^{3/2} m^{-3/4} y^{-1/2} \left(\frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{-3/4} g(y) J_{\kappa}(y),$$

and

$$G_2(y) = c^{7/2} m^{-7/4} x y^{-1/2} \left(\frac{c^2 x t}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{-7/4} g(y) J_{\kappa}(y)$$

Recall also  $g^{(j)}(y) \ll_j y^{-j}$  (by our construction, see Lemma 5.6). For any  $\nu \ge 0$ , one has

(5.44) 
$$J'_{\nu}(y) = \frac{1}{2}(J_{\nu-1}(y) - J_{\nu+1}(y)).$$

This is easily checked from the definition (2.1) (see also [19, §3.2]). Using the derivative bound (5.43), and using (5.44) to differentiate the Bessel functions ( $\leq j$  times), we obtain the bound

(5.45) 
$$G_i^{(j)}(y) \ll_j x^{-3/4} y^{-1/2} (y^{-j} | J_\kappa(y)| + |J_{\kappa+\mathcal{O}_j(1)}(y)|) \ll_j x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2...$$

Here we also used the bound  $J_{\kappa+\mathcal{O}(1)}(y) \ll k^{-1/3}$  given in (2.4) (and our assumption  $y \ge k/10$ ). Using these calculations, we now apply Lemma 2.6 to show that the integrals appearing in (5.36) are negligible (i.e. contribute  $\ll k^{-1000}$ ) in the following four simple cases.

(i) Firstly, consider the term  $\mathcal{I}_i(0)$  (which appears in Lemma 5.8 only if c = 1). Here, it follows from (5.39) that the phase satisfies

(5.46) 
$$\frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \pm \omega \left(\frac{\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right) \right\} = \pm \left(\frac{xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{1/2} \gg \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{1/2}$$

Turning our attention to the second derivative, the c = 1 case of (5.40) gives that for  $y \ge k/10$ 

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left\{ \pm \omega \left(\frac{\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right) \right\} \asymp \frac{\kappa^2 m^{1/2}}{y^3 x^{1/2}} \ll \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{m}{x}\right)^{1/2}$$

Differentiating further (cf. (5.42)) and using the above we have for  $y \ge k/10$ 

(5.47) 
$$\frac{d^{j}}{dy^{j}} \left\{ \pm \omega \left(\frac{\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right) \right\} \ll_{j} y^{-(j-2)} \frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} \left\{ \pm \omega \left(\frac{\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right) \right\} \ll_{j} k^{1-j} \left(\frac{m}{x}\right)^{1/2} \text{ for } j = 2, 3...$$

Consequently, using the estimates (5.45), (5.46) and (5.47), we may apply Lemma 2.6 with  $\alpha = k/10$ ,  $\beta = \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,  $X = x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6}$ , U = 1,  $R = (x/m)^{1/2}$ ,  $Y = k(m/x)^{1/2}$  and Q = k. This gives the bound

(5.48) 
$$\mathcal{I}_{i}(0) \ll_{B} \Delta^{2} x^{-7/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} \left\{ \left( \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{3/4} k^{1/2} \right)^{-B} + \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{-B/2} \right\}.$$

valid for any integer  $B \ge 0$ . Together with  $m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ , our assumption  $\Delta \le x^{2/3} k^{1/3-\epsilon}$  implies

(5.49) 
$$\frac{1}{m} \ge \frac{x}{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}} \ge x^{-1/3} k^{-2/3+\epsilon}. \text{ In particular, } x \ge \frac{k^2}{8\pi^2} \implies \frac{x}{m} \ge \left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{2/3} k^{\epsilon} \ge k^{2/3}.$$

So taking B large enough in (5.48), we easily obtain  $\mathcal{I}_i(0) \ll k^{-1000}$ .

(ii) Next, we consider the former integral appearing in (5.36):

$$\int_0^\infty G_i(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^2 + \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy$$

In this case one has for  $y \ge k/10$  (see (5.37) and (5.39)),

(5.50) 
$$\frac{d}{dy}\left\{\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^2 + \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right\} = \frac{cn}{4\pi m}y + \left(\frac{c^2xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{1/2} \gg \frac{cnk}{m} + \frac{cx^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}}.$$

Equipped with (5.42), (5.45) and (5.50), we apply Lemma 2.6 with  $\alpha = k/10$ ,  $\beta = \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,  $X = x^{-3/4}k^{-5/6}$ , U = 1,  $R = cnk/m + c\sqrt{x/m}$ ,  $Y = cnk^2/m$  and Q = k. This shows

(5.51) 
$$\int_0^\infty G_i(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^2 + \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy$$
$$\ll_B \Delta^2 x^{-7/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} \left\{\left(\frac{cnk}{m} + \frac{c\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{-B} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{cnk}}{\sqrt{m}} + \frac{\sqrt{cx}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-B}\right\},$$

valid for any integer  $B \ge 0$ . We will use the bound

$$\left(\frac{cnk}{m} + \frac{c\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{-B} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{cnk}}{\sqrt{m}} + \frac{\sqrt{cx}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-B} \le \left(\frac{nk}{m}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{-(B-2)/2} + \left(\frac{nk^2}{m}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{nk^2}{m} + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-(B-4)/2}.$$

For any n, we have

$$\frac{nk^2}{m} + \frac{x}{n} \ge 2\frac{kx^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \ge k^{4/3},$$

since  $x/m \ge k^{2/3}$  by (5.49). So we obtain

$$\left(\frac{cnk}{m} + \frac{c\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{-B} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{cnk}}{\sqrt{m}} + \frac{\sqrt{cx}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-B} \le n^{-2}\left(\frac{m^2}{k^2} \cdot k^{-(B-2)/3} + \frac{m^2}{k^4}k^{-2(B-4)/3}\right).$$

Thus taking B large enough in (5.51) shows

$$\int_0^\infty G_i(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^2 + \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy \ll n^{-2}k^{-1100},$$

say, and consequently these integrals contribute  $\ll k^{-1000}$  to  $S_i$ .

(iii) Finally, we consider the latter integral in (5.36):

$$\int_0^\infty G_i(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^2 - \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy \coloneqq \mathcal{I}'_i(n)$$

This is more difficult than the previous case, since now the derivative of the oscillatory phase F(y) in  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  can vanish:

$$F'(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \frac{cn}{8\pi m} y^2 - \omega \left( \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} y \right) \right\} = \frac{cn}{4\pi m} y - \left( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

But clearly if n is large enough, F'(y) must be also be large. Indeed, suppose  $n \ge 1000\sqrt{mx}/k$ . Then, for  $1 \le t \le 2$  and  $y \ge k/10$  (in the range of integration) one has

$$F'(y) = \frac{cn}{4\pi m} y - \left(\frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{1/2} \ge \frac{cnk}{40\pi m} - \frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}} \ge \frac{cnk}{m} \left(\frac{1}{40\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1000}\right) \gg \frac{cnk}{m}.$$

Using this estimate (and also (5.42) and (5.45)), we may apply Lemma 2.6 with  $\alpha = k/10$ ,  $\beta = \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,  $X = x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6}$ , U = 1, R = cnk/m,  $Y = cnk^2/m$  and Q = k, we obtain

(5.52) 
$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) = \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{i}(y) \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{cn}{8\pi m}y^{2} - \omega\left(\frac{c\sqrt{xt}}{\sqrt{m}}y\right)\right)\right\} dy \\ \ll_{B} \Delta^{2} x^{-7/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} \left\{\left(\frac{cnk^{2}}{m}\right)^{-B/2} + \left(\frac{cnk}{m}\right)^{-B}\right\},$$

valid for any integer  $B \ge 0$ . Using (5.49) (which states  $x/m \ge k^{2/3}$ ) one has for  $n \ge 1000\sqrt{mx/k}$  that  $cnk^2/m \ge cnk/m \gg cx^{1/2}/m^{1/2} \gg k^{1/3}$ . Taking B large enough, we concludes from (5.52) that  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n) \ll n^{-2}k^{-1100}$ . Thus these  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  with  $n \ge 1000\sqrt{mx/k}$  contribute  $\ll k^{-1000}$  to  $S_i$ .

(iv) Finally, we show that the integrals  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  are also negligible when n is very small. Indeed, if  $n \leq m^{1/2} x^{3/2} / (10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon})$  then using (5.39) and our assumption  $y \leq 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon} / x$  we obtain

$$|F'(y)| \ge \left| \left( \frac{c^2 x t}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{cn}{4\pi m} y \right| \ge \frac{1}{2} \frac{c x^{1/2} t^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} - \frac{cn}{4\pi m} \frac{10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x} \ge \frac{c x^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \right) \gg c \left( \frac{x}{m} \right)^{1/2} \frac{c^2 x^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} + \frac{c^2 x^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} + \frac{c^2 x^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \frac{c$$

Using this estimate together with (5.42) and (5.45) as before, we are able to apply Lemma 2.6 with  $\alpha = k/10$ ,  $\beta = \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ ,  $X = x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6}$ , U = 1,  $R = c\sqrt{x/m}$ ,  $Y = cnk^2/m$  and Q = k. This provides the bound

(5.53) 
$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) \ll_{B} \Delta^{2} x^{-7/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} \left\{ \left(\frac{cx}{n}\right)^{-B/2} + \left(\frac{c^{2}x}{m}\right)^{-B/2} \right\},$$

valid for any integer  $B \ge 0$ . Since  $m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ , we have

$$n \le \frac{m^{1/2} x^{3/2}}{10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}} \implies \frac{cx}{n} \ge \frac{10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{m^{1/2} x^{1/2}} \ge 10\Delta k^{\epsilon/2}.$$

Because  $c^2 x/m \ge k^{2/3}$  is also large (see (5.49)), taking *B* sufficiently large in (5.53) shows that those  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  with  $n \le m^{1/2} x^{3/2}/(10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon})$  contribute  $\ll k^{-1000}$  to  $S_i$ .

24

*Remark.* We record the following useful facts from the above proof. Firstly, we established in (5.45) that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  satisfy

(5.54) 
$$G_i^{(j)}(y) \ll_j x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2...$$

Considering the phase F(y), we showed (see (5.37) and (5.38))

(5.55) 
$$F'(y) = \frac{cn}{4\pi m}y - \left(\frac{c^2xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

and

(5.56) 
$$F''(y) = \frac{cn}{4\pi m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^3} \left(\frac{c^2 xt}{m} - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

Finally, we showed (see (5.41) and (5.42)) that under the condition  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ , for  $k/10 \leq y \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$  in the region of integration

(5.57) 
$$F''(y) \approx \frac{cn}{m}$$
, and  $F^{(j)}(y) \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} y^{2-j}$  for  $j = 2, 3, ...$ 

It remains to bound the contribution of the  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  with  $m^{1/2}x^{3/2}/(10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}) \leq n \leq 1000\sqrt{mx}/k$ . These integrals are not negligible, since in this case a larger contribution appears from the stationary phase. The analysis is therefore more involved.

Let  $y_0 = y_0(n)$  be the stationary phase of F in the region of integration, i.e.  $y_0$  satisfies  $F'(y_0) = 0$  and  $y_0 \in [k/10, 10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x]$ . From (5.55), one finds

$$y_0^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{16\pi^2 mxt}{n^2} \pm \left( \left( \frac{16\pi^2 mxt}{n^2} \right)^2 - \frac{64\pi^2 m^2 \kappa^2}{c^2 n^2} \right)^{1/2} \right\} = \frac{8\pi^2 mxt}{n^2} \left( 1 \pm \left( 1 - \frac{\kappa^2 n^2}{4\pi^2 c^2 x^2 t^2} \right)^{1/2} \right).$$
  
Since  $m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon} / x$  and  $1 \le t \le 2$ , if  $n \le 1000\sqrt{mx} / k$  then

$$\frac{\kappa^2 n^2}{4\pi^2 c^2 x^2 t^2} \le \frac{10^6}{4\pi^2} \frac{m}{c^2 x t^2} \ll \frac{\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{x^2} = o(1),$$

under the standing assumption  $\Delta \leq x^{1-\epsilon}$ , say. So a Taylor expansion shows

$$\left(1 - \frac{\kappa^2 n^2}{4\pi^2 c^2 x^2 t^2}\right)^{1/2} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2 n^2}{c^2 x^2}\right) \implies y_0^2 = \frac{16\pi^2 m x t}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2 m}{c^2 x}\right), \text{ or } y_0^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2 m}{c^2 x}\right).$$

But using  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon} / x$  and  $\Delta \leq x^{1-\epsilon}$ , we observe

$$\frac{k^2m}{c^2x} \le \frac{\Delta^2 k^{2+\epsilon}}{x^2} \le k^{2+\epsilon} x^{-2\epsilon} < \left(\frac{k}{10}\right)^2.$$

So  $y_0^2 = \mathcal{O}(k^2 m/(c^2 x))$  is impossible, since we assume  $y_0 \ge k/10$  (so that  $y_0$  lies in the region of integration). It follows

$$y_0^2 = \frac{16\pi^2 mxt}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{k^2 m}{c^2 x}\Big) \iff y_0 = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{k^2 n m^{1/2}}{c^2 x^{3/2}}\Big)$$

We bound the error term above by using that  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ , and assuming  $n \leq 1000\sqrt{mx}/k$ . From this, we conclude

(5.58) 
$$y_0 = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{k^{1+\epsilon}\Delta^2}{x^2}\Big).$$

We will show that the only significant contribution to the integrals  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  comes from a neighbourhood of  $y_0$ . To this end, we now introduce a smooth partition of unity in the following lemma. This result is standard, and similar to (for example) [5, Lemme 2].

**Lemma 5.10** (A Smooth Partition of Unity). Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and L > 0. There exists a sequence of real-valued smooth functions  $(b_l^{L,\alpha})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfying the following.

- (i) For any  $\xi$ ,  $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} b_l^{L,\alpha}(\xi) = 1$ .
- (ii) For any  $l \ge 1$  we have  $\operatorname{supp} b_l^{L,\alpha} = [\alpha + 2^{l-1}L, \alpha + 2^{l+1}L]$ , and for any  $l \le -1$  we have  $\operatorname{supp} b_l^{L,\alpha} = [\alpha 2^{|l|+1}L, \alpha 2^{|l|-1}L]$ . Finally, for l = 0 we have  $\operatorname{supp} b_0^{L,\alpha} = [\alpha 2L, \alpha + 2L]$ .

(iii) For a non-negative integer j and any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\frac{d^j}{d\xi^j} b_l^{L,\alpha}(\xi) \ll_j 2^{-j|l|} L^{-j}$ .

*Proof.* One can construct a smooth transition function  $h : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying h(0) = 0, h(1) = 1, and  $\lim_{\xi \to 0^+} h^{(j)}(\xi) = \lim_{\xi \to 1^-} h^{(j)}(\xi) = 0$  for any  $j \ge 0$ . Equipped with such a h, define

$$b_0^{L,\alpha}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \xi \le \alpha - 2L \\ 1 - h\left(\frac{\alpha - \xi}{L} - 1\right) & \text{if } \alpha - 2L \le \xi \le \alpha - L, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha - L \le \xi \le \alpha + L, \\ 1 - h\left(\frac{\xi - \alpha}{L} - 1\right) & \text{if } \alpha + L \le \xi \le \alpha + 2L, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha + 2L \le \xi. \end{cases}$$

For  $l \geq 1$  we define

$$b_l^{L,\alpha}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \xi \le \alpha + 2^{l-1}L, \\ h\left(\frac{\xi - \alpha}{2^{l-1}L} - 1\right) & \text{if } \alpha + 2^{l-1}L \le \xi \le \alpha + 2^lL, \\ 1 - h\left(\frac{\xi - \alpha}{2^lL} - 1\right) & \text{if } \alpha + 2^lL \le \xi \le \alpha + 2^{l+1}L, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha + 2^{l+1}L \le \xi. \end{cases}$$

Finally, for  $l \leq -1$  we define

$$b_{l}^{L,\alpha}(\xi) = b_{-l}^{L,\alpha}(2\alpha - \xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \xi \leq \alpha - 2^{|l|+1}L, \\ 1 - h\left(\frac{\alpha - \xi}{2^{|l|}L} - 1\right) & \text{if } \alpha - 2^{|l|+1}L \leq \xi \leq \alpha - 2^{|l|}L, \\ h\left(\frac{\alpha - \xi}{2^{|l|-1}L} - 1\right) & \text{if } \alpha - 2^{|l|}L \leq \xi \leq \alpha - 2^{|l|-1}L, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha - 2^{|l|-1}L \leq \xi. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the properties (i) and (iii) hold for this choice of  $(b_l^{L,\alpha})_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ .

We use this construction to split the range of integration in  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  into intervals surrounding the stationary point  $y_0$ . We now set  $L = L(n) = k^{\epsilon} \max\{1, m/(cn)\}$ , and write

$$(5.59) \quad \mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{l}^{L,y_{0}}(y)\Big) G_{i}(y) e^{iF(y)} dy = \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} b_{0}^{L,y_{0}}(y) G_{i}(y) e^{iF(y)} dy \\ + \sum_{l \ge 1} \int_{y_{0}+2^{l-1}L}^{y_{0}+2^{l+1}L} b_{l}^{L,y_{0}}(y) G_{i}(y) e^{iF(y)} dy + \sum_{l \le -1} \int_{y_{0}-2^{|l|+1}L}^{y_{0}-2^{|l|-1}L} b_{l}^{L,y_{0}}(y) G_{i}(y) e^{iF(y)} dy.$$

In the following lemma, we show that all but the l = 0 term is negligible.

**Lemma 5.11.** Let L be as above, and assume  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . Then for i = 1, 2 we have

$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) = \int_{y_0 - 2L}^{y_0 + 2L} b_0^{L, y_0}(y) G_i(y) e^{iF(y)} dy + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1000})$$

*Proof.* For i = 1, 2, we apply Lemma 2.6 to bound the  $l \neq 0$  terms appearing in (5.59), which are:

$$\int_0^\infty b_l^{L,y_0}(y)G_i(y)e^{iF(y)}dy$$

From the estimate  $\frac{d^j}{dy^j} b_l^{L,y_0}(y) \ll_j 2^{-j|l|} L^{-j}$  and (5.54), we deduce

(5.60) 
$$\frac{d^{j}}{dy^{j}} \{ b_{l}^{L,y_{0}}(y) G_{i}(y) \} \ll_{j} x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6}, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From (5.57), we also have

(5.61) 
$$F^{(j)}(y) \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} y^{2-j} \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots, \text{ and } F''(y) \asymp \frac{cn}{m} \implies F'(y) \asymp \frac{cn}{m} |y - y_0|.$$

Equipped with (5.60) and (5.61), and noting that  $|y - y_0| \simeq 2^{|l|}L$  for  $y \in \operatorname{supp} b_l^{L,y_0}$  (provided  $l \neq 0$ ), we are ready to apply Lemma 2.6. We take  $[\alpha, \beta]$  to be the interval  $\operatorname{supp} b_l^{L,y_0}$  (so that  $\beta - \alpha \simeq 2^{|l|}L$ ), and take  $X = x^{-3/4}k^{-5/6}$ , U = 1,  $R = cn2^{|l|}L/m$ ,  $Y = cn\alpha^2/m$  and  $Q = \alpha$  (where  $\alpha \simeq \beta$  is the infimum of  $\operatorname{supp} b_l^{L,y_0}$ ). This yields

$$\int_0^\infty b_l^{L,y_0}(y)G_i(y)e^{iF(y)}dy \ll_B 2^{|l|}Lx^{-3/4}k^{-5/6}\left\{2^{-|l|B}\left(\left(\frac{cn}{m}L^2\right)^{-B/2} + \left(\frac{cn}{m}L\right)^{-B}\right)\right\}$$

valid for any non-negative integer *B*. But with the above choice of *L*, one always has  $cnL^2/m > cnL/m \ge k^{\epsilon}$ . So by taking *B* large enough, the contribution of the integrals with  $l \ne 0$  to (5.59) is seen to be  $\ll k^{-1000}$ .

*Remark.* From now on, we will denote  $b = b_0^{L,y_0}$  for simplicity.

Our final task is to bound the integrals appearing in Lemma 5.11. We consider three cases separately, based on the value of n, or equivalently  $y_0(n)$ . The first (and easiest) is the case where the region of integration  $[y_0(n) - 2L, y_0(n) + 2L]$  lies in the region in which the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(y)$  is negligibly small. Secondly, we consider the case in which  $y_0(n)$  is close to the transition of the Bessel function. The final case is that in which the region of integration lies within the oscillatory regime of the Bessel function.

First recall  $L = k^{\epsilon} \max\{1, m/(cn)\} \le k^{\epsilon}(1 + m/(cn))$ . Note that for  $n \ge m^{1/2}x^{3/2}/(10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon})$  in the range of summation, using  $m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$  and assuming  $\Delta \le x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$  we have

(5.62) 
$$L = L(n) \le k^{\epsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{10m^{1/2}\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}}{cx^{3/2}} \right) \ll k^{\epsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta^3 k^{3\epsilon/2}}{cx^2} \right) \ll k^{1-\epsilon/2}$$

We also remark that assuming  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ , the error term in (5.58) is  $k^{1+\epsilon}\Delta^2/x^2 \leq (k^2/x)^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon} \ll k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . Thus for  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$  and  $n \leq 1000\sqrt{mx}/k$ , (5.58) shows

(5.63) 
$$y_0 = y_0(n) = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + \mathcal{O}(k^{1/3-\epsilon}) \sim \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} \gg k$$

In particular, combining (5.62) and (5.63) shows that for  $y_0 - 2L \le y \le y_0 + 2L$  in the region of integration of  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$ , one has  $y = y_0 + \mathcal{O}(L) \asymp y_0$ .

**Lemma 5.12.** Assume  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . For  $m^{1/2}x^{3/2}/(10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}) \leq n \leq 1000\sqrt{mx}/k$  and i = 1, 2 we have the following bounds.

- (i) If  $y_0(n) + 2L \le k k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , then  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n) \ll e^{-k^{\epsilon}}$ .
- (ii) If  $y_0(n) + 2L \ge k k^{1/3+\epsilon}$  and  $y_0(n) 10L \le k + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , then

$$\mathcal{I}'_i(n) \ll x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} + x^{-5/4} k^{1/6+\epsilon} c^{-1} m^{1/2}$$

(*iii*) If  $y_0(n) - 10L \ge k + k^{1/3 + \epsilon}$ , then

$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) \ll x^{-9/8} k^{-1/4} c^{-1/2} m^{1/8} n^{1/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-1/4} + x^{-5/8} k^{-9/4} m^{1/8} n^{2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-9/4} dx^{-1/4} dx$$

*Proof.* Parts (i) and (ii) are relatively simple. For both of these, we use the trivial bound for the integrals  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  (given in Lemma 5.11), which shows

(5.64) 
$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) \leq \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} |b(y)G_{i}(y)| dy \ll L \max_{y \in [y_{0}-2L, y_{0}+2L]} |G_{i}(y)|.$$

It follows from the definitions (5.29) and (5.30) (cf. (5.54)) that for i = 1, 2 and  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  (these y satisfy  $y \simeq y_0 \gg k$ , see (5.62) and (5.63)),

(5.65) 
$$G_i(y) \ll x^{-3/4} k^{-1/2} |J_{k-1}(y)|.$$

For part (i), (2.3) shows  $J_{k-1}(y) \ll e^{-k^{\epsilon}}$  for  $y \leq y_0 + 2L \leq k - k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ . So we conclude from (5.64) and (5.65) that

$$\mathcal{I}_i'(n) \ll L x^{-3/4} k^{-1/2} e^{-k^\epsilon} \ll e^{-k^\epsilon},$$

as claimed (since we assume  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and  $L \ll k^{1-\epsilon/2}$  by (5.62)).

For part (ii), we instead use the bound  $J_{k-1}(y) \ll k^{-1/3}$  given in (2.4) (which is sharp in the transition regime). In this case, (5.64) and (5.65) therefore show

(5.66) 
$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) \ll x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6} L \ll x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{m}{cn}\right).$$

Using that  $y_0 \approx \sqrt{mx}/n$  from (5.63) and  $L \ll k^{1-\epsilon/2} = o(y_0)$  from (5.62), we have

$$y_0 - 10L \le k + k^{1/3 + \epsilon} \implies \frac{\sqrt{mx}}{n} \ll k \implies \frac{m}{cn} \ll \frac{km^{1/2}}{cx^{1/2}}$$

So the required bound follows from (5.66) and the preceding estimate.

Part (iii) corresponds to the case where the region of integration is entirely contained in the oscillatory regime of the Bessel function  $J_{k-1}(y)$ , and is considerably more involved. We first replace the Bessel functions by the asymptotic (2.5) of Lemma 2.1, which is available in this regime. For  $y \ge k + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , (2.5) states

$$(5.67) \quad J_{k-1}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \cos \omega(y) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2 - \kappa^2}\right) \sin \omega(y) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{y^4}{(y^2 - \kappa^2)^{13/4}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{8i} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} + \frac{5}{24i} \kappa^2 (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/2}\right) e^{i\omega(y)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{8i} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} - \frac{5}{24i} \kappa^2 (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/2}\right) e^{-i\omega(y)} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{y^4}{(y^2 - \kappa^2)^{13/4}}\right) dx$$

Replacing this in the expressions (5.29) and (5.30) for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  (given in Lemma 5.8), we have from Lemma 5.11 that

$$(5.68) \quad \mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) = \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} b(y)G_{i}(y)e^{iF(y)}dy + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1000}) \\ = \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} G_{i+}(y)e^{i(F(y)+\omega(y))}dy + \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} G_{i-}(y)e^{i(F(y)-\omega(y))}dy \\ + \mathcal{O}\Big(\int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} |b(y)g(y)x^{-3/4}y^{-1/2}| \cdot y^{4}(y^{2}-k^{2})^{-13/4}dy\Big) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1000}),$$

where the functions  $G_{i\pm}$  are given by

$$\begin{split} G_{1+}(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} c^{3/2} m^{-3/4} b(y) g(y) y \Big( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} y^2 - \kappa^2 \Big)^{-3/4} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \\ & \left( 1 + \frac{1}{8i} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} + \frac{5}{24i} \kappa^2 (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/2} \right), \\ G_{1-}(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} c^{3/2} m^{-3/4} b(y) g(y) y \Big( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} y^2 - \kappa^2 \Big)^{-3/4} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \\ & \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8i} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} - \frac{5}{24i} \kappa^2 (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/2} \right), \\ G_{2+}(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} c^{7/2} m^{-7/4} x b(y) g(y) y^3 \Big( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} y^2 - \kappa^2 \Big)^{-7/4} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \\ & \left( 1 + \frac{1}{8i} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} + \frac{5}{24i} \kappa^2 (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/2} \right), \\ G_{2-}(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} c^{7/2} m^{-7/4} x b(y) g(y) y^3 \Big( \frac{c^2 xt}{m} y^2 - \kappa^2 \Big)^{-7/4} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \\ & \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8i} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} - \frac{5}{24i} \kappa^2 (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-3/2} \right). \end{split}$$

We now bound the error term in (5.68). Since the smoothing functions b and g are bounded (by their construction), this is

(5.69)

$$\int_{y_0-2L}^{y_0+2L} |b(y)g(y)x^{-3/4}y^{-1/2}| \cdot y^4 (y^2 - k^2)^{-13/4} dy \ll x^{-3/4} \int_{y_0-2L}^{y_0+2L} y^{7/2} (y+k)^{-13/4} (y-k)^{-13/4} dy \\ \ll x^{-3/4} (y_0+2L)^{7/2} (y_0-2L+k)^{-13/4} \int_{y_0-2L}^{y_0+2L} (y-k)^{-13/4} dy \\ \ll x^{-3/4} y_0^{1/4} (y_0-2L-k)^{-9/4}.$$

In the last step, we used  $y_0 \approx y_0 + 2L \approx y_0 - 2L + k$  for n in the range  $m^{1/2} x^{3/2} / (10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}) \leq n \leq 1000 \sqrt{mx}/k$  (see (5.62) and (5.63)). Additionally, using (5.63) we have

$$\left| (y_0 - 2L - k) - \left( \frac{4\pi \sqrt{mxt}}{n} - k \right) \right| \le 2L + \left| y_0 - \frac{4\pi \sqrt{mxt}}{n} \right| \le 2L + \mathcal{O}(k^{1/3 - \epsilon}).$$

Since for part (iii) we assume  $y_0 - 2L - k \ge 8L + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , it follows  $(y_0 - 2L - k) \asymp (4\pi \sqrt{mxt}/n - k)$ . Consequently (5.69) is

$$\ll x^{-3/4} y_0^{1/4} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} - k\right)^{-9/4} \ll x^{-5/8} k^{-9/4} m^{1/8} n^2 \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-9/4} dx^{-9/4} d$$

(We also used  $y_0 \approx \sqrt{mx}/n$ , see (5.63).) Replacing this error bound in (5.68), we have established

$$(5.70) \quad \mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) = \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} G_{i+}(y) e^{i(F(y)+\omega(y))} dy + \int_{y_{0}-2L}^{y_{0}+2L} G_{i-}(y) e^{i(F(y)-\omega(y))} dy \\ + \mathcal{O}\Big(x^{-5/8}k^{-9/4}m^{1/8}n^{2}\Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k}-n\Big)^{-9/4}\Big).$$

The final task is to bound the oscillatory integrals appearing in (5.70). This will be done using Lemma 2.7. In order to apply this, we first require a bound for the derivatives of the functions  $G_{i\pm}$ . This follows relatively straightforwardly from the (above) definitions. Indeed, firstly one recalls that for any  $j \ge 0$ , we have  $b^{(j)}(y) \ll_j L^{-j}$  and  $g^{(j)}(y) \ll_j y^{-j}$  (by construction). Secondly, if  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  then  $y \asymp y_0$  (see (5.62) and (5.63)). For these y, the bound  $c^2xt/m \ge x/m \ge k^{2/3}$  (valid for  $m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x, c \ge 1, 1 \le t \le 2$  and  $\Delta \le x^{2/3} k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ , see (5.49)) also shows  $(c^2xty^2/m - \kappa^2) \asymp c^2xy_0^2/m$ . For  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  and  $j \ge 0$  one now computes

$$\frac{d^{j}}{dy^{j}} \left(\frac{c^{2}xt}{m}y^{2} - \kappa^{2}\right)^{-3/4} \ll_{j} y^{-j} \left(\frac{c^{2}xt}{m}y^{2} - \kappa^{2}\right)^{-3/4} \ll_{j} \left(\frac{c^{2}x}{m}\right)^{-3/4} y_{0}^{-3/2-j},$$
  
and similarly  $\frac{d^{j}}{dy^{j}} \left(\frac{c^{2}xt}{m}y^{2} - \kappa^{2}\right)^{-7/4} \ll_{j} y^{-j} \left(\frac{c^{2}xt}{m}y^{2} - \kappa^{2}\right)^{-7/4} \ll_{j} \left(\frac{c^{2}x}{m}\right)^{-7/4} y_{0}^{-7/2-j}.$ 

Finally, for  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  and  $j \ge 0$  we have

$$\frac{d^j}{dy^j}(y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \ll_j \left(\frac{y}{y^2 - \kappa^2}\right)^j (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/4} \ll_j y_0^{-1/4} (y_0 - k)^{-1/4-j}.$$

In the last step, we used that  $y - \kappa \approx y_0 - k$ , which follows from our assumption  $y_0 - k \geq 10L + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$  and the fact that  $|y - y_0| \leq 2L$  in this range of y. The above calculations show that upon differentiating  $G_{i\pm}$ , we save at least L each time (note  $L \ll y_0 - k$  by our assumption  $y_0 - k \geq 10L + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ ). In other words (assuming  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ ) we obtain for  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  and integers  $j \geq 0$  the bound

(5.71) 
$$\frac{d^j}{dy^j}G_{i\pm}(y) \ll_j x^{-3/4} y_0^{-3/4} (y_0 - k)^{-1/4} L^{-j}.$$

We next turn our attention to the new oscillatory phase. Set

$$F_{\pm}(y) = F(y) \pm \omega(y).$$

It turns out that despite the extra  $\omega(y)$  term,  $F_{\pm}$  behaves essentially the same as F on the interval  $[y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$ . Indeed, by (2.7) one has

(5.72) 
$$F'_{\pm}(y) = F'(y) \pm \left(1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}\right)^{1/2} = F'(y) + \mathcal{O}(1),$$

Furthermore, by (2.8)

(5.73) 
$$F''_{\pm}(y) = F''(y) \pm \frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2}$$

Recall from (5.57) that  $F''(y) \approx cn/m$ . Under our assumption  $y_0 - k \geq k^{1/3+\epsilon} + 10L$ , for  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  one has  $y - k \approx y_0 - k \gg k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , which implies

$$\frac{\kappa^2}{y^2}(y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} \ll \frac{\kappa^2}{y_0^{5/2}}(y_0 - k)^{-1/2} \ll \left(\frac{k}{y_0}\right)^{3/2} y_0^{-1} k^{1/2} (y_0 - k)^{-1/2} \ll y_0^{-1} k^{1/3 - \epsilon/2}$$

On the other hand, using (5.63) (which gives  $y_0 \approx \sqrt{mx}/n$ ) and the standing assumptions  $x \geq k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ , the assumption  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3} k^{1/3-\epsilon}$  of the lemma implies

$$\frac{cn}{m} \approx \frac{cx^{1/2}}{y_0 m^{1/2}} \gg \frac{x}{y_0 \Delta k^{\epsilon/2}} \gg y_0^{-1} x^{1/3} k^{-1/3 + \epsilon/2} \gg y_0^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{k^2}\right)^{1/3} k^{1/3 + \epsilon/2} \gg y_0^{-1} k^{1/3 + \epsilon/2}.$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we obtain  $\kappa^2 y^{-2} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} = o(cn/m)$ . So from (5.57) and (5.73), we conclude

(5.74) 
$$F''_{\pm}(y) \asymp F''(y) \asymp \frac{cn}{m}$$

Differentiating repeatedly, we obtain that for  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  and j > 2,

$$F_{\pm}^{(j)}(y) = F^{(j)}(y) + \frac{d^{j-2}}{dy^{j-2}} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{y^2} (y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2}\right) \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} y^{2-j} + \frac{k^2}{y^2} (y+k)^{-1/2} (y-k)^{-1/2-(j-2)}.$$

Here we used the bound (5.57) for  $F^{(j)}(y)$ . Since  $\kappa^2 y^{-2}(y^2 - \kappa^2)^{-1/2} = o(cn/m)$ , for  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  (satisfying  $y - k \asymp y_0 - k$ ) we have

(5.75) 
$$F^{(j)}(y) \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} (y^{2-j} + (y-k)^{2-j}) \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} (y_0 - k)^{2-j}, \text{ for } j = 2, 3, 4...$$

We also deduce that  $F_{\pm}$  has a single stationary point in the interval  $[y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$ . Indeed,  $F''_{\pm}$  does not change sign on this interval, since  $F''_{\pm} \approx cn/m$  for all  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$  by (5.74). Thus  $F'_{\pm}$  is monotonic on this interval. Moreover, the mean value theorem shows

(5.76) 
$$F'(y) = (y - y_0)F''(y_0 + \xi), \text{ for some } \xi = \xi(y) \in \begin{cases} [0, y - y_0] & \text{if } y \ge y_0, \\ [y - y_0, 0] & \text{if } y \le y_0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, by (5.72)

$$F'_{\pm}(y_0 + 2L) = F'(y_0 + 2L) + \mathcal{O}(1) = 2LF''(y_0 + \xi_+) + \mathcal{O}(1)$$
  
and  $F'_{\pm}(y_0 - 2L) = -2LF''(y_0 - \xi_-) + \mathcal{O}(1)$ , for some  $0 \le \xi_+, \xi_- \le 2L$ .

Now since  $\pm 2LF''(y_0 + \xi_{\pm}) \approx cnL/m \gg k^{\epsilon}$  (by (5.74) and the fact  $L = k^{\epsilon} \max(1, m/(cn))$ ), we deduce that  $F'_{\pm}$  has a unique zero in this interval, call this  $y_{0\pm}$ .

Finally, if  $y \in [y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$ , from (5.74) and (5.76) we obtain the derivative bound

$$F'(y) \ll |y - y_0||F''(y + \xi(y))| \ll \frac{cnL}{m} \implies F'_{\pm}(y) = F'(y) + \mathcal{O}(1) \ll \frac{cnL}{m} \ll \frac{cn}{m}(y_0 - k).$$

In the last step, we used (5.72) and our assumption  $y_0 - k \ge 10L + k^{1/3+\epsilon} \gg L$ . Thus we can extend (5.75) to the case j = 1 also. In other words

(5.77) 
$$F_{\pm}^{(j)}(y) \ll_j \frac{cn}{m} (y_0 - k)^{2-j}$$
, valid for  $j = 1, 2, ...$ 

Equipped with the estimates (5.71), (5.74) and (5.77) and the fact that  $F'_{\pm}$  has a unique zero  $y_{0\pm}$  in  $[y_0 - 2L, y_0 + 2L]$ , we now apply Lemma 2.7 with  $\alpha = y_0 - 2L$ ,  $\beta = y_0 + 2L$ ,

 $X = x^{-3/4}y_0^{-3/4}(y_0 - k)^{-1/4}$ , V = L,  $Y = cn(y_0 - k)^2/m$  and  $Q = y_0 - k$ . In this case,  $Z = Q + (\beta - \alpha) + X + Y + 1$  clearly satisfies  $k^{\epsilon} \leq Z \leq k^{100}$ , say, so to check the conditions (2.17) it suffices to find an  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$Y \ge k^{\eta}$$
 and  $\frac{VY^{1/2}}{Q} \ge k^{\eta}$ .

Since we assume  $y_0 - k \ge 10L + k^{1/3+\epsilon} \ge L$ , we have

$$Y = \frac{cn}{m}(y_0 - k)^2 \ge \frac{cn}{m}L^2$$
, and  $\frac{VY^{1/2}}{Q} = \left(\frac{cn}{m}\right)^{1/2}L.$ 

Since  $L = k^{\epsilon} \max\{1, m/(cn)\}$ , we have  $(cn/m)^{1/2}L = k^{\epsilon} \max\{(cn/m)^{1/2}, (cn/m)^{-1/2}\} \ge k^{\epsilon}$ , so the conditions required in (2.17) of Lemma 2.7 are easily satisfied. We thus conclude the bound

$$\int_{y_0-2L}^{y_0+2L} G_{i\pm}(y) e^{iF_{\pm}(y)} dy \ll x^{-3/4} y_0^{-3/4} (y_0-k)^{-1/4} c^{-1/2} m^{1/2} n^{-1/2} + k^{-1000}.$$

Recall (5.63) states  $y_0 = 4\pi \sqrt{mxt}/n + \mathcal{O}(k^{1/3-\epsilon}) \approx \sqrt{mx}/n$ . Since  $y_0 - k \ge 10L + k^{1/3+\epsilon} \ge k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , it follows  $y_0 - k \approx 4\pi \sqrt{mxt}/n - k$ . Therefore the above bound shows

$$\int_{y_0-2L}^{y_0+2L} G_{i\pm}(y) e^{iF_{\pm}(y)} dy \ll x^{-3/4} \left(\frac{\sqrt{mx}}{n}\right)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} - k\right)^{-1/4} c^{-1/2} m^{1/2} n^{-1/2} \\ \ll x^{-9/8} k^{-1/4} c^{-1/2} m^{1/8} n^{1/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-1/4}.$$

Part (iii) now follows from (5.70).

Finally, we apply the previous lemma to deduce the following bound for the sums  $S_i$ .

**Lemma 5.13.** Assume  $\Delta \leq x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . Then for i = 1, 2 one has

$$\begin{split} S_i \ll x^{-1/4} k^{-13/6-\epsilon} c^{1/2} m^{1/4} + x^{-1/2} k^{-3/2} m^{1/2} + x^{-3/4} k^{-3/2+2\epsilon} c^{-1/2} m^{3/4} \\ &+ x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} c^{1/2} m^{-1/4} + x^{-5/4} k^{1/6+\epsilon} c^{-1/2} m^{1/4} + x^{-5/4} k^{-5/6+2\epsilon} c^{-3/2} m^{5/4}. \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Recall from Lemma 5.9 that

$$S_i \ll c^{1/2} m^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{\frac{m^{1/2} x^{3/2}}{10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}} \le n \le \frac{1000\sqrt{mx}}{k}}} |\mathcal{I}'_i(n)| + k^{-1000}$$

We now apply the bounds of Lemma 5.12. To do so, we must split the sum over n into three parts based on the value of  $y_0(n)$  - recall from (5.63)

(5.78) 
$$y_0 = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + \mathcal{O}(k^{1/3-\epsilon}) \implies \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} - k^{1/3} \le y_0 \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + k^{1/3}$$

Firstly, we can see from part (i) of Lemma 5.12 that all terms with  $y_0 + 2L \leq k - k^{1/3+\epsilon}$  contribute  $\ll e^{-k^{\epsilon}/2}$ , say, which is negligible. (There are  $\leq 1000\sqrt{mx}/k$  of these terms, all of which are  $\ll c^{1/2}m^{-1/4}e^{-k^{\epsilon}}$ .)

We next consider the contribution of  $\mathcal{I}'_i(n)$  where

(5.79) 
$$y_0(n) + 2L \ge k - k^{1/3 + \epsilon} \text{ and } y_0(n) - 10L \le k + k^{1/3 + \epsilon}.$$

We first bound the number of n for which (5.79) holds. Since  $L \leq k^{\epsilon}(1 + m/(cn))$ , using (5.78) we first observe

$$y_0 + 2L \ge k - k^{1/3+\epsilon} \implies \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + k^{1/3} + 2k^{\epsilon}\left(1 + \frac{m}{cn}\right) \ge k - k^{1/3+\epsilon}$$
$$\implies \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt} + 2k^{\epsilon}m/c}{n} \ge k - 2k^{1/3+\epsilon} \iff n \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt} + 2k^{\epsilon}m/c}{k - 2k^{1/3+\epsilon}}.$$

Similarly,

$$y_0 - 10L \le k + k^{1/3+\epsilon} \implies \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} - k^{1/3} - 10k^{\epsilon}\left(1 + \frac{m}{cn}\right) \le k + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$$
$$\implies \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt} - 10k^{\epsilon}m/c}{n} \le k + 2k^{1/3+\epsilon} \iff n \ge \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt} - 10k^{\epsilon}m/c}{k + 2k^{1/3+\epsilon}}.$$

Consequently, there are

$$\leq \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt+2k^{\epsilon}m/c}}{k-2k^{1/3+\epsilon}} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt-10k^{\epsilon}m/c}}{k+2k^{1/3+\epsilon}} + 1 \ll x^{1/2}k^{-5/3+\epsilon}m^{1/2} + k^{-1+\epsilon}c^{-1}m + 1$$

integers n satisfying (5.79). For these n, we use the bound from part (ii) of Lemma 5.12. This states

$$\mathcal{I}'_i(n) \ll x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} + x^{-5/4} k^{1/6+\epsilon} c^{-1} m^{1/2} k^{1/6+\epsilon} c^{-1} m^{1/2}$$

We thus conclude that the overall contribution to  $S_i$  from n satisfying (5.79) is

(5.80)

$$\ll c^{1/2} m^{-1/4} (x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} + x^{-5/4} k^{1/6+\epsilon} c^{-1} m^{1/2}) (x^{1/2} k^{-5/3+\epsilon} m^{1/2} + k^{-1+\epsilon} c^{-1} m + 1)$$

$$\ll x^{-1/4} k^{-5/2+2\epsilon} c^{1/2} m^{1/4} + x^{-3/4} k^{-11/6+2\epsilon} c^{-1/2} m^{3/4} + x^{-3/4} k^{-5/6+\epsilon} c^{1/2} m^{-1/4}$$

$$+ x^{-3/4} k^{-3/2+2\epsilon} c^{-1/2} m^{3/4} + x^{-5/4} k^{-5/6+2\epsilon} c^{-3/2} m^{5/4} + x^{-5/4} k^{1/6+\epsilon} c^{-1/2} m^{1/4}.$$

(Note the second term is dominated by the fourth term, so can be ignored.)

Finally, we bound the contribution of the remaining n, for which  $y_0(n) - 10L \ge k + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ . Note (using (5.78))

$$y_0 - 10L \ge k + k^{1/3 + \epsilon} \implies \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{n} + k^{1/3} \ge k + k^{1/3 + \epsilon} \implies n \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k + \frac{1}{2}k^{1/3 + \epsilon}}$$

For these n, we use the bound given in part (iii) of Lemma 5.12. This states

$$\mathcal{I}'_{i}(n) \ll x^{-9/8} k^{-1/4} c^{-1/2} m^{1/8} n^{1/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-1/4} + x^{-5/8} k^{-9/4} m^{1/8} n^{2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-9/4} dx^{-1/4} dx$$

It follows that the overall contribution to  $S_i$  from n satisfying  $y_0(n) - 10L \ge k + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$  is

$$(5.81) \ll c^{1/2} m^{-1/4} \Big( x^{-9/8} k^{-1/4} c^{-1/2} m^{1/8} \sum_{\substack{n \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k + \frac{1}{2}k^{1/3 + \epsilon}}} n^{1/2} \Big( \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n \Big)^{-1/4} + x^{-5/8} k^{-9/4} m^{1/8} \sum_{\substack{n \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k + \frac{1}{2}k^{1/3 + \epsilon}}} n^2 \Big( \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n \Big)^{-9/4} \Big).$$

(We have dropped the restriction  $n \ge m^{1/2} x^{3/2} / (10\Delta^2 k^{\epsilon})$  from these sums at no cost.) It is simple to bound the remaining sums over n. Firstly,

$$\sum_{n \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k+\frac{1}{2}k^{1/3+\epsilon}}} n^{1/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\right)^{-1/4} \ll \int_{1}^{\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k+\frac{1}{2}k^{1/3+\epsilon}}} u^{1/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - u\right)^{-1/4} du$$
$$= \int_{\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - 1}{\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} + v\right)^{1/2} v^{-1/4} dv}$$
$$\ll \left(\frac{\sqrt{mx}}{k}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - 1}{\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - 1}{\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - 1}{\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}$$

Secondly, we easily bound

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k + \frac{1}{2}k^{1/3 + \epsilon}}} n^2 \Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - n\Big)^{-9/4} \ll \Big(\frac{\sqrt{mx}}{k}\Big)^2 \Big(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k} - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mxt}}{k + \frac{1}{2}k^{1/3 + \epsilon}}\Big)^{-5/4} \ll x^{3/8}k^{1/12 - 5\epsilon/4}m^{3/8}.$$

Consequently, the contribution of all n with  $y_0(n) - 10L \ge k + k^{1/3+\epsilon}$ , given in (5.81) is

(5.82) 
$$\ll x^{-1/2}k^{-3/2}m^{1/2} + x^{-1/4}k^{-13/6-\epsilon}c^{1/2}m^{1/4}.$$

Combining the bounds (5.80) and (5.82) for the two (non-negligible) contributions to the sums  $S_i$  (noting also that the first term of (5.80) is dominated by the latter term of (5.82), so can be ignored), we obtain the lemma.

Equipped with this bound for the sums  $S_i$ , the main result of this section (Lemma 5.3) follows straightforwardly from Lemma 5.7.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Recall Lemma 5.7, which states

$$(\text{OD}) \ll x^{5/4} \sum_{c \le 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})} c^{-1} \sum_{m \le \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x} m^{-3/4} \left(\max_{t \in [1,2]} |S_1| + \max_{t \in [1,2]} |S_2|\right) + x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3+2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2}.$$

Lemma 5.13 (which holds uniformly for  $t \in [1, 2]$ ) now yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{OD}) &\ll x k^{-13/6 - \epsilon} \sum_{c} c^{-1/2} \sum_{m} m^{-1/2} + x^{3/4} k^{-3/2} \sum_{c} c^{-1} \sum_{m} m^{-1/4} \\ &+ x^{1/2} k^{-3/2 + 2\epsilon} \sum_{c} c^{-3/2} \sum_{m} 1 + x^{1/2} k^{-5/6 + \epsilon} \sum_{c} c^{-1/2} \sum_{m} m^{-1} + k^{1/6 + \epsilon} \sum_{c} c^{-3/2} \sum_{m} m^{-1/2} \\ &+ k^{-5/6 + 2\epsilon} \sum_{c} c^{-5/2} \sum_{m} m^{1/2} + x^{-5/4} k^{-4/3 + 2\epsilon} \Delta^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_c$  denotes that the sum is taken over  $c \leq 100\Delta^2/(xk^{1-\epsilon})$ , and  $\sum_m$  denotes that the sum is taken over  $m \leq \Delta^2 k^{\epsilon}/x$ . One easily bounds these sums, and obtains

(5.83) (OD) 
$$\ll k^{-8/3}\Delta^2 + k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} + x^{-1/2}k^{-3/2+3\epsilon}\Delta^2 + k^{-4/3+2\epsilon}\Delta + x^{-1/2}k^{1/6+2\epsilon}\Delta + x^{-3/2}k^{-5/6+4\epsilon}\Delta^3 + x^{-5/4}k^{-4/3+2\epsilon}\Delta^{5/2}.$$

We may simplify this expression somewhat, using our assumptions  $x \ge k^2/(8\pi^2)$  and  $x^{1/2} \le \Delta \le x^{2/3}k^{1/3-\epsilon}$ . These imply

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1/2}k^{-3/2+3\epsilon}\Delta^2 \ll x^{-1/6}k^{-4/3+5\epsilon/2}\Delta^{3/2} &= k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} \cdot x^{-1/6}k^{1/6+3\epsilon/2} \ll k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} \\ \text{and } k^{-4/3+2\epsilon}\Delta &\leq x^{-1/4}k^{-4/3+2\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} \ll k^{-11/6+2\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} \ll k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore both the third and fourth error term in (5.83) can be absorbed into the second. We also have

$$x^{-5/4}k^{-4/3+2\epsilon}\Delta^{5/2} \le x^{-3/2}k^{-4/3+2\epsilon}\Delta^3 \le x^{-3/2}k^{-5/6+4\epsilon}\Delta^3$$

so the final error term in (5.83) can be absorbed into the penultimate one. We thus obtain the required bound

(OD) 
$$\ll k^{-8/3}\Delta^2 + k^{-3/2+\epsilon}\Delta^{3/2} + x^{-1/2}k^{1/6+2\epsilon}\Delta + x^{-3/2}k^{-5/6+4\epsilon}\Delta^3.$$

#### NED CARMICHAEL

#### References

- V. Blomer. The average value of divisor sums in arithmetic progressions. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 59(3):275–286, 10 2007.
- [2] V. Blomer, R. Khan, and M. Young. Distribution of mass of holomorphic cusp forms. Duke Mathematical Journal, 162(14):2609–2644, 2013.
- [3] N. Carmichael. The variance of sums of Hecke eigenvalues I. arXiv preprint, 2 2025.
- [4] K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan. On the mean value of the error term for a class of arithmetical functions. Acta Mathematica, 112:41–67, 1964.
- [5] E. Fouvry. Sur le problème des diviseurs de Titchmarsh. Journal f
  ür die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 357:51–76, 1985.
- [6] E. Fouvry, S. Ganguly, E. Kowalski, and P. Michel. Gaussian distribution for the divisor function and Hecke eigenvalues in arithmetic progressions. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 89:979–1014, 2014.
- [7] J. Hafner and A. Ivić. On sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. Enseign. Math., 35:375–382, 01 1989.
- [8] B. Hough. Zero-density estimate for modular form L-functions in weight aspect. Acta Arithmetica, 154(2):187-216, 2012.
- [9] H. Iwaniec. Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms, volume 17 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [10] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski. Analytic Number Theory. Colloquium Publications American Mathematical Society. American Mathematical Society, 2013.
- [11] Y. K. Lau and L. Zhao. On a variance of Hecke eigenvalues in arithmetic progressions. Journal of Number Theory, 132(5):869–887, 2012.
- [12] S. Lester and N. Yesha. On the distribution of the divisor function and Hecke eigenvalues. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 212:443–472, 2016.
- [13] G. Lü. The average value of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms in arithmetic progressions. Journal of Number Theory, 129:488–494, 02 2009.
- [14] H. L. Montgomery. Ten Lectures on the interface between number theory and harmonic analysis, volume 84 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [15] F. W. J. Olver. Asymptotics and Special Functions. A K Peters, 1997.
- [16] R. A. Rankin. Sums of cusp form coefficients. In Automorphic Forms and Analytic Number Theory (Montreal, PQ, 1989), pages 115–121. Univ. Montreal, Montreal, QC, 1990.
- [17] Z. Rudnick and K. Soundararajan. Lower bounds for moments of L-functions: Symplectic and orthogonal examples. In Proceedings of the Bretton Woods Workshop on Multiple Dirichlet Series, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, volume 75. American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [18] A. Walfisz. Uber die koeffizientensummen einiger modulformen. Mathematische Annalen, 108:75–90, 1933.
- [19] G. N. Watson. A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 1942.
- [20] J. Wu. Power sums of Hecke eigenvalues and application. Acta Arithmetica, 137(4):333–344, 2009.
- [21] J. Wu and H. Tang. Fourier coefficients of symmetric power L-functions. Journal of Number Theory, 167:147– 160, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, LONDON, WC2R 2LS, UK *Email address*: ned.carmichael@kcl.ac.uk