

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{N})$ -SATURATED FAREY FRACTIONS

JACK ANDERSON, FLORIN P. BOCA, CRISTIAN COBELI, ALEXANDRU ZAHARESCU

ABSTRACT. We consider the set \mathcal{C}_Q of Farey fractions d/b of order Q with the property that there exists a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of trace at most Q , with positive entries and $a \geq \max\{b, c\}$. We prove that the elements of \mathcal{C}_Q are distributed with respect to the probability measure $(1/\log(4/3))(1/8 - x/4 + 1/(1+x) - 1/(2+x))$ and that $(\mathcal{C}_Q)_Q$ has a limiting gap distribution as $Q \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The monoid

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), a \geq b \geq d \geq 1, a \geq c \geq d \right\}$$

appears naturally in Diophantine approximation. An immediate application of the Euclidean algorithm shows that these matrices can be uniquely expressed as products

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_{2r} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{2r+1} & q_{2r} \\ p_{2r+1} & p_{2r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r, a_i \in \mathbb{N},$$

while c/a and d/b represent consecutive convergents of the numbers of form $[a_1; a_2, \dots, a_{2r}, *]$.

The sets

$$\mathcal{S}_Q := \{M \in \mathcal{S} : \mathrm{Tr} M \leq Q\}$$

play an important role, for instance, in the study of the distribution of reduced quadratic irrationals (which coincide with the periodic points of the Gauss map for regular continued fractions) [4, 13, 18], or of periodic points of the Farey map (see the appendix to [11]). It is known (see [18] for the final result) that

$$\#\mathcal{S}_Q = \frac{\log 2}{2\zeta(2)} Q^2 + O_\varepsilon(Q^{3/2} \log Q),$$

and more generally, for every $\beta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\#\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_Q : \frac{d}{b} \leq \beta \right\} = \frac{\log(1+\beta)}{2\zeta(2)} Q^2 + O_\varepsilon(Q^{3/2} \log Q). \quad (1)$$

In particular, one recovers a version of Pollicott's classical distribution result for reduced quadratic irrationals [17]

$$\lim_Q \frac{\#\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_Q : \frac{d}{b} \leq \beta \right\}}{\#\mathcal{S}_Q} = \int_0^\beta \frac{dx}{(1+x) \log 2}.$$

This paper is concerned with the study of the asymptotic distribution of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{N})$ -saturated Farey fractions of order Q , that we define as the elements of the subset

$$\mathcal{C}_Q := \left\{ \frac{d}{b} : \exists a, c \in \mathbb{N}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_Q \right\}$$

Date: February 5, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B57. Secondary: 11J71, 11K36, 11L05.

Key words and phrases: Farey sequence, $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{N})$ -saturated Farey fractions, asymptotic distribution, gap distribution.

of the Farey set

$$\mathcal{F}_Q := \left\{ \frac{d}{b} : d, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq d \leq b \leq Q, (d, b) = 1 \right\}.$$

The asymptotic distribution of the sets \mathcal{C}_Q is investigated in Section 2, where we prove

Theorem 1. For every $\beta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\#(\mathcal{C}_Q \cap [0, \beta]) = \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left(\frac{\beta(1-\beta)}{8} + \log \left(\frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta} \right) \right) + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}), \quad Q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Corollary 2. $\#\mathcal{C}_Q = \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \log(4/3) + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon})$.

Corollary 3. For every $\beta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lim_Q \frac{\#(\mathcal{C}_Q \cap [0, \beta])}{\#\mathcal{C}_Q} = \int_0^\beta \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{x}{4} + \frac{1}{1+x} - \frac{1}{2+x} \right) \frac{dx}{\log(4/3)}.$$

In particular, this proves that the asymptotic density of \mathcal{C}_Q in \mathcal{F}_Q is given by

$$\lim_Q \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_Q}{\#\mathcal{F}_Q} = \log(4/3).$$

For instance, if $Q = 400$, the ratio is $\#\mathcal{C}_Q/\#\mathcal{F}_Q \approx 0.28704$, because $\#\mathcal{C}_Q = 13973$ and $\#\mathcal{F}_Q = 48679$, while $\log(4/3) \approx 0.28768$.

It is well known that the classical Farey sequence $(\mathcal{F}_Q)_Q$ is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. The spacing statistics of the family of sets $(\mathcal{F}_Q)_Q$ have also been thoroughly analyzed (cf., e.g., [1, 2, 6, 8–10, 14]), even for more geometrically involved analogues, such as in [12, 15, 16, 19]. Although the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{N})$ -saturated Farey fractions are not uniformly distributed, one can investigate the corresponding spacing statistics but problems become more challenging. As a first step in this direction we establish in Section 3 the existence of the limiting gap distribution of $(\mathcal{C}_Q)_Q$, proving

Theorem 4. The gap distribution $\mathcal{G}(\lambda) := \lim_Q \mathcal{G}_Q(\lambda)$, where

$$\mathcal{G}_Q(\lambda) := \frac{\#\{\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \text{ consecutive in } \mathcal{C}_Q : \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \leq \lambda/(\#\mathcal{C}_Q - 1)\}}{\#\mathcal{C}_Q - 1}, \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

exists and can be expressed in explicit formulas that involve the iterates of the map T considered in [6], which acts on the Farey triangle $\Omega := \{(x, y) : 0 < x, y \leq 1, x + y > 1\}$ as

$$T(x, y) = (y, \kappa(x, y)y - x), \quad \text{with } \kappa(x, y) := \left\lfloor \frac{1+x}{y} \right\rfloor. \quad (2)$$




FIGURE 1. The graphs of $\mathcal{G}_{500}(\lambda)$ and $\frac{\mathcal{G}_{500}(\lambda+0.1) - \mathcal{G}_{500}(\lambda)}{0.1}$

Set $A := \log(4/3)/(2\zeta(2))$. When $\lambda \in [0, A]$, we have $\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = 0$. When $\lambda \in (A, 2A]$ a closed form expression of $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$ is obtained at the end of Section 3, via (7).

2. THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF ELEMENTS IN THE SETS \mathcal{S}_Q AND \mathcal{C}_Q

To illustrate our general strategy, we start by reproving the estimate (1) with the weaker error term $O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon})$. Letting \bar{a} denote the multiplicative inverse of $a \pmod{b}$ in the interval $[1, b)$, we have $d = \bar{a}$, $c = (a\bar{a} - 1)/b < a$. We write

$$\#\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_Q : \frac{d}{b} \leq \beta\right\} = \sum_{b \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{b \leq a \leq Q \\ d \leq \min\{\beta b, Q-a\} \\ ad \equiv 1 \pmod{b}}} 1 = S_Q^I(\beta) + S_Q^{II}(\beta),$$

where $S_Q^I(\beta)$ denotes the contribution of $b \leq Q/(1+\beta)$ and $S_Q^{II}(\beta)$ denotes the contribution of $Q/(1+\beta) < b \leq Q$. The set of points (x, y) defined by the inequalities $b \leq x \leq Q$, $0 \leq y \leq \min\{\beta b, Q-x\}$ represents a trapezoid of area $A_{Q,b}^I(\beta) = \beta b(2Q - (2+\beta)b)/2$ when $b \leq Q/(1+\beta)$, respectively a right triangle of area $A_{Q,b}^{II}(\beta) = (Q-b)^2/2$ when $Q/(1+\beta) < b \leq Q$.

Exploiting the Weil-Esternmann bound as in [4, Lemma 3.1] with $q = b$, $\alpha = b$, $\beta = Q$, $f_1(a) = 0$, $f_2(a) = \min\{\beta b, Q-a\}$, and $T = Q^{1/4}$, we find

$$\sum_{\substack{b \leq a \leq Q \\ d \leq \min\{\beta b, Q-a\} \\ ad \equiv 1 \pmod{b}}} 1 = \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} (A_{Q,b}^I(\beta) + A_{Q,b}^{II}(\beta)) + O(\mathcal{E}_b), \quad (3)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_b \ll_\varepsilon QT^{-1} + Tb^{1/2+\varepsilon} + Qb^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{b \leq Q} \mathcal{E}_b \ll_\varepsilon Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}.$$

Summing over b and plugging in (3) the two area formulas we infer:

$$\begin{aligned} \#\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_Q : \frac{d}{b} \leq \beta\right\} &= \sum_{b \leq \frac{Q}{1+\beta}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b} (2Q - (2+\beta)b) + \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} < b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} \frac{(Q-b)^2}{2} + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}) \\ &= \sum_{b \leq \frac{Q}{1+\beta}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b} (2Q - (2+\beta)b) + \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} \leq b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} \frac{(Q-b)^2}{2} + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}) \\ &= -\frac{\beta(2+\beta)}{2} \sum_{b \leq \frac{Q}{1+\beta}} \varphi(b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} \leq b \leq Q} \varphi(b) + \beta Q \sum_{b \leq \frac{Q}{1+\beta}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b} \\ &\quad - Q \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} \leq b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b} + \frac{Q^2}{2} \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} \leq b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

In conjunction with the estimates

$$\sum_{b \leq Q} \varphi(b) = \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} + O(Q \log Q), \quad \sum_{b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b} = \frac{Q}{2\zeta(2)} + O(\log Q),$$

and the less trivial

$$\sum_{b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} = \frac{\log Q}{\zeta(2)} + C + O(Q^{-1} \log Q) \quad \text{for some constant } C,$$

this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \#\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_Q : \frac{d}{b} \leq \beta\right\} &= \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left(-\frac{\beta(2+\beta)}{2(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta} - 1 + \frac{1}{1+\beta} \right) + \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \log \left(\frac{Q}{Q/(1+\beta)} \right) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \log(1+\beta), \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof of (1) with error tem $O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon})$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Matrices in \mathcal{S}_Q can be described as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d} + kb & b \\ \frac{d\bar{d}-1}{b} + kd & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}, & 0 < \bar{d} < b, \\ 0 \leq d \leq b \leq \bar{d} + kb, \\ \bar{d} + kb \geq \frac{d\bar{d}-1}{b} + kd \geq b, \\ d + \bar{d} + kb \leq Q, & k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

While estimating $\#\mathcal{C}_Q$, we must take $k = 1$ in (4). Denoting $f := \bar{d}$, we then require $b + d + \bar{d} \leq Q$ and write

$$\#(\mathcal{C}_Q \cap [0, \beta]) = \sum_{b \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{d \leq \beta b \\ f \leq b \\ d+f \leq Q-b \\ df \equiv 1 \pmod{b}}} 1 = S_Q^I(\beta) + S_Q^{II}(\beta) + S_Q^{III}(\beta) + S_Q^{IV}(\beta),$$

where the notations are as follows: $S_Q^I(\beta)$ denotes the contribution of $b \leq Q/(2+\beta)$, $S_Q^{II}(\beta)$ the contribution of $Q/(2+\beta) < b \leq Q/2$, $S_Q^{III}(\beta)$ the contribution of $Q/2 < b \leq Q/(1+\beta)$, and $S_Q^{IV}(\beta)$ the contribution of $Q/(1+\beta) < b \leq Q$.

The set of points $(x, y) \in [0, \beta b] \times [0, b]$, defined by the inequality $x + y \leq Q - b$ represents:

- (I) the rectangle $[0, \beta b] \times [0, b]$
of area $A_{A,b}^I(\beta) = \beta b^2$ when $b \leq Q/(2+\beta)$;
- (II) the pentagon $\{0 \leq x \leq \beta b, 0 \leq y \leq \min\{b, Q - b - x\}\}$
of area $A_{Q,B}^{II}(\beta)\beta b^2 - ((2+\beta)b - Q)(3b - Q)/2$ when $Q/(2+\beta) \leq b \leq Q/2$;
- (III) the trapezoid $\{0 \leq x \leq \beta b, 0 \leq y \leq Q - b - x\}$
of area $A_{Q,b}^{III}(\beta) = \beta b(2Q - (2+\beta)b)/2$ when $Q/2 \leq b \leq Q/(1+\beta)$;
- (IV) the triangle $\{0 \leq x \leq \beta b, 0 \leq y \leq Q - b - x\}$
of area $A_{Q,b}^{IV}(\beta) = (Q - b)^2/2$ when $Q/(1+\beta) \leq b \leq Q$.

As in the proof of (3), we derive¹

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\mathcal{C}_Q \cap [0, \beta]) &= \sum_{b \leq \frac{Q}{2+\beta}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} A_{Q,b}^I(\beta) + \sum_{\frac{Q}{2+\beta} \leq b \leq \frac{Q}{2}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} A_{Q,b}^{II}(\beta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\frac{Q}{2} \leq b \leq \frac{Q}{1+\beta}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} A_{Q,b}^{III}(\beta) + \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} \leq b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} A_{Q,b}^{IV}(\beta) + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}) \\ &= \beta \sum_{b \leq \frac{Q}{2}} \varphi(b) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\frac{Q}{2+\beta} \leq b \leq \frac{Q}{2}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} (3b - Q)((2+\beta)b - Q) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\frac{Q}{2} \leq b \leq \frac{Q}{1+\beta}} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b} (2Q - (2+\beta)b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\frac{Q}{1+\beta} \leq b \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(b)}{b^2} (Q - b)^2 + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

¹It is possible to improve the error magnitude from $Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}$ to $Q^{3/2+\varepsilon}$ employing a lemma from [18].

Up to an error $O(Q \log Q)$, the contribution of $\varphi(b)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left(\frac{\beta}{4} - \frac{3(2+\beta)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{(2+\beta)^2} \right) - \frac{\beta(2+\beta)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{16\zeta(2)} \frac{\beta(\beta-1)(\beta+4)}{2+\beta} = \frac{Q^2}{16\zeta(2)} \beta(\beta-1) \left(1 + \frac{2}{2+\beta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the contribution of $\varphi(b)/b$ is

$$\frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left(\frac{5+\beta}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2+\beta} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{1+\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - 1 + \frac{1}{1+\beta} \right) = \frac{Q^2}{8\zeta(2)} \frac{\beta(1-\beta)}{2+\beta},$$

and the contribution of $\varphi(b)/b^2$ is

$$-\frac{Q^2}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \log \left(\frac{Q/2}{Q/(2+\beta)} \right) + \frac{Q^2}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \log \left(\frac{Q}{Q/(1+\beta)} \right) = \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \log \left(\frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta} \right).$$

We infer that

$$\#(\mathcal{C}_Q \cap [0, \beta]) = \frac{Q^2}{2\zeta(2)} \left(\frac{\beta(1-\beta)}{8} + \log \left(\frac{2(1+\beta)}{2+\beta} \right) \right) + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

3. THE GAP DISTRIBUTION OF \mathcal{C}_Q

As noticed in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_Q = \left\{ \frac{a}{q} \in \mathcal{F}_Q : q + a + \bar{a} \leq Q \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_Q,$$

where \bar{a} denotes the multiplicative inverse of $a \pmod{q}$ in the interval $[1, q)$. We also introduce the sets

$$\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta) := \left\{ \frac{a_1}{q_1} \in \mathcal{F}_Q : \begin{array}{l} \exists \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} < \dots < \frac{a_{r+1}}{q_{r+1}} \text{ consecutive in } \mathcal{F}_Q \\ \frac{a_1}{q_1}, \frac{a_{r+1}}{q_{r+1}} \in \mathcal{C}_Q, \frac{a_2}{q_2}, \dots, \frac{a_r}{q_r} \notin \mathcal{C}_Q, \frac{a_{r+1}}{q_{r+1}} - \frac{a_1}{q_1} \leq \frac{\eta}{Q^2} \end{array} \right\}, \quad r \geq 1, \eta > 0.$$

Remark 1. Since $a_{i+1}/q_{i+1} - a_i/q_i = 1/(q_i q_{i+1}) > 1/Q^2$, it follows that the set $\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta)$ is nonempty only if $1 \leq r < \eta$.

We will prove that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists a constant $C_r(\eta) \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\#\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta) \sim C_r(\eta)Q^2 \quad \text{as } Q \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

Since $\#\mathcal{C}_Q \sim AQ^2$ with $A = \log(4/3)/(2\zeta(2))$, this will subsequently imply the existence of the limiting gap distribution of $(\mathcal{C}_Q)_Q$. More precisely, we have $\Delta_{\text{av}} = 1/(\#\mathcal{C}_Q - 1) \sim 1/(AQ^2)$ and

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) := \lim_Q \frac{\#\{\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \text{ consecutive in } \mathcal{C}_Q : \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \leq \lambda \Delta_{\text{av}}\}}{\#\mathcal{C}_Q - 1} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{1 \leq r < \lambda/A} C_r(\lambda/A), \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0. \quad (6)$$

According to Remark 1, we also have $\#\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta) = 0$ whenever $r \geq 2$ and $\eta < 2$, hence

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = \frac{C_1(\lambda/A)}{A} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (A, 2A]. \quad (7)$$

3.1. **Work on $\mathcal{H}_{Q,1}(\eta)$.** First, we are interested in estimating the cardinality of the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{Q,1}(\eta) &= \left\{ \frac{a_1}{q_1} : \exists \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} \text{ consecutive in } \mathcal{F}_Q, \frac{a_1}{q_1}, \frac{a_2}{q_2} \in \mathcal{C}_Q, \frac{1}{q_1 q_2} \leq \frac{\eta}{Q^2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (q_1, q_2, a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 : \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq a_i \leq b_i \leq Q, \quad q_1 + q_2 > Q, \quad q_1 q_2 \geq Q^2/\eta \\ a_2 q_1 - a_1 q_2 = 1, \quad q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 \leq Q, \quad q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 \leq Q \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 \bar{a}_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{q_1}$, $\bar{a}_1 \in [1, q_1)$ and $a_2 \bar{a}_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q_2}$, $\bar{a}_2 \in [1, q_2)$.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that $a_1/q_1 < a_2/q_2$, $0 < a_1 < q_1$, $0 < a_2 < q_2$, and*

$$a_2 q_1 - a_1 q_2 = 1.$$

Denote by \bar{a}_1 , respectively \bar{q}_2 , the multiplicative inverse of $a_1 \pmod{q_1}$, respectively $q_2 \pmod{q_1}$, in $[1, q_1)$ and by \bar{a}_2 , respectively \bar{q}_1 , the multiplicative inverse of $a_2 \pmod{q_2}$, respectively $q_1 \pmod{q_2}$, in $[1, q_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \bar{a}_1 = \left(1 + \left\lfloor \frac{q_2}{q_1} \right\rfloor \right) q_1 - q_2, \quad \bar{a}_2 = q_1 - \left\lfloor \frac{q_1}{q_2} \right\rfloor q_2, \\ (ii) \quad & a_1 = q_1 - \bar{q}_2, \quad a_2 = \bar{q}_1, \quad a_1 = \frac{q_1 \bar{q}_1 - 1}{q_2}, \quad a_2 = q_1 - \frac{q_2 \bar{q}_2 - 1}{q_1}. \\ (iii) \quad & q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 = \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{q_2}{q_1} \right\rfloor \right) q_1 - q_2 + \frac{q_1 \bar{q}_1 - 1}{q_2}, \\ (iv) \quad & q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 = q_1 + \left(2 - \left\lfloor \frac{q_1}{q_2} \right\rfloor \right) q_2 - \frac{q_2 \bar{q}_2 - 1}{q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6. *Suppose that $a_1/q_1 < a_2/q_2$ are consecutive elements in \mathcal{F}_Q .*

- (i) *If $q_1 < q_2$, then $q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 > Q$ (that is, $a_2/q_2 \in \mathcal{C}_Q$ implies $q_1 \geq q_2$).*
- (ii) *If $q_2 < q_1$ and $q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 \leq Q$, then $q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 \leq Q$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from $q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 = q_2 + a_2 + q_1 > q_2 + q_1 > Q$.

For (ii), Lemma 5 provides:

$$q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 = 3q_1 - q_2 - \bar{q}_2$$

and

$$q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 = q_1 + (2 - \lfloor q_1/q_2 \rfloor) q_2 - (q_2 \bar{q}_2 - 1)/q_1.$$

When $k := \lfloor q_1/q_2 \rfloor \geq 2$, the conclusion follows from $q_2 \bar{q}_2/q_1 \geq 1/q_1 \geq (2-k)q_2 + q_1 - Q + 1/q_1$. When $k = 1$, note that $q_1(1 - (Q - q_1)/q_2) \leq q_1(1 - (Q - q_1)/q_1) = 2q_1 - Q < 3q_1 - Q - q_2$. Since $Q - q_1 < q_2 < q_1$ and $q_2 + \bar{q}_2 \geq 3q_1 - Q$, we get

$$\frac{q_2 \bar{q}_2}{q_1} \geq \frac{q_2}{q_1} (3q_1 - Q - q_2) \geq \frac{q_2}{q_1} \left(1 + q_1 \left(1 - \frac{Q - q_1}{q_2} \right) \right) \geq q_1 + q_2 - Q + \frac{1}{q_1},$$

as desired. □

Returning to $\mathcal{H}_{Q,1}(\eta)$, we fix $q_1, q_2 \in [1, Q]$ with $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ and $q_1 + q_2 > Q$. Then Corollary 6.(i) shows that $\boxed{q_2 < q_1}$. Letting $\alpha = q_2$, $\beta = \bar{q}_2$, $q = q_1 \in [Q/2, Q]$, Lemma 5 yields $q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 = 3q - \alpha - \beta$ and

$$\#\mathcal{H}_{Q,1}(\eta) = \sum_{\frac{Q}{2} \leq q \leq Q} \# \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) : \begin{array}{l} \alpha \beta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \quad \max\{Q - q, Q^2/(\eta q)\} \leq \alpha \leq q \\ \max\{3q - Q - \alpha, 0\} \leq \beta \leq q \end{array} \right\}.$$

Remark 2. The inequality $q_1 q_2 \geq Q^2/\eta$ yields $\min\{q_1, q_2\} \geq Q/\eta$.

Proceeding as in the proof of (3), then applying Möbius summation (cf., e.g. [5, Lemma 2.3]) and scaling $(q, \alpha, \beta) = (Qw, Qu, Qv)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{H}_{Q,1}(\eta) &= \sum_{\frac{Q}{2} \leq q \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \text{Area} \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) : \begin{array}{l} \max\{Q - q, Q^2/(\eta q)\} \leq \alpha \leq q \\ \max\{3q - Q - \alpha, 0\} \leq \beta \leq q \end{array} \right\} + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{\zeta(2)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{dw}{w} \text{Area} \Omega_1(w, \eta) + O_\varepsilon(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}), \quad \text{where} \\ \Omega_1(w, \eta) &= \left\{ (u, v) : \max \left\{ 1 - w, \frac{1}{\eta w} \right\} \leq u \leq w, \max\{3w - 1 - u, 0\} \leq v \leq w \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The main term in (8) can be readily computed for every $\eta > 0$. For instance, it is equal to 0 when $0 < \eta \leq 1$ as $w \leq 1/(\eta w)$ for every $w \in (0, 1]$.

Further, when $1 < \eta \leq 2$, we have $2/3 < 1/\sqrt{\eta} \leq w_0(\eta) := (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8/\eta})/4 < 1$. When $1/2 \leq w \leq 1/\sqrt{\eta}$, we have $\text{Area} \Omega_1(w, \eta) = 0$. When $1/\sqrt{\eta} \leq w \leq w_0(\eta)$, we have $2w - 1 \leq 1/(\eta w) \leq w$ and $\text{Area} \Omega_1(w, \eta) = (w - 1/(\eta w))(2 - 3w + 1/(\eta w))/2$. When $w_0(\eta) \leq w \leq 1$, we have $1/(\eta w) \leq 2w - 1 \leq w$ and $\text{Area} \Omega_1(w, \eta) = (1 - w)^2/2$. This yields

$$C_1(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\zeta(2)} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}}}^{w_0(\eta)} \left(w - \frac{1}{\eta w} \right) \left(2 - 3w + \frac{1}{\eta w} \right) \frac{dw}{w} + \frac{1}{2\zeta(2)} \int_{w_0(\eta)}^1 \frac{(1 - w)^2}{2w} dw, \quad \text{for } \eta \in [1, 2].$$

In conjunction with (7) this provides an explicit formula for $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$ when $\lambda \in [0, 2A]$.

At the other end, when $\eta \geq 9/2$ and $2/3 \leq w \leq 1$ we have $1/\eta \leq 2/9 \leq w(2w - 1)$, hence $1/(\eta w) \leq 2w - 1$ and $\text{Area} \Omega_1(w, \eta) = (1 - w)^2/2$. When $1/2 \leq w \leq 2/3$, we have $1/\eta \leq 1/4 \leq w(1 - w)$, hence $1/(\eta w) \leq 1 - w$ and $\text{Area} \Omega_1(w, \eta) = (3 - 4w)(2w - 1)/2$. We infer

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \int_{\frac{2}{3}}^1 \frac{(1 - w)^2}{2w} dw + \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{(3 - 4w)(2w - 1)}{2w} dw \\ &= \frac{2 \log 3 - (7/2) \log 2 + 1/4}{\zeta(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

so in particular $C_1(\eta)$ does not depend on η when $\eta \geq 9/2$.

3.2. Work on $\mathcal{H}_{Q,2}(\eta)$. Next, we analyze the contribution of triples $a_1/q_1 < a_2/q_2 < a_3/q_3$ consisting of consecutive elements in \mathcal{F}_Q satisfying conditions $a_1/q_1 \in \mathcal{C}_Q$, $a_2/q_2 \notin \mathcal{C}_Q$, $a_3/q_3 \in \mathcal{C}_Q$ and $a_3/q_3 - a_1/q_1 = 1/(q_1 q_2) + 1/(q_2 q_3) \leq \eta/Q^2$. This means that we are searching for a convenient way to express the cardinality of the set

$$\mathcal{H}_{Q,2}(\eta) := \left\{ (q_1, q_2, q_3, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^6 : \begin{array}{l} a_2 q_1 - a_1 q_2 = a_3 q_2 - a_2 q_3 = 1, \quad 1 \leq a_i < q_i \leq Q \\ q_1 + q_2 > Q, \quad q_2 + q_3 > Q, \quad 1/(q_1 q_2) + 1/(q_2 q_3) \leq \eta/Q^2 \\ q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 \leq Q, \quad q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 > Q, \quad q_3 + a_3 + \bar{a}_3 \leq Q \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $a_1/q_1 < a_2/q_2$ are consecutive in \mathcal{F}_Q and $q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 \leq Q$, if $q_2 < q_1$ then Corollary 6.(ii) entails $q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 \leq Q$, which is not allowed. Hence, in the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{Q,2}(\eta)$ above we have to ask that $\boxed{q_1 < q_2}$ and remove the inequality $q_2 + a_2 + \bar{a}_2 > Q$. Note also that Remark 2 entails $\boxed{q_2 < \eta q_1}$.

From Lemma 5 we infer $a_2 = \bar{q}_1$, $a_1 = (q_1 \bar{q}_1 - 1)/q_2$ and $\bar{a}_1 = -q_2 + (1 + \lfloor q_2/q_1 \rfloor)q_1$, so that

$$q_1 + a_1 + \bar{a}_1 \leq Q \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{q_2}{q_1} \right\rfloor \right) q_1 - q_2 + \frac{q_1 \bar{q}_1 - 1}{q_2} \leq Q. \quad (9)$$

From $a_3 q_2 - a_2 q_3 = 1$ we infer $0 < \bar{a}_3 = q_2 - \lfloor q_2/q_3 \rfloor q_3 < q_3$.

On the other hand, letting $\nu := \lfloor (Q + q_1)/q_2 \rfloor$, we have $q_3 = \nu q_2 - q_1$, $a_3 = \nu a_2 - a_1 = \nu \bar{q}_1 - (q_1 \bar{q}_1 - 1)/q_2$, and $1 \leq \nu < (Q + q_1)/q_1 < 3$.

However, it is easily seen that $\nu = 2$ cannot occur. Indeed, $\nu = 2$ would imply $q_3 = 2q_2 - q_1 > q_2$, $\bar{\bar{a}}_3 = q_2$, $a_3 = 2a_2 - a_1 = 2\bar{q}_1 - (q_1\bar{q}_1 - 1)/q_2$, so that

$$q_3 + a_3 + \bar{\bar{a}}_3 = 3q_2 - q_1 + 2\bar{q}_1 - \frac{q_1\bar{q}_1 - 1}{q_2} \leq Q. \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, in this situation we also have $\lfloor q_2/q_1 \rfloor = 1$, so (9) becomes

$$3q_1 - q_2 + \frac{q_1\bar{q}_1 - 1}{q_2} \leq Q. \quad (11)$$

Adding (10) and (11) we get $2q_1 + 2q_2 + 2\bar{q}_1 \leq 2Q$, which contradicts $q_1 + q_2 > Q$.

Hence $\nu = 1$, entailing $q_3 = q_2 - q_1 < q_2$ and $a_3 = a_2 - a_1 = \bar{q}_1 - (q_1\bar{q}_1 - 1)/q_2$, so that $2Q/3 \leq q_2 \leq Q$, $1/(q_1q_2) + 1/(q_2q_3) = 1/(q_1q_3) = 1/(q_1(q_2 - q_1))$, and

$$q_3 + a_3 + \bar{\bar{a}}_3 \leq Q \iff \left(2 - \left\lfloor \frac{q_2}{q_2 - q_1} \right\rfloor\right)q_2 + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{q_2}{q_2 - q_1} \right\rfloor - 1\right)q_1 + \bar{q}_1 - \frac{q_1\bar{q}_1 - 1}{q_2} \leq Q.$$

As above, we set $q := q_2$, $\alpha := q_1$, $\beta := \bar{q}_1$, then partition the triangle

$$Q\mathcal{T}_1 := \{(\alpha, q) : 0 < \alpha \leq Q, 0 < q \leq Q, q > \alpha, q + \alpha > Q, \alpha < 2q - Q\}$$

into the triangles:

$$\mathcal{T}_Q^{(1)} := \{(\alpha, q) \in Q\mathcal{T}_+ : Q - q < \alpha < q/2\} \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_Q^{(2)} := \{(\alpha, q) \in Q\mathcal{T}_+, q/2 < \alpha < 2q - Q\}.$$

We analyze these two situations:

Case 1. $Q - q < \alpha < q/2$. Then $\nu = 1$, $\lfloor q/\alpha \rfloor \geq 2$ and $\lfloor q/(q - \alpha) \rfloor = \lfloor 1/(1 - \alpha/q) \rfloor = 1$.

Let $k := 1 + \lfloor q/\alpha \rfloor$, so $q/k < \alpha \leq q/(k - 1)$. Note that $\boxed{3 \leq k < \eta}$. The triangle $\mathcal{T}_Q^{(1)}$ is in turn partitioned into the quadrangles

$$Q_Q^{(k)} := \left\{ (q, \alpha) \in \mathcal{T}_Q^{(1)} : (k - 1)\alpha \leq q < k\alpha \right\} \subseteq \left[\frac{Q}{k + 1}, \frac{Q}{k - 1} \right] \times \left[\frac{(k - 1)Q}{k}, Q \right], \quad k \geq 3,$$

with contribution to $\mathcal{H}_{Q,2}(\eta)$ given by

$$S_Q^{(1)}(\eta) = \sum_{3 \leq k < 1 + \eta} \sum_{Q - \frac{Q}{k} < q \leq Q} A(k, q),$$

where

$$A(k, q) = \# \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) : \begin{array}{l} \alpha\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \max \left\{ Q - q, \frac{q}{k} \right\} < \alpha \leq \frac{q}{k - 1}, \alpha(q - \alpha) \geq \frac{Q^2}{\eta} \\ 0 < \beta \leq q, (k + 1)\alpha - q + \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{q} \leq Q, q + \beta - \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{q} \leq Q \end{array} \right\}.$$

An application of [4, Lemma 3.1] with $T = Q^{1/4}$ to the region

$$\Omega_Q(k, q, \eta) := \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) : \begin{array}{l} \max \left\{ Q - q, \frac{q}{k} \right\} < \alpha \leq \frac{q}{k - 1}, \alpha(q - \alpha) \geq \frac{Q^2}{\eta} \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq \min \left\{ q, \frac{q(Q - q)}{q - \alpha}, \frac{q(Q + q - (k + 1)\alpha)}{\alpha} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

leads to

$$A(k, q) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \text{Area } \Omega_Q(k, q, \eta) + O_\varepsilon(\mathcal{E}_{q,\varepsilon}), \quad (12)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,\varepsilon} \ll \frac{q}{Tq} q + Tq^{1/2+\varepsilon} = Q^{-1/4}q + Q^{1/4}q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\sum_{3 \leq k < 1 + \eta} \sum_{Q - \frac{Q}{k} < q \leq Q} \mathcal{E}_{q,\varepsilon} \ll_{\varepsilon,\eta} Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}. \quad (13)$$

Combining (12) and (13) we infer

$$S_Q^{(1)}(\eta) = \sum_{3 \leq k < 1 + \eta} \sum_{Q - \frac{Q}{k} < q \leq Q} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \text{Area } \Omega_Q(k, q, \eta) + O_{\varepsilon, \eta}(Q^{7/4 + \varepsilon}).$$

Applying Möbius summation to the sum in q above, then scaling (q, α, β) to (Qw, Qu, Qv) , we deduce

$$S_Q^{(1)}(\eta) = \frac{Q^2}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{3 \leq k < 1 + \eta} \int_{1 - \frac{1}{k}}^1 \frac{dw}{w} \text{Area } \Omega_{2,1,k}(w, \eta) + O_{\varepsilon, \eta}(Q^{7/4 + \varepsilon}), \quad \text{where} \quad (14)$$

$$\Omega_{2,1,k}(w, \eta) = \left\{ (u, v) : \begin{array}{l} \max \left\{ 1 - w, \frac{w}{k} \right\} \leq u \leq \frac{w}{k-1}, \quad u(w-u) \geq \frac{1}{\eta} \\ 0 \leq v \leq \min \left\{ w, \frac{w(1-w)}{w-u}, \frac{w(1+w-(k+1)u)}{u} \right\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Case 2. $q/2 < \alpha < 2q - Q$. Then $\nu = 1$ and $\lfloor q/\alpha \rfloor = 1$. Set $\ell := \lfloor q/(q - \alpha) \rfloor$, so $(\ell - 1)q/\ell \leq \alpha < \ell q/(\ell + 1)$. We have $2 \leq \ell = \lfloor q_2/q_3 \rfloor < \eta$. The triangle $\mathcal{T}_Q^{(2)}$ is partitioned into the quadrangles

$$\left\{ (\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{T}_Q^{(2)} : \frac{(\ell + 1)\alpha}{\ell} < q \leq \frac{\ell\alpha}{\ell - 1} \right\} \subseteq \left[\frac{(\ell - 1)Q}{2\ell - 1}, \frac{\ell Q}{\ell + 1} \right] \times \left[\frac{(\ell + 1)Q}{2\ell + 1}, Q \right], \quad \ell \geq 2,$$

with contribution to $\mathcal{H}_{Q,2}(\eta)$ given by

$$S_Q^{(2)}(\eta) = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq \ell < \eta \\ \frac{(\ell + 1)Q}{2\ell + 1} < q \leq Q}} \# \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) : \begin{array}{l} \alpha\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \quad \max \left\{ Q - q, \frac{(\ell - 1)q}{\ell} \right\} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\ell q}{\ell + 1} \\ \alpha(q - \alpha) \geq \frac{Q^2}{\eta}, \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq \min \left\{ q, \frac{q(Q + q - 3\alpha)}{\alpha}, \frac{q(Q + (\ell - 2)q - (\ell - 1)\alpha)}{q - \alpha} \right\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proceeding as in Case 1, we obtain

$$S_Q^{(2)} = \frac{Q^2}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq \ell < \eta \\ \frac{\ell + 1}{2\ell + 1}}} \int_{\frac{\ell + 1}{2\ell + 1}}^1 \frac{dw}{w} \text{Area } \Omega_{2,2,\ell}(w, \eta) + O_{\varepsilon, \eta}(Q^{7/4 + \varepsilon}), \quad \text{where} \quad (15)$$

$$\Omega_{2,2,\ell}(w, \eta) = \left\{ (u, v) : \begin{array}{l} \max \left\{ 1 - w, \frac{(\ell - 1)w}{\ell} \right\} \leq u \leq \frac{\ell w}{\ell + 1}, \quad u(w - u) \geq \frac{1}{\eta} \\ 0 \leq v \leq \min \left\{ w, \frac{w(1 + w - 3u)}{u}, \frac{w(1 + (\ell - 2)w - (\ell - 1)u)}{w - u} \right\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

3.3. Work on $\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta)$, $r \geq 3$. Here, we count the number of $(r + 1)$ -tuples $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots < \gamma_{r+1}$ of consecutive elements in \mathcal{F}_Q , $\gamma_i = a_i/q_i$, with $\gamma_1, \gamma_{r+1} \in \mathcal{C}_Q$, $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r \notin \mathcal{C}_Q$, and

$$\gamma_{r+1} - \gamma_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{q_i q_{i+1}} \leq \frac{\eta}{Q^2}.$$

We are trying to find a convenient expression for the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta)$ consisting of integer $(2r + 2)$ -tuples $(q_1, \dots, q_{r+1}, a_1, \dots, a_{r+1})$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_2 q_1 - a_1 q_2 = a_3 q_2 - a_2 q_3 = \dots = a_{r+1} q_r - a_r q_{r+1} = 1, \quad 1 \leq a_i < q_i \leq Q, \quad i = 1, \dots, r + 1, \\ q_i + q_{i+1} > Q, \quad q_i + a_i + \bar{a}_i \leq Q < q_j + a_j + \bar{a}_j, \quad i \in \{1, r + 1\}, \quad j \in \{2, \dots, r\}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{q_i q_{i+1}} \leq \frac{\eta}{Q^2}, \end{array} \right.$$

where \bar{a}_ℓ denotes the multiplicative inverse of a_ℓ in $[1, q_\ell)$.

Taking stock on Lemma 5, with $q := q_2 > \alpha := q_1$, $\beta := \bar{q}_1 = a_2$, we find that the contribution to $\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}$ is given by integer triples (q, α, β) which satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{Q}{2} < q \leq Q, \quad \alpha\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \quad Q - q \leq \alpha \leq q, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq q, \\ \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{q}{\alpha} \right\rfloor\right) \alpha - q + \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{q} \leq Q, \\ \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \right\rfloor\right) q_i - q_{i+1} + a_i > Q, \quad i = 2, \dots, r, \\ \left(1 - \left\lfloor \frac{q_r}{q_{r+1}} \right\rfloor\right) q_{r+1} + q_r + a_{r+1} \leq Q, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{q_i q_{i+1}} \leq \frac{\eta}{Q^2}. \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

To express all conditions in (16) in a convenient way only in terms of $\alpha = q_1$, $q = q_2$, $\beta = a_2 = \bar{q}_1$ we will employ the iterates $T^i = (L_i, L_{i+1})$ of the map T from (2). We have

$$\Lambda_{i-1} := \frac{q_i}{Q} = L_{i-1} \left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q} \right), \quad \frac{q_{i+1}}{Q} = L_i \left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q} \right), \quad i \geq 1.$$

We also introduce the *Farey continuants*, that is the noncommutative polynomials defined in [7, Sect. 3.2] and inspired by [3] by $K_{-1}^F(\cdot) = 0$, $K_0^F(\cdot) = 1$, $K_1^F(x) = x$, and

$$K_\ell^F(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = x_\ell K_{\ell-1}^F(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}) - K_{\ell-2}^F(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-2}).$$

Setting $\nu_i := \kappa(q_{i-1}/Q, q_i/Q)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (q_{i+1}, q_i) &= (q_2, q_1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu_2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (q_2, q_1) \begin{pmatrix} K_{i-1}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_i) & K_{i-2}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) \\ -K_{i-2}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_i) & -K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(a_{i+1}, a_i) = (a_2, a_1) \begin{pmatrix} K_{i-1}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_i) & K_{i-2}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) \\ -K_{i-2}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_i) & -K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The previous equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= K_{i-2}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) a_2 - K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) a_1 \\ &= K_{i-2}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) \beta - K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1}) \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{q} \\ &= \frac{q_i \beta + K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1})}{q} = \frac{Q \Lambda_{i-1} \beta + K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1})}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then conditions (16) become

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{Q}{2} < q \leq Q, \quad \alpha\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \quad Q - q \leq \alpha \leq q, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq q, \\ \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{q}{\alpha} \right\rfloor\right) \alpha - q + \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{q} \leq Q, \\ \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{\Lambda_i}{\Lambda_{i-1}} \right\rfloor\right) Q \Lambda_{i-1} - Q \Lambda_i + \frac{Q \Lambda_{i-1} \beta + K_{i-3}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_{i-1})}{q} > Q, \quad i = 2, \dots, r, \\ \left(1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\Lambda_{r-1}}{\Lambda_r} \right\rfloor\right) Q \Lambda_r + Q \Lambda_{r-1} + \frac{Q \Lambda_r \beta + K_{r-2}^F(\nu_3, \dots, \nu_r)}{q} \leq Q, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i-1} \Lambda_i} \leq \eta. \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

When $q_i > 1$ we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right\rfloor = \kappa \left(\frac{q_{i-1}}{Q}, \frac{q_i}{Q} \right) - 1,$$

which entails

$$\max_{2 \leq i \leq r} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right\rfloor \right\} \leq \eta - 1.$$

Inequalities $1/(q_i q_{i+1}) \leq \eta/Q^2$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, also yield

$$\min\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\} \geq \frac{Q}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_i = \left\lfloor \frac{Q + q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right\rfloor \leq 2\eta, \quad i = 2, \dots, r, \quad (18)$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \leq \frac{\Lambda_{i-1}}{\Lambda_i} \leq \eta, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

We can ignore the term $K_{i-2}^F(\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{i-1})/q = O_\eta(1/Q)$ and rewrite conditions (17) as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{Q}{2} < q \leq Q, & \alpha\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \\ Q - q \leq \alpha \leq q, & \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{L_{i-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)L_i(\alpha/Q, q/Q)} \leq \eta, \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq \min \left\{ q, q \left(\frac{Q+q}{\alpha} - 2 - \left\lfloor \frac{q}{\alpha} \right\rfloor \right) \right\}, \\ \beta \geq q \left(\frac{1 + L_i(\alpha/Q, q/Q)}{L_{i-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)} - 2 - \left\lfloor \frac{L_i(\alpha/Q, q/Q)}{L_{i-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)} \right\rfloor \right), & i = 2, \dots, r, \\ \beta \leq q \left(\frac{1 - L_{r-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)}{L_r(\alpha/Q, q/Q)} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{L_{r-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)}{L_r(\alpha/Q, q/Q)} \right\rfloor \right). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Consider the sets $\mathcal{T}_k := \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{T} : \kappa(x, y) = k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to (18), we are only concerned with (nonempty) T -cylinders

$$\mathcal{T}_{(k_1, \dots, k_r)} := \mathcal{T}_{k_1} \cap T^{-1}\mathcal{T}_{k_2} \cap \dots \cap T^{-(r-1)}\mathcal{T}_{k_r}$$

with $k_i \leq 2\eta$. On such set, all functions L_0, L_1, \dots, L_r are linear in each variable. On each (nonempty) set of the form

$$S = \mathcal{T}_{(k_1, \dots, k_r)} \cap \left\{ (x, y) : \left\lfloor \frac{L_i(x, y)}{L_{i-1}(x, y)} \right\rfloor = a_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, r \right\} \cap \left\{ (x, y) : \left\lfloor \frac{L_{r-1}(x, y)}{L_r(x, y)} \right\rfloor = a \right\},$$

with $1 \leq k_i \leq 2\eta$, $a_i \leq \eta$, $a \leq \eta$, the last three inequalities in (19) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &\leq q \frac{-(2 + k_1)\alpha/Q + q/Q + 1}{\alpha/Q}, \\ \beta &\geq q \frac{A(S, i)\alpha/Q + B(S, i)q/Q + C(S, i)}{L_{i-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)}, \quad i = 2, \dots, r, \end{aligned}$$

and respectively

$$\beta \leq \frac{A(S, r+1)\alpha/Q + B(S, r+1)q/Q + C(S, r+1)}{L_r(\alpha/Q, q/Q)},$$

for appropriate constants $A(S, i)$, $B(S, i)$, $C(S, i)$. So, we can apply [4, Lemma 3.1] with $T = Q^{1/4}$ for two piecewise C^1 functions defined on the connected components of the set

$$[Q - q, q] \cap \left\{ \alpha : \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{L_{i-1}(\alpha/Q, q/Q)L_i(\alpha/Q, q/Q)} \leq \eta \right\},$$

with q fixed, and having both total variation and sup norms $\ll q$. Applying again Möbius summation and scaling $(q, \alpha, \beta) = (Qw, Qu, Qv)$, as in the previous situations $r = 1$ and $r = 2$, we complete the proof of the following result:

Theorem 7. Consider the functions $\Phi, \Psi, \mathfrak{r} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Phi(x, y) = \frac{1+y}{x} - 2 - \left\lfloor \frac{y}{x} \right\rfloor, \quad \Psi(x, y) = \frac{1-x}{y} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor, \quad \mathfrak{r}(x, y) := \frac{1}{xy}.$$

We have

$$\#\mathcal{H}_{Q,r}(\eta) = \frac{Q^2}{\zeta(2)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{dw}{w} \text{Area } \Omega_{3,r}(w, \eta) + O_{\varepsilon, \eta}(Q^{7/4+\varepsilon}),$$

where $r \geq 3$ and

$$\Omega_{3,r}(w, \eta) = \left\{ (u, v) : \begin{array}{l} 1-w \leq u \leq w, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{r}(T^{i-1}(u, w)) \leq \eta \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} \{0, w\Phi(T^i(u, w))\} \leq v \leq \min \{w, w\Phi(u, w), w\Psi(T^{r-1}(u, w))\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 4 follows assembling (8), (14), (15) and Theorem 7, together with (5) and (6).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Athreya, Y. Cheung, *A Poincaré section for the horocycle flow on the space of lattices*, IMRN **2014** No. 10 (2014), 2643–2690. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnt003> (page 2).
- [2] V. Augustin, F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, A. Zaharescu, *The h-spacing distribution between Farey points*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **131** (2001), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004101005187> (page 2).
- [3] D. A. Badziahin, A. K. Haynes, *A note on Farey fractions with denominators in arithmetic progressions*, Acta Arith. **147** (2011), 205–215. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa147-3-1> (page 10).
- [4] F. P. Boca, *Products of matrices $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and the distribution of reduced quadratic irrationals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **606** (2007), 149–165. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CRELLE.2007.038> (pages 1, 3, 8, 11).
- [5] F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, A. Zaharescu, *Distribution of lattice points visible from the origin*, Comm. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), 433–470. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200000250> (page 7).
- [6] F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, A. Zaharescu, *A conjecture of R. R. Hall on Farey points*, J. Reine Angew. Mathematik **535** (2001), 207–236. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2001.049> (page 2).
- [7] F. P. Boca, B. Heersink, P. Spiegelhalter, *Gap distribution of Farey fractions under some divisibility constraints*, Integers **13** (2013), paper A44, 15 pages. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10199725> (page 10).
- [8] F. P. Boca, A. Zaharescu, *The correlations of Farey fractions*, J. London Math. Soc. **72** (2005), 25–39. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610705006629> (page 2).
- [9] R. R. Hall, *A note on Farey series*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 139–148. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-2.1.139> (page 2).
- [10] R. R. Hall, G. Tenenbaum, *On consecutive Farey arcs*, Acta Arith. **44** (1984), 397–405. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-44-4-397-405> (page 2).
- [11] B. Heersink, *Distribution of the periodic points of the Farey map (with an appendix by F. P. Boca, B. Heersink and C. Merriman)*, Comm. Math. Phys. **365** (2019), 971–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03283-0> (page 1).
- [12] B. Heersink, *Equidistribution of Farey sequences on horospheres of covers of $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ and applications*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems **41** (2021), 471–493. <https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2019.71> (page 2).
- [13] J. Kallies, A. Özlük, M. Peter, C. Snyder, *On asymptotic properties of a number theoretic function arising out of a spin chain model in statistical mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **222** (2001), 9–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200100495> (page 1).
- [14] P. Kargaev, A. Zhigljavsky, *Asymptotic distribution of the distance function to the Farey points*, J. Number Theory **65** (1997), 130–149. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1997.2110> (page 2).
- [15] C. Lutsko, *Farey sequences for thin groups*, IMRN **202** (2022), No. 15, 11642–11689. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnab036> (page 2).
- [16] J. Marklof, *Fine-scale statistics for multidimensional Farey sequence*, in: Limit Theorems in Probability, Statistics and Number Theory, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics **42** (2013), pp. 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36068-8_3 (page 2).
- [17] M. Pollicott, *Distribution of closed geodesics on the modular surface and quadratic irrationals*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **114** (1986), 431–446. http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1986__114__431_0 (page 1).

- [18] A. V. Ustinov, *Spin chains and Arnold's problem on Gauss-Kuzmin statistics for quadratic irrationalities*, Mat. Sb. **204** (2013), 143–160. <https://doi.org/10.1070/sm2013v204n05abeh004319> (pages **1**, **4**).
- [19] X. Zhang, *The gap distribution of directions in some Schottky groups*, J. Mod. Dynamics **11** (2017), 477–499. <https://doi.org/10.3934/jmd.2017019> (page **2**).

(Jack Anderson) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801, USA.

Email address: jacka4@illinois.edu

(Florin P. Boca) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801, USA.

Email address: fboca@illinois.edu

(Cristian Cobeli) "SIMION STOILOW" INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21 CALEA GRIVITEI STREET, P. O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA

Email address: cristian.cobeli@imar.ro

(Alexandru Zaharescu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801, USA, AND "SIMION STOILOW" INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21 CALEA GRIVITEI STREET, P. O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA

Email address: zaharesc@illinois.edu