Landau-Lifshitz Solutions of N = 2, D = 5Supergravity

W. A. Sabra

Department of Physics, American University of Beirut, Lebanon

Abstract

We study families of solutions depending only on one coordinate for the theories of N = 2, five-dimensional supergravity coupled to vector multiplets. Four families of solutions, valid for all space-time signatures, are obtained. The explicit metrics of the solutions depend on the Jordan normal forms of constrained matrices. Examples with three charges are given for the so-called STU model.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many years ago, Kasner presented four-dimensional vacuum solutions depending on one variable [1], which when generalized to arbitrary space-time signature, take the form [2]

$$ds^{2} = \epsilon_{0}x^{2a_{1}}dx^{2} + \epsilon_{1}x^{2a_{2}}dy^{2} + \epsilon_{2}x^{2a_{3}}dz^{2} + \epsilon_{3}x^{2a_{4}}dw^{2}$$
(1.1)

with the conditions

$$a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = a_1 + 1, \quad a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 = (a_1 + 1)^2.$$
 (1.2)

The cosmological synchronous Bianchi I metric [3],

$$ds^{2} = -d\tau^{2} + \tau^{2p_{1}}dx^{2} + \tau^{2p_{2}}dy^{2} + \tau^{2p_{3}}dz^{2}$$
(1.3)

referred to as the Kasner metric, can be obtained from Lorentzian (1.1) through a change of variable or by setting $a_1 = 0$. Here the Kasner exponents p_1 , p_2 and p_3 satisfy

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = 1$$
 (1.4)

The metric (1.3) admits generalizations to arbitrary signature D -dimensional gravity, in the form

$$ds^2 = \epsilon_0 d\tau^2 + \epsilon_\mu \tau^{2p_\mu} \left(dx^\mu \right)^2 \tag{1.5}$$

with the exponents p_{μ} satisfying the conditions

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{D-1} p_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{D-1} p_{\mu}^2 = 1$$
(1.6)

and ϵ_0 and ϵ_{μ} are constants taking the values ± 1 .

In the analysis of Landau and Lifshitz [4] of the four-dimensional gravitational solutions depending on one variable, one starts with the metric

$$ds^2 = \epsilon_0 d\tau^2 + g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \tag{1.7}$$

and obtains, in addition to the Bianchi I metric, non-diagonal vacuum solutions corresponding to $\omega = \sqrt{|g|} = \tau$.¹ As pointed out in [2], the cases with $a_1 = -1$ were not considered by Kasner. In the formulation of [4], these missed cases belong to solutions with $\omega = 1$.

Starting with Kasner metric and the scalar-Kasner metric [6], generalized anisotropic Melvin cosmologies and flux tubes were obtained in [7,8]. This was achieved using solution generating techniques [9, 10]. Generalizations of Kasner metric to theories with form gauge fields and a coupled dilaton field in all space-time dimensions and signatures were considered in [11]. Also generalized Melvin metrics were found for the theories of N = 2 supergravity coupled to vector multiplets in four and five dimensions [12, 13]. More

¹The exact solutions of [4] were also presented in Appendix C of [5]

recently, general solutions for D-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory and theories with form gauge fields and a coupled dilaton, depending on one variable, were obtained in [14,15] through an explicit analysis of the equations of motion.

The current work shall deal with the study of general solutions depending on one variable in N = 2, five-dimensional supergravity theories coupled to vector multiplets. Kasner-like solutions of the five-dimensional supergravity theory were considered in [12]. Depending on the choice of coordinates, the solutions obtained constitute generalizations of Melvin/Rosen cosmologies, flux-branes and domain walls [16]. They are of importance in addressing questions of gravitational physics and cosmology [5,17] within supergravity and string theory.

The Lagrangian of our models is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{5} = \sqrt{|g|} \left(R - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} G_{IJ}(\phi) \mathcal{F}^{I}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu J} - \mathcal{M}_{ij}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi^{i} \partial^{\mu} \phi^{j} \right)$$
(1.8)

where we have ignored a Chern-Simons term which will not be relevant for our discussions. In these models, we have n scalar fields ϕ^i and n + 1 Abelian gauge fields with fieldstrengths $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I$. The N = 2, five-dimensional supergravity theories coupled to vector multiplets, with Lorentzian signature and $\varepsilon = 1$, were first considered by Gunaydin, Sierra and Townsend in [18]. These theories can be described by the so-called very special geometry [19]. One defines the special coordinates $X^I = X^I(\phi)$ and their duals $X_I = \frac{1}{6}C_{IJK}X^JX^K$, which satisfy the conditions

$$X^{I}X_{I} = 1$$
, $\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{6}C_{IJK}X^{I}X^{J}X^{K} = 1$. (1.9)

The constants C_{IJK} are symmetric in all indices. For the five-dimensional theories obtained via Calabi-Yau compactification [20] of eleven-dimensional supergravity [21], C_{IJK} represent the intersection numbers. The gauge coupling metric G_{IJ} can be expressed in terms of the special coordinates by

$$G_{IJ} = \frac{9}{2} X_I X_J - \frac{1}{2} C_{IJK} X^K .$$
 (1.10)

In terms of the scalars X^{I} , the ϕ^{i} kinetic term is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{i}\partial^{\mu}\phi^{j} = G_{IJ}\partial_{\mu}X^{I}\partial^{\mu}X^{J} . \qquad (1.11)$$

We also have the very special geometry relations

$$G_{IJ}X^J = \frac{3}{2}X_I, \quad dX_I = -\frac{2}{3}G_{IJ}dX^J, \quad X_I dX^I = 0.$$
 (1.12)

Recently, the N = 2, five-dimensional supergravity were considered for all space-time signatures [22–24]. A class of these supergravity theories can be obtained via Calabi-Yau 3-folds compactification of the exotic eleven-dimensional supergravity theories constructed by Hull [25]. Moreover, some of these theories come with the non-conventional sign of the gauge kinetic terms ($\varepsilon = -1$).

We organize this work as follows. In the next section we analyze the equations of motion obtained from (1.8). We consider metric solutions for two different choices for the gauge fields and obtain two sets of equations characterizing two classes of solutions. In section three, we present our four different families of solutions. In section four, we construct explicit examples for the STU model with three independent charges. We summarize our results in section five.

2 SOLUTIONS

The Einstein, Maxwell and scalar field equations of motion derived from (1.8) take the form

$$R_{\mu\nu} - G_{IJ}\partial_{\mu}X^{I}\partial_{\nu}X^{J} - \varepsilon G_{IJ}\left(\mathcal{F}^{I}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{F}^{J\alpha}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{6}g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{2}\right) = 0 , \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\partial_{\mu} \left(\sqrt{|g|} G_{IJ} \mathcal{F}^{I\mu\nu} \right) = 0 , \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\sqrt{|g|}\partial_i G_{IJ}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mathcal{F}^I_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu J} + \partial_\mu X^I \partial^\mu X^J\right) - 2\partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{|g|}G_{IJ}\partial^\mu X^J\right)\partial_i X^I = 0.$$
(2.3)

As a solution we start with the following metric

$$ds^{2} = \epsilon_{0}d\tau^{2} + g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = \epsilon_{0}d\tau^{2} + g_{zz}dz^{2} + g_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b}$$
(2.4)

where $\epsilon_0 = \pm 1$ and a, b = 1, 2, 3. The space-time metric, the gauge and scalar fields are all functions of τ only. For the gauge fields, we first consider solutions with only $\mathcal{F}_{\tau z}^{I}$ non-vanishing. In this case, the equations of motion (2.2) give the solutions

$$G_{IJ}\mathcal{F}^{J\tau z} = \frac{q_I}{\omega} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{|g|}$ and q_I are constants.

Using the variables introduced in [4]

$$\kappa_{\mu\nu} = \dot{g}_{\mu\nu} , \quad \kappa^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = g^{\mu\rho}\kappa_{\rho\nu} , \quad \kappa^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = 2\frac{\omega}{\omega} , \qquad (2.6)$$

where the overdot denotes differentiation with respect to τ , the non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor for the metric (2.4) can be written as

$$R^{\tau}{}_{\tau} = -\epsilon_0 \left(\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} - \frac{\dot{\omega}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{1}{4} \kappa^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \kappa^{\nu}{}_{\mu} \right) , \qquad R^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = -\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left(\dot{\kappa}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega} \kappa^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \right) . \tag{2.7}$$

The Einstein equations of motion (2.1) then give

$$\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} - \frac{\dot{\omega}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{1}{4} \kappa^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \kappa^{\nu}{}_{\mu} + G_{IJ} \dot{X}^I \dot{X}^J + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3\omega^2} g_{zz} G^{IJ} q_I q_J = 0 , \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^{z}_{z} + \frac{\omega}{\omega}\kappa^{z}_{z} + \frac{4\varepsilon}{3\omega^{2}}g_{zz}G^{IJ}q_{I}q_{J} = 0 , \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^a{}_b + \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\kappa^a{}_b - \frac{2\varepsilon}{3\omega^2}g_{zz}G^{IJ}q_Iq_J\delta^a{}_b = 0. \qquad (2.10)$$

Taking the trace of (2.10) and adding (2.9) we obtain

$$\ddot{\omega} = \frac{\varepsilon}{3\omega} g_{zz} G^{IJ} q_I q_J \ . \tag{2.11}$$

Eq. (2.11) when substituted back into Eqs. (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) result in

$$3\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} - \frac{\dot{\omega}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{1}{4}\kappa^{\mu}_{\ \nu}\kappa^{\nu}_{\ \mu} + G_{IJ}\dot{X}^I\dot{X}^J = 0 , \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^{z}{}_{z} + \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\kappa^{z}{}_{z} + 4\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} = 0 , \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^a{}_b + \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\kappa^a{}_b - 2\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega}\delta^a{}_b = 0 \ . \tag{2.14}$$

The last two equations can be integrated to give

$$\kappa^{z}{}_{z} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{z}{}_{z} - 4\dot{\omega} \right) ,$$

$$\kappa^{a}{}_{b} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{a}{}_{b} + 2\dot{\omega}\delta^{a}{}_{b} \right) ,$$
(2.15)

where $\theta^{z}{}_{z}$ and $\theta^{a}{}_{b}$ are constants satisfying the condition

$$\theta^z{}_z + \theta^a{}_a = 0 \ . \tag{2.16}$$

For the metric components we obtain

$$\dot{g}_{zz} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^z{}_z - 4\dot{\omega}\right) g_{zz} , \qquad \dot{g}_{ab} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(g_{ac}\theta^c{}_b + 2\dot{\omega}g_{ab}\right) . \tag{2.17}$$

Substituting (2.15) back into (2.12), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\theta^{\nu}{}_{\mu} + 3\left(2\dot{\omega}^2 - \theta^z{}_z\dot{\omega} + \omega\ddot{\omega}\right) + \omega^2 G_{IJ}\dot{X}^I\dot{X}^J = 0.$$

$$(2.18)$$

The scalar equation of motion (2.3), for our solution, using very special geometry relations, reduces to

$$\partial_i G^{IJ} \left[\dot{\omega} X_J \dot{X}_I + \omega \left(X_J \ddot{X}_I - \dot{X}_I \dot{X}_J \right) - \frac{4}{9} \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega} g_{zz} q_I q_J \right] = 0 .$$
 (2.19)

We conclude that our solutions are defined by Eqs. (2.11), (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19).

We also consider solutions with space-time metric

$$ds^{2} = \epsilon_{0}d\tau^{2} + g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = \epsilon_{0}d\tau^{2} + g_{xx}dx^{2} + g_{yy}dy^{2} + g_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b}$$
(2.20)

where $\epsilon_0 = \pm 1$ and a, b = 1, 2 and with gauge fields given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{xy}^{I} = p^{I} \ . \tag{2.21}$$

Here p^{I} are constants and the metric and scalar fields depend only on τ .

The analysis of the equations of motion (2.1) yields

$$\frac{3\ddot{\omega}}{2\omega} - \frac{\dot{\omega}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{1}{4}\kappa^{\mu}_{\ \nu}\kappa^{\nu}_{\ \mu} + G_{IJ}\dot{X}^I\dot{X}^J = 0 , \qquad (2.22)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^{x}{}_{x} + \frac{\omega}{\omega} \kappa^{x}{}_{x} - 2\frac{\omega}{\omega} = 0 , \qquad (2.23)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^y{}_y + \frac{\omega}{\omega}\kappa^y{}_y - 2\frac{\omega}{\omega} = 0 , \qquad (2.24)$$

$$\dot{\kappa}^a{}_b + \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\kappa^a{}_b + \frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega}\delta^a{}_b = 0 , \qquad (2.25)$$

together with the relation

$$\ddot{\omega} = -\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon\epsilon_0 g^{xx} g^{yy} G_{IJ} p^J p^I \omega . \qquad (2.26)$$

Eqs. (2.23), (2.24) and (2.25) can be integrated to give

$$\kappa^{x}_{x} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{x}_{x} + 2\dot{\omega} \right) ,$$

$$\kappa^{y}_{y} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{y}_{y} + 2\dot{\omega} \right) ,$$

$$\kappa^{a}_{b} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{a}_{b} - \dot{\omega}\delta^{a}_{b} \right) ,$$
(2.27)

with the condition

$$\theta^{x}{}_{x} + \theta^{y}{}_{y} + \theta^{a}{}_{a} = 0 . (2.28)$$

The metric components thus satisfy

$$\dot{g}_{xx} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{x}_{x} + 2\dot{\omega}\right) g_{xx} ,$$

$$\dot{g}_{yy} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\theta^{y}_{y} + 2\dot{\omega}\right) g_{yy} ,$$

$$\dot{g}_{ab} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(g_{ac}\theta^{c}_{b} - g_{ab}\dot{\omega}\right) . \qquad (2.29)$$

Upon substituting (2.27) into (2.22), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\theta^{\nu}{}_{\mu} + 3\left(\dot{\omega}^{2} - \dot{\omega}\theta^{a}{}_{a} + \omega\ddot{\omega}\right) + 2\omega^{2}G_{IJ}\dot{X}^{I}\dot{X}^{J} = 0.$$
(2.30)

The scalar equation for our solution, with the help of very special geometry relation, takes the form

$$\partial_i G_{IJ} \left(\frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega} \dot{X}^J X^I + X^I \ddot{X}^J - \dot{X}^I \dot{X}^J + \epsilon_0 \varepsilon p^I p^J g^{xx} g^{yy} \right) = 0 .$$
 (2.31)

Eqs (2.26), (2.28), (2.29), (2.30) and (2.31) completely fix this class of solutions.

3 FAMILIES OF SOLUTIONS

In what follows, we will construct four families of solutions by solving the equations characterizing the two classes of solutions analyzed in the previous section. The first family of solutions is obtained by solving (2.11), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) using the following change of variables [14]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{H(\sigma)} , \qquad \omega = \sigma H(\sigma) . \qquad (3.1)$$

Defining the convenient parameters

$$\lambda^{z}{}_{z} = p = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^{z}{}_{z} - 4 \right) , \quad \lambda^{a}{}_{b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^{a}{}_{b} + 2\delta^{a}{}_{b} \right) , \qquad (3.2)$$

then the analysis of (2.17) gives the following metric solution

$$ds^{2} = H^{2} \left(\epsilon_{0} d\sigma^{2} + h_{ac} \left(e^{2\lambda \log \sigma}\right)^{c}{}_{b} dx^{a} dx^{b}\right) + h_{zz} \sigma^{2p} H^{-4} dz^{2}$$

$$(3.3)$$

with $h_{zz} = \pm 1$ and h_{ac} are constants. Note that the condition (2.16) in terms of the new parameters becomes

$$p + \lambda^a{}_a = 1 . ag{3.4}$$

Eq. (2.18), using variables (3.1) and (3.2), gives

$$G_{IJ}\frac{dX^{I}}{d\sigma}\frac{dX^{J}}{d\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(1 - \lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu}\right) - \frac{3}{H^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{dH}{d\sigma}\right)^{2} + \frac{(1-2p)}{\sigma}H\frac{dH}{d\sigma} + H\frac{d^{2}H}{d\sigma^{2}}\right]$$
(3.5)

and we obtain from (2.11) the condition

$$G^{IJ}q_Iq_J = 3\varepsilon h_{zz}\sigma^{1-2p}H^3\left[\frac{dH}{d\sigma} + \sigma\frac{d^2H}{d\sigma^2} - \frac{\sigma}{H}\left(\frac{dH}{d\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$
(3.6)

To proceed, we write

$$X_I = \frac{1}{3H^2} f_I(\sigma) \quad , \tag{3.7}$$

then with the help of very special geometry relations, the following equations can be derived

$$\frac{dH}{d\sigma} = \frac{1}{6H} X^{I} \frac{df_{I}}{d\sigma} ,$$

$$H \frac{d^{2}H}{d\sigma^{2}} - \left(\frac{dH}{d\sigma}\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{6} X^{I} \frac{d^{2}f_{I}}{d\sigma^{2}} - \frac{1}{12H^{2}} G^{IJ} \frac{df_{I}}{d\sigma} \frac{df_{J}}{d\sigma} ,$$

$$G_{IJ} \frac{dX^{I}}{d\sigma} \frac{dX^{J}}{d\sigma} = -\frac{3}{H} \frac{d^{2}H}{d\sigma^{2}} - \frac{3}{H^{2}} \left(\frac{dH}{d\sigma}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2H^{2}} X^{I} \frac{d^{2}f_{I}}{d\sigma^{2}} .$$
(3.8)

Using these relations in (3.5), we obtain

$$X^{I}\left(\frac{d^{2}f_{I}}{d\sigma^{2}} + \frac{(1-2p)}{\sigma}\frac{df_{I}}{d\sigma} + \frac{2}{3\sigma^{2}}\left(\lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu} - 1\right)f_{I}\right) = 0.$$
(3.9)

This admits the solutions

$$f_I = A_I \sigma^{(p-s)} + B_I \sigma^{(p+s)}$$
(3.10)

with

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu} = 1 + \frac{3}{2}\left(p^2 - s^2\right) \tag{3.11}$$

and constant A_I and B_I .

We also obtain from (3.6) the condition

$$G^{IJ}\left(f_J\frac{d^2f_I}{d\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma}f_J\frac{df_I}{d\sigma} - \frac{df_I}{d\sigma}\frac{df_J}{d\sigma} - 4\varepsilon h_{zz}q_Iq_J\sigma^{2p-2}\right) = 0.$$
(3.12)

Substituting our solution into (3.12), gives the algebraic condition

$$G^{IJ}\left(s^2 A_J B_I - \varepsilon h_{zz} q_I q_J\right) = 0.$$
(3.13)

Eq. (2.19) for our solutions, after some calculations, reduces to

$$\partial_i G^{IJ} \left(s^2 A_J B_I - \varepsilon h_{zz} q_I q_J \right) = 0 . \qquad (3.14)$$

Finally Eqs. (1.9) and (1.12) imply

$$H^4 = \frac{1}{6} G^{IJ} f_I f_J . ag{3.15}$$

Note that for s = p and diagonal $\lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$, we reproduce the solutions obtained in [12].

We can also get a second family of solutions by making the following change of variables

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\omega(\rho)} . \tag{3.16}$$

Starting with Eq. (2.17), we obtain the metric solution

$$ds^{2} = \omega^{2} \left(\epsilon_{0} d\rho^{2} + h_{ac} \left(e^{\theta \rho} \right)^{c}{}_{b} dx^{a} dx^{b} \right) + \frac{1}{\omega^{4}} h_{zz} e^{\theta^{z} z^{\rho}} dz^{2} .$$

$$(3.17)$$

with $h_{zz} = \pm 1$, h_{ac} are constants and

$$\theta^z{}_z + \theta^a{}_a = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

To proceed, we write

$$X_I = \frac{1}{3\omega^2} g_I(\rho) \quad , \tag{3.19}$$

$$\omega^4 = \frac{1}{6} G^{IJ} g_I g_J \ . \tag{3.20}$$

Using the relations of very special geometry, then (2.18), (2.11) and (2.19), respectively, give

$$X^{I}\left(\frac{d^{2}g_{I}}{d\rho^{2}} - \theta^{z}{}_{z}\frac{dg_{I}}{d\rho} + \frac{1}{6}\theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\theta^{\nu}{}_{\mu}g_{I}\right) = 0 , \qquad (3.21)$$

$$G^{IJ}\left(g_J\frac{d^2g_I}{d\rho^2} - \frac{dg_I}{d\rho}\frac{dg_J}{d\rho} - 4\varepsilon h_{zz}e^{\theta^z_z\rho}q_Iq_J\right) = 0 , \qquad (3.22)$$

$$\partial_i G^{IJ} \left(g_J \frac{d^2 g_I}{d\rho^2} - \frac{dg_I}{d\rho} \frac{dg_J}{d\rho} - 4\varepsilon h_{zz} e^{\theta^z z \rho} q_I q_J \right) = 0 .$$
(3.23)

Eq. (3.21) admits the solution

$$g_I = A_I e^{\frac{1}{2}(\theta^z_z - s)\rho} + B_I e^{\frac{1}{2}(\theta^z_z + s)\rho}$$
(3.24)

with

$$\theta^{a}{}_{b}\theta^{b}{}_{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^{z}{}_{z}\right)^{2} - \frac{3}{2}s^{2} . \qquad (3.25)$$

This solution when substituted in (3.22) and (3.23) gives the algebraic conditions

$$G^{IJ}\left(s^{2}A_{I}B_{J} - 4\varepsilon h_{zz}q_{I}q_{J}\right) = \partial_{i}G^{IJ}\left(s^{2}A_{I}B_{J} - 4\varepsilon h_{zz}q_{I}q_{J}\right) = 0.$$
(3.26)

A third family of solutions is obtained by solving (2.26), (2.29), (2.30) and (2.31) using the change of variables given by (3.1). This gives for the metric solution

$$ds^{2} = H^{2} \left(\epsilon_{0} d\tau^{2} + h_{xx} \sigma^{2p} dx^{2} + h_{yy} \sigma^{2r} dy^{2} \right) + h_{ac} \left(e^{2\lambda \log \sigma} \right)^{c} {}_{b} \frac{1}{H} dx^{a} dx^{b} .$$
(3.27)

where we have defined

$$\lambda^{x}_{x} = p = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^{x}_{x} + 2 \right), \quad \lambda^{y}_{y} = r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^{y}_{y} + 2 \right), \quad \lambda^{a}_{b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^{a}_{b} - \delta^{a}_{b} \right) .$$
(3.28)

and h_{xx} , h_{yy} take the values ± 1 and h_{ac} are constants. The condition (2.28) becomes

$$p + r + \lambda^a{}_a = 1 . \tag{3.29}$$

To proceed, we set

$$X^{I} = \frac{1}{H} h^{I}(\sigma). \tag{3.30}$$

Again with the help of very special geometry, we obtain the following useful relations

$$\frac{dH}{d\sigma} = X_I \frac{dh^I}{d\sigma} , \qquad (3.31)$$

$$-\frac{d^2H}{d\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{H}\left(\frac{dH}{d\sigma}\right)^2 + X_I \frac{d^2h^I}{d\sigma^2} = \frac{2}{3H}G_{IJ}\frac{dh^I}{d\sigma}\frac{dh^J}{d\sigma} , \qquad (3.32)$$

$$G_{IJ}\frac{dX^{I}}{d\sigma}\frac{dX^{J}}{d\sigma} = \frac{3}{2H}\left(-\frac{d^{2}H}{d\sigma^{2}} + X_{I}\frac{d^{2}h^{I}}{d\sigma^{2}}\right) .$$
(3.33)

These relations, when used in Eqs. (2.30), (2.26) and (2.31), give

$$X_{I}\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu}-1\right)h^{I}+\left(1-2l\right)\sigma\frac{dh^{I}}{d\sigma}+\sigma^{2}\frac{d^{2}h^{I}}{d\sigma^{2}}\right]=0, \qquad (3.34)$$

and

$$G_{IJ}\left[h^{J}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{dh^{I}}{d\sigma} + \frac{d^{2}h^{I}}{d\sigma^{2}}\right) - \frac{dh^{I}}{d\sigma}\frac{dh^{J}}{d\sigma} + \sigma^{2l-2}\varepsilon\epsilon_{0}h_{xx}h_{yy}p^{J}p^{I}\right] = 0 , \qquad (3.35)$$

$$\partial_i G_{IJ} \left[h^J \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{dh^I}{d\sigma} + \frac{d^2 h^I}{d\sigma^2} \right) - \frac{dh^I}{d\sigma} \frac{dh^J}{d\sigma} + \sigma^{2l-2} \varepsilon \epsilon_0 h_{xx} h_{yy} p^J p^I \right] = 0 .$$
(3.36)

where we have defined $l = \lambda^a{}_a$. Eq. (3.34) admits the solution

$$h^I = C^I \sigma^{l-s} + D^I \sigma^{l+s} , \qquad (3.37)$$

with constant C^{I} and D^{I} and

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu} = p^2 + r^2 + \lambda^a{}_b\lambda^b{}_a = 1 + \frac{3}{2}(l^2 - s^2) \; .$$

Substituting this solution into (3.35) and (3.36), we obtain the algebraic conditions

$$G_{IJ}\left(4s^2C^ID^J + \varepsilon\epsilon_0h_{xx}h_{yy}p^Jp^I\right) = \partial_i G_{IJ}\left(4s^2C^ID^J + \varepsilon\epsilon_0h_{xx}h_{yy}p^Jp^I\right) = 0.$$
(3.38)

Employing the condition (1.9) fixes H and we obtain

$$H^{3} = \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} h^{I} h^{J} h^{K} . ag{3.39}$$

Finally, a fourth family of solutions can be obtained by solving (2.26), (2.29), (2.30) and (2.31) using the change of variables given by (3.16). Solving for the metric we obtain

$$ds^{2} = \omega^{2} \left(\epsilon_{0} d\rho^{2} + h_{xx} e^{\theta^{x} x^{\rho}} dx^{2} + h_{yy} e^{\theta^{y} y^{\rho}} dy^{2} \right) + h_{ac} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(e^{\theta \rho} \right)^{c} {}_{b} dx^{a} dx^{b} .$$
(3.40)

with h_{xx} and h_{yy} taking the values ± 1 , h_{ac} are constants and

$$\theta^x{}_x + \theta^y{}_y + \theta^a{}_a = 0 . aga{3.41}$$

To proceed we write

$$X^{I} = \frac{1}{\omega} h^{I}(\rho) , \qquad (3.42)$$

$$\omega^{3} = \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} h^{I} h^{J} h^{K} . \qquad (3.43)$$

We obtain, after some calculation using very special geometry relations, the following equations

$$X_{I} \left[\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \theta^{\nu}{}_{\mu} h^{I} + 3 \left(\frac{d^{2} h^{I}}{d\sigma^{2}} - l \frac{dh^{I}}{d\sigma} \right) \right] = 0 , \qquad (3.44)$$

$$G_{IJ}\left(h^J \frac{d^2 h^I}{d\sigma^2} - \frac{dh^I}{d\sigma} \frac{dh^J}{d\sigma} + \varepsilon \epsilon_0 h_{xx} h_{yy} e^{-\rho(\theta^x_x + \theta^y_y)} p^J p^I\right) = 0, \qquad (3.45)$$

$$\partial_i G_{IJ} \left(h^J \frac{d^2 h^I}{d\sigma^2} - \frac{dh^I}{d\sigma} \frac{dh^J}{d\sigma} + \varepsilon \epsilon_0 h_{xx} h_{yy} e^{-\rho(\theta^x_x + \theta^y_y)} p^J p^I \right) = 0.$$
 (3.46)

with $l = \theta^a{}_a$. Eq. (3.44) can be solved by

$$h^{I} = C^{I} e^{\frac{1}{2}(l-s)\rho} + D^{I} e^{\frac{1}{2}(l+s)\rho}$$
(3.47)

with

$$\theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\theta^{\nu}{}_{\mu} = (\theta^{x}{}_{x})^{2} + (\theta^{y}{}_{y})^{2} + \theta^{a}{}_{b}\theta^{b}{}_{a} = \frac{3}{2}\left(l^{2} - s^{2}\right) .$$
(3.48)

Upon substituting the solution in (3.45) and (3.46), we obtain the algebraic conditions

$$G_{IJ}\left(s^2 C^I D^I + \varepsilon \epsilon_0 h_{xx} h_{yy} p^J p^I\right) = \partial_i G_{IJ}\left(s^2 C^I D^I + \varepsilon \epsilon_0 h_{xx} h_{yy} p^J p^I\right) = 0.$$
(3.49)

4 EXAMPLES

We construct solutions with three charges for the STU supergravity model with the prepotential $\mathcal{V} = X^1 X^2 X^3 = 1$. This theory can be obtained via the reduction of elevendimensional supergravity on T^6 . For this model, the gauge coupling matrix with element G_{IJ} , is given by

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (X^{1})^{-2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & (X^{2})^{-2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & (X^{3})^{-2} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(4.1)

We start with the first family of solutions where the metric is given by (3.3). Using (3.7) we obtain the following solutions

$$X^{2}X^{3} = \frac{1}{H^{2}}f_{1} ,$$

$$X^{1}X^{3} = \frac{1}{H^{2}}f_{2} ,$$

$$X^{1}X^{2} = \frac{1}{H^{2}}f_{3} ,$$
(4.2)

with

$$f_I = \sigma^{(p-s)} \left(1 + B_I \sigma^{2s} \right) . \tag{4.3}$$

The scalars and gauge fields are given by

$$X^{1} = \frac{(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{f_{1}}, \qquad X^{2} = \frac{(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{f_{2}}, \qquad X^{3} = \frac{(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{f_{3}}, \mathcal{F}^{1\sigma z} = 2q_{1}\frac{(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sigma f_{1}^{2}}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{2\sigma z} = 2q_{2}\frac{(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sigma f_{2}^{2}}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{3\sigma z} = 2q_{3}\frac{(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sigma f_{3}^{2}}.$$
(4.4)

1

Our solutions have three independent charges q_{I} with

$$B_I = \frac{1}{s^2} \varepsilon h_{zz} q_I^2 . \tag{4.5}$$

The metric, using (3.15), is given by

$$ds^{2} = (f_{1}f_{2}f_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\epsilon_{0}d\sigma^{2} + h_{ac} \left(e^{2\lambda\log\sigma}\right)^{c}{}_{b}dx^{a}dx^{b}\right) + h_{zz}\sigma^{2p}\frac{1}{\left(f_{1}f_{2}f_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}dz^{2}$$
(4.6)

with the conditions

$$\lambda^{a}{}_{a} = 1 - p ,$$

$$\lambda^{a}{}_{b}\lambda^{b}{}_{a} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}s^{2} + \frac{1}{2}p^{2} .$$
(4.7)

For the second family of solutions described by the metric (3.17), we obtain

$$ds^{2} = (g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\epsilon_{0}d\rho^{2} + h_{ac} \left(e^{\theta\rho}\right)^{c}{}_{b}dx^{a}dx^{b}\right) + \frac{1}{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} h_{zz}e^{\theta^{z}z^{\rho}}dz^{2} .$$
(4.8)

and

$$X^{1} = \frac{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{g_{1}}, \qquad X^{2} = \frac{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{g_{2}}, \qquad X^{3} = \frac{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{g_{3}},$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{1\rho z} = 2q_{1}\frac{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{g_{1}^{2}}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{2\rho z} = 2q_{2}\frac{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{g_{2}^{2}}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{3\rho z} = 2q_{3}\frac{(g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{g_{3}^{2}} \qquad (4.9)$$

with

$$g_I = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\theta^z_z - s)\rho} \left(1 + \frac{4\varepsilon h_{zz} \left(q_I\right)^2}{s^2} e^{s\rho} \right)$$
(4.10)

and

$$\theta^{z}{}_{z} + \theta^{a}{}_{a} = 0, \quad \theta^{a}{}_{b}\theta^{b}{}_{a} = \frac{1}{2} (\theta^{z}{}_{z})^{2} - \frac{3}{2}s^{2} .$$
 (4.11)

Similarly one can construct solutions of the third family with $\mathcal{F}_{xy}^{I} = p^{I}$ and obtain

$$ds^{2} = (h_{1}h_{2}h_{3})^{2} \left(\epsilon_{0}d\tau^{2} + h_{xx}\sigma^{2p}dx^{2} + h_{yy}\sigma^{2r}dy^{2}\right) + h_{ac} \left(e^{2\lambda\log\sigma}\right)^{c}{}_{b}\frac{1}{(h_{1}h_{2}h_{3})}dx^{a}dx^{b} ,$$

$$X^{1} = \frac{h^{1}}{(h^{1}h^{2}h^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}} , \quad X^{2} = \frac{h^{2}}{(h^{1}h^{2}h^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}} , \quad X^{3} = \frac{h^{3}}{(h^{1}h^{2}h^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}} ,$$

$$h^{I} = \sigma^{l-s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4s^{2}}\varepsilon\epsilon_{0}h_{xx}h_{yy} \left(p^{I}\right)^{2}\sigma^{2s}\right) , \qquad (4.12)$$

with

$$p + r + \lambda^a{}_a = 1$$
, $l = \lambda^a{}_a$, $p^2 + r^2 + \lambda^a{}_b\lambda^b{}_a = 1 + \frac{3}{2}(l^2 - s^2)$. (4.13)

For the fourth family of solutions we obtain

$$ds^{2} = (h_{1}h_{2}h_{3})^{2} \left(\epsilon_{0}d\rho^{2} + h_{xx}e^{\theta^{x}_{x}\rho}dx^{2} + h_{yy}e^{\theta^{y}_{y}\rho}dy^{2}\right) + h_{ac}\frac{1}{(h_{1}h_{2}h_{3})^{2}} \left(e^{\theta\rho}\right)^{c}{}_{b}dx^{a}dx^{b} ,$$

$$X^{1} = \frac{h^{1}}{(h^{1}h^{2}h^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}} , \quad X^{2} = \frac{h^{2}}{(h^{1}h^{2}h^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}} , \quad X^{3} = \frac{h^{3}}{(h^{1}h^{2}h^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}} ,$$

$$h^{I} = e^{\frac{\rho}{2}(l-s)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s^{2}}\varepsilon\epsilon_{0}h_{xx}h_{yy} \left(p^{I}\right)^{2}e^{s\rho}\right)$$

$$(4.14)$$

with

$$\theta^{x}{}_{x} + \theta^{y}{}_{y} + \theta^{a}{}_{a} = 0, \qquad l = \theta^{a}{}_{a} , \qquad (\theta^{x}{}_{x})^{2} + (\theta^{y}{}_{y})^{2} + \theta^{a}{}_{b}\theta^{b}{}_{a} = \frac{3}{2} \left(l^{2} - s^{2} \right).$$
(4.15)

5 SUMMARY

Four families of solutions depending only on one coordinate are obtained for N = 2 fivedimensional supergravity theories. These solutions have non-trivial scalar and gauge fields and are valid for all space-time signatures. As examples we constructed explicit solutions with three charges for the so-called STU model. The constructed solutions can be lifted up to solutions of eleven-dimensional supergravity as well as type IIB supergravity (see for example section 4.1 of [26]).

The various metric solutions are expressed in terms of constrained matrices. Their explicit form will depend on the various Jordan normal forms of the constrained matrices appearing in the metric. One can consider the following choices for $\lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$ and $\theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a + ib & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a - ib \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} ,$$
(5.1)

All these choices can be used to construct solutions for the families represented by (3.3) and (3.17). For the families represented by (3.27) and (3.40) only the first three choices are valid. In working out the explicit solutions, one should take into account that the metric components are symmetric and that $\omega = \sqrt{|g|}$. This implies that the matrix with elements

 $h_{\mu\nu}$ appearing in our solutions is symmetric and satisfies the condition $h_{\mu\rho}M^{\rho}{}_{\nu} = h_{\nu\rho}M^{\rho}{}_{\mu}$ where M is either λ or θ .

The results of this paper should be extended to other supergravity theories and in particular N = 2 four-dimensional supergravity. An interesting direction for further investigation is to generalize our results to supergravity theories with a cosmological constant or a scalar potential. Solutions of such theories should have applications to (A)dS/CFT correspondence. We hope to report on this in our future work.

References

- E. Kasner, Geometrical theorems on Einstein's cosmological equations, Am. J. Math. 43, 217 (1921).
- [2] A. Harvey, Complex transformation of the Kasner metric, Gen. Relativ. Gravit, 21 1021 (1989).
- [3] G. F. R. Ellis and M. A. H. MacCallum, A class of homogeneous cosmological models, Commun. Math. Phys. 12, 108 (1969).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Butterworth-Heinemann (1980).
- [5] E. M. Lifshitz and I. M. Khalatnikov, Investigations in relativistic cosmology, Adv. Phys. 12, 185 (1963).
- [6] V. A. Belinski and I. M. Khalatnikov, Effect of scalar and vector fields on the nature of the cosmological singularity, Sov. Phys. JETP 36 (1973) 591.
- [7] F. Dowker, J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, Pair creation of dilaton black holes, Phys. Rev. D 49, (1994) 2909.
- [8] D. Kastor and J. Traschen, Melvin magnetic fluxtube/cosmology correspondence, Classical Quantum Gravity 32, 235027 (2015).
- [9] B. K. Harrison, New solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations from old, J. Math. Phys. 9, (1968) 1744.
- [10] F. J. Ernst, Black holes in a magnetic universe, J. Math. Phys. 17, 54 (1976).
- [11] W. A. Sabra, Kasner branes with arbitrary signature, Phys. Lett. B809, 135694 (2020).
- [12] W. A. Sabra, Kasner metrics and very special geometry, Phys. Lett. B833, 137380 (2022).
- [13] W. A. Sabra, Melvin space-times in supergravity, Phys. Lett. **B847**, 138307 (2023).

- [14] W. A. Sabra, Metrics depending on one variable in Einstein-Maxwell theory, Phys. Rev. D 110, 064080 (2024).
- [15] M. Fakherdin and W. A. Sabra, One-variable metrics in string theory, arXiv:2501.09537 [hep-th].
- [16] M. A. Melvin, Pure magnetic and electric geons, Phys. Lett. 8, 65 (1964); G. Rosen, Symmetries of the Einstein-Maxwell Equations, J. Math. Phys. 3, 313 (1962); G. Rosen, "Spatially Homogeneous Solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell Equations, Phys. Rev. 136, B297 (1964).
- [17] V. Belinski and M. Henneaux, The Cosmological Singularity, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- [18] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, The Geometry of N = 2 Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras, Nucl. Phys. **B242**, (1984) 244.
- [19] E. Lauria and A. Van Proeyen, N = 2 supergravity in D = 4, 5, 6 dimensions, Lecture Notes in Physics **966**.
- [20] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, and S. Ferrara, 11-dimensional supergravity compactified on Calabi-Yau Threefolds, Phys. Lett. B357, (1995) 76.
- [21] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, Supergravity theory in eleven-dimensions, Phys. Lett. B76, (1978) 409.
- [22] W. A. Sabra and O. Vaughan, Euclidean supergravity in five dimensions, Phys. Lett. B760, (2016) 14.
- [23] W. A. Sabra, Special geometry and space-time signature, Phys. Lett. B773, (2017) 191.
- [24] L. Gall and T. Mohaupt, Five-dimensional vector multiplets in arbitrary signature, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2018) 053.
- [25] C. M. Hull, Duality and the signature of space-time, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (1998) 017.
- [26] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Black Rings, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) R169.