DEHN FUNCTIONS OF SUBGROUPS OF PRODUCTS OF FREE GROUPS PART II: PRECISE COMPUTATIONS

DARIO ASCARI, FEDERICA BERTOLOTTI, GIOVANNI ITALIANO, CLAUDIO LLOSA ISENRICH, AND MATTEO MIGLIORINI

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Bridson–Dison group has quartic Dehn function, thereby providing the first precise computation of the Dehn function of a subgroup of a direct product of free groups with super-quadratic Dehn function. We also prove that coabelian subgroups of direct products of n free groups of finiteness type \mathcal{F}_{n-1} and of corank $r \leq n-2$ have quadratic Dehn functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental geometric invariant of finitely presented groups is their Dehn function. Combinatorially, it quantifies the complexity of solving the word problem, while geometrically it provides an optimal isoperimetric inequality. Here we focus on precise computations of Dehn functions of subgroups of direct products of free groups (short: SPFs), continuing the study initiated in [ABI⁺25], where we focused on uniform upper bounds. In particular, we give the first precise computation of a super-quadratic Dehn function of a SPF:

Theorem A. The kernel of the morphism $F_2 \times F_2 \times F_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ which is surjective on every factor has quartic Dehn function.

We emphasize that we obtain the lower bound in Theorem A by introducing a new homotopical invariant, based on braid groups. Furthermore, we prove:

Theorem B. Let n, r be integers with $n \ge r+2 \ge 4$ and let K be an SPF of type \mathcal{F}_{n-1} in a direct product of n which is virtually coabelian of corank r. Then $\delta_K(N) \simeq N^2$.

Table 1 gives a summary of the results of this work and [ABI+25]. We will now explain in detail the context of our results, and the ideas behind their proofs.

1.1. The geometry of subgroups of direct products of free groups. Subgroups of direct products of free groups form a large and natural class of groups, which is the source of many important pathological examples in group theory [Mih68, Sta63, Bie81]. In particular, they provided the examples of groups of type \mathcal{F}_{n-1} and not \mathcal{F}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [Sta63, Bie81]. Here we call a group of type \mathcal{F}_n , if it has a classifying space with finitely many cells of dimension $\leq n$. On the other hand, finitely presented SPFs were classified in terms of their finiteness properties in [BHMS09, BHMS13]. We refer to [ABI⁺25] for further background and details on SPFs.

The above results make it natural to study the finer geometric invariants of SPFs, and Dehn functions are one such invariant. In this work we continue our study of Dehn functions of SPFs, which we initiated in $[ABI^+25]$, and which generalises and strengthens previous works

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F65 (20F05, 20F67, 20F69, 57M07).

Key words and phrases. Dehn function, direct product of free groups, residually free groups.

Best upper bounds							
n	3	4	5	6			
2	N^4	N^2	N^2	N^2			
3	N^8	N^5	N^2	N^2			
4	N^9	N^5	N^4	N^2			
5	N^9	N^5	N^4	N^4			
÷	:	:	:	:	•		

Best lower bounds								
r n	3	4	5	6				
2	N^4	N^2	N^2	N^2				
3	N^4	N^2	N^2	N^2				
4	N^4	N^2	N^2	N^2				
5	N^4	N^2	N^2	N^2				
:	:	:	•	:	·			

D - - + 1 - ---

TABLE 1. This table illustrates the best known upper and lower bounds for $K_r^n(r)$, obtained by combining the results of Theorems A and B and of our previous paper (see [ABI+25, Table 1]). In the table we have highlighted the cases where the two bounds coincide and give a precise computation of the Dehn function.

(see e.g. [Dis08a, LIT20, KLI22]). While in [ABI⁺25] we focus on obtaining general uniform upper bounds on natural classes of SPFs, here we focus on precise computations of their Dehn functions.

Precise computations of Dehn functions are a challenging task, since they require attaining matching upper and lower bounds. The challenge in proving optimal upper bounds is that they usually require us to explicitly find the most efficient way of simplifying words using the relations of the group under consideration. While this can be difficult, proving sharp lower bounds usually turns out to be even harder, since it requires finding invariants that can be used to prove the optimality of the fillings of a suitable family of words. Our situation seems to be no exception in this respect.

1.2. SPFs with quadratic Dehn function. The only situation in which we can avoid finding lower bounds is when we can prove that the Dehn function is bounded above by N^2 . This is because every SPF that is not free contains \mathbb{Z}^2 and is thus not hyperbolic, meaning that its Dehn function is $\geq N^2$. Generalising the computation of the Dehn function of Stallings–Bieri groups by Carter and Forester [CF17], Kropholler and the fourth author proved for large classes of SPFs that they have quadratic Dehn function.

More precisely, given an SPF K of type \mathcal{F}_{n-1} in a direct product of $n \geq 4$ free groups, they prove that $\delta_K(N) \simeq N^2$ whenever $n \geq 4 \cdot \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, where r is the corank [KLI22, Theorem 1.5]. Theorem B generalises their result to all cases where $n \geq r+2$.

To prove Theorem B, we define a normal form for elements of K. It consists of several pieces: when interpreted inside the ambient product of free groups, each of these pieces is supported on only 2 of the factors, except for the last "diagonal" piece, which is supported on r+1 factors. We then perform algebraic manipulations, using the presentations found in [ABI⁺25], to bring the product of two normal forms again into normal form. In order to perform these manipulations efficiently, we need one extra variable to play with, explaining the condition $n \ge r+2$.

Interestingly, if one thinks about Dehn functions of coabelian SPFs in terms of the geometric approach pursued by Carter and Forester in [CF17], then it amounts to pushing a filling for a loop

in a direct product of n trees into the level set under a ψ -equivariant height map $T_{m_1} \times \cdots \times T_{m_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$. These level sets are simply connected precisely when $n \geq r+2$. This raises the question if Theorem B is optimal.

Question 1.1. If K is an SPF which is virtually coabelian of corank r in a direct product of $n \leq r+1$ non-abelian free groups, is then necessarily $\delta_K(N) \succ N^2$?

1.3. A SPF with quartic Dehn function. This brings us back to the more challenging problem of determining the precise Dehn functions of SPFs in cases when it is not quadratic. A natural first candidate for solving this problem is the Bridson–Dison group $K_2^3(2)$, as it is the first and simplest example of an SPF for which we know that its Dehn function is not quadratic. Dison [Dis08b, Dis09] and Bridson [Bri] proved that $N^3 \leq \delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \leq N^6$. This already shows that Theorem B cannot hold in general if we just require $n \geq r + 1$. Theorem A shows that neither the upper nor the lower bound are optimal and that in fact $K_2^3(2)$ has quartic Dehn function.

Both the upper and lower bounds in Theorem A involve new ideas compared to the previous literature on SPFs, which may be of independent interest. For the upper bound, instead of pushing with respect to the surjective homomorphism $F_2 \times F_2 \times F_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ with kernel $K_2^3(2)$, our strategy is to interpret $K_2^3(2)$ as a kernel of a morphism from the Stallings–Bieri group SB(3) to \mathbb{Z} and apply the pushing argument to this group, using that it admits (N^2, N) as an area-radius pair.

The main innovation in our proof of Theorem A is however the lower bound, which relies on an obstruction that to us seems to be completely novel. Our strategy here is to introduce a new invariant on the set of null-homotopic words W in a suitable generating set of $K_2^3(2)$, which we call the *braid-invariant*. The braid-invariant induces lower area bounds on null-homotopic words and we apply it to show that there is a family of such words with quartic area growth in terms of their word length. To define the braid-invariant, we consider the pure braid group PB₃ on three strands, interpreted as the fundamental group of the ordered configuration space of three points in the plane; then we construct a homomorphism from W to PB₃ for every suitable choice of base points on the plane. Roughly speaking this map is defined by a certain kind of braiding of words described by a well-chosen generating set $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$ of $K_2^3(2)$; here the set $\{x_1, x_2\}$ (resp. $\{y_1, y_2\}$) diagonally generates the kernel of the restriction of ψ to the first and third factor (resp. second and third factor). The braiding happens between the words in the first pair of generators and the words in the second pair of generators. The braid-invariant counts the number of choices of base points that produce a nontrivial braid, and we prove that its growth can be quartic for a suitably chosen family of words.

We conclude by observing that Theorem A gives a negative answer to [LIT20, Question 3], which asks if the Dehn function of every finitely presented SPF in a direct product of n free groups is bounded above by N^n .

Structure. The paper is structured as follows.

- In Section 2 we fix the definitions and the notation. We recall the presentations for the kernels, as well as the details of the push-down strategy, from our previous paper [ABI+25].
- In Section 3 we prove Theorem B, by defining a normal form and performing some word manipulations. This does not rely on the push-down argument.

DEHN FUNCTIONS OF SPFS

• In Section 4 we prove Theorem A. For the upper bound, we use the push-down technique using the Stallings-Bieri group as ambient group. For the lower bound, we introduce and use the braid-invariant.

Guide for the reader. Section 2 is required for understanding the rest of the paper. Sections 3 and 4 are fairly independent to one another, so the reader interested in only one of the main theorems can jump directly from Section 2 to the relevant section.

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by the Basque Government grant IT1483-22. The second author would like to thank Karlsruhe Institute of Technology for the hospitality, and was partially supported by the INdAM GNSAGA Project, CUP E55F22000270001. The third author gratefully acknowledges support from the Royal Society through the Newton International Fellowship (award number: NIF\R1\231857). The fourth author would like to thank Robert Kropholler and Romain Tessera for many discussions about the topics of this work. The fourth and the fifth author gratefully acknowledge funding by the DFG 281869850 (RTG 2229). For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC-BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission.

2. Preliminaries and notation

We start by recalling some preliminary notions and introducing notation from [ABI+25, Section 2]. In doing so, we stay close to the contents and notation introduced there.

For a group G, we denote by $\overline{g} = g^{-1}$ the inverse of an element $g \in G$, and we denote by $[g,h] = gh\overline{gh}$ the commutator of two elements $g,h \in G$.

2.1. Free groups and homomorphisms. We denote by F(S) the free group with basis a set S. Elements $w \in F(S)$ can be written uniquely as reduced words in the alphabet $S \sqcup S^{-1}$; the group operation corresponds to concatenation of words, followed by cancellation of adjacent pairs of inverse letters. We define the *length* $|w|_S$ as the number of letters in the word representing w.

Let $S = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ be a finite ordered tuple, and let $w = w(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in F(S)$ be an element. Given another set T, and given $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in F(T)$, we denote by $w(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in F(T)$ the word obtained from w by substituting each occurrence of s_i with u_i , for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. More precisely, we consider the unique homomorphism $\eta \colon F(S) \to F(T)$ satisfying $\eta(s_i) = u_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and we define $w(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \coloneqq \eta(w)$.

2.2. Area in free groups. Let F be a free group and let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq F$ be a subset. For $w \in F$ define the *area* of w as

Area_{*R*}(w) = inf
$$\left\{ M \in \mathbb{N} \mid w = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \overline{u}_i R_i u_i, u_i \in F, R_i \in \mathcal{R} \right\},$$

and set $\operatorname{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w) = +\infty$ if w does not belong to the normal subgroup generated by \mathcal{R} .

$$F(S) \to G$$

sending each element of S to the corresponding element of G. This map is surjective if and only if S is a generating set for G. For two elements $u, w \in F(S)$, when we write u = w we mean this as an equality in the free group F(S), whereas when we write $u =_G w$ we mean that u and w project to the same element of G.

For $g \in G$, we denote by $|g|_S = \inf\{|w|_S : w \in F(S), w \text{ projects to } g\}$. We set $|g|_S = +\infty$ if g does not belong to the subgroup generated by S. If S is a finite generating set for the group G, then $|g|_S$ is the distance from the origin to g in the Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}_S(G)$.

2.4. Dehn function of a group. Let $G = \langle S | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a finitely presented group, where $S \subseteq G$ is a finite set of generators and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq F(S)$ is a finite set. Define the *Dehn function* $\delta_{G,S,\mathcal{R}} \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ as follows:

$$\delta_{G,S,\mathcal{R}}(N) = \max\{\operatorname{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w) \mid w \in F(S), |w|_{S} \leq N, w =_{G} 1\}.$$

For two functions $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, we denote $f \preccurlyeq g$ if $f(N) \leq Cg(CN+C) + CN + C$ for some constant C > 0. We denote $f \preccurlyeq g$ if $f \preccurlyeq g$ and $f \succcurlyeq g$, and we observe that \asymp is an equivalence relation.

Given two different finite presentations $G = \langle S | \mathcal{R} \rangle \cong \langle S' | \mathcal{R}' \rangle$ for the same group G, we have that $\delta_{G,S,\mathcal{R}} \simeq \delta_{G,S',\mathcal{R}'}$. We define the *Dehn function* δ_G as the equivalence class of the functions $\delta_{G,S,\mathcal{R}}$, associated to all the possible presentations for G, up to the equivalence relation \simeq . With an abuse of notation, we will sometimes denote by $\delta_G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ a function in the equivalence class.

2.5. Area-radius pairs for a group. Let $G = \langle S | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a finitely presented group. An arearadius pair for the presentation is a pair (α, ρ) of functions $\alpha, \rho \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following property: for every $w \in F(S)$ such that $w =_G 1$, it is possible to write $w = \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{u}_i R_i u_i$ for some $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in F(S)$ and $R_1, \ldots, R_k \in \mathcal{R}$, such that $k \leq \alpha(|w|)$ and $|u_1|, \ldots, |u_k| \leq \rho(|w|)$. While the Dehn function only controls the number of relations used to fill a word w, an area-radius pair bounds at the same time also the length of the conjugating elements u_i in the identity $w = \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{u}_i R_i u_i$.

We observe that, if $G = \langle S | \mathcal{R} \rangle \cong \langle S' | \mathcal{R}' \rangle$ are two different presentations for the same group, and if (α, ρ) is an area-radius pair for G with respect to the first presentation, then there is an area-radius pair (α', ρ') for G with respect to the second presentation satisfying $\alpha' \asymp \alpha$ and $\rho' \asymp \rho$. We also note that, if (α, ρ) is an area-radius pair for G, then we have $\alpha \succeq \delta_G$.

Proposition 2.1 (Papasoglu [Pap96]). Let G be a finitely presented group and suppose that $\delta_G(N) \simeq N^2$. Then there is an area-radius pair (α_G, ρ_G) for G with $\alpha_G(N) \simeq N^2$ and $\rho_G(N) \simeq N$.

Proof. This is proved in [Pap96] on page 799.

2.6. **Push-down map.** We now recall the statement of the push-down technique as described in [ABI⁺25] for the short exact sequence

$$1 \to K \stackrel{\iota}{\to} G \stackrel{h}{\to} \mathbb{Z} \to 1_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where $G = \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{C} \rangle$ is finitely presented, and $K = \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$ is finitely generated.

Choose a lift $\tilde{\iota} \colon F(\mathcal{X}) \to F(\mathcal{A})$ making the following diagram commute:



where $\tilde{h}: F(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by composition.

Definition 2.2. A *push-down map* is a map (not necessarily a group homomorphism)

push:
$$\mathbb{Z} \times F(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow F(\mathcal{X})$$

 $(q, w) \longmapsto \operatorname{push}_q(w)$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) For every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w, w' \in F(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$\operatorname{push}_q(w \cdot w') = \operatorname{push}_q(w) \cdot \operatorname{push}_{q + \widetilde{h}(w)}(w').$$

(2) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\operatorname{push}_0(\tilde{\iota}(x)) =_K x$.

Lemma 2.3. For every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, choose $u_q \in F(\mathcal{A})$ with $\widetilde{h}(u_q) = q$; set $u_0 = 1$. For every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, choose an element $z_{q,a} \in F(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\tilde{\iota}(z_{q,a}) =_G u_q \cdot a \cdot \overline{u}_{q+\widetilde{h}(a)}$. Then there is a unique push-down map push: $\mathbb{Z} \times F(\mathcal{A}) \to F(\mathcal{X})$ satisfying push_q $(a) = z_{q,a}$.

Proof. This is [ABI+25, Lemma 2.10] for $Q = \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.4 (Push-down). Suppose that we are given a short exact sequence

 $1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1$

with $G = \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{C} \rangle$ and $K = \langle \mathcal{X} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ finitely presented. Let (α_G, ρ_G) be an area-radius pair for G. Let push be a push-down map for the sequence. Suppose that

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}, |q|_{\widetilde{h}(\mathcal{A})} \le N} \operatorname{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(\operatorname{push}_{q}(C)) \le f(N)$$

for some function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. Then the Dehn function of K satisfies

$$\delta_K(N) \preccurlyeq \alpha_G(N) \cdot f(\rho_G(N)).$$

Proof. See [ABI⁺25, Theorem 2.11] for $Q = \mathbb{Z}$.

3. QUADRATIC DEHN FUNCTION

We are now interested in the Dehn functions of subgroups of type \mathcal{F}_{n-1} in a direct product of n free groups. As we have seen in the previous paper [ABI⁺25, Section 4.3], all these subgroups are commensurable to either direct products of (finitely many, finitely generated) free groups, or to one of the groups $K_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}(r)$ for integers m_1,\ldots,m_n , r with $m_1,\ldots,m_n \geq r \geq 1$. Here the group $K_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}(r)$ is defined as the kernel of a homomorphisms $\psi: F_{m_1} \times \cdots \times F_{m_n} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ from a direct product of n free groups of ranks m_1,\ldots,m_n to a free abelian group of rank r, where the homomorphism ψ is required to be surjective on each factor. Note that different choices of ψ give isomorphic groups (see for example [Dis08b]).

The Dehn function of $K_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}(r)$ is independent of the values of $m_1,\ldots,m_n \geq 2$, and it only depends on n and r (see [ABI⁺25, Theorem 4.4]). Moreover, for r = 1 the Dehn function had already been proved to be quadratic for all $n \geq 3$ [CF17]. Therefore, in the following we will only focus on the groups $K_r^n(r) := K_{r,\ldots,r}(r)$ for $r \geq 2$, where n denotes the number of factors.

In the previous paper [ABI⁺25], we have shown that the Dehn functions of $K_r^n(r)$ have a uniform polynomial upper bound, independent of n or r. This was done using the push-down technique of Theorem 2.4. The upper bound we produce is, however, always at least quartic: even if in some cases the area of the push of the relations is quadratic, by Theorem 2.4 the area of the push must be multiplied by the Dehn function of the ambient group to get an estimate for the Dehn function of the kernel. This estimate is indeed not sharp in many cases. Kropholler and the fourth author had proved that, whenever $\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \leq \frac{n}{4}$, the Dehn function of $K_r^n(r)$ is quadratic [KLI22].

In this section, we aim to improve this result, and prove that $\delta_{K_r^n(r)}(N) \approx N^2$ whenever $n \geq r+2 \geq 4$. The proof strategy goes as follows: for every element $g \in K_r^n(r)$, we define a normal form associated with it, which is a word representing g canonically. By using this normal form, it is possible to subdivide the van Kampen diagram associated with a trivial word w into triangles similarly to what was done in [CF17, KLI22]. Then, by manipulating the normal form, we are able to prove that every such triangle may be filled in so that its area is bounded by a quadratic function of its perimeter. It will then follow that the total area of the van Kampen diagram is bounded by a quadratic function in the length of the word w.

3.1. Presentations for $K_r^n(r)$. Fix integers $n \ge r+2 \ge 2$. For $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let

$$F_r^{(\alpha)} = \left\langle a_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, a_r^{(\alpha)} \right\rangle;$$

be a non-abelian free group of rank r. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}$ the ordered tuple of generators

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)} = \left(a_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, a_r^{(\alpha)}\right)$$

and define

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}.$$

We define

$$K_r^n(r) = \ker \left(\psi \colon F_r^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_r^{(n)} \to \mathbb{Z}^r \right),$$

where ψ is the surjective morphism sending $a_j^{(\alpha)}$ to the *j*-th basis vector e_j . We now recall from [ABI⁺25] explicit finite presentations for these groups, which we are going to need in the next sections.

We denote by

$$\operatorname{pr}^{(\alpha)} \colon F_r^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_r^{(n)} \to F_r^{(\alpha)}$$

the projection onto the α -th factor. We also denote by $\operatorname{pr}^{(\alpha)}$ the restriction $\operatorname{pr}^{(\alpha)} \colon K_r^n(r) \to F_r^{(\alpha)}$ to the kernel. Moreover, in the following we often regard $F_r^{(\alpha)}$ as a subgroup of $F_r^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_r^{(n)}$, with respect to the natural inclusion.

Define

$$x_i^{(\alpha)} = a_i^{(\alpha)} \overline{a}_i^{(n)} \in K_r^n(r)$$

for $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Define $\mathcal{X}^{(\alpha)}$ as ordered tuple

$$\mathcal{X}^{(\alpha)} = \left(x_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, x_r^{(\alpha)}\right)$$

and set

$$\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{X}^{(\alpha)}.$$

From [ABI⁺25, Lemma 3.1] we have that the set \mathcal{X} generates $K_r^n(r)$.

Consider the following sets of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &\coloneqq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_i, y_i \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} x_i = x_i^{(\alpha)}, \ y_i = x_i^{(\beta)} \\ i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \ \alpha \neq \beta \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \right\} \\ \mathcal{R}_2 &\coloneqq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_i, y_j \overline{z}_j \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} x_i = x_i^{(\alpha)}, \ y_i = x_i^{(\beta)}, \ z_i = x_i^{(\gamma)} \\ i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ pairwise distinct} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and define $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2$. By [ABI⁺25, Theorem 3.13], a presentation for $K_r^n(r)$ is given by $\langle \mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ for all $n \geq r+2 \geq 2$. Note that the presentations are more complicated if we remove the conditions $n \geq r+2 \geq 2$, see [ABI⁺25, Section 3.1.2].

3.2. Standard and diagonal free subgroups of $K_r^n(r)$. In what follows, we will often need to consider the same word written in different alphabets as described in Section 2.1. Recall that, if $w \in F(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_t)$, then $w(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_t)$ is the element in $F(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_t)$ obtained from w by substituting ξ_i with η_i .

In addition to the standard subsets of generators $\mathcal{X}^{(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ for $K_r^n(r)$, we often employ a diagonal set $\Delta = (x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_r^{(r)})$. This is the key point where we use that $n \geq r+2$: this assumption implies that Δ is well-defined, and moreover we have some leeway from the fact that $\mathcal{X}^{(r+1)}$ is also well-defined (i.e. $r+1 \leq n-1$) and is disjoint from Δ . This will be crucial in order to perform some word manipulations with a small number of relations. Similarly, we define $\overline{\Delta} := (\overline{x}_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \overline{x}_r^{(r)})$.

Lemma 3.1. The subgroup of $K_r^n(r)$ represented by words written in the alphabet $\mathcal{X}^{(i)}$ is a free group for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. The subgroup of $K_r^n(r)$ represented by words written in the alphabet Δ is a free group.

Proof. Call $X^{(i)}$ (resp. D) the subgroup of $K_r^n(r)$ represented by words written in the alphabet $\mathcal{X}^{(i)}$ (resp. Δ). The map $X^{(i)} \to \langle \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \rangle$ (resp. $D \to \langle \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \rangle$) sending $x_j^{(i)}$ to $a_j^{(i)}$ (resp. $x_i^{(i)}$ to $a_j^{(n)}$) induces an injective homomorphism of groups. Now, the statement follows from the fact that $\langle \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \rangle$ is a free group for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Remark 3.2. In the lemma above, we proved that for some subsets S of the generators \mathcal{X} of $K_r^n(r)$, the subgroup $\langle S \rangle < K_r^n(r)$ is a free group with S as generating set. However, we should keep in mind the distinction between $\langle S \rangle < K_r^n(r)$, and the *abstract* free group F(S) with generating set S, even if they are naturally isomorphic: the former is a subgroup of $K_r^n(r)$, while the latter is naturally a subgroup of $F(\mathcal{X})$. In other words, elements of $\langle S \rangle$ are elements of $K_r^n(r)$, while elements of F(S) are formal words, up to free equivalence, in the alphabet $S \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

For the same reason, we also keep two different symbols to denote $F_r^{(i)} \cong F(\mathcal{A}^{(i)})$: the first should be thought of as a subgroup of $F_r^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_r^{(n)}$, while the second denotes words, up to free equivalence, in the letters $a_j^{(i)}$. This is particularly useful as we will be able to perform substitutions as described in Section 2.1.

Definition 3.3. Let $w \in F(S)$ be an element of a free group with generating set S, and let $s \in S$. We say that w is *s*-balanced if w is in the kernel of the homomorphism $F(S) \to \mathbb{Z}$ that sends $s \mapsto 1$, and $s' \mapsto 0$ for all $s' \in S \setminus \{s\}$, or equivalently, if any word in the alphabet $S \cup S^{-1}$ that represents w contains an equal number of s and \overline{s} .

3.3. Normal form. In this section, we associate to each $g \in K$ a canonical element of $F(\mathcal{X})$ representing it.

Definition 3.4. Let $g \in K_r^n(r)$. The normal form of g is an element $w_g \in F(\mathcal{X})$ representing g that decomposes as

$$w_g = w_g^{\Delta} \cdot w_g^{(1)} \cdot w_g^{(2)} \cdots w_g^{(n-1)}$$

where $w_g^{(i)} \in F(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and w_g^{Δ} belongs to the commutator subgroup $[F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$.

Lemma 3.5. The element w_q^{Δ} represents an element in the subgroup

$$\left[F_r^{(n)}, F_r^{(n)}\right] \subset K_r^n(r) \subset F_r^{(1)} \times \dots \times F_r^{(n)}.$$

Proof. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the projection $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)} : F_r^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_r^{(n)} \to F_r^{(i)}$ sends $x_j^{(j)}$ to the trivial element for $i \neq j$, and to $\overline{a}_i^{(i)}$ for i = j. Since w_g^{Δ} belongs to the commutator subgroup, it is $x_i^{(i)}$ -balanced, so $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w_q^{\Delta})$ is trivial.

Since the projection to every factor except the last is trivial, then w_g^{Δ} represents an element in $F_r^{(n)}$. However, since it is also an element of $K_r^n(r)$, it is contained in $F_r^{(n)} \cap K_r^n(r) = [F_r^{(n)}, F_r^{(n)}]$.

The following lemma assures that the normal form always exists and is unique.

Lemma 3.6. For every g in $K_r^n(r)$, there exists a unique normal form. Moreover, for $1 \le i \le n-1$, the factor $w_g^{(i)} \in F(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})$ is such that $w_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{A}^{(i)})$ represents the projection $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(g)$.

Proof. We will first prove existence and then uniqueness.

Existence. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, denote by $g_i \in F_r^{(i)} = F(\mathcal{A}^{(i)})$ the projection of g to the *i*-th factor. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we set $w_g^{(i)} = g_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}) \in F(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})$: we have in particular that $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w_g^{(i)}) = g_i$. Moreover, we define

$$w_g^{\Delta} \coloneqq g_n(\overline{\Delta}) \cdot (g_1(\Delta) \cdots g_{n-1}(\Delta))^{-1}.$$

We claim that the element w_g^{Δ} is in $[F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$. Indeed, if we project w_g^{Δ} to the *i*-th factor, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, we get

$$\left(a_i^{(i)}\right)^{\psi_i(g_n)} \cdot \left(\left(a_i^{(i)}\right)^{-\psi_i(g_1)} \cdots \left(a_i^{(i)}\right)^{-\psi_i(g_{n-1})}\right)^{-1}$$

where ψ_i is the *i*-th component of the map $\psi: F_r^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_r^{(n)} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$, while for $i \in \{r+1, \ldots, n-1\}$ the projection is trivial. Since g is represented by $g_1 \cdots g_n$ and $\psi(g) = 0$ as $g \in K_r^n(r)$, the above expression is trivial. So w_g^{Δ} is $x_i^{(i)}$ -balanced for all i, i.e. it belongs to the commutator subgroup $[F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$.

Thus, the word

$$w_g = w_g^{\Delta} \cdot w_g^{(1)} \cdot w_g^{(2)} \cdots w_g^{(n-1)} \in F(\mathcal{X})$$

satisfies the properties of the normal form. We just need to show that w_g represents g. It is enough to prove that the projection $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w_g)$ coincides with g_i , for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we observe that the projection $\operatorname{pr}^{(j)}(w_g^{(i)})$ of $w_g^{(i)}$ satisfies:

$$\operatorname{pr}^{(j)}\left(w_{g}^{(i)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \notin \{i, n\};\\ g_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}^{(i)}\right) & \text{if } j = i;\\ g_{i}\left(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}\right) & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $\operatorname{pr}^{(j)}(w_g^{\Delta})$ is nontrivial only if j = n and in this case it is represented by the word

$$w_g^{\Delta}\left(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}\right) = g_n\left(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}\right) \cdot \left(g_1\left(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}\right) \cdots g_{n-1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

Putting everything together, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w_g) = g_i \left(\mathcal{A}^{(i)} \right),$$

for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, as desired.

Uniqueness. Let

$$v_g = v_g^{\Delta} \cdot v_g^{(1)} \cdot v_g^{(2)} \cdots v_g^{(n-1)}$$

be another normal form for g and let w_g be as in the proof of the existence. Since v_g^{Δ} represents an element in $F_r^{(n)}$, its projection $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(v_g^{\Delta})$ represents the trivial element whenever $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. It is also clear from the definition that $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(v_g^{(j)})$ is nontrivial only if j = i or j = n. Therefore, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$,

$$\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(v_g^{(i)}) = \operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(v_g) = \operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w_g) = \operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w_g^{(i)}),$$

so $v_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{A}^{(i)}) = w_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{A}^{(i)})$, which implies $v_g^{(i)} = w_g^{(i)}$. As the two elements of $F(\Delta)$

$$v_g^{\Delta} = v_g \cdot \left(v_g^{(1)} \cdot v_g^{(2)} \cdots v_g^{(n-1)} \right)^{-1},$$
$$w_g^{\Delta} = w_g \cdot \left(w_g^{(1)} \cdot w_g^{(2)} \cdots w_g^{(n-1)} \right)^{-1}$$

represent the same element in $K_r^n(r)$ (because of the previous computations) and the subgroup generated by Δ is free (Lemma 3.1), they coincide. We have therefore proven that the normal form is unique.

Finally, the fact that $w_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{A}^{(i)})$ represents the projection $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(g)$ follows from the construction shown in the existence part.

Lemma 3.7. Let $g \in K_r^n(r)$ and $w_g \in F(\mathcal{X})$ be its normal form; then $|w_g| \leq 3 \cdot |g|_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proof. Let w_g be the normal form of g expressed as in Definition 3.4. Let $w \in F(\mathcal{X})$ be any word representing g. By Lemma 3.6,

$$\left| w_g^{(i)} \right| = \left| w_g^{(i)} \left(\mathcal{A}^{(i)} \right) \right| = \left| \operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(g) \right|_{\mathcal{A}^{(i)}},$$

where $w_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{A}^{(i)})$ represents $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(g)$ (for $1 \leq i \leq g$). However, $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(g)$ is represented by $\operatorname{pr}^{(i)}(w)$, and this projection is obtained from w by replacing the letters $x_j^{(i)}$ with $a_j^{(i)}$ and $x_j^{(k)}$ with 1, for all $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $k \neq i$. Thus, $|w_g^{(i)}|$ is at most the number of letters in w belonging to the alphabet $\mathcal{X}^{(i)}$. This is enough to prove that

(1)
$$\left| w_g^{(1)} \cdots w_g^{(n-1)} \right| \le |w|.$$

For the same reason, we have that $\operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(g)$ is obtained by replacing $x_j^{(i)}$ with $\overline{a}_j^{(n)}$, so we get that $|\operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(g)|_{\mathcal{A}^{(n)}} \leq |w|$. On the other hand, $|w_g^{\Delta}| = |\operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(w_g^{\Delta})|$ since, by Lemma 3.1, the words written in the alphabet Δ generate K as a free group. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_g^{\Delta}| &= \left| \operatorname{pr}^{(n)} \left(w_g^{\Delta} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \operatorname{pr}^{(n)} \left(w_g \cdot \left(w_g^{(1)} \cdots w_g^{(n-1)} \right)^{-1} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \operatorname{pr}^{(n)} (w_g) \right| + \left| \operatorname{pr}^{(n)} \left(w_g^{(1)} \cdots w_g^{(n-1)} \right)^{-1} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot |w|. \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to (1), the fact that $\operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(w_g) = \operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(g)$ (as they both represent $\operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(g)$ in the free group $F_r^{(n)}$) and the inequality $|\operatorname{pr}^{(n)}(g)| \leq |w|$.

3.4. Quadratic upper bound. Throughout the whole section, we use the presentation described in Section 3.1, and all areas will be considered with respect to it. The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. Let $n \ge 4$ and $r \ge 2$ be natural numbers satisfying $n \ge r+2$. There exists C > 0 such that, for all $g, h \in K_r^n(r)$, we have that

Area
$$(w_g w_h \overline{w}_{gh}) \le C \cdot \max\left\{ |w_g|^2, |w_h|^2 \right\}.$$

where w_q, w_h, w_{qh} denote the normal forms of, respectively, g, h, gh.

In other words, this tells us that triangles in the Cayley graph whose sides represent words in normal form have quadratic area with respect to their perimeter. Given a word of length N, we can subdivide its van Kampen diagram into such triangles as in Fig. 1, so that the estimate above yields a quadratic bound on the area of the whole diagram. Similar arguments already appeared in other places, including [GS02, CF17]; below we give a precise statement and proof.

Theorem 3.9. Let $n \ge 4, r \ge 2$ be natural numbers with $n \ge r+2$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $g \in K_r^n(r)$ and for any $w \in F(\mathcal{X})$ that represents g, it holds that

$$\operatorname{Area}(\overline{w}w_g) \le C \cdot |w|^2,$$

where $w_g \in F(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the normal form of g.



FIGURE 1. Given a trivial word $w = g_1 \dots g_N$, we replace each generator g_i with its normal form w_{g_i} , and then we replace iteratively the product of two normal forms with the normal form of its product. This process can be represented by the Dehn diagram above: if one proves that the area of each triangle is quadratic in its perimeter, one gets a quadratic bound of the area of the whole diagram.

Since the normal form of the trivial element is trivial, this implies directly Theorem B. We now prove how to recover Theorem 3.9 from Proposition 3.8.

Proof. Pick a constant C > 0 such that the statement holds for all w of length at most 12. We may also assume that C is bigger than the constant C' given by Proposition 3.8.

We claim that $\operatorname{Area}(\overline{w}w_g) \leq C \cdot |w|^2$ holds for words of any length: we prove this by induction. To this end, let N > 12 and assume that the statement holds for every w with |w| < N.

Let $w \in F(\mathcal{X})$ representing g with |w| = N, and decompose it as $w = w_1 w_2$, so that, for i = 1, 2, the word w_i has length at most N/2 + 1 and represents some element $g_i \in K_r^n(r)$. By inductive hypothesis, we can replace w_1 and w_2 by w_{g_1} and w_{g_2} using at most $2 \cdot C(N/2 + 1)^2$ relations; then, we can rewrite the product $w_{g_1}w_{g_2}$ as $w_{g_1g_2} = w_g$ using at most $C(N/2 + 1)^2$ relations by Proposition 3.8.

Thus, we are able to fill $\overline{w}w_q$ using at most

$$(2C+C) \cdot \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + N + 1\right) < 3C \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12}\right) \cdot N^2 = C \cdot N^2$$

relations, where we used that $N + 1 < N^2/12$ for N > 12. This concludes the proof.

Let us delve into the proof of Proposition 3.8. We start with some auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 3.10. Let $i, j \in \{1, ..., r\}$, and $k \in \{r + 1, ..., n - 1\}$. Then

$$\left\lfloor x_i^{(k)} \overline{x}_i^{(i)}, x_j^{(j)} \right\rfloor = 1.$$

Proof. If $i \neq j$, then it is a relation. Otherwise, it is immediate by checking that projections are trivial in both cases i = j and $i \neq j$.

Lemma 3.11. Let $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$, $k \in \{r+1, ..., n-1\}$, and let Δ' be the alphabet obtained from Δ by replacing $x_i^{(i)}$ with $x_i^{(k)}$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for every $w \in [F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$ we have

Area
$$(w(\Delta)\overline{w}(\Delta')) \leq C \cdot |w|^2$$
.

Proof. Replace in $w(\Delta)$ every $x_i^{(i)}$ with $(x_i^{(i)}\overline{x}_i^{(k)})x_i^{(k)}$. Since $x_i^{(i)}\overline{x}_i^{(k)}$ commutes with every letter of Δ by Lemma 3.10, and it also commutes with $x_i^{(k)}$, we can commute every $(x_i^{(i)}\overline{x}_i^{(k)})$ to the left. Since $w(\Delta)$ is $x_i^{(i)}$ -balanced, the resulting word is freely trivial.

Lemma 3.12. Let $\sigma: \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be injective, and denote by Δ' the alphabet $(x_1^{(\sigma(1))}, \ldots, x_r^{(\sigma(r))})$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for every $w \in [F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$ we have

Area
$$(w(\Delta)\overline{w}(\Delta')) \leq C \cdot |w|^2$$

Proof. It follows by iterating Lemma 3.11.

Lemma 3.13. There exists a constant C > 0 with the following property. For every $v, w \in F(\Delta)$ and $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ such that either $v \in [F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$ or $i \in \{r+1, ..., n-1\}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Area}\left([w(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}), v(\Delta)][w(\Delta), v(\Delta)]^{-1}\right) \leq C \cdot \max\{|w|^2, |v|^2\}$$

Proof. First assume that $i \in \{r + 1, ..., n - 1\}$, say i = n - 1. Then after simplifying, the statement is equivalent to computing the area of

$$w(\mathcal{X}^{(n-1)})v(\Delta)\overline{w}(\mathcal{X}^{(n-1)})w(\Delta)\overline{v}(\Delta)\overline{w}(\Delta).$$

Assume that $w(\mathcal{X}^{(n-1)})$ ends with the letter $x_k^{(n-1)}$. Note that we can replace $x_k^{(n-1)}v(\Delta)\overline{x}_k^{(n-1)}$ with $x_k^{(k)}v(\Delta)\overline{x}_k^{(k)} =: v'(\Delta)$ using a linear number of relations, since $x_k^{(n-1)}\overline{x}_k^{(k)}$ commutes with every letter of Δ , and by iterating we conclude.

If $i \leq r$, since $v \in [F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$, after permuting factors and using Lemma 3.12 we may assume i = n - 1 > r, reducing to previous case. This completes the proof.

Now we note a purely algebraic lemma.

Lemma 3.14. Let G be a group with a given finite presentation, and let $s_i, t_i \in G$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $S = (s_1, \ldots, s_r), T = (t_1, \ldots, t_r)$, and denote by $\overline{T}S$ the alphabet $(\overline{t}_1 s_1, \ldots, \overline{t}_r s_r)$. Suppose that $[t_i, \overline{t}_j s_j] = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.

There exists a constant C > 0 such that for every $w \in F(S)$ the element

$$\overline{w}(\mathcal{T}) \cdot w(\mathcal{S}) \cdot \overline{w}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{S}) \in F(\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T})$$

represents the trivial element of G and has area bounded above by $C \cdot |w|^2$.

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that the elements in $S \cup T$ are generators of the given presentation, and that the commutators $[t_i, \bar{t}_j s_j]$ are relations.

Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $w(\mathcal{S}) = w'(\mathcal{S})s_j^{\varepsilon}$. Then we can rewrite the expression as

$$\overline{t}_j^{\varepsilon}\overline{w}'(\mathcal{T})\cdot w'(\mathcal{S})s_j^{\varepsilon}\cdot (\overline{s}_jt_j)^{\varepsilon}\overline{w}'(\overline{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{S}).$$

We can then simplify the s_j (possibly after commuting it with t_j , if $\varepsilon = -1$) and commute t_j to the right with |w'| relations, since t_j commutes with every letter of $w'(\overline{\mathcal{TS}})$. So we get

$$\overline{t}_{j}^{\varepsilon} \big(\overline{w}'(\mathcal{T}) \cdot w'(\mathcal{S}) \overline{w}'(\overline{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{S}) \big) t_{j}^{\varepsilon}$$

and by iterating the procedure we conclude.

Lemma 3.15. Let $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $j \in \{r+1, ..., n-1\}$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that, for every $v, w \in F(\Delta)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Area}\left(\left[w(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}), v(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})\right]\left[w(\Delta), v(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})\right]^{-1}\right) \le C \cdot \max\left\{|w|^2, |v|^2\right\}.$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality i = 1 and j = n - 1. After simplifying $v(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})$, the expression becomes a conjugate of

(*)
$$\left[\overline{w}(\Delta)w(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}), v(\mathcal{X}^{(n-1)})\right].$$

Note that, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, this expression is freely equivalent to

$$\left[\overline{w}(\Delta)(\overline{x}_1^{(1)})^k(x_1^{(1)})^kw(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}),v(\mathcal{X}^{(n-1)})\right],$$

so we may assume that both $w(\Delta)$ and $w(\mathcal{X}^{(1)})$ are $x_1^{(1)}$ -balanced.

Consider now the expression $\overline{w}(\Delta)w(\mathcal{X}^{(1)})$ on the left side of the commutator. By using Lemma 3.12, this can be rewritten as

$$\overline{w}\left(x_1^{(n-1)}, x_2^{(2)}, x_3^{(3)}, \dots, x_r^{(r)}\right) w\left(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}\right)$$

Then, by using Lemma 3.14 with $\mathcal{T} = (x_1^{(n-1)}, x_2^{(2)}, x_3^{(3)}, \dots, x_r^{(r)}), \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X}^{(1)}$, we obtain

$$w\Big(\overline{x}_1^{(n-1)}x_1^{(1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)}x_2^{(1)}, \overline{x}_3^{(3)}x_3^{(1)}, \dots, \overline{x}_r^{(r)}x_r^{(1)}\Big).$$

Since $x_2^{(2)}$ commutes with $\overline{x}_k^{(k)} x_k^{(1)}$, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, and with $\overline{x}_1^{(n-1)} x_1^{(1)}$ (it can be seen by checking the projection for $k = 1, k = 2, k \ge 3$), we may commute every occurrence of $x_2^{(2)}$ to the left, obtaining

$$\left(x_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{-m} \cdot w\left(\overline{x}_{1}^{(n-1)}x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(1)}, \overline{x}_{3}^{(3)}x_{3}^{(1)}, \dots, \overline{x}_{r}^{(r)}x_{r}^{(1)}\right),$$

where m is the number of times the second generator appears in w (counted with sign).

Now we apply again Lemma 3.14 with

$$S = \left(\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)} x_2^{(1)}, \overline{x}_3^{(3)} x_3^{(1)}, \dots, \overline{x}_r^{(r)} x_r^{(1)}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{T} = \left(\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(n-1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)}, 1, \dots, 1\right)$$

and we get

$$(x_2^{(2)})^{-m} \cdot \overline{w} \Big(\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(n-1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)}, 1, \dots, 1 \Big) \cdot w \Big(\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)} x_2^{(1)}, \overline{x}_3^{(3)} x_3^{(1)}, \dots, \overline{x}_r^{(r)} x_r^{(1)} \Big).$$

Apply Lemma 3.14 another time to the word \overline{w} with $S = (\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)}, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $\mathcal{T} = (\overline{x}_1^{(n-1)} x_1^{(1)}, 1, \dots, 1)$ obtaining

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}^{-m} \cdot w \Big(\overline{x}_1^{(n-1)} x_1^{(1)}, 1, \dots, 1 \Big) \cdot \overline{w} \Big(\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)}, 1, \dots, 1 \Big) \cdot \\ \cdot w \Big(\overline{x}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(1)}, \overline{x}_2^{(2)} x_2^{(1)}, \overline{x}_3^{(3)} x_3^{(1)}, \dots, \overline{x}_r^{(r)} x_r^{(1)} \Big)$$

where we also used the relations $[x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(n-1)}\overline{x}_1^{(2)}]$ and $[x_1^{(2)}, x_1^{(n-1)}]$ at most |w| times each.

Now $w(\overline{x}_1^{(n-1)}x_1^{(1)}, 1, \dots, 1)$ is freely trivial because w is balanced in the first generator, and since $x_2^{(3)}$ commutes with $\overline{x}_1^{(2)}x_1^{(1)}$ we can rearrange as

$$\left(\overline{x}_{2}^{(2)}x_{2}^{(3)}\right)^{m}\overline{w}\left(\overline{x}_{1}^{(2)}x_{1}^{(1)},\overline{x}_{2}^{(2)}x_{2}^{(3)},1,\ldots,1\right)w\left(\overline{x}_{1}^{(2)}x_{1}^{(1)},\overline{x}_{2}^{(2)}x_{2}^{(1)},\overline{x}_{3}^{(3)}x_{3}^{(1)},\ldots,\overline{x}_{r}^{(r)}x_{r}^{(1)}\right).$$

All these manipulations required a number of relations that is quadratic in the length of w; in the end we have obtained an expression for $\overline{w}(\Delta)w(\mathcal{X}^{(1)})$ in which all the pairs of letters commute with $x_k^{(n-1)}$, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. So the commutator (*) can be filled with a quadratic number of relations in the length of w and v, as required.

Lemma 3.16. There is a constant C > 0 such that for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ with $i \neq j$ and $u, v \in F(\Delta)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Area}\left([u(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}), v(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})] \cdot [u(\Delta), v(\Delta)]^{-1}\right) \le C \max(|u|^2, |v|^2).$$

Proof. Up to permuting factors and using Lemma 3.12, we may assume that $i \in \{r+1, \ldots, n-1\}$. By Lemma 3.15 we can rewrite $[u(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}), v(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})]$ as $[u(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}), v(\Delta)]$. The assertion follows by applying Lemma 3.13.

Lemma 3.17. There exists a constant C_n depending on n such that, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and for every $w \in [F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$ with $|w| \leq N$ and all $w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1} \in F(\Delta)$ with $|w_1|, \ldots, |w_{n-1}| \leq N$, we have that

$$\operatorname{Area}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot w(\Delta) \cdot \left(w'(\Delta)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right)^{-1}\right) \le C_{n-1} \cdot N^2,$$
$$w'(\Delta) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i(\Delta)\right) \cdot w(\Delta) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i(\Delta)\right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $k \in \{0, \ldots, n-2\}$, where $w_{k+1} = \cdots = w_{n-1} = 1$, the case k = 0 being trivial. So assume there is a constant C_{k-1} such that the induction hypothesis for k-1 holds and assume that $w_{k+1} = \ldots = w_{n-1} = 1$.

By Lemma 3.13 we have that

where

$$w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)})w(\Delta) = \left[w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)}), w(\Delta)\right]w(\Delta)w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)}) = \left[w_k(\Delta), w(\Delta)\right]w(\Delta)w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)})$$

using $C \cdot N^2$ relations for some constant C > 0. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot w(\Delta) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)}) \cdot w(\Delta) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot [w_k(\Delta), w(\Delta)] \cdot w(\Delta) \cdot w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot w_k(\Delta) w(\Delta) \overline{w}_k(\Delta) \cdot w_k(\mathcal{X}^{(k)}) \\ &= w'(\Delta) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}), \end{split}$$

where in the last step we used the inductive hypothesis that uses at most $C_{k-1} \cdot (3N)^2$ relations. So by letting $C_k = 9C_{k-1} + C$ we conclude.

Proof of Proposition 3.8. We start from the product

$$w_g w_h = w_g^{\Delta}(\Delta) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot w_h^{\Delta}(\Delta) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_h^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right),$$

and we show that we can obtain the normal form w_{gh} of gh by using a quadratic amount of relations in the lengths of w_q and w_h .

By applying Lemma 3.17, we can rewrite the expression as

$$w_g^{\Delta}(\Delta) \cdot w'(\Delta) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_g^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_h^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})\right)$$

for some $w' \in [F(\Delta), F(\Delta)]$ with a quadratic number of relations. By Lemma 3.16, we can replace $w_g^{(j)}(\mathcal{X}^{(j)}) \cdot w_h^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}^{(i)})$, where j > i, with

$$\left[w_g^{(j)}(\Delta), w_h^{(i)}(\Delta)\right] \cdot w_h^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}^{(i)}) \cdot w_g^{(j)}(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})$$

and use again Lemma 3.17 to move the commutator in the alphabet Δ to the beginning of the expression.

This allows us to rearrange the factors: after $\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ of these operations, we obtain an expression of the form

$$w^{\Delta}(\Delta) \cdot w_g^{(1)}(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}) \cdot w_h^{(1)}(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}) \cdots w_g^{(r)}(\mathcal{X}^{(r)}) \cdot w_h^{(r)}(\mathcal{X}^{(r)})$$

that represents the element $gh \in K_r^n(r)$: by Lemma 3.6 this is the normal form w_{gh} of gh, so we conclude.

4. The Dehn function of the Bridson-Dison group

We now focus our attention on the case n = 3, r = 2, so we consider the Bridson-Dison group $K_2^3(2)$, defined as the kernel of $F_2 \times F_2 \times F_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2$.

This group was studied in detail in [Dis08b, Dis09], where it was proven that its Dehn function $\delta_{K_2^3(2)}$ satisfies $N^3 \preccurlyeq \delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \preccurlyeq N^6$; the lower bound was already proven independently by Bridson [Bri]. It is finitely presented by

$$K_2^3(2) = \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \mid [x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2], [x_1^{\varepsilon_1}, y_2^{\varepsilon_2}][x_2^{\varepsilon_2}, y_1^{\varepsilon_1}] \rangle,$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$.

The presentation above can either be obtained from the one described in [Dis08b, Section 13.5], via the identification $\alpha_1 \mapsto x_1, \alpha_2 \mapsto y_1, \beta_1 \mapsto x_2, \beta_2 \mapsto y_2$, or by applying the results of [ABI+25].

If we follow the same strategy as in [ABI⁺25], we obtain that the area of the push is at most quartic, so we conclude that $\delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \preccurlyeq N^6$, which is the same bound obtained via the pushing argument in [Dis08b]. This bound is however not sharp: in what follows we prove that $\delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \approx N^4$.

We consider three copies of the free group with two generators, denoted by $F_2^{(a)}, F_2^{(b)}, F_2^{(c)}$, with generators denoted by $a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2$ respectively. Then we take the homomorphism

$$\psi \colon F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$$
$$a_i, b_i, c_i \longmapsto e_i.$$

where e_1, e_2 is the standard basis for \mathbb{Z}^2 . The inclusion $K_2^3(2) \longrightarrow F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)}$ is given by $x_i \mapsto a_i \overline{c}_i, y_i \mapsto b_i \overline{c}_i$.

Let W denote the group of elements of $F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$, up to free equivalence, that represent the trivial element in $K_2^3(2)$. In other words, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to W \to F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \to K_2^3(2) \to 1.$$

Remark 4.1 (Detecting trivial words). Given a word w in the letters x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 , there exists a simple algorithm to check whether w represents the trivial element in $K_2^3(2)$: since $K_2^3(2)$ is a subgroup of $F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)}$, the word w is trivial if and only if the projection of w on each of the three factors $F_2^{(a)}, F_2^{(b)}$ and $F_2^{(c)}$ is trivial.

By definition, the projection of w on $F_2^{(a)}$ is obtained by sending y_1, y_2 to 1 and x_1, x_2 to a_1, a_2 respectively; analogously, the projection of w on $F_2^{(b)}$ is obtained by sending x_1, x_2 to 1 and y_1, y_2 to b_1, b_2 respectively. The projection of w on $F_2^{(c)}$ is obtained by sending x_1, y_1 to \overline{c}_1 and x_2, y_2 to \overline{c}_2 .

Therefore, the word w is trivial in $K_2^3(2)$ if and only if for each of the following operations:

- deleting all occurrences of x_1, x_2 in w,
- deleting all occurrences of y_1, y_2 in w,
- replacing all occurrences of y_i with x_i (i.e. we consider x and y as the same letter),

the resulting word is trivial as an element of F_2 .

4.1. **Upper bound.** We start by proving the upper bound, i.e. that $\delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \preccurlyeq N^4$. The proof is similar to the one given for the general case, except that instead of using $F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)}$ as ambient group for the push-down argument, we use the well-known Stallings' group SB(3). Initially constructed by Stallings [Sta63], it was later described by Bieri [Bie81] as the kernel of the morphism $F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)} \to \mathbb{Z}$, that sends every generator a_i, b_i, c_i to the generator $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It was proven in [CF17] that the Dehn function of SB(3) is quadratic.

We have the natural inclusions given by the diagram



where the projection $\mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ sends the generators e_1 and e_2 to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The group SB(3) is generated by five elements x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, s , where the first four are the image of the homonymous generators of $K_2^3(2)$. The inclusion SB(3) $\hookrightarrow F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)}$ is given by $x_i \mapsto a_i \overline{c}_i, y_i \mapsto b_i \overline{c}_i$, and $s \mapsto \overline{c}_1 c_2$.

A finite presentation is given by

$$SB(3) = \left\langle x_1 \, x_2, y_1, y_2, s \; \middle| \; \begin{bmatrix} x_1, sy_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1, sx_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1, y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2, y_2 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \\ \overline{x}_1 s x_1 = \overline{x}_2 s x_2 = \overline{y}_1 s y_1 = \overline{y}_2 s y_2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Remark 4.2. The above presentation can be obtained from the presentation

$$\langle a, b, c, d, e \mid \overline{b}ab = \overline{c}ac = \overline{d}ad = \overline{e}ae, [c, d] = [d, b] = [e, c] = [e, b] = 1 \rangle$$

in [BBMS97] by identifying $a \mapsto s, b \mapsto x_1, c \mapsto sx_2, d \mapsto y_1, e \mapsto sy_2$. A sketch of proof that this is a presentation for SB(3) can be found in [Ger95].

We define the *height function* to be the homomorphism

$$h\colon F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, s)\to \mathbb{Z},$$

defined by h(s) = 1, $h(x_1) = h(x_2) = h(y_1) = h(y_2) = 0$. In other words, h(w) counts the number of s (with sign) appearing in a word representing $w \in F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, s)$. We have the following short exact sequence:

$$1 \to K_2^3(2) \to SB(3) \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{Z} \to 1.$$

We define the push-down function

push:
$$\mathbb{Z} \times F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, s) \to F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$$

as the unique function such that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$push_k(s) = 1,$$

$$push_k(x_i) = (y_1\overline{y}_2)^k x_i (y_2\overline{y}_1)^k,$$

$$push_k(y_i) = (x_1\overline{x}_2)^k y_i (x_2\overline{x}_1)^k,$$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$\operatorname{push}_k(w_1w_2) = \operatorname{push}_k(w_1)\operatorname{push}_{k+h(w_1)}(w_2)$$

for every $w_1, w_2 \in F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, s)$.

Lemma 4.3. The map $push_k$ is a well-defined push-down map as per Definition 2.2.

Proof. The first property holds by construction. The second one also follows easily by noting that $push_0(x_i) = x_i$ and $push_0(y_i) = y_i$. The well-definedness then follows as in Lemma 2.3. \Box

Lemma 4.4. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a constant $C_m > 0$ such that, for every $w, v \in F_2$ with $|w|, |v| \leq m$, the word

$$[v(y_1, y_2), w(x_1, x_2)^N] \overline{[v(x_1, x_2), w(y_1, y_2)^N]}$$

represents the trivial element of $K_2^3(2)$ and has area bounded by $C_m \cdot N^2$.

Proof. By [ABI⁺25, Lemma A.13], we know that $\operatorname{Area}([y_1, x_2^N]\overline{[x_1, y_2^N]}) \leq BN^2$ for some constant B > 0.

Define the homomorphism $\phi: F(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mapsto F(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ by sending $\xi_1 \mapsto v(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ and $\xi_2 \mapsto w(\xi_1, \xi_2)$. We now apply [ABI⁺25, Proposition 3.7] to obtain that

Area
$$([v(y_1, y_2), w(x_1, x_2)^N][v(x_1, x_2), w(y_1, y_2)^N]) \le C_m \cdot N^2$$

for some constant C_m depending only on m.

Lemma 4.5. There exists a constant C such that the following holds. Let R be a relation of SB(3). Then $\text{push}_N(R)$ is an element of $F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$, whose area with respect to the presentation of $K_2^3(2)$ is bounded by CN^2 .

Proof. Let R be as above. To prove the statement, we start from $push_N(R)$, and at each step we either multiply by at most $O(N^2)$ conjugates of some relations belonging to the presentation of $K_2^3(2)$, or we apply Lemma 4.4, until we get the trivial element. Both types of transformations involve a quadratic amount of relations, so this will give the desired conclusion.

• We start with $push_N([x_1, y_1])$, which can be written as

$$(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N x_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N \cdot y_1 [\overline{y}_1, (x_1\overline{x}_2)^N] \cdot (y_1\overline{y}_2)^N \overline{x}_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N \cdot \overline{y}_1 [y_1, (x_1\overline{x}_2)^N].$$

By applying Lemma 4.4 to the two commutators we get

$$(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N x_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N \cdot y_1 \big[\overline{x}_1, (y_1\overline{y}_2)^N\big] \cdot (y_1\overline{y}_2)^N \overline{x}_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N \cdot \overline{y}_1 \big[x_1, (y_1\overline{y}_2)^N\big].$$

This simplifies to

$$(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N x_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N y_1\overline{x}_1\overline{y}_1 x_1(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N\overline{x}_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N,$$

which is a conjugate of $[y_1, \overline{x}_1]$.

• Next consider $push_N([x_1, sy_2])$. It is freely equivalent to

$$(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N x_1 (y_2\overline{y}_1)^N (x_1\overline{x}_2)^{N+1} (y_2\overline{y}_1) y_1 (x_2\overline{x}_1)^{N+1} \cdot \cdot (y_1\overline{y}_2)^{N+1} \overline{x}_1 (y_2\overline{y}_1)^{N+1} (x_1\overline{x}_2)^{N+1} \overline{y}_1 (y_1\overline{y}_2) (x_2\overline{x}_1)^{N+1},$$

which, after commuting $(y_2 \overline{y}_1)$ with $(x_1 \overline{x}_2)$ a linear amount of times, becomes

$$(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N x_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^{N+1} (x_1\overline{x}_2)^{N+1} y_1(x_2\overline{x}_1)^{N+1} \\ \cdot (y_1\overline{y}_2)^{N+1} \overline{x}_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^{N+1} (x_1\overline{x}_2)^{N+1} \overline{y}_1(x_2\overline{x}_1)^{N+1} (y_1\overline{y}_2)^{N+1}$$

or, equivalently,

 $(y_2\overline{y}_1) \cdot \operatorname{push}_{N+1}([x_1, y_1]) \cdot (y_1\overline{y}_2)$

and we conclude as in the previous case.

• We now compute $push_N(\overline{x}_1sx_1\overline{y}_1\overline{s}y_1)$, which is equal to

 $(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N\overline{x}_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N(y_1\overline{y}_2)^{N+1}x_1(y_2\overline{y}_1)^{N+1} \cdot (x_1\overline{x}_2)^{N+1}\overline{y}_1(x_2\overline{x}_1)^{N+1}(x_1\overline{x}_2)^Ny_1(x_2\overline{x}_1)^N.$

This can be rewritten as

$$[(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N,\overline{x}_1][\overline{x}_1,(y_1\overline{y}_2)^{N+1}][(x_1\overline{x}_2)^{N+1},\overline{y}_1][\overline{y}_1,(x_1\overline{x}_2)^N]$$

We apply Lemma 4.4 twice to conclude.

• Finally, we consider $push_N(\overline{x}_1sx_1\overline{x}_2\ \overline{s}x_2)$, which can be freely reduced to

$$(y_1\overline{y}_2)^N\overline{x}_1(y_1\overline{y}_2)x_1\overline{x}_2(y_2\overline{y}_1)x_2(y_2\overline{y}_1)^N$$

This is conjugate to $[y_1\overline{y}_2, x_1\overline{x}_2]$, so it has bounded area independent of N.

The proofs for all other relations are similar.

We are now ready to prove the upper bound.

Proposition 4.6. The Dehn function of the group $K_2^3(2)$ satisfies $\delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \preccurlyeq N^4$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, the area of the push of the relations of SB(3) is bounded by a quadratic function. Since SB(3) has quadratic Dehn function [CF17, Cor. 4.3], it has linear radius by Proposition 2.1. By applying Theorem 2.4 we thus get that $\delta_{K_2^3(2)}(N) \preccurlyeq N^4$, as desired. \Box

4.2. Lower bound. We will now prove the lower bound. Our proof relies on a new invariant that we will call the braid-invariant. We will first introduce it and then show how it can be used to obtain a quartic lower bound on the Dehn function of $K_2^3(2)$.

4.2.1. The braid-invariant. We will associate to every $w \in W$ a loop inside the configuration space of two ordered points inside $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\})$. For this purpose, recall that the *n*-th configuration space $\operatorname{Conf}_n(X)$ of a topological space X is the subset of X^n consisting of *n*-tuples whose coordinates are pairwise distinct:

$$\operatorname{Conf}_n(X) = \{ (p_1, \dots, p_n) \mid p_i \neq p_j \forall 1 \le i < j \le n \}.$$

The space $\operatorname{Conf}_n(X)$ is equipped with the induced topology as a subset of the product X^n .

Now we restrict ourselves to the case of $X = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. An element of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$ can be described as a pair of paths (γ_x, γ_y) in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ in which $\gamma_x(0) = \gamma_x(1) = \hat{p}_x, \gamma_y(0) = \gamma_y(1) = \hat{p}_y$, and $\gamma_x(t) \neq \gamma_y(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. More intuitively, a representative of an element of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$ can be thought of as two points moving inside $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ starting from the position \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y , without ever colliding and, at the end, coming back to \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y . The way we associate an element of $\pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}))$ to a word $w \in W$ is by prescribing how these two points should move; more precisely, the letters x_1, x_2 will command the first point to move right, respectively up by 1 unit, while the letters y_1, y_2 will command the second point to move left, respectively down by 1 unit; all the commands are processed one by one while reading the word w.

We will see that the commands given to the two points will make them come back to their starting position, because we are only considering words representing the trivial element in $K_2^3(2)$. Moreover, if the starting positions are chosen suitably (that is by requiring that their coordinates and the coordinates of their difference are not integral), then, whatever the word w is, the two points stay away from 0 and do not collide.

In this way, given suitable starting positions, we define a homomorphism from the set of trivial words W to the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$. We use this map to define an invariant, that we call *braid-invariant*, which we will use to provide a lower bound for the Dehn function of $K_2^3(2)$.

We will now formalize the above description. Consider two Gaussian integers $p_x, p_y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ (i.e. complex numbers with integral real and imaginary part), and set

$$\hat{p}_x = p_x - \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i\right),$$

$$\hat{p}_y = p_y + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i\right),$$

so that \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y and $\hat{p}_x - \hat{p}_y$ are complex numbers with neither coordinate being integral.

Denote $F_4 = F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$. Since a word $w \in W$ represents the trivial element in $F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)}$, every generator appears in w the same number of times as its inverse: indeed, x_i is the only generator that produces the letter a_i , and y_i is the only one that produces b_i . Therefore, w is an element of $[F_4, F_4]$, and we obtain an injective homomorphism $W \hookrightarrow [F_4, F_4]$.

We define a homomorphism

$$I_{p_x,p_y} \colon [F_4, F_4] \to \pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y)),$$

depending on p_x, p_y , that sends each element $g \in [F_4, F_4]$ to an element of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$ represented by a closed loop (γ_x, γ_y) in $\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\})$ defined as follows: let w be a word representing g and let ℓ be the number of letters appearing in w. Set $\gamma_x(0) = \hat{p}_x, \gamma_y(0) = \hat{p}_y$. If the k-th letter of w is x_1^{δ} (resp. x_2^{δ}), for some $\delta \in \{\pm 1\}$, then, the path $\gamma_x|_{[(k-1)/\ell,k/\ell]}$ is the straight line from $\gamma_x((k-1)/\ell)$ to $\gamma_x((k-1)/\ell) + \delta$ (resp. $\gamma_x((k-1)/\ell) + i \cdot \delta$), while it is the trivial path if the k-th letter is y_1^{δ} or y_2^{δ} . Analogously, if the k-th letter of w is y_1^{δ} (resp. $\gamma_y((k-1)/\ell) - i \cdot \delta$), while it is the trivial path if the trivial path if the k-th letter is x_1^{δ} or x_2^{δ} .

Lemma 4.7. The map \tilde{I}_{p_x,p_y} is well-defined for all Gaussian integers p_x, p_y .

Proof. Let $g \in [F_4, F_4]$, w a representative of g and (γ_x, γ_y) be as in the definition of I_{p_x,p_y} . Because none of the coordinates of the three complex numbers \hat{p}_x , \hat{p}_y and $\hat{p}_x - \hat{p}_y$ is integral, and $\gamma_x(k/\ell)$ (resp. $\gamma_y(k/\ell)$) is obtained by adding to \hat{p}_x (resp. \hat{p}_y) a Gaussian integer, the coordinates of $\gamma_x(k/\ell)$, $\gamma_y(k/\ell)$ and $\gamma_x(k/\ell) - \gamma_y(k/\ell)$ are also not integral for any $k \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. As one of the two paths $\gamma_x|_{[(k-1)/\ell,k/\ell]}$ and $\gamma_y|_{[[(k-1)/\ell,k/\ell]}$ is constant, and the other is parallel to the real or to the imaginary axis, the three points 0, $\gamma_x(t)$ and $\gamma_y(t)$ are pairwise distinct for every $t \in [0, 1]$. This proves that (γ_x, γ_y) is a path in $\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$.

Moreover, since w is a word representing an element in $[F_4, F_4]$, each generator appears the same number of times as its inverse. Thus, $\gamma_x(1) = \gamma_x(0)$, $\gamma_y(1) = \gamma_y(0)$ and (γ_x, γ_y) is, indeed, a loop in $\text{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ based at (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y) .

Finally, as the presentation of F_4 that we are considering has no relations, if two words represent the same element in $[F_4, F_4]$, then one can be obtained from the other by adding/removing a finite number of " $q\bar{q}$ ", where q is a generator of the group. Then the corresponding loops are obtained from each other by removing/adding backtracking paths (paths that go in a direction and then go back right after), so without modifying the homotopy type of the loop. This proves that the map \widetilde{I}_{p_x,p_y} does not depend on the chosen word w representing g and so the map is well-defined.

We then define $I_{p_x,p_y}: W \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ as the composition of the two maps $W \hookrightarrow [F_4, F_4]$ and I_{p_x,p_y} .

Let q be one of the three letters a, b, c and consider the following diagram



where

• the map $\psi^{(q)}_*: \pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y)) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \psi^{(q)}(\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$ is induced by the projection $\psi^{(q)}$: Conf₂($\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) $\to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ defined by

$$\psi^{(a)}(p_x, p_y) = p_x, \qquad \psi^{(b)}(p_x, p_y) = -p_y, \qquad \psi^{(c)}(p_x, p_y) = p_y - p_x;$$

- the map $\phi^{(q)} \colon [F_4, F_4] \to [F_2^{(q)}, F_2^{(q)}]$ is the restriction of the projection $F_4 \to F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)} \twoheadrightarrow F_2^{(q)}$ to the commutator subgroup; the map $I_{p_x,p_y}^{(q)} \colon [F_2^{(q)}, F_2^{(q)}] \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \psi^{(q)}(\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$ is defined

similarly to I_{p_x,p_y} : to a word representing an element in $[F_2^{(q)}, F_2^{(q)}]$ we associate a loop γ_w based at $\psi^{(q)}(\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y)$ and obtained by concatenating several paths, one for every letter in w more precise the several path. letter in w; more precisely, the generator q_1 (\overline{q}_1 , q_2 , resp. \overline{q}_2 ,) in w corresponds to a straight line of length 1 going right (left, up, resp. down).

Lemma 4.8. The diagram described above commutes.

Proof. The left triangle and the right pentagon commute by definition. We only need to prove that the left square commutes. If g is an element in $[F_4, F_4]$ represented by the word w, then both the element $\psi_*^{(q)} \circ \tilde{I}_{p_x,p_y}(g)$ and $I_{p_x,p_y}^{(q)} \circ \phi^{(q)}(g)$ are represented by loops in \mathbb{C} defined piecewise, and each piece correspond to a generator in the word w. Therefore, it is enough to check that every generator of F_4 induces the same path inside the two loops.

Let (γ_x, γ_y) be a pair of loops in \mathbb{C} given as in the definition of $\widetilde{I}_{p_x, p_y}(g)$. Then the generator x_1 corresponds to a segment in γ_x of length 1, parallel to the real axis and with the same orientation, while it corresponds to a constant path in γ_{y} . By composing with $\psi^{(q)}$, this path is sent to a segment that goes from a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to the point z + 1, z, z - 1 respectively when q is a, b, or c. Since $x_1 = a_1 \overline{c}_1$, we have that $\phi^{(a)}(x_1) = a_1, \phi^{(b)}(x_1) = 1, \phi^{(c)}(x_1) = \overline{c}_1$. After

composing with $I_{p_x,p_y}^{(q)}$, we obtain that the corresponding path is a segment that goes from a point z to z + 1, z, z - 1 respectively, in the same way as above.

The check for x_2 is completely analogous. For y_1 and y_2 it is also similar, but one has to be careful that both \tilde{I}_{p_x,p_y} and $\psi_*(q)$ invert the sign, so the composition of the two maps ends up having the same sign.

Lemma 4.9. Let $w \in W$ be a word representing the trivial element of $K_2^3(2)$. Then $\psi_*^{(q)}(I_{p_x,p_y}(w)) = 1$ for all Gaussian integers p_x, p_y .

Proof. The image of w inside $F_2^{(a)} \times F_2^{(b)} \times F_2^{(c)}$ is trivial, since the top row is exact; as the diagram commutes $\phi^{(q)}(w)$ is trivial. Using again that the diagram commutes, implies the assertion. \Box

Summing up, given two Gaussian integers p_x, p_y , we can associate to every word $w \in W$ an element $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$ belonging to $\pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$. We are interested in understanding for which p_x, p_y a word w is sent to a (non)trivial element of $\pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), (\hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$.

Recall that the fundamental group of $\operatorname{Conf}_k(\mathbb{C})$ with base points $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to the pure braid group PB_k on k strands (see, e.g., [Art50]).

Remark 4.10. This isomorphism would be canonical if $p_1 < \cdots < p_k$ were lying on the real axis; otherwise, one would have to choose a path that moves the base points to the canonical ones. We can still think of elements of the fundamental group as pure braids, in the sense that they are naturally k-uples of strands in $\mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$, up to isotopy, where the *i*-th strand has fixed endpoints $p_i \times 0$ and $p_i \times 1$.

We observe that $\operatorname{Conf}_k(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ is a deformation retract of $\operatorname{Conf}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$, so I_{p_x,p_y} defines a morphism

$$W \to \pi_1(\operatorname{Conf}_3(\mathbb{C}), (O, \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$$

in which a word w is sent to the pure braid on three strands based in O, \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y . The first strand is the constant one based in the origin O of the complex plane \mathbb{C} ; the other two strands are represented by γ_x, γ_y as in the definition of $I_{p_x, p_y}(w)$.

This can be summarized by the following commutative diagram:

where the horizontal isomorphisms are given by adding the constant strand based at O, and $\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}$: Conf₃ \rightarrow Conf₂ is the map that forgets about one of the three points: more precisely, $\tilde{\psi}^{(a)}, \tilde{\psi}^{(b)}, \tilde{\psi}^{(c)}$ forgets about the second, third, and first point respectively.

Given a Gaussian integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we denote by $||p||_{\infty} = \max\{|\operatorname{Re} p|, |\operatorname{Im} p|\}$.

Lemma 4.11. Suppose $w \in W$ is a word of length ℓ and let p_x, p_y be two Gaussian integers. If $\max\{\|p_x\|_{\infty}, \|p_y\|_{\infty}\} > \ell$, then $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$ is trivial.

Proof. We prove the statement only in the case $\operatorname{Re}(p_x) > \ell$, being the other cases analogous.

Let γ_x, γ_y be the two loops in the definition of $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$. By hypothesis, these two loops have length at most ℓ . Thus

$$\left|\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_x(t) - \gamma_x(t'))\right|, \left|\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_y(t) - \gamma_y(t'))\right| \le \ell/2$$

for all t, t'. Thus, we can find a vertical line between the origin and p_x , which does not intersect any of γ_x and γ_y . In particular, if we consider the braid of $\pi_1(\text{Conf}_3(\mathbb{C}), (O, \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y))$ defined by $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$, then the strand based in p_y can be knotted with at most one of the other two strands, say with the one based in O. More precisely, we can isotope the braid such that the strand based in p_x is vertical, i.e. γ_x is constant, and γ_y stays inside a (topological) ball that contains the origin and does not intersect γ_x .

However, the braid $\psi^{(b)}(I_{p_x,p_y}(w))$, obtained by forgetting the strand based in p_x , is trivial by Lemma 4.9, implying that the strand based in p_y and the one based in O are unknotted. So we conclude that $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$ is trivial.

We denote by $\theta_x \colon F_4 \to \mathbb{Z}[i]$ the homomorphism sending $x_1 \mapsto 1$, $x_2 \mapsto i$, and $y_1, y_2 \mapsto 0$. Similarly, we denote by $\theta_y \colon F_4 \to \mathbb{Z}[i]$ the homomorphism that sends $y_1 \mapsto -1$, $y_2 \mapsto -i$, and $x_1, x_2 \mapsto 0$.

Lemma 4.12. Let $\alpha = \alpha(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in F_4$ be any word, $w \in W$ be a word representing the trivial element of $K_2^3(2)$, and p_x, p_y two Gaussian integers. Then $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$ is trivial if and only if $I_{p_x+\theta_x(\alpha),p_y+\theta_y(\alpha)}(\overline{\alpha}w\alpha)$ is.

Proof. By definition, one can obtain the two loops representing the element $I_{p_x,p_y}(w)$ and the element $I_{p_x+\theta_x(\alpha),p_y+\theta_y(\alpha)}(\overline{\alpha}w\alpha)$ from each other by conjugating by some path. Thus, if one of the two is trivial, so is the other.

Definition 4.13. For every $w \in W$, define the *braid-invariant* of w as

 $I(w) \coloneqq \#\{(p_x, p_y) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^2 \mid I_{p_x, p_y}(w) \text{ is nontrivial}\}.$

The following are useful properties of the braid-invariant.

Lemma 4.14. If $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $\alpha(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$ is any word in F_4 , then

- (1) $I(w_1)$ is finite;
- (2) $I(\overline{\alpha}w_1\alpha) = I(w_1);$
- (3) $I(w_1w_2) \leq I(w_1) + I(w_2)$.

Proof. The first item follows from Lemma 4.11, while the second is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.12. The third item is due to the fact that I_{p_x,p_y} is a homomorphism: if $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_1)$ and $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_2)$ are trivial for some Gaussian integers p_x, p_y , then $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_1w_2)$ is also trivial. \Box

4.2.2. Braid invariant and Dehn function. Let

$$w_n = [x_1^n, y_2^n][x_2^n, y_1^n].$$

In this section we show that $I(w_n)$ has quartic growth in n, while, clearly, the number of letters in w_n grows linearly in n. By the end of this subsection we will show that this is enough to prove that the Dehn function of $K_2^3(2)$ is at least quartic (see Proposition 4.16).

In order to compute $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_n)$ explicitly, we fix an isomorphism

$$\tau_1(\operatorname{Conf}_3(\mathbb{C}), (O, \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y)) \cong \operatorname{PB}_3$$

by projecting the braids, seen as paths inside $\mathbb{C} \times [0, 1]$, to $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$, while keeping the information about over- and under-crossings.

Since PB₃ is a finite-index subgroup of B₃, we may canonically embed the fundamental group of Conf₃(\mathbb{C}) into B₃, which has the following finite presentation:

$$\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle,$$



FIGURE 2. Paths representing the elements σ_1 and σ_2 .



FIGURE 3. The braid $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_n)$ inside $\mathbb{C} \times [0,1]$, seen from two different points of view: on the left, its projection to \mathbb{C} , where arrows denote the direction where the strands go down; on the right, the projection to $\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]$.

where σ_i exchanges the *i*-th and (i + 1)-th strand, as in Fig. 2.

Lemma 4.15. If

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(p_y - p_x), \operatorname{Im}(p_y - p_x) < n, \\ \operatorname{Re}(p_x), \operatorname{Im}(p_x) \leq 0, \\ \operatorname{Re}(p_y), \operatorname{Im}(p_y) \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

then, by using the notation just introduced for $PB_3 \subset B_3$, we get that

$$I_{p_x,p_y}(w_n) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \overline{\sigma}_1 \sigma_2 \overline{\sigma}_1^2 \overline{\sigma}_2$$

is a nontrivial element of PB_3 .

Proof. When computing $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_n)$ as described in Section 4.2.1, one obtains the braid represented in Fig. 3, which coincides with the expression above. It is easy to check that this is a nontrivial element in B₃: e.g., by closing the braid [Lic97, Chapter 1], one obtains a nontrivial link called *Borromean rings* [LZ91], [Nan93].

Now we are ready to prove that the braid invariant constitutes a lower bound for the Dehn function of $K_2^3(2)$.

Proposition 4.16. Let $w \in W$ be a word representing the trivial element of $K_2^3(2)$. Then $\operatorname{Area}(w) \geq C \cdot I(w)$ for some constant C > 0 depending only on the presentation.

Proof. Set

$$C' = \max\{I(r) \mid r \in W \text{ relation of } K_2^3(2)\}.$$

By Lemma 4.14, the constant C' is finite and only depends on the lengths of the relations. Using that w_1 is a relation of $K_2^3(2)$, and considering $p_x = p_y = 0$ in Lemma 4.15, one obtains that $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_1)$ is nontrivial and so both $I(w_1)$ and C' are positive.

If $w \in W$ is any word representing the trivial word in $K_2^3(2)$, then, by applying the second and third item of Lemma 4.14, we get

$$I(w) = I\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\operatorname{Area}(w)} \overline{\alpha_i} r_i \alpha_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{Area}(w)} I(\overline{\alpha_i} r_i \alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{Area}(w)} I(r_i)$$
$$\leq C' \cdot \operatorname{Area}(w),$$

and one concludes by letting C = 1/C'.

We are finally ready to prove that the Dehn function of $K_2^3(2)$ is at least quartic.

Proposition 4.17. The Dehn function of $K_2^3(2)$ is at least N^4 .

Proof. By Lemma 4.15, whenever p_x, p_y are two Gaussian integers such that

$$-p_x, p_y \in [0, n/2) \times [0, n/2) \subset \mathbb{C},$$

the element $I_{p_x,p_y}(w_n)$ is nontrivial in PB₃. As there are at least $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^4$ pairs of Gaussian integers (p_x, p_y) satisfying this condition, the invariant $I(w_n)$ grows at least as a polynomial of degree 4 in n. The assertion now follows from Proposition 4.16.

Proof of Theorem A. The assertion is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.6 and 4.17. \Box

Let us end by mentioning that as a consequence of Proposition 4.17 and [ABI+25, Lemma 4.3], we also obtain lower bounds on the Dehn functions of $K_r^3(r)$ for $r \ge 2$.

Corollary 4.18. For $r \ge 2$ the Dehn function of $K_r^3(r)$ is bounded below by N^4 .

References

- [ABI⁺25] Dario Ascari, Federica Bertolotti, Giovanni Italiano, Claudio Llosa Isenrich, and Matteo Migliorini. Dehn functions of subgroups of products of free groups part I: uniform upper bounds. preprint, 2025.
- [Art50] Emil Artin. The theory of braids. American Scientist, 38(1):112–119, 1950.
- [BBMS97] Gilbert Baumslag, Martin R. Bridson, Charles F. Miller, III, and Hamish Short. Finitely Presented Subgroups of Automatic Groups and their Isoperimetric Functions. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 56(2):292–304, 10 1997.
- [BHMS09] Martin R. Bridson, James Howie, Charles F. Miller, III, and Hamish Short. Subgroups of direct products of limit groups. Ann. of Math. (2), 170(3):1447–1467, 2009.
- [BHMS13] Martin R. Bridson, James Howie, Charles F. Miller, III, and Hamish Short. On the finite presentation of subdirect products and the nature of residually free groups. Amer. J. Math., 135(4):891–933, 2013.
- [Bie81] Robert Bieri. Homological Dimension of Discrete Groups. Mathematics Department, Queen Mary College, University of London, 1981.
- [Bri] Martin R. Bridson. Personal communication.

DEHN FUNCTIONS OF SPFS

- [CF17] William Carter and Max Forester. The Dehn functions of Stallings-Bieri groups. Mathematische Annalen, 368, 06 2017.
- [Dis08a] Will Dison. An isoperimetric function for Bestvina-Brady groups. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 40(3):384–394, 2008.
- [Dis08b] Will Dison. Isoperimetric functions for subdirect products and Bestvina-Brady groups. PhD thesis, Imperial College London, October 2008.
- [Dis09] Will Dison. A subgroup of a direct product of free groups whose Dehn function has a cubic lower bound. J. Group Theory, 12(5):783–793, 2009.
- [Ger95] S. M. Gersten. Finiteness properties of asynchronously automatic groups. In Geometric group theory (Columbus, OH, 1992), volume 3 of Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., pages 121–133. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [GS02] Stephen M. Gersten and Hamish Short. Some isoperimetric inequalities for kernels of free extensions. Geom. Dedicata, 92:63–72, 2002. Dedicated to John Stallings on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
- [KLI22] Robert Kropholler and Claudio Llosa Isenrich. Dehn functions of coabelian subgroups of direct products of groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 107(1):123–152, 2022.
- [Lic97] Raymond W. B. Lickorish. An Introduction to Knot Theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1997.
- [LIT20] Claudio Llosa Isenrich and Romain Tessera. Residually free groups do not admit a uniform polynomial isoperimetric function. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(10):4203–4212, 2020.
- [LZ91] Bernt Lindström and Hans-Olov Zetterström. Borromean circles are impossible. The American Mathematical Monthly, 98(4):340–341, 1991.
- [Mih68] K. A. Mihailova. The occurrence problem for free products of groups. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 4(2):181, 1968.
- [Nan93] Ollie Nanyes. An elementary proof that the borromean rings are non-splittable. The American Mathematical Monthly, 100(8):786–789, 1993.
- [Pap96] Panos Papasoglu. On the asymptotic cone of groups satisfying a quadratic isoperimetric inequality. Journal of Differential Geometry, 44(4):789 – 806, 1996.
- [Sta63] John Stallings. A finitely presented group whose 3-dimensional integral homology is not finitely generated. American Journal of Mathematics, 85(4):541–543, 1963.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE BASQUE COUNTRY, BARRIO SARRIENA, LEIOA, 48940, SPAIN *Email address*: ascari.maths@gmail.com

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI 7, 56126 PISA, ITALY *Email address:* federica.bertolotti@sns.it

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, ANDREW WILES BUILDING, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OX2 6GG OXFORD, UK Email address: italiano@maths.ox.ac.uk

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KIT, ENGLERSTR. 2, 76131 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY *Email address:* claudio.llosa@kit.edu

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KIT, ENGLERSTR. 2, 76131 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY *Email address*: matteo.migliorini@kit.edu