

A Construction of Vertex Algebra Bundles on Logarithmic Smooth Curves

Xi-Chuan Tan

Abstract

We give a construction of vertex algebra bundles and spaces of conformal blocks over a family of logarithmic smooth curves, which contains some types of singular algebraic curves in the usual sense. It generalizes some earlier results of Frenkel and Ben-Zvi on complex smooth algebraic curves.

Introduction

In the present paper, we generalize the base schemes on which sheaves of conformal vertex algebras are constructed in [6] from complex smooth algebraic curves to families of logarithmic smooth curves.

Frenkel and Ben-Zvi developed a theory in [6] for vertex algebra bundles to study representations of Lie algebras and algebraic curves. For a smooth complex curve X , it is known that the completion of the local ring at an arbitrary point x , denoted by \mathcal{O} , is isomorphic to the ring of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ on the abstract disc $D = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[z]]$. A choice of such isomorphism $\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ is said to be a local coordinate at x . The group $\text{Aut } \mathcal{O}$ of continuous automorphisms of \mathcal{O} has a natural action on the space Aut_x of local coordinate system at x . Moreover, a conformal vertex algebra V admits an $\text{Aut } \mathcal{O}$ -action through the exponential of the $\text{Der}_0 \mathcal{O}$ -action. Then the vertex algebra bundle associated to V is defined to be the twist $V \times_{\text{Aut } \mathcal{O}} \text{Aut}_X$, where Aut_X is the principal $\text{Aut } \mathcal{O}$ -bundle organized from the $\text{Aut } \mathcal{O}$ -torsors Aut_x along $x \in X$. The limitation of their theory on general curves is that the local rings at singularities are not expected to have properties of those in smooth case. An analytic study can be found in [15]

The theory of logarithmic geometry is initially established by Fontaine, Illusie, and Kato in [9], while our main reference about this theory would be the lectures of Ogus [12]. Logarithmic structures on schemes provide a way to investigate the “hidden smoothness” in some types of singular varieties. Despite Damilini, Gibney and Tarasca have found a way to define the sheaves of conformal vertex algebras over nodal curves in [4], we give a different approach to it in the language of logarithmic geometry.

Let every scheme be endowed with an appropriate logarithmic structure. We start with defining the coordinate z near a given point x on a curve X as “formally étale arcs” passing through x . Next we show that the space Aut_x of all such local coordinates, admits a $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}$ -torsor structure, where $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}$ is considered to be the group of coordinate transformations. Let $\underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty$ denote the space of framed points on an étale open subset U of X and $\pi : \underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty \rightarrow U$

the natural projection. It allows us to define the sheaf associated to a conformal vertex algebra V to be the $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}$ -equivariant part of $V \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Frame}^\infty}$.

Following the spirit of Carnahan in [2], we remove the locus of some framed points to get $\overset{\circ}{X}$. For each vertex algebra V , there is a Lie algebra $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)$ associated to $\overset{\circ}{X}$ which gives a natural action on the V -module bundles. For an n -tuple of conformal V -modules M_1, \dots, M_n respectively assigned to n framed points in X , the space of conformal blocks associated to the above data is $\text{Hom}_{\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)}(\otimes_i \mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{O})$. The main difficulty in the classical theory is that the behavior of $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)$ is subtle if $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is not regular. In this paper, this problem is solved by replacing the usual differential sheaf by the sheaf of log differentials.

This paper is organized as follows. First we devote a section to reviewing log geometry and vertex algebras. Then we introduce the coordinate system, which is the key issue in the present paper. Constructions and several properties of vertex algebra bundles and conformal blocks are given in the sequel. Finally we calculated a basic example in log geometry to our theory.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Scott Carnahan for many helpful comments. This work was supported by JST, the establishment of university fellowships towards the creation of science technology innovation, Grant Number JPMJFS2106.

1 Background

1.1 Logarithmic geometry

For the sake of simplicity, we write log (resp. prelog) for logarithmic (resp. prelogarithmic). Details about log schemes can be found in [12].

Throughout the paper, a log structure on a scheme X means a log structure on the small étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$ unless specified. And we denote by \underline{X} the underlying scheme of X . For a prelog structure $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ on X where M is a sheaf of monoids, we denote by its associated log structure $\alpha^{\text{log}} : M^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ the push-out of

$$\mathcal{O}_X^* \longleftarrow \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X^* \xrightarrow{\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X^*}} M$$

in the category of sheaves of monoids on $X_{\text{ét}}$, endowed with

$$M^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X, \quad (a, b) \mapsto \alpha(a)b.$$

A log ring $\beta : P \rightarrow A$ is sometimes denoted by (A, P) or (A, β) in the present paper if no confusion arises. If $P \rightarrow A$ is a log ring, then $\text{Spec}(P \rightarrow A)$ is defined to be the log scheme whose underlying scheme is $\underline{X} := \text{Spec } A$, together with the log structure $P^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ induced by $P \rightarrow A$ by viewing P as a constant sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$. For a morphism $f : (A, P) \rightarrow (B, Q)$ of log rings, we mean a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & B \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{f^\flat} & Q. \end{array}$$

The above morphism f is called an *isomorphism* if f^\sharp is an isomorphism of rings and f^\flat is strict (i.e. the morphism $P/P^* \rightarrow Q/Q^*$ induced by f^\flat is an isomorphism).

A monoid M is called *integral* if $m, m', m'' \in M$ and $m + m' = m + m''$ implies that $m = m'$. If in addition that M is finitely generated, we say M is *fine*. A monoid Q is said to be *saturated* if it is integral and if whenever $q \in Q^{\text{gp}}$ is such that $mq \in Q$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then $q \in Q$. Here Q^{gp} is a group associated to Q which is identified with the cokernel of the diagonal embedding $Q \rightarrow Q \oplus Q$.

A *chart* for a log scheme (X, M) subordinate to Q is a monoid homomorphism $\beta : Q \rightarrow \Gamma(X, M)$ such that the associated morphism of sheaves of monoids $Q^{\text{log}} \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism. We call M *quasi-coherent* (resp. *coherent*) if the restriction of M to any $U \in X_{\text{ét}}$ admits a chart (resp. a chart subordinate to a finitely generated monoid). A sheaf of monoids is *fine* if it is coherent and integral. If in addition the domains of all these charts are saturated, it is said to be *fs*. A log scheme (X, M) is called *fs* if M is.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of prelog schemes and E an \mathcal{O}_X -module. An *E -valued derivation* of X/Y is a pair (D, δ) where $D : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of abelian sheaves and $\delta : M_X \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of sheaves of monoids such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $D(\alpha_X(m)) = \alpha_X(m)\delta(m)$ for all local sections m of M_X ;
- 2) $\delta(f^\flat(n)) = 0$ for all local sections n of M_Y ;
- 3) $D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$ for all local sections a, b of \mathcal{O}_X ;
- 4) $D(f^\sharp(c)) = 0$ for all local sections c of $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$.

Denote by $\text{Der}_{X/Y}(E)$ the set of all such derivations. An analog of differentials of usual schemes is given as the following result.

Theorem 1.1 ([9]). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of prelog schemes. Then the functor $E \mapsto \text{Der}_{X/Y}(E)$ is representable by an \mathcal{O}_X -module $\Omega_{X/Y}^1$ endowed with a universal derivation $d \in \text{Der}_{X/Y}(\Omega_{X/Y}^1)$.*

For a morphism of log schemes $X \rightarrow Y$, we denote by $\Omega_{\underline{X}/\underline{Y}}^1$ the sheaf of relative differentials of the underlying schemes. Kato provides two constructions of $\Omega_{X/Y}^1$ in [9]:

- 1) $\Omega_{X/Y}^1 \simeq (\Omega_{\underline{X}/\underline{Y}}^1 \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_X^{\text{gp}}))/R$ where R is the \mathcal{O}_X -submodule generated by sections of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (d\alpha_X(m), -\alpha_X(m) \otimes m), \quad \forall m \in M_X, \\ (0, 1 \otimes f^\flat(n)), \quad \forall n \in f^{-1}M_Y. \end{aligned}$$

- 2) $\Omega_{X/Y}^1 \simeq (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_X^{\text{gp}})/(R_1 + R_2)$ by $dm \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ for $m \in M_X$. Here

- $R_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_X^{\text{gp}}$ is the subsheaf of sections locally of the form

$$\sum_i \alpha_X(m_i) \otimes m_i - \sum_i \alpha_X(m'_i) \otimes m'_i,$$

for $\sum_i \alpha_X(m_i) = \sum_i \alpha_X(m'_i)$.

- R_2 is the image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes f^{-1}M_Y^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_X^{\text{gp}}$.

A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of log schemes is *strict* if the induced morphism of sheaves of monoids $f^*M_Y \rightarrow M_X$ is an isomorphism. A *log thickening* is a strict closed immersion

$i : T' \rightarrow T$ of log schemes such that the square of the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} of T' in T vanishes, and the subgroup $1 + \mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{O}_T^* \simeq M_T^*$ operates freely on M_T . A *log thickening over f* is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{i} & T \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where i is a log thickening. A *deformation* of g to T is an element of

$$\text{Def}_f(g, T) := \{\tilde{g} : T \rightarrow X : \tilde{g} \circ i = g, f \circ \tilde{g} = h\}.$$

The morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *formally smooth* (resp. *unramified*, resp. *étale*) if for every log thickening $T' \rightarrow T$ over f , locally on T there exists at least one (resp. at most one, resp. exactly one) deformation \tilde{g} of g to T .

1.2 Vertex algebras

We recall the basic definitions for vertex algebras and their representations. For more details about vertex algebra, see [6].

Definition 1.2. A *vertex algebra* is a vector space V over a field of characteristic 0, equipped with the *vacuum vector* $|0\rangle$, the *translation operator* $T \in \text{End } V$, and the *vertex operation* $Y(-, z) : V \rightarrow \text{End } V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ which linearly takes each $A \in V$ to a *field* $Y(A, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n z^{-n-1}$, such that the followings are satisfied:

- 1) Vacuum axiom: $Y(|0\rangle, z) = \text{id}_V$. Furthermore, $A_n |0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $A_{-1} |0\rangle = A$;
- 2) Translation axiom: for any $A \in V$, $Y(TA, z) = \partial_z Y(A, z)$;
- 3) Locality axiom: for any $A, B \in V$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(z - w)^N [Y(A, z), Y(B, w)] = 0.$$

A vertex algebra V is called \mathbb{Z} -graded if V is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space, $|0\rangle$ is a vector of degree 0, T is a linear operator of degree 1, and for $A \in V_m$, $\deg A_n = -n - 1 + m$.

Definition 1.3. A \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex algebra V is called *conformal of central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$* , if there is a given non-zero *conformal vector* $\omega \in V_2$ such that the Fourier coefficients L_n of the corresponding vertex operator $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$ satisfy the following:

- 1) $[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{n^3 - n}{12} \delta_{n, -m} c \text{id}_V$;
- 2) $L_{-1} = T$, $L_0|_{V_n} = n \text{id}_{V_n}$.

A conformal vertex algebra V is said to be of *conformal field theory type (CFT-type)* if V grads by non-negative integers.

Definition 1.4. Let $(V, |0\rangle, T, Y)$ be a vertex algebra. A vector space M is called a *V -module* if it is equipped with an operation $Y_M : V \rightarrow \text{End } M[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ which assigns to each $A \in V$ a field $Y_M(A, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n^M z^{-n-1}$ subject to the following axioms:

- 1) $Y_M(|0\rangle, z) = \text{id}_M$, and $Y_M(A, z)m \in M((z))$ for all $A \in V$, $m \in M$;
2) for all $A, B \in V$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(z - w)^N [Y_M(A, z), Y_M(B, w)] = 0;$$

- 3) for all $A \in V$, there exists some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for any $B \in V$,

$$(z + w)^l Y_M(Y(A, z)B, w) = (z + w)^l Y_M(A, z + w)Y_M(B, w).$$

If V is \mathbb{Z} -graded, then M is called \mathbb{Z} -graded if M is a \mathbb{C} -graded vector space and for $A \in V_m$, $\deg A_n^M = -n - 1 + m$. If V is conformal with conformal vector ω , then M is called a *conformal V -module* if in addition that the Fourier coefficient L_0 of the field $Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n^M z^{-n-2}$ acts semi-simply on M . Set

$$C_2(M) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{A_{-n}u : A \in V, u \in M, n \geq 2\}.$$

If $\dim M/C_2(M) < \infty$, then M is said to be *C_2 -cofinite*. If V as a V -module is C_2 -cofinite, we say that V is *C_2 -cofinite*.

Definition 1.5. Let V be a vertex algebra. For a V -module $M = \bigoplus_i M_i$ endowed with vertex operation Y_M , its *contragredient module* (M^\vee, Y') (ref. [4], [11]) consists of a V -module $M^\vee = \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_i, \mathbb{C})$, and a vertex operation

$$Y'(-, z) : V \rightarrow \text{End } M'[[z^{\pm 1}]]$$

uniquely determined by

$$\langle Y'(A, z)\psi, m \rangle = \langle \psi, Y_M(e^{zL_1}(-z^{-2})^{L_0}A, z^{-1})m \rangle$$

for $A \in V$, $\psi \in M^\vee$, and $m \in M$.

Let $\partial = T \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_t$ be a linear operator on $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. The completion of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]/\text{Im } \partial$ with respect to the t -adic topology on $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is a topological Lie algebra denoted by $U(V)$. It is spanned by linear combinations over \mathbb{C} of $A_{[n]} := A \otimes t^n$, for $A \in V$. We mention that $U(V)$ is not closed under multiplication. By the following theorem in [6] and that there is a natural Lie algebra homomorphism $U(V) \rightarrow \text{End } V$, $A_{[n]} \mapsto A_n$, it follows that every V -module admits a natural $U(V)$ -module structure.

Theorem 1.6. *There is an equivalence between the category of V -modules and the category of coherent $U(V)$ -modules.*

Define a Lie algebra involution (ref. [4] 1.8) ϑ on $U(V)$ as

$$\vartheta(A_{[j]}) := (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} (L_1^i A)_{[2a-j-i-2]}$$

for $A \in V_a$. Referring to 4 in [11], the $U(V)$ -module structure on M^\vee is given by

$$\langle A_{[n]} \cdot \psi, u \rangle = \langle \psi, \vartheta(A_{[n]}) \cdot u \rangle$$

for $\psi \in M^\vee$, $A \in V$, $u \in M$.

2 Coordinate system

In this section, we will give a description of the coordinate system, which is subtle near nodes. This section is originally advised by Scott Carnahan.

Let S be an fs log scheme, and denote by LS_S^{fs} the category of fs log schemes over S . Let $X \in \text{LS}_S^{\text{fs}}$ be a log curve over S by means of [8]. For $T \in \text{LS}_S^{\text{fs}}$, we denote by X_T the T -log scheme arising from the fiber product of X and T in the category LS_S^{fs} .

The (formal) *disc* D is defined to be the formal scheme $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$, endowed with the log structure induced by chart $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$, $n \mapsto t^n$. It is the direct limit of the jet schemes $D_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^{n+1}))$. Let $D^\times = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}((t))$ denote the *punctured abstract disc*, with the trivial log structure. The usual abstract disc is denoted by $D^\circ = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$, with the same log structure as D . In fact, since $\mathbb{Z}((t))^* \cap \mathbb{Z}[[t]] = t^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{Z}[[t]]^*$, it follows that the induced log structure on \underline{D}° via the embedding $D^\times \rightarrow \underline{D}^\circ$ is what we have defined above.

Definition-Lemma 2.1. The presheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}\mathcal{O}$ on LS_S^{fs} is defined by

$$\underline{\text{Aut}}\mathcal{O}(T) = \text{Aut}_T(D_T),$$

the space of T -automorphisms of D_T . Moreover the subpresheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}$ of $\underline{\text{Aut}}\mathcal{O}$ whose value $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(T)$ at T is defined to be the space of T -automorphisms of D_T preserving the base points generated by the topological generator t of $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. We denote their sheafifications by the same symbols.

Proof. Let $U \rightarrow V$ be a morphism in LS_S^{fs} . Then D_U is the pull-back of $U \rightarrow V \leftarrow D_V$, i.e. the base change of D_V along $U \rightarrow V$. So an V -automorphism of D_V can be uniquely lifted to an U -automorphism of D_U . Locally we suppose that $V = \text{Spec } R$ (resp. $U = \text{Spec } S$) is endowed with the log structure modeled by chart Q_V (resp. Q_U). The morphism $U \rightarrow V$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ Q_V & \longrightarrow & Q_U \end{array}$$

For $\varphi \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(V)$, we need to check that the extension φ_U of φ from V to U preserves the base point of D_U . For $f(t) \in tS[[t]]$, let $f(t) = \sum_{i>0} s_i t^i$ for $s_i \in S$, then $\varphi_U^\# f(t) = \sum s_i \varphi^\#(t^i)$. Since $\varphi^\#(t^i) \in tR[[t]]$, we have that $\varphi_U^\# f(t) \in tS[[t]]$. Namely, $\varphi_U \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(U)$. \square

A D_T -point on X_T over T is defined to be a formally étale morphism of formal log schemes $x : D_T \rightarrow X_T$. Each D_T -point x uniquely induces a log scheme morphism $x^\circ : D_T^\circ \rightarrow X$ over T whose locally associated log ring map coincides with that of x .

Definition-Lemma 2.2. The functor $\underline{\text{Frame}}^\infty$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$ is defined as follows. For $U \in X_{\text{ét}}$, the value of $\underline{\text{Frame}}^\infty$ at T is defined to be

$$\underline{\text{Frame}}^\infty(T) = \left\{ z \in \text{Hom}_T^{\text{fét}}(D_T, U_T) : \begin{array}{ccc} D_T & \xrightarrow{z^\circ} & U_T \\ & \searrow \theta_z & \uparrow x^\circ \\ & & D_T^\circ \end{array} \text{ for some } D_T\text{-point } x \text{ and } \theta_z \in \text{Aut}_T^0(D_T^\circ) \right\}.$$

where *fét* denotes formally étale.

Proof. Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be a morphism in $X_{\acute{e}t}$, then f is étale, and so is the extension f_T . It follows from that (formally) étaleness is preserved by composition that $f_T \circ z^\circ$ is formally étale. Moreover, $f_T \circ x^\circ$ is formally étale, and thus it is associated to a D_T -point on V_T . And the automorphism θ_z is left to be invariant. Hence there is a morphism of functors $\underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty \rightarrow \underline{\text{Frame}}_V^\infty$. \square

Elements of $\underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty(T)$ and $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(T)$ are called T -valued coordinates on U and T -valued coordinate transformations respectively. We simply call the functors $\underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty$ and $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}$ the space of coordinates and coordinate transformations respectively.

Remark 2.3. Ignoring the base change and taking the base scheme to be the trivial log scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, locally a coordinate is given by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{N} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow n \mapsto t^n \\ A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[[t]] \end{array}$$

Remark 2.4. For $U \in X_{\acute{e}t}$, there is a natural map $\pi : \underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty(T) \rightarrow U_T(T)$, induced by composing with the base point. More precisely, the base point $b : T \rightarrow D_T$ is locally given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[[t]] & \longrightarrow & R \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ Q \oplus \mathbb{N} & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_T(q)t^n & \longleftarrow & \alpha_T(q)0^n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (q, n) & \longleftarrow & 0^n q \end{array}$$

and π maps a local coordinate $D_T \rightarrow U_T$ to the composition of $T \xrightarrow{b} D_T \rightarrow U_T$.

Definition 2.5. For a D_T -point x , the space of local coordinates near x is defined to be

$$\text{Aut}_x(T) = \left\{ z \in \text{Hom}_T^{\text{fét}}(D_T, X_T) : \begin{array}{ccc} D_T^\circ & \xrightarrow{z^\circ} & X_T \\ & \searrow \theta_z & \uparrow x^\circ \\ & & D_T^\circ \end{array} \text{ for some } \theta_z \in \text{Aut}_T^0(D_T^\circ) \right\}.$$

From the definition of $\text{Aut}_x(T)$, it is easy to see that it admits an $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(T)$ -action by automorphisms of D_T ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_T & \xrightarrow{\theta} & D_T \\ & \searrow \text{formally étale} & \downarrow \text{formally étale} \\ & & X_T \end{array}$$

For $\rho \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(T)$, it induces an T -automorphism of D_T° preserving the base points, which we use the same notation ρ if there is no confusion. If z is a given coordinate near x , then $z^\circ \circ \rho$ is a formally étale morphism $D_T^\circ \rightarrow X_T$. Besides, it is clear that $x^\circ \circ \theta_z \circ \rho = z^\circ \circ \rho$, and thus $\rho \cdot z$ is a coordinate near x . Then we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *The action of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0\mathcal{O}(T)$ on Aut_x is simply transitive.*

In general, a morphism $D^\circ \rightarrow X$ cannot be étale, but we could still define $\underline{\text{Frame}}_{D^\circ}^\infty$ in the same way. This intuitively helps us to construct a torsor over X , by the following result.

Proposition 2.7. $\underline{\text{Frame}}_{D^\circ}^\infty \simeq \underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that any $\alpha \in \underline{\text{Frame}}_{D^\circ}^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{fét}}(D^\circ, D^\circ)$ is an automorphism of D° preserving the base point (t) . We will use several facts about rings of formal power series from [14]. Let $\alpha^\sharp(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i$. Since $\alpha^\sharp(t \pm 1) = \alpha^\sharp(t) \pm 1$ are units and the constant term of every unit in $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ is ± 1 , it derives that $a_0 = 0$. Namely, $\alpha^\sharp(t) \in t\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. Moreover, $a_1 = \pm 1$, otherwise α will not be formally unramified. So α preserves the base point. Next we show that α is an automorphism. For $f = b_0 + b_1 t + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ such that $\alpha^\sharp(f) = b_0 + b_1 \alpha^\sharp(t) + b_2 \alpha^\sharp(t)^2 + \dots = 0$, obviously $b_0 = 0$. Then $\alpha^\sharp(t)(b_1 + b_2 \alpha^\sharp(t) + \dots) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ is an integral domain, it derives that $b_1 + b_2 \alpha^\sharp(t) + \dots = 0$; therefore $b_1 = 0$. So $\alpha^\sharp(t)(b_2 + b_3 \alpha^\sharp(t) + \dots) = 0$. Inductively all $b_i = 0$, so $f = 0$, and thus α^\sharp is injective. Moreover, since $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ is a noetherian ring, it follows that α^\sharp is an automorphism. The associated monoid morphism $\mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[[t]]^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[[t]]^*$ is given as $\alpha^\flat(m, f(t)) = (0, f(\alpha^\sharp(t))) + m \sum_i a_i(i, 1)$. It is easy to see that α^\flat is injective. The surjectiveness of α^\flat follows from that α^\sharp is surjective and the monoid map $\mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[[t]]^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ is injective. This completes the proof. \square

For a D_T -point $x : D_T \rightarrow X_T$ over T , we denote by $\underline{\text{Frame}}_{D_x}^\infty$ the space $\underline{\text{Frame}}_D^\infty(T)$ with respect to x . From the above proposition, we know that $\underline{\text{Frame}}_{D_x}^\infty$ admits a simply transitive $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)$ -action as well. Moreover, $\underline{\text{Frame}}_{D_x}^\infty \simeq \underline{\text{Frame}}_D^\infty(T)$ is an affine scheme over T . Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Frame}}_{D_x}^\infty &\simeq \underline{\text{Frame}}_D^\infty(T) \simeq \underline{\text{Spec}}_T \mathcal{O}_T[a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_3, \dots] \\ &= \varprojlim_N \underline{\text{Spec}}_T \mathcal{O}_T[a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_N] \end{aligned}$$

is a proalgebraic group over T . This inspires us to consider Aut_x as a trivial torsor $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T) \times D_x \simeq \underline{\text{Frame}}_{D_x}^\infty \times D_x$ over D_x .

3 Vertex algebra bundles and conformal blocks

Let V be a conformal vertex algebra, and the same notations in the preceding section. The *vertex algebra bundle* \mathcal{V} associated to V , is a sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$, locally on $U \in X_{\text{ét}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{V}(U) := (V \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty})^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}},$$

where π is defined in 2.4. Valued at $T \in \text{LS}_S^{\text{fs}}$, we denote by \mathcal{V}_T the sheaf on $(X_T)_{\text{ét}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{V}_T(U_T) := \mathcal{V}(U)_T = ((V \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)) \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Frame}}_U^\infty(T)})^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)}.$$

In order to give an explicit description of the $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)$ -action on $V \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$, referring to [6], recall that an element of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)$ can be write as a formal power series $z \mapsto f(z) = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ where $a_1 \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ is a unit. Given a such f , we can find $v_i \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$, $i \geq 0$ such that

$$f(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} v_i z^{i+1} \partial_z \right) v_0^{z \partial_z} \cdot z$$

Indeed, since $v_0^{z\partial_z} \cdot z = v_0 z$, we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} v_i z^{i+1} \partial_z\right) v_0 z = v_0 z + \sum_{i > 0} v_i v_0 z^{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} v_i z^{i+1} \partial_z\right) \cdot \sum_{i > 0} v_i v_0 z^{i+1} + \dots$$

Comparing the coefficients, we obtain all v_i , $i \geq 0$ from the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= a_1 \\ v_1 v_0 &= a_2 \\ v_2 v_0 + v_1^2 v_0 &= a_3, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Then the action of $f(z)$ on $V \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ is defined to be the linear operator

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{j > 0} L_j \otimes v_j\right) (\text{id}_V \otimes v_0^{-L_0}).$$

We mention that the action of $\text{id}_V \otimes v_0^{-L_0}$ is given as

$$(\text{id}_V \otimes v_0^{-L_0})(v \otimes r) = v \otimes v_0^{-m} r$$

for $v \in V_m$, $r \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$.

Let $x : D_T \rightarrow X_T$ be a D_T -point over T . Recall that we have a trivial $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)$ -torsor Aut_x on D_x . So restricting it to D_x^\times , we obtain a trivial $\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)$ -torsor on D_x^\times . The fiber \mathcal{V}_x is defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_x := ((V \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)) \otimes \text{Aut}_x(T))^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)}.$$

Then \mathcal{V}_x is isomorphic to the fiber of the bundle

$$\mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} = ((V \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)) \otimes (\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T) \times D_x^\times))^{\underline{\text{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)}$$

on D_x^\times .

Definition 3.1. A *connection* on \mathcal{V} is a linear morphism

$$\nabla : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1$$

which locally over $U \in X_{\text{ét}}$ with values in T , satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $\nabla_T(U_T)$ is $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ -linear;
- 2) $\nabla_T(U_T)(f \cdot (v \otimes z)) = v \otimes z df + f \nabla_T(U_T)(v \otimes z)$ for $f \in \Gamma(U_T, \mathcal{O}_{X_T})$, where $d : \mathcal{O}_{X_T} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_T/T}^1$ is the log derivative.

For a coordinate $z \in \text{Aut}_x(T)$, suppose that it factors through some $U_T \in (X_T)_{\text{ét}}$, i.e. there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_T^\times & \xrightarrow{z} & X_T \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & U_T \end{array}$$

Then $L_{-1} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_z \in \text{End } \mathcal{V}_T(U_T)$ locally describes a connection on \mathcal{V}_T , which we denote by ∇_T . Explicitly, it is given by (ref. [4] 2.7)

$$\nabla_T(U_T)(v \otimes z^n) = L_{-1}v \otimes z^n dz + nv \otimes z^{n-1} dz.$$

Mention that $z^n \notin \text{Aut}_x(T)$, but a term of some element in $\text{Aut}_x(T)$.

As we mentioned before, maps $D^\times \rightarrow X$, $D^\circ \rightarrow X$ and $D \rightarrow X$ cannot be étale in general, but differentials on these discs induced from that on X still works for our construction. If there is no confusion, for a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $(X_T)_{\text{ét}}$, we denote by $\Gamma(D_T^\times, \mathcal{F})$ the space of sections of the pull-back of \mathcal{F} over D_T^\times .

Recall that in section 1 and [12] IV-1.2, $\Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{D_T^\times} \otimes M_{D_T^\times}^{\text{gp}} / (R_1 + R_2)$ is generated as an $\mathcal{O}_{D_T^\times}$ -module by the image of $d : M_{D_T^\times} \rightarrow \Omega_{D_T^\times/T}^1$. So a section of $\mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1$ can be written as $(v \otimes z) \otimes f dm$, where $v \in V$, $z \in \text{Aut}_x(T)$, $f \in \Gamma(D_T^\times, \mathcal{O}_{X_T})$, $m \in \Gamma(D_T^\times, M_{X_T})$. Indeed, the fixed coordinate z , locally on $T = \text{Spec } A$, gives rise to an isomorphism $\underline{D_T^\times} \simeq \text{Spec } A((z))$, and $f \in A((z))$. Let $Q \rightarrow \Gamma(X, M_X)$ be a chart of (X, M_X) . Since (X, M_X) is fs, we suppose that Q is generated by e_1, \dots, e_n . And we denote by $f - dz$ the residue of a formal Laurent series in z at $z = 0$.

Definition 3.2. The *vertex operation* $(\mathcal{Y}_x^\vee)_T$ associated to $Y(-, z) : V \rightarrow \text{End } V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ is defined to be the $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ -linear map

$$(\mathcal{Y}_x^\vee)_T : \Gamma(D_x^\times, \mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1) \rightarrow \text{End } \mathcal{V}_x(T)$$

$$(v \otimes z) \otimes \mu \mapsto \int Y_M(v, z) \mu$$

In particular

$$(\mathcal{Y}_x^\vee)_T((v \otimes z) \otimes z^r d\bar{e}_i) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e_i)_n v_{n+r} \otimes z$$

where \bar{e}_i is the image of e_i under the composition $Q_X \rightarrow \Gamma(X, M_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X_T, M_{X_T}) \rightarrow \Gamma(D_T^\times, M_{X_T})$, and $d\bar{e}_i = \sum_n (e_i)_n z^n dz \in \Omega_{D_T^\times/T}^1$ with $(e_i)_n \in A$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{Y}_x^\vee)_T$ vanishes on the *total derivatives* $\nabla_T \Gamma(D_x^\times, \mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times})$ and hence factors through $\Gamma(D_x^\times, \mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1) / \nabla_T \Gamma(D_x^\times, \mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times})$ (ref. [6] 6.6.9). Likewise, for a conformal V -module M , the associated vertex operation is denoted by $(\mathcal{Y}_{M,x}^\vee)_T$.

In order to describe the space of conformal blocks, we have to find a Lie algebra associated to the punctured space of X acting on the inserted modules on these framed points. We start with the construction of this Lie algebra.

With respect to a point $x : D_T \rightarrow X_T$ such that $\text{Im } x$ is closed in X_T , the punctured space of points of X_T is defined to be the open subscheme $\mathring{X} = X - \text{Im } x$ of X . The étale topology on \mathring{X} arises from the follows:

Let $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow X\}$ be an étale covering of X , then $\left\{ f_i|_{f_i^{-1}(\mathring{X})} : f_i^{-1}(\mathring{X}) \rightarrow \mathring{X} \right\}$ is an étale covering of \mathring{X} .

If we want to puncture multiple points $x_1, \dots, x_n : D_T \rightarrow X_T$, setting \mathring{X}_j as x_j -punctured subscheme of X , then the associated covering of \mathring{X} is taken to be $\left\{ f_i|_{f_i^{-1}(\mathring{X}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathring{X}_n)} : f_i^{-1}(\mathring{X}) \rightarrow \mathring{X} \right\}$.

The action of ∇_T on \mathcal{V}_x is induced by the action of it on $\mathcal{V}_T(X)$. We define a Lie algebra (proved in sequel) associated to the vertex algebra V , which acts on the sheaf of vertex algebra modules.

Definition 3.3. In the case that $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is obtained by removing exactly one framed point, (ref. [6]6.6.9) define

$$\mathrm{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T := \frac{\mathcal{V}_T(\overset{\circ}{X}) \otimes \Omega_{\overset{\circ}{X}_T/T}^1}{\nabla_T \mathcal{V}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(T)}.$$

The formally étale morphism $x^\circ : D_T^\circ \rightarrow X_T$ associated to x admits a lifting $\hat{x}_\circ : D_T^\times \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}_T$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_T^\times & \xrightarrow{\hat{x}_\circ} & \overset{\circ}{X}_T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_T^\circ & \xrightarrow{x^\circ} & X_T \end{array}$$

commutes. We can pull $\mathrm{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T$ back through \hat{x}_\circ to D_T^\times , which is isomorphic to a subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1 / \nabla_T \mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times}$.

Proposition 3.4. *There is a natural Lie algebra structure locally on $\mathrm{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T$, with the Lie bracket induced by*

$$[(v \otimes z) \otimes z^m d\bar{e}_i, (v' \otimes z) \otimes z^k d\bar{e}_j] = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (e_i)_p (e_j)_q \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{p+m}{j} (v_j v \otimes z) \otimes z^{m+k-j+p+q} \right) dz$$

for sections of $\mathrm{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T$ at a given point.

Proof. The bracket is bilinear, and so it suffices to show this in the case of which $d\bar{e}_i = d\bar{e}_j = dz$, i.e.

$$[(v \otimes z) \otimes z^m dz, (v' \otimes z) \otimes z^k dz] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{m}{n} v_n v' \otimes z^{m+k-n} dz$$

gives rise to a Lie bracket. Indeed, through the map $(v \otimes z) \otimes z^r dz \mapsto v_r$, we can identify $\mathrm{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T$ with a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of Fourier coefficients of $V \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$, preserving the bracket. So it follows from [6]4.1 that $\mathrm{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T$ is a Lie algebra with the above Lie bracket. \square

Definition 3.5. Let M be a conformal V -module, we define the sheaf \mathcal{M} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ associated to M by

$$\mathcal{M}(U) := (M \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Frame}_U^\infty})^{\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}}.$$

Similar to what we have done for conformal vertex algebras, $(\mathcal{M}_T)_x$ is defined to be

$$(\mathcal{M}_T)_x := ((M \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)) \otimes \mathrm{Aut}_x(T))^{\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^0 \mathcal{O}(T)}.$$

In the 1-point case, $(\mathcal{M}_T)_x$ admits an action of $\text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T$ through $(\mathcal{Y}_{M,x}^\vee)_T$ associated to the vertex operation $Y_M(-, z) : V \rightarrow \text{End } M[[z^{\pm 1}]]$. Namely, the $\text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T$ -action is induced by

$$\hat{x}_\circ^* \text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T = \hat{x}_\circ^* \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_T(\mathring{X}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathring{X}T/T}^1}{\nabla_T \mathcal{V}_T(\mathring{X})} \right) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1}{\nabla_T \mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times}} \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{Y}_{M,x}^\vee)_T} \text{End } \mathcal{M}_x(T).$$

The *space of coinvariants* (or *space of covacua*) $H_V(X, x, M)_T$ is an \mathcal{O}_T -module

$$(\mathcal{M}_T)_x / \text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T \cdot (\mathcal{M}_T)_x.$$

Its dual, the *space of conformal blocks* $C_V(X, x, M)_T$, is defined to be $\text{Hom}_{\text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T}((\mathcal{M}_T)_x, \mathcal{O}_T)$.

In the case of multiple points x_1, \dots, x_n , with a n -tuple M_1, \dots, M_n of conformal V -modules attached to these points respectively, the $\text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T$ -action on $\bigotimes_i (\mathcal{M}_T)_{x_i}$ is induced by

$$\bigoplus (\hat{x}_i)_\circ^* : \text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T = \frac{\mathcal{V}_T(\mathring{X}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathring{X}T/T}^1}{\nabla_T \mathcal{V}_T(\mathring{X})} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \frac{\mathcal{V}_{D_{x_i}^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_{x_i}^\times/T}^1}{\nabla_T \mathcal{V}_{D_{x_i}^\times}}$$

$$(v_1 \otimes z_1, \dots, v_n \otimes z_n) \otimes \mu \mapsto \left((v_1 \otimes z_1) \otimes \mu|_{D_{x_1}^\times}, \dots, (v_n \otimes z_n) \otimes \mu|_{D_{x_n}^\times} \right)$$

and

$$\bigotimes_i (\mathcal{Y}_{x_i}^\vee)_T : \bigoplus_i \frac{\mathcal{V}_{D_{x_i}^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_{x_i}^\times/T}^1}{\nabla_T \mathcal{V}_{D_{x_i}^\times}} \rightarrow \bigotimes_i \text{End } \mathcal{V}_{x_i}(T).$$

Likewise, the *space of coinvariants* is an \mathcal{O}_T -module

$$H_V(X, (x_i), (M_i))_T := \bigotimes_i (\mathcal{M}_T)_{x_i} / \text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T \cdot \bigotimes_i (\mathcal{M}_T)_{x_i}.$$

Explicitly, for $\sigma \in \text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T$ and $\bigotimes_i m_i \in \bigotimes_i (\mathcal{M}_T)_{x_i}$, the action is given as

$$\sigma \cdot \bigotimes_i m_i = \sum_i m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathcal{Y}_{x_i}^\vee)_T(\sigma|_{D_{x_i}^\times})m_i \otimes \dots \otimes m_n.$$

The associated *space of conformal blocks* is defined to be the dual of the space of coinvariants

$$C_V(X, (x_i), (M_i))_T := \text{Hom}_{\text{Lie}_{\mathring{X}}^\circ(V)_T} \left(\bigotimes_i (\mathcal{M}_T)_{x_i}, \mathcal{O}_T \right).$$

We mention that the above \mathcal{O}_T is the pull-back of the structure sheaf of T to X_T .

The space of conformal blocks is functorial in the underlying vertex algebra:

Proposition 3.6. *Let $V \rightarrow W$ be a homomorphism of conformal vertex algebras. Then for any n -tuple of conformal W -modules $(M_i)_i$, there is a monomorphism*

$$C_W(X, (x_i), (M_i))_T \hookrightarrow C_V(X, (x_i), (M_i))_T.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove for 1-point case. Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ denote the homomorphism of conformal vertex algebras. Then a conformal W -module M admits a conformal V -module structure. There is a morphism of vertex algebra bundles $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ given by $\phi \otimes \text{id}$, so we get a morphism $\mathcal{V}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{D_x^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\times/T}^1$. If we denote by \bar{v} the image of $v \in V$ in W , then since $\overline{L(z)v} = \bar{L}(z)\bar{v}$, we obtain a well-defined morphism $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(W)_T$. Therefore there is a morphism of spaces of conformal blocks

$$C_W(X, x, M)_T \rightarrow C_V(X, x, M)_T$$

induced by $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(V)_T \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}}(W)_T$. □

The following theorem is a version of the propagation of vacua.

Theorem 3.7. *Let x, y be two distinct D_T -points of X_T , and M is a conformal V -module with integral eigenvalues with respect to the action of L_0 . Let $\overset{\circ}{X}_y$ denote the punctured space of X by y . If $\mathcal{V}_y(T)$ is an irreducible representation of $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}_y}(V)_T$ through $(\mathcal{Y}_y^\vee)_T$, then we have an isomorphism of spaces of conformal blocks*

$$C_V(X, (x, y), (M, V))_T \simeq C_V(X, x, M)_T.$$

Consequently, if x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct D_T -points, with attached conformal V -modules M_1, \dots, M_n respectively, on which the eigenvalues of L_0 are integral, then there is an isomorphism

$$C_V(X, (x_1, \dots, x_n), (M_1, \dots, M_n))_T \simeq C_V(X, (x_1, \dots, x_n, y), (M_1, \dots, M_n, V))_T.$$

Proof. Let $\overset{\circ}{X}_x$ denote the punctured space of X by x , and $\overset{\circ}{X}$ by x and y . We have a map induced by the restriction to the vacuum vector of V ,

$$C_V(X, (x, y), (M, V))_T \rightarrow C_V(X, x, M)_T$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi|_{(\mathcal{M}_T)_x \otimes (|0\rangle \otimes z_y)}$$

where $z_y \in \text{Aut}_y$ is fixed. It is clearly surjective. To see the injectiveness, we need to check that if the restrictions of $\varphi, \psi \in C_V(X, (x, y), (M, V))_T$ coincide in $C_V(X, x, M)_T$, then

$$\varphi((m \otimes z_x) \otimes (v \otimes z_y)) = \psi((m \otimes z_x) \otimes (v \otimes z_y))$$

for all $m \in M$, $v \in V$ and a fixed coordinate $z_x \in \text{Aut}_x(T)$. Since $\mathcal{V}_y(T)$ is an irreducible representation of $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}_y}(V)_T$, it follows that \mathcal{V}_y is generated by $|0\rangle \otimes z_y$ as a $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}_y}(V)_T$ -module. By our assumption, $\varphi((m \otimes z_x) \otimes (|0\rangle \otimes z_y)) = \psi((m \otimes z_x) \otimes (|0\rangle \otimes z_y))$, and therefore φ and ψ coincide with each other since they are both $\text{Lie}_{\overset{\circ}{X}_y}(V)_T$ -morphisms. The n -point case statement follows from induction on n . □

Remark 3.8. If V is simple, for instance (ref. [10] 6.3) the vertex algebra associated to the Heisenberg algebra of nonzero level, it follows from the proof that the assumption of the above theorem is satisfied.

4 A normal crossing


We give an example of our theory, which is typical in log geometry as well.

Everything done in this section is over \mathbb{C} . So for ease of notations, we omit the subscript of the base change, i.e. $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is denoted by X for any log scheme X . Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy)$ and $\overset{\circ}{X} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy))$ given by the chart $\alpha_{\overset{\circ}{X}} : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow R$, $(m, n) \mapsto x^m y^n$. Let $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$, with log structure $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $n \rightarrow 0^n$. The structure morphism $\overset{\circ}{X} \rightarrow S$ is given by the log ring map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy) & & 0^n & \hookrightarrow & x^n y^n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{N}^2 & & n & \longrightarrow & (n, n) \end{array}$$

Moreover, we know that the log differential $\Omega_{\overset{\circ}{X}/S}^1$ is generated as an R -module by $\frac{dx}{x}$ and $\frac{dy}{y}$, with the relation $\frac{dx}{x} + \frac{dy}{y} = 0$. The discs D^\times and D° (resp. D) are endowed with log structures induced by charts $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t))$ and $\mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ with $m \mapsto 0^m$ and $(m, n) \mapsto t^m 0^n$ respectively.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be the log scheme whose underlying scheme is determined by the homogeneous equation $xy = 0$ with coordinates (x, y, z) of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, endowed with log structure induced by the embedding $\overset{\circ}{X} \hookrightarrow X$. Denote by $\infty_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\infty_2 = (0, 1, 0)$. Then it is easy to see that if we remove these two points $\infty_{1,2}$ on X , we obtain the log scheme $\overset{\circ}{X}$, which is exactly the $z \neq 0$ patch of X .



We denote by D_1^\times and D_2^\times the punctured discs centered at ∞_1 and ∞_2 respectively.

For the node $o = (0, 0, 1)$, it is easy to see that $\overline{M}_o \simeq \mathbb{N}^2$. If we have a D -point on $\overset{\circ}{X}$ such that the base point on D is mapped to o , then we suppose this map is induced by $R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, $x \mapsto t$, $y \mapsto 0$. In fact, if $x \mapsto t$, $y \mapsto g(t)$, then $0 = xy \mapsto tg(t)$. Since $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is an integral domain, we may take $g(t) = 0$. Let ξ be a smooth point on $\overset{\circ}{X}$ in usual sense. Without losing generality, suppose that ξ lies in the $x \neq 0$ patch U . Then the embedding of the underlying schemes $U \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{X}$ induces the log structure on U via

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy) \\ & \swarrow & \uparrow \\ & & \mathbb{N}^2 \end{array}$$

and so $\overline{M}_\xi \simeq \mathbb{N}$. So we set the fiber of the characteristic at ∞_1 (resp. ∞_2) to be \mathbb{N} , and thus the log structure near ∞_1 (resp. ∞_2) is given by chart $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$, $n \rightarrow x^{-n}$.

Let V be a CFT-type conformal vertex algebra, and M_1 and M_2 two inserted V -modules at ∞_1 and ∞_2 respectively. Define a local coordinate z_1 (resp. z_2) at ∞_1 (resp. ∞_2) by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{z_1^\sharp} & \mathbb{C}[[t]] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{z_1^\flat} & \mathbb{N}^2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} x^{-n} & \longleftarrow & t^n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ n & \longleftarrow & (n, 0) \end{array}$$

(resp. z_2 in the same way by replacing x by y). For a log differential $\omega = f(x, y)\frac{dx}{x} + g(x, y)\frac{dy}{y}$ over $\overset{\circ}{X}$, the pull-back of ω to $D_{\infty_1}^\times$ (resp. $D_{\infty_2}^\times$) is $(f(t^{-1}, 0) - g(t^{-1}, 0))\frac{dt^{-1}}{t^{-1}}$ (resp. $(g(0, t^{-1}) - f(0, t^{-1}))\frac{dt^{-1}}{t^{-1}}$).

We give a description of the $\text{Lie}_\circ(V)$ -action. For a D -point p on $\overset{\circ}{X}$ located in the $x \neq 0$ patch U , by Cohen structure theorem, we have an isomorphism $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \simeq \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \simeq \underline{D}^\circ$. The log structure on U is induced by that on X , whose local chart is $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U, 1 \mapsto x$. Since the log structure on D is $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]], 1 \mapsto t$, it follows that the (log) coordinates on U is the same as that in usual sense (coordinates in [6]). Moreover, the log structure on D^\times is trivial. Thus from [6]-6.5.9, we have a splitting

$$\mathcal{V}_{D_{\infty_1}^\times} \simeq \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} V_m \otimes \Omega_{D_{\infty_1}^\times}^{-m}$$

by $v \otimes t^{-1} \mapsto v \otimes (dt^{-1})^{-m}$ for $v \in V_m$. Suppose that $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy)$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + b_1y + b_2y^2 + \dots, \\ g &= a'_0 + a'_1x + a'_2x^2 + \dots + b'_1y + b'_2y^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then fixing coordinates z_1 (resp. z_2), the pull-back of $f\frac{dx}{x} + g\frac{dy}{y} \in \Omega_X^1$ in $\Omega_{D_{\infty_1}^\times}^1$ (resp. $\Omega_{D_{\infty_2}^\times}^1$) is

$$(a_0 - a'_0 + (a_1 - a'_1)t^{-1} + (a_2 - a'_2)t^{-2} + \dots)\frac{dt^{-1}}{t^{-1}} \quad (\text{resp. } (a'_0 - a_0 + (b'_1 - b_1)t^{-1} + (b'_2 - b_2)t^{-2} + \dots)\frac{dt^{-1}}{t^{-1}})$$

since $dx/x = -dy/y$. Then the space of coinvariants associated to $\overset{\circ}{X}$ is isomorphic to

$$V \otimes V / \text{Lie}_\circ(V) \cdot (V \otimes V)$$

where the action of $\mu \in \text{Lie}_\circ(V)$ is given by

$$\mu \cdot (u \otimes v) = \mathcal{Y}_{\infty_1}^\vee(\mu|_{D_{\infty_1}^\times})u \otimes v + u \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{\infty_2}^\vee(\mu|_{D_{\infty_2}^\times})v.$$

In fact, fixing coordinate, for $v \otimes (f\frac{dx}{x} + g\frac{dy}{y})$, its pull-back to $D_{\infty_i}^\times$, $i = 1, 2$ is supposed to be a class in

$$\text{Im} \left(\mathcal{V}_{D_{\infty_i}^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_{\infty_i}^\times}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{D_{\infty_i}^\times} \otimes \Omega_{D_{\infty_i}^\times}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} V_m \otimes \Omega_{D_{\infty_i}^\times}^{1-m} \right), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

So the associated operator is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{Y}_{\infty_1}^{\vee} \left(\left(v \otimes \left(f \frac{dx}{x} + g \frac{dy}{y} \right) \right) \Big|_{D_{\infty_1}^{\times}} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{Y}_{\infty_1}^{\vee} \left(\left(v^1 \otimes (a_0 - a'_0)t + v^0 \otimes (a_1 - a'_1) + v^{-1} \otimes (a_2 - a'_2)t^{-1} + \dots \right) dt^{-1} \right) \\ &= (a_0 - a'_0)v_{-1}^1 + (a_1 - a'_1)v_0^0 + (a_2 - a'_2)v_1^{-1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

for some homogeneous v^i , $i = 1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$. For $a \in V_k$, $k \geq 0$, based on the splitting of $\mathcal{V}_{D_{\infty_1}^{\times}}$, we have that

$$a \otimes (dt^{-1})^{-k} t^{-k-l} \frac{dt^{-1}}{t^{-1}} = a \otimes t^{1-k-l} (dt^{-1})^{1-k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{\infty_1}^{\vee}} a_{l+k-1}$$

Here t^{-k-l} comes from a restriction of regular function (series) on D_{∞}° , and thus $l + k \geq 0$. The action induced by $\text{Lie}_{\circ, X}(V)$ near ∞_1 is then a collection of operators

$$\{a_{l+k-1} : a \in V_k, l + k \geq 0\}$$

of degrees $-l$. Roughly the same,

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\infty_2}^{\vee} \left(\left(v \otimes \left(f \frac{dx}{x} + g \frac{dy}{y} \right) \right) \Big|_{D_{\infty_2}^{\times}} \right) = (a'_0 - a_0)v_{-1}^1 + (b'_1 - b_1)v_0^0 + (b'_2 - b_2)v_1^{-1} + \dots$$

Comparing the coefficients, we mention that when $1 - k - l = 1$, then $k = -l$, and so the induced operators are $a_{-1} \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes a_{-1}$. Therefore the $\text{Lie}_{\circ, X}(V)$ -action on $V \otimes V$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L} := \{a_{-1} \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes a_{-1}\} \cup \{ca_m \otimes \text{id} + c' \text{id} \otimes a_n : m, n \geq 0, c, c' \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let $c = 1$, $c' = 0$, $a = \omega$, $m = 1$, then we obtain $L_0 \otimes \text{id}$. As a consequence,

Theorem 4.1. *The space of coinvariants associated to $(X, (\infty_1, \infty_2), (V, V))$ is the zero vector space.*

Remark 4.2. The above theorem seems to be against intuition. Over the projective line $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, dimension of the space of conformal blocks $\dim C_V(\mathbb{P}^1, (x, y), (V, V)) = \dim C_V(\mathbb{P}^1, x, V) = 1$ ([6], [11]). The nodal curve \underline{X} corresponds to a boundary point in a family of genus 0 smooth curves X_t in \mathbb{P}^2 determined by $xy = tz^2$, parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Intuitively we could consider \underline{X} as “ $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} X_t$ ”. Each $X_t/\{\pm 1\}$ (± 1 acts on z by scalar multiplication) for $t \neq 0$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , and so the dimensions of conformal block spaces associated to the same vertex algebra V over them are the same. In general, the structure of the associated bundle over \underline{X} is believed to be more complicated than those on X_t , but it turns to be on the opposite side. The reason is that for any differential form on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x, y\}$, its restrictions to D_x^{\times} and D_y^{\times} are highly related to each other. But over X , for a log differential form $f dx/x + g dy/y$, its restrictions on different patches turns to be exactly unrelated except the constant terms. This gives rise to a (Lie algebra) $\text{Lie}_{\circ, X}(V)$ -action much bigger than expected to be.

References

- [1] Dan Abramovich, Qile Chen, Danny Gillam, Yuhao Huang, Martin Olsson, Matthew Satriano, and Shenghao Sun. Logarithmic geometry and moduli. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.5870*, 2010.
- [2] Scott Carnahan. Equivariant intertwining operators for twisted modules (research on finite groups and their representations, vertex operator algebras, and algebraic combinatorics). *RIMS Kôkyûroku*, 1965:21–29, 2015.
- [3] Giulio Codogni. Vertex algebras and Teichmüller modular forms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.03079*, 2019.
- [4] Chiara Damiolini, Angela Gibney, and Nicola Tarasca. On factorization and vector bundles of conformal blocks from vertex algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.04683*, 2022.
- [5] Seth Clayton Dutter. *Logarithmic jet spaces and intersection multiplicities*. University of California, Berkeley, 2009.
- [6] Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*. Number 88. American Mathematical Soc., 2004.
- [7] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 1977.
- [8] Fumiharu Kato. Log smooth deformation and moduli of log smooth curves. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 11(02):215–232, 2000.
- [9] Kazuya Kato. Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie, algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), 1989.
- [10] James Lepowsky and Haisheng Li. *Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations*, volume 227. Springer Science & Business Media, 2004.
- [11] Kiyokazu Nagatomo and Akihiro Tsuchiya. Conformal field theories associated to regular chiral vertex operator algebras, I: Theories over the projective line. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0206223*, 2005.
- [12] Arthur Ogus. *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, volume 178. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [13] Martin C. Olsson. (Log) twisted curves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 143(2):476–494, 2007.
- [14] Nilakantan Sankaran. Rings of formal power series. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 14(2):207–220, 1971.
- [15] Yongchang Zhu. Global vertex operators on Riemann surfaces. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 165(3):485 – 531, 1994.