

# TRANSPOSES IN THE $q$ -DEFORMED MODULAR GROUP AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO $q$ -DEFORMED RATIONAL NUMBERS

XIN REN AND KOHJI YANAGAWA

ABSTRACT. The (right)  $q$ -deformed rational numbers was originally introduced by Morier-Genoud and Ovsienko, and its left variant by Bapat, Becker and Licata. These notions are based on continued fractions and the  $q$ -deformed modular group actions. For any matrix in this group, Leclere and Morier-Genoud showed that its trace is a palindromic polynomial whose coefficients are all non-negative (up to  $\pm q^N$  times). In this paper, we define the  $q$ -transpose for matrices in this group. Using this, we give new proofs of the above result and some others. We also give arithmetic properties on the left  $q$ -rational numbers. Finally, we show that the conjecture of Kantarcı Oğuz on circular fence posets implies a conjecture on the normalized Jones polynomials of rational links.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s}$  and a formal parameter  $q$ , the *right  $q$ -deformed rational number*  $\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^\sharp$  is defined as a rational function in  $\mathbb{Z}(q)$ . This notion was originally introduced by Morier-Genoud and Ovsienko [14, 16] via continued fractions and the  $q$ -deformed modular group  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -action. Here  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is a quotient group of a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}])$ . They further extended this notion to arbitrary real numbers [15], and Gaussian integers [17] by some number-theoretic properties of real numbers and the modular group. This definition arises another  $q$ -deformation of rational numbers which was defined by Bapat, Becker and Licata [1], and we call it the *left  $q$ -deformed rational number*, and denote it by  $\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^\flat$ . This notion also shares many properties with the right version. These works are related to many directions including triangulated categories [1], the Markov-Hurwitz approximation theory [9, 12, 18], the modular group [11, 16], Jones polynomial of rational knots [8, 10, 14, 1, 19] and combinatorics of posets [13, 6, 5].

For a matrix  $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , we define two operations the  $q$ -transpose  $A^{T_q}$  and the *orthogonal  $q$ -transpose*  $A^{O_q}$  as follows. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{V}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

be a matrix whose entries are elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ , we define

$$A^{T_q} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & q^{-1}\mathcal{S}(q) \\ q\mathcal{V}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix},$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A30, 11A55, 11F06, and 57K14.

*Key words and phrases.*  $q$ -deformed rational numbers, continued fractions, modular group, Jones polynomials, and rational knots.

and

$$A^{O_q} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}(q^{-1}) & q^{-1}\mathcal{V}(q^{-1}) \\ q\mathcal{S}(q^{-1}) & \mathcal{R}(q^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be checked that  $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , if and only if  $A^{T_q} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , if and only if  $A^{O_q} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$  (see Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7).

As for applications of these operations, we give some new proofs of existing results. For a polynomial  $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , we write its *reciprocal polynomial* by  $f(q)^\vee$ , that is,

$$f(q)^\vee := q^{\deg(f)} f(q^{-1}).$$

Moreover, we say  $f(q)$  is *palindromic* if  $f(q) = f(q)^\vee$ . A theorem of Leclere and Morier-Genoud ([11, Theorem 3]) states that, for  $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\mathrm{tr} A$  is a palindromic polynomial with non-negative coefficients up to  $\pm q^N$  times for some integer  $N$ .

Some arithmetic properties on the right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers were recently studied in [7]. In this paper, we also give their left versions as follows. For any irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s}$ , we write its left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers as

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \end{array} \right]_q^b = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)}, \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \end{array} \right]_q^\sharp = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)},$$

respectively, where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q), \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q), \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}], \quad \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q), \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(1) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(1) = r, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(1) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(1) = s.$$

While the similar hold for the numerator polynomial  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$ , we only treat the denominator polynomial  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  in the following theorems for simplicity.

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 4.6). *For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}, \frac{r'}{s}$  with  $rr' \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$  (resp.  $rr' \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ ), we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s}}^b(q)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s}}^b(q)^\vee$ ).*

**Theorem 1.2** (see Theorem 4.11). *For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  is palindromic if and only if  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ .*

Note that, in [7], we show that  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s}}^\sharp(q)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s}}^\sharp(q)^\vee$ ) if  $rr' \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$  (resp.  $rr' \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$ ), and  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)$  is palindromic if and only if  $r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$ . So, the left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers behave oppositely in this sense.

An irreducible fraction  $\alpha = \frac{r}{s} > 1$  determines a rational link  $L(\alpha)$  via the continued fraction. We consider the *normalized Jones polynomial*  $J_\alpha(q)$  of  $L(\alpha)$ , which can be computed by the left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers [4, 14, 1, 19]. In Theorem 4.11, we see that  $J_\alpha(q)$  is palindromic if and only if  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ . When  $J_\alpha(q)$  is *not* palindromic, set

$$I_\alpha(q) := \pm q^N \frac{J_\alpha(q)^\vee - J_\alpha(q)}{1 - q}$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where we take  $\pm q^N$  so that  $I_\alpha(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  with  $I_\alpha(0) > 0$ . Then we have that  $I_\alpha(q)$  equals  $\text{tr}(A)$  for some  $A \in \text{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . In particular,  $I_\alpha(0) = 1$  and  $I_\alpha(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$ . Moreover, we show that Kantarcı Oğuz's conjecture [5, Conjecture 1.4] on circular fence posts implies a conjecture on  $I_\alpha(q)$  (Conjecture 4.9). In particular,  $I_\alpha(q)$  is conjectured to be at most bimodal (unimodal in most cases).

## 2. THE LEFT AND RIGHT $q$ -DEFORMED RATIONALS AND $\text{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$

At the beginning, we briefly recall the definitions of the left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers. For details, see [14, 16, 1, 19]. We also give a few supplemental results.

**2.1. Continued fractions,  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -actions and its  $q$ -deformation.** In this paper, we regard  $0 = \frac{0}{1}$  and  $\frac{1}{0}$  as irreducible fractions. For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we always assume that  $s$  is positive. An irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\frac{1}{0}\}$  has unique regular and negative continued fraction expansions as follows:

$$\frac{r}{s} = a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_{2m}}}} = c_1 - \frac{1}{c_2 - \frac{1}{\ddots - \frac{1}{c_k}}}$$

with the following setting. (The latter expansion is often called the *Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction*.)

If  $\frac{r}{s}$  is positive, then we set  $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  ( $i \geq 2$ ), and  $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  ( $j \geq 2$ ). If  $\frac{r}{s}$  is negative, then we set  $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}$  ( $i \geq 2$ ), and  $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}$  and  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -2}$  ( $j \geq 2$ ). We write  $[a_1, \dots, a_{2m}]$  and  $[[c_1, \dots, c_k]]$  for these expansions, respectively. As exceptional cases, we set the regular (resp. negative) continued fraction expansions of  $\frac{0}{1}$  is  $[-1, 1]$  (resp.  $[[1, 1]]$ ), and the regular (resp. negative) continued fraction expansions of  $\frac{1}{0}$  is empty expansion  $[\ ]$  (resp.  $[[\ ]]$ ), respectively.

Consider the group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle R, S \rangle = \langle R, L \rangle$ , where

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This group (and its quotient  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ) acts on  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\frac{1}{0}\}$  by the fractional linear transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{ar + bs}{cr + ds},$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\frac{1}{0}\}$ . Hence, a rational number  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}] = [[c_1, \dots, c_k]]$  can be expressed by the following formulas:

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{r}{s} = M(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} := R^{a_1} L^{a_2} R^{a_3} L^{a_4} \dots R^{a_{2m-1}} L^{a_{2m}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{r}{s} = M^-(c_1, \dots, c_k) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} := R^{c_1} S R^{c_2} S \dots R^{c_k} S \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}] > 0$  be an irreducible fraction with  $s \geq 2$ . For  $\begin{pmatrix} r & v \\ s & u \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $M(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = \begin{pmatrix} r & v \\ s & u \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (2)  $0 < u < s$ .

**Proof.** Since  $r, s > 0$  and  $ru - sv = 1$ , if the condition (2) holds then  $r > v > 0$ . (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): We can prove this by induction on  $m$ . (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Any element in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} r & * \\ s & * \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies  $\begin{pmatrix} r & v + nr \\ s & u + ns \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . There is only one  $n$  satisfying  $0 < u + ns < s$ .  $\square$

We first consider the  $q$ -deformation of (2.1).

**Proposition 2.2** ([11, Proposition 1.1]). *Let  $q$  be a formal parameter, and consider the matrices*

$$R_q := \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Let  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$  be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]) = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]) / \{\pm q^n \mathrm{Id} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  generated by  $R_q$  and  $S_q$ . Then, the assignment*

$$R \longmapsto R_q, \quad S \longmapsto S_q$$

*induces an isomorphism from  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  to  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

In the sequel, by abuse of notation, we sometimes identify  $A \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}])$  with an explicit matrix in  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}])$  giving  $A$ .

We consider the matrix  $L_q := q^{-1}R_q S_q R_q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $R_q$  and  $L_q$  also generate  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , and we set

$$M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) := R_q^{a_1} L_q^{a_2} R_q^{a_3} L_q^{a_4} \cdots R_q^{a_{2m-1}} L_q^{a_{2m}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{V}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]),$$

where  $\mathcal{R}(q), \mathcal{S}(q), \mathcal{V}(q), \mathcal{U}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ .

**2.2. The left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers.** We recall the definition of the left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers. We follow the notation in [1].

**Definition 2.3** (c.f. [1]). We set

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q^b = \frac{1 - q^{-1}}{1}, \quad \left[ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q^\sharp = \frac{0}{1}; \quad \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right]_q^b = \frac{1}{1 - q}, \quad \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right]_q^\sharp = \frac{1}{0}.$$

For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}]$ , then the *left  $q$ -deformed rational number*  $\left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_q^b$  is defined by

$$\left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_q^b := M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \left( \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right]_q^b \right) = M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \left( \frac{1}{1 - q} \right),$$

and the *right  $q$ -deformed rational number*  $\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^\sharp$  is defined by

$$\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^\sharp := M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \left( \left[\frac{1}{0}\right]_q^\sharp \right) = M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \left( \frac{1}{0} \right).$$

Multiplying both the numerator and denominator by  $\pm q^n$  for suitable  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^b = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)}, \quad \left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^\sharp = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q), \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}], \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q), \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$$

with  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(0) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(0) = 1$ .

We always have

- (1)  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(1) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(1) = r$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(1) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(1) = s$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  are coprime, and the same is true for  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q)$ .

It is known that if  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q), \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  with  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(0) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(0) = 1$ .

Easy calculation shows that  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{n}{1}}^b(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{n}{1}}^\sharp(q) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{1}}^b(q) &= q^n + q^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + \dots + q + 1, & \mathcal{R}_{\frac{0}{1}}^b(q) &= 1 - q^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{-n}{1}}^b(q) &= -q^{-n-1} - q^{-n+1} - \dots - q^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{1}}^\sharp(q) &= q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \dots + q + 1, & \mathcal{R}_{\frac{0}{1}}^\sharp(q) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{-n}{1}}^\sharp(q) &= -q^{-n} - q^{-n+1} - \dots - q^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . Note that the numerator  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{1}}^\sharp(q)$  of  $\left[\frac{n}{1}\right]_q^\sharp$  coincides with the

Euler  $q$ -integer  $[n]_q$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the numerator  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{1}}^b(q)$  of  $\left[\frac{n}{1}\right]_q^b$  coincides with the left  $q$ -integer  $[n]_q^b$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  which is defined in [1].

For  $\frac{r}{s} > 0$ , we also obtain the  $q$ -deformed rational numbers by considering the  $q$ -deformation of (2.2) (the version of negative continued fractions) as follows.

**Proposition 2.4** (c.f. [14, 11]). *For the irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} = [[c_1, \dots, c_k]] > 0$ , we consider the matrix*

$$M_q^-(c_1, \dots, c_k) := R_q^{c_1} S_q R_q^{c_2} S_q \cdots R_q^{c_k} S_q \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[q]),$$

then we have

$$M_q^-(c_1, \dots, c_k) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{W}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{X}(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the right  $q$ -deformed rational number of  $\frac{r}{s}$  is

$$\left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_q^\# = M_q^-(c_1, \dots, c_k) \left( \frac{1}{0} \right) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}.$$

The first author of the present paper gave the left version of the above proposition (c.f. [19, Section 2.4]).

**Remark 2.5.** For the case  $\frac{r}{s} = [[c_1, \dots, c_k]] < 0$ , Proposition 2.4 holds up to  $\pm q^n$  for some integer  $n$ .

Proposition 2.4 can be extended as follows. The multiplicative group  $G := \{\pm q^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  acts on the set

$$X := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix} \mid \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}] \right\}$$

in the natural way. Let  $\bar{X} := X/G$ , and  $\left[ \frac{\mathcal{V}}{\mathcal{U}} \right] \in \bar{X}$  denote the orbit of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix}$ . Clearly,  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\bar{X}$ . Consider the subset

$$\bar{Y} := \left\{ \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] \mid \frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{0} \right) \right\} \right\}$$

of  $\bar{X}$ . The following seems to be well-known to experts, but we give a proof for the reader's convenience.

**Proposition 2.6.** *With the above notation, the columns of any element of  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$  belong to  $\bar{Y}$ . Hence, for*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{V}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

with  $r := \mathcal{R}(1)$ ,  $s := \mathcal{S}(1)$ ,  $v := \mathcal{V}(1)$  and  $u := \mathcal{U}(1)$ , we have

$$\left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_q^\# = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}(q)}{\mathcal{S}(q)} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \frac{v}{u} \right]_q^\# = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{V}(q)}{\mathcal{U}(q)} \right]$$

(strictly speaking, if  $s < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}(q)$  should be  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{-r}{-s}}(q)$ , and so on).

**Proof.** Since  $R_q$  and  $S_q$  generate  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , it suffices to show that  $\bar{Y}$  is closed under multiplication by these matrices. An easy computation shows that

$$R_q \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] = \left[ \frac{q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q) + \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s+1}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s+1}}^\#(q)} \right] \in \bar{Y},$$

where the last equality follows from [11, Proposition 2.8 (2.6)]. Similarly, we have

$$S_q \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] = \left[ \frac{-q^{-1}\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\#(q)} \right] = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{-s}{r}}^\#(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{-s}{r}}^\#(q)} \right] \in \bar{Y},$$

where the last equality follows from [11, Proposition 2.8 (2.8)].  $\square$

**Convention 2.7.** For  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ , if  $f = \pm q^n g$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then we write  $f \equiv g$ . And for matrices  $A, B$ , if  $A = \pm q^n B$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then we write  $A \equiv B$ .

The following is immediate from the proof of Proposition 2.6.

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $\mathcal{R}(q)$  is an entry of some  $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(q) \equiv S_{\frac{r}{s}}(q)$  for some  $\frac{r}{s}$ .*

**2.3. Closures of a quiver for the left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers.** We recall a combinatorial interpretation of the left and right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers. For details, see [14, 1, 7, 13].

Let  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$  be a quiver which consisting of two sets  $Q_0, Q_1$  and two maps  $s, t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ . Each element of  $Q_0$  (resp.  $Q_1$ ) is called a vertex (resp. an arrow). For an arrow  $\alpha \in Q_1$ , we call  $s(\alpha)$  (resp.  $t(\alpha)$ ) the source (resp. the target) of  $\alpha$ . We will commonly write  $\alpha : a \rightarrow b$  to indicate that an arrow  $\alpha$  has the source  $a$  and the target  $b$ . In this paper, we always consider a *finite* quiver  $Q$  (i.e. two sets  $Q_0$  and  $Q_1$  are finite sets). The *opposite quiver* of  $Q$ , say  $Q^\vee$ , is defined by  $Q^\vee = (Q_0, Q_1, t, s)$ .

Let  $Q$  be a finite quiver. A subset  $C \subset Q_0$  is a *closure* if there is no arrow  $\alpha \in Q_1$  such that  $s(\alpha) \in Q_0 \setminus C$  and  $t(\alpha) \in C$ . A closure  $C$  is an  $\ell$ -*closure* if the number of elements of  $C$  is  $\ell$ . The number of  $\ell$ -closures is denoted by  $\rho_\ell(Q)$ . Then the polynomial

$$\mathrm{cl}(Q; q) := \sum_{\ell=0}^n \rho_\ell(Q) q^\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

where  $n = |Q_0|$ , is called the *closure polynomial* of  $Q$ .

Clearly,  $C \subset Q_0$  is a closure of  $Q$  if and only if  $Q_0 \setminus C$  is that of  $Q^\vee$ . Hence, one has

$$(2.3) \quad \mathrm{cl}(Q^\vee; q) = \mathrm{cl}(Q; q)^\vee.$$

Now, we consider a quiver of type A as follows. For a tuple of integers  $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$  with  $b_1, b_s \geq 0, b_2, \dots, b_{s-1} > 0$ , we set the quiver

$$Q(\mathbf{b}) := \underbrace{\circ \longleftarrow \circ \cdots \circ \longleftarrow \circ}_{b_1 \text{ left arrows}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\circ \cdots \circ \longrightarrow \circ}_{b_2 \text{ right arrows}} \longleftarrow \underbrace{\circ \cdots \circ \longleftarrow \circ}_{b_3 \text{ left arrows}} \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

For a rational number  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m}] > 1$ , we set

$$Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{R}} := Q(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-1}, a_{2m} - 1),$$

$$Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{S}} := \begin{cases} Q(0, a_2 - 1, a_3, \dots, a_{2m-1}, a_{2m} - 1) & \text{if } m > 1, \\ Q(0, a_2 - 2) & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here, if  $a_2 = 1$  and  $m > 1$  (resp.  $a_2 = 2$  and  $m = 1, a_2 = 1$  and  $m = 1$ ), we understand that  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{S}} = Q(a_3, \dots, a_{2m-1}, a_{2m} - 1)$  (resp.  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{S}} = Q(0), Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathcal{S}} = \emptyset$ ). The quiver  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{S}}$  is obtained by deleting the first  $a_1$  arrows from  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{R}}$ .

For the right  $q$ -deformed rational numbers, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.9** ([14, Theorem 4]). *Let  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$  be an irreducible fraction. Then, the following equations hold:*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}(q) = \text{cl} \left( Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{R}}; q \right),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}(q) = \text{cl} \left( Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#, \mathcal{S}}; q \right).$$

Let  $P$  be a finite poset. A subset  $I$  of  $P$  is said to be a *lower order ideal*, if  $x \in I, y \in P$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $y \in I$ . Let  $J(P)$  be the set of lower order ideals of  $P$ . The polynomial

$$\text{rk}(P; q) := \sum_{I \in J(P)} q^{|I|}$$


is called the *rank polynomial* of  $P$ .

We can regard the vertex set of the quiver  $Q(\mathbf{a})$  as a poset as follows; for  $a, b \in Q_0$ ,  $a < b$  if and only if there is an arrow  $a \rightarrow b$ . Clearly,  $I \subset Q(\mathbf{a})$  is a closure if and only if it is a lower order ideal, and hence

$$\text{rk}(Q(\mathbf{a}); q) = \text{cl}(Q(\mathbf{a}); q),$$

Some people studied the right  $q$ -deformed rationals in this point of view (see, for example, [6, 5]).

For the left  $q$ -deformed rational numbers, we also have a corresponding quiver. For a tuple of integers  $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$  with  $b_1, b_s \geq 0, b_2, \dots, b_{s-1} > 0$ , we define the quiver  $Q^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b})$  adding a cycle to the right side of the quiver  $Q(\mathbf{b})$  as follows.



For a rational number  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m}] > 1$ , set

$$Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{R}} := Q^{\mathbf{b}}(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-1}, a_{2m} - 1).$$

Similarly, we can also define  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{S}}$ .

Then for the left  $q$ -deformed rational numbers, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.10** ([1, Corollary A.2]). *Let  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$  be an irreducible fraction. Then, the following equations hold:*

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) = \text{cl} \left( Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{R}}; q \right),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) = \text{cl} \left( Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{S}}; q \right).$$

**Example 2.11.** For  $\frac{11}{8} = [1, 2, 1, 2]$ , one has

$$Q_{\frac{11}{8}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{R}} = \circ \longrightarrow \circ \longrightarrow \circ \longleftarrow \circ \longrightarrow \circ \rightleftarrows \circ,$$

and

$$\text{cl} \left( Q_{\frac{11}{8}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{R}}; q \right) = q^6 + 2q^5 + 2q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1 = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{11}{8}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q).$$

Similarly, we have

$$Q_{\frac{11}{8}}^{\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{S}} = \circ \longrightarrow \circ \longleftarrow \circ \longrightarrow \circ \rightleftarrows \circ,$$



and

$$\text{cl} \left( Q_{\frac{11}{8}}^{b,S}; q \right) = q^5 + 2q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1 = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{11}{8}}^b(q).$$

**Remark 2.12.** If  $\frac{r}{s} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$ , the above constructions of  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{b,\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{b,S}$  and  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#,S}$ ,  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\#,S}$  also work for the expression as a regular continued fraction of *odd* length.

**2.4. The basic properties of the left  $q$ -deformed rational numbers.** The left  $q$ -deformed rational numbers satisfy the following basic properties, which correspond to [11, Proposition 2.8] for the right variant, and the proof is also similar.

**Proposition 2.13.** For  $\frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \left[ \frac{r}{s} + n \right]_q^b = q^n \left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_q^b + [n]_q; \\ (2) \quad & \left[ -\frac{r}{s} \right]_q^b = -q^{-1} \left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_{q^{-1}}^b; \\ (3) \quad & \left[ \frac{s}{r} \right]_q^b = \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{r}{s} \right]_{q^{-1}}^b}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.13 (1), for irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\frac{r'}{s}$  with  $r \equiv r' \pmod{s}$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q).$$

**Proposition 2.14.** The following hold.

- (1) For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\frac{r}{t} > 1$  with  $s + t = r$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^b(q)^\vee$ .
- (2) For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\frac{r'}{s} > 1$  with  $r + r' \equiv 0 \pmod{s}$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s}}^b(q)^\vee$ .

**Proof.** (1) Since the case  $s = t = 1$  is obvious, we may assume that  $s > t$ . Set  $\frac{r}{s} = [1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$  (note that  $1 < \frac{r}{s} < 2$ ). By using the Euler continuants (c.f [14, Section 5]), we have

$$\frac{r}{s} = \frac{K_m(1, a_2, \dots, a_m)}{K_{m-1}(a_2, \dots, a_m)},$$

where

$$K_m(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & a_2 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & a_{m-1} & 1 \\ & & & -1 & a_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{r}{t} &= \frac{r}{r-s} = \frac{K_m(1, a_2, \dots, a_m)}{K_m(1, a_2, \dots, a_m) - K_{m-1}(a_2, \dots, a_m)} \\
&= \frac{K_{m-1}(a_2, \dots, a_m) + K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m)}{K_{m-1}(a_2, \dots, a_m) + K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m) - K_{m-1}(a_2, \dots, a_m)} \\
&= \frac{a_2 K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m) + K_{m-3}(a_4, \dots, a_m) + K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m)}{K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m)} \\
&= \frac{(a_2 + 1)K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m) + K_{m-3}(a_4, \dots, a_m)}{K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m)} \\
&= \frac{K_{m-1}(a_2 + 1, a_3, \dots, a_m)}{K_{m-2}(a_3, \dots, a_m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\frac{r}{t} = [a_2 + 1, a_3, \dots, a_m]$  and  $Q_{\frac{r}{s}}^{b, \mathcal{R}} = \left(Q_{\frac{r}{t}}^{b, \mathcal{R}}\right)^\vee$ . So the proposition follows from Theorem 2.10 and (2.3).

(2) By (2.8), we may assume that  $1 \leq n < \frac{r}{s} < \frac{r'}{s} < n + 1$ . If  $\frac{r}{s} = [n, a_2, \dots, a_k]$ , then  $a_2 \geq 2$  and  $\frac{r'}{s} = [n, 1, a_2 - 1, \dots, a_k]$  by [7, Lemma 3.1]. So we have  $Q_{\frac{r'}{s}}^{b, \mathcal{S}} = \left(Q_{\frac{r'}{s}}^{b, \mathcal{S}}\right)^\vee$ , and the assertion follows in the same way as the last step of the proof of (1).  $\square$

### 3. $Q$ -TRANSPOSES AND ORTHOGONAL $Q$ -TRANSPOSE IN $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$

In this section, we define two operations the  $q$ -transpose  $A^{T_q}$  and the *orthogonal  $q$ -transpose*  $A^{O_q}$ , and give some basic properties and applications of them.

**Definition 3.1.** For a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{V}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

whose entries are elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ , set

$$A^{T_q} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & q^{-1}\mathcal{S}(q) \\ q\mathcal{V}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We call it the  $q$ -transpose of  $A$ .

An easy calculation shows that  $(A^{T_q})^{T_q} = A$ ,  $\det(A^{T_q}) = \det A$ ,  $\mathrm{tr}(A^{T_q}) = \mathrm{tr} A$ , and  $(AB)^{T_q} = B^{T_q} A^{T_q}$ . The operation  $(-)^{T_q}$  also makes sense up to the equivalence  $\equiv$  (see Convention 2.7).

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $A^{T_q} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $R_q^{T_q} \equiv L_q$  and  $S_q^{T_q} \equiv S_q$ . Moreover, if  $A$  is regular, then  $A^{T_q}$  is also, and  $(A^{-1})^{T_q} \equiv (A^{T_q})^{-1}$ . So the assertion follows.  $\square$

The next result immediately follows from Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Under the same situation as Proposition 2.6, we have*

$$\left[\frac{r}{v}\right]_q^\# = \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}(q)}{q\mathcal{V}(q)}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\frac{s}{u}\right]_q^\# = \left[\frac{\mathcal{S}(q)}{q\mathcal{U}(q)}\right].$$

Now we can get the third proof of [7, Theorem 3.5] ([7] already contains two proofs).

**Corollary 3.4** ([7, Theorem 3.5]). *For a positive integer  $s$  and integers  $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $vw \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{v}{s}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{w}{s}}^\sharp(q)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $vw \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ , there is some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} r & v \\ w & s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Now we can take  $\mathcal{R}(q), \mathcal{V}(q), \mathcal{W}(q), \mathcal{S}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{V}(q) \\ \mathcal{W}(q) & \mathcal{S}(q) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(1) & \mathcal{V}(1) \\ \mathcal{W}(1) & \mathcal{S}(1) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} r & v \\ w & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\left[ \frac{v}{s} \right]_q^\sharp = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{v}{s}}^\sharp(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{v}{s}}^\sharp(q)} \right] = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{V}(q)}{\mathcal{S}(q)} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \frac{w}{s} \right]_q^\sharp = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\frac{w}{s}}^\sharp(q)}{\mathcal{S}_{\frac{w}{s}}^\sharp(q)} \right] = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{W}(q)}{q\mathcal{S}(q)} \right].$$

Hence we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{v}{s}}^\sharp(q) \equiv \mathcal{S}(q) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\frac{w}{s}}^\sharp(q)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{v}{s}}^\sharp(q), \mathcal{S}_{\frac{w}{s}}^\sharp(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  with  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{v}{s}}^\sharp(0) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{w}{s}}^\sharp(0) = 1$ , we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** (c.f. [7, Lemma 4.1]). *For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and positive integers  $u, v$  with  $uv \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{u}{v}}^\sharp(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{w}}^\sharp(q)$ . If further  $\frac{r}{v}, \frac{r}{w} > 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{u}{v}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{w}}^\sharp(q)$ .*

**Proof.** The first assertion can be shown in a similar way to Corollary 3.4. However, we focus on the  $(1, 1)$ -entry of the matrices in the proof of the corollary. Since  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\sharp(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  with  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\sharp(0) = 1$  for  $\alpha > 1$ , the second assertion follows from the first.  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.** For a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{V}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

whose entries are elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ , set

$$A^{O_q} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}(q^{-1}) & q^{-1}\mathcal{V}(q^{-1}) \\ q\mathcal{S}(q^{-1}) & \mathcal{R}(q^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We call it the *orthogonal  $q$ -transpose* of  $A$ .


Easy calculation shows that  $(A^{O_q})^{O_q} = A$ ,  $(AB)^{O_q} = B^{O_q}A^{O_q}$ . For  $d(q) := \det A$  and  $t(q) := \mathrm{tr} A$ , we have  $\det(A^{O_q}) = d(q^{-1})$  and  $\mathrm{tr}(A^{O_q}) = t(q^{-1})$ . Since the operation  $(-)^{O_q}$  also makes sense up to the equivalence  $\equiv$ , and we have  $(R_q)^{O_q} \equiv R_q$  and  $(S_q)^{O_q} \equiv S_q$ . By the same way as Lemma 3.2, we can show the following.

**Lemma 3.7.** *If  $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $A^{O_q} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .*


#### 4. THE TRACE OF $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ AND THE JONES POLYNOMIALS OF RATIONAL KNOTS

In this section, we give further applications of the (orthogonal)  $q$ -transpose. These applications include arithmetic properties on the left  $q$ -deformed rationals, the trace of the matrices in  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , and the normalized Jones polynomials of rational links.

We recall some basic notions and results on rational links and their normalized Jones polynomials. For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}] > 1$ , the rational link associated with  $\frac{r}{s}$  in the 3-sphere is determined by the Figure 1, where each square is called

FIGURE 1. The rational link of  $\frac{r}{s}$ .

$a_i$ -half twists determined by Figure 2.

FIGURE 2.  $a_i$ -half twists

We denote by  $L(\frac{r}{s})$  the rational link associated with  $\frac{r}{s}$ . See, for example, [3, 4]. The following theorem is due to H. Schubert in 1956.

**Theorem 4.1** (c.f.[3, Theorem 2]). *For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\frac{r'}{s'}$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $L(\frac{r}{s})$  and  $L(\frac{r'}{s'})$  are isotopic.
- (2)  $r = r'$  and either  $s \equiv s' \pmod{r}$  or  $ss' \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ .

As a useful isotopy invariant for an oriented link  $L$  in  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , the Jones polynomial  $V_L(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \cup t^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$  is well-studied. Lee and Schiffler [4, Proposition 1.2 (b)] introduced the following normalization  $J_\alpha(q)$  of the Jones polynomial  $V_{L(\alpha)}(t)$  of a rational link  $L(\alpha)$ :

$$(4.1) \quad J_\alpha(q) := \pm t^{-h} V_{L(\alpha)}(t)|_{t=-q^{-1}},$$

where  $\pm t^h$  is the leading term of  $V_{L(\alpha)}(t)$ . This indicates the normalization such that the constant term is 1 as a polynomial in  $q$ . Moreover, Morier-Genoud and Ovsienko proved the following proposition, which shows that we can compute the normalized

Jones polynomial  $J_\alpha(q)$  more simply by using the numerator and denominator of the right  $q$ -deformed rational number  $\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_q^\sharp$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([14, Proposition A.1]). *For a rational number  $\alpha > 1$ , the normalized Jones polynomial  $J_\alpha(q)$  can be computed by*

$$(4.2) \quad J_\alpha(q) = q\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\sharp(q) + (1-q)\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\sharp(q).$$

Now, we can give a new proof of the following result. This result was shown by Bapat, Becker and Licata [1, Theorem A3] by considering a homological interpretation of the left  $q$ -deformed rational numbers, and the first author of the present paper gave a combinatorial proof by using  $q$ -deformed Farey sums and induction on the size of negative continued fractions (c.f [19, Theorem 4.2]). We remark that all known proofs (including ours) use Theorem 4.2.

**Theorem 4.3** (c.f. [1, Theorem A3], see also [19, Theorem 4.2]). *For a rational number  $\alpha > 1$ , we have*

$$J_\alpha(q) = \mathcal{R}_\alpha^b(q)^\vee.$$

**Proof.** We can take an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$  with  $\alpha = \frac{r}{r-s}$ . If  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}]$ , we have

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ s & u \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = \begin{pmatrix} q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \\ q\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Corollaries 3.5 and 3.3, we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{t}}^\sharp(q)$ . Hence we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) + (1-q)\mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) = q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) + (1-q)\mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{t}}^\sharp(q) = J_{\frac{r}{t}}(q),$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 4.2. Since  $t(r-s) \equiv -st \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ , we have  $J_{\frac{r}{t}}(q) = J_{\frac{r}{r-s}}(q) = J_\alpha(q)$  by Theorem 4.1. By Proposition 2.14 (1), we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{r-s}}^b(q)^\vee$ . Summing up, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha^b(q)^\vee = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{r-s}}^b(q)^\vee = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = J_{\frac{r}{t}}(q) = J_\alpha(q).$$

□

**Lemma 4.4.** *For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}, \frac{r}{s'} > 1$  with  $ss' \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$  (resp.  $ss' \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ ), we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s'}}^b(q)$  ( resp.  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s'}}^b(q)^\vee$  ).*

**Proof.** If  $ss' \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ , then the links  $L(\frac{r}{s})$  and  $L(\frac{r}{s'})$  are isotopic by Theorem 4.1, and have the same (normalized) Jones polynomial. By Theorems 4.2, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = J_{\frac{r}{s}}(q)^\vee = J_{\frac{r}{s'}}(q)^\vee = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s'}}^b(q).$$

The case  $ss' \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  follows from the above equation and Proposition 2.14 (1).

□

**Remark 4.5.** If  $ss' \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ , then it is known that  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}]$  implies  $\frac{r}{s'} = [a_{2m}, \dots, a_1]$  (to see this, take the transpose of  $M(a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$ ). The  $ss' \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  case of the above lemma states that, for a tuple of integers  $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$  with  $b_1, b_s \geq 0, b_2, \dots, b_{s-1} > 0$ , the equation

$$(4.3) \quad \text{cl}(Q^{\mathbf{b}}(b_1, \dots, b_s), q) = \text{cl}(Q^{\mathbf{b}}(b_s, \dots, b_1), q)^\vee$$

holds. Here we do not have to care the parity of the length  $s$ , see Remark 2.12. It might be an interesting problem to find a combinatorial proof of (4.3).

**Theorem 4.6.** *The following hold.*

- (1) For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}, \frac{r'}{s'}$  with  $rr' \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$  (resp.  $rr' \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ ), we have  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s'}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r'}{s'}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q)^\vee$ ).
- (2) For irreducible fractions  $\frac{r}{s}, \frac{r'}{s'}$  with  $ss' \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$  (resp.  $ss' \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ ), we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r'}{s'}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r'}{s'}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q)^\vee$ ). (That is, if we replace  $=$  by  $\equiv$ , the statement of Lemma 4.4 holds without the restriction that  $\frac{r}{s}, \frac{r'}{s'} > 1$ .)

**Proof.** (1) By (2.8), we may assume that  $0 < \frac{r}{s}, \frac{r'}{s'} < 1$ . Recall that we always have  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  with  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(0) = 1$ . Now the assertion follows from Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 2.13 (3).

(2) The assertion follows from (1) and Proposition 2.13 (3).  $\square$

Using the above property of  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\mathbf{b}}(q)$  and (orthogonal)  $q$ -transposes, we can get a new proof of the following impressive result on  $\text{tr } A$  for  $A \in \text{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 4.7** ([11, Theorem 3]). *For  $A \in \text{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\text{tr } A$  is equivalent to a palindromic polynomial whose coefficients are non-negative.*

We say  $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  is *anti palindromic* if  $f(q) = -f(q^{-1})$ . We use this notion in the following proof.

**Proof.** In this proof, we treat

$$M := (-S_q)^{-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{T}(q) \\ \mathcal{S}(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad M|_{q=1} = \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ s & u \end{pmatrix}$$

rather than  $A$  itself. Note that

$$M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \\ q\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(q) & \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \\ q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 3.3,

$$A = (-S_q)M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & q^{-1}\mathcal{U}(q) \\ -q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & -\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) & q^{-1}\mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \\ -\mathcal{R}(q) & -\mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{tr } A \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q).$$

So we may assume that  $s \neq t$ .

First, consider the case  $\mathcal{U}(q) = 0$ . Then  $A$  is a lower triangular matrix, but a lower triangular matrix in  $\mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is equivalent to

$$L_q^n \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} q^n & 0 \\ [n]_q & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $\mathrm{tr} A \equiv 1 + q^n$  in this case. So we may assume that  $\mathcal{U}(q) \neq 0$ , equivalently,  $u \neq 0$ .

Replacing  $A$  by either  $-A$ ,  $A^{O_q}$  or  $-A^{O_q}$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $u > 0$  and  $s > t$ . By Proposition 2.13 (1) and Corollary 3.4 (note that  $st \equiv -1 \pmod{u}$  now), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}+n}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}+n}^\sharp(q) &= (q^n \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) + [n]_q \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q)) - (q^n \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) + [n]_q \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q)) \\ &= (q^n \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) + [n]_q \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q)) - (q^n \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) + [n]_q \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q)) \\ &= q^n (\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q)) \equiv \mathrm{tr} A. \end{aligned}$$

We also remark that there is some  $\mathcal{R}'(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}'(q) & \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}+n}^\sharp(q) \\ q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}+n}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{U}(q) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

So keeping  $\mathrm{tr} A$  up to  $\equiv$ , we may assume that  $s, t > u > 0$ . More precisely, we replace  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) by  $s + nu$  (resp.  $t + nu$ ) for  $n \gg 0$ , if necessary. Then  $r > s, t > u$ , and

$$M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = \begin{pmatrix} q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \\ q\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}] > 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Then  $\frac{r}{t} = [a_{2m}, \dots, a_1] > 1$  and

$$M_q(a_{2m}, \dots, a_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) \\ q\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) + (1-q)\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^b(q) = q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) + (1-q)\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q).$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^b(q)^\vee$  by Lemma 4.6 (note that  $st \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  now),

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^b(q) = (1-q)(\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q))$$

is anti palindromic. Since  $(1-q)$  is also anti palindromic,  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) (\equiv \mathrm{tr} A)$  is palindromic.

Next we will show that all non-zero coefficients of  $\mathrm{tr} A$  have the same sign. Using the above equation  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}+n}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}+n}^\sharp(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we may assume that  $0 \geq t > -u$ . Then all non-zero coefficients of  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q)$  are negative. So if  $s \geq 0$ , all non-zero coefficients of  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q)$  are positive, and the same is true for  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) (\equiv \mathrm{tr} A)$ .

It remains to show the case  $0 > s > t > -u$ . In this case,  $|s|, |t| < u$ . Since  $ru - st = \pm 1$  now, we have  $u > r > 0$ . Since

$$M^{O_q} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{u}}^{\sharp}(q^{-1}) & q^{-1}\mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^{\sharp}(q^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^{\sharp}(q^{-1}) & \mathcal{R}(q^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}'(q) & \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{r}}^{\sharp}(q) \\ q\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{r}}^{\sharp}(q) & \mathcal{S}_{\frac{t}{r}}^{\sharp}(q) \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } M^{O_q}|_{q=1} = \begin{pmatrix} u & t \\ s & r \end{pmatrix},$$

if we set  $\tau(q) := \text{tr } A$  then we have

$$\tau(q^{-1}) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^{\sharp}(q^{-1}) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^{\sharp}(q^{-1}) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{r}}^{\sharp}(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{r}}^{\sharp}(q).$$

So it suffices to show that all non-zero coefficients of  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{r}}^{\sharp}(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{r}}^{\sharp}(q)$  have the same sign.

So we apply the above argument to  $M^{O_q}$  (i.e., the reduction using  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{r}+n}^{\sharp}(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{r}+n}^{\sharp}(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{r}}^{\sharp}(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{r}}^{\sharp}(q)$ ). Next we take the orthogonal  $q$ -transpose again, if necessary. Repeating this procedure, we can reduce to the case  $s > 0 > t$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** The proof of the above theorem actually shows the following: For all  $A \in \text{PSL}_q(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , the trace  $\text{tr } A$  is equivalent to one of the following polynomials.

- (1)  $1 + q^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .
- (2)  $[n]_q$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (note that  $[0]_q = 0$ ).
- (3)  $\text{tr } M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_{2m} > 0$ .

For a sequence of positive integers  $a_1, \dots, a_{2m}$ , Kantarcı Oğuz and Ravichandran [6] defined the *circular fence poset*  $\overline{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$ , and Kantarcı Oğuz [5] showed that

$$\text{tr}(M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m})) = \text{rk}(\overline{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}), q)$$

([5, Proposition 5.5]), and hence

$$\text{tr}(M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}))|_{q=0} = \text{rk}(\overline{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}), 0) = 1.$$

She also raised the following conjecture.

For a polynomial  $f(q) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , we say  $f(q)$  is *unimodal* if there is an integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq n$  such that

$$a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m \geq a_{m+1} \geq a_{m+2} \geq \dots \geq a_n.$$

**Conjecture 4.9** ([5, Conjecture 1.4]). For any sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_{2m} > 0$ ,  $\text{tr } M(a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$  is unimodal except for the cases  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m}) = (1, k, 1, k)$  or  $(k, 1, k, 1)$  for some  $k$ . ( $m = 2$  holds in these cases.)

**Lemma 4.10.** For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  is palindromic if and only if  $s^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ .

**Proof.** With the notation of the proof of Theorem 4.7, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)^\vee = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{t}}^b(q) = (1 - q)(\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\sharp}(q) - \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^{\sharp}(q))$$

by (4.4). Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\sharp}(1) = s$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^{\sharp}(1) = t$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^{\sharp}(q) - \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^{\sharp}(q)$  if and only if  $s = t$ . The latter condition is equivalent to  $s^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11.** The following hold.

- (1) For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  is palindromic if and only if  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$ ;



- (2) For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)$  is equivalent to a palindromic polynomial if and only if  $s^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ ;
- (3) For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} > 1$ , the normalized Jones polynomial  $J_{\frac{r}{s}}(q)$  is palindromic if and only if  $s^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ .

**Proof.** (1) By Proposition 2.13 (1), we may assume that  $0 < \frac{r}{s}, \frac{r'}{s} < 1$ . Now the assertion follows from Lemma 4.10 and Proposition 2.13 (3).

(2) The assertion follows from (1) and Proposition 2.13 (3).

(3) The assertion follows from Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

For an irreducible fraction  $\frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}] > 1$ , set

$$M := M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \quad \text{and} \quad A := (-S_q)M.$$

By our proof of Theorem 4.7, we have

$$(4.5) \quad (1 - q) \operatorname{tr} A = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{r}{s}}^b(q)^\vee = J_{\frac{r}{s}}(q)^\vee - J_{\frac{r}{s}}(q).$$

For an irreducible fraction  $\alpha = \frac{r}{s} > 1$  with  $s^2 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ ,  $J_\alpha(q)$  is not palindromic by Theorem 4.11 (3), and  $J_\alpha(q)^\vee - J_\alpha(q)$  is a non-zero anti palindromic polynomial which can be divided by  $(1 - q)$ . So there is a unique palindromic polynomial  $I_\alpha(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  with  $I_\alpha(0) > 0$  and

$$I_\alpha(q) \equiv \frac{J_\alpha(q)^\vee - J_\alpha(q)}{1 - q}.$$

Clearly,  $I_\alpha(q)$  measures “how far is  $J_\alpha(q)$  from palindromic?”. So if  $J_\alpha(q)$  is palindromic, we set  $I_\alpha(q) = 0$ . By (4.5), we have  $I_\alpha(q) \equiv \operatorname{tr} A$ .

**Corollary 4.12.** *With the above notation, we have  $I_\alpha(q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q]$  and  $I_\alpha(0) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** By (4.5), the assertion follows from Remark 4.8, while it remains to show that  $I_\alpha(q) \not\equiv 2$ . By the proof of Theorem 4.7, we see that if  $\operatorname{tr} A \equiv 2$  then  $A$  is equivalent to the identity matrix. In this case, we have  $M \equiv S_q$ , but it is not possible since  $M = M_q(a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_{2m} > 0$ .  $\square$

By Remark 4.8, Conjecture 4.9 implies the following conjecture on the normalized Jones polynomials of rational links (equivalently, left  $q$ -deformed rationals). We say a polynomial  $f(q) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i q^i \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  is *bimodal* if there is three integers  $l, l', m$  with  $0 \leq l \leq l' \leq m \leq n$  such that

$$a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_l \geq a_{l+1} \geq \dots \geq a_{l'} \leq a_{l'+1} \leq \dots \leq a_m \geq a_{m+1} \geq \dots \geq a_n.$$

**Conjecture 4.13.**  $I_\alpha(q)$  is unimodal except for the following two types.

- (1)  $1 + q^n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ .
- (2)  $\sum_{i=0}^{2k} a_i q^i$  with  $(a_0, \dots, a_{2k+1}) = (1, 2, \dots, k, k-1, k, k-1, k-2, \dots, 2, 1)$  for some  $k \geq 2$ .

Especially,  $I_\alpha(q)$  is at most bimodal.

The case (2) (resp. (3)) corresponds to the case

$$A \equiv R^n \quad (\text{resp. } A = M_q(1, k, 1, k), M_q(k, 1, k, 1)).$$

Note that  $\operatorname{tr} M_q(1, k, 1, k) (= \operatorname{tr} M_q(k, 1, k, 1))$  is computed in [6, Example 1.8].

**Example 4.14.** Easy calculation shows that  $I_{\frac{12}{5}}(q)$  and  $I_{\frac{15}{4}}(q)$  are not unimodal. In fact,

$$I_{\frac{12}{5}}(q) = I_{\frac{12}{7}}(q) = q^3 + 1, \quad I_{\frac{15}{4}}(q) = I_{\frac{15}{11}}(q) = q^4 + 2q^3 + q^2 + 2q + 1.$$

We also remark that, for irreducible fractions  $\alpha = \frac{12}{s}, \frac{15}{s} > 1$ ,  $I_\alpha(q)$  are unimodal except for the above cases. Similarly, for irreducible fractions  $\alpha = \frac{13}{s}, \frac{14}{s} > 1$ ,  $I_\alpha(q)$  are all unimodal, while  $J_\alpha(q)$  is palindromic for  $\alpha = \frac{13}{5}, \frac{13}{8}$ , and  $I_\alpha(q) = 0$  in these cases.

Finally, we give the following property. The proof provides a way to construct many irreducible fractions (greater than 1) that have the same  $I_\alpha(q)$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** *For any irreducible fraction  $\alpha > 1$ , there is an infinite sequence of irreducible fractions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  (every  $\alpha_i > 1$  are distinct) such that  $I_\alpha(q) = I_{\alpha_i}(q)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .*

**Proof.** For  $\alpha = \frac{r}{s} = [a_1, \dots, a_{2m}] > 1$  with

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) = \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ s & u \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$I_\alpha(q) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{s}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{S}_{\frac{r}{t}}^\sharp(q) = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q)$$

by (4.4). For  $\alpha_1 := \frac{r+s+t+u}{s+u} = [b_1, \dots, b_{2l}] > 1$ , we have

$$M(b_1, \dots, b_{2l}) = \begin{pmatrix} r+s+t+u & t+u \\ s+u & u \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$I_{\alpha_1}(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s+u}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t+u}{u}}^\sharp(q) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\frac{s}{u}}^\sharp(q) - \mathcal{R}_{\frac{t}{u}}^\sharp(q) \equiv I_\alpha(q).$$

Hence  $I_{\alpha_1}(q) = I_\alpha(q)$ . Repeating this procedure, we get the sequence  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  with

$$\alpha_i = \frac{r+i(s+t)+i^2u}{s+iu},$$

which satisfies the desired property.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Michihisa Wakui for helpful comments. The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21H04994, 19K03456. The second author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K03258.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bapat, A., Becker, L., Licata, A. M.:  $q$ -deformed rational numbers and the 2-Calabi–Yau category of type  $A_2$ , *Forum Math. Sigma*11(2023), Paper No. e47, 41 pp.
- [2] Frame, J.S.: Continued Fractions and Matrices, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 56, No. 2 (Feb., 1949), pp. 98–103.
- [3] L. H. Kauffman and S. Lambropoulou, *On the classification of rational knots*, *Enseign. Math.* (2) **49** (2003), no.3-4, 357–410.
- [4] K. Lee and R. Schiffler, *Cluster algebras and Jones polynomials*, *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) **25** (2019), no.4, Paper No. 58, 41 pp.

- [5] Kantarcı Oğuz , E.: Oriented posets, rank matrices and  $q$ -deformed Markov numbers, *Discrete Math.* **348**, 2, (2025), No.114256
- [6] Kantarcı Oğuz , E., Ravichandran, M.: Rank polynomials of fence posets are unimodal. *Discrete Math.* **346**, 2 (2023), Paper No. 113218.
- [7] Kogiso, T., Miyamoto, K., Ren, X., Wakui, M., Yanagawa, K.: Arithmetic on  $q$ -deformed rational numbers, to appear in *Arnold Math. J.*
- [8] Kogiso, T., Wakui, M.: A bridge between Conway-Coxeter friezes and rational tangles through the Kauffman bracket polynomials, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **28** (2019), no. 14, 1950083, 40 pp.
- [9] Labbé, S., and Lapointe, M.: The  $q$ -analog of the Markoff injectivity conjecture over the language of a balanced sequence, *Comb. Theory 2* (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 9, 25 pp.
- [10] Lee, K., Schiffler, R.: Cluster algebras and Jones polynomials, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **25** (2019), no. 4, Paper No. 58, 41 pp.
- [11] Leclere, L., Morier-Genoud, S.: The  $q$ -deformations in the modular group and of the real quadratic irrational numbers, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **130** (2021), Paper No. 102223, 28 pp.
- [12] Leclere, L., Morier-Genoud, S., Ovsienko, V., Veselov, A.: On radius of convergence of  $q$ -deformed real numbers, arXiv:2102.00891, 2022.
- [13] McConville, T., Sagan, B. E., and Smyth, C. On a rank-unimodality conjecture of Morier-Genoud and Ovsienko, *Discrete Math.* **344** (2021), no. 8, Paper No. 112483, 13 pp.
- [14] Morier-Genoud, S., Ovsienko, V.:  $q$ -deformed rationals and  $q$ -continued fractions, *Forum Math. Sigma* **8** (2020), Paper No. e13, 55 pp.
- [15] Morier-Genoud, S., Ovsienko, V.: On  $q$ -deformed real numbers, *Exp. Math.* **31** (2022), no. 2, 652–660.
- [16] Morier-Genoud, S., Ovsienko, V., Veselov, A. P.: Burau representation of braid groups and  $q$ -rationals, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*(2024), no.10, 8618–8627.
- [17] Ovsienko, V.: Towards quantized complex numbers:  $q$ -deformed gaussian integers and the Picard group, *Open Communications in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics Vol.1* (2021) pp 73–93.
- [18] Ren, X.: On radiuses of convergence of  $q$ -metallic numbers and related  $q$ -rational numbers, *Res. Number Theory* **8** (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 37, 14 pp; Corrigendum to: On radiuses of convergence of  $q$ -metallic numbers and related  $q$ -rational numbers, *Res. Number Theory* **9** (2023), no.2, Paper No. 39, 4 pp.
- [19] Ren, X.: On  $q$ -deformed Farey sum and a homological interpretation of  $q$ -deformed real quadratic irrational numbers, arXiv:2210.06056, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN

*Email address:* xinren1213@gmail.com; ren.xin.sci@osaka-u.ac.jp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAI UNIVERSITY, OSAKA, 564-8680, JAPAN.

*Email address:* yanagawa@kansai-u.ac.jp