

# SD++: Enhancing Standard Definition Maps by Incorporating Road Knowledge using LLMs

Hitvarth Diwanji<sup>\*1</sup>, Jing-Yan Liao<sup>\*1</sup>, Akshar Tumu<sup>\*1</sup>,  
Henrik I. Christensen<sup>2</sup>, Marcell Vazquez-Chanlatte<sup>3</sup>, and Chikao Tsuchiya<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract**—High-definition maps (HD maps) are detailed and informative maps capturing lane centerlines and road elements. Although very useful for autonomous driving, HD maps are costly to build and maintain. Furthermore, access to these high-quality maps is usually limited to the firms that build them. On the other hand, standard definition (SD) maps provide road centerlines with an accuracy of a few meters. In this paper, we explore the possibility of enhancing SD maps by incorporating information from road manuals using LLMs. We develop SD++, an end-to-end pipeline to enhance SD maps with location-dependent road information obtained from a road manual. We suggest and compare several ways of using LLMs for such a task. Furthermore, we show the generalization ability of SD++ by showing results from both California and Japan.

## I. INTRODUCTION

High-Definition (HD) maps play a critical role in autonomous driving systems, supporting key components such as localization, prediction, and planning. Their popularity stems from their precision in capturing road geometry and providing lane-level details, offering valuable context for a wide range of driving tasks. HD maps leverage advances in sensor fusion technology, incorporating multiple modalities that complement each other. For instance, 3D point cloud data from LiDAR is often enriched with semantic information derived from camera inputs, enabling a comprehensive understanding of lanes, junctions, and road segments.

Despite their importance, the creation and maintenance of HD maps remain resource-intensive and challenging. Producing these maps requires extensive data collection using vehicles equipped with high-end sensors like LiDAR and RGB cameras. This raw data then undergoes sophisticated processing to extract detailed semantic features like lane boundaries. However, the process often involves significant manual annotation, which is both time-consuming and labor-intensive. Furthermore, HD maps require frequent updates to accommodate changes in road conditions, such as construction or layout modifications, making them costly and difficult to scale. Prior studies [1] highlight these operational challenges, emphasizing the need for more efficient and scalable solutions. To address these limitations, researchers have




Fig. 1: Overview of our proposed pipeline, which explores the potential of leveraging open-source map data, large language models, and official documents (e.g., highway design manuals) for mapping.

explored alternative approaches, such as generating HD maps directly from onboard sensor data [2]–[5]. While promising, these methods often face challenges with generalization, limited range, and still require significant data collection.

Standard-Definition (SD) maps offer a cost-effective and scalable alternative. Open-source options like OpenStreetMap (OSM) [6] represent road networks using basic geographical coordinates, making them easy to scale. However, SD maps lack the fine-grained detail and accuracy [7] required for many autonomous driving applications, limiting their direct usability. Recent research [8], [9] has attempted to generate HD maps by integrating them with real-time sensor data, improving their usability for lane topology reasoning. Nevertheless, these methods still face challenges related to generalization and data dependency. Given that most existing approaches extract lane-level details primarily from visual cues, we explore whether SD maps can be enhanced using other publicly available resources, potentially narrowing the gap between SD and HD maps.

In this study, we propose a novel approach to bridge the gap between HD and SD maps without relying on sensor data or manual annotation. Specifically, we explore the use of large language models (LLMs) to enhance SD maps using publicly available resources, such as highway design manuals [10] that provide detailed road design specifications. By leveraging these resources alongside OSM data, our method aims to generate enriched map representations that approximate the detail and utility of HD maps. Our key contributions are as follows:

This research is supported by Nissan.

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>1</sup>Contextual Robotics Institute, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA {hdwanji, j3liao, atumu}@ucsd.edu

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Comp. Sci. and Eng., UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA hichristensen@ucsd.edu

<sup>3</sup>Nissan North America, 3400 Central Expy, Santa Clara, CA 95051

- **Sensor-Free SD Map Enhancement:** We present a novel method for enhancing SD maps without using any sensor data, leveraging open-source information and LLMs to automate the process.
- **Generalization Across Regions:** We demonstrate the generalization ability of our approach by incorporating road design guidelines from multiple regions, including various U.S. states and Japan.

This work aims to provide a scalable and cost-effective alternative to traditional HD map creation while retaining its critical functionality for autonomous driving systems.

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. High Definition (HD) Maps Generation

HD map generation has been extensively studied in the context of autonomous driving, with research broadly categorized into online and offline methods. Both approaches aim to create detailed, accurate maps but differ significantly in their operational processes and use cases. Online HD map generations [2]–[5], [9] estimate locations of map elements based on sensor inputs to avoid continuous map maintenance. Offline HD map generation methods allow the aggregation of more information. For example, Zhou et al. [11] automate the HD Map building pipeline with instance segmentation, mapping, and particle filter-based lane aggregation.

### B. LLM for Autonomous Driving

Recently, the autonomous driving domain saw many diverse applications of LLMs. DriveGPT4 [12] and LM-Drive [13] attempt to approach autonomous driving in an end-to-end fashion. Elhafsi et al. [14] detect visual anomalies using LLMs to prevent certain failure modes in autonomous driving. Furthermore, we see numerous works focusing on either of perception [15], prediction [16]–[18], or planning and control [19]–[21] aspects of autonomous driving. However, to the best of our knowledge, we have not found any work that leverages LLMs for mapping. The closest work we see are around map annotations for more awareness of the surroundings. Talk2BEV [22] annotates an instantaneous Bird’s Eye View (BEV) map with natural language descriptions of identified map elements (like cars, bikes, etc) using LLMs. However, their language-enhanced map is frame-dependent, which implies its single usage. SD++ on the other hand, is a static map and can be reused for downstream tasks. Moreover, our method focuses on enhancing existing SD maps without using any sensors.

## III. PREREQUISITES

### A. OSM Data Format

An OSM consists of *nodes*, *ways* and *relations* supplemented with metadata for each data structure. Every node  $n = (id, lat, lon)$  in an OSM has a unique node identifier and represents a geographical point denoted by latitude and longitude. All the roads are defined using ways. A way  $w = (n_0, n_1, \dots)$  is represented as a sequence of nodes that make up the way. Structures like buildings can also be




Fig. 2: An example illustration from the Highway Design Manual (HDM)

represented as ways. Finally, relations are used to indicate logical associations between nodes and ways. In our case, relations are not used as our focus is to enhance lane-level details of road elements.

### B. Road Manuals

Highway Design Manual (HDM) [10] is a comprehensive document that provides standardized guidelines and specifications for the design, construction, and maintenance of roadways and related infrastructure. It provides detailed parameters such as lane widths, shoulder dimensions, road alignments, and traffic control elements like signage and markings. These manuals are created and maintained by government transportation departments, such as the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) or state-level agencies to ensure safety, efficiency, and consistency in highway design across different regions.

The HDM is particularly valuable for SD map enhancement, as it defines precise geometric and structural details that can serve as priors for enhancing map accuracy. For example, the manual specifies road lane configurations, minimum and maximum widths, and intersection designs, all critical inputs when augmenting SD maps with lane-level information. With this official document in hand, SD++ makes our map representation closer to an HD map at a low cost.

An example illustration from HDM is shown in Fig. 2

### C. Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG)

RAG is an approach in natural language processing that combines the retrieval of relevant information from an external knowledge base with the generation of text using a language model. Large documents are first broken down into smaller chunks. These chunks of text are converted to embeddings using an embedding model and stored in a vector database. Then, the embedding of a user query is compared with all the stored embeddings using similarity measures like the cosine similarity, and the document chunks corresponding to  $k$ , where most similar embeddings are retrieved. The retrieved documents are then appended to the user query and passed to the LLM to generate the final answer. This method enables the language model to access domain-specific information, improving its accuracy and relevance for specific tasks. RAG is beneficial for SD map enhancement due to the following reasons.




Fig. 3: SD++ takes OSM as input, filters it by removing non-road elements, processes it using A/B street software and prompts the RAG pipeline with the basic road information from A/B street. The RAG pipeline maintains a vector database of information from road manuals. When prompted with a query, it uses an LLM to obtain detailed road information of the queried roads using the context from road manuals. This detailed road information then goes to an LLM-generated code that gives us the enhanced HD map.

- **Incorporating Domain Knowledge:** RAG allows the integration of external resources like highway design manuals or traffic regulations. By retrieving specific details, such as lane dimensions or intersection configurations, and providing them as context to the language model, RAG ensures the outputs adhere to real-world standards.
- **Enhancing Consistency:** By retrieving consistent and structured information from SD maps or related documents, RAG can help create enhanced HD maps that follow established rules and guidelines, reducing errors or inconsistencies.
- **Dynamic Updates:** As road conditions or standards change, RAG can retrieve the most up-to-date information, enabling HD map generation processes to adapt without retraining the language model.
- **Reducing Model Limitations:** LLMs alone may struggle with domain-specific or granular tasks like accurate map enhancement. RAG bridges this gap by grounding the model’s outputs in retrieved, authoritative information.

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

In this section, we introduce two pipelines for generating enhanced Standard Definition (SD) maps: Direct Generation and Knowledge-Based Algorithmic Generation. The comparison of these methods and their results are presented in Section V. Inspired by the recent advancements in Large Language Models (LLMs) for text generation [23], [24], we explore their potential to enhance SD maps, given that SD maps, such as OpenStreetMap (OSM) data, are represented in text form. The Direct Generation method uses a straightforward approach by combining OSM input, LLM capabilities, and highway design manual (HDM) knowledge. In contrast, Knowledge-Based Algorithmic Generation incorporates Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) techniques

and additional post-processing steps, leveraging LLMs for specific tasks while addressing the limitations of direct text-based map generation.

##### A. Direct Generation

The Direct Generation method tests the capability of LLMs to enhance SD maps through structured prompting and contextual input.

###### 1) Process:

- **Preprocessing OSM Data:** OSM data is first filtered to retain only road-related information. This preprocessing step ensures that irrelevant details do not overwhelm the LLM.
- **Providing Context with Pre-Prompt:** A carefully designed pre-prompt describes the OSM data structure, its common tags, and how these relate to HD maps. This step helps the LLM understand the domain-specific context and prepares it for processing the input.
- **Incorporating Highway Design Manual (HDM):** The HDM is uploaded with instructions for the LLM to extract key road-related details such as lane width, shoulder widths, and other essential parameters for SD map enhancement.
- **Constraints for HD Map Generation:** The LLM is tasked with generating the enhanced map while adhering to specific constraints:
  - 1) All road nodes in the OSM data must be included.
  - 2) No modifications are allowed to the existing road nodes in OSM.
  - 3) Any assumptions made during the process must be explicitly stated.
  - 4) Clearly state any assumptions made.
- **Output Format Specification:** The final output is formatted to allow further analysis and validation.

2) *Challenges Identified*: While this method leverages LLMs for generating enhanced maps, its performance is limited by inconsistencies in text-based map generation, as demonstrated in Section V. These limitations led us to design an improved method: Knowledge-Based Algorithmic Generation.

### B. Knowledge-based Algorithmic Generation

Recognizing that text-based map generation is not a strength of LLMs; we shifted their role to tasks they excel at, such as extracting structured knowledge from the HDM. This refined pipeline, shown in Fig. 3, integrates additional preprocessing and post-processing steps to address the shortcomings of the Direct Generation approach.

- **Enhanced Preprocessing Using A/B Street**: To address inconsistencies in OSM data due to its open-source nature, we use the A/B Street tool [25] to further refine and standardize road segment attributes. This step ensures consistent labels across all road segments. Furthermore, it converts the XML format of OSM to JSON format, which is easier to parse for an LLM.
- **Segment-Level Information Extraction**: Essential details for each road segment—such as lane width, bike lane width, and total road width—are extracted from the HDM using LLMs. The LLM focuses solely on understanding and retrieving relevant information, leveraging its strengths in contextual text analysis.
- **Map Generation**: With the extracted road information, the enhanced map is generated through algorithmic methods. These methods ensure that the final output adheres to the constraints of HD maps and aligns with the HDM specifications.

We present three variants of Algorithmic Generation:

1) *One-Shot Generation (OSG)*: Here the complete road information is generated in one run of the pipeline (shown in Fig. 3).


2) *Incremental Generation (IG)*: In this variant, we request the LLM to generate the road information one lane at a time. This is to put more attention to every lane.

3) *Autoregressive Generation (Auto)*: Just like IG variant, Auto generates lanes one-by-one. But here, the pipeline is run in an autoregressive manner. That is, once we generate information for one lane, it is appended to the context for generating the next lane. Hence, the generated lanes benefit from the extracted information of other lanes.

In terms of cost, Auto > IG  $\gg$  OSG. We compare these variants in section V to see if the added expense is worth the performance gain.

## V. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we first introduce our implementation details in V-A, and show our qualitative and quantitative results for both of our approaches in V-B. Finally, we compare on a subset of Argoverse 2 dataset [26], and Tokyo Japan to demonstrate our generalization ability.



(a) Direct Generation

(b) Algorithmic Generation

Fig. 4: A qualitative example for direct generation vs algorithmic generation

### A. Implementational Details

For preprocessing, we use osmium [27] and osmfilter [28] to extract and filter OSM data.

In the RAG pipeline, we utilize LangChain [29]. We use chapter 300 of the Highway Design Manual (HDM) [10] as our road manual. The document is divided into smaller chunks of text using PyPDFLoader [30], and we generate text embeddings for these chunks using the OpenAI embedding model.

For the generation component of the RAG pipeline, we primarily use GPT-4o as the large language model (LLM). We also experiment with Llama [24], and provide a comparison of their performance in the following subsections.

To parse the extracted road information, we use Python code generated by an LLM. This code converts the data into a JSON format, representing sequences of points for roads, lanes, and bike lanes.

### B. Direct generation vs Algorithmic Generation

In this experiment, we analyze and compare the outputs of direct generation with algorithmic generation for a small OSM map spanning about 100 meters. Fig. 4 shows example output for both methods. The lane widths are consistent for algorithmic generation but vary noticeably across the directly generated map. The direct generation method also fails to comprehend the scale of lane width compared to the map’s scale. On conducting several runs, we observe that the results of algorithmic generation are consistent, whereas the direct generation fails to produce clean outputs. The example shown in Fig. 4 was the best of 5 runs. Furthermore, there were cases in which direct generation produced broken outputs or failed to provide an output altogether.

### C. Results on Argoverse 2

1) *Evaluation method*: We compare the output of SD++ with Argoverse ground truth using two metrics: Averaged Chamfer distance [31], and Recall. To calculate the Chamfer distance-based metric, the corresponding road in SD++ map for every road in Argoverse is established by matching their OSM way ID. The correspondence between predicted and ground-truth lanes is determined by minimizing the Chamfer distance. Table I shows the average of all these minimum distances. A SD++ lane prediction is considered correct if



Model	Variant	% of Valid Maps	Chamfer <sub>avg</sub> (m)	Chamfer <sub>min</sub> (m)	Recall
GPT-4o ( <i>P1</i> )	OSG	83	32.04 ± 36.12	11.68 ± 22.17	0.28 ± 0.27
GPT-4o ( <i>P2</i> )	OSG	100	2.85 ± 0.4	0.23 ± 0.11	0.77 ± 0.11
GPT-4o	IG	100	2.87 ± 0.37	0.26 ± 0.16	0.78 ± 0.11
GPT-4o	Auto	100	3.01 ± 0.51	0.44 ± 0.29	0.76 ± 0.11
Llama ( <i>P1</i> )	OSG	0	N/A	N/A	0.00 ± 0.00
Llama ( <i>P2</i> )	OSG	50	3.99 ± 1.47	2.99 ± 2.28	0.10 ± 0.08
Llama	IG	100	3.12 ± 0.31	0.41 ± 0.51	0.78 ± 0.11
Llama	Auto	100	3.32 ± 1.18	0.58 ± 0.74	0.72 ± 0.21

TABLE I: Quantitative results on Argoverse 2 comparing various variants of SD++. N/A indicates no matching lane for chamfer distance calculation.

```
"\n Given the above basic road information in JSON
format, return the detailed road information the
following JSON output format: \n\
{\n\
  id: {\n\
    'name': ,\n\
    'lane_width': type=int; lane width in
feet for the given road type,\n\
    'bike_lane_width': type=int; bike lane
width in feet for the given road type,\n\
  }\n\
}\n\
Please provide the output strictly in JSON format
with no comments and no explanations."
```

(a) Prompt *P1*

```
"\n Given the above basic road information in JSON
format, return the detailed road information the
following JSON output format: \n\
{\n\
  id: {\n\
    'name': ,\n\
    'lane_width': type=int; lane width in
feet for the given road type,\n\
    'bike_lane_width': type=int; bike lane
width in feet for the given road type,\n\
  }\n\
}\n\
Please provide the output strictly in JSON format
with no comments and no explanations. The assigned
IDs should NOT be osm_ids. The assigned IDs should
be of the format 0, 1, 2, ... Generate detail road
information for each input ID"
```

(b) Prompt *P2*

Fig. 5: Prompts *P1* (a) and *P2* (b) used in the RAG pipeline to obtain the detailed road information from the LLM

its Chamfer Distance to a lane in Argoverse 2 is less than 5 meters, as this threshold roughly corresponds to the typical road width. Following that definition of a correct lane, the recall is calculated as

$$recall = \frac{\text{correct lanes}}{\text{total ground truth lanes}}$$

2) *Quantitative results*: The quantitative evaluation of our approach on Argoverse is provided in Table I. Our experiments reveal several key insights regarding the performance of different language models and the impact of prompt design.

First, we compare the performance of two large language models (LLMs), GPT-4o and Llama 3.1. Our results show that GPT-4o consistently outperforms Llama across various




Fig. 6: Qualitative comparison for an Argoverse 2 sample from Palo Alto

methods. Notably, GPT-4o achieves strong results simply by using an improved prompt, whereas Llama benefits more from an incremental generation approach. This difference in performance may be attributed to the model size, as we use the 7-billion-parameter version of Llama, which is significantly smaller than GPT-4o.

Second, we analyze the role of prompt design in improving map generation. Previous studies have shown that even small changes in prompt phrasing can lead to significant variations in LLM outputs [32], [33]. We observe a similar effect in our SD++ predictions. As shown in Fig. 5, we compare two prompts, *P1* and *P2*, and find that the phrasing of the prompt has a notable impact on the quality of generated maps. These findings underscore the importance of both model selection and prompt engineering in improving SD map generation using LLMs. Furthermore, our results demonstrate consistency, as supported by the Chamfer Distance and variance metrics. Since road width is typically around 5 meters, our generated maps could serve as a strong prior, incorporating road connectivity and lane-level information.




Fig. 7: Qualitative comparison for an argoverse 2 sample from Miami

3) *Qualitative result:* To further illustrate the differences between models and prompt variations, we present qualitative results as well as satellite images [34] in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. These examples demonstrate how changes in prompt phrasing influence the outputs of both Llama and GPT-4o. As observed in the quantitative analysis, small modifications in prompt wording can lead to significant differences in map generation. For instance, in both Miami and Palo Alto, using prompt *P1*, the model fails to extract all road segments, whereas *P2* results in a more complete output.


Additionally, increasing the amount of contextual information in the prompt sometimes leads to a loss in output quality. We hypothesize that the additional input distracts the LLM, making it less effective in generating accurate lane predictions. Furthermore, Llama tends to produce wider lane estimates compared to GPT-4o. We believe this is due to Llama’s smaller model size, which may affect its ability to precisely interpret road design specifications.

Overall, our results highlight the crucial role of both prompt engineering and model selection in improving SD map enhancement. By refining prompt strategies and optimizing incremental processing, we can achieve more reliable and detailed lane structures.

#### D. Results from Japan

In this section, we demonstrate the generalization capability of SD++ by presenting results from Japan (Fig. 8). We use an English-translated version of the Japan Road Law [35] as our reference road manual to adapt our approach to a different country. By leveraging this official document, SD++ successfully generates road maps that align with local road design standards, showcasing its ability to adapt across regions.

This result highlights the potential of SD++ to be applied beyond its initial designed region without requiring sensor



(a) GPT-4o OSG P2



(b) Satellite Image

Fig. 8: A qualitative example in Japan to demonstrate generalization capability

data or manual annotations. The ability to incorporate diverse road regulations from different countries suggests that our approach can scale efficiently and provide reliable enhanced prior knowledge of roads in various geographic locations.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, SD++ presents a novel map representation without needing sensor data. By leveraging LLMs and RAG, we utilize official documents like Highway Design Manuals to enhance existing SD maps with lane-level details, providing valuable context for downstream tasks. SD++ demonstrates generalizability across OSM data from different states and other countries. However, it has limitations; SD maps’ accuracy is not improved since no additional sensor data is used. Also, the performance of the SD++ depends notably on the road manuals used. The outputs will suffer if the road manuals do not contain adequate information. Future work will focus on improving intersection handling and possibly exploring live official web pages, such as Caltrans project data [36], to achieve more accurate results and keep road users updated with the latest information. Overall, we see significant potential for LLMs in advancing mapping

technologies, especially when used as a for generating strong prior for autonomous driving applications.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jing-Yan Liao, Parth Doshi, Zihan Zhang, David Paz, and Henrik Christensen. OSM vs. HD maps: Map representations for trajectory prediction. In *2024 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 9990–9996. IEEE, 2024.
- [2] Qi Li, Yue Wang, Yilun Wang, and Hang Zhao. HDMapNet: An online hd map construction and evaluation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06307*, 2021.
- [3] Bencheng Liao, Shaoyu Chen, Xinggang Wang, Tianheng Cheng, Qian Zhang, Wenyu Liu, and Chang Huang. MapTR: Structured modeling and learning for online vectorized hd map construction. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [4] Tianyu Li, Li Chen, Huijie Wang, Yang Li, Jiazhi Yang, Xiangwei Geng, Shengyin Jiang, Yuting Wang, Hang Xu, Chunjing Xu, Junchi Yan, Ping Luo, and Hongyang Li. Graph-based topology reasoning for driving scenes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05277*, 2023.
- [5] Narayanan Elavathur Ranganatha, Hengyuan Zhang, Shashank Venkatramani, Jing-Yan Liao, and Henrik I. Christensen. Semvecnet: Generalizable vector map generation for arbitrary sensor configurations. *arXiv preprint 2405.00250*, 2024.
- [6] OpenStreetMap contributors. Planet dump retrieved from <https://planet.osm.org>, 2017.
- [7] Mordechai Haklay. How good is volunteered geographical information? a comparative study of openstreetmap and ordnance survey datasets. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 37(4):682–703, 2010.
- [8] Katie Z Luo, Xinshuo Weng, Yan Wang, Shuang Wu, Jie Li, Kilian Q Weinberger, Yue Wang, and Marco Pavone. Augmenting lane perception and topology understanding with standard definition navigation maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04079*, 2023.
- [9] Hengyuan Zhang, David Paz, Yuliang Guo, Arun Das, Xinyu Huang, Karsten Haug, Henrik I. Christensen, and Liu Ren. Enhancing online road network perception and reasoning with standard definition maps. In *2024 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 1086–1093, 2024.
- [10] California. Department of Transportation. California department of transportation (caltrans): Highway design manual: U.s. customary units: Seventh edition. <https://rosap.nrl.bts.gov/view/dot/15907>, 2020.
- [11] Yiyang Zhou, Yuichi Takeda, Masayoshi Tomizuka, and Wei Zhan. Automatic construction of lane-level HD maps for urban scenes. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 6649–6656, Prague, Czech Republic, 27 September - 01 October 2021.
- [12] Zhenhua Xu, Yujia Zhang, Enze Xie, Zhen Zhao, Yong Guo, Kwan-Yee. K. Wong, Zhenguo Li, and Hengshuang Zhao. DriveGPT4: Interpretable end-to-end autonomous driving via large language model. *arXiv preprint 2310.01412*, 2024.
- [13] Hao Shao, Yuxuan Hu, Letian Wang, Guanglu Song, Steven L Waslander, Yu Liu, and Hongsheng Li. Lmdrive: Closed-loop end-to-end driving with large language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 15120–15130, 2024.
- [14] Amine Elhafi, Rohan Sinha, Christopher Agia, Edward Schmerling, Issa Nesnas, and Marco Pavone. Semantic anomaly detection with large language models. *ArXiv Preprint cs.RO 2305.11307*, 2023.
- [15] Xinpeng Ding, Jianhua Han, Hang Xu, Wei Zhang, and Xiaomeng Li. HiLM-D: Towards high-resolution understanding in multimodal large language models for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint 2309.05186*, 2023.
- [16] Xiaoji Zheng, Lixiu Wu, Zhijie Yan, Yuanrong Tang, Hao Zhao, Chen Zhong, Bokui Chen, and Jiangtao Gong. Large language models powered context-aware motion prediction in autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint 2403.11057*, 2024.
- [17] Jiaqi Liu, Peng Hang, Xiao i, Jianqiang Wang, and Jian Sun. MTD-GPT: A multi-task decision-making gpt model for autonomous driving at unsignalized intersections. *arXiv preprint 2307.16118*, 2023.
- [18] Mingxing Peng, Xusen Guo, Xianda Chen, Meixin Zhu, and Kehua Chen. LC-LLM: Explainable lane-change intention and trajectory predictions with large language models. *arXiv preprint 2403.18344*, 2024.
- [19] Yupeng Zheng, Zebin Xing, Qichao Zhang, Bu Jin, Pengfei Li, Yuhang Zheng, Zhongpu Xia, Kun Zhan, Xianpeng Lang, Yaran Chen, and Dongbin Zhao. PlanAgent: A multi-modal large language agent for closed-loop vehicle motion planning. *arXiv preprint 2406.01587*, 2024.
- [20] Wencheng Han, Dongqian Guo, Cheng-Zhong Xu, and Jianbing Shen. DME-Driver: Integrating human decision logic and 3D scene perception in autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint 2401.03641*, 2024.
- [21] Jiageng Mao, Junjie Ye, Yuxi Qian, Marco Pavone, and Yue Wang. A language agent for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint 2111.10813*, 2024.
- [22] Tushar Choudhary, Vikrant Dewangan, Shivam Chandhok, Shubham Priyadarshan, Anushka Jain, Arun K. Singh, Siddharth Srivastava, Krishna Murthy Jatavallabhula, and K. Madhava Krishna. Talk2BEV: Language-enhanced Bird’s-eye View Maps for Autonomous Driving. *arXiv preprint 2310.02251*, 2023.
- [23] Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Matusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. *arXiv preprint 2005.14165*, 2020.
- [24] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. LLaMA: Open and Efficient Foundation Language Models. *arXiv preprint 2303.13971*, 2023.
- [25] Michael Kirk Dustin Carlino, Yuwen Li. A/B-street. <https://github.com/a-b-street/abstreet>, 2023.
- [26] Benjamin Wilson, William Qi, Tanmay Agarwal, John Lambert, Jagjeet Singh, Siddhesh Khandelwal, Bowen Pan, Ratnesh Kumar, Andrew Hartnett, Jhony Kaesemodel Pontes, Deva Ramanan, Peter Carr, and James Hays. Argoverse 2: Next generation datasets for self-driving perception and forecasting. In *Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing Systems Track on Datasets and Benchmarks (NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks 2021)*, 2021.
- [27] Jochen Topf and Contributors. Osmium: A c++ library for working with openstreetmap data. <https://github.com/osmcode/osmium-tool>, 2025. Accessed: 2025-01-27.
- [28] OpenStreetMap contributors. OSMfilter. <https://github.com/osmcode/osmium-filter>, 2024.
- [29] LangChain Contributors. LangChain. <https://github.com/langchain-ai/langchain>, 2023.
- [30] LangChain Contributors. Pypdfloader. [https://python.langchain.com/docs/integrations/document\\_loaders/pypdfloader/](https://python.langchain.com/docs/integrations/document_loaders/pypdfloader/), 2023.
- [31] H. G. Barrow, J. M. Tenenbaum, R. C. Bolles, and H. C. Wolf. Parametric correspondence and chamfer matching: two new techniques for image matching. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence - Volume 2, IJCAI’77*, page 659–663, San Francisco, CA, USA, 1977. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- [32] Laria Reynolds and Kyle McDonell. Prompt programming for large language models: Beyond the few-shot paradigm, 2021.
- [33] Tony Z. Zhao, Eric Wallace, Shi Feng, Dan Klein, and Sameer Singh. Calibrate before use: Improving few-shot performance of language models, 2021.
- [34] Google. Google Earth Satellite Image. <https://earth.google.com/>.
- [35] Government of Japan. Act no. 180 of 1947 (constitution of japan). [https://laws.e-gov.go.jp/law/327AC1000000180#Mp-Ch\\_3-Se\\_9-At\\_48\\_20-Pr\\_3](https://laws.e-gov.go.jp/law/327AC1000000180#Mp-Ch_3-Se_9-At_48_20-Pr_3), 1947. Accessed: Feb 2025.
- [36] California Department of Transportation (CA-DOT). Caltrain current projects. <https://consmat.dot.ca.gov>, 2025.