

## A NOTE ON CONFORMAL-BIHARMONIC HYPERSURFACES

V. BRANDING, S. MONTALDO, S. NISTOR, C. ONICIUC, AND A. RATTO

ABSTRACT. The conformal bienergy functional  $E_2^c$  was recently introduced as a modified version of the classical bienergy functional  $E_2$  in order to ensure the validity of some conformal invariance properties. The critical points of  $E_2^c$  are called conformal-biharmonic and denoted  $c$ -biharmonic. In this paper we study the  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces  $M^m$  with constant principal curvatures in the product space  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$  denotes a space form of constant sectional curvature  $\varepsilon$ . Specifically, we demonstrate that  $M^m$  is either totally geodesic or a cylindrical hypersurface of the form  $M^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $M^{m-1}$  is a  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$ . To provide further insight, we describe the structure of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in space forms. In the final part, as a preliminary effort to understand  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces  $M^m$  in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  with non constant mean curvature, we establish that a totally umbilical  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurface must necessarily be totally geodesic.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For smooth maps  $\phi : (M^m, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$  between two Riemannian manifolds we define the *bienergy functional* by

$$(1.1) \quad E_2(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 v_g,$$

where

$$\tau(\phi) := \text{Tr} \bar{\nabla} d\phi, \quad \tau(\phi) \in C(\phi^{-1}TN),$$

represents the *tension field of the map* and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the connection on the pull-back bundle  $\phi^{-1}TN$ . Solutions of  $\tau(\phi) = 0$  are called *harmonic maps*, while the critical points of (1.1) are called *biharmonic maps* and can be expressed by the vanishing of the *bitension field*  $\tau_2(\phi)$  as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad 0 = \tau_2(\phi) := -\bar{\Delta} \tau(\phi) - \text{Tr} R^N(d\phi(\cdot), \tau(\phi))d\phi(\cdot),$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 58E20; Secondary: 53C42, 53C43.

*Key words and phrases*.  $c$ -biharmonic maps, product spaces, constant principal curvatures, isoparametric hypersurfaces, totally geodesic hypersurfaces.

The author V.B. gratefully acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the project "Geometric Analysis of Biwave Maps" (DOI: 10.55776/P34853). The authors S.M. and A.R. are members of the Italian National Group G.N.S.A.G.A. of INdAM. The work was partially supported by the Project ISI-HOMOS funded by Fondazione di Sardegna and by PNRR e.INS Ecosystem of Innovation for Next Generation Sardinia (CUP F53C22000430001, codice MUR ECS00000038).

where  $\bar{\Delta}$  is the rough Laplacian defined on sections of the pull-back bundle  $\phi^{-1}TN$  and  $R^N$  is the curvature operator on  $(N^n, h)$ . Biharmonic maps have received a lot of attention in recent years and for the current status of research on biharmonic maps we refer to the book [13], while for biharmonic hypersurfaces one may consult [7]. The bienergy functional (1.1) is invariant under isometries of the domain. However, regarding the invariance under conformal transformations, it turns out that the bienergy (1.1) does not enjoy conformal invariance in any dimension. To repair this flaw in [2] the authors have considered a modified version of the bienergy (1.1) with two additional terms as follows

$$(1.3) \quad E_2^c(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( |\tau(\phi)|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \text{Scal} |d\phi|^2 - 2 \text{Tr} \langle d\phi (\text{Ric}(\cdot)), d\phi(\cdot) \rangle \right) v_g,$$

where  $\text{Scal}$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$  and  $\text{Ric}$  is its Ricci tensor. It was shown in [2] that, when  $\dim M = 4$ , the conformal bienergy (1.3) is invariant under conformal transformations of the domain. Consequently, this functional can be viewed as the natural higher-order generalization of the classical energy functional, which is conformally invariant in  $\dim M = 2$ . The structure of (1.3) is inspired by the Paneitz operator, a fourth-order elliptic operator in conformal geometry. For details, see [10, Chapter 4] and the original work [14].

We call (1.3) the *conformal bienergy functional*. The critical points of the conformal bienergy (1.3) are those who satisfy (see [2])

$$(1.4) \quad 0 = \tau_2^c(\phi) := \tau_2(\phi) - \frac{2}{3} \text{Scal} \tau(\phi) + 2 \text{Tr}(\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(\text{Ric}(\cdot), \cdot) + \frac{1}{3} d\phi(\nabla \text{Scal}).$$

Solutions of (1.4) will be called *conformal biharmonic maps*, or simply *c-biharmonic maps*.

In the present paper we are interested in *c-biharmonic hypersurfaces*, that is isometric immersions  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow (N^{m+1}, h)$  which are solutions of (1.4).

When  $(N^{m+1}, h)$  is a space form  $\mathbb{L}^{m+1}(\varepsilon)$  with constant sectional curvature  $\varepsilon$  a study of *c-biharmonic hypersurfaces* with constant principal curvatures was initiated in [2]. In particular, they proved that in the case  $\varepsilon = 0$  ( $\mathbb{L}^{m+1}(0) = \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ ) the only examples are the totally geodesic planes. When  $\varepsilon = -1$  ( $\mathbb{L}^{m+1}(-1) = \mathbb{H}^{m+1}$ ) they give a detailed analysis of *c-biharmonic hypersurfaces* with at most two distinct constant principal curvatures. It should be noted that in space forms a hypersurface has constant principal curvatures if and only if it is isoparametric. Moreover, if the ambient space is  $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$ , a classical argument of Cartan [3] shows that an isoparametric hypersurface has at most two distinct principal curvatures, thus the study in [2] covers all the *c-biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces* in  $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$ . As for the case of isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ , in [2], the authors give a full description of those with 1 or 2 principal curvatures and an example with 4 distinct principal curvatures.

When  $(N^{m+1}, h)$  is the product  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  a hypersurface with constant principal curvatures is not necessarily isoparametric. In fact it is known (see [4]) that hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures are isoparametric provided that the angle  $\alpha$  between the normal to the hypersurface and the  $\mathbb{R}$  direction of the ambient space is constant. Recently, in [6], the authors proved that an isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , has constant angle. Thus we have that isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , are equivalent to hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures and constant angle.

Our first contribution is the following theorem which characterizes  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in the product  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  without the assumption that the angle  $\alpha$  is constant.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M^m$  be a  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurface with constant principal curvatures in the product  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $M^m$  is totally geodesic or  $M^m = M^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $M^{m-1}$  is a  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$ .*

Consequently, the complete understanding of  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in the product space  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  reduces to a comprehensive study of  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in the space form  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$ . As previously mentioned,  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$  have been fully classified for  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0\}$ , whereas only partial results are available for  $\varepsilon = 1$ . For this reason, in Section 4, we provide a study of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  considering all possible degrees (i.e., the number of distinct principal curvatures)  $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ .

Finally, as an initial step toward understanding  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces  $M^m$  in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  with non constant principal curvatures, we examine totally umbilical hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ . These are hypersurfaces characterized by having a single principal curvature, denoted  $H$ , with multiplicity  $m$ . In the case of hypersurfaces in a space form, it is straightforward to show that totally umbilical hypersurfaces must have constant  $H$ . By contrast, the mean curvature  $H$  of a totally umbilical hypersurface in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  is not necessarily a constant (see, for instance, [5]). For this class of hypersurfaces, we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  be a  $c$ -biharmonic totally umbilical hypersurface. Then  $M^m$  is totally geodesic.*

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we carry out some preliminary computations on  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ . In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. Next, in Section 4 we study in detail  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in the Euclidean sphere  $\mathbb{S}^m$ . Finally, in the last section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Notations.* Throughout this article we will use the following sign conventions: for the Riemannian curvature tensor field we use

$$R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

for the Ricci tensor field

$$g(\text{Ric}(X), Y) = \text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{Tr}\{Z \rightarrow R(Z, X)Y\},$$

and the scalar curvature is given by

$$\text{Scal} = \text{Tr Ric}.$$

The trace is taken with respect to the domain metric and we write  $\text{Tr}$  instead of  $\text{Tr}_g$ . For the rough Laplacian on the pull-back bundle  $\phi^{-1}TN$  we employ the geometers sign convention

$$\bar{\Delta} = -\text{Tr}(\bar{\nabla}\bar{\nabla} - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla}).$$

## 2. $c$ -BIHARMONIC HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$

Let  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$  represent, depending on the value of  $\varepsilon$ , the space form  $\mathbb{S}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^m$  or  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with constant curvature  $\varepsilon = 1, -1$  or  $0$ , respectively.

Given a hypersurface

$$\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R},$$

let  $\eta$  denote a unit vector field normal to  $\varphi$  and let  $\partial_t$  denote a unit vector field tangent to the second factor  $\mathbb{R}$  of the ambient space. Let us denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the product metric in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ . We further denote by  $A$  the *shape operator*, by  $H = \text{Tr}A/m$  the *mean curvature function* and by  $B(X, Y) = \langle A(X), Y \rangle \eta$  its *second fundamental form*,  $X, Y \in C(TM^m)$ .

Since  $\partial_t$  is a unit vector field globally defined on the ambient space  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ , we can decompose it in the following form

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t = d\varphi(T) + \cos \alpha \eta,$$

where  $\cos \alpha = \langle \partial_t, \eta \rangle$  and  $d\varphi(T), T \in C(TM^m)$ , denotes the tangential component of  $\partial_t$ . In the sequel we shall identify  $T$  with  $d\varphi(T)$ .

Using that  $\partial_t$  is parallel on  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ , a direct computation yields the useful formulas

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_X T = \cos \alpha A(X),$$

$$(2.3) \quad X(\cos \alpha) = -\langle A(X), T \rangle,$$

for every tangent vector field  $X \in C(TM^m)$ .

The Riemannian curvature tensor of  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  can be easily computed and it is given by the formula

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = \varepsilon \{ & \langle \bar{Y}, \bar{Z} \rangle \bar{X} - \langle \bar{X}, \bar{Z} \rangle \bar{Y} - \langle \bar{Y}, \partial_t \rangle \langle \bar{Z}, \partial_t \rangle \bar{X} + \langle \bar{X}, \partial_t \rangle \langle \bar{Z}, \partial_t \rangle \bar{Y} \\ & + \langle \bar{X}, \bar{Z} \rangle \langle \bar{Y}, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t - \langle \bar{Y}, \bar{Z} \rangle \langle \bar{X}, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}$  are vector fields on  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Finally, the Codazzi equation is given by

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \varepsilon \cos \alpha (\langle Y, T \rangle X - \langle X, T \rangle Y),$$

where  $X, Y \in C(TM^m)$ .

We are in the right position to give, in terms of the angle function  $\alpha$ , the  $c$ -biharmonic tension field (1.4) of a hypersurface  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  be a hypersurface. Then the  $c$ -biharmonic tension field is given by*

$$\tau_2^c = [\tau_2^c]^\top + [\tau_2^c]^\perp$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} [\tau_2^c]^\top &= -2mA(\text{grad}H) - m^2H \text{grad}H - 2m(m-1)\varepsilon H \cos \alpha T \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{3}(m-1)\varepsilon \cos \alpha A(T) - \frac{1}{3} \text{grad} |A|^2 + \frac{2}{3}m^2H \text{grad}H \end{aligned}$$

is the tangential component, while

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} [\tau_2^c]^\perp &= \left\{ -m\Delta H + \frac{2}{3}m(m-1)(3-m)\varepsilon H + \frac{1}{3}m(7m-13)\varepsilon H \sin^2 \alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{5}{3}mH|A|^2 + 2(m-2)\varepsilon T(\cos \alpha) - 2\text{Tr}A^3 - \frac{2}{3}m^3H^3 \right\} \eta \end{aligned}$$

is the normal component.

*Proof.* We proceed by computing all terms in (1.4) and then summing them up. The tension field of the hypersurface is  $\tau(\phi) = mH\eta$  and the bitension field, as computed in [8], is expressed as follows:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_2(\phi) &= -2mA(\text{grad}H) - m^2H \text{grad}H - 2\varepsilon m(m-1) \cos \alpha HT \\ &\quad + m \left\{ -\Delta H - H|A|^2 + \varepsilon(m-1)H \sin^2 \alpha \right\} \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be a local orthonormal frame field on  $M$ . According to the Gauss equation, we have:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(X, Y) &= \sum_i \langle R(X, E_i)E_i, Y \rangle = \sum_i \langle \bar{R}(X, E_i)E_i, Y \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_i (\langle B(X, Y), B(E_i, E_i) \rangle - \langle B(X, E_i), B(Y, E_i) \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.4), we compute

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_i \langle \bar{R}(X, E_i)E_i, Y \rangle = \varepsilon \langle (m-1)X - |T|^2X - (m-2)\langle X, T \rangle T, Y \rangle$$

and, by the definition of  $B$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_i \langle B(X, Y), B(E_i, E_i) \rangle = mH \langle A(X), Y \rangle,$$

$$(2.12) \quad - \sum_i \langle B(X, E_i), B(Y, E_i) \rangle = -\langle A^2(X), Y \rangle.$$

From this, we derive:

$$(2.13) \quad \text{Ric}(X) = \varepsilon(m-1)X - \varepsilon|T|^2X - \varepsilon(m-2)\langle X, T \rangle T - A^2(X) + mHA(X).$$

Furthermore, the scalar curvature can be computed as follows:

$$(2.14) \quad \text{Scal} = \sum_i \langle \text{Ric}(E_i), E_i \rangle = \varepsilon m(m-1) - 2\varepsilon(m-1)|T|^2 - |A|^2 + m^2H^2.$$

Next we compute the term  $2 \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(\operatorname{Ric}(\cdot), \cdot)$ . We have, taking into account (2.13) and using (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_i (\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(\operatorname{Ric}(E_i), E_i) &= 2\varepsilon(m-1) \sum_i (\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(E_i, E_i) - 2\varepsilon|T|^2 \sum_i (\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(E_i, E_i) \\
&\quad - 2\varepsilon(m-2) \sum_i (\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(T, \langle E_i, T \rangle E_i) - 2 \sum_i (\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(A^2(E_i), E_i) \\
&\quad + 2mH \sum_i (\bar{\nabla} d\phi)(A(E_i), E_i) \\
&= 2\varepsilon m(m-1)H\eta - 2\varepsilon m|T|^2 H\eta - 2\varepsilon(m-2)B(T, T) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_i B(A^2(E_i), E_i) + 2mH \sum_i B(A(E_i), E_i) \\
&= \{2\varepsilon m(m-1)H - 2\varepsilon m|T|^2 H + 2\varepsilon(m-2)T(\cos \alpha) \\
&\quad - 2 \operatorname{Tr} A^3 + 2mH|A|^2\} \eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Finally, taking into account (2.2), we have

$$E_i(|T|^2) = 2\langle A(E_i), T \rangle \cos \alpha,$$

and using (2.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} d\phi(\nabla \operatorname{Scal}) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_i (E_i \operatorname{Scal}) E_i \\
&= -\frac{4}{3} \varepsilon(m-1) \cos \alpha A(T) - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{grad} |A|^2 + \frac{2}{3} m^2 H \operatorname{grad} H.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

By summing up all the computed terms in (1.4), specifically (2.8), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), and considering both the tangential and normal components, we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** It is worth to point out that if  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  is a totally geodesic immersion, that is if  $A \equiv 0$ , then, using (2.3),  $T(\cos \alpha) = 0$ . Thus, totally geodesic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  are  $c$ -biharmonic since (2.6) and (2.7) are identically satisfied.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first recall a result of Chaves and Santos.

**Theorem 3.1** ([4, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  be a hypersurface with constant principal curvatures such that  $T$  is a principal direction and  $\cos \alpha \neq 0$  for all  $p \in M$ . Then  $\varepsilon = -1$ ,  $A(T) = 0$  and the other  $m-1$  principal curvatures are all equal either to  $b/\sqrt{1+b^2}$  or to  $-b/\sqrt{1+b^2}$  for some real constant  $b$ .*

If we assume that the principal curvatures of the hypersurfaces are constant, we have that

$$H = \frac{k_1 + \dots + k_m}{m} = \text{constant} \quad \text{and} \quad |A|^2 = k_1^2 + \dots + k_m^2 = \text{constant}.$$

Now, assuming that both  $H$  and  $|A|^2$  are constant, the tangential component (2.6) of  $\tau_2^c$  simplifies to

$$[\tau_2^c]^\top = -\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon(m-1) \cos \alpha (3mHT + 2A(T)). \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently, if  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  is  $c$ -biharmonic, one of the following three cases must occur.

**Case  $\varepsilon = 0$ .** Then  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  and  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is a  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ . Now, isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  are: totally geodesic hyperplanes; round spheres; cylinders over round spheres. Consequently, for the isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  it is straightforward to check that the vanishing of the normal component (2.7) of  $\tau_2^c$ , that is

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{5}{3}mH|A|^2 - 2\text{Tr}A^3 - \frac{2}{3}m^3H^3 = 0,$$

implies that  $A = 0$ . Thus the  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  are only totally geodesic hyperplanes.

**Case  $\cos \alpha = 0$ .** In this case  $\partial_t$  is tangent to the hypersurface and  $\varphi(M^m)$  is locally a cylinder  $M^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$  where  $M^{m-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(c)$  is a  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurface in the space form  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon)$ .

**Case  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  and  $\cos \alpha \neq 0$ .** In this case, from (3.1), the vector field  $T$  satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad A(T) = -\frac{3mH}{2}T$$

which implies that  $T$  is a principal direction with corresponding principal curvature  $-3mH/2$ . We can thus apply Theorem 3.1 and since the principal curvature corresponding to  $T$  is 0, we have that  $H = 0$ . Now, the vanishing of the normal component (2.7) of  $\tau_2^c$  gives

$$\text{Tr}A^3 = \pm(m-1)\frac{b^3}{(1+b^2)^{3/2}} = 0.$$

Thus  $b = 0$  and the hypersurface is totally geodesic.

#### 4. ISOPARAMETRIC $c$ -BIHARMONIC HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$

In [2] the authors began the study of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in space forms. As a premise, they proved the following results:

**Proposition 4.1.**

- (a) Any totally geodesic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{L}^{m+1}(\varepsilon)$  is  $c$ -biharmonic.
- (b) Let  $M^m$  be a minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{L}^{m+1}(\varepsilon)$ . Then  $M^m$  is  $c$ -biharmonic if and only if its scalar curvature is constant and  $\text{Tr}A^3 = 0$ .

From this, they deduced that any hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is  $c$ -biharmonic, while there is no example of  $c$ -biharmonic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  amongst  $m$ -dimensional round spheres or cylinders.

Next, they characterized  $c$ -biharmonic small hyperspheres and generalized Clifford tori in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ . Finally, they gave a full analysis of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{m+1}$ .

As integration of the above work, in this section we investigate the existence of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  in the remaining cases, that is, isoparametric hypersurfaces of degree  $\ell = 3, 4, 6$ .

We recall that each isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  is CMC and has  $\ell$  distinct constant principal curvatures  $k_1, \dots, k_\ell$  with constant multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ ,  $m_1 + \dots + m_\ell = m$ . Moreover, the only possible values for  $\ell$  are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and  $m_{i+2} = m_i$ , so that there are at most two distinct multiplicities, which we shall denote  $m_1, m_2$ . The integer  $\ell$  is called the *degree* of the isoparametric hypersurface.

We follow the notation of [12]. By a suitable choice of the orientation we can assume  $m_1 \leq m_2$  and we have the following explicit description of the principal curvatures of the isoparametric families  $M_s^m$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ ,  $s \in (0, \pi/\ell)$ :

$$(4.1) \quad k_i(s) = \cot \left( s + \frac{(i-1)\pi}{\ell} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Next, we recall some further useful facts:

**Case  $\ell = 3$ .** There exist only four examples of this type, corresponding to  $m_1 = m_2 = 1, 2, 4, 8$ .

**Case  $\ell = 6$ .** It was proved in [1] that in this case necessarily  $m_1 = m_2$  and the possible values are  $m_1 = 1$  or  $m_1 = 2$ .

**Case  $\ell = 4$ .** This is the richest case. The only examples with  $m_1 = m_2$  occur when  $m_1 = m_2 = 1$  or  $m_1 = m_2 = 2$ , but we have plenty of examples with  $m_1 < m_2$ . Indeed, instances occur when  $m_1 = 4$  and  $m_2 = 5$ , or  $1 + m_1 + m_2$  is a multiple of  $2^{\xi(m_1-1)}$ , where  $\xi(n)$  denotes the number of natural numbers  $p$  such that  $1 \leq p \leq n$  and  $p \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$ . To give a more explicit idea of the situation, we point out that the following pairs of multiplicities come about:  $m_1 = 1, m_2 \geq 2$ ;  $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 2k, k \geq 2$ ;  $m_1 = 3, m_2 = 4$ ;  $m_1 = 4, m_2 = 4k - 5, k \geq 3$ .

Our first result is

**Theorem 4.2.**

- (a) Let  $M^m$  be a minimal isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree  $\ell$ ,  $\ell = 3$  or  $6$ . Then  $M^m$  is  $c$ -biharmonic.
- (b) Let  $M^m$  be a minimal isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree  $4$  and assume that  $m_1 = m_2$ . Then  $M^m$  is  $c$ -biharmonic.

*Proof.* According to Proposition 4.1(b) we just have to verify that in our hypotheses the scalar curvature is constant and  $\text{Tr}A^3 = 0$ . As for the statement concerning  $\text{Scal}$ , we recall that

$$\text{Scal} = m(m-1) + m^2H^2 - |A|^2.$$

Then, since  $H$  and  $|A|^2$  are constant, so is  $\text{Scal}$ . Thus, it remains to compute  $\text{Tr}A^3$ . In both cases  $\ell = 3, 6$  we know that  $m_1 = m_2$ , while if  $\ell = 4$  this is true by assumption.

It follows easily that, for each fixed  $\ell$ , the condition  $\text{Tr}A^3 = 0$  becomes equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (k_i(s))^3 = 0.$$

But minimality occurs if and only if  $s = \pi/(2\ell)$  and using (4.1) we can handle the three cases:

- Case  $\ell = 3$ :  $k_1 = \sqrt{3}, k_2 = 0, k_3 = -k_1$ ;
- Case  $\ell = 4$ :  $k_1 = \sqrt{2} + 1, k_2 = \sqrt{2} - 1, k_3 = -k_2, k_4 = -k_1$ ;
- Case  $\ell = 6$ :  $k_1 = \sqrt{3} + 2, k_2 = 1, k_3 = 2 - \sqrt{3}, k_4 = -k_3, k_5 = -k_2, k_6 = -k_1$

from which it is immediate to deduce that (4.2) holds and so the proof is completed.  $\square$

For our purposes, it is now useful to recall that, from [2], an isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  is  $c$ -biharmonic if and only if

$$(4.3) \quad [\tau_2^c]^\perp(s) = Hm(5|A|^2 - 2m^2H^2 - 2m^2 + 11m - 6) - 6\text{Tr}A^3 = 0.$$

Since (4.3) represents the vanishing of the normal component of  $\tau_2^c$ , we have denoted with  $[\tau_2^c]^\perp(s)$  the left-hand side of (4.3).

Therefore, we are now in the position to perform a more specific analysis of the existence of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces of degree  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ ,  $\ell = 3, 4, 6$ . Indeed, since according to (4.1) we know precisely the principal curvatures, we can plug this information into (4.3) and obtain the explicit condition of  $c$ -biharmonicity in terms of the parameter  $s$ .

We shall obtain a converse of Theorem 4.2. To this purpose, we proceed case by case.

**Case  $\ell = 3$ .** We know that there exist only four examples of this type, corresponding to  $m_1 = m_2 = 1, 2, 4, 8$ . The  $c$ -biharmonicity equation (4.3) becomes

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{27m_1 \cos(3s) \left( 2m_1^2 + m_1 \cos(6s) - 6m_1 + 6 \right)}{\sin^3(s) (2 \cos(2s) + 1)^3} = 0.$$

Now, a routine analysis shows that, on the relevant interval  $(0, \pi/3)$ , the unique solution of equation (4.4) is  $s = \pi/6$  and this value corresponds to the minimal hypersurface.

By way of conclusion, we have shown that the converse of Theorem 4.2(a) holds in this case, that is: *the minimal isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree 3 are the only  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree 3.*

**Case  $\ell = 6$ .** In this case necessarily  $m_1 = m_2$  and the possible values are  $m_1 = 1$  or  $m_1 = 2$ . The analysis proceeds precisely as in the case that  $\ell = 3$  and so we omit the details. Again, the conclusion is: *the minimal isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree 6 are the only  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree 6.*

**Case  $\ell = 4$ .** In this case it is convenient to separate the two instances  $m_1 = m_2$  and  $m_1 < m_2$ .

**Subcase  $m_1 = m_2$ .** The analysis can be carried out as in the cases  $\ell = 3, 6$  and so we omit the details. The conclusion is: *when  $m_1 = m_2$ , the minimal isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree 4 are the only  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree 4.*

**Subcase  $m_1 < m_2$ .** This case is interesting because it provides examples of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces which are *non minimal*. More precisely, we prove:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $M_s^m$ ,  $s \in (0, \pi/4)$ , be a family of isoparametric hypersurfaces of degree 4 in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  with  $m_1 < m_2$ ,  $m = 2(m_1 + m_2)$ . Then there exists  $s^* \in (0, \pi/4)$  such that  $M_{s^*}^m$  is a non minimal,  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Direct substitution and simplification yields:

$$H = \frac{\left( (m_1 + m_2) \cos(4s) + m_1 - m_2 \right)}{\sin(4s)(m_1 + m_2)}$$

$$[\tau_2^c]^\perp = \frac{1}{32 \sin^3(s) \cos^9(s) (\tan^2(s) - 1)^3} A(s),$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A(s) = & 2(m_1 - m_2)(12(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + 8m_1m_2 - 33(m_1 + m_2) + 36) \\ & + (32(m_1^3 + m_2^3) - 83(m_1^2 + m_2^2) - 6m_1m_2 + 96(m_1 + m_2)) \cos(4s) \\ & + 2(m_1 - m_2)(4(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + 8m_1m_2 - 7(m_1 + m_2) + 12) \cos(8s) \\ & + 3(m_1 + m_2)^2 \cos(12s). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to check that there exists a unique value  $\tilde{s} \in (0, \pi/4)$  such that  $H(\tilde{s}) = 0$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{s} = \frac{1}{4} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \right).$$

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} A(s) &= 32m_1(6 + m_1(-5 + 2m_1)) > 0 \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \pi/4^-} A(s) &= -32m_2(6 + m_2(-5 + 2m_2)) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this it is easy to deduce that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [\tau_2^c]^\perp(s) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \pi/4^-} [\tau_2^c]^\perp(s) = +\infty$ .

Therefore, there exists  $s^* \in (0, \pi/4)$  such that  $[\tau_2^c]^\perp(s^*) = 0$ .

Finally,

$$[\tau_2^c]^\perp(\tilde{s}) = \frac{48(m_1^2 - m_2^2)}{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}} \neq 0$$

which implies  $s^* \neq \tilde{s}$ . Therefore  $M_{s^*}^m$  is  $c$ -biharmonic and non minimal, as required to end the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** We point out that the analysis in the proof of Theorem 4.3 has shown that *minimal isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  of degree  $\ell = 4$  with  $m_1 < m_2$  are not  $c$ -biharmonic.*

**Remark 4.5.** In [11, Theorem 5.1] compact isoparametric Willmore hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$  are characterized. Their structure is similar to  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres: For  $\ell = 2$  they are represented by certain Clifford tori, while for  $\ell = 3, 6$  they must be minimal. As in the case of  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres,  $\ell = 4$  represents the richest case and allows for additional non-minimal solutions.

**Remark 4.6.** We would like to point that Theorem 4.3 highlights another interesting difference between biharmonic and  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres. It is well-known that there does not exist a proper biharmonic isoparametric hypersurface in spheres of degree  $\ell = 4$ , see [9] for the details, whereas Theorem 4.3 shows that there exist  $c$ -biharmonic isoparametric hypersurfaces in this case.

## 5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $\varphi : M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$  be a totally umbilical hypersurface. Then the shape operator satisfies  $A = H \text{Id}$  and it follows that  $|A|^2 = mH^2$  and  $\text{Tr} A^3 = mH^3$ . Under these conditions, the tangential and normal components of  $\tau_2^c$ , given by (2.6) and (2.7), become

$$(5.1) \quad [\tau_2^c]^\top = -\frac{1}{3}m(m+8)H \text{grad}H - \frac{2}{3}(m-1)(3m+2)\varepsilon H \cos \alpha T,$$

$$(5.2) \quad [\tau_2^c]^\perp = \left\{ -m\Delta H + \frac{2}{3}m(m-1)(3-m)\varepsilon H + \frac{1}{3}(m-1)(7m-12)\varepsilon H \sin^2 \alpha - \frac{1}{3}m(2m^2 - 5m + 6)H^3 \right\} \eta.$$

Moreover, under the assumption that  $A = H \text{Id}$  the Codazzi equation (2.5) becomes

$$(5.3) \quad [X(H) + \varepsilon \cos \alpha \langle X, T \rangle]Y - [Y(H) + \varepsilon \cos \alpha \langle Y, T \rangle]X = 0.$$

Since the dimension of  $M$  is  $m \geq 2$ , for any tangent vector field  $X$ , choosing  $Y$  so that  $\{X, Y\}$  are linearly independent, we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad X(H) + \varepsilon \cos \alpha \langle X, T \rangle = 0, \quad \forall X \in C(TM).$$

Next (5.4) implies

$$(5.5) \quad \text{grad}H = -\varepsilon \cos \alpha T$$

that substituted in (5.1) yields

$$(5m^2 - 10m - 4)H \text{grad}H = 0.$$

Since  $5m^2 - 10m - 4$  does not have integer solutions we conclude that  $H$  is constant, eventually zero. In particular, from (5.5), the possible cases are  $\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $\cos \alpha = 0$  and  $T = \vec{0}$ . If  $\varepsilon = 0$ , then the vanishing of (5.2) implies immediately that  $H = 0$ . If  $\cos \alpha = 0$ , then  $M^m$  is a cylinder, which is totally umbilical if and only if it is totally geodesic. Finally, if  $T$  vanishes, then  $M$  is a slice  $\mathbb{L}^m(\varepsilon) \times \{t_0\}$  and so it is totally geodesic.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] U. ABRESCH, *Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four or six distinct principal curvatures. Necessary conditions on the multiplicities*, Math. Ann., 264 (1983), pp. 283–302.
- [2] V. BRANDING, S. NISTOR, AND C. ONICIUC, *On conformal biharmonic maps and hypersurfaces*, arXiv:2311.04493, (2024).
- [3] E. CARTAN, *Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 17 (1938), pp. 177–191.
- [4] R. M. B. CHAVES AND E. SANTOS, *Hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , Illinois J. Math., 63 (2019), pp. 551–574.
- [5] R. F. DE LIMA AND J. A. P. DOS SANTOS, *Totally umbilical hypersurfaces of product spaces*, Manuscripta Math., 169 (2022), pp. 649–666.
- [6] R. F. DE LIMA AND G. PIPOLI, *Isoparametric hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , arXiv:2411.11506, (2024).
- [7] D. FETCU AND C. ONICIUC, *Biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms*, in Differential geometry and global analysis—in honor of Tadashi Nagano, vol. 777 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2022] ©2022, pp. 65–90.
- [8] Y. FU, S. MAETA, AND Y.-L. OU, *Biharmonic hypersurfaces in a product space  $L^m \times \mathbb{R}$* , Math. Nachr., 294 (2021), pp. 1724–1741.
- [9] T. ICHIYAMA, J.-I. INOBUCHI, AND H. URAKAWA, *Classifications and isolation phenomena of bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields*, Note Mat., 30 (2010), pp. 15–48.
- [10] A. JUHL, *Families of conformally covariant differential operators, Q-curvature and holography*, vol. 275 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [11] H. LI, *Willmore hypersurfaces in a sphere*, Asian J. Math., 5 (2001), pp. 365–377.
- [12] S. MONTALDO, C. ONICIUC, AND A. RATTO, *Polyharmonic hypersurfaces into space forms*, Israel J. Math., 249 (2022), pp. 343–374.
- [13] Y.-L. OU AND B.-Y. CHEN, *Biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic maps in Riemannian geometry*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, [2020] ©2020.

- [14] S. M. PANEITZ, *A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (summary)*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 4 (2008), pp. Paper 036, 3.

UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1,  
VIENNA, 1090, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* volker.branding@univie.ac.at

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI CAGLIARI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA,  
VIA OSPEDALE 72, 09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

*Email address:* montaldo@unica.it

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, "AL.I. CUZA" UNIVERSITY OF IASI, BD. CAROL I NO. 11,  
700506 IASI, ROMANIA

*Email address:* nistor.simona@ymail.com

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, "AL.I. CUZA" UNIVERSITY OF IASI, BD. CAROL I NO. 11,  
700506 IASI, ROMANIA

*Email address:* oniciucc@uaic.ro

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI CAGLIARI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA,  
VIA OSPEDALE 72, 09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

*Email address:* rattoa@unica.it