

ALL SOLID RINGS

JAIME BENABENT GUERRERO

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit characterization of the solid rings of 4th type, finishing a work by Bousfield and Kan in the 70s.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [BK71, Definition 2.1] we are first introduced to the concept of a *solid* ring¹ with our sight on the fact that the completion of a space with respect to a ring R , in the sense of [BK72], is homotopically equivalent to the completion of the space with respect to the maximal solid ring contained in R , cR .

It was in the same note that Bousfield and Kan classified all the solid rings in four types, but the fourth type has, until now, remained more obscure than the others. The first three types of solid rings where as follows

- (1) The cyclic rings $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where $n \geq 1$ is a positive integer.
- (2) The subrings of the rationals $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]$, where J is any set of primes.
- (3) The product rings $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where J is any set of primes containing all prime factors of $n \geq 2$.

while the fourth type was given by any of the following two equivalent definitions

- (4a) Any colimit given by a diagram of rings of type (1), (2) or (3), not belonging to any one of the three types before.
- (4b) The *core* of the infinite product rings $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z}$, where $K \subseteq J$ are any two infinite nested sets of primes and the exponents $\{e_p\}_{p \in K}$ are positive integers.

In this note we give a characterization of any solid ring of the fourth type as a sequential colimit of inclusions of rings of type (3) that explicitly determines the core of an infinite product ring of the form described in (4b) as a subring of the original ring.

2. SOLID RINGS

Definition 2.1. *Let R be a ring.*

- R is called *solid* if for any other ring S there exists at most one morphism $R \rightarrow S$, i.e. the unique morphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ is an epimorphism.
- An element $x \in R$ is called *absolute* if for any other ring S the image of x under any morphism $R \rightarrow S$ is fixed, i.e. $x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes x$ in $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$.
- The *core* of R , cR , is the subring of absolute elements, i.e. the maximal solid ring contained in R .

Date: February 5, 2025.

¹Our rings are always assumed to be commutative, associative and unital.

A ring is thus solid if and only if all of its elements are absolute.

Notice how *solidity* can also be defined in other categories, and the lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 below, whose proofs are purely categorical, also make sense for those categories.

Lemma 2.2. *Any colimit of solid rings is solid.*

Proof. A map from a colimit is uniquely determined by congruent morphisms coming from each object of the diagram that determines the colimit, the uniqueness of this family of congruent morphisms is due to the fact that we have at most one option for each object. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The colimit of a solid rings diagram can be identified with the coproduct of the underlying objects of our diagram. In addition, if an object appears more than once in our coproduct diagram, we are allowed to consider only one of the copies.*

Proof. The information of a colimit captures the congruent morphisms coming from each object of the diagram. Since there exists at most one arrow from each object, congruency is always a given in the case of existence. Thus, we can forget the information of the arrows between the objects and simply work with the coproduct of the underlying objects.

By the same reasoning, if we have duplicates of a solid object in a coproduct, we would only need to take one copy into account. \square

Recall the characterization of solid rings (1), (2) and (3) given in the introduction. We now give three lemmas whose proofs are straightforward computations of finite coproducts in the category of rings, for the last one take into account that in the category of rings the coproduct distributes over finite products.

Lemma 2.4. *The coproduct of any set $\{\mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}\}_{i \in I}$ of solid rings of type (1) can be written as $\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, where $g = \gcd_{i \in I} n_i$.*

Lemma 2.5. *The coproduct of any set $\{\mathbb{Z}[J_i^{-1}]\}_{i \in I}$ of solid rings of type (2) can be written as $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]$, where $J = \bigcup_{i \in I} J_i$.*

Lemma 2.6. *Any solid ring of type (3), $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ where J is any set of primes that contains all prime factors of n , can be written as the coproduct of $\mathbb{Z}[(J \setminus K)^{-1}]$ and $\{\mathbb{Z}[1/p] \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z}\}_{p \in K}$, where K is the finite set of primes that divides n and e_p is the exponent of p in n .*

Let us also give a concrete classification of the morphisms between all the solid rings of type (1), (2) and (3). In the case of existence it is easy to see what the unique morphism looks like, the calculations are straightforward:

1-1 $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n|m$.

1-2 $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]$ there are no such morphisms.

1-3 $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ there are no such morphisms.

2-1 $\mathbb{Z}[I^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $p|n \implies p \notin I$ for any prime p .

2-2 $\mathbb{Z}[I^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]$ if and only if $I \subseteq J$.

2-3 $\mathbb{Z}[I^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ given by 2-1 and 2-3 in each coordinate.

3-1 $\mathbb{Z}[I^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ given by projection and applying either 1-1 or 2-1.

3-2 $\mathbb{Z}[I^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]$ if and only if $I \subseteq J$.

3-3 $\mathbb{Z}[I^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ given by 3-1 and 3-2 in each coordinate.

These lemmas, together with the well-known fact that colimits commute with colimits and the lemma 2.3 above, will allow us to freely manipulate our diagrams to obtain the desired result.

By this point, one should have also noticed that the solid rings of type (3) are a generalization of the solid rings of type (2), which can be obtained by letting $n = 1$. Nevertheless, we still distinguish between the two cases for two reasons, the first one being, by respecting the initial classification of Bousfield and Kan, the consideration of the more studied and well-known subrings of the rationals as a special case of this common generalization and the second one being for the sake of considering all the primes in $J \setminus K$ together when taking the coproduct, since infinite coproducts of rings of type (2) alone remain a type (2).

We finish this section by recalling a crucial lemma already present in the literature:

Lemma 2.7 ([BK71, Proposition 3.1]). *Every solid ring can be written as a colimit of solid rings of types (1), (2) and (3).*

3. THE MAIN RESULT

Let S be a solid ring, based on lemma 2.7 above, consider a diagram of solid rings of types (1), (2) and (3) such that S is the colimit of such a diagram. Consider a reduction of the diagram to a coproduct based on lemma 2.3 above. Furthermore, since colimits commute with colimits, we may consider that there is at most one solid ring of type (1), $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and, for any prime p , at most one solid ring of type (2) or (3), $\mathbb{Z}[1/p] \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z}$, where here e_p is allowed to be 0 to represent the rings of type (2). Now, let us examine the possibilities:

If there is one solid ring of type (1), $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then S is a ring of type (1). Either $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ in the case that every other ring in the diagram has a morphism going to it or the zero ring otherwise.

Now, suppose there are no rings of type (1) present. We can consider S as a coproduct of solid rings of type (2) and (3), let us say $\{\mathbb{Z}[1/p] \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z}\}_{p \in J}$, where J is a set of primes and $K \subseteq J$ such that $p \in K \iff e_p \geq 1$. If K is finite then we are in either type (2) or type (3), if $K = \emptyset$ or not, respectively. So let us take K to be infinite.

By virtue of the fact that colimits commute with colimits we can take the coproduct of all the primes in $J \setminus K$ together and then, step by step, take the coproduct with one more prime at the time to get a sequential diagram of ring inclusions:

$$\mathbb{Z}[J_0] \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

where $K_n := \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for some enumeration $p_1, p_2, p_3 \dots$ of the primes in K which w.l.o.g. may be their natural order $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots$, $J_n := (J \setminus K) \cup K_n$ and the inclusions are given coordinate-wise as described in 3-3 above, i.e.

- $\mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J_{n+1}^{-1}]$,
- $\mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_{p_i}} \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and
- $\mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p_{n+1}^{e_{p_{n+1}}} \mathbb{Z}$

where we are only left to establish what is the morphism to the torsion ring coming from the new added prime,

$$\mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p_{n+1}^{e_{p_{n+1}}} \mathbb{Z},$$

which is the only possible morphism (2-1) taking $1/q$ to the inverse of q modulo $p_{n+1}^{e_{p_{n+1}}}$ for any prime $q \in J_n$, a well-defined morphism since the prime p_{n+1} is not invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}]$.

S is thus the colimit of such a sequential diagram, then we know exactly what S looks like, its elements can be taken to be the elements of the rings in the diagram in their minimal form, i.e. that do not belong to the image of any morphism, and the binary operations between any two such elements takes place in the bigger ring through the inclusion morphisms.

4. THE CORES OF $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z}$

Now we know what the rings of the type (4) look like and all the information that we need to determine one is given exactly by two infinite nested collections of primes $K \subseteq J$, and a set of positive integers $\{e_p\}_{p \in K}$. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z}$. In this final section, as promised, we give a clear description of what cR looks like.

With the information given, consider the tower as defined in the section before and, for any nonnegative integer n , the inclusion morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow R$$

defined coordinate-wise in the only way possible. Then we have the following factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[J_n^{-1}] \times \prod_{p \in K_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p} \mathbb{Z} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

and it is immediate to check that the map from S to R must be an injection, so $S \subseteq cR$. To show that $S = cR$, we just need to prove that S is maximal among all the solid rings contained in R .

It is enough to ask ourselves what rings of type (1), (2) and (3) live in R . Since R has no torsion no ring of type (1) lives in it. Now, it is enough with looking at what rings of the form $\mathbb{Z}[1/p] \times \mathbb{Z}/p^a \mathbb{Z}$ live inside R for some prime p and $a \geq 0$. Clearly for a morphism to exist from such a ring to R , since it is defined coordinate-wise we may look at the table above to find that we need $p \in J$ and that $e_p \leq a$, where

e_p is taken to be 0 if $p \notin K$. The colimit of those rings is exactly the maximal solid ring living in R , which coincides with S and we are done.

By taking a concrete look into the factorization map $S \hookrightarrow R$ we get that $cR \subseteq R$ consists of all the elements $(r/s, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ such that only finitely many of the $a'_i s$ are distinct to r/s modulo $\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_{p_i}} \mathbb{Z}$, which is well defined in all but finitely many of the factors since s has a finite amount of prime factors.

REFERENCES

- [BK71] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. "The core of a ring". In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 2* (1971), pp. 73–81.
- [BK72] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations*. Vol. 304. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Heidelberg: Springer Berlin, 1972.