

# UNIFORM ESTIMATES: FROM YAU TO KOLODZIEJ

VINCENT GUEDJ & CHINH H. LU

ABSTRACT. In this note we provide a new and efficient approach to uniform estimates for solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations, as well as for solutions to geometric PDE's that satisfy a determinantal majorization.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler  $n$ -dimensional manifold equipped with a Kähler form  $\omega$ . The complex Monge-Ampère equation is

$$(MA(f)) \quad \frac{1}{V_\omega}(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = f dV_X,$$

where  $V_\omega = \int_X \omega^n$  is the volume of  $(X, \omega)$ ,  $dV_X$  is a smooth probability measure, and  $f > 0$  is a smooth density normalized so that  $\int_X f dV_X = 1$ .

Complex Monge-Ampère equations are ubiquitous in complex geometry, as the Ricci curvature of a Kähler form can be expressed by a complex Monge-Ampère operator. A crucial step in order to prove the existence of a solution to such equations is to establish a uniform a priori estimate. A landmark result due to Yau [Yau78] asserts that any solution to the above equation satisfies

$$\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq C(\|f\|_p),$$

where  $C$  depends on an upper-bound on the  $L^p$ -norm  $\|f\|_p$  of  $f$ , for some  $p > n$  (see Section 1.4). The  $C^0$ -estimate is known to be the most difficult, and often the only one that fails for similar non linear PDEs of (complex) geometric origin.

In connection with the Minimal Model Program, the study of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations has become a central theme in Kähler geometry, as advocated by [EGZ09, BEGZ10]. In this context it becomes essential to establish finer a priori estimates, and understand how these behave when the density, the cohomology class  $\{\omega\}$  and the complex structure vary. A key result, which preceded these developments, is due to Kolodziej [Kol98], who proved

$$\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq C(\|f\|_p),$$

where  $p > 1$  can be arbitrarily close to 1. Kolodziej's technique allows one to even replace  $\|f\|_p$  by the Luxembourg norm  $\|f\|_w$  associated to some weaker Orlicz space. We refer the reader to Section 1.3 for the precise formulation of the (quasi-)optimal [Condition \(K\)](#).

In the last fifteen years several new approaches have been developed to prove uniform a priori estimates for a large family of equations, in Kähler as well as in hermitian contexts (see [EGZ08, DP10, TW10, Blo11, DK12, KN15, Szek18, DnGG23, GPT23, GL23, GP24, GL25]). Dealing with Orlicz conditions makes

---

*Date:* February 5, 2025.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32W20, 32U05, 32Q15, 35A23.

*Key words and phrases.* Complex Monge-Ampère equations, a priori estimates.

the computation and geometric dependence of the constants hard to follow. The first contribution of this note is to provide an efficient reduction to Yau's setting:

**Theorem A.** *Fix  $p > n$ . Assume that  $\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq C(\|f\|_p)$  for any smooth solution  $\varphi$  of the above complex Monge-Ampère equation (MA(f)). Then*

$$\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq C'(\|f\|_w),$$

where  $w$  is any weight that satisfies [Condition \(K\)](#), and  $\|f\|_w$  denotes the Luxembourg norm of  $f$  with respect to  $w$ .

While this result is due to Kolodziej [[Kol98](#)], our proof is very different and allows to recover Kolodziej's optimal condition from Yau's original statement.

Generalizing an idea from [[GL25b](#)], we then show how Theorem A can be used to obtain uniform a priori estimates for large families of geometric nonlinear PDE's which have been recently studied by many authors. Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote an open symmetric convex cone with vertex at the origin such that

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n \subset \Gamma \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n > 0\}.$$

A smooth function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belong to  $\Gamma(X, \omega)$  if the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $\omega + dd^c\varphi$  with respect to  $\omega$  belong to  $\Gamma$  at all points  $x \in X$ .

We fix  $\delta > 0$  and a positive function  $g : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that

- (1)  $g$  is symmetric (invariance under permutations);
- (2)  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda_j}(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  (ellipticity condition);
- (3)  $g(\lambda) \geq \delta \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \right)^{1/n}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  (determinantal majorization).

We consider the nonlinear PDE

$$(NL) \quad g(\lambda(\varphi)) = cf^{1/n},$$

where

- $g$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(2)-(3) above;
- $f$  is a fixed smooth positive density;
- $c > 0$  is a normalizing constant determined by the equation;
- $\varphi$  is the unknown, a smooth function in  $\Gamma(X, \omega)$ .

**Theorem B.** *If  $\varphi$  is a smooth solution to (NL) then*

$$\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq M_0,$$

where  $M_0$  depends on an upper bound on the Luxembourg norm  $\|f\|_w$ , for any weight  $w$  that satisfies [Condition \(K\)](#).

The assumptions made on  $g$  are slightly less general than the ones considered by Szekelyhidi [[Szek18](#)] and Guo-Phong-Tong in [[GPT23](#)], but they nevertheless cover a huge variety of complex geometric PDEs as observed by Harvey-Lawson [[HL23](#)] (see also [[ADO22](#), [CX24](#)]).

Our proof applies equally well to the hermitian context and shows that  $M_0$  is independent of the complex structure, as we indicate in [Remark 3.5](#).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are supported by the Institut Universitaire de France and the fondation Charles Defforey. This material is based upon work supported by the Clay foundation and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930, while V.G. was in residence at the SLMATH in Berkeley, California, as part of the Special Geometric Structures and Analysis program.

## 1. BASIC FACTS ON QUASI-PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

In the whole article we fix  $X$  a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\omega_X$  a hermitian form, and let  $dV_X$  be a smooth probability measure.

**1.1. Skoda-Zeriahi theorem.** A function is quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-psh) if it is locally given as the sum of a smooth and a psh function.

Fix  $\omega$  another smooth hermitian  $(1, 1)$ -form.

**Definition 1.1.** Quasi-psh functions  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  satisfying  $\omega_\varphi := \omega + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$  in the weak sense of currents are called  $\omega$ -plurisubharmonic ( $\omega$ -psh for short). We let  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  denote the set of all  $\omega$ -plurisubharmonic functions which are not identically  $-\infty$ .

Note that constant functions are  $\omega$ -psh functions. A  $C^2$ -smooth function  $u$  has bounded Hessian, hence  $\varepsilon u$  is  $\omega$ -psh if  $0 < \varepsilon$  is small enough and  $\omega$  is positive.

The set  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  is a closed subset of  $L^1(X)$ , for the  $L^1$ -topology. Subsets of  $\omega$ -psh functions enjoy strong compactness properties, in particular

$$\text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) := \{u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), \sup_X u = 0\}$$

is compact in  $L^r$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . They also enjoy uniform integrability properties:

**Theorem 1.2.** Fix  $A > 0$  and  $\omega$  a Kähler form such that  $\int_X \omega \wedge \omega_X^{n-1} \leq A$ . There exists  $\alpha = \alpha(n, A) > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$ ,

$$\int_X \exp(-\alpha\varphi) dV_X \leq C,$$

where  $C = C(\alpha, n, A) > 0$  is independent of  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$ .

We refer the reader to [GZ17, Theorem 8.11] for this result, as well as for further basic properties of  $\omega$ -psh functions.

**1.2. The  $\omega$ -psh envelope.** Upper envelopes of (pluri)subharmonic functions are classical objects in Potential Theory. We consider here envelopes of  $\omega$ -psh functions; they have shown to be extremely useful in the study of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations (see e.g. [GL25, GL23]).

**Definition 1.3.** Given a Lebesgue measurable function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the  $\omega$ -psh envelope of  $h$  by

$$P_\omega(h) := (\sup\{u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega); u \leq h \text{ in } X\})^*,$$

where the star means that we take the upper semi-continuous regularization.

The following result is due to [Ber19, Tos18, CZ19]:

**Theorem 1.4.** If  $h$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth then

- $P_\omega(h)$  is  $C^{1,1}$ -smooth;
- $(\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n$  is concentrated on the contact  $\{P_\omega(h) = h\}$ ;
- one has  $\omega + dd^c h \geq 0$  on  $\{P_\omega(h) = h\}$  and

$$(\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n = 1_{\{P_\omega(h)=h\}}(\omega + dd^c h)^n.$$

### 1.3. Condition (K).

**Definition 1.5.** A weight  $w : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies **Condition (K)** if it is convex increasing and there exists an increasing function  $h$  such that

$$w(t) \stackrel{+\infty}{\sim} t(\log t)^n (h \circ \log \circ \log t)^n h \quad \text{and} \quad \int^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{h(t)} < +\infty.$$

If  $w$  satisfies **Condition (K)**, it has been proved by Kolodziej (see [Kol98, Theorem 2.5.2]) that any smooth solution  $\varphi$  of

$$\frac{1}{V_w}(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = f dV_X,$$

satisfies a uniform a priori bound on  $\text{Osc}_X(\varphi)$  that depends on an explicit upper bound on the Luxembourg norm  $\|f\|_w$ , where

$$\|f\|_w = \inf \left\{ r > 0, \int_X w \left( \frac{|f|}{r} \right) dV_X < w(1) \right\}.$$

**Example 1.6.** Among the most classical families of weights, we mention

- $u_p(t) = t^p$  satisfies **Condition (K)** whenever  $p > 1$ ;
- $v_p(t) = t(\log(t+10))^p$  satisfies **Condition (K)** if and only if  $p > n$ ;
- $w_p(t) = t(\log(t+10))^n (\log \circ \log(t+10))^p$  satisfies **Condition (K)** if and only if  $p > n$ .

In Section 2 we provide a new and simpler proof of Kolodziej's result, that goes through a reduction to the case of  $L^p$  densities, where  $p$  is as large as desired (e.g.  $p > n$  so as to apply Yau's original method).

**1.4. Various approaches to uniform estimates.** Yau's proof of his famous  $L^\infty$ -a priori estimate [Yau78] goes through a Moser iteration process, as we recall in Section 2.1. The method did not apply when the right hand side is too degenerate, but we show in Section 2.1 how to extend it.

An important generalization of Yau's estimate has been provided by Kolodziej [Kol98] using pluripotential techniques. These have been further generalized in [EGZ09, EGZ08, DP10, BEGZ10] in order to deal with less positive or collapsing families of cohomology classes on Kähler manifolds. This method has been partially extended to the hermitian setting in [DK12, KN15, KN19, KN21, GL23].

Blocki has provided a different approach in [Blo11] based on the Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci maximum principle. This has been pushed further by Szekelyhdyi in [Szek18]. It requires the reference form  $\omega$  to be strictly positive.

A PDE proof has been provided by Guo-Phong-Tong [GPT23, GP24], extending ideas from [CC21, WWZ21]. This technique applies to large families of geometric PDEs and has led to striking geometric applications (diameter and non collapsing bounds, uniform controls on Sobolev constants heat kernels, and positivity of Kähler-Einstein metrics, see [GPSS24, GPSS23, GT24, Szek24, V24]).

We provide in Section 3 an alternative proof of the uniform estimate for many such equations, using  $\omega$ -psh envelopes. The latter lie at the heart of yet another approach to the  $L^\infty$ -a priori estimate, that we have developed in [GL23, GL25].

## 2. FROM YAU TO KOLODZIEJ

**2.1. Proof of Theorem A.** Fix  $\omega$  a Kähler form, set  $V_\omega = \int_X \omega^n$ , and let  $\varphi \in PSH_0(X, \omega)$  be a smooth solution to the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\frac{1}{V_\omega}(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = f dV_X,$$

where  $f > 0$  a smooth density such that  $\int_X f dV_X = 1$ .

*Step 1.* We first recall Yau's celebrated a priori estimate, as simplified by Kazdan and Aubin-Bourguignon, following the presentation of Blocki [Blo12].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Fix  $p > n$ . There exists  $C_1 = C_1(n, p, C_S(\omega), \|f\|_p) > 0$  such that  $\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq C_1$ , where  $C_S(\omega)$  denotes the Sobolev constant of  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Translating and rescaling we can assume that  $V_\omega = 1$  and  $\sup_X \varphi = -1$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $\tilde{f}$  if necessary, we further assume that  $dV_X = \omega^n$ . Observe that  $\|\varphi\|_r \leq \|\varphi\|_s$  if  $r \leq s < \infty$ . We have

$$(f - 1)\omega^n = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n - \omega^n = dd^c \varphi \wedge T,$$

where  $T = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^j \wedge \omega^{n-1-j} \geq \omega^{n-1}$ . Stokes theorem yields, for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (-\varphi)^r (f - 1)\omega^n &= \int_X (-\varphi)^r dd^c \varphi \wedge T = - \int_X d(-\varphi)^r \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge T \\ &= r \int_X (-\varphi)^{r-1} d\varphi \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge T \geq r \int_X (-\varphi)^{r-1} d\varphi \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{4r}{(r+1)^2} \int_X d(-\varphi)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \wedge d^c(-\varphi)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \wedge \omega^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_X (-\varphi)^r (f - 1)\omega^n \geq \frac{c_n r}{(r+1)^2} \|\nabla(-\varphi)^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\|_2^2.$$

Using Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\|\nabla(-\varphi)^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\|_2 \leq c'_n \sqrt{1 + \|f\|_p} \frac{r+1}{\sqrt{r}} \left( \int_X (-\varphi)^{rq} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}},$$

where  $q$  denotes the Hölder conjugate of  $p$ . Using Sobolev inequality

$$\|v\|_{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \leq C_S(\omega) (\|v\|_2 + \|\nabla v\|_2),$$

we infer

$$\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n(r+1)}{n-1}} \leq C^{\frac{2}{r+1}} (1 + \|f\|_p)^{\frac{2}{r+1}} \left\{ \|\varphi\|_{r+1} + \left( \frac{r+1}{\sqrt{r}} \right)^{\frac{2}{r+1}} (\|\varphi\|_{rq})^{\frac{r}{r+1}} \right\}.$$

Assume now that  $p < +\infty$  hence  $q > 1$ . We are going to use the previous inequality for  $r \geq \frac{1}{q-1}$ , so we can assume that  $r+1 \leq rq$ . Our normalization ensures that  $\|\varphi\|_{rq} \geq 1$ , so the previous inequality yields the following

$$\|\varphi\|_{\frac{n(r+1)}{n-1}} \leq (C'[r+1])^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \|\varphi\|_{rq}.$$

This inequality is only meaningful when  $\frac{n(r+1)}{n-1} > rq$ . This requires  $\frac{n}{n-1} > q$ , which is equivalent to  $p > n$ .

We can now apply Moser's iteration scheme: set

$$r_0 := \frac{1}{q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad r_k := \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \frac{r_{k-1}}{q} + 1 \right).$$

We obtain

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi\|_{r_k} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\frac{1}{q-1}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( C' \left[ \frac{r_{k-1}}{q} + 1 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\frac{r_{k-1}}{q} + 1}}.$$

This infinite product converges as  $r_k$  grows geometrically towards  $+\infty$ . The conclusion follows as  $\|\varphi\|_{\frac{1}{q-1}}$  is bounded by compactness of  $PSH_0(X, \omega)$ .  $\square$

*Step 2.* Fix  $n < \tilde{p} < p$ . Using Hölder inequality and Theorem 1.2 we can fix  $0 < \gamma = \gamma(n, p, A) = \alpha(n, p, A)^{\frac{p-\tilde{p}}{p\tilde{p}}}$  so that  $\tilde{f} = e^{-\gamma\varphi} f \in L^{\tilde{p}}$  with

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq \|f\|_p \left( \int_X e^{-\alpha\varphi} dV_X \right)^{\frac{p-\tilde{p}}{p\tilde{p}}} \leq C_1 \|f\|_p.$$

We let  $\rho$  denote the smooth solution in  $PSH_0(X, \omega)$  of the equation

$$\frac{1}{V_\omega} (\omega + dd^c \rho)^n = \frac{\tilde{f} dV_X}{\int_X \tilde{f} dV_X} = \tilde{F} dV_X,$$

where  $\int_X \tilde{f} dV_X = \int_X e^{-\gamma\varphi} f dV_X \geq \int_X f dV_X = 1$  since  $\varphi \leq 0$ , hence

$$\|\tilde{F}\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq C_1 \|f\|_p.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $\rho$  is uniformly bounded. We use this function to establish the following useful technical result:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Fix  $p > n$ ,  $0 < a < 1$  and  $b > 0$ . Assume that there is a smooth function  $v \in PSH_0(X, \omega)$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{V_\omega} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \leq a \frac{1}{V_\omega} (\omega + dd^c v)^n + b f dV_X.$$

*Then  $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq C$ , where  $C = C(n, p, a, b, \|f\|_p, \|v\|_\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $MA(\cdot) := \frac{1}{V_\omega} (\omega + dd^c \cdot)^n$ . Fix  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $\lambda = a/\delta^n \in (0, 1)$  and consider

$$u = \delta v + (1 - \delta)\rho - C,$$

where  $\rho$  is the function constructed above and the value of the constant  $C = C(a, b, \delta, n)$  is specified hereafter. It suffices to show that  $u \leq \varphi \leq 0$ .

Observe that  $u$  is a smooth function in  $PSH(X, \omega)$  such that

$$MA(u) \geq \delta^n MA(v) + (1 - \delta)^n MA(\rho).$$

Now  $\int_X \tilde{f} dV_X \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq C_1 \|f\|_p$  and  $v, \rho \leq 0$  hence

$$MA(\rho) \geq \frac{1}{C_1 \|f\|_p} e^{-\gamma\varphi} f dV_X \geq e^{\gamma C - \log[C_1 \|f\|_p]} e^{-\gamma(\varphi - u)} f dV_X$$

and we eventually obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda MA(u) &\geq \lambda \delta^n MA(v) + \lambda (1 - \delta)^n e^{\gamma C - \log[C_1 \|f\|_p]} e^{-\gamma(\varphi - u)} f dV_X \\ &= a MA(v) + b e^{-\gamma(\varphi - u)} f dV_X, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing

$$C = \frac{\log [C_1 \|f\|_p a^{-1} (1 - \delta)^{-n} b \delta^n]}{\gamma}.$$

On the set  $(\varphi < u)$  we thus obtain

$$MA(\varphi) \leq a MA(v) + b f dV_X \leq \lambda MA(u).$$

Since  $\lambda < 1$  we infer that the set  $(\varphi < u)$  is empty, i.e.  $u \leq \varphi \leq 0$  is uniformly bounded below. Indeed, if this set is not empty then at a point  $x_0$  realizing the minimum of  $\varphi - u$  we have  $dd^c\varphi \geq dd^cu$  hence  $MA(\varphi)(x_0) \geq MA(u)(x_0)$ . Since  $u(x_0) < \varphi(x_0)$  and  $MA(\varphi) \leq \lambda MA(u)$  in  $(\varphi < u)$ , we get a contradiction.  $\square$

*Step 3.* We finally use Lemma 2.2 to reduce Kolodziej's criterion to Theorem 2.1. Let  $w : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  be a convex increasing weight satisfying Condition (K), i.e.  $w(t) \sim t(\log t)^n (h \circ \log \circ \log t)^n$  with  $h$  increasing and  $\int^{+\infty} h(t)^{-1} dt < +\infty$ , such that  $w \circ f \in L^1(X, dV_X)$ . It is possible to find  $t_0 \gg 1$  such that

$$w(t) \geq \frac{2}{3}t(\log t)^n (h \circ \log \circ \log t)^n, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $t_0 = 10$ .

Let  $v$  be a smooth function in  $PSH_0(X, \omega)$  such that

$$MA(v) = \frac{1}{V_\omega}(\omega + dd^c v)^n = c w \circ f dV_X, \quad \text{where } c^{-1} = \int_X w \circ f dV_X.$$

We let  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^-$  be a smooth convex increasing function such that  $0 \leq \chi' \leq 1$ . Thus  $\chi \circ v$  is a smooth function such that

$$\omega + dd^c \chi \circ v = [1 - \chi' \circ v] \omega + \chi' \circ v [\omega + dd^c v] + \chi'' \circ v dv \wedge d^c v > 0,$$

i.e.  $\chi \circ v \in PSH(X, \omega)$  and  $MA(\chi \circ v) \geq (\chi' \circ v)^n MA(v)$ .

Using Theorem 1.2 we can fix  $\alpha > 0$  small enough so that  $e^{-\alpha v} \in L^p$  for  $p > n$ . We also fix  $B > \log 10$  (to be specified below). In  $\{\log f < -\alpha v + B\}$  we obtain

$$MA(\varphi) = f dV_X \leq e^B e^{-\alpha v} dV_X.$$

On the other hand in  $\{\log f \geq -\alpha v + B\}$  we obtain

$$MA(\chi \circ v) \geq c(\chi' \circ v)^n w \circ f dV_X \geq c \left[ \chi' \left( \frac{-\log f + B}{\alpha} \right) \right]^n w \circ f dV_X.$$

We choose  $\chi$  so that for all  $s > \log 10$ ,

$$s \chi' \left( \frac{-s + B}{\alpha} \right) h \circ \log s \geq \left( \frac{2}{c} \right)^{1/n}.$$

It follows that

$$MA(\chi \circ v) \geq \frac{4}{3} f dV_X = \frac{4}{3} MA(\varphi) \quad \text{in } \{\log f \geq -\alpha v + B\} \subset \{\log f > \log 10\},$$

hence

$$MA(\varphi) \leq \frac{3}{4} MA(\chi \circ v) + e^B e^{-v} dV_X \quad \text{on } X.$$

The conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2 if we show that  $\chi \circ v$  is uniformly bounded.

Set

$$b(x) = -\chi \left( \frac{-e^x + B}{\alpha} \right).$$

The condition on  $\chi'$  becomes  $b'(x)h(x) \geq c' = \alpha \left( \frac{2}{c} \right)^{1/n}$  for all  $x > \log \log 10$ . We thus choose  $b'(x) = c'h^{-1}(x)$ . The integrability condition on  $h$  ensures that  $b$ , hence  $\chi$ , is uniformly bounded.

Observe finally that  $\chi'(0) = \frac{\alpha}{Bh(B)}$ . Since  $h$  is increasing, we can ensure that  $0 \leq \chi'(0) \leq 1$  by choosing  $B$  large enough.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** The proof shows that  $\|\chi\|_\infty \leq C(1/c)^{1/n} = C \left( \int_X w \circ f dV_X \right)^{1/n}$  for some uniform  $C$ , whose dependence on geometric constants is easy to follow.

**2.2. Radial examples.** We assume here that the measures and quasi-psh functions under consideration are smooth in  $X \setminus \{p\}$ . We choose a local chart bi-holomorphic to the unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with  $p$  corresponding to the origin. We further assume that the data are invariant under the group  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  near  $p$ . The singularity type then only depends on the local behavior near  $p$ .

We thus consider the local situation of a psh function  $v = \chi \circ L$ , where  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^-$  is a smooth strictly convex increasing function with  $\chi'(-\infty) = 0$  and  $L : z \in B \rightarrow \log |z|^2 \in \mathbb{R}^-$ . A standard computation shows that

$$dd^c v = (\chi' \circ L) dd^c L + (\chi'' \circ L) dL \wedge d^c L$$

and

$$(dd^c v)^n = c_n \frac{(\chi' \circ L)^{n-1} \chi'' \circ L}{|z|^{2n}} dV = c_n [(\chi'^{n-1} \chi'' e^{-n \cdot}) \circ L] dV,$$

where  $dV$  denotes here the euclidean volume form. These local computations can easily be globalized by using a max construction. We let the details to the reader and focus on the asymptotic behavior at 0 of our local computations.

We want to test the optimality of [Condition \(K\)](#). We can assume without loss of generality that  $\chi$  does not decrease too fast at infinity, imposing that  $\chi'(t), \chi''(t) \geq e^{Ct}$  for some  $C > 0$ . This guarantees that  $\log f \sim -\log |z|$ , so that the measure  $(dd^c v)^n = f dV$  satisfies [Condition \(K\)](#) if and only if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi''(t) (\chi'(t))^{n-1} |t|^n (h \circ \log |t|)^n dt < +\infty.$$

for some increasing function  $h$  such that  $\int^{+\infty} h(t)^{-1} dt < +\infty$ .

**Example 2.4.** For  $\chi(t) = -\log \circ \log \circ \log(-t + 10000)$  we obtain near  $-\infty$

$$\chi'(t) \sim \frac{1}{(-t) \log(-t) \log \circ \log(-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi''(t) \sim \frac{1}{(-t)^2 \log(-t) \log \circ \log(-t)}$$

hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi''(t) (\chi'(t))^{n-1} |t|^n (h \circ \log |t|)^n dt \sim \int^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)^n ds}{s^n (\log s)^n} < +\infty,$$

if we choose  $h(s) = s^{1-1/n}$  and  $n \geq 2$ . This provides an unbounded example s.t.

$$\int_X f(\log[f + 10])^n (\log \circ \log[f + 100])^{n-1} dV < +\infty.$$

On the other hand for  $h(t) = t^{1+\varepsilon/n}$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , [Condition \(K\)](#) becomes

$$\int_X f(\log[f + 10])^n (\log \circ \log[f + 100])^{n+\varepsilon} dV < +\infty \implies v \text{ is bounded.}$$

Thus there is a small gap in the previous example, which motivates the following:

**Question 2.5.** Is [Condition \(K\)](#) optimal? More precisely can one find  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and some mildly unbounded psh function  $v$  such that  $MA(v) = f dV$  with

$$\int_X f(\log[f + 10])^n (\log \circ \log[f + 100])^{n-\varepsilon} dV < +\infty?$$

We observe that one can not improve [Example 2.4](#) in the radial case: assume that  $p > n - 1$ ,  $v = \chi \circ L$  and  $(dd^c v)^n = f dV$  with

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \chi''(t) (\chi'(t))^{n-1} |t|^n (\log |t|)^p dt < +\infty.$$



An integration by parts shows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 (\chi'(t))^n |t|^{n-1} (\log |t|)^p dt \lesssim \int_{-\infty}^0 \chi''(t) (\chi'(t))^{n-1} |t|^n (\log |t|)^p dt < +\infty,$$

while it follows from Hölder inequality that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \chi'(t) dt \leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 (\chi'(t))^n |t|^{n-1} (\log |t|)^p dt \right)^{1/n} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 |t| (\log |t|)^{\frac{p}{n-1}} dt \right)^{1-1/n} < +\infty.$$

Thus  $\chi(-\infty) > -\infty$ , hence  $v$  is bounded whenever  $p > n - 1$ .

### 3. OTHER NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

The technique introduced by Guo-Phong-Tong in [GPT23] applies to a large family of equations. We show here that most of them can be treated by a simple reduction to the Monge-Ampère equation, following an idea from [GL25b].

#### 3.1. Quasi-subharmonic functions.

3.1.1.  *$\omega$ -subharmonic functions.* Fix  $U \subset X$  an open set. A function  $h \in \mathcal{C}^2(U, \mathbb{R})$  is called harmonic with respect to  $\omega$  if

$$dd^c h \wedge \omega^{n-1} = 0 \text{ pointwise in } U.$$

A function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$  if it is upper semicontinuous and for every harmonic function  $h$  in  $D \subset U$ , one has

$$u \leq h \text{ on } \partial D \implies u \leq h \text{ in } D.$$

When  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(U)$ , it is subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$  iff  $dd^c u \wedge \omega^{n-1} \geq 0$  pointwise. If  $u$  is subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$  and in  $L^1$  then  $dd^c u \wedge \omega^{n-1} \geq 0$  in the sense of distributions. Conversely the latter condition implies that  $u$  coincides a.e. with a unique function  $\hat{u}$  subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is quasi-subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$  if locally  $\varphi = u + \rho$  where  $u$  is subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  is smooth. We say that  $\varphi$  is  $\omega$ -subharmonic if it is quasi-subharmonic with respect to  $\omega$  and  $(\omega + dd^c \varphi) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \geq 0$  in the sense of distributions on  $X$ .

We let  $SH(X, \omega)$  denote the set of integrable  $\omega$ -subharmonic functions in  $X$ .

We will need the following classical facts:

- if  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2$  then  $u \in SH(X, \omega)$  if and only if  $(\omega + dd^c u) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \geq 0$  pointwise;
- the subset  $SH_0(X, \omega) = \{u \in SH(X, \omega), \sup_X u = 0\}$  is compact in  $L^1$ .

We refer the reader to [GZ17] for further properties of  $\omega$ -subharmonic functions:

3.1.2. *The set  $\Gamma(X, \omega)$ .* Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote an open symmetric convex cone with vertex at the origin such that

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n \subset \Gamma \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n > 0\}.$$

In this section we fix  $\delta > 0$  and a positive function  $g : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that

- (1)  $g$  is symmetric (invariance under permutations);
- (2)  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda_j}(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  (ellipticity condition);
- (3)  $g(\lambda) \geq \delta \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \right)^{1/n}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  (determinantal majorization).

**Definition 3.2.** A smooth function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belong to  $\Gamma(X, \omega)$  if the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $\omega + dd^c \varphi$  with respect to  $\omega$  belong to  $\Gamma$  at all points  $x \in X$ .

Observe that  $PSH(X, \omega) \subset \Gamma(X, \omega) \subset SH(X, \omega)$ , with  $\Gamma(X, \omega) = SH(X, \omega)$  when  $\Gamma = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n > 0\}$ , while  $PSH(X, \omega) \subset \Gamma(X, \omega)$  when  $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

3.1.3. *Determinantal majorization.* We consider the nonlinear PDE

$$(NL) \quad g(\lambda(\varphi)) = cf^{1/n},$$

where

- $g$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(2)-(3) above;
- $f$  is a fixed smooth positive density;
- $c > 0$  is a normalizing constant determined by the equation;
- $\varphi$  is the unknown, a smooth function in  $\Gamma(X, \omega)$ .

The assumptions made on  $g$  are slightly less general than the ones considered in [Szek18, GPT23, GP24], but they nevertheless cover a huge family of complex geometric PDE's as observed by [HL23] (see also [ADO22, CX24]).

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem B.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Fix  $w$  a weight that satisfies Condition (K). If  $\varphi$  is a smooth solution to (NL) then*

$$\text{Osc}_X(\varphi) \leq M_0,$$

where  $M_0$  depends on an upper bound on the Luxembourg norm  $\|f\|_w$ .

The statement is a generalization of the main result of [GPT23] as far as the condition on  $f$  is concerned (see also [Q24]). The estimates turn out to be also independent of the complex structure (see Remarks 3.4 and 3.5). As with Theorem A, the proof of this result is somehow more interesting than the statement itself.

*Proof.* We can normalize  $\varphi$  so that  $\sup_X \varphi = 0$ , and the problem boils down to show that  $\varphi$  is uniformly bounded below. Consider

$$\psi = P_\omega(\varphi) = \sup\{u \in PSH(X, \omega), u \leq \varphi\},$$

the  $\omega$ -psh envelope of  $\varphi$ . It suffices to show that  $\psi$  is uniformly bounded below.

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\psi = \varphi\}$  denote the contact set. The function  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -smooth and its Monge-Ampère measure  $MA(\psi)$  is concentrated on  $\mathcal{C}$ . It follows therefore from Theorem 1.4 and the determinantal majorization that

$$\delta^n MA(\psi) = \delta^n 1_{\mathcal{C}} MA(\varphi) \leq 1_{\mathcal{C}} g(\lambda(\varphi))^n dV_X \leq c^n f dV_X,$$

since  $\omega + dd^c \varphi \geq 0$  on the contact set.

We can now invoke Theorem A to conclude that  $\text{Osc}_X(\psi) \leq M_0$ , where  $M_0$  depends on an upper-bound on the Luxembourg norm

$$\left\| \frac{c^n}{\delta^n} f \right\|_w = \frac{c^n}{\delta^n} \|f\|_w.$$

It remains to obtain a uniform control on  $\sup_X \psi$ . Let  $w^*$  denote the Legendre transform of the convex weight  $w$ , and let  $h = (w^*)^{-1}$  denote the inverse of the bijective function  $w^*$ . It follows from Hölder-Young inequality that

$$0 \leq h(-\sup_X \psi) \leq \int_X h(-\psi) MA(\psi) \leq \frac{c^n}{\delta^n} \int_X h(-\varphi) f dV_X \leq \frac{c^n}{\delta^n} \|f\|_w \int_X (-\varphi) dV_X.$$

The conclusion follows from compactness in  $L^1$  of functions in  $\Gamma(X, \omega)$  that are normalized by  $\sup_X \varphi = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** As the proof indicates, we actually need to apply Theorem A to  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -smooth functions, rather than  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth ones. One can reduce to the smooth case by approximation.

There is a vast literature on uniform estimates for complex Monge-Ampère equations. [DnGG23] provides estimates that remain uniform as the cohomology class and the complex structure vary. The proof above thus provides uniform estimates for holomorphic families of solutions to (NL) as well.

**Remark 3.5.** The proof of Theorem B is exactly the same in the hermitian and in the Kähler setting. The estimates are therefore also independent of the complex structure, as follows from [Pan23], and provide a generalization of those from [GP24] for those equations that satisfy a determinantal majorization.

## REFERENCES

- [ADO22] S.Abja, S.Dinew, G.Olive, *Uniform estimates for concave homogeneous complex degenerate elliptic equations comparable to the Monge-Ampère equation*. Potential Anal. (2022).
- [Ber19] R. J. Berman, *From Monge-Ampère equations to envelopes and geodesic rays in the zero temperature limit*. Math. Z. **291** (2019), no. 1-2, 365–394.
- [Blo11] Z. Blocki, *On the uniform estimate in the Calabi-Yau theorem, II*. Sci. China Math. 54 no. 7 (2011), 1375-1377.
- [Blo12] Z. Blocki, *The Calabi-Yau theorem*. Complex Monge-Ampère equations and geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics, 201-227, Lecture Notes in Math., 2038, Springer, 2012.
- [BEGZ10] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes*. Acta Math. **205** (2010), no. 2, 199–262.
- [CC21] X.X. Chen, J. Cheng *On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics (I)-A priori estimates*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (2021), no. 4, 909–936.
- [CX24] J. Cheng, Y.Xu, *Viscosity solution to complex Hessian equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Preprint [arXiv:2406.0095](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.0095)
- [CZ19] J.Chu, B.Zhou, *Optimal regularity of plurisubharmonic envelopes on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Sci. China Math. 62 (2019), no. 2, 371–380.
- [DP10] J.P. Demailly, N. Pali, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds*. Internat. J. Math. 21 (2010), no. 3, 357–405.
- [DnGG23] E. Di Nezza, V. Guedj, H. Guenancia, *Families of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 25 (2023), no. 7, 2697–2762.
- [DK12] S. Dinew, S.Kołodziej, *Pluripotential estimates on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Advances in geometric analysis, 69-86, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 21, Int. Press, 2012.
- [EGZ08] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *A priori  $L^\infty$ -estimates for degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, I.M.R.N., Vol. 2008, Article ID rnn070, 8 pages.
- [EGZ09] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 3, 607–639.
- [GL25] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, *Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 1: bounds on Monge-Ampère volumes*. Journal of the E.M.S, to appear (2025)
- [GL23] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, *Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 3: Solving Monge-Ampère equations on hermitian manifolds*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 800 (2023), 259-298.
- [GL25b] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, *Degenerate complex Hessian equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 21 (2025), no. 3, 1171-1194.
- [GZ17] V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 26, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017.
- [GT24] V. Guedj, T.D.Tô, *Kähler families of Green’s functions*, Preprint [arXiv:2405.17232](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.17232)
- [GPT23] B. Guo, D.H. Phong, F. Tong, *On  $L^\infty$ -estimates for complex Monge-Ampère equations*. Ann. of Math. (2) 198 (2023), no. 1, 393–418.
- [GP24] B. Guo, D.H. Phong, *On  $L^\infty$  estimates for fully nonlinear partial differential equations*. Annals of Mathematics 200 (2024), 365-398.
- [GPSS24] B. Guo, D.H. Phong, J.Sturm, J.Song, *Diameter estimates in Kähler geometry*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 77 (2024), no. 8, 3520-3556.
- [GPSS23] B. Guo, D.H. Phong, J.Sturm, J.Song, *Sobolev inequalities on Kähler spaces*, Preprint [arXiv:2311.00221](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.00221)

- [HL23] R. Harvey, B. Lawson, *Determinant majorization and the work of Guo-Phong-Tong and Abja-Olive*. Calc. Var. (2023) 62:153
- [Kol98] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*. Acta Math. **180** (1998), 69–117.
- [KN15] S. Kołodziej, N.C.Nguyen, *Weak solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Contemp. Math. 644 (2015), 141–158
- [KN19] S. Kołodziej, N.C.Nguyen, *Stability and regularity of solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds*. Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 264–304.
- [KN21] S. Kołodziej, N.C.Nguyen, *Continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations on Hermitian manifolds for measures dominated by capacity*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 93, 18 pp.
- [Pan23] C.-M.Pan, *Families of singular Chern-Ricci flat metrics*. J. Geom. Anal. 33 (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 66, 32 pp.
- [Q24] Y.Qiao, *Sharp  $L^\infty$ -estimates for fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact complex manifolds*. Preprint [arXiv:2409.05157](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.05157)
- [Szek18] G. Székelyhidi, *Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. 109 (2018), no. 2, 337–378.
- [Szek24] G. Székelyhidi, *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics and RCD spaces*, Preprint [arXiv:2408.10747](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.10747)
- [Tos18] V.Tosatti, *Regularity of envelopes in Kähler classes*. M.R.L. 25 (2018), no1, 281–289.
- [TW10] V.Tosatti, B. Weinkove, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 4, 1187–1195.
- [V24] V.Vu, *Uniform diameter estimates for Kähler metrics*, Preprint [arXiv:2405.14680](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.14680)
- [WWZ21] J.Wang, X.-J.Wang, B.Zhou, *A priori estimate for the complex Monge-Ampère equation*. Peking Math. J. 4 (2021), no. 1, 143–157.
- [Yau78] S. T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no. 3, 339–411.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE & INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE,  
 UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31400 TOULOUSE, FRANCE,  
*Email address:* [vincent.guedj@math.univ-toulouse.fr](mailto:vincent.guedj@math.univ-toulouse.fr)  
*URL:* <https://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~guedj/>

LAREMA & INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE, UNIVERISTÉ D'ANGERS, CNRS, F-49000 ANGERS, FRANCE.  
*Email address:* [hoangchinh.lu@univ-angers.fr](mailto:hoangchinh.lu@univ-angers.fr)  
*URL:* <https://math.univ-angers.fr/~lu/>