

The major index (maj) and its Schützenberger dual

Oleg Ogievetsky^{‡,b,†} & Senya Shlosman^{‡,‡,b,‡}

[‡]Krichever Center for Advance Studies, Moscow, Russia;

[‡]Aix Marseille Univ, Universite de Toulon,
CNRS, CPT, Marseille, France;

^bInst. of the Information Transmission Problems,
RAS, Moscow, Russia;

[†]Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia,

[‡]BIMSA, Beijing, China

Oleg.Ogievetsky@gmail.com, shlosman@gmail.com

February 5, 2025

Abstract

We give a bijective proof of the Stanley formula for the generating function of the Semistandard Young Tableaux (SsYT) of skew shape λ/μ . To do this we define for every SsYT T its plinth, $\mathfrak{p}(T)$, which is a SsYT of the same shape λ/μ . The set of plinths is finite. Our bijection associates to every SsYT T a pair $(\mathfrak{p}(T), Y(T - \mathfrak{p}(T)))$, where $Y(T - \mathfrak{p}(T))$ is the reading Young diagram of the SsYT $T - \mathfrak{p}(T)$.

In particular, every Standard Young Tableau (SYT) P has its plinth, $\mathfrak{p}(P)$. The two statistics of SYT-s – the volume $|\mathfrak{p}(P)|$ and $\text{maj}(P)$ – are related via the Schützenberger involution Sch :

$$|\mathfrak{p}(P)| = \text{maj}(Sch(P)).$$

1 Introduction

The starting point of our study is the following relation, expressing the generating function of the Semistandard Young Tableaux (SsYT) of shape λ/μ , denoted by $SsYT(\lambda/\mu)$, via the major index $\mathbf{maj}(\ast)$ (defined in (9) below) of Standard Young Tableaux (SYT) of the same shape, denoted by $SYT(\lambda/\mu)$:

Proposition 1 ([St], Proposition 7.19.11) *Let $|\lambda/\mu| = n$. Then*

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{\sum_{P \in SYT(\lambda/\mu)} q^{\mathbf{maj}(P)}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n)}, \quad (1)$$

where P ranges over all SYT of shape λ/μ .

The generating function in question is a specialization of the Schur function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$. A SsYT T of shape λ/μ , where $\mu \subset \lambda$ are Young diagrams, is an array $T = (T_{ij})$ of **non-negative** integers of shape λ/μ (i.e., $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$, $\mu_i < j \leq \lambda_i$), which are weakly increasing in every row and strictly increasing in every column. A SYT P of shape λ/μ is an array $P = (P_{ij})$ of **positive** integers $\{1, 2, \dots, n = |\lambda/\mu|\}$ of shape λ/μ , each integer taken once, which is strictly increasing in every row and in every column. Denote the set of all SsYT of shape λ/μ by $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$. Consider also the larger set $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}$ of all non-negative integer-valued arrays $S = (S_{ij})$ of shape λ/μ which are weakly increasing in every row and every column. Such arrays are called *reverse plane partitions*. We denote by $\mathit{vol}(S) \equiv |S| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda), \mu_i < j \leq \lambda_i} S_{ij}$ the volume of S . We introduce the generating function $G_{\lambda/\mu}(q)$ of the reverse plane partitions

$$G_{\lambda/\mu}(q) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}} q^{\mathit{vol}(S)}.$$

As we said already, the generating function of the Semistandard Young Tableaux (SsYT) of shape λ/μ is a specialization of the Schur function:

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}} q^{\mathit{vol}(T)}.$$

The starting term of the series $s_{\lambda/\mu}(1, q, q^2, \dots)$ is $q^{\mathit{vol}(\mathbf{t}_{\lambda/\mu})}$, where $\mathbf{t}_{\lambda/\mu}$ is the "lowest" reverse plane partition in $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$. For $\mu = \emptyset$ this partition $\mathbf{t}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}^{Ss}$

is given by $t_{ij} = j - 1$. For general μ the definition of $t_{\lambda/\mu}$ is straightforward. Clearly,

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(1, q, q^2, \dots) = q^{\text{vol}(t_{\lambda/\mu})} G_{\lambda/\mu}(q).$$

One way of writing down the formula for the generating function $G_{\lambda/\mu}(q)$ is via the bijection

$$B : \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\lambda/\mu} \times \mathcal{Y}_n, \quad (2)$$

respecting the volumes. Here \mathcal{Y}_n is the set of all Young diagrams with at most n columns, and $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda/\mu} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}$ is a finite set of reverse plane partitions, called *pedestals*. The bijection B and the pedestals were introduced in [S] (see also [OS] and [KKOPSS]). (To be precise, both the set $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda/\mu}$ and the bijection B depend on a choice of a standard Young tableaux P of shape λ/μ , but the formulas below do not depend on that choice.) Due to the bijection (2), we have the product formula

$$G_{\lambda/\mu}(q) = \frac{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda/\mu}} q^{\text{vol}(S)}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \dots (1-q^n)}. \quad (3)$$

The factor $\frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \dots (1-q^n)}$ is the generating function of the Young diagrams \mathcal{Y}_n , while the polynomial $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda/\mu}} q^{\text{vol}(S)}$ is the generating function of the pedestals. (In fact, the pedestals can be defined for any poset (like the solid partitions, for example), and not just for the skew Young diagrams λ/μ , but this is not the topic of the present work.)

Comparing the formulas (1) and (3) one is tempted to conjecture the existence of another bijection, $B^{Ss} : \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu} \times \mathcal{Y}_n$, for some finite subset $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$, also respecting the volumes, so that

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu}} q^{\text{vol}(\mathbf{p})}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \dots (1-q^n)}. \quad (4)$$

Such a bijection indeed exists, which is one of our main results. We use the name *plinths* for the SsYT \mathbf{p} forming $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu}$.

Looking again on the formulas above, one sees that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu}} q^{\text{vol}(\mathbf{p})} = \sum_{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)} q^{\text{vol}(\mathbf{p}(P))} = \sum_{P \in \text{SYT}(\lambda/\mu)} q^{\text{maj}(P)}, \quad (5)$$

so one is tempted to conjecture that the plinths \mathbf{p} correspond to the SYTs P of shape λ/μ , so \mathbf{p} should be a bijection between the set of SYT of shape λ/μ

and the set of plinths $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu}$. All this turns out also to be correct! However, the individual P -terms in (5) are not coinciding, i.e. the two statistics on SYT-s – $vol(\mathbf{p}(P))$ and $\mathbf{maj}(P)$ – are different!

This puzzle is resolved by help of the technique developed by Schützenberger: it turns out that the Schützenberger involution Sch of a SYT P has the plinth with the volume equal to $\mathbf{maj}(P)$:

$$vol(\mathbf{p}(Sch(P))) = \mathbf{maj}(P). \quad (6)$$

Of course, the Schützenberger involution, see [Sch], is defined initially only for SYT P of the straight shape λ , and not for the skew shapes λ/μ . But its extension to skew shapes is possible, as we will explain below, due to the beautiful results of Haiman, [H].

We finish the introduction by an example for (6): we take $\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline * & * & * \\ \hline * & * & \\ \hline \end{array}$, $\mu = \emptyset$. Then $\mathbf{t}_\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array}$, $Q_{\mathbf{t}_\lambda} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}$, so $\mathbf{p}(Q_{\mathbf{t}_\lambda}) = \mathbf{t}_\lambda$, $Sch(Q_{\mathbf{t}_\lambda}) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}$. We have

$$|\mathbf{t}_\lambda| = 2 = \mathbf{maj} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right),$$

as claimed (since the cell 2 is the only *descent* of the SYT $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}$.)

The paper is organized as follows. We define plinths in the next Section. In the Sections 3 and 4 we remind the reader about the jeu de taquin and the Schützenberger involution. Since these two concepts are well known, we restrict ourselves to just the examples of both, referring the reader to the Appendix 1 of the Stanley book [St] (written by S. Fomin), for more information. In the Section 5 we prove (6) for Standard Young Tableaux of straight shape. Section 6 contains our extension of the Schützenberger involution to the Standard Young Tableaux of skew shapes. We prove the relation (6) for Standard Young Tableaux of skew shape in the last Section 7.

2 Plinths

Let λ/μ be a skew shape with n cells. We denote by ij or (ij) its cells. A Semistandard Young Tableau (SsYT) of shape λ/μ is an array $T = (T_{ij})$ of non-negative integers of shape λ/μ (i.e., $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$, $\mu_i < j \leq \lambda_i$), which are weakly increasing in every row and strictly increasing in every column. We think that i increases to the right, while j increases down. We denote by $|T|$ the sum of all T_{ij} .

Writing down the integers T_{ij} in non-decreasing order, (y_1, \dots, y_n) provides a partition of the number $|T|$ (with possibly zero parts). We denote the corresponding Young diagram – the reading of T – by $Y(T)$.

Let Q be a Standard Young Tableau (SYT) of shape λ/μ . For every $k = 1, \dots, n$ there is a unique cell (ij) for which $Q_{ij} = k$. In that case we will say that $(ij) = Q(k)$. The value k , $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ (and the cell $Q(k)$) is called a descent of Q , if

$$Q(k) = (ij), \quad Q(k+1) = (i'j') \quad \text{and} \quad j' > j. \quad (7)$$

In words, the entry k is a descent iff the row of the entry $k+1$ is below the row of k . We denote by $D(Q) \subset \lambda/\mu$ the set of all descent cells of Q . Let

$$\text{Des}(Q) = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\} \quad (8)$$

be the contents of descent cells of Q . By definition,

$$\text{maj}(Q) = \sum_{k: Q(k) \in D(Q)} k \equiv \sum_{k \in \text{Des}(Q)} k. \quad (9)$$

We say that the SsYT T agrees with SYT Q , if

- for all k we have

$$T_{Q(k)} \leq T_{Q(k+1)}; \quad (10)$$

- if k is a descent of Q , then

$$T_{Q(k)} < T_{Q(k+1)}. \quad (11)$$

For every SYT Q of shape λ/μ we denote by $\mathcal{P}_Q^{Ss} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$ the set of all SsYT T agreeing with Q .

Proposition 2 *The sets \mathcal{P}_Q^{Ss} do not intersect, and*

$$\bigcup_Q \mathcal{P}_Q^{Ss} = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}.$$

Proof. Let T be a SsYT. We are going to define the linear order Q^T on λ/μ , for which $T \in \mathcal{P}_{Q^T}^{Ss}$.

If

$$T_{ij} > T_{i'j'}, \text{ then we define } Q_{(ij)}^T > Q_{(i'j')}^T, \quad (12)$$

in order to satisfy (10).

Consider now the collection $I(p)$ of all cells (i, j) for which the values $T_{ij} = p$, $p \geq 0$. Note that if $(i'j'), (i, j) \in I(p)$ are two different cells, then $i' \neq i$, since otherwise the values $T_{ij}, T_{i'j'}$ have to be different. So the ordering

$$Q_{(i'j')}^T > Q_{(ij)}^T \text{ on } I(p) \text{ iff } i' > i \quad (13)$$

is well defined, and thus the definition of the order Q^T is completed. From (12), (13) it follows that Q^T is SYT.

To see that (13) is the only possible way to define Q^T we have to consider the pairs of cells $(i, j), (i'j') \in I(p)$ with $i' > i$ and $j' > j$. But for them the choice (13) is mandatory, due to the condition (11) – since the opposite choice makes the cell (i, j) a descent of Q^T . ■

For two SsYT T and T' we say that $T' \succeq T$ iff $T'_{ij} \geq T_{ij}$ for each cell $ij \in \lambda/\mu$.

For every Q we denote by $\pi^Q \in \mathcal{P}_Q^{Ss}$ the smallest SsYT in \mathcal{P}_Q^{Ss} (in the \succeq sense). We call π^Q the *plinth* of Q :

$$\mathbf{p}(Q) = \pi^Q.$$

We also say that if $T \in \mathcal{P}_Q^{Ss}$, then π^Q is the plinth of T . Note that for different SYT Q their plinths π^Q are different, due to the Proposition above. As an example, the above mentioned *minimal* SsYT $\mathbf{t}_{\lambda/\mu}$ is a plinth – namely, it is the plinth of the row order Q^{row} on λ/μ . For the case $\lambda = (4, 4, 4, 3)$, $\mu = (2, 1, 1)$ the row order is

$$Q^{\text{row}} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 9 & 10 & 11 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and } \mathbf{t}_{\lambda/\mu} = \mathbf{p}(Q^{\text{row}}) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 2 & 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

The set of all plinths $\pi^Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu}$.

Theorem 3 Let λ/μ be a skew Young diagram. For $T \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$ the correspondence

$$B^{Ss} : T \rightarrow \left(\pi^{Q^T}, Y \left(T - \pi^{Q^T} \right) \right) \quad (14)$$

is a bijection between $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda/\mu}^{Ss}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu} \times \mathcal{Y}_{|Y|}$, preserving the volume. Here

$T - \pi^{Q^T}$ is the reverse plane partition of shape λ/μ (not necessarily a SsYT), given by

$$\left(T - \pi^{Q^T} \right)_{ij} = T_{ij} - \left(\pi^{Q^T} \right)_{ij},$$

$\mathcal{Y}_{|\lambda/\mu|}$ is the set of all Young diagrams with $|\lambda/\mu|$ (non-negative) parts.

The inverse map \bar{B}^{Ss} corresponds to the pair

$$\left(\pi^Q, Y = \{y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_{|\lambda/\mu|}\} \right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda/\mu} \times \mathcal{Y}_{|\lambda/\mu|}$$

the SsYT T , which is a sum of two functions on the cells of λ/μ :

$$T = \pi^Q + f_Y \quad (15)$$

– the plinth π_{ij}^Q and the function $(f_Y)_{ij}$, $i, j \in \lambda/\mu$, given by

$$(f_Y)_{Q(k)} = y_k. \quad (16)$$

In particular, the relation (4) holds.

Proof. The volume preservation property follows immediately from the definitions (14), (15).

By the definitions of the linear order Q^T and of the plinths, all the increments $T_{Q^T(k+1)} - T_{Q^T(k)}$ are non-negative, and if the increment $\left(\pi^{Q^T} \right)_{Q^T(k+1)} - \left(\pi^{Q^T} \right)_{Q^T(k)}$ is positive, then it is 1, and the increment $T_{Q^T(k+1)} - T_{Q^T(k)}$ is at least 1. Therefore $T - \pi^{Q^T}$ is a reverse plane partition, and the sequence $\left(T - \pi^{Q^T} \right)_{Q^T(k)}$ – the reading diagram of $T - \pi^{Q^T}$ – is non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers, i.e. a (1D) partition from $\mathcal{Y}_{|\lambda/\mu|}$, denoted by $Y \left(T - \pi^{Q^T} \right)$ above.

Since the function f_Y from (16) is non-decreasing as a function of k , the array $(f_Y)_{ij}$ of shape λ/μ is a reverse plane partition, because Q is a SYT. Therefore the array $(\pi^Q + f_Y)_{ij}$ is also a reverse plane partition, and since its increments are at least 1 along every descent of Q , it is SsYT.

The relations $B^{Ss} \bar{B}^{Ss} = \bar{B}^{Ss} B^{Ss} = 1$ follow from (15), (16). Hence the maps B^{Ss} and \bar{B}^{Ss} are bijections. ■

3 Jeu de taquin - a reminder

Jeu de taquin is a remarkable equivalence relation among skew tableaux. A very clear definition of it and its properties are given in the Appendix 1 (written by S. Fomin) to the Stanley book [St]. We will not repeat it here. But in order to make the presentation self-contained, we will give an example of how to play this absorbing game, in a comics manner.

The game starts with a choice of a SYT Q of skew shape λ/μ , for example

		2	3
	1	5	6
4	7		
8			

plus a choice of one of the adjacent cells, marked by u and d (*up* or *down* cells):

	u	2	3	d
u	1	5	6	
4	7	d		
8	d			
d				

Let it be the u cell $(2, 1)$:

	*	2	3
	1	5	6
4	7		
8			

Then we do the following jeu de taquin moves:

	↑↑	2	3
	1	5	6
4	7		
8			

 \rightarrow

	1	2	3
	↔	5	6
4	7		
8			

 \rightarrow

	1	2	3
	5	↔	6
4	7		
8			

 \rightarrow

	1	2	3
	5	6	
4	7		
8			

each time moving the *smallest* among the East and the South neighbor to the vacant cell (provided it has both neighbors, otherwise we use the one which is present), until the newborn vacant cell has no East and no South neighbor. It turns out that the result is again a SYT (of different shape).

That completes one *slide* of jeu de taquin. The above slide will be called a *slide of Q into the cell $(2, 1)$* .

One can do the same with initial cell being a d cell; the only difference

is that one has to replace the words *smallest among the East and the South* by *largest among the West and the North*.

SYTs Q and Q' are called jeu de taquin equivalent, $Q \stackrel{jdt}{\sim} Q'$, if one can be obtained from another by a sequence of jeu de taquin slides (see the Definition A1.3.2 in [St]).

Note that after one u -slide the number of empty NW boxes decreases by one. We can repeat the u slides till there is no more empty NW boxes. In our case that requires two more slides:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline * & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 4 & 7 & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline & 7 & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline * & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}. \quad (18)$$

The resulting SYT is of straight shape. Clearly, to get to the straight shape via jdt slides one needs to choose a sequence of the u cells, so in principle the resulting straight SYT might depend on this sequence. But it is not the case, and in fact even a stronger statement holds:

Theorem 4 (A1.3.4 in the Appendix, [St]) *Each jeu de taquin equivalence class contains exactly one straight-shape SYT.*

For any SYT Q we denote by $\lceil Q$ the jdt equivalent SYT of straight shape.

4 Schützenberger involution - a reminder

In this section we remind the reader about the definition of the Schützenberger involution, Sch , which acts on straight-shaped SYT. Again, the definition can be found in the Appendix 1 to [St], so we just illustrate it with an example. In fact, our definition is a slight reformulation of that in [St]. In our version,

for every SYT Q of shape λ , $|\lambda| = n$, we define a sequence Q_1, \dots, Q_n of SYTs of the same shape λ , and then the SYT $Sch(Q)$ is by definition the last SYT Q_n .

Let $Q =$

1	2	7
3	5	
4	6	

.

1. Subtract 1 from each cell of Q , and put our symbol u instead of 0. We

get

u	1	6
2	4	
3	5	

.

2. Do a jdt slide to the cell u . We get

1	4	6
2	5	
3		

.

3. We put back the *lost* value 7 into the above table; it goes to the newly

vacated cell. In our example it is the cell $(2, 3)$, so we are getting

1	4	6
2	5	
3	7	

.

This is $Q_1 =$

1	4	6
2	5	
3	7	

. The number **7** is marked **bold**; we will see now the reason for it.

1'. Subtract again 1 from each cell of Q_1 , which is **not** marked **bold**. In fact, the bold cells will not change any more. This subtraction results in

u	3	5
1	4	
2	7	

.

2'. We do a jdt slide to the cell u of the SYT made by six regular cells,

i.e. excluding the cell containing **7**. We get

1	3	5
2	4	
	7	

.

3'. We put back the value 6, which was *lost*, it goes to the newly vacated

cell. We get $Q_2 =$

1	3	5
2	4	
6	7	

. Again, **6** is in bold, and it will not change any more.

1''. The next cycle involves SYT formed only by 5 regular cells. We

subtract 1 from each of them, getting

u	2	4
1	3	
6	7	

2''. A jdt slide to the cell u brings us to

1	2	4
3		
6	7	

3''. 5 reappears in **bold** in the cell (2, 2) just vacated: $Q_3 =$

1	2	4
3	5	
6	7	

Proceeding, we get $Q_4 =$

1	3	4
2	5	
6	7	

, $Q_5 =$

1	2	4
3	5	
6	7	

, $Q_6 =$

1	2	4
3	5	
6	7	

$Q_7 =$

1	2	4
3	5	
6	7	

, so $Sch(Q) =$

1	2	4
3	5	
6	7	

The transformation presented is an *involution*:

Theorem 5 For every shape λ and every SYT Q of shape λ we have

$$Sch(Sch(Q)) = Q.$$

For the proof, see [St], Proposition A1.4.2.

5 Plinth=Schützenberger(maj): the case of straight-shaped SYT.

In this section we will prove that for a straight-shaped SYT Q of shape λ we have

Theorem 6

$$|p(Q)| = \text{maj}(Sch(Q)). \tag{19}$$

Proof. Let Q be a standard Young tableau (SYT), and π^Q be the plinth of Q . Let $\text{Des}(Q) = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ be the contents of descent cells, so $\text{maj}(Q) = i_1 + \dots + i_l$ is the sum of the descent values.

The volume

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{vol}(\pi^Q) \\
&= 0 \cdot i_1 + 1 \cdot (i_2 - i_1) + 2 \cdot (i_3 - i_2) + \dots + (l-1) \cdot (i_l - i_{l-1}) + l \cdot (n - i_l) \\
& \hspace{15em} (20) \\
&= n - i_1 + \dots + n - i_l = nl - (i_1 + \dots + i_l) = nl - \text{maj}(Q) .
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we use two facts concerning the Robinson-Schensted correspondence:

- Let w be any permutation, such that the corresponding Robinson-Schensted pair of SYT-s is (P, Q) , i.e. our Q is a recording tableau of w . Then $\text{Des}(Q) = \text{Des}(w)$.
- Consider the permutation w' obtained by writing w backwards and replacing the entries $1, 2, \dots, n$ by $n, n-1, \dots, 1$ ($w \rightarrow w'$ is an involution¹). Then Schützenberger involution $Sch(Q)$ is the recording tableaux Q' of w' .

The descent set of w' is the reversal of $\text{Des}(w)$: $(i, i+1)$ is a descent in w if and only if $(n-i, n+1-i)$ is a descent in w' . Hence

$$\text{maj}(Q') = n - i_1 + \dots + n - i_l = \text{vol}(\pi^Q) = |\mathbf{p}(Q)| .$$

■

In particular, $\text{maj}(Q) + \text{maj}(Q') = nl$.

Remark 7 *After conjecturing the relation (19) and checking it numerically in several cases we got a message from Professor S. Fomin, who explained to us that the expression (20) is nothing else but the value $\text{maj}(w')$. We are grateful to him for this remark.*

6 Extending the Schützenberger involution

After realizing that the two statistics – $|\mathbf{p}(Q)|$ and $\text{maj}(Q)$ – are equidistributed on the set of SYT Q -s for each straight shape λ , we have checked

¹Let $\omega_0 := (1, n)(2, n-1)\dots$ be the longest element in the Coxeter group S_n . Then $w' = \omega_0 w \omega_0^{-1}$.

numerically that the same holds for some skew shapes λ/μ . Getting the positive answer we got the idea that the Schützenberger involution can be extended to the set of SYT-s of skew shape, so that the relation (19) still holds. This is indeed the case, as we will show below. To do this we will use some beautiful results of Haiman, [H]. In particular, we will use his *dual (to jeu de taquin) equivalence relation* between the SYT of the same shape.

Let Q be a SYT of the shape λ/μ , and let (c_1, \dots, c_l) be a sequence of cells for which it is meaningful to form a sequence $Q_0 = Q, Q_1, \dots, Q_l$ of SYT-s, such that each Q_j is a result of slide of Q_{j-1} into the cell c_j . For example, consider two SYT X and Y , of the shapes $sh(X), sh(Y)$, such that the Young diagrams $sh(X), sh(Y) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ do not intersect and their union $sh(X) \cup sh(Y)$ is again a Young diagram, while X lies to the NW of Y . In that case we will say that $sh(Y)$ **extends** $sh(X)$. Then the sequence of cells forming $sh(Y)$, taken in the order Y can be used to slide the SYT X in SE direction. The result will be denoted by $j_Y(X)$. In the corresponding way we can move Y in the NW direction, using X . We will get SYT, denoted by $j^X(Y)$. The sequence of cells vacated as we form $j_Y(X)$ defines a SYT which we denote by $[V : j_Y(X)]$; this SYT is located to the NW of the SYT $j_Y(X)$. The SYT $[V : j^X(Y)]$, located to the SE of $j^X(Y)$, is defined in analogous way. Clearly,

$$j_{[V:j^X(Y)]}j^X(Y) = Y, j^{[V:j_Y(X)]}j_Y(X) = X. \quad (21)$$

Lemma 8 ([H]) *Let X, Y be a pair of SYT, and $sh(Y)$ extends $sh(X)$.*

Then $[V : j^X(Y)] = j_Y(X)$, $[V : j_Y(X)] = j^X(Y)$.

Definition 9 ([H]) *Let P, Q be a pair of SYT of the same (skew) shape. Suppose that every sequence (c_1, \dots, c_l) which is a sequence of slides for both P and Q yields two tableaux of the same shape when applied to P , resp. Q . Then the SYT-s P and Q are said to be dual equivalent, $P \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} Q$.*

Theorem 10 ([H]) *Two SYT P, Q are dual equivalent, $P \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} Q$, if and only if there exists a pair X, Y of SYT of equal **straight** shape $sh(X) = sh(Y)$, such that $P \stackrel{jdt}{\sim} X$ and $Q \stackrel{jdt}{\sim} Y$. In particular, any two SYT X, Y of the same **straight** shape, $sh(X) = sh(Y)$, are dual equivalent, $X \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} Y$.*

Suppose that two SYT P, Q have the same shape $sh(P) = sh(Q)$ and are dual equivalent, $P \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} Q$. Suppose also that the shape $sh(P) = sh(Q)$

extends the shape $sh(X)$ of the SYT X . Then

$$j_P(X) = j_Q(X), \quad (22)$$

and

$$[V : j_P(X)] \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} [V : j_Q(X)]. \quad (23)$$

The above information enables us to extend the Schützenberger involution, defined initially for the straight shaped SYT, to the skew shaped SYT.

Definition 11 *Let the SYT Q be of the shape $sh(Q) = \lambda/\mu$. Choose some SYT P of shape $sh(P) = \mu$. We define*

$$\widetilde{Sch}(Q) = j_{[V:j^P(Q)]}(Sch(j^P(Q))). \quad (24)$$

Theorem 12 *The SYT $\widetilde{Sch}(Q)$ in (24) is well-defined, i.e. it does not depend on the particular choice of the SYT P of shape μ .*

The SYT $\widetilde{Sch}(Q)$ has the same shape as Q , and

$$\widetilde{Sch}(\widetilde{Sch}(Q)) = Q.$$

For Q of straight shape $\widetilde{Sch}(Q) = Sch(Q)$.

Proof. Since the shape of the SYT $j^P(Q)$ is a straight shape, its Schützenberger involution $Sch(j^P(Q))$ is already defined above. As we know from the Theorem 4, the SYT $j^P(Q)$ does not depend on the SYT P (which eliminates the second appearance of P in (24)). This might not be true, however, for the SYT $[V : j^P(Q)]$. For different P, P' we know only that

$$[V : j^P(Q)] \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} [V : j^{P'}(Q)] \quad (25)$$

– indeed, P and P' are straight and of the same shape, so $P \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} P'$ and we can use (23). However, the relation (25) is sufficient, since due to (22), we have that

$$j_{[V:j^P(Q)]}(Sch(j^P(Q))) = j_{[V:j^{P'}(Q)]}(Sch(j^P(Q))) = j_{[V:j^{P'}(Q)]}(Sch(j^{P'}(Q))),$$

so the SYT $\widetilde{Sch}(Q)$ is well-defined.

Let us now check that $\widetilde{Sch}(\widetilde{Sch}(Q)) = Q$. To see this let us introduce the notation Δ for the involution $(P, Q) \xrightarrow{\Delta} (j^P(Q), (j_Q(P)))$, where SYT Q extends the straight SYT P . Then we find the SYT $\widetilde{Sch}(Q)$ to appear at the end of the transformation string \mathcal{T} :

$$\mathcal{T} : (P, Q) \xrightarrow{\Delta} (j^P(Q), (j_Q(P))) \xrightarrow{Sch} (Sch[j^P(Q)], (j_Q(P))) \xrightarrow{\Delta} (P, \widetilde{Sch}(Q)).$$

We have used here the fact that $j^P(Q) \stackrel{Djdt}{\sim} Sch[j^P(Q)]$, since both SYTs are straight and of the same shape. From here we see that the shape of SYT $\widetilde{Sch}(Q)$ is that of Q , since the shape of the union of the pair of two tables at each step of \mathcal{T} is not changing. Repeating \mathcal{T} once more we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} : (P, \widetilde{Sch}(Q)) &\xrightarrow{\Delta} (j^P(\widetilde{Sch}(Q)), (j_{\widetilde{Sch}(Q)}(P))) \stackrel{1}{=} (Sch[j^P(Q)], (j_Q(P))) \\ &\xrightarrow{Sch} (Sch[Sch[j^P(Q)]], (j_Q(P))) \stackrel{2}{=} (j^P(Q), (j_Q(P))) \xrightarrow{\Delta} (P, Q). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the first equality holds since Δ is an involution, and the second – since Sch is. ■

7 Plinth=Schützenberger(maj): general case

Here we prove the Theorem 6 for the SYT Q of arbitrary skew shape λ/μ . The proof is based on the observation that the set of descents values (see (7)) stays unchanged as we perform the steps of jdt slides. In order to see this we have to generalize slightly the notion of descent. Indeed, we defined the descents above for 2D arrays of integers having the shape of a skew Young diagram. However, one can extend the definition in an obvious way for arrays Q having the shape of a skew Young diagram *with holes* (which have already appeared in (17), (18)), by repeating the definition (7), that k is a descent value of Q iff the cell $Q(k+1)$ belongs to the lower row than the row of the cell $Q(k)$.

Lemma 13 *Let Q' be a SYT (may be with a hole), and Q'' be the SYT obtained from Q' by a single jdt move. Then $\text{Des}(Q') = \text{Des}(Q'')$.*

Proof. Depending on the shape of the SYT Q' and the location of the cell $*$ in it, there are four different cases of the initial configuration of the jdt step:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & s \\ \hline \cdot & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & s \\ \hline \cdot & t & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & \\ \hline \cdot & s & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & t \\ \hline \cdot & s & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Here $s < t$ are integers, and in the first case the cell $(2, 3)$ is not part of Q' , while in the third case the cell $(3, 2)$ is not part of it.

1. In the first case the jdt step is

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & s \\ \hline \cdot & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & s & * \\ \hline \cdot & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

and since no cell moves vertically, the set Des does not change.

2. The same applies to the second case, where we have

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & s \\ \hline \cdot & t & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & s & * \\ \hline \cdot & t & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

3. Consider the jdt step

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & \\ \hline \cdot & s & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & s & \\ \hline \cdot & * & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Note that the only two values which we have to worry about are s and $s - 1$.

If s is in $\text{Des}(Q')$, then s is in $\text{Des}(Q'')$, since s is ascending, and therefore remains a descent.

Note that the cell $Q'(s + 1)$ does not belong to the row of the cell $Q'(s)$ – indeed, it cannot be in this row and to the left of $Q'(s)$ since $s + 1 > s$, while $Q'(s)$ is the rightmost cell of that row. Therefore if $s \notin \text{Des}(Q')$, then $s \notin \text{Des}(Q'')$.

If the cell $Q'(s - 1)$ is not a descent, then the upward move of the cell $Q'(s)$ cannot make it to be one.

If the cell $Q'(s - 1)$ is two or more rows higher than the cell $Q'(s)$, then the value $s - 1$ is a descent for Q' as well as for Q'' .

Finally, the cell $Q'(s - 1)$ cannot be one row higher than $Q'(s)$ – indeed, all the values to the left of $*$ in Q' are $\leq s - 2$, since for the fragment

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline x & * & \\ \hline y & s & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

of Q' we have $x < y < s$. So $s - 1 \in \text{Des}(Q')$ iff $s - 1 \in \text{Des}(Q'')$.

4. The remaining jdt step to consider is

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & * & t \\ \hline \cdot & s & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \cdot & s & t \\ \hline \cdot & * & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

As in **3**, if s is in $\text{Des}(Q')$, then s is in $\text{Des}(Q'')$, since s has ascended.

Again, as in **3**, the cell $Q'(s+1)$ does not belong to the row of the cell $Q'(s)$ – it cannot be in this row and to the left of $Q'(s)$ since $s+1 > s$, while every entry x in that row to the right of $Q'(s)$ satisfy $t < x$, so $x \geq s+2$, thus if $s \notin \text{Des}(Q')$ then $s \notin \text{Des}(Q'')$.

If the cell $Q'(s-1)$ is not a descent, then the upward move of the cell $Q'(s)$ cannot make it to be one.

If the cell $Q'(s-1)$ is two or more rows higher than the cell $Q'(s)$, then $s-1 \in \text{Des}(Q')$ and $s-1 \in \text{Des}(Q'')$. Finally, the cell $Q'(s-1)$ cannot be

one row higher than $Q'(s)$ – indeed, $t > s$, while for the fragment

·	·	·
x	*	t
y	s	·

of Q' we have $x < y < s$. ■

Theorem 14 *For SYT Q of the skew shape λ/μ we have*

$$|\mathfrak{p}(Q)| = \text{maj}\left(\widetilde{Sch}(Q)\right).$$

The proof follows immediately from the Theorem 6 and the last Lemma. Indeed, both the volume of the plinth of a SYT Q and its index maj are determined by the set $\text{Des}(Q)$ (see (20)). Since $\text{Des}(\ast)$ does not change after jdt slides, we have $|\mathfrak{p}(Q)| = |\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma Q)|$, while $\Gamma\left(\widetilde{Sch}(Q)\right) = Sch(\Gamma Q)$ by construction, and we can use the Theorem 6 to conclude that

$$|\mathfrak{p}(Q)| = |\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma Q)| = \text{maj}(Sch(\Gamma Q)) = \text{maj}\left(\widetilde{Sch}(Q)\right).$$

Acknowledgements. The work of S.S. was supported by the RSF under project 23-11-00150.

We thank Professor S. Fomin for his valuable remarks and suggestions.

References

- [H] Haiman M.D. *Dual equivalence with applications, including a conjecture of Proctor*. Discrete Mathematics. 1992 Apr. 2;99(1-3):79-113.

- [KKOPSS] Kenyon R, Kontsevich M, Ogievetsky O, Pohoata C, Sawin W, Shlosman S. *The miracle of integer eigenvalues*. Functional Analysis and Its Applications. 2024 Jun.;58(2):182-94.
- [OS] Ogievetsky O. and Shlosman S., *Plane Partitions and Their Pedestal Polynomials*, Mathematical Notes, 2018, May; 103(5):793-6.
- [Sch] Schützenberger M.P. *Promotion des morphismes d'ensembles ordonnés*. Discrete Mathematics. 1972 Mar 1;2(1):73-94.
- [S] Shlosman S., *The Wulff construction in statistical mechanics and combinatorics*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 56(4), p.709-38, 2001.
- [St] Stanley R.P. *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume 2, second edition. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. 2024.