

A NOTE ON AN ALGEBRAIC CRITERION FOR COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

JUNYAN CAO

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [Ou25], W. Ou generalized the algebraic integrability criteria of Campana-Păun [CP19], Druel [Dru18] and the uniruledness criterion [BDPP] to the compact Kähler setting. A key ingredient in his proof is an algebraicity criterion [Ou25, Thm 1.3], which extends the algebraicity criteria in [Bos01, BM16]. In this note, we explain a proof of his criterion.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and let $C \subset X$ be a compact submanifold. Let S_0 be an irreducible locally closed submanifold containing C_0 , where C_0 is an open set in C with $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) \geq 2$. Let M be the Zariski closure of S_0 in X .*

If $\dim M > \dim S_0$, then the conormal bundle \mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^ is pseudo-effective ("psef" for short), in the sense of Definition 2.4.*

The major part of our proof of Theorem 1.1 follows closely the ideas in [Ou25]. The main difference is that [Ou25] requires some algebraic constructions to carefully desingularize the singular locus of M and to control the loss of psef of the conormal bundle in a very efficient way. In our proof, we use an analytic approach by comparing the Lelong numbers of a certain current and its pullback, cf. Proposition 2.2. This makes the proof purely analytic.

Note that [Ou25, Thm 1.3] treats the case when $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) = 1$ with some additional conditions on $C \setminus C_0$. Moreover, as we use the analytic Definition 2.4 for the pseudo-effectiveness of sheaves, Theorem 1.1 is slightly weaker than [Ou25, Thm 1.3]. However, as explained in Remark 2.5, Theorem 1.1 still implies the main geometric applications [Ou25, Thm 1.1, Thm 1.4].

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to as follows. We first assume that M is smooth. By using Demailly's mass concentration method [Dem93] and Collins-Tosatti's extension theorem [CT14], we construct an ω_X -psh function φ on X such that the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0)$ at a fixed point $y_0 \in C_0$ can be arbitrarily large cf. Proposition 3.1. This is one of the key ideas in [Ou25]. Now thanks to Proposition 3.2, we can show that the $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, C_0)$ can be arbitrary large. In the general case, when M is not smooth, we need additional arguments. Let $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of C in X . Let \widehat{S}_0 be the strict transform of S_0 . In Proposition 3.4, we construct $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ -psh functions φ on \widehat{X} and show that the Lelong number of $\varphi|_{\widehat{S}_0}$ along $\widehat{S}_0 \cap \pi^{-1}(C_0)$ can be arbitrarily large. Once this is established, we complete the proof of the main theorem using standard arguments.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Wenhao Ou for sending the preliminary versions of his very nice paper [Ou25], as well as for many discussions related to his paper and this note. He would also like to thank Mihai Păun for reading this note and for his many suggestions on it. He thanks the Institut Universitaire de France and the A.N.R JCJC project Karmapolis (ANR-21-CE40-0010) for providing excellent working condition.

2. SOME PREPARATIONS

We first recall some basic properties of quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-psh) functions and positive currents.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a complex manifold, and let $X_0 \subset X$ be an open set such that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a submanifold. Then we have:*

- *El Mir extension theorem [Dem, Chapter III, Thm 2.3] Let T be a d -closed positive $(1,1)$ -current on X_0 . Then T can be extended to a positive current on the entire space X .*
- *Support theorem [Dem, Chapter III, Thm 2.10] Let T_1 and T_2 be two d -closed positive $(1,1)$ -currents on X such that $T_1 = T_2$ on X_0 . Then $T_1 = T_2$ on X .*
- *[Hor] Let $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be the origin. Let φ be a psh function in a neighborhood of 0 . Then the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi, 0)$ can be computed using the following formula:*

$$(1) \quad \nu(\varphi, 0) = \min\{\nu(\varphi|_{L_\xi}, 0) \mid \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}\},$$

where L_ξ is the complex line $\mathbb{C} \cdot \xi$. In fact, $\nu(\varphi, 0) = \nu(\varphi|_{L_\xi}, 0)$ for almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

As a consequence, for psh functions with analytic singularities, namely $\varphi = c \log \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^2 + O(1)$, where f_i are holomorphic functions, $\frac{1}{2c}\nu(\varphi, 0)$ equal to the minimal vanishing order of (f_1, \dots, f_m) .

- *Let φ be a quasi-psh function on X such that φ is not identically $-\infty$ on Y . Let $\nu(\varphi, Y)$ be the Lelong number of φ along Y , defined as the Lelong number of φ at a generic point of Y . By the semicontinuity of Lelong numbers, we have $\nu(\varphi, Y) = \min_{y \in Y} \nu(\varphi, y)$.*
- *Let $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up along Y . Let $x \in X$. If φ is a quasi-psh function on X with analytic singularities, by (1) we have*

$$\nu(\varphi, x) = \nu(\pi^*\varphi, \pi^{-1}(x)), \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\varphi, Y) = \nu(\pi^*\varphi, \pi^{-1}(Y)).$$

- *[Dem12, Prop 5.10] Let φ be a psh function on X . Let $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ be the multiplier ideal sheaf generated locally by holomorphic functions f such that*

$$\int |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_{\omega_X} < +\infty.$$

If $\varphi = c \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^{2\alpha_i}$ for real numbers $\alpha_i > 0$, then $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_0$ is generated by the monomials $\prod z_i^{\beta_i}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\beta_i + 1}{\alpha_i} > 2c.$$

We will now discuss the Lelong number of quasi-psh functions after a bimeromorphic transformation.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a complex manifold, and let $Y \subset X$ be a submanifold. Let $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of Y , and let $E \subset \widehat{X}$ be the exceptional divisor. Let $\widehat{T} \geq 0$ be a positive $(1, 1)$ -current on \widehat{X} with analytic singularities. Let $\widehat{x} \in E$ and set $x := \pi(\widehat{x})$. Define $T := \pi_*(\widehat{T})$. Then we have*

$$C \cdot \nu(T, x) \geq \nu(\widehat{T}, \widehat{x}) - \nu(\widehat{T}, E).$$

for some uniform constant C depending only on X and Y .

Proof. Set $T_1 := \pi^*T$. Then T_1 is a positive current on \widehat{X} and coincides with \widehat{T} outside E . Let $a := \nu(\widehat{T}, E)$ and $b := \nu(T_1, E)$. Then $(T_1 - b[E]) - (\widehat{T} - a[E])$ is a current supported on a subvariety of codimension at least 2. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$T_1 - b[E] \equiv \widehat{T} - a[E] \quad \text{on } \widehat{X}.$$

Using [Fav99, Thm 2], we know that $\nu(T_1, \widehat{y}) \leq C\nu(T, y)$ for some constant C . Therefore, we obtain

$$\nu(\widehat{T}, \widehat{x}) - a = \nu(\widehat{T} - a[E], \widehat{x}) = \nu(T_1 - b[E], \widehat{x}) \leq \nu(T_1, \widehat{x}) \leq C\nu(T, x).$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a complex Kähler manifold, and let V be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on X . Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection. Fix a point $x \in X$. Let ω be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{P}(V)$.*

Let $T \geq 0$ be a d -closed positive $(1, 1)$ -current on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Consider the $(1, 1)$ -positive current $T' := \pi_(T \wedge \omega^{r-1})$ on X . Then we have*

$$\nu(T', x) \geq \left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \omega^{r-1} \right) \cdot \nu(T, \pi^{-1}(x)).$$

Proof. We first assume that $\dim X = 1$. Set $a := \nu(T, \pi^{-1}(x))$. Then $T_a := T - a[\pi^{-1}(x)]$ is a $(1, 1)$ -positive current. We have

$$T' = \pi_*(T_a \wedge \omega^{r-1}) + a \left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \omega^{r-1} \right) [x] \geq a \left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \omega^{r-1} \right) [x].$$

Thus, the lemma is proved.

If $\dim X \geq 1$, we take a generic one-dimensional unit disc $\Delta \subset X$ passing through x . By (1), we have $\nu(T', x) = \nu(T'|_{\Delta}, x)$. Thus, the proposition is reduced to the one-dimensional case. □

Definition 2.4. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . Let $X_0 \subset X$ be the locally free locus of \mathcal{F} . Consider the projection $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}) \rightarrow X_0$, and let Y be a desingularization of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ with a Kähler metric ω_Y . Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0})$ can be embedded in Y .*

We say that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is psef if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a possibly singular metric h_ϵ such that $i\Theta_{h_\epsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0})}(1)) \geq -\epsilon\omega_Y$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0})$.

Remark 2.5. Since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0})$ is not compact (its complement may have codimension 1), it is not clear whether the above definition implies the existence of a metric h such that $i\Theta_h(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0})}(1)) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0})$. Thus, Definition 2.4 is à priori weaker than [Ou25, Definition 4.1] and [HP19].

However, if $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is psef in the sense of Definition 2.4, then by applying the same argument as in [Ou25, Section 4] (replacing h with h_ϵ and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$), we

can show that $\mu_{\alpha, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class α . Therefore, Theorem 1.1 still implies the main geometric applications in [Ou25, Thm 1.1, Thm 1.4].

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, and let S_0 be a locally closed submanifold of X . Fix a point $x \in S_0$. When X is projective, fix an ample line bundle A on X . Bost [Bos04] proved a characterization of the algebraicity of S_0 by studying the subspaces $E_m^i \subset H^0(X, mA)$ consisting of sections whose restrictions to S_0 vanish to order at least i at x . In [Ou25], Ou generalized Bost's nice idea to the setting of compact Kähler manifolds by studying the restricted Lelong number $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, x)$, where φ is an ω_X -plurisubharmonic function on X for some fixed Kähler metric ω_X . For the reader's convenience, we first recall his elegant proof, which follows precisely the same argument as the first part of [Ou25, Thm 1.2].

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, and let ω_X be a Kähler metric on X . Let S_0 be a locally closed submanifold of X such that $\dim S_0 < \dim X$ and the Zariski closure of S_0 is X . Fix a point $x \in S_0$.*

Then, for any $\lambda > 0$, we can find a ω_X -psh function φ on X such that φ has analytic singularities and satisfies $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, x) \geq \lambda$.

Proof. Let U be a neighborhood of x in X , and let V be a neighborhood of x in S_0 . Since $\dim S_0 < \dim X$, we can find a local holomorphic coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) on U such that V is contained in $\{z_1 = 0\}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the function

$$f = \frac{1}{m \frac{n-1}{n}} \log(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2m} + \dots + |z_n|^{2m}).$$

Since V is contained in $\{z_1 = 0\}$, we have $\nu(f|_{S_0}, x) = 2m \frac{1}{n}$. By construction, the mass $(i\partial\bar{\partial}f)^n = A \cdot \{x\}$ for some uniform constant A independent of m . By applying Demailly's mass concentration theorem [Dem93, Cor 6.8], we can find a $2\omega_X$ -psh function ψ on X such that

$$\psi \leq C \cdot f + O(1)$$

in a neighborhood of x , where C is a uniform constant independent of m .

Next, we apply Demailly's regularization theorem to ψ . We can find a ω_X -psh function φ with analytic singularities, and locally, φ is of the form

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{k} \log \sum |g_i|^2 + O(1),$$

where $\int |g_i|^2 e^{-2k\psi} < +\infty$ for some sufficiently large k . Now, the key point is that since S_0 is dense in X and φ is of analytic singularity, $\varphi|_{S_0}$ is well defined.

Now we calculate $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, x)$. Since $\psi \leq Cf$, we know that $g_i \in \mathcal{I}(kCf)$. By Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{I}(kCf)$ is generated by monomials of the form $z_1^{a_1} \dots z_n^{a_n}$ such that

$$a_1 + 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{a_i + 1}{m} > \frac{kC}{m \frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Thus, for every g_i , the vanishing order of $(g_i|_{z_1=0}, 0)$ is at least

$$\sum_{i=2}^n a_i > m \frac{1}{n} kC - n + 1.$$

By Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, x) \geq m^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot C - \frac{n-1}{k}.$$

The proposition follows by choosing m such that $m^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \frac{\lambda}{C}$. \square

Let C be a compact submanifold of X such that there exists a Zariski open subset $C_0 \subset C$ with $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) \geq 2$ and $C_0 \subset S_0$. Let $y_0 \in C_0$. We know that $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0) \geq \nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, C_0)$. The following proposition provides a control in another direction. The proof partially follows the idea in the second part of [Ou25, Thm 1.2]. The main difference is that, instead of using the algebraic construction in [Ou25, Section 5] to control the singularity of the currents on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^*)$ near its boundary, we use Lemma 2.3 to directly obtain a positive current on the compact manifold C .

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $C \subset X$ be a compact submanifold. Let S_0 be a locally closed submanifold containing C_0 , where C_0 is an open subset of C with $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) \geq 2$.*

We fix a point $y_0 \in C_0$. Let ω_X be a Kähler metric on X . Then there exists some constants K_1 and K_2 depending only on $(\omega_X, y_0, C_0, S_0, X)$ such that, for any ω_X -psh function φ on X , if $\varphi|_{S_0}$ is of algebraic singularities, then we have

$$\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0) \leq K_1 \cdot \nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, C_0) + K_2.$$

Proof. Let $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of C in X . Let \widehat{S}_0 be the strict transform of S_0 and D be the exceptional divisor of π . Let $E_0 := \widehat{S}_0 \cap D$. Then $E_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^*)$. Note that as $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) \geq 2$, we can extend \mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0} to be a reflexive subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset T_{C/X}$ on C . Then E_0 is a Zariski open subset of the compact set $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Set $a := \nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, C_0)$. We consider the current $T := \pi^*(\omega_X + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi) \geq 0$ on \widehat{X} . By construction, the restriction $T|_{\widehat{S}_0}$ is well defined. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$a = \nu(T|_{\widehat{S}_0}, E_0).$$

Now we set $T_a := T|_{\widehat{S}_0} - a[E_0]$. Then T_a is still a positive current on \widehat{S}_0 , and $T_a|_{E_0}$ is a well-defined positive current on E_0 . Here we use the fact that $\varphi|_{S_0}$ has algebraic singularities.

Let E be a desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$. Note that $\text{codim}_E(E \setminus E_0)$ might be 1, and the singularity of $T_a|_{E_0}$ might be transcendental near the boundary because of the transcendentality of S_0 . Therefore, we cannot a priori extend $T_a|_{E_0}$ to be a current on E .

Let $\pi_{E_0} : E_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^*) \rightarrow C_0$. Let r be the dimension of the generic fiber of π_{E_0} , and let $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ be a Kähler metric on \widehat{X} . After multiplying by some constant, we can assume that $(\pi_{E_0})_*((\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_{E_0})^r) = 1$ at y_0 . We consider the push-forward

$$T_{C_0} := (\pi_{E_0})_*(T_a|_{E_0} \wedge (\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_{E_0})^r).$$

By construction, the $(1,1)$ -current $T_{C_0} \geq 0$ on C_0 . As $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) \geq 2$, by Proposition 2.1, we can extend it to be a positive current on C , denoted by T_C .

Thanks to Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\nu(T_C, y_0) \geq \nu(T|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \pi_{E_0}^{-1}(y_0)) - a = \nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0) - a,$$

where the second equality comes from Proposition 2.1. Note that by Lemma 3.3 below, the cohomology class of T_C is bounded by $(aK_1 + K_2) \cdot c_1(\omega_X|_C)$ for some

uniform constants K_1 and K_2 . Then $\nu(T_C, y_0)$ is uniformly upper-bounded by $K \cdot (aK_1 + K_2)$, where K is a constant depending on $c_1(\omega_X|_C)$ and the Seshadri constant of y_0 in C . Therefore, we have

$$K \cdot (aK_1 + K_2) \geq \nu(T_C, y_0) \geq \nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0) - a,$$

and the proposition is proved. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let T_C be the current on C constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Then there exists a uniform constants K_1 and K_2 independent of a , such that $(aK_1 + K_2) \cdot c_1(\omega_X|_C) - T_C$ is a psef class.*

Proof. Let $\alpha := c_1(\pi^*\omega_X) - a \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(D)) \in H^{1,1}(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\rho : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$ be a desingularization and $\pi_E : E \rightarrow C$ be the projection. Consider the class

$$\beta := (\pi_E)_* \left(\rho^* \left((\alpha \wedge (\omega_{\widehat{X}})^r) \Big|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)} \right) \right).$$

Note that β is a $(1, 1)$ -class on C and is upper bounded by $(aK_1 + K_2) \cdot c_1(\omega_X|_C)$ for some uniform constants K_1 and K_2 independent of a . To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad c_1(\beta) = c_1(T_C) \quad \text{on } C.$$

Let $s_D \in H^0(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(D))$ be the canonical section (which vanishes along D), and fix a smooth metric h_D on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(D)$. Consider the current

$$T_{\widehat{X}} := \pi^*\omega_X + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi - ai\partial\bar{\partial}\log|s_D|_{h_D}^2 - ai\Theta_{h_D}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(D)) \quad \text{on } \widehat{X}.$$

Then we have $c_1(T_{\widehat{X}}) = \alpha$ and $T_{\widehat{X}}|_{\widehat{S}_0} = T_a$ on \widehat{S}_0 .

Set $A := \pi^*\omega_X - ai\Theta_{h_D}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(D))$. Then A is a smooth form in the class of α , and we have

$$A|_{E_0} = T_a|_{E_0} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \quad \text{on } E_0,$$

where $\psi = ((\varphi - a \log|s_D|_{h_D}^2)|_{\widehat{S}_0})|_{E_0}$ is well-defined on E_0 . As a consequence,

$$A|_{E_0} \wedge (\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_E)^r = T_a|_{E_0} \wedge (\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_E)^r + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi \cdot (\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_E)^r) \quad \text{on } E_0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3) \quad p_*(A|_{E_0} \wedge (\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_E)^r) = T_{C_0} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi \quad \text{on } C_0$$

for some function Φ defined on C_0 . Since $\text{codim}_C(C \setminus C_0) \geq 2$, by Proposition 2.1, the equality (3) extends to the whole space C . Note that the class of the extended current on the left-hand side of (3) is precisely β . This completes the proof of (2). \square

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. In the setting of Theorem 1.1, we fix a point $y_0 \in C_0$. If M is smooth, then by applying Proposition 3.1 to (M, S_0, y_0) , we can construct a ω_X -psh function φ on M such that $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0)$ is arbitrarily large. By applying the extension theorem [CT14, Thm 1.1], we obtain a ω_X -psh function φ on X such that $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, y_0)$ is arbitrarily large. Together with Proposition 3.2, we conclude that $\nu(\varphi|_{S_0}, C_0)$ is arbitrarily large. This implies that \mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^* is psef (cf. the proof of Theorem 1.1). In the general case, when M is not necessarily smooth, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, let $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of C in X . Let \widehat{S}_0 be the strict transform of S_0 , and let D be the exceptional divisor of π . Define $E_0 := \widehat{S}_0 \cap D$. Let $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ be a Kähler metric on \widehat{X} .*

If $\dim M > \dim S_0$, then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ -psh function φ_m on \widehat{X} such that $\varphi_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}$ has analytic singularities and $\nu(\varphi_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, E_0) \geq m$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{M} \subset \widehat{X}$ be the strict transform of M . We desingularize the singular locus of \widehat{M} and obtain a bimeromorphism $p : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$. Let \widetilde{S}_0 be the strict transform of S_0 in \widetilde{X} . Since S_0 is dense in M , \widetilde{S}_0 is not contained in the singular locus of \widehat{M} . Let $\widetilde{E}_0 \subset \widetilde{S}_0$ be the strict transform of E_0 . Define $\widetilde{E}_0 \subset \widetilde{S}_0$ as the unique component of $p^{-1}(E_0) \cap \widetilde{S}_0$ such that $p(\widetilde{E}_0) = E_0$. The uniqueness follows from the fact that E_0 has codimension 1 in \widehat{S}_0 and that \widehat{S}_0 is smooth. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{y}_0 \in \widetilde{E}_0 & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{S}_0 & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{X} \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ \widehat{y}_0 \in E_0 & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{S}_0 & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ y_0 \in C_0 & \hookrightarrow & S_0 & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Let $\widetilde{y}_0 \in \widetilde{E}_0$ be a generic point. Since $E_0 \subset \widehat{S}_0$ has codimension one, the bimeromorphism $p : \widetilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{S}_0$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of the generic point \widetilde{y}_0 . In particular, \widetilde{S}_0 is smooth in a neighborhood of \widetilde{y}_0 . Set $\widehat{y}_0 := p(\widetilde{y}_0)$ and $y_0 := \pi(\widehat{y}_0)$. Now, we fix a Kähler metric ω_X on X . By using [DP04], there exist Kähler metrics $\omega_{\widetilde{X}}$ on \widetilde{X} and $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ on \widehat{X} such that

$$p_*(c_1(\omega_{\widetilde{X}})) = c_1(\omega_{\widehat{X}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*(c_1(\omega_{\widehat{X}})) = c_1(\omega_X).$$

Since \widetilde{M} is smooth and \widetilde{S}_0 is smooth in a neighborhood of \widetilde{y}_0 , we can apply Proposition 3.1 to $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{S}_0, \widetilde{y}_0)$. Together with the extension theorem [CT14, Thm 1.1], for any $m > 0$, we can find a $\omega_{\widetilde{X}}$ -psh function $\widetilde{\varphi}_m$ on \widetilde{X} such that $\widetilde{\varphi}_m|_{\widetilde{S}_0}$ has analytic singularities and

$$\nu(\widetilde{\varphi}_m|_{\widetilde{S}_0}, \widetilde{y}_0) \geq m.$$

Set $\widetilde{T}_m := \omega_{\widetilde{X}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\widetilde{\varphi}_m \geq 0$. Then

$$\nu(\widetilde{T}_m|_{\widetilde{S}_0}, \widetilde{y}_0) \geq m.$$

We consider the push-forward

$$\widehat{T}_m := p_*(\widetilde{T}_m), \quad T_m := (p \circ \pi)_*\widehat{T}_m.$$

Then

$$c_1(\widehat{T}_m) = c_1(\omega_{\widehat{X}}), \quad c_1(T_m) = c_1(\omega_X),$$

and the restrictions $\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}$ and $T_m|_{S_0}$ are well defined. By using Lemma 3.5, there exists a constant C_1 independent of m such that

$$\left| \nu(\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \widehat{y}_0) - \nu(\widetilde{T}_m|_{\widetilde{S}_0}, \widetilde{y}_0) \right| \leq C_1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\nu(\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \widehat{y}_0) \geq m - C_1.$$

There are two possibilities:

- If $\nu(\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, E_0) \geq \frac{m}{2}$ for infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then the proposition is proved.

- If $\nu(\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, E_0) \leq \frac{m}{2}$ for every sufficiently large m , then, thanks to Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\nu(T_m|_{S_0}, y_0) \geq \frac{1}{K} \cdot \left(\nu(\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \widehat{y}_0) - \nu(\widehat{T}_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, E_0) \right) \geq \frac{1}{K} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{2} - C_1 \right)$$

for some uniform constant K independent of m . By applying Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\nu(T_m|_{S_0}, C_0) \geq \frac{\nu(T_m|_{S_0}, y_0) - K_2}{K_1} \geq \widetilde{K}_1 m - \widetilde{K}_2.$$

for some uniform constants $\widetilde{K}_1 > 0$ and \widetilde{K}_2 independent of m . We write $T_m = \omega_X + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_m$ on X . Then $\pi^*\varphi_m$ satisfies the proposition. \square

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a constant C_1 independent of m such that*

$$|\nu(\widehat{T}|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \widehat{y}_0) - \nu(\widetilde{T}|_{\widetilde{S}_0}, \widetilde{y}_0)| \leq C_1.$$

Proof. Let $\sum D_i$ be the exceptional divisor of p . By construction, we have

$$c_1(\omega_{\widehat{X}}) = p^*c_1(\omega_{\widetilde{X}}) + \sum a_i[D_i]$$

for some constants a_i . Then, we can write $\widetilde{T} = \omega_{\widetilde{X}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\widetilde{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{T} = \omega_{\widehat{X}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$ for some function ψ on \widehat{X} . Since $c_1(p_*(\omega_{\widehat{X}})) = c_1(\omega_{\widetilde{X}})$, there exists a function Φ on \widehat{X} such that

$$p_*(\omega_{\widehat{X}}) = \omega_{\widetilde{X}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi.$$

As a consequence, we have the pointwise identity

$$p_*(\widetilde{\varphi}) = \psi - \Phi + C_0 \quad \text{on } \widehat{X}$$

for some constant C_0 .

Since $p|_{\widetilde{S}_0}$ is an isomorphism near \widetilde{y}_0 , we can find a neighborhood $\widetilde{U} \subset \widetilde{S}_0$ of \widetilde{y}_0 and a neighborhood $\widehat{U} \subset \widehat{S}_0$ of \widehat{y}_0 such that $p|_{\widetilde{U}} : \widetilde{U} \rightarrow \widehat{U}$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, at the level of quasi-psh functions, we have the identity

$$(\psi - \Phi + C_0) \circ (p|_{\widetilde{U}}) = \widetilde{\varphi} \quad \text{on } \widetilde{U}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\nu((\psi - \Phi + C_0)|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \widehat{y}_0) = \nu(\widetilde{\varphi}|_{\widetilde{S}_0}, \widetilde{y}_0).$$

Since Φ depends only on the blow-up and the coefficients a_i , and is well-defined on \widehat{S}_0 , we conclude that

$$|\nu(\psi|_{\widehat{S}_0}, \widehat{y}_0) - \nu(\widetilde{\varphi}|_{\widetilde{S}_0}, \widetilde{y}_0)| \leq C_1$$

for some uniform constant C_1 . \square

Now we can prove the main theorem 1.1:

Proof of the main theorem 1.1. Let $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of C in X . Let \widehat{S}_0 be the strict transform of S_0 , and let D be the exceptional divisor of π . Define $E_0 := \widehat{S}_0 \cap D$. Then we have $E_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^*)$.

By applying Proposition 3.4, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ -psh function φ_m such that $a_m := \nu(\varphi_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}, E_0) \geq m$, where $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ is a Kähler metric on \widehat{X} .

Consider the current $T_m := \omega_{\widehat{X}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_m \geq 0$ on \widehat{X} . By construction, the restriction $T_m|_{\widehat{S}_0}$ is well defined. Now, we set

$$G_m := T_m|_{\widehat{S}_0} - a_m[E_0].$$

Then, $G_m|_{E_0}$ is a well-defined positive current on E_0 , and we have

$$(4) \quad c_1(G_m|_{E_0}) = c_1(\omega_{\widehat{X}}|_{E_0} + a_m \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^*)}(1)) \quad \text{on } E_0.$$

Since $a_m \geq m$ and $G_m|_{E_0} \geq 0$, we conclude that \mathcal{N}_{C_0/S_0}^* is psef in the sense of Definition 2.4. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [BM16] Fedor Bogomolov and Michael McQuillan. *Rational curves on foliated varieties*. In Foliation theory in algebraic geometry, Simons Symp., pages 21–51. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Bos01] Jean-Benoît Bost. *Algebraic leaves of algebraic foliations over number fields*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., (93):161–221, 2001.
- [Bos04] Jean-Benoît Bost. *Germs of analytic varieties in algebraic varieties: canonical metrics and arithmetic algebraization theorems*. In Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, pages 371–418. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.
- [BDPP] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun, Thomas Peternell. *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension* J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), 201-248
- [CP19] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun: *Foliations with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 129:1–49, 2019.
- [CT14] Tristan C. Collins and Valentino Tosatti: *An extension theorem for Kähler currents with analytic singularities* Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse : Mathématiques, Série 6, Tome 23 (2014) no. 4, pp. 893-905.
- [Dem] Jean-Pierre Demailly: *Complex analytic and differential geometry* <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/documents.html>
- [Dem93] Jean-Pierre Demailly: *A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles* J. Differential Geom., 37(2):323–374, 1993.
- [Dem12] Jean-Pierre Demailly: *Analytic methods in algebraic geometry* volume 1 of Surveys of Modern Mathematics. International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [DP04] Jean-Pierre Demailly and Mihai Păun *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold* Annals of Mathematics, 159 (2004), 1247–1274
- [Dru18] Stéphane Druel *A decomposition theorem for singular spaces with trivial canonical class of dimension at most five* Invent. Math., 211(1):245–296, 2018.
- [Fav99] Charles Favre: *Note on pull-back and Lelong number of currents* Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Tome 127 (1999) no. 3, pp. 445-458.
- [HP19] Andreas Höring and Thomas Peternell *ALgebraic integrability of foliations with numerically trivial canonical bundle* Invent. Math., 216(2):395–419, 2019.
- [Hor] Lars Hörmander: *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables* North-Holland Mathematical Library, 3rd Revised Edition.
- [Ou25] Wenhao Ou: *A characterization of uniruled compact Kähler manifolds* <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.18088>

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D’AZUR, CNRS, LJAD, FRANCE
 Email address: junyan.cao@unice.fr