

A plug-and-play solution for characterizing two-way optical frequency transfer over free-space

JINGXIAN JI,^{1,2,*} SHAMBO MUKHERJEE,¹ ALEXANDER KUHL,¹
SEBASTIAN KOKE,¹ MARKUS LEIPE,^{3,4} MARKUS ROTHE,³ FABIAN
STEINLECHNER,^{3,4} AND JOCHEN KRONJÄGER¹

¹Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Braunschweig 38116, Germany

²DLR-Institute for Satellite Geodesy and Inertial Sensing, Hannover 30167, Germany

³Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Optics and Precision Engineering (IOF), Jena 07745, Germany

⁴Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Institute of Applied Physics, Abbe Center of Photonics, Jena 07745, Germany

*jingxian.ji@ptb.de

Abstract: Optical clock networks connected by phase-coherent links offer significant potential for advancing fundamental research and diverse scientific applications. Free-space optical frequency transfer extends fiber-based connectivity to remote areas and holds the potential for global coverage via satellite links. Here we present a compact and robust portable, rack-integrated two-way free-space link characterization system. Equipped with plug-and-play capabilities, the system enables straightforward interfacing with various optical systems and facilitates quick deployment for field experiments. In this work, we achieve a fractional frequency instability of 2.0×10^{-19} for an averaging time of 10 s over a 3.4 km horizontal fully folded intra-city free-space link. Moreover, the system maintains an uptime of 94 % over 15 hours, illustrating its reliability and effectiveness for high-precision optical frequency comparisons over free-space.

1. Introduction

The development of optical atomic clocks has led to unparalleled levels of accuracy, achieving fractional systematic uncertainties at the 10^{-18} level and even lower [1–5]. This remarkable accuracy opens up possibilities for testing fundamental theories such as general relativity [6, 7] or variations of fundamental constants [8], and a wide range of high-impact applications in geodesy [9, 10] and space [11].

These applications demand an accurate comparison of frequencies between distant optical clocks, implying the need for reliably linked optical atomic clocks. Consequently, ultra-stable phase coherent time and frequency transfer is essential for developing global optical clock networks, requiring the capability to maintain uncertainties as low as 10^{-18} over extensive distances. In the last two decades, interferometrically stabilized fiber links [12, 13] have proven their ability to transfer frequencies with uncertainties below 10^{-19} over continental distances [14, 15], providing a backbone for terrestrial geodetic networks.

However, the limited availability of fiber links necessitates alternative strategies to extend the reach and capabilities of these networks, especially in cases where fibers cannot be easily or economically deployed. This becomes particularly crucial in chronometric geodesy, where optical free-space links provide a vital alternative, enabling precise and extensive geodetic measurements in locations where traditional fiber links are impractical. Furthermore, in combination with recent developments in optical satellite communications, free-space optical links could significantly enhance global time and frequency transfer capabilities.

The most significant challenge for free-space optical links is atmospheric turbulence, as it can cause deflection and disruption of the beam path [16, 17]. This turbulence induces variations in the air density along the transmission path, leading to refractive index changes

that deform the wavefront of the optical beam, severely degrading the accuracy and reliability of frequency transfer over long distances. As such, addressing these disturbances is crucial for improving the viability of free-space optical links for high-accuracy applications such as satellite communications and global quantum key distribution (QKD). Recent advancements in the field demonstrate considerable progress in improving the uncertainty and operational range of free-space optical links for optical clock comparisons. There are two primary approaches to compare optical clocks over optical free-space links. One is optical two-way time and frequency transfer (OTWTF) to transmit optical pulses using frequency combs [18–20]. Another approach is to use continuous-wave (CW) lasers as signal sources together with beam stabilization systems, typically employing the phase-locked loop (PLL) method for noise cancellation [21–24].

In this study, we analyze a CW laser-based two-way frequency transfer technique, which offers improved noise rejection and potentially reduced sensitivity to turbulence compared to the active noise cancellation (ANC) schemes [25], as both beams traverse the free-space path only once. Additionally, it avoids the technical complexities associated with the ANC method. Our two-way setup, devoid of interferometric noise contributions and laser phase noises, enables the characterization of the non-reciprocity of free-space connections, i.e., the fundamental uncertainty limit.

The entire setup is housed within a transportable rack optimized for off-site deployment. Its plug-and-play design, which includes a connection through a single-mode (SM) fiber patch cable, allows for straightforward interfacing with various optical systems. This versatility enables the setup to be used in-house or integrated and tested with other free-space links that employ different beam pointing stabilization strategies. Such functionality improves the adaptability of the system and simplifies its installation in diverse experimental and operational settings. Moreover, our setup enables the examination of crucial aspects and technological performance for optical clock comparisons, including the link stability and the system’s portability.

This article is organized as follows: Initially, we outline the two-way frequency transfer scheme implemented in our study in section 2. Next, in section 3, we present the experimental results from a measurement campaign involving a 3.4 km free-space link and discuss the performance of the setup and its primary limiting factors. Finally, we provide our conclusions in section 4.

2. Methods

The configuration of the two-way optical frequency transfer is shown in Fig. 1(a). This configuration comprises two branches: the upper branch incorporates the fully folded free-space link, and the lower branch serves as a reference arm, which is considerably shorter. Additionally, this setup can accommodate a partially folded link where the transmitter and receiver are closely co-located, as detailed in [26].

A 20 dBm optical signal generated by a 1542 nm fiber laser with low phase noise is split, and on each side, its frequency is shifted with acousto-optic modulators (AOMs), with upshifts of ν_{AOM1} and ν_{AOM2} . These two AOMs practically simulate the scenario of separate lasers, as would be employed in real-world point-to-point optical frequency comparisons. The signal $\nu_1 = \nu_{opt} + \nu_{\text{AOM1}}$ is directed into two branches from left to right, while the signal $\nu_2 = \nu_{opt} + \nu_{\text{AOM2}}$ from right to left. On each side of the setup, a Michelson interferometer configuration is implemented, employing fiber optic retroreflectors (FOR) to reflect portions of ν_1 and ν_2 light. These reflected signals act as local oscillators or interferometric beat partners, effectively creating a double two-way link with the same phase reference planes. The entire setup is interconnected using polarization-maintaining (PM) fiber to ensure that the polarization state of the signals remains constant despite environmental variations. The total loss of the setup from the laser to the optical terminal is 18.5 dB, resulting in a total optical power of 1.5 dBm entering the optical terminal. Two circulators (CIR), positioned after AOM1 and AOM2, are used for balanced photodetection to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and efficiently suppress some unwanted




Fig. 1. (a) Experimental two-way bidirectional layout for free-space link characterization. AOM, acousto-optic modulator; CIR, circulator; FOR, fiber optic retroreflector; LA, limiting amplifier; OC, optical coupler; OSC, oscilloscope; OT, optical terminal; PC, polarization controller; PD, photodetector; SA, spectrum analyzer; SP, splitter; VOA, variable optical attenuator, (b) Overview of the experimental setup with the 1.7 km free-space link in Jena, Germany.

beat notes. The specifics of the free-space link will be discussed in further detail later.

This configuration provides three beat notes on each of the two photodiodes PD1 and PD2 at each end: A, the beat note between the local oscillator and remote laser through the lower branch, which is the reference arm with an extra frequency downshift of ν_{AOM3} ; B, the beat note between the local oscillator and remote laser through the upper branch incl. the free-space link with a frequency downshift of $2 \times \nu_{\text{AOM4}}$; and C, the beat note between the remote laser respectively through the upper and lower branches. The extra frequency shifts are essential for differentiating the useful beat notes from parasitic back reflections. The frequencies of the beat notes detected by the photodetectors PD1 and PD2 can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{PD1}_A &= \nu_2 + \Delta\nu_{fi}^{21} - \nu_1, \\
 \text{PD1}_B &= \nu_2 + \Delta\nu_{fs}^{21} - \nu_1, \\
 \text{PD1}_C &= \nu_2 + \Delta\nu_{fs}^{21} - (\nu_2 + \Delta\nu_{fi}^{21}), \\
 \text{PD2}_A &= \nu_1 + \Delta\nu_{fi}^{12} - \nu_2, \\
 \text{PD2}_B &= \nu_1 + \Delta\nu_{fs}^{12} - \nu_2, \\
 \text{PD2}_C &= \nu_1 + \Delta\nu_{fs}^{12} - (\nu_1 + \Delta\nu_{fi}^{12}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta\nu_{fi}$ and $\Delta\nu_{fs}$ are the frequency noise contributions introduced by the short reference arm and free-space link, respectively, as the light propagates from left to right ($\Delta\nu_{fi}^{12}$, $\Delta\nu_{fs}^{12}$) and from right to left ($\Delta\nu_{fi}^{21}$, $\Delta\nu_{fs}^{21}$).

After photodetection, the A and B beat notes are downconverted and band-pass filtered to successfully separate them and accurately discriminate against unwanted beat notes. Subsequently, they are amplified using a limiting amplifier and band-pass filtered again to produce a stable output. These electronic processes, including mixing, filtering, and amplifying, are meticulously designed to preserve the phase integrity of the beat notes and prevent phase deviation. All beat signals are then simultaneously counted using deadtime-free frequency counters in Λ -mode [27].

The radio frequency (RF) power levels of beat notes PD1_A and PD1_B are monitored continuously on a spectrum analyzer with a 1 s resolution. Concurrently, the limiting amplifier, featured with a logarithmic voltage readout, efficiently demodulates the RF power. This voltage is then recorded using an oscilloscope for high-resolution measurements.

Combining the signals labeled as A and B from two photodiodes at each end in equation (1) in post-processing, one can obtain

$$PD1_A - PD1_B + PD2_A - PD2_B = (\Delta v_{fi}^{21} - \Delta v_{fi}^{12}) - (\Delta v_{fs}^{21} - \Delta v_{fs}^{12}). \quad (2)$$

In addition, an equivalent result can be achieved by combining the C beat notes detected on photodetectors PD1 and PD2

$$PD1_C - PD2_C = (\Delta v_{fi}^{21} - \Delta v_{fi}^{12}) - (\Delta v_{fs}^{21} - \Delta v_{fs}^{12}). \quad (3)$$

In Equations (2) and (3), all interferometric noise terms, including those from local fiber interconnections and laser phase noise are common mode, thus eliminated through data post-processing. The term $\Delta v_{fi}^{21} - \Delta v_{fi}^{12}$ is included in the noise floor measurement by short-cutting the free-space connection. It has been thoroughly characterized and found to be negligible. Consequently, the expressions on the left sides of Equations (2) and (3) indicate the residual non-reciprocal noise arising from the free-space link, which serves as the key variable for frequency comparisons. The entire system, encompassing the two-way optical setup and the electronics required for signal processing, is integrated into a transportable rack. To assess its performance, we conducted a measurement campaign over a free-space testbed in Jena, Germany [28].

This 1.7 km intra-city link, initially designed as QKD infrastructure, contains two mobile optical terminals situated at the Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Optics and Precision Engineering (IOF) building and Stadtwerke (STW) Jena. Each optical terminal is equipped with a beacon laser operating at wavelengths of 1064 nm and 980 nm respectively, alongside an active 4D beam stabilization system. The system uses two closed-loop controlled tip-tilt mirrors (TTM) on each side to achieve single-mode fiber coupling. A pair of position-sensitive devices (PSD) measures the position and angle of the beacon laser beam, effectively stabilizing the payload beam at 1550 nm. The control loop allows for quick adjustments to compensate for atmospheric turbulence impacts such as tip/tilt wavefront aberrations and optical beam wander. Atmospheric turbulence is monitored using an independent scintillometer, whose transmitter and receiver are also located at IOF and STW buildings, respectively, and which provides a measurement for the C_n^2 value.

The optical terminals utilize an unobscured, afocal four-mirror metal telescope with a 200 mm aperture and provide full plug-and-play capabilities for the payload signal at 1550 nm, ensuring straightforward integration with our setup. Our portable rack was then linked to the local optical terminal located in the laboratory of the IOF building directly via a 5 m SM fiber. An FOR with a frequency-shifting AOM is located at the STW site, creating a fully folded free-space link of 3.4 km, i.e., a link where on average forward and backward beam path exactly match. At each side, a polarization controller is placed at the transition from PM to SM fiber to ensure proper alignment of the polarization. Although the link is fully folded for frequency transfer, it competently functions as a true point-to-point link in terms of beam path stabilization.

3. Results

In this section, we present the results from the measurement campaign conducted in October 2023 over the course of 3 weeks in Jena, Germany. During the measurement campaign, the powers of the C beat notes were too low for effective detection. Therefore, Equation (2) was used to evaluate our data.




Fig. 2. (a) Scatter of the modified Allan deviation of longest continuous measurement runs on different days. Different runs are coded by distinct colors. (b) The corresponding Λ -averaged fractional frequency offsets over all measurement runs, with error bars determined by the last value of the corresponding modADEV at 1/4 measurement time.

In Figure 2(a), we investigate how the observed instability varies from measurement to measurement and show the variations in terms of modified Allan deviation (MDEV) of the free-space link across the longest measurement runs with 1 ms resolution time. All data presented here represent continuous periods free of cycle slips. The frequency transfer accuracy of the corresponding measurement runs is summarized in Fig. 2(b).

The short-term MDEV, which averages down proportionally to $\tau^{-3/2}$, indicates that white phase noise, primarily due to shot noise, is the dominant noise at these timescales. Notably, the short-term instability remains consistent across all measurement runs. This aspect will be discussed in further detail later. However, the long-term instability, occurring at integration times of 0.2 seconds and above, demonstrates variability across different measurements.

Among these, measurement 1 exhibits the lowest instability. For this specific measurement, the modified Allan deviation is initially 2.3×10^{-18} at an integration time $\tau = 1$ s. As the integration time increases, the MDEV achieves an instability of 2.0×10^{-19} at 10 s, further reducing to 8.5×10^{-21} for an averaging time of $\tau = 100$ s.

For measurements 3 to 6, the MDEV plots exhibit a notable bump around 0.1 seconds, with the magnitude approximately ten times higher. These bumps may be related to the variations in telescope setup, potentially impacting fiber coupling efficiency. The bumps were observed after the realignment of the optical terminal due to a malfunction in its control system between measurements 2 and 3. This suggests a possible association with the adjustments made to the telescope setup. The exact mechanisms behind these anomalies are not yet clear and require further investigation.

Overall, at a 10 s integration time, the MDEV varies between 2.0×10^{-19} and 1.1×10^{-18} . This performance surpasses that of state-of-the-art clock accuracy, indicating that even a sufficient number of very short ($\gtrsim 10$ s) cycle-slip free intervals are sufficient for accurate clock comparisons.

Figure 2(b) demonstrates that all fractional frequency offsets are scattered within the low 10^{-19} range. The observed offsets are compatible with zero within 1σ , where σ represents the statistical uncertainty of the average [27]. The apparently large errors observed in measurements 2 and 3 are primarily due to their shorter duration compared to other measurements, which leads to greater deviations and instability.




Fig. 3. Phase and frequency stability of the 3.4 km folded free-space link. (a) Power spectral density (PSD) of the phase noise. Blue curve, the residual two-way free-space link noise; grey curve, the noise floor of the setup with short fiber connection; red curve, free-running phase noise; dashed red curve, calculated link delay noise, evaluated from solid red curve; green curve, calculated shot-noise evaluation of the setup with the beat amplitude of -32 dBm. (b) Fractional frequency stability as modified Allan deviation. Purple curve, AM-PM evaluation from beat amplitude.

For a more detailed investigation into the underlying noise sources, measurement 1 has been selected as the primary focus due to its minimal instability. This measurement, lasting 1274 s or 21 minutes, represents the longest cycle-slip free interval observed across all 6 measurements presented here.

Figure 3(a) shows the results of the phase noise PSD over the 3.4 km free-space link. The blue curve represents the phase noise of the two-way compensated free-space link, while the gray curve indicates the system noise floor, which is determined using a short fiber in place of the free-space link. The red curve plots the free-running link noise, capturing the atmospheric noise throughout the entire path. When comparing the red and blue curves at 1 Hz, a reduction of eight orders of magnitude in phase noise PSD is observed for two-way compensated signals compared to the turbulence induced phase noise, reducing it to $3.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ rad}^2/\text{Hz}$.

In a fully folded link configuration, the light path involves the light traveling left and right in the upper branch to pass simultaneously through a given element of the free-space path twice, ensuring that the phase delay experienced is identical in both directions. This results in $\Delta\nu_{fs}^{12} = \Delta\nu_{fs}^{21}$, which should negate any atmospheric phase noise differences that typically contribute to delay noise. Thus, under ideal experimental conditions, delay noise should not be present.

The theoretical residual phase noise, as defined by the delay limit [29] for a point-to-point link, i.e., not a fully folded link, which represents a realistic scenario for optical clock comparisons, can be estimated using data from the simultaneous measurement of the free-running link noise. The delay limit was calculated and depicted as a red dashed curve in Fig. 3(a). A comparison between the experimentally observed residual two-way noise and this theoretical residual noise reveals that the non-reciprocity observed in the free-space link exceeds the theoretical predictions. Even in the point-to-point setup, the delay limit would not be observable, indicating that other factors must be affecting the observed non-reciprocity.

Figure 3(b) presents the fractional frequency instability, illustrating both the free-space link noise and the system noise floor. The system noise floor measurement indicates a Λ -averaged offset of 1.25×10^{-21} , signifying zero compatible operation during this measurement. Initially, the

MDEV for both the free-space link and system noise floor show consistent short-term instability. However, at longer integration time, the two-way link non-reciprocity exhibits increased instability. To identify the specific contributing factors, we have included the analysis of the expected amplitude-to-phase noise (AM-PM) conversion and shot noise from the photodetector.

In our analysis of potential noise sources within the setup, the amplitude-to-phase (AM-PM) effect is identified as a significant factor. This effect primarily originates from the electronic components within the setup. Amplitude modulation (AM) in the free-space link, i.e. fluctuations of the received optical power, is caused by atmospheric turbulence that the beam stabilization system cannot fully mitigate. Such modulations, in turn, impact the amplitude stability of the received RF signal, subsequently leading to phase modulation due to the nonlinear response of the electronic components involved in signal processing.




Fig. 4. (a) Phase response to RF power, (b) received RF signal power sampled at 5 kHz, (c) calculated phase response.

To investigate the relationship between amplitude modulation (AM) and phase modulation (PM), an additional experiment was conducted in the laboratory, where the free-space link was replaced with a short fiber. In this experiment, we adjusted the power of the detected RF signal from -60 to -20 dBm in 2 dB increments by adjusting the variable optical attenuator (VOA) in the setup. The experimental data points are shown as purple dots in Figure 4(a), demonstrating the phase shift at various RF power levels. The curve fitting the data is divided into two segments: for RF power levels lower than -30 dBm, the relationship is modeled with a third-degree polynomial fit. For RF power levels above -30 dBm, the relationship is modeled by an exponential fit, capturing the sharp increase in phase shift as power increases. A total phase shift of 0.1 radians is observed over a 40 dB dynamic range.

Using this relationship, we transformed the measured amplitude fluctuations within the same interval as measurement 1, sampled at 5 kHz using an oscilloscope, into phase data. These transformations are presented in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). The modified Allan deviation calculated from this phase data is depicted in purple in Figure 3(b). This plot reveals that for integration times above 0.2 seconds (below 2.5 Hz in PSD), the performance is limited by amplitude-to-phase conversion.

This observation explains the variations in long-term instability observed across different measurements, as illustrated in Figure 2(a), which are directly influenced by daily fluctuations in atmospheric turbulence.

At shorter time scales, the instability is determined by white phase noise, which can be quantified by the inverse of the signal-to-noise ratio. In our experiment, the photodetector is

operated in the shot-noise limited regime due to the use of heterodyne detection, ensuring that local oscillator shot noise dominates over all other noise sources. The achievable SNR [30] can be determined by the received optical power

$$SNR = 10 \log_{10} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{R} P_S}{q}} \right) \quad (4)$$

where q is the elementary charge (1.602×10^{-19} C), \mathcal{R} is the responsivity of the photodetector (0.95 A/W), P_S is the optical power of the signal.

The optical power P_S can be estimated from the measured beat power P_{RF} , using specified characteristics of our photodetector and the measured power of the local oscillator beam. In our setup, the expected shot-noise limited SNR in a 1 Hz bandwidth can be rewritten in dB as

$$SNR \text{ [dB]} = 136.1 + P_{RF} \text{ [dBm]} \quad (5)$$

During the measurement 1, the RF power of PD1_A remained consistently stable with an average of -32.3 dBm, corresponding to a shot-noise limited SNR of 103.8 dB. In contrast, the RF power of PD1_B fluctuated, with an average of -31.2 dBm, as illustrated in Fig. 4(b). Assuming that the RF power levels on both photodetectors PD1 and PD2 are the same, the phase noise for the combined A and B signals after post-processing is estimated to increase by +6.0 dB relative to that of PD1_A. The detection electronics have an effective filter bandwidth of 3.5 MHz. Subsequent downsampling by the frequency counter results in an increase in phase noise by +35.4 dB. Consequently, the white phase noise $S_\varphi(f)$ is then expected at a level of 1.2×10^{-6} rad²/Hz as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). Following the equation in [31], the modified Allan deviation is computed and shown in green in Fig. 3(b). It matches the observed frequency transfer instability well, indicating that the short-term instability of the non-reciprocity is essentially limited by the shot noise.




Fig. 5. Results of a measurement run, spanning from 2023-10-12 at 12:49 to 2023-10-13 03:15 with 1 s resolution in time. (a) Measured fractional non-reciprocity. Data points exceeding the thresholds of $\pm 5 \times 10^{-17}$ are considered invalid. (b) Hourly variation of the system uptime with atmospheric turbulence strength.

To evaluate the feasibility of this free-space link for optical clock comparisons, we present time series data from a measurement that commenced on the same day as measurement 1 with 1 s

resolution in time. This time span allows for the observation of variations during both daylight and nighttime hours and at different levels of turbulence.

The system uptime is calculated for each full hour using the ratio $N_{\text{valid}}/N_{\text{total}}$, where N_{valid} is the number of valid data points and $N_{\text{total}} = 3600$ is the total duration of the measurement in seconds. Invalid data points are defined as those where the measured fractional non-reciprocity (1 s Δ average) exceeds $\pm 5 \times 10^{-17}$, as illustrated in Fig 5(a). This threshold was chosen to be significantly larger than the typical peak-to-peak non-reciprocity, yet smaller than one cycle slip. It is predominantly set to identify and exclude anomalies caused by deep fades, which occur when the received power drops below the lower end of the dynamic range of our detection electronics (roughly -60 dBm). Considering that these deep fades typically last for only a few milliseconds, they are too transient to be detected by 1 Hz sampling.

Figure 5(b) illustrates the relationship between the turbulence strength, represented by C_n^2 , and the system uptime percentage. Over a measurement period exceeding 15 hours, 12 hours exhibit uptime $\geq 90\%$. As C_n^2 increases, indicating stronger atmospheric turbulence, the system uptime correspondingly decreases. Initially, for lower C_n^2 values, the uptime remains nearly at 100%. However, as C_n^2 increases towards $0.8 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}^{-2/3}$, the uptime declines markedly, dropping below 80%. This trend shows the impact of turbulence on system performance, where higher turbulence levels correlate with reduced uptime.

Despite this, the overall uptime for the link during the entire measurement period was 94%. This level of operational reliability is essential for optical clock comparisons, as optical clocks require sufficient averaging time to achieve the anticipated relative frequency uncertainty.

In this measurement, we observed a relative mean frequency offset of -1.4×10^{-21} with a statistical uncertainty of 6.5×10^{-21} , as determined by the overlapping Allan deviation. This result indicates no detectable deviation from the expected frequency. Remarkably, the statistical uncertainty achieved is three orders of magnitude below the typical statistical uncertainty observed in optical clock comparisons over the same period [32]. This performance demonstrates the system's robustness against potential disruptions and ensures consistent data accuracy throughout the duration of the measurement.

4. Conclusion

This work has rigorously evaluated a novel two-way optical frequency transfer system tailored for evaluating free-space links for the purpose of comparing optical atomic clocks. Extensive characterization has confirmed an instability of 10^{-20} after 100 s of integration, demonstrating its potential for robust and precise clock comparisons. Adaptations for minimizing interferometric noise contributions in genuine point-to-point two-way free-space links for optical clock comparisons have been well-established for interferometric fiber links and are straightforward to implement [33, 34]. Furthermore, the study identifies the limiting factors in the setup. Future work will aim to identify the dominant components contributing to the AM-PM effect and to mitigate this impact.

The setup described in this study is both compact and transportable, embodying a plug-and-play design that seamlessly integrates with existing free-space optical infrastructure. This portability and ease of deployment make it highly suitable for a variety of field experiments, accommodating variable conditions. The adaptability of the system further extends the capacity for chronometric leveling, offering the ability to resolve height differences at the centimeter level. This capability is particularly valuable in geodesy, where the flexibility of free-space connections supports to address application scenarios not accessible with buried fiber connections.

In the long term, our research is dedicated to enabling high-accuracy clock comparisons over distances of up to several tens of kilometers. Additionally, we aim to further enhance the accuracy and operational capabilities of our system, enabling more autonomous and efficient field deployments for optical frequency comparisons.

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge the support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy—EXC-2123 QuantumFrontiers—Project No. 390837967. The optical free-space link system was developed within the scope of the project QuNET, funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the context of the federal government’s research framework in IT-security “Digital. Secure. Sovereign.”. We thank the “Stadtwerke Jena” for access to the free-space link infrastructure. Additionally, we extend our appreciation to Christopher Spiess for his invaluable administrative support.

Disclosures. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement. Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

References

1. I. Ushijima, M. Takamoto, M. Das, *et al.*, “Cryogenic optical lattice clocks,” *Nat. Photonics* **9**, 185–189 (2015).
2. N. Huntemann, C. Sanner, B. Lipphardt, *et al.*, “Single-ion atomic clock with 3×10^{-18} systematic uncertainty,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 063001 (2016).
3. S. M. Brewer, J.-S. Chen, A. M. Hankin, *et al.*, “ $^{27}\text{Al}^+$ quantum-logic clock with a systematic uncertainty below 10^{-18} ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 033201 (2019).
4. Z. Zhang, K. J. Arnold, R. Kaewuam, and M. D. Barrett, “ $^{176}\text{Lu}^+$ clock comparison at the 10^{-18} level via correlation spectroscopy,” *Sci. Adv.* **9**, 1971 (2023).
5. A. Aepli, K. Kim, W. Warfield, *et al.*, “Clock with 8×10^{-19} systematic uncertainty,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 023401 (2024).
6. H. Dittus, C. Lämmerzahl, and S. G. Turyshev, *Lasers, Clocks and Drag-Free Control: Exploration of Relativistic Gravity in Space*, vol. 349 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2009).
7. B. Altschul, Q. G. Bailey, L. Blanchet, *et al.*, “Quantum tests of the Einstein equivalence principle with the STE-QUEST space mission,” *Adv. Space Res.* **55**, 501–524 (2015).
8. J.-P. Uzan, “Varying constants, gravitation and cosmology,” *Living Rev. Relativ.* **14**, 2 (2011).
9. T. E. Mehlstäubler, G. Grosche, C. Lisdat, *et al.*, “Atomic clocks for geodesy,” *Reports on Prog. Phys.* **81**, 064401 (2018).
10. W. F. McGrew, X. Zhang, R. J. Fasano, *et al.*, “Atomic clock performance enabling geodesy below the centimetre level,” *Nature* **564**, 87–90 (2018).
11. L. Cacciapuoti and C. Salomon, “Space clocks and fundamental tests: The ACES experiment,” *The Eur. Phys. J. Special Top.* **172**, 57–68 (2009).
12. L.-S. Ma, P. Jungner, J. Ye, and J. L. Hall, “Delivering the same optical frequency at two places: accurate cancellation of phase noise introduced by an optical fiber or other time-varying path,” *Opt. Lett.* **19**, 1777–1779 (1994).
13. S. M. Foreman, K. W. Holman, D. D. Hudson, *et al.*, “Remote transfer of ultrastable frequency references via fiber networks,” *Rev. Sci. Instruments* **78**, 021101 (2007).
14. S. M. F. Raupach, A. Koczwara, and G. Grosche, “Brillouin amplification supports 1×10^{-20} uncertainty in optical frequency transfer over 1400 km of underground fiber,” *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 021801 (2015).
15. N. Chiodo, N. Quintin, F. Stefani, *et al.*, “Cascaded optical fiber link using the internet network for remote clocks comparison,” *Opt. Express* **23**, 33927–33937 (2015).
16. C. Robert, J.-M. Conan, and P. Wolf, “Impact of turbulence on high-precision ground-satellite frequency transfer with two-way coherent optical links,” *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 033860 (2016).
17. L. Andrews and R. Phillips, *Laser beam propagation through Random Media* (SPIE Press, 2005), 2nd ed.
18. F. R. Giorgetta, W. C. Swann, L. C. Sinclair, *et al.*, “Optical two-way time and frequency transfer over free space,” *Nat. Photonics* **7**, 434–438 (2013).
19. Q. Shen, J.-Y. Guan, J.-G. Ren, *et al.*, “Free-space dissemination of time and frequency with 10^{-18} instability over 113 km,” *Nature* **610**, 661–666 (2022).
20. E. D. Caldwell, J.-D. Deschenes, J. Ellis, *et al.*, “Quantum-limited optical time transfer for future geosynchronous links,” *Nature* **618**, 721–726 (2023).
21. H. J. Kang, J. Yang, B. J. Chun, *et al.*, “Free-space transfer of comb-rooted optical frequencies over an 18 km open-air link,” *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4438 (2019).
22. B. P. Dix-Matthews, S. W. Schediwy, D. R. Gozzard, *et al.*, “Point-to-point stabilized optical frequency transfer with active optics,” *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 515 (2021).
23. D. R. Gozzard, L. A. Howard, B. P. Dix-Matthews, *et al.*, “Ultrastable free-space laser links for a global network of optical atomic clocks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 020801 (2022).
24. N. Maron, S. Fernandez, F.-X. Esnault, *et al.*, “Free space optical link to a tethered balloon for frequency transfer and chronometric geodesy,” *Opt. Express* **32**, 4267–4276 (2024).
25. C. E. Calosso, E. Bertacco, D. Calonico, *et al.*, “Frequency transfer via a two-way optical phase comparison on a multiplexed fiber network,” *Opt. Lett.* **39**, 1177–1180 (2014).

26. J. Ji, A. Kuhl, J. Kronjäger, and S. Koke, "A two-way free-space link for optical frequency comparisons," in *2023 Joint Conference of the European Frequency and Time Forum and IEEE International Frequency Control Symposium*, (2023), paper 7050.
27. E. Benkler, C. Lisdat, and U. Sterr, "On the relation between uncertainties of weighted frequency averages and the various types of allan deviations," *Metrologia* **52**, 565 (2015).
28. M. Goy, R. Berlich, A. Kržič, *et al.*, "High performance optical free-space links for quantum communications," in *International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2020*, vol. 11852 B. Cugny, Z. Sodnik, and N. Karafolas, eds., International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE, 2021), p. 118520I.
29. F. Stefani, O. Lopez, A. Bercy, *et al.*, "Tackling the limits of optical fiber links," *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **32**, 787–797 (2015).
30. R. H. Kingston, *The Ideal Photon Detector* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1978), pp. 10–23.
31. E. Rubiola, "The Enrico's chart for phase noise and two-sample variances," (2023). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4399218>.
32. J. Grotti, I. Nosske, S. Koller, *et al.*, "Long-distance chronometric leveling with a portable optical clock," *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **21**, L061001 (2024).
33. T. Akatsuka, T. Goh, H. Imai, *et al.*, "Optical frequency distribution using laser repeater stations with planar lightwave circuits," *Opt. Express* **28**, 9186–9197 (2020).
34. A. Kuhl and J. Kronjäger, "Field-deployable interferometric fibre link terminals with very low temperature sensitivity," *J. Physics: Conf. Ser.* **2889**, 012029 (2024).