

ON A GENERALIZATION OF GODBERSEN'S CONJECTURE

JAN KOTRBATÝ

ABSTRACT. The long-standing Godbersen's conjecture asserts that the Rogers–Shephard inequality for the volume of the difference body is refined by an inequality for the mixed volume of a convex body and its reflection in the origin. The conjecture is known in several special cases, notably for anti-blocking convex bodies. In this note, we propose a generalization of Godbersen's conjecture that refines Schneider's generalization of the Rogers–Shephard inequality to higher-order difference bodies and prove our conjecture for anti-blocking convex bodies. Moreover, we relate the conjectured inequality to the higher-rank mixed volume defined by the author and Wannerer which leads to an equivalent formulation in terms of the Alesker product of smooth, translation invariant valuations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The difference body DK of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is, by definition, the Minkowski sum of K with its reflection $-K$ in the origin. Due to a classical result of Rogers and Shephard [23, 24], the volume of the difference body is bounded above in terms the volume of K as follows:

$$(1) \quad \text{vol}_n(DK) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \text{vol}_n(K).$$

Besides bodies with empty interior, equality in (1) is attained if and only if K is a simplex.

When restricted to convex bodies, the Lebesgue measure vol_n polarizes with respect to the Minkowski addition. This yields a unique n -linear symmetric function V , called mixed volume, satisfying $V(K, \dots, K) = \text{vol}_n(K)$, see Section 2 for an explicit definition. Expanding the left-hand side of (1) by linearity and the right-hand side using the identity $\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2$, one obtains an inequality between sums of length $n+1$. It was conjectured by Godbersen [14] in 1938 that the inequality in fact holds term-wise. More precisely, denoting k copies of the same convex body by $[k]$, one has the following:

Conjecture 1.1 (Godbersen). *For each convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and each $0 \leq k \leq n$ one has*

$$(2) \quad V(-K[k], K[n-k]) \leq \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_n(K).$$

Moreover, if $0 < k < n$ and $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$, equality holds if and only if K is a simplex.

Conjecture 1.1 is known only in certain special cases. The case $k \in \{0, n\}$ is trivial. The case $k \in \{1, n-1\}$ follows from a result of Schneider [28]. For general k , the conjecture was verified for bodies of constant width by Godbersen [14]. Much more recently, Artstein-Avidan, Sadovskiy, and Sanyal [9] gave a proof for anti-blocking bodies which was generalized to locally anti-blocking bodies by Sadovskiy [25]. Artstein-Avidan, Einhorn, Florentin, and Ostrover [7] proved a related statement that in particular implies (2) up to a factor \sqrt{n} . Their result was further improved by Artstein-Avidan [6] and recently by Artstein-Avidan and Putterman [8].

A different generalization of the Rogers–Shephard inequality was considered by Schneider [27]. Observing that $DK = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : K \cap (K+x) \neq \emptyset\}$, Schneider defined for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the

Date: February 5, 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A40, 52A39, 52B45, 52B12.

This work has been supported by Charles University grants PRIMUS/24/SCI/009 and UNCE/24/SCI/022.

higher-order difference body $D_p K = \{(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^p : K \cap (K + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (K + x_p) \neq \emptyset\}$ and proved that

$$(3) \quad \text{vol}_{pn}(D_p K) \leq \binom{pn+n}{n} \text{vol}_n(K)^p$$

with equality if and only if K is a simplex (unless $\text{int } K = \emptyset$). An alternative proof of (3) was recently given by Haddad, Langharst, Putterman, Roysdon, and Ye [15] who also extended Schneider's generalization of the difference body to other important convex-geometric constructions and proved the respective inequalities. Functional versions of these inequalities were subsequently proven by Langharst, Sola, and Ulivelli [20].

It is easily seen that the (original) Minkowski-sum presentation of DK generalizes to the higher-order difference bodies; namely, one has $D_p K = \Delta_p K - K^p$, where $\Delta_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$ is the diagonal embedding. Motivated by this observation, we propose—in the same vein as Godbersen's conjecture refines the Rogers–Shephard inequality—the following refinement of Schneider's inequality:

Conjecture 1.2. *For each convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ one has*

$$(4) \quad V(-\Delta_p K[k], K^p[np-k]) \leq \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_n(K)^p.$$

Moreover, if $0 < k$ and $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$, equality holds if and only if K is a simplex.

Observe that Conjecture 1.2 subsumes Godbersen's conjecture for $p = 1$. In this connection, let us also mention that a different generalization of Conjecture 1.1 was proposed in [7]. The fact that (4) implies (3) follows at once from multilinearity of the mixed volume and an elementary combinatorial identity, see Section 3. The generalizing procedure can be further iterated using that $K^p = \sum_{j=1}^p \iota_j K$, where $\iota_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$ is the inclusion into the j -th factor, along with another combinatorial identity. This leads us to the following more fundamental conjecture that strengthens Conjecture 1.2:

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body. For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $0 \leq k_i \leq n$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, such that $k_1 + \dots + k_p = k$ one has*

$$(5) \quad V(-\Delta_p K[k], \iota_1 K[n-k_1], \dots, \iota_p K[n-k_p]) \leq \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{(n!)^p}{(np)!} \text{vol}_n(K)^p.$$

Moreover, if $k_i < n$ for all i , $0 < k$, and $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$, equality holds if and only if K is a simplex.

Our main result establishes these conjectures for the class of anti-blocking convex bodies. Recall that a convex body is called anti-blocking if it is contained in the positive orthant and its projection to each coordinate subspace equals the section with the subspace, see Section 2.

Theorem 1.4. *Conjecture 1.3 (and hence Conjecture 1.2) is true if the convex body K is assumed to be anti-blocking.*

The proof of Theorem 1.4 depends on the dual Bollobás–Thomason inequality recently proven by Liakopoulos [21] and on the characterization of its equality cases due to Boroczky, Kalantzopoulos, and Xi [12]. Let us also mention that other geometric inequalities for (locally) anti-blocking bodies were proven by Artstein-Avidan, Sadovskiy, and Sanyal [9], Manui, Ndiaye, and Zvavitch [22], and Sanyal and Winter [26].

A new, fruitful approach to geometric inequalities was recently discovered to be based on Alesker's algebraic theory of valuations [1, 2, 10]. A central object here is the algebra $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of smooth, translation invariant valuations, see Section 2 for a precise definition. As prominent examples of such valuations are mixed volumes with fixed convex bodies that have smooth boundary and positive curvature, it turns out that various inequalities between mixed volumes can be formulated in terms of the Alesker product or the Bernig–Fu convolution on $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A notable example is the array of quadratic inequalities broadly generalizing the Alexandrov–Fenchel inequality discovered recently by Bernig, the author, and Wannerer [11, 19] by proving the hard Lefschetz theorem and Hodge–Riemann relations for the algebra $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For other results of this type see [4, 17, 18].

Motivated by these developments, we observe that the left-hand side of (5) is an instance of the mixed volume of rank p defined by the author and Wannerer [18]. Using that higher-rank mixed volumes appear as coefficients in the Alesker product of (the usual) mixed volumes, we finally show that the first part of Conjecture 1.3 is equivalent to the following:

Conjecture 1.5. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with smooth boundary and positive curvature, and $n = k_0 + \dots + k_p$ a partition into non-negative integers. The Alesker product of valuations $\phi_i = (\cdot[k_i], K[n - k_i])$, $i = 0, \dots, p$, satisfies*

$$(6) \quad \phi_0 \cdots \phi_p \leq \text{vol}_n(K)^p \text{vol}_n.$$

Notice that if Conjecture 1.3 is true, equality is never attained in (6). Nonetheless, we believe the reformulation of the inequality (5) in terms of the Alesker product can still be instructive for several reasons, see the discussion at the end of Section 5.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Davide Ravasini for inspiring discussions that provided us with the initial motivation to formulate the above conjectures and for many useful comments during the preparation of the manuscript. We also thank to Andreas Bernig for useful discussions.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Anti-blocking convex bodies. Let us first fix some notation. Throughout the text we assume $n \geq 2$. The standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n will always be denoted by e_1, \dots, e_n . For any subset $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ let $E_\sigma = \text{span}\{e_i : i \in \sigma\}$ be the corresponding coordinate subspace and $C_\sigma = \{\sum_{i \in \sigma} \lambda_i e_i : \lambda_i > 0\}$ the (relatively) open positive orthant in E_σ . Notice that we follow the convention $E_\emptyset = C_\emptyset = \{0\}$. Finally, for a convex body, i.e., a compact convex subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we set $K_\sigma = K \cap C_\sigma$.

A convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called locally anti-blocking if for each $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ one has $P_{E_\sigma} K = K \cap E_\sigma$ where $P_{E_\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow E_\sigma$ is the orthogonal projection. A locally anti-blocking convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called anti-blocking if $K \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. Equivalently, K is anti-blocking if and only if for any $y \in K$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $x_i \leq y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, it holds that $x \in K$. Observe that for any anti-blocking convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ one has the (disjoint) decomposition

$$(7) \quad K = \bigcup_{\sigma} K_\sigma,$$

where the union is over all subsets $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

2.2. Dual Bollobás–Thomason inequality. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a collection of subsets $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ form a p -uniform cover of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ if each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is contained in exactly p of the the sets $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$. Given a p -uniform cover $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, the collection $\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_r$ of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^q \sigma_i^{\epsilon(i)}$, where $\epsilon(i) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\sigma_i^0 = \sigma_i$ and $\sigma_i^1 = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \sigma_i$, form a 1-uniform cover of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We call $\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_r$ the 1-uniform cover induced by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$.

Theorem 2.1 (Liakopoulos [21, Theorem 1.2]). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$ a p -uniform cover of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then*

$$(8) \quad \text{vol}_n(K)^p \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q |\sigma_i|!}{(n!)^p} \prod_{i=1}^q \text{vol}_{|\sigma_i|}(K \cap E_{\sigma_i}).$$

Theorem 2.2 (Boroczky–Kalantopoulos–Xi [12, Theorem 12]). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$ a p -uniform cover of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then equality holds in (8) if and*

only if $K = \text{conv}\{K \cap E_{\tilde{\sigma}_i} : i = 1, \dots, r\}$, where $\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_r$ is the 1-uniform cover induced by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$.

2.3. Mixed volume. Consider an n -dimensional vector space W with a fixed Lebesgue measure vol_W . The mixed volume of convex bodies $K_1, \dots, K_n \subset W$ is defined as

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_1 \dots \partial \lambda_n} \text{vol}_W(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_n K_n).$$

By a classical result of Minkowski, $\text{vol}_W(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_n K_n)$ is in fact an n -homogeneous polynomial in $\lambda_i > 0$. The mixed volume satisfies for any convex bodies $L, K_1, \dots, K_n \subset W$, permutation ρ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $T \in \text{GL}(W)$ the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} V(L, \dots, L) &= \text{vol}_W(L), \\ V(K_{\rho(1)}, \dots, K_{\rho(n)}) &= V(K_1, \dots, K_n), \\ V(K_1 + \lambda L, K_2, \dots, K_n) &= V(K_1, \dots, K_n) + \lambda V(L, K_2, \dots, K_n), \\ V(TK_1, \dots, TK_n) &= |\det T| V(K_1, \dots, K_n), \\ V(K_1, \dots, K_n) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $V(K_1, \dots, K_n) > 0$ if and only if there are segments $S_i \subset K_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with linearly independent directions. For reference and more on mixed volumes, see [29, Chapter 5].

Consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_1 \xrightarrow{f} W_2 \xrightarrow{g} W_3 \rightarrow 0$ of finite-dimensional vector spaces and fix Lebesgue measures vol_{W_1} and vol_{W_2} on W_1 and W_2 , respectively. There is a canonical Lebesgue measure vol_{W_3} on W_3 given as follows: Let $s : W_3 \rightarrow W_2$ be an arbitrary linear map such that $W_2 = f(W_1) \oplus s(W_3)$. Then vol_{W_3} is the unique Lebesgue measure on W_3 such that $\text{vol}_{W_2} = f_* \text{vol}_{W_1} \otimes s_* \text{vol}_{W_3}$.

The following well-known fact about mixed volumes will be crucial for the proof of our main theorem, cf. [18, Lemma 5.1], [3, Lemma 2.6.1], or [29, Theorem 5.3.1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 \rightarrow W_1 \xrightarrow{f} W_2 \xrightarrow{g} W_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of vector spaces with $\dim W_1 = k$ and $\dim W_2 = n$. Let vol_{W_1} and vol_{W_2} be Lebesgue measures on W_1 and W_2 , and vol_{W_3} the induced Lebesgue measure on W_3 . The corresponding mixed volumes satisfy*

$$\binom{n}{k} V_{W_2}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-k}, f(L_1), \dots, f(L_k)) = V_{W_3}(g(K_1), \dots, g(K_{n-k})) V_{W_1}(L_1, \dots, L_k)$$

for any convex bodies $K_1, \dots, K_{n-k} \subset W_2$ and $L_1, \dots, L_k \subset W_1$.

2.4. Alesker product of smooth valuations. To conclude the background section, let us collect several facts from algebraic theory of valuations on convex bodies, following [5].

A valuation is a (complex-valued) function ϕ on the space of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$\phi(K \cup L) = \phi(K) + \phi(L) - \phi(K \cap L)$$

for any convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $K \cup L$ is convex. The space $\text{Val}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric), translation invariant valuations is a Banach space with a natural $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ action. The dense subspace of smooth vectors is denoted $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its elements are called smooth, translation invariant valuations. By a recent result of Knoerr [16],

$$\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{span}\{V(\cdot[k], K_1, \dots, K_{n-k}) : 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } K_1, \dots, K_{n-k} \in \mathcal{K}_+^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_+^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and positive curvature. Observe that one has the grading $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \text{Val}_k^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\text{Val}_k^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the subspace of k -homogeneous valuations, and that $\text{Val}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{span}\{1\}$ and $\text{Val}_n^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{span}\{\text{vol}_n\}$. By vol_n we will always denote the canonical Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

The Alesker product is a bilinear product on $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ uniquely determined as follows: For $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_+^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, consider the valuations $\phi_i(K) = \text{vol}_n(K + K_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and set

$$(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2)(K) = \text{vol}_{2n}(\Delta_2(K) + K_1 \times K_2).$$

Recall that $\Delta_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$ is the diagonal embedding given by $\Delta_p(x) = (x, \dots, x)$. Equipped with the Alesker product, $\text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an associative, commutative, graded algebra.

3. GENEALOGY OF THE CONJECTURES

In this section we will show that Conjecture 1.3 is stronger than Conjecture 1.2 and that the latter in turn strengthens Schneider's inequality for the volume of higher-order difference body.

Proposition 3.1. *Conjecture 1.2, if true, implies Schneider's inequality (3).*

Proof. Let $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Comparing the coefficients of $x^n y^{pn}$ in the expansion of $(x + y)^{pn+n}$ on the one hand and in the product of expansions $(x + y)^{pn}(x + y)^n$ on the other, one obtains

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{pn}{k} \binom{n}{k} = \binom{pn+n}{n}.$$

Consequently, if (4) holds then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_{pn}(D_p K) &= \text{vol}_{pn}(\Delta_p K - K^p) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{np}{k} V(-\Delta_p K[k], K^p[np-k]) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{pn}{k} \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_n(K)^p \\ &= \binom{pn+n}{n} \text{vol}_n(K)^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Conjecture 1.3 implies Conjecture 1.2.*

Proof. Similarly as before, comparing the coefficients of $x^k y^{pn-k}$ in the expansion of $(x + y)^{pn}$ and in the product of expansions $((x + y)^n)^p$, one gets the identity

$$\sum \binom{n}{k_1} \cdots \binom{n}{k_p} = \binom{pn}{k},$$

where the sum runs over all $k_1, \dots, k_p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_p = k$. Consequently, assuming (5) holds, the left-hand side of (4) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &V(-\Delta_p K[k], K^p[np-k]) \\ &= V(-\Delta_p K[k], (\iota_1 K + \cdots + \iota_p K)[np-k]) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p} \binom{np-k}{j_1, \dots, j_p} V(-\Delta_p K[k], \iota_1 K[j_1], \dots, \iota_p K[j_p]) \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{(np-k)!}{(n-k_1)! \cdots (n-k_p)!} V(-\Delta_p K[k], \iota_1 K[n-k_1], \dots, \iota_p K[n-k_p]) \\ &\leq \binom{n}{k} \binom{np}{k}^{-1} \text{vol}_n(K)^p \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} \binom{n}{k_1} \cdots \binom{n}{k_p} \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_n(K)^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.3. Let us for completeness discuss the trivial cases of Conjecture 1.3.

(a) If $\text{int } K = \emptyset$, then both sides of (5) are clearly equal to zero.

(b) If $k = 0$, then necessarily $k_i = 0$ for all i and an easy application of Lemma 2.3 yields

$$V(\iota_1 K[n], \dots, \iota_p K[n]) = \frac{(n!)^p}{(pn)!} \text{vol}_n(K)^p.$$

(c) If, say, $k_p = n$, then $k = n$ and $k_i = 0$ for $i < p$. Applying Lemma 2.3 for the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\Delta_p} (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \xrightarrow{g} (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $g(X_1, \dots, X_p) = (X_1 - X_p, \dots, X_{p-1} - X_p)$, we obtain

$$V(-\Delta_p K[n], \iota_1 K[n], \dots, \iota_{p-1} K[n]) = \binom{pn}{n}^{-1} V(\iota_1 K[n], \dots, \iota_{p-1} K[n]) \text{vol}_n(K),$$

where on the right-hand side, $\iota_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p-1}$. Observe that by Fubini's theorem, the measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{p-1}$ induced by the exact sequence is the canonical Lebesgue measure. Applying Lemma 2.3 again as in (b) we again get equality in (5). Analogously one gets equality if $k_i = n$ for any other i .

(d) In general, if $k = n$ or $k_i = 0$, one does not necessarily have equality in (5) but the same argument as in (c) allow us to inductively eliminate these cases of Conjecture 1.3 to those satisfying $k < n$ and $k_i > 0$ for all i .

4. PROOF FOR ANTI-BLOCKING BODIES

In this section we will prove our main result, namely, that Conjecture 1.3 is true for anti-blocking bodies.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{pn}$ be anti-blocking convex bodies. Then*

$$(9) \quad L - \Delta_p K = \bigcup_{\tau, \sigma} L_\tau - \Delta_p K_\sigma,$$

where the union is over all subsets $\tau \subset \{1, \dots, pn\}$ and $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$(10) \quad |\tau \cap (i + \mathbb{Z}n)| + |\sigma \cap \{i\}| \leq p, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, the union on the right-hand side of (9) is disjoint.

Proof. By (7), the equation (9) holds if the union is taken over all subsets $\tau \subset \{1, \dots, pn\}$ and $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Clearly, for each σ, τ and each i , $|\tau \cap (i + \mathbb{Z}n)| + |\sigma \cap \{i\}| \leq p + 1$. Consider τ, σ with $|\tau \cap (i + \mathbb{Z}n)| + |\sigma \cap \{i\}| = p + 1$ for some i . Assume, without loss of generality that $i = n$. Take any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K_\sigma$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{pn}) \in L_\tau$. One has $x_n, y_n, y_{2n}, \dots, y_{pn} > 0$. Denote $\zeta = \min\{x_n, y_n, y_{2n}, \dots, y_{pn}\}$ and $z = (0, \dots, 0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$y - \Delta_p x = (y - \Delta_p z) - \Delta_p(x - z) \in L_{\tilde{\tau}} - \Delta_p K_{\tilde{\sigma}},$$

where $|\tilde{\tau} \cap \mathbb{Z}n| + |\tilde{\sigma} \cap \{n\}| \leq p$. Similarly we proceed with other indices i and so (9) follows.

To prove that the union is disjoint, assume there are distinct pairs σ, τ and σ', τ' satisfying (10) and points $x \in K_\sigma, y \in L_\tau, x' \in K_{\sigma'}, y' \in L_{\tau'}$ such that $y - \Delta_p x = y' - \Delta_p x'$. Then there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the pairs of sets $\sigma \cap \{i\}, \tau \cap (i + \mathbb{Z}n)$ and $\sigma' \cap \{i\}, \tau' \cap (i + \mathbb{Z}n)$ are distinct. Without loss of generality, assume again $i = n$. Since $y - y' = \Delta_p(x - x') \in \Delta_p \mathbb{R}^n$ we in particular have

$$y_n - y'_n = y_{2n} - y'_{2n} = \dots = y_{pn} - y'_{pn} = x_n - x'_n.$$

We will distinguish four cases. First, if $n \in \sigma$ and $n \notin \sigma'$, we have $x_n > 0 = x'_n$. Consequently, $y_{jn} - y'_{jn} > 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. But because of (10), there is k with $y_{kn} = 0$ and we have $-y'_{kn} > 0$, a contradiction. The second case $n \notin \sigma$ and $n \in \sigma'$ is analogous. Third, if $n \in \sigma$ and $n \in \sigma'$, because of (10) and because $\tau \cap \mathbb{Z}n \neq \tau' \cap \mathbb{Z}n$ there is k with $y_{kn} = 0 < y'_{kn}$ and hence $y_{jn} - y'_{jn} = -y'_{kn} < 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. But by (10) again, there is $l \neq k$ with $y'_{ln} = 0$ and thus $y_{ln} < 0$, a contradiction. Finally, if $n \notin \sigma$ and $n \notin \sigma'$, we have $x_n = x'_n = 0$ and thus $y_{jn} = y'_{jn}$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$ which is in contradiction with $\tau \cap \mathbb{Z}n \neq \tau' \cap \mathbb{Z}n$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First, let $K, L^{(1)}, \dots, L^{(p)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be anti-blocking convex bodies and put $L = \sum_{i=1}^p \iota_i L^{(i)}$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\text{vol}_{pn}(L - \Delta_p K) = \sum_{\tau, \sigma} \text{vol}_{pn}(L_\tau - \Delta_p K_\sigma),$$

where the sum is over all subsets $\tau \subset \{1, \dots, pn\}$ and $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$|\tau \cap (i + \mathbb{Z}n)| + |\sigma \cap \{i\}| = p, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In other words,

$$\text{vol}_{pn}(-\Delta_p K + \iota_1 L^{(1)} + \dots + \iota_p L^{(p)}) = \sum_{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_p} \text{vol}_{pn}(-\Delta_p K_{\sigma_0} + \iota_1 L_{\sigma_1}^{(1)} + \dots + \iota_p L_{\sigma_p}^{(p)}),$$

where the sum runs over all p -uniform covers $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_p$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Consequently,

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & V(-\Delta_p K[k], \iota_1 K[n - k_1], \dots, \iota_p K[n - k_p]) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_p} V(-\Delta_p K_{\sigma_0}[k], \iota_1 K_{\sigma_1}[n - k_1], \dots, \iota_p K_{\sigma_p}[n - k_p]), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over all p -uniform covers $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_p$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $|\sigma_0| = k$ and $|\sigma_i| = n - k_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$. Fix such a p -uniform cover. By Remark 3.3 we may assume $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$, $0 < k < n$, and $0 < k_i < n$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. We will assume this for the rest of the proof.

For $i = 1, \dots, p$ let $\tilde{\iota}_i : \mathbb{R}^{n-k_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k_p}$ denote the inclusion into the i -th factor, $e_1^{(i)}, \dots, e_{n-k_i}^{(i)}$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{n-k_i} , and $s_1^{(i)} < \dots < s_{n-k_i}^{(i)}$ the elements of σ_i . Consider the linear map $g : \mathbb{R}^{pn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k_p}$ defined as follows: For $i = 1, \dots, p$ we set

$$g(\iota_i e_{s_j^{(i)}}) = \tilde{\iota}_i e_j^{(i)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - k_i,$$

and

$$g(\iota_i e_s) = - \sum_l \tilde{\iota}_l e_{j_{l,s}}^{(l)}, \quad s \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \sigma_i,$$

where the sum is over all $l \in \{1, \dots, p\} \setminus \{i\}$ such that $s \in \sigma_l$, and $j_{l,s} \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $s = s_{j_{l,s}}^{(l)}$.

Then we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E_{\sigma_0} \xrightarrow{\Delta_p} \mathbb{R}^{pn} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R}^{pn-k} \rightarrow 0$ and Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & V(-\Delta_p K_{\sigma_0}[k], \iota_1 K_{\sigma_1}[n - k_1], \dots, \iota_p K_{\sigma_p}[n - k_p]) \\ &= \binom{pn}{k}^{-1} \text{vol}_k(K_{\sigma_0}) V(\tilde{\iota}_1 K_{\sigma_1}[n - k_1], \dots, \tilde{\iota}_p K_{\sigma_p}[n - k_p]) \\ &= \binom{pn}{k, n - k_1, \dots, n - k_p}^{-1} \text{vol}_k(K_{\sigma_0}) \prod_{i=1}^p \text{vol}_{n-k_i}(K_{\sigma_i}). \end{aligned}$$

The convex body

$$\hat{K} = \{(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in K, \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

satisfies $0 \in \text{int } \hat{K}$ and $\text{vol}_{|\sigma|}(\hat{K} \cap E_\sigma) = 2^{|\sigma|} \text{vol}_{|\sigma|}(K_\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence, applying Theorem 2.1 for \hat{K} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V(-\Delta_p K_{\sigma_0}[k], \iota_1 K_{\sigma_1}[n - k_1], \dots, \iota_p K_{\sigma_p}[n - k_p]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{pn}} \binom{pn}{k, n - k_1, \dots, n - k_p}^{-1} \text{vol}_k(\hat{K} \cap E_{\sigma_0}) \prod_{i=1}^p \text{vol}_{n-k_i}(\hat{K} \cap E_{\sigma_i}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{pn}} \binom{pn}{k, n - k_1, \dots, n - k_p}^{-1} \frac{(n!)^p}{k!(n - k_1)! \dots (n - k_p)!} \text{vol}_n(\hat{K})^p \\ &= \frac{(n!)^p}{(pn)!} \text{vol}_n(K)^p. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of this inequality clearly does not depend on the fixed p -uniform cover. Since there is $\binom{n}{n-k, k_1, \dots, k_p}$ of such covers, plugging the inequality to (11) we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V(-\Delta_p K[k], \iota_1 K[n - k_1], \dots, \iota_p K[n - k_p]) \\ & \leq \binom{n}{n - k, k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{(n!)^p}{(pn)!} \text{vol}_n(K)^p \\ & = \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{(n!)^p}{(pn)!} \text{vol}_n(K)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that equality holds in (5). Then, by the preceding part of the proof, one has

$$\text{vol}_k(\hat{K} \cap E_{\sigma_0}) \prod_{i=1}^p \text{vol}_{n-k_i}(\hat{K} \cap E_{\sigma_i}) = \frac{(n!)^p}{k!(n-k_1)! \dots (n-k_p)!} \text{vol}_n(\hat{K})^p$$

for any p -uniform cover $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_p$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $|\sigma_0| = k$ and $|\sigma_i| = n - k_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$. Observe that the 1-uniform cover induced by such a cover is given by $\tilde{\sigma}_j = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \sigma_j$, $j = 0, \dots, p$. Therefore, according to Theorem 2.2, for any 1-uniform cover ρ_0, \dots, ρ_p of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\rho_0| = n - k$ and $|\rho_i| = k_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, it holds $\hat{K} = \text{conv}\{\hat{K} \cap E_{\rho_j} : j = 0, \dots, p\}$ and thus also $K = \text{conv}\{K \cap E_{\rho_j} : j = 0, \dots, p\}$. Fix one such cover and assume K is not a simplex. Choose a set α of the fixed 1-uniform cover such that $K \cap E_\alpha$ is not a simplex and that $|\alpha|$ is the least possible. Pick arbitrary $a \in \alpha$ and $b \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \alpha$. Then $K \cap E_{\alpha \setminus \{a\}}$ is a simplex. Consider another 1-uniform cover that contains $\beta := (\alpha \setminus \{a\}) \cup \{b\}$ and let γ be the (unique) set of this cover containing a . Then

$$K \cap E_\alpha = \text{conv}\{K \cap E_\beta \cap E_\alpha, K \cap E_\gamma \cap E_\alpha\} = \text{conv}\{K \cap E_{\alpha \setminus \{a\}}, K \cap E_{\{a\}}\}$$

is a simplex which is in contradiction with the choice of α and thus finishes the proof. \square

5. RELATION TO THE ALESKER PRODUCT

Proposition 5.1. *Conjecture 1.5 is equivalent to the first part of Conjecture 1.3 (without characterization of the equality cases).*

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_+^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n = k_0 + \dots + k_p$. According to [18, Proposition 5.4], the Alesker product of valuations $\phi_i = (\cdot[k_i], K[n - k_i]) \in \text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 0, \dots, p$, can be expressed as

$$\phi_0 \cdots \phi_p = \frac{k_0! \cdots k_p! (pn)!}{(n!)^{p+1}} V_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}(f_0(K)[n - k_0], \dots, f_p(K)[n - k_p]) \text{vol}_n$$

where $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}$ is the composition of the inclusion $\iota_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p+1}$ into the i -th factor with the canonical projection $\pi : (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p+1} \rightarrow \text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}$ and the mixed volume $V_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}$ corresponds to the Lebesgue measure $\text{vol}_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}$ on $\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}$ induced by the exact sequence

$$(12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\Delta_{p+1}} (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{coker } \Delta_{p+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The linear map $g : (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$ given by

$$g(x_0, \dots, x_p) = (x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_p - x_0)$$

clearly descends to an isomorphism $\underline{g} : \text{coker } \Delta_{p+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$ satisfying $\underline{g} \circ \pi = g$. We claim that the pushforward of the Lebesgue measure on $\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}$ under \underline{g} is precisely the canonical Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{np} . Indeed, the linear map $s = (0, \underline{g}) : \text{coker } \Delta_{p+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^{p+1}$ splits the exact sequence (12) so that we have $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{p+1} = \text{im } \Delta_{p+1} \oplus s(\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1})$ and therefore $(\Delta_{p+1})_* \text{vol}_n \otimes s_* \text{vol}_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}} = \text{vol}_{(p+1)n}$. Then it is easily seen using the Fubini theorem that $s_* \text{vol}_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}$ is the canonical Lebesgue measure on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{pn}$ or, equivalently, $\underline{g}_* \text{vol}_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}$ the canonical Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{pn} . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}(f_0(K)[n - k_0], \dots, f_p(K)[n - k_p]) \\ & = V(\underline{g}(f_0(K))[n - k_0], \dots, \underline{g}(f_p(K))[n - k_p]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= V(g(i_0(K))[n - k_0], \dots, g(i_p(K))[n - k_p]) \\
&= V(-\Delta_p K[n - k_0], \iota_1 K[n - k_1], \dots, i_p K[n - k_p]),
\end{aligned}$$

proving the equivalence for convex bodies from the class $\mathcal{K}_+^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The general case of Conjecture 1.3 follows by continuity. \square

Remark 5.2. (a) The quantity $V_{\text{coker } \Delta_{p+1}}(f_0(K)[n - k_0], \dots, f_p(K)[n - k_p])$ is a special case of the mixed volume of rank p defined by the author and Wannerer [18, Definition 1.3]. The proof of Proposition 5.1 together with Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 thus relates higher-rank mixed volumes to higher-order difference bodies.

(b) Observe that the right-hand side of (6) is a special case of the Alesker product on the left-hand side, corresponding to the partition $n = 0 + \dots + 0 + n$. This suggests there might exist even finer inequalities between the products corresponding to two general partitions.

(c) As pointed out to us by A. Bernig, the case $p = 1$ of (6), when written as

$$\text{vol}(K) \phi_0 \cdot \phi_1 \leq \phi_0(K) \phi_1(K) \text{vol}_n,$$

is formally analogous to an inequality proven by Dang and Xiao [13, Theorem 2.9] for the (extended) Bernig–Fu convolution of any two valuations ϕ_0, ϕ_1 from a certain infinite-dimensional positive cone. One might thus ask what is the largest positive cone $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Val}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Val}_{k_i}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, with $k_0 + \dots + k_p = n$ and for either a fixed or any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds that

$$\text{vol}(K) \phi_0 \cdots \phi_p \leq \phi_0(K) \cdots \phi_p(K) \text{vol}_n.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alesker, *Description of translation invariant valuations on convex sets with solution of P. McMullen’s conjecture*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), no. 2, 244–272.
- [2] ———, *The multiplicative structure on continuous polynomial valuations*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14** (2004), no. 1, 1–26.
- [3] ———, *A Fourier-type transform on translation-invariant valuations on convex sets*, *Israel J. Math.* **181** (2011), 189–294.
- [4] ———, *Kotrbatý’s theorem on valuations and geometric inequalities for convex bodies*, *Israel J. Math.* **247** (2022), no. 1, 361–378.
- [5] S. Alesker and J. H. G. Fu, *Integral geometry and valuations*, Lectures from the Advanced Course on Integral Geometry and Valuation Theory held at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM), Barcelona, September 6–10, 2010, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2014.
- [6] S. Artstein-Avidan, *A short note on Godbersen’s conjecture*, preprint (2017), [arXiv:1703.06403](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.06403).
- [7] S. Artstein-Avidan, K. Einhorn, D. I. Florentin, and Y. Ostrover, *On Godbersen’s conjecture*, *Geom. Dedicata* **178** (2015), 337–350.
- [8] S. Artstein-Avidan and E. Putterman, *On unbalanced difference bodies and Godbersen’s conjecture*, preprint (2024), [arXiv:2412.05308](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.05308).
- [9] S. Artstein-Avidan, S. Sadozky, and R. Sanyal, *Geometric inequalities for anti-blocking bodies*, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **25** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 2150113, 30.
- [10] A. Bernig and J. H. G. Fu, *Convolution of convex valuations*, *Geom. Dedicata* **123** (2006), 153–169.
- [11] A. Bernig, J. Kotrbatý, and T. Wannerer, *Hard Lefschetz theorem and Hodge-Riemann relations for convex valuations*, preprint (2023), [arXiv:2312.12294](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.12294).
- [12] K. J. Boroczky, P. Kalantzopoulos, and D. Xi, *The case of equality in geometric instances of Barthe’s reverse Brascamp-Lieb inequality*, *Geometric aspects of functional analysis*, pp. 129–165, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **2327**, Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [13] N.-B. Dang and J. Xiao, *Positivity of valuations on convex bodies and invariant valuations by linear actions*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **31** (2021), no. 11.
- [14] C. Godbersen, *Der Satz vom Vektorbereich in Räumen beliebiger Dimensionen*, Ph.D. Thesis, Göttingen, 1938.
- [15] J. Haddad, D. Langharst, E. Putterman, M. Roysdon, and D. Ye, *Affine isoperimetric inequalities for higher-order projection and centroid bodies*, preprint (2023), [arXiv:2304.07859](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.07859).
- [16] J. Knoerr, *Smooth valuations on convex bodies and finite linear combinations of mixed volumes*, preprint (2023), [arXiv:2312.08183](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.08183).
- [17] J. Kotrbatý, *On Hodge-Riemann relations for translation-invariant valuations*, *Adv. Math.* **390** (2021), Paper No. 107914, 28.

- [18] J. Kotrbatý and T. Wannerer, *On mixed Hodge-Riemann relations for translation-invariant valuations and Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **24** (2022), no. 7, Paper No. 2150049, 24.
- [19] ———, *From harmonic analysis of translation-invariant valuations to geometric inequalities for convex bodies*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **33** (2023), no. 2, 541–592.
- [20] D. Langharst, F. M. Sola, and J. Olivelli, *Higher-order reverse isoperimetric inequalities for log-concave functions*, preprint (2024), [arXiv:2403.05712](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.05712).
- [21] D.-M. Liakopoulos, *Reverse Brascamp-Lieb inequality and the dual Bollobás-Thomason inequality*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **112** (2019), no. 3, 293–304.
- [22] A. Manui, C. S. Ndiaye, and A. Zvavitch, *On the volume of sums of anti-blocking bodies*, preprint (2024), [arXiv:2409.14214](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14214).
- [23] C. A. Rogers and G. C. Shephard, *The difference body of a convex body*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **8** (1957), 220–233.
- [24] ———, *Convex bodies associated with a given convex body*, J. London Math. Soc. **33** (1958), 270–281.
- [25] S. Sadoovsky, *Godbersen’s conjecture for locally anti-blocking bodies*, preprint (2023), [arXiv:2312.03473](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.03473).
- [26] R. Sanyal and M. Winter, *Kalai’s 3^d conjecture for unconditional and locally anti-blocking polytopes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (2025), no. 1, 279–290.
- [27] R. Schneider, *Eine Verallgemeinerung des Differenzenkörpers*, Monatsh. Math. **74** (1970), 258–272.
- [28] ———, *Stability for some extremal properties of the simplex*, J. Geom. **96** (2009), no. 1-2, 135–148.
- [29] ———, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 49/83, 186 00 PRAGUE, CZECHIA
Email address: kotrbaty@karlin.mff.cuni.cz