

Inversion of generalized Radon transform over symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n

Anuj Abhishek*

Rohit Kumar Mishra[†]Chandni Thakkar[‡]

February 5, 2025

Abstract

In this work, we study a set of generalized Radon transforms over symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . The longitudinal/transversal Radon transform and corresponding weighted integral transforms for symmetric m -tensor field are introduced. We give the kernel descriptions for the longitudinal and transversal Radon transform. Further, we also prove that a symmetric m -tensor field can be recovered uniquely from certain combinations of these integral transforms of the unknown tensor field. This generalizes a recent study done for the recovery of vector fields from its weighted Radon transform data to recovery of a symmetric m -tensor field from analogously defined weighted Radon transforms.

Keywords: Tensor tomography, generalized Radon transform, weighted integral transform, symmetric m -tensor field

Mathematics subject classification 2010: 44A12, 44A30, 46F12, 47G10

1 Introduction

Radon transform was introduced as line integrals of functions in 2-dimensional space by Johann Radon [19] in 1917. The definition was then extended to higher dimensions with integrals of functions taken along hyperplanes. Since then, many different generalizations of the Radon transform and their inversions have been studied because of their wide range of applications, see [2, 6, 8, 12, 24, 28] and references therein. All the generalizations are primarily considered the integral of scalar functions over a variety of subsets (for instance, circles, ellipses, spheres, curves, V-lines, cones, and many other geometries). Recently, some extensions of the Radon transform acting on vector fields have been studied ([13, 17, 18]) and to the best of authors' knowledge, there is only one work [25] where the Radon transform over tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^3 is considered. In this work, we introduce a set of generalized Radon transforms acting on symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, we define longitudinal Radon transform (LRT) and transversal Radon transform (TRT) along with their weighted versions. Our aim here is to give a description of kernels of longitudinal and transversal Radon transforms and reconstruction of a symmetric m -tensor field from a combination of its LRT/TRT and weighted LRT/TRT. We note here that our definitions of LRT, TRT, and

*Department of Mathematics, Applied Mathematics, and Statistics, Case Western Reserve University, United States. anuj.abhishek@case.edu

[†]Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India. rohit.m@iitgn.ac.in, rohittifr2011@gmail.com

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India. thakkar_chandni@iitgn.ac.in

their weighted versions are natural generalizations of the well-known longitudinal/transverse ray transform, and the corresponding integral moments transforms, respectively. Similar transforms are studied in \mathbb{R}^3 for vector fields [13].

The problem of recovering a tensor field in \mathbb{R}^n using straight-line versions of the longitudinal, transverse, and integral moment (analogous to weighted versions in our setup) ray transforms has been considered by many research groups, and many references are available on the subject. It is well-known that only the solenoidal part of the unknown tensor field can be reconstructed from its longitudinal ray transform, and hence, one needs additional data to get the full recovery of the tensor fields. Integral moments transforms, and transverse ray transforms are such integral transforms that allow the full recovery of the unknown tensor fields. The interested reader may refer to [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 20, 22, 26, 27] for additional details on such problems.

Previously, the problem of recovering a 3-D vector field from joint measurements of electromagnetic and acoustic signals was considered in [13]. This problem arises in an imaging paradigm called magnetoacoustoelectric tomography, wherein the data are given by the longitudinal Radon transform and the weighted Radon transforms of the corresponding vector field. Recall that the Radon transform acting on vector fields has a non-trivial kernel, hence it is only possible to recover the vector field partially from the Radon transform data. In order to recover the vector field fully, the authors of [13] show that it suffices to consider linearly weighted Radon transforms along with unweighted Radon transforms of such vector fields in \mathbb{R}^n . We would also like to note the important contributions of the authors of [18], who have proposed a complementary approach for the inversion of the Radon transform on vector fields using the method of singular value decomposition. Furthermore, the numerical results for the same setup were also provided in [17].

Recently, the authors in [25] have studied the kernel of the generalized Radon transforms and provided reconstruction algorithms for vector fields in \mathbb{R}^n and symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^3 from the data of longitudinal, transversal and mixed Radon transforms. Our work can be considered as a generalization of [13, 25] to symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . We start by discussing the kernel of longitudinal and transversal Radon transforms, and it is worth noting that the kernels are as expected from our experience with straight-line transforms of tensor fields. Further, we show that the full tensor field f can be determined from two different sets of data: (1) a combination of longitudinal Radon transform and corresponding weighted longitudinal Radon transform of the tensor field, (2) a combination of transversal Radon transform along with weighted transversal Radon transform of the tensor field.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we fix the notations, define the unweighted and weighted Radon transforms acting on symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n , and state the main results of this article. Section 3 discusses the proof of the kernel description result. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to proving the main theorems Theorem 4 and 5.

2 Definitions and Main results

In this section, we rigorously define longitudinal and transversal Radon transforms of symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n . We also make auxiliary definitions for the weighted longitudinal and weighted transversal Radon transforms. We present a decomposition result for symmetric m -tensor fields and introduce some operators acting on tensor fields. Further, we discuss some known facts about the classical Radon transform that we will need in the upcoming analysis. Finally, we end the section with statements of our main theorems and remarks about these.

Let $T^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the space of m -tensor fields defined in \mathbb{R}^n and $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of symmetric m -tensor fields. Further, the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ consist of symmetric m -tensor fields whose components belong to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions in \mathbb{R}^n . The Hilbert space $H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$; $s \in \mathbb{R}, t > -n/2$ is defined to be the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the following norm (see [23] for a detailed discussion about these spaces):

$$\|f\|_{H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{2t} (1 + |y|^2)^{s-t} |\hat{f}(y)|^2 dy.$$

When $t = 0$, we get the classical Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will be mostly working with tensor fields in the space $H_t^s(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ whose components lie in the space $H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, ω^\perp denotes the hyperplane passing through the origin and perpendicular to ω . Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of ω^\perp . Thus, the set $\{\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}\}$ forms an orthonormal frame in \mathbb{R}^n . Further, each pair $(\omega, p) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ represents a unique hyperplane $H_{\omega, p}$ in \mathbb{R}^n that is perpendicular to ω and at a distance p from the origin.

Given real s and $t > -1/2$, the Hilbert space $H_t^s(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ is defined as the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm (see [23])

$$\|\varphi\|_{H_t^s(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma|^{2t} (1 + |\sigma|^2)^{s-t} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega, \sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\omega$$

where $d\omega$ is the volume form on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} which is induced by the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^n and $\hat{\varphi}(\omega, p)$ is the one dimensional Fourier transform of φ with respect to the variable p .

Now, we are ready to define the integral transforms of our interest. We define all the transforms for the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of symmetric m -tensor fields. However, all these definitions can be extended to tensor fields in the space $H_t^s(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ by standard density arguments. Note that the Einstein summation convention of summing over repeated indices is used in the following definitions and throughout the article.

Definition 1. The *transversal Radon transform (TRT)* of a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{T}^m f(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \langle f(x), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle dx = \int_{\omega^\perp} \langle f(p\omega + y), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle dy, \quad (1)$$

where $\omega^{\odot m}$ denotes the m^{th} symmetric tensor product of ω and $\langle f(x), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle = f_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) \omega^{i_1} \dots \omega^{i_m}$.

Definition 2. The *longitudinal Radon transform (LRT)* of a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \rangle dx = \int_{\omega^\perp} \langle f(p\omega + y), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \rangle dy, \quad (2)$$

where $\ell_i \geq 0$; $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = m$.

Definition 3. The *weighted transversal Radon transform (weighted TRT)* of order k , for $1 \leq k \leq m$, of a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w, k} f(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{\ell_1} \dots \langle x, \omega_{n-1} \rangle^{\ell_{n-1}} \langle f(x), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle dx \quad (3)$$

such that $\ell_i \geq 0$; $i = 1 \dots n-1$ and $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = k$.

Note that, there are total $\binom{k+n-2}{k}$ weighted transversal Radon transforms of order k . The weighted TRT can be understood to be a generalization of the well-known moment ray transform by making the following simple observation. For a fixed $\omega = \omega_n$ and for any $y \in \omega^\perp$ we can write, $y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j \omega_j$. Then it is easy to see that:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f(\omega, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_1^{\ell_1} y_2^{\ell_2} \dots y_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}} \langle f(p\omega + y), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_{n-1}.$$

Definition 4. *The weighted longitudinal Radon transform (weighted LRT) of order k of a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $1 \leq k \leq m$ is defined as follows:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega,p}} \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{k_1} \dots \langle x, \omega_{n-1} \rangle^{k_{n-1}} \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1 + k_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1} + k_{n-1}} \right\rangle dx \quad (4)$$

such that $k_i \geq 0$, $\ell_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Also, $k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} = k$ and $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = m - k$.

Similar to our description for weighted TRT as above, we can rewrite the weighted LRT transform as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f(\omega, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_1^{k_1} y_2^{k_2} \dots y_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \left\langle f(p\omega + y), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1 + k_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1} + k_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_{n-1}.$$

For any given k there are in total $\binom{k+n-2}{k} \times \binom{m-k+n-2}{m-k}$ weighted longitudinal Radon transforms of order k . When $n = 2$, the above definition of the weighted LRT reduces to the definition for the k -th integral moment of the longitudinal ray transform of a symmetric m -tensor field.

Now, we briefly discuss about the classical Radon transform of scalar fields. The **classical Radon transform** $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ of a scalar function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as follows:

$$f^\wedge(\omega, p) = \mathcal{R}f(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega,p}} f(x) ds$$

where ds is the standard volume element on hyperplane $H_{\omega,p}$. The Radon transform extends uniquely to the bijective isometry of Hilbert spaces, see [23, Theorem 2.1]

$$\mathcal{R} : H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_{t+(n-1)/2,e}^{s+(n-1)/2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$$

which means we can recover a function $f \in H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from its Radon transform. Here, $H_{t+(n-1)/2,e}^{s+(n-1)/2}$ is a subspace of $H_{t+(n-1)/2}^{s+(n-1)/2}$ consisting of functions φ that satisfy $\varphi(-\omega, -p) = \varphi(\omega, p)$. Also, the following property of the Radon transform is handy for our analysis:

$$\left(a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f(x) \right)^\wedge(\omega, p) = \langle \omega, a \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} f^\wedge(\omega, p). \quad (5)$$

For a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the componentwise Radon transform of f is again a symmetric m -tensor field denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{R}}f$ and is defined as follows:

$$(\overline{\mathcal{R}}f)_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \mathcal{R}(f_{i_1 \dots i_m}).$$

In the remaining section, we introduce some operators acting on tensor fields and their crucial properties, please refer [21, 23] for more details:

- The natural projection $\sigma : T^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is also known as the *symmetrization operator*, is defined as follows:

$$\sigma(1, \dots, m)u(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_m} u(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(m)}),$$

where Π_m denotes the permutations group on the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

- The operator of *symmetric multiplication* $i_x : S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as follows:

$$(i_x u)_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}} = \sigma(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1})x_{i_{m+1}}u_{i_1 \dots i_m}.$$

- The operator of *contraction* $j_x : S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as follows:

$$(j_x u)_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}} = x^{i_m}u_{i_1 \dots i_m}.$$

- The operator of *inner differentiation* or symmetrized covariant derivative $d : \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(S^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined as follows:

$$(du)_{i_1 \dots i_{m+1}} = \sigma(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1})\frac{\partial u_{i_1 \dots i_m}}{\partial x^{i_{m+1}}}.$$

- The *divergence operator* $\delta : \mathcal{S}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(S^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined as follows:

$$(\delta u)_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} u_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1} i}.$$

Next, we discuss some crucial properties of the differential operator $D_j := -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ and a result about the solvability of an elliptic system of equations in H_t^s space setting that will be used repeatedly throughout the article:

Lemma 1. [23, Lemma 3.3] *Every partial derivative $D_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ uniquely extends to the bounded operator*

$$D_j : H_{t+1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}, t > -n/2).$$

Theorem 1. *For $k \geq 0$ and $h \in H_t^s(S^\ell(\mathbb{R}^n))$, there exists a unique tensor field $v \in H_{t+2k}^{s+2k}(S^\ell(\mathbb{R}^n))$ that satisfies*

$$\delta^k d^k v = h.$$

Proof. The Fourier transform of a tensor field is defined componentwise. Let \hat{v} and \hat{h} denote the Fourier transform of v and h respectively. Then using the properties of the Fourier transform, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^k d^k v &= h \\ \implies j_x^k i_x^k \hat{v} &= \hat{h} \quad (i_x \text{ and } j_x \text{ are defined above}). \end{aligned}$$

If the matrix formed by the entries of $j_x^k i_x^k$ is invertible, the \hat{v} can be recovered, which further gives v by Fourier inversion. In order to accomplish this, it is sufficient to prove that $\delta^k d^k v = 0$ implies $v = 0$. This has been proved for $k = 1$ in [23, Lemma 3.4]. To prove this for arbitrary k , an inequality of the form

$$|v| \leq C|x|^{-2k}|j_x^k i_x^k v|; \quad C \text{ is some constant,}$$

which is similar to [23, Equation 3.4], can be derived using Equation (14). Using this inequality, the result can be obtained for arbitrary k following the steps from [23, Lemma 3.4]. \square

Next, we state a theorem about the decomposition of a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in H_t^s(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Theorem 2. *For any tensor field $f \in H_t^s(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}, t > -n/2, m \geq 0$), there exist uniquely defined v_0, \dots, v_m with $v_i \in H_{t+i}^{s+i}(S^{m-i}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ such that*

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^m d^i v_i, \quad \text{with } v_i \text{ solenoidal for } 0 \leq i \leq m-1. \quad (6)$$

The estimate

$$\|v_i\|_{H_{t+i}^{s+i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}; \quad i = 0, \dots, m$$

holds for a constant C independent of f . The tensor field v_0 is known as **the solenoidal part of f** , and the remaining $\left(d \sum_{i=1}^m d^{i-1} v_i\right)$ is **the potential part of f** .

Proof. The proof directly follows by iterating the result in [23, Theorem 3.5]. \square

Note to recover a symmetric m -tensor field, it is sufficient to recover the components v_i , $0 \leq i \leq m$, coming from the above decomposition. This is what we claim in Theorems 4 and 5 below, which are the main results of our work. But before that, we give kernel description for LRT and TRT in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *[Kernel description] For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > -\frac{n}{2}$, a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in H_t^s(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfy*

$$(a) \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f = 0 \text{ if and only if } f = dv \text{ for some } v \in H_{t+1}^{s+1}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

$$(b) \mathcal{T}^m f = 0 \text{ if and only if } f = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d^i v_i, \text{ for } v_i \in H_{t+i}^{s+i}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ and each } v_i \text{ is divergence-free.}$$

Theorem 4. *[Recovery of f from LRT and weighted LRT data] For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > -\frac{n}{2}$, a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in H_t^s(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ can be reconstructed uniquely from a combination of its longitudinal and weighted longitudinal Radon transform. We show that the solenoidal part v_0 (as in the above decomposition Theorem 2) is uniquely determined from its longitudinal Radon transform $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f$, and the remaining potential part v_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, can be reconstructed from weighted longitudinal Radon transform of order k , $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$.*

Theorem 5. *[Recovery of f from TRT and weighted TRT data] For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > -\frac{n}{2}$, a symmetric m -tensor field $f \in H_t^{s+m}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$ can be reconstructed uniquely from a combination of its transversal and weighted transversal Radon transform. We show that the solenoidal part v_m (as in the above decomposition Theorem 2) is uniquely determined from its transversal Radon transform $\mathcal{T}^m f$, and the remaining components v_{m-k} , $k = 1, \dots, m$, can be reconstructed from weighted transversal Radon transform of order k , $\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$.*

Remark 1. *Out of the total $\binom{k+n-2}{k} \times \binom{m-k+n-2}{m-k}$ weighted longitudinal Radon transforms of order k , any $\binom{k+n-2}{k}$ can be considered to carry out the reconstruction similar to the reconstruction done in Section 4. For the simplicity of notation, we have considered the $\binom{k+n-2}{k}$ transforms having $k_1 = k$ and $k_i = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, that is, we have shown the calculation for transforms of the form:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1 + k} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dx \quad (7)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 3 (Kernel description for LRT and TRT)

Proof of part (a). We prove the result here in one direction only; the reverse direction follows directly from a combination of the decomposition result Theorem 2 and the inversion result Theorem 4 (proved in the next section). Let $f = dv$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f(\omega, p) &= \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \left\langle (dv)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dx \\ &= \sigma(i_1, \dots, i_m) \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \frac{\partial v_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}}{\partial x_{i_m}}(x) \left(\omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right)^{i_1 \dots i_m} dx \\ &= 0 \quad \text{using property (5) of Radon transform.} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof in the forward direction. \square

Proof of part (b). Before proving that $f = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d^i v_i$ implies $\mathcal{T}^m f = 0$, we prove the following two results:

- (A) If v is a solenoidal m -tensor field with $m \geq 1$, then for any non-negative integers $\ell_i; i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = m - 1$, we have

$$\int_{H_{\omega, p}} \left\langle v(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n + 1} \right\rangle = 0; \quad \text{for all } (\omega, p) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of (A). For a fixed $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we define a vector field

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \left\langle v(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle.$$

Then

$$\delta \tilde{f} = \left\langle \delta v, \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle = 0$$

since v is solenoidal. Thus \tilde{v} is a divergence-free vector field in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, using [13, Proposition 4], we get

$$\mathcal{T}^1 \tilde{v}(\omega, p) = \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \left\langle v(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n + 1} \right\rangle = 0.$$

Note that when $\ell_n = m - 1$, this result states that the solenoidal m -tensor field v lies in the kernel of the transversal Radon transform $\mathcal{T}^m f$. \square

- (B) For a symmetric $(m - 1)$ -tensor field v , the following identity holds:

$$\mathcal{T}^m(dv)(\omega, p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{T}^{m-1}(v)(\omega, p).$$

Proof of (B). Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}^m(dv)(\omega, p) &= \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \langle (dv)(x), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle dx \\
&= \int_{H_{\omega, p}} (dv)_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) \omega^{i_1} \dots \omega^{i_m} dx \\
&= \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \frac{\partial v_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}}{\partial x_{i_m}}(x) \omega^{i_1} \dots \omega^{i_m} dx \\
&= \langle \omega, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \int_{H_{\omega, p}} v_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}(x) \omega^{i_1} \dots \omega^{i_{m-1}} dx, \quad \text{using property (5)} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{T}^{m-1}(v)(\omega, p).
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the above result, the left-hand side has the transversal Radon transform of m -ordered tensor field (dv) whereas the right-hand side contains the transversal Radon transform of $(m-1)$ -ordered tensor field v . Using the above proposition repeatedly, we get the following identity for a $(m-i)$ -ordered symmetric tensor field v :

$$\mathcal{T}^m(d^i v)(\omega, p) = \frac{\partial^i}{\partial p^i} \mathcal{T}^{m-i}(v)(\omega, p).$$

Now for $f = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d^i v_i$ with $\delta v_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{T}^m(d^i v_i)(\omega, p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{H_{\omega, p}} \langle (d^i v_i)(x), \omega^{\odot m} \rangle dx \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^i}{\partial p^i} \mathcal{T}^{m-i} v_i(\omega, p), \quad \text{using result (B)} \\
&= 0, \quad \text{using result (A)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The converse can be proved by showing that v_m (from the decomposition given in equation (6)) can be recovered from the data of the transversal Radon transform $\mathcal{T}^m f$. This is done in Subsection 5.1. □

4 Proof of Theorem 4

We divide the proof of Theorem 4 in three subsections; the first subsection will show the solenoidal part v_0 of f can be recovered from $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f$ whereas the other two subsections will address the recovery of the potential part from the weighted longitudinal transforms.

4.1 Recovery of v_0 from $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f$

Proof of recovering of v_0 using LRT. It is sufficient to show that the componentwise Radon transform $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of v_0 can be recovered from the knowledge of longitudinal Radon transforms. Since $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ are

linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , the collection of $\binom{m+n-1}{k}$ m -tensors of the form

$$\omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n}$$

such that $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = m$ are linearly independent using [11, Lemma 6]. It is known that the dimension of the space of m tensors in \mathbb{R}^n is also $\binom{m+n-1}{m}$, hence v_0 at any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(x) &= \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = m} \left\langle v_0(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = m-1} \left\langle v_0(x), \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n + 1} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{n-1} = m} \left\langle v_0(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above equation, the summation over $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = m$ has been split into two cases: one when $\ell_n = 0$ and the other when $\ell_n \geq 1$. Hence, the componentwise Radon transform of v_0 can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{R}}v_0 &= \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = m} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}v_0, \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = m-1} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}v_0, \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n + 1} \quad (8) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{n-1} = m} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}v_0, \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

The first summation in the above equation is zero from claim A of part (b) of Theorem 3. Also note that

$$\left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}v_0, \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \cdots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle = \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^m f.$$

So the right-hand side in the above equation is completely known from the given data; hence, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}v_0$ can be recovered and therefore v_0 can be recovered using invertibility of the Radon transform. This completes the proof of our claim. \square

Next, we prove that v_k for $k = 1, \dots, m$ can be recovered from weighted longitudinal Radon transforms of order k . This is proved using mathematical induction.

4.2 Recovery of v_1 from $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f$

Proof of recovering of v_1 from $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f$. Let $\overline{\Delta}v_1$ denote the componentwise Laplacian of the tensor field v_1 , that is,

$$(\overline{\Delta}v_1)_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}(x) = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right) v_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}(x).$$

The idea here is to obtain, the componentwise Radon transform $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of the componentwise Laplacian of v_1 , using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{\Delta}v_1) &= \sum_{\ell_1+\dots+\ell_n=m-1} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{\Delta}v_1), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot\ell_n} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot\ell_n} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1+\dots+\ell_n=m-2} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{\Delta}v_1), \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot\ell_n} \right\rangle \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot\ell_n} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell_1+\dots+\ell_{n-1}=m-1} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{\Delta}v_1), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The tensor field $\overline{\Delta}v_1$ is solenoidal since v_1 is solenoidal, hence the first summation on the right-hand side of the above equation is zero using 2(A) of Theorem 3. Next we show that

$$\left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{\Delta}v_1), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle$$

can be written in terms of v_0 and $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1\dots\ell_{n-1}}^{w,1}f$. Let e_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the usual dot product of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1\dots\ell_{n-1}}^{w,1}f &= \langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot\ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&= \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot\ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle v_0(x), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot\ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle d(v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot\ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right\} \right)}_{I_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \mathcal{R} \left(\langle e_i, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle d(v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot\ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\langle d(v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot\ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot\ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot\ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The first part on the right-hand side of the above equation is zero because it is the scalar multiple of the longitudinal Radon transform of a potential tensor field. Let $\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)$, then for any symmetric $(k-1)$ -ordered tensor field f and any vector ω , we have

$$\left\langle df, \omega^{\odot k} \right\rangle = \omega^{i_1} \dots \omega^{i_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} f_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = \langle \omega, \nabla \rangle \left\langle f, \omega^{\odot k-1} \right\rangle.$$

Using this relation, I_1 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\langle d(v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \langle \omega_1, \nabla \rangle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Any point x in the hyperplane can be represented as $x = p\omega + y_1\omega_1 + \dots + y_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Using this representation, the above equation can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_1 + dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_1)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1}}_{I_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now there exists $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $\ell_j \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, let $\ell_1 \neq 0$, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (dv_2 + \dots + d^{m-1}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \omega_1, \nabla \rangle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_2 + \dots + d^{m-2}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1-1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So the integral I_2 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_2 + \dots + d^{m-2}v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1-1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

using integration by parts. Finally, substituting the value of I_1 in equation (10), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R} \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_1)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle v_0(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) - \langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the operator $\langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ to the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R} \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} (v_1)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle v_0(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) - \langle e_i, \omega \rangle^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the above equation for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R} \left(\Delta \left\langle v_1(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&= \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} (\overline{\Delta} v_1(x)), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \\
&= \mathcal{R} \left(\Delta \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle \left\langle v_0(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $v_0(x)$ and the weighted longitudinal Radon transforms of order 1 are known for all $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ (such that $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = m - 1$), the right-hand side of equation (9) is known and thus, the componentwise Radon transform of $\overline{\Delta} v_1$ is known. This is the same as saying that we know $\overline{\Delta} v_1$, and hence we know the v_1 as required. \square

Now assume that v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} are known for any $2 \leq k \leq m$, then v_k can be obtained from the transforms $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$ as shown in the following subsection:

4.3 Recovery of v_k from $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$

Proof of recovering of v_k from $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$. Following the steps similar to recovery of v_1 in the previous subsection, the componentwise Radon transform $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of the componentwise Laplacian of v_k , $\overline{\Delta} v_k$, can be obtained using the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{R}} (\overline{\Delta} v_k) &= \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = m-k} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} (\overline{\Delta} v_k), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = m-k-1} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} (\overline{\Delta} v_k), \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = m-k} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} (\overline{\Delta} v_k), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Since v_k is solenoidal for $k = 2, \dots, m-1$, the first summation on the right-hand side of the above equation is zero using Theorem 3. For $k = m$, the above decomposition is not required, and the following arguments hold true. We show that

$$\left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} (\overline{\Delta} v_k), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle; \quad \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = m - k$$

can be written in terms of v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} and $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,j} f$ transforms for $1 \leq j \leq k$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f \\
&= \langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&= \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{R} \left(k \langle e_i, \omega_1 \rangle \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{k-1} \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\langle f(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&= k \langle e_i, \omega_1 \rangle \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1+1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k-1} f \\
&\quad + \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\langle (v_0 + dv_1 + \dots + d^{k-1} v_{k-1})(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\langle d^k (v_k + dv_{k+1} + \dots + d^{m-k} v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right). \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

I_3

Now, following the calculation for I_1 from the previous subsection and using integration by parts multiple times, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_1^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y_1^k} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_k + dv_{k+1} + \dots + d^{m-k} v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\
&= (-1)^k k! \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_k + dv_{k+1} + \dots + d^{m-k} v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\
&= (-1)^k k! \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_k)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^k k! \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (dv_{k+1} + \dots + d^{m-k} v_m)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

I_4

Following a similar calculation as we did for simplifying I_2 in the previous subsection, we get $I_4 = 0$. Thus the equation (12) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R} \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_k)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(-1)^k k!} \left[\langle e_i, \omega \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f - k \langle e_i, \omega_1 \rangle \mathcal{L}_{\ell_1+1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k-1} f \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \mathcal{R} \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\langle (v_0 + dv_1 + \dots + d^{k-1} v_{k-1})(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1+k} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\langle e_i, \omega \rangle$ to the above equation and adding for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we get

$$\mathcal{R} \left(\Delta \left\langle (v_k)(x), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \right),$$

that is, we know

$$\left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{\Delta}(v_k)(x)), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle$$

in terms of the known data. This is true for all $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ such that $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = m - k$, and therefore applying Radon inversion to equation (11), we obtain componentwise Laplacian of v_k . This implies we can determine v_k by solving the Laplacian componentwise. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 5

This section is again divided into three subsections; the first subsection deals with recovering v_m of f (appearing in the decomposition (6)) from $\mathcal{T}^m f$ while the other two subsections show the recovery of the other components v_i for $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$ from the weighted transversal Radon transforms.

5.1 Recovery of v_m from $\mathcal{T}^m f$

We start by proving a lemma that we need to achieve the goal of this subsection.

Lemma 2. *Let g be a symmetric m -tensor field, then the following identity holds:*

$$\mathcal{R}(\delta^m g)(\omega, p) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}^m(g)(\omega, p). \quad (13)$$

Proof. This result is already proved for the vector field case in [13, Lemma 6]. The idea for this general case is the same. We sketch the proof here for the sake of completeness. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\delta^m g)(\omega, p) &= \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_m}}(\delta^{m-1}g)_{i_1 \dots i_m}\right)(\omega, p) \\ &= \langle \omega, e_{i_m} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \mathcal{R}((\delta^{m-1}g)_{i_1 \dots i_m})(\omega, p) \quad (\text{using equation (5)}) \\ &= \langle \omega, e_{i_1} \rangle \dots \langle \omega, e_{i_m} \rangle \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{R}(g_{i_1 \dots i_m})(\omega, p) \quad (\text{repeating the above step } (m-1) \text{ more times}) \\ &= \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{R}(g_{i_1 \dots i_m} \omega^{i_1} \dots \omega^{i_m})(\omega, p) \\ &= \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}^m(g)(\omega, p). \end{aligned}$$

\square

As proved in Theorem 3, all the terms except $d^m v_m$ from the decomposition (6) lie in the kernel of the transversal Radon transform. The next theorem shows that v_m can be uniquely recovered from transversal Radon transform of f .

Theorem 6. *The potential part v_m of a symmetric m -tensor field f can be recovered explicitly from the knowledge of its transversal Radon transform $\mathcal{T}^m f$.*

Proof. We know a symmetric m -tensor field f can be decomposed as follows:

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^m d^i v_i.$$

Recall from Theorem 3 that the part $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d^i v_i\right)$ of f is in the kernel of \mathcal{T}^m and hence we have

$$\mathcal{T}^m f(\omega, p) = \mathcal{T}^m \left(\sum_{i=0}^m d^i v_i\right)(\omega, p) = \mathcal{T}^m (d^m v_m)(\omega, p).$$

The aim here is to recover v_m from the knowledge of $\mathcal{T}^m f$. To achieve this, we use the following relation obtained from Lemma 2:

$$\mathcal{R}(\delta^m d^m v_m)(\omega, p) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}^m (d^m v_m)(\omega, p) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}^m f(\omega, p).$$

This shows that one can find $\delta^m d^m v_m$ explicitly in terms of known data by using the inversion of the usual Radon transform. Finally, we apply Theorem 1 to find $v_m \in H_t^{s+2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Now, we prove that v_{m-k} for $k = 1, \dots, m$ can be reconstructed using weighted transversal Radon transforms of order k . The proof is done using mathematical induction on k . In the following subsection, we prove it for $k = 1$. We start by proving a proposition that will be crucial to proceed further.

5.2 Recovery of v_{m-1} from $\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f$

Proposition 1. *If v is a solenoidal symmetric m -tensor field, that is $\delta v = 0$, then $\delta^k d^\ell v = 0$ for $k > \ell > 0$. In particular, $\delta^\ell d^\ell v$ is solenoidal.*

Proof. To prove this proposition, it is sufficient to show that $\delta^{\ell+1} d^\ell v = 0$. We start by proving the following:

$$\delta^\ell d^\ell = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} c_i (\overline{\Delta})^{\ell-i} (d\delta)^i, \quad (14)$$

where $\overline{\Delta}$ represents the component-wise Laplacian and $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$ are constants. If the above equation is true and v is solenoidal ($\delta v = 0$), then we get $\delta^\ell d^\ell v = c_0 (\overline{\Delta})^\ell v$. Further using $\overline{\Delta} \delta = \delta \overline{\Delta}$, we get

$\delta^{\ell+1}d^\ell v = 0$. We prove equation (14) using mathematical induction on ℓ . For $\ell = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta dv)_{i_1 \dots i_m} &= \delta \left\{ \sigma(i_1 \dots i_{m+1}) \frac{\partial v_{i_1 \dots i_m}}{\partial x_{i_{m+1}}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \delta \left\{ \frac{\partial v_{i_1 \dots i_m}}{\partial x_{i_{m+1}}} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial v_{i_1 \dots i_{j-1} i_{j+1} \dots i_{m+1}}}{\partial x_{i_j}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 v_{i_1 \dots i_m}}{\partial x_{i_{m+1}}^2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_j}} \left(\frac{\partial v_{i_1 \dots i_{j-1} i_{j+1} \dots i_{m+1}}}{\partial x_{i_{m+1}}} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \left\{ \Delta(v_{i_1 \dots i_m}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_j}} (\delta v)_{i_1 \dots i_{j-1} i_{j+1} \dots i_m} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \Delta(v_{i_1 \dots i_m}) + \frac{m}{m+1} (d\delta v)_{i_1 \dots i_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\delta dv = c_0 \bar{\Delta} v + c_1 d\delta v, \text{ where } c_0 = \frac{1}{m+1} \text{ and } c_1 = \frac{m}{m+1}. \quad (15)$$

This implies that the claim (14) is true for $\ell = 1$. Now assume that the claim is true for $\ell = p-1$ for some $p \geq 2$, that is, there exist constants c_i ; $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ such that

$$\delta^{p-1} d^{p-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_i (\bar{\Delta})^{p-1-i} (d\delta)^i.$$

Then for $\ell = p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^p d^p &= \delta(\delta^{p-1} d^{p-1}) d \\
&= \delta \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_i (\bar{\Delta})^{p-1-i} (d\delta)^i \right) d, \quad \text{using the induction hypothesis} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_i (\bar{\Delta})^{p-1-i} (\delta d)^{i+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_i (\bar{\Delta})^{p-1-i} (c_0 \bar{\Delta} + c_1 d\delta)^{i+1}, \quad \text{using equation (15)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p \tilde{c}_i (\bar{\Delta})^{p-i} (d\delta)^i,
\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{c}_i s are functions of c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{p-1} . This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We note that we can expand, $\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle (\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1}), \omega_i \rangle \omega_i + \langle (\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1}), \omega \rangle \omega$. Hence the componentwise Radon transform $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1}$ can be written as follows:

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle \bar{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1}), \omega_i \rangle \omega_i + \langle \bar{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{m-1}), \omega \rangle \omega \quad (16)$$

The last term in the above equation is the transversal Radon transform of $\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}$, i.e.

$$\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}), \omega \rangle \omega = T^1(\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}).$$

Recall that v_{m-1} is solenoidal. Thus, $\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}$ is solenoidal (using Proposition 1), and the last term in (16) is zero (Theorem 3). Further, $\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}), \omega_i \rangle$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1}$ for $\ell_i = 1$ and $\ell_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. We have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f = \mathcal{T}^m(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle f); \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \ell_i = 1 \text{ and } \ell_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i$$

Using Lemma 2, we get

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle f) = \mathcal{R}(\delta^m(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle f)). \quad (17)$$

By direct calculation, one can verify that,

$$\delta(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle f) = \langle \omega_i, f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_i \rangle \delta f.$$

Now, by an inductive argument, it is easy to show that for any $1 \leq k \leq m$

$$\delta^k(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle f) = k \langle \omega_i, \delta^{k-1} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_i \rangle \delta^k f. \quad (18)$$

By letting $k = m$ in (18) and substituting it in (17) we get,

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f = \mathcal{R} \{ m \langle \omega_i, \delta^{m-1} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_i \rangle \delta^m f \}. \quad (19)$$

Using Proposition 1 and the Decomposition 2, we have

$$\delta^{m-1} f = \delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1} + \delta^{m-1}d^m v_m$$

and

$$\delta^m f = \delta^m d^m v_m.$$

Substituting the above relations in equation (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f &= m \langle \omega_i, \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}) \rangle + m \langle \omega_i, \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1}d^m v_m) \rangle + \mathcal{R}(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle \delta^m d^m v_m) \\ \implies \langle \omega_i, \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}) \rangle &= \frac{1}{m} \left[\frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,1} f - m \langle \omega_i, \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-1}d^m v_m) \rangle - \mathcal{R}(\langle x, \omega_i \rangle \delta^m d^m v_m) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Above equation is true for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Substituting the above equation in equation (16), we get the componentwise Radon transform of $\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}$. Then using Radon inversion, $\delta^{m-1}d^{m-1}v_{m-1}$ can be obtained. Again, we use Theorem 1 to find $v_{m-1} \in H_t^{s+2m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which completes the goal of this section.

5.3 Recovery of v_{m-k} from $\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$

Finally, we assume $v_{m-k+1}, v_{m-k+2}, \dots, v_m$ are known for $2 \leq k \leq m$ and show $\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k}$ can be obtained from the transforms $\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f$. Similar to equation (8), the componentwise Radon transform $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k}$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathcal{R}} \left(\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{n-1} = k} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} \left(\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k} \right), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = k-1} \left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} \left(\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k} \right), \omega \odot \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n} \right\rangle \\ & \quad \quad \quad \times \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \odot \omega^{\odot \ell_n + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The terms in the second summation of the above equation are mixed and transversal Radon transforms of $\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k}$. From Proposition 1, we know that $\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k}$ is solenoidal and using Theorem 3, we get that the second summation is zero. Further, we want to show that

$$\left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} \left(\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k} \right), \omega_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \omega_2^{\odot \ell_2} \odot \dots \odot \omega_{n-1}^{\odot \ell_{n-1}} \right\rangle$$

can be written in terms of $W_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^k f$. We prove this for $\ell_1 = k$ and $\ell_j = 0$ for $j \neq 1$. That is, we show that $\left\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}} \left(\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k} \right), \omega_1^{\odot k} \right\rangle$ can be written in terms of $W_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^k f$ for $\ell_1 = k$ and $\ell_j = 0$ for $j \neq 1$. The proof for the other cases can be done in a similar manner.

For $g = \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k f$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f = \mathcal{T}g$$

where $\ell_1 = k$ and $\ell_j = 0$ for $j \neq 1$. Using Lemma 2, we get

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-1}}^{w,k} f = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \mathcal{T}g = \mathcal{R}(\delta^m g). \quad (21)$$

Now, we show that

$$\delta^p g = \sum_{i=r}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} p(p-1) \dots ((p+1) - (k-i)) \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \left\langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^{p-(k-i)} f \right\rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^p f \quad (22)$$

where

$$r = \begin{cases} k-p; & p < k \\ 0; & p \geq k. \end{cases}$$

This is proved using mathematical induction on p . For $p = 1$, applying δ operator to $g = \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k f$ gives

$$\delta g = k \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{k-1} \langle \omega_1, f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta f.$$

Hence, equation (22) is true for $p = 1$. Assume that it is true for all $p < k$. Then for $p = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^k g &= \delta(\delta^{k-1} g) \\
&= \delta \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} ((k-1)(k-2)\dots i) \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^{i-1} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^{k-1} f \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} ((k-1)(k-2)\dots i) \left(i \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{i-1} \langle \omega_1^{\odot k+1-i}, \delta^{i-1} f \rangle \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} ((k-1)(k-2)\dots i) \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^i f \rangle \right) + k \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{k-1} \langle \omega_1, \delta^{k-1} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^k f \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \binom{k}{i+1} ((k-1)(k-2)\dots (i+1)) \left((i+1) \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^i f \rangle \right) \quad (\text{replacing } i \text{ with } i+1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} ((k-1)(k-2)\dots i) \left(\langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^i f \rangle \right) + k \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{k-1} \langle \omega_1, \delta^{k-1} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^k f \\
&= (k(k-1)\dots 1) \langle \omega_1^{\odot k}, f \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} (k-1)(k-2)\dots (i+1) \left[(i+1) \binom{k}{i+1} + i \binom{k}{i} \right] \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^i f \rangle \\
&\quad + 2k \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^{k-1} \langle \omega_1, \delta^{k-1} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^k f \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} k(k-1)\dots (i+1) \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^i f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^k f
\end{aligned}$$

using the relation

$$(i+1) \binom{k}{i+1} + i \binom{k}{i} = k \binom{k}{i}.$$

Repeating the above steps multiple times, equation (22) can be proved for $p \geq k$. Since $1 \leq k \leq m$, putting $p = m$ in equation (22), we get

$$\delta^m g = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} m(m-1)\dots ((m+1) - (k-i)) \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^i \langle \omega_1^{\odot k-i}, \delta^{m-(k-i)} f \rangle + \langle x, \omega_1 \rangle^k \delta^m f.$$

Further, using Proposition 1 and the Decomposition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^m f &= \delta^m \mathfrak{d}^m v_m \\
\delta^{m-1} f &= \delta^{m-1} \mathfrak{d}^{m-1} v_{m-1} + \delta^{m-1} \mathfrak{d}^m v_m \\
&\vdots \\
\delta^{m-k} f &= \delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k} + \delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k+1} v_{m-k+1} + \dots + \delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^m v_m
\end{aligned}$$

Since $v_{m-k+1}, v_{m-k+2}, \dots, v_m$ are known, substituting the above values in equation (21) gives an expression for $\langle \overline{\mathcal{R}}(\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k}), \omega_1^{\odot k} \rangle$ in terms of the known values. Similarly, each term of equation (8) can

be written in terms of $v_{m-k+1}, v_{m-k+2}, \dots, v_m$ and the known transforms. Then using Radon inversion, $\delta^{m-k} \mathfrak{d}^{m-k} v_{m-k}$ can be recovered. Finally, v_{m-k} can be obtained by using Theorem 1, and this completes the theorem.

6 Acknowledgements

RM was partially supported by SERB SRG grant No. SRG/2022/000947. CT was supported by the Prime Minister’s Research Fellowship from the Government of India.

References

- [1] Divyansh Agrawal, Venkateswaran P. Krishnan, and Suman Kumar Sahoo, *Unique continuation results for certain generalized ray transforms of symmetric tensor fields*, J. Geom. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 10, Paper No. 245, 27. MR 4456212 [2](#)
- [2] Gaik Ambartsoumian, *Generalized Radon transforms and imaging by scattered particles—broken rays, cones, and stars in tomography*, Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications: Monographs, Expositions and Lecture Notes, vol. 6, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, [2023] ©2023. MR 4652571 [1](#)
- [3] Alexander Denisjuk, *Inversion of the x-ray transform for 3D symmetric tensor fields with sources on a curve*, Inverse Problems **22** (2006), no. 2, 399–411. MR 2216405 [2](#)
- [4] Naeem M. Desai and William R. B. Lionheart, *An explicit reconstruction algorithm for the transverse ray transform of a second rank tensor field from three axis data*, Inverse Problems **32** (2016), no. 11, 115009, 19. MR 3627048 [2](#)
- [5] Roland Griesmaier, Rohit Kumar Mishra, and Christian Schmiedecke, *Inverse source problems for Maxwell’s equations and the windowed Fourier transform*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **40** (2018), no. 2, A1204–A1223. MR 3794348 [2](#)
- [6] Markus Haltmeier, *Inversion formulas for a cylindrical Radon transform*, SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences **4** (2011), no. 3, 789–806. [1](#)
- [7] S. Holman, *Recovering a tensor on the boundary from polarization and phase measurements*, Inverse Problems **25** (2009), no. 3, 035009, 11. MR 2480179 [2](#)
- [8] Ren Hu, Tim Raeymaekers, and Franciscus Sommen, *Szegő-Radon transform for biaxially monogenic functions*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **29** (2019), no. 5, Paper No. 87, 20. MR 4010630 [1](#)
- [9] Venkateswaran P. Krishnan, Ramesh Manna, Suman Kumar Sahoo, and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov, *Momentum ray transforms*, Inverse Problems & Imaging (2018). [2](#)
- [10] Venkateswaran P. Krishnan, Ramesh Manna, Suman Kumar Sahoo, and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov, *Momentum ray transforms, II: range characterization in the Schwartz space*, Inverse Problems **36** (2020), no. 4, 045009, 33. MR 4075194 [2](#)

- [11] Venkateswaran P. Krishnan and Rohit Kumar Mishra, *Microlocal analysis of a restricted ray transform on symmetric m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n* , SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **50** (2018), no. 6, 6230–6254. MR 3885753 [9](#)
- [12] Peter Kuchment, *Generalized transforms of Radon type and their applications*, The Radon transform, inverse problems, and tomography, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., vol. 63, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 67–91. MR 2208237 [1](#)
- [13] L Kunyansky, E McDugald, and B Shearer, *Weighted Radon transforms of vector fields, with applications to magnetoacoustoelectric tomography*, Inverse Problems **39** (2023), no. 6, 065014. [1](#), [2](#), [7](#), [14](#)
- [14] Rohit Kumar Mishra and Suman Kumar Sahoo, *Injectivity and range description of integral moment transforms over m -tensor fields in \mathbb{R}^n* , SIAM J. Math. Anal. **53** (2021), no. 1, 253–278. MR 4198570 [2](#)
- [15] Rohit Kumar Mishra and Chandni Thakkar, *Inversion of a restricted transverse ray transform with sources on a curve*, Inverse Problems **40** (2024), no. 4, 045025. [2](#)
- [16] Roman Novikov and Vladimir Sharafutdinov, *On the problem of polarization tomography. I*, Inverse Problems **23** (2007), no. 3, 1229–1257. MR 2329942 [2](#)
- [17] A. Polyakova and I.E. Svetov, *Numerical solution of the problem of reconstructing a potential vector field in the unit ball from its normal Radon transform*, Journal of Applied and Industrial Mathematics **9** (2015), 547–558. [1](#), [2](#)
- [18] A. P. Polyakova, *Reconstruction of a vector field in a ball from its normal Radon transform*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **205** (2015), no. 3, 418–439. MR 3403291 [1](#), [2](#)
- [19] Johann Radon, *On the determination of functions from their integral values along certain manifolds*, IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging **5** (1986), no. 4, 170–176. [1](#)
- [20] V. A. Sharafutdinov, *A problem of integral geometry for tensor fields and the Saint-Venant equation*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **24** (1983), no. 6, 176–187. MR 731054 [2](#)
- [21] ———, *Integral geometry of tensor fields*, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 1994. MR 1374572 [4](#)
- [22] ———, *Slice-by-slice reconstruction algorithm for vector tomography with incomplete data*, Inverse Problems **23** (2007), no. 6, 2603–2627. MR 2441023 [2](#)
- [23] ———, *The Reshetnyak formula and Natterer stability estimates in tensor tomography*, Inverse Problems **33** (2017). [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [24] ———, *Radon transform on Sobolev spaces*, Sib. Math. J. **62** (2021), no. 3, 560–580, Translation of Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **62** (2021), no. 3, 690–714. MR 4545828 [1](#)
- [25] I.E. Svetov and A. Polyakova, *Inversion of generalized Radon transforms acting on 3d vector and symmetric tensor fields*, Inverse Problems **40** (2023). [1](#), [2](#)

- [26] Heang K. Tuy, *An inversion formula for cone-beam reconstruction*, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics **43** (1983), no. 3, 546–552. MR 700530 [2](#)
- [27] L. B. Vertgeim, *Integral geometry problems for symmetric tensor fields with incomplete data*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **8** (2000), no. 3, 355–364. MR 1781356 [2](#)
- [28] James W. Webber and Eric Todd Quinto, *Microlocal analysis of generalized Radon transforms from scattering tomography*, SIAM J. Imaging Sci. **14** (2021), no. 3, 976–1003. MR 4287350 [1](#)