

Normalized solutions to focusing Sobolev critical biharmonic Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion

Jianlun Liu^{1*}, Hong-Rui Sun^{1†} and Ziheng Zhang^{2‡}

¹*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China*

²*School of Mathematical Sciences, Tiangong University, Tianjin 300387, People's Republic of China*

Abstract: This paper is concerned with the following focusing biharmonic Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion and Sobolev critical growth:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \Delta u - \lambda u - \mu|u|^{p-2}u - |u|^{4^*-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = c, \end{cases}$$

where $N \geq 5$, $\mu, c > 0$, $2 < p < 4^* := \frac{2N}{N-4}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrange multiplier. For this problem, under the L^2 -subcritical perturbation ($2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{N}$), we derive the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions via the truncation technique, concentration-compactness principle and the genus theory presented by C.O. Alves et al. (Arxiv, (2021), doi: 2103.07940v2). Compared to the results of C.O. Alves et al. we obtain a more general result after removing the further assumptions given in (3.2) of their paper. In the case of L^2 -supercritical perturbation ($2 + \frac{8}{N} < p < 4^*$), we explore the existence results of normalized solutions by applying the constrained variational methods and the mountain pass theorem. Moreover, we propose a novel method to address the effects of the dispersion term Δu . This approach allows us to extend the recent results obtained by X. Chang et al. (Arxiv, (2023), doi: 2305.00327v1) to the mixed dispersion situation.

Key words and phrases. Biharmonic Schrödinger equation; Mixed dispersion; Normalized solutions; Sobolev critical growth.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A15, 35J30, 35J35, 35J60.

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we investigate the following focusing biharmonic Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion and Sobolev critical growth:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \Delta u - \lambda u = \mu|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{4^*-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = c, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*E-mail address: jianlunliumath@163.com (J.L. Liu)

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: hrsun@lzu.edu.cn (H.R. Sun)

‡E-mail address: zhzh@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Z.H. Zhang)

where $N \geq 5$, $\mu, c > 0$, $2 < p < 4^* := \frac{2N}{N-4}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrange multiplier. The interest in studying problem (1.1) comes from seeking standing waves with the form $\psi(t, x) = e^{-i\lambda t}u(x)$ to the following time-dependent biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger (BNLS) equation:

$$i\partial_t\psi - \gamma\Delta^2\psi + \beta\Delta\psi + \kappa f(|\psi|)\psi = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $f = \mu|\psi|^{p-2}\psi + |\psi|^{4^*-2}\psi$, $\mu > 0$ and i denotes the imaginary unit. This equation was proposed in [17, 18], which considered the role of a small fourth-order dispersion term during the propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity. The biharmonic operator Δ^2 was also used to reveal the effects of higher-order dispersion terms in the mixed-dispersion fourth-order Schrödinger equations. Actually, the case $\beta = 0$ in (1.2) was considered earlier, in [14, 29], in the context of the stability of solitons in magnetic materials when the effective quasi-particle mass becomes infinite. The case $\beta < 0$ arises in the approximation to the vectorial nonlinear Helmholtz equation as a nonparaxial correction to the second-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation; while the case $\beta > 0$ relates to faster transmission in optical fiber arrays, see [13, 25, 27, 31] and the references listed therein for more physical backgrounds. Moreover, from the physical standpoint, the real number κ refers to the defocusing versus focusing regime, that is, $\kappa = -1$ corresponds to the defocusing problem, while $\kappa = 1$ stands for the focusing problem.

During the process of research, many people focus on finding standing waves solutions to (1.2) with a prescribed mass, since the mass may have specific meanings, such as the total number of atoms in Bose-Einstein condensation, or the power supply in nonlinear optics. These solutions are commonly called normalized solutions, which provide valuable insights into dynamical properties of stationary solutions, such as the stability or instability of orbits. To this issue, it is well known that the number $\bar{p} := 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ plays an important role in studying normalized solutions of biharmonic Schrödinger equation, which is called the L^2 -critical (or mass-critical) exponent with respect to p . Indeed, from the variational point of view, if the problem is L^2 -subcritical (also called mass-subcritical), i.e., $2 < p < \bar{p}$, the associated functional is bounded from below on mass constraint manifold. Conversely, for the L^2 -supercritical (or mass-supercritical) situation, i.e., $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$, the functional is unbounded below. Here, we refer the readers to [4, 7–9, 11, 12, 19–24, 28, 32, 34] to learn about the latest developments of the existence of normalized solutions for the type of problem (1.1).

However, as pointed out in [21], the main difference involving β is as follows: for $\beta \neq 0$, both $\|\Delta u\|_2^2$ and $\|\nabla u\|_2^2$ affect the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx$, where $F(u) := \int_0^u f(s)ds$; for $\beta = 0$, the main effect on the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx$ is the semi-norm $\|\Delta u\|_2^2$. Therefore, in order to avoid discussing the influence of $\|\nabla u\|_2^2$, the cases of $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (1.2) have received a lot of attention. Specifically speaking, Bellazzini and Visciglia [4] investigated the following minimization problem

$$\inf_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap S(c)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(x)|u|^p dx \right) \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$S(c) := \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_2^2 = c\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Obviously, searching for minimizers of minimization problem (1.3) is equivalent to investigating the existence of ground state normalized solutions to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \lambda u + V(x)u = Q(x)|u|^{p-2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = c. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Assuming that $2 < p < \bar{p}$, $V, Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $Q(x) \geq 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $0 < \text{meas}\{Q(x) > \lambda_0\} < \infty$, they showed that the set of minimizers corresponding to problem (1.3) is a nonempty compact set and is orbitally stable for some $c_0 > 0$ and $c \geq c_0$. Especially, when $V(x) = 0$ and $Q(x) = 1$, the existence of a minimizer for problem (1.3) is obtained for every $c > 0$. Later Phan [28] investigated the following problem with L^2 -critical nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \lambda u + V(x)u = a|u|^{\frac{8}{N}}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where the parameter $a > 0$ stands for the strength of the attraction of the system and $V(x)$ stands for an external potential satisfying either

(V1) $V \geq 0$, $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ or

(V2) $\text{ess inf } V \leq 0$, $\min\{V, 0\} \in L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\max\left\{1, \frac{N}{4}\right\} < p_1 < p_2 < \infty$.

Under the conditions presented above, the author revealed that there exists a constant $a_* \in (0, a^*)$ such that for all $a_* < a < a^* < \infty$, problem (1.6) admits at least one ground state normalized solution, where a^* is the optimal constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality. Recently, we notice that Ma and Chang [23] dealt with the existence of ground state normalized solutions to the biharmonic Schrödinger equation with the combination of L^2 -subcritical nonlinearity and Sobolev critical growth:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \lambda u = \mu|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{4^*-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = c, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where $N \geq 5$, $\mu, c > 0$, $2 < p < \bar{p}$. Actually, the authors showed that, for any $\mu > 0$, there exist $c_0 = c_0(\mu, p, N) > 0$ and $\rho_0 = \rho_0(c_0) > 0$ such that problem (1.7) has a ground state normalized solution u on $S(c)$ satisfying $\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \leq \rho_0$, when c belongs to $(0, c_0)$. Based on [23], Liu and Zhang [19] extended the results to L^2 -supercritical perturbation, namely, they used the corresponding mountain-pass level to verify the (PS) condition, and got the existence of normalized solutions when μ is sufficiently large. In addition, the asymptotic behavior of the energy to the mountain-pass solution as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ and $c \rightarrow +\infty$ were also discussed. At the same time, after constructing an appropriate test function and making some energy estimates, Chang et al. [11] proved the existence of normalized ground state solutions for problem (1.7) when $\bar{p} \leq p < 4^*$ and showed that all ground states correspond to the local minima of the associated energy functional restricted to the Pohožaev set for any $\mu > 0$. Moreover, they also revealed that the standing waves are strongly unstable by blowup for cases $\bar{p} \leq p < 4^*$. If f in (1.2) is the

general mass supercritical nonlinearity, Zhang and Wang [32] considered the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \lambda u = g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = c, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where $N \geq 5$, $c > 0$ and the nonlinearity g satisfies:

(G1) $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and odd;

(G2) there exist $2 + \frac{8}{N} < \alpha \leq \beta < 4^* := \frac{2N}{N-4}$ such that

$$0 < \alpha G(s) \leq g(s)s \leq \beta G(s), \quad \forall s \neq 0,$$

where $G(s) = \int_0^s g(t)dt$;

(G3) the function defined by $\tilde{G}(s) := \frac{1}{2}g(s)s - G(s)$ is of class C^1 and

$$\tilde{G}'(s)s \geq \alpha \tilde{G}(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where α is given in (G2).

After showing that the new constraint is natural and verifying the compactness of the minimizing sequence, they obtained the existence of normalized ground state solutions.

With regard to more general biharmonic Schrödinger equation, mainly involving the mixed dispersion, many authors also focused their attention on this type of equations, that is, $\beta \neq 0$ in (1.2). Explicitly, Bonheure et al. [8] obtained the existence of normalized solutions as energy minimizers (ground states) for the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma \Delta^2 u - \beta \Delta u - \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = c, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $2 < p < \bar{p}$. While for the case $\bar{p} < p \leq 4^*$, by using a minimax principle based on the homotopy stable family, Bonheure et al. obtained the existence of ground state solutions, radial positive solutions, and the multiplicity of radial solutions for problem (1.9) with $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in [7]. Assuming that $\gamma = c = 1$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $2 < p \leq \bar{p}$, Luo et al. [22] studied the minimization problem

$$m(c, \gamma, \beta) := \inf_{u \in S(c)} E_{\gamma, \beta}(u) \quad (1.10)$$

by using the profile decomposition of bounded sequences in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ established in [34], where

$$E_{\gamma, \beta}(u) := \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p.$$

They revealed that $m(c, \gamma, \beta)$ is achieved in five cases:

- (i) $c = 1$, $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ and $\beta \in (0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $c = 1$, $2 + \frac{4}{N} \leq p < \bar{p}$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$ for some $\beta_0 > 0$;
- (iii) $c = 1$, $2 < p < \bar{p}$ and $\beta = 0$;

(iv) $c = 1$, $2 < p < \bar{p}$ and $\beta \in [-\hat{\beta}_0, 0)$ for some $\hat{\beta}_0 > 0$;

(v) $0 < c < c_N$, $p = \bar{p}$ and $\beta \in [-\tilde{\beta}_0, 0)$ for some $c_N, \tilde{\beta}_0 > 0$.

In [9], Boussaïd et al. restudied problem (1.10) with $\gamma > 0$, $\beta < 0$ and $2 < p \leq \bar{p}$ and improved the results of [22] by relaxing the extra restriction on c and β . Actually, in [22] there are an explicit upper bound on $c > 0$ and a lower bound on $\beta < 0$ as above, after ruling out the vanishing of the minimizing sequences, Boussaïd et al. [9] solved (1.10) for all $c > 0$ and $\beta < 0$ when $2 < p \leq \bar{p}$. Subsequently, when $\gamma = 1$, $\beta < 0$ and $2 + \frac{4}{N} < p < \min\{4, 4^*\}$, choosing

$$H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \text{ is radially decreasing}\} \quad (1.11)$$

as the energy space, Luo and Yang [20] proved the existence of two solutions of (1.9) for c sufficiently small, where the first one is a local minimizer, and the second one is a mountain-pass type solution. Later, Fernández et al. [12] established non-homogeneous Gagliardo-Nirenberg-type inequalities in \mathbb{R}^N , used them to study standing waves minimizing the energy and proved optimal results on the existence of minimizers in the L^2 -subcritical and L^2 -critical cases if $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta = -2$. Meanwhile, in the $\bar{p} < p < \min\{4, 4^*\}$ case a local minimizers exist. However, if the Laplacian and the bi-Laplacian in the equation have the same sign, they are able to show the existence of local minimizers, which is also one mountain-pass solution. For the convenience, we summarise above literature for problem (1.9) in the following table.

Table 1: Existing results for (1.9)

γ	β	p	Type of solutions	Reference
$\gamma > 0$	$\beta \geq 0$	$2 < p < \bar{p}$	A ground state	Bonheure et al. [8]
$\gamma > 0$	$\beta = 1$	$\bar{p} \leq p < 4^*$	A ground state and infinitely radial solutions	Bonheure et al. [7]
$\gamma = 1$	$\beta > 0$ $0 < \beta < \beta_0$ $\beta = 0$ $-\hat{\beta}_0 \leq \beta < 0$ $-\tilde{\beta}_0 \leq \beta < 0$	$2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ $2 + \frac{4}{N} < p < \bar{p}$ $2 < p < \bar{p}$ $2 < p < \bar{p}$ $p = \bar{p}$	A ground state	Luo et al. [22]
$\gamma > 0$	$\beta < 0$	$2 < p < \bar{p}$	A ground state	Boussaïd et al. [9]
$\gamma = 1$	$\beta < 0$	$\bar{p} < p < \min\{4, 4^*\}$	A local minimizer and a mountain-pass type solution	Luo and Yang [20]
$\gamma = 1$	$\beta = -2$	$2 < p \leq \bar{p}$ $\bar{p} < p < \min\{4, 4^*\}$	A ground state A local minimizer	Fernández et al. [12]

For more general nonlinearity, we notice that Luo and Zhang [21] investigated the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma \Delta^2 u - \beta \Delta u - \lambda u = g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = c, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Assuming that $g(u)$ is subcritical growth at infinity and satisfies some other suitable assumptions, they showed the existence, nonexistence and stability of normalized solutions to problem (1.12) when $\beta \leq 0$ and $\beta > 0$ respectively. It is obvious that the L^2 -supercritical growth neither of the forms $|u|^{p-2}u$ nor their combination nonlinearities $|u|^{p-2}u +$

$|u|^{q-2}u$ is not involved. For the mixed dispersion nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type nonlinearities, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \gamma \Delta^2 u - \beta \Delta u - \lambda u = \mu |u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{4^*-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = c, \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

where $N \geq 5$, $\gamma, \beta, \mu > 0$ and $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$, Ma et al. [24] established the existence of ground state that corresponds to a local minimum of the associated functional by analyzing the subadditivity of the ground state energy with respect to the prescribed mass and employing a constrained minimization method. Under certain conditions, via studying the monotonicity of ground state energy as the mass varies, they also proved the existence of normalized ground state solutions for problem (1.13). To the best of our knowledge, Ma et al. [24] only consider the existence of ground state normalized solution for (1.13) when $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$, the multiplicity of normalized solutions of (1.13) in such case is not addressed. Motivated by the above discussion, we are intended to explore the multiplicity of normalized solutions of (1.13) when $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$. Moreover, we also consider the existence of normalized solution to problem (1.13) in L^2 -supercritical case.

For convenience, we take $\gamma = \beta = 1$ in (1.13), then problem (1.13) becomes (1.1). It is well known that to obtain this type (weak) solutions for problem (1.1) is equivalent to search for critical points of the C^1 functional $I : H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$I(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{4^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} dx, \quad u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (1.14)$$

restricted to the constraint

$$S(c) := \left\{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = c \right\}. \quad (1.15)$$

At this moment, we can state our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mu > 0$, $N \geq 5$ and $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$. For any $0 < c < c_*$, $I|_{V_r(c)}$ possesses a critical point u with $I(u) < 0$. Moreover, the corresponding Lagrange multiplier $\lambda < 0$, where I , c_* and $V_r(c)$ are given in (1.14), (3.1) and (3.7), respectively.*

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $N \geq 5$ and $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$, for given $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists $\mu_m > 0$ such that $I|_{V_r(c)}$ admits at least m couples (u_j, λ_j) ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) of critical points when $\mu \geq \mu_m$ and $0 < c < c_*$. Moreover, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there also hold*

$$I(u_j) < 0, \quad \|u_j\|_2^2 = c \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j < 0,$$

where I , c_* and μ_m are defined in (1.14), (3.1) and Lemma 4.2 below. In particular, we also have

$$I(u_m) \rightarrow 0^- \quad \text{as} \quad m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Remark 1.3. As we state above, Ma et al. [24, Theorem 1.2] discussed the existence of ground state normalized solutions for problem (1.1). Inspired by the article [2], we use a different approach from those in [24] to obtain the existence of normalized solution to problem (1.1) and

also give the notation of its corresponding Lagrange multiplier with a novel method (see (3.15) below) in Theorem 1.1. In contrast to [2], we improve the methods of Alves et al. and remove the further constraints given in (3.2) therein, giving a more general result (see (3.10)-(3.14) for more details) in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

It is important to point out that Ma and Chang in [23, Theorem 1.2] only considered the existence of the ground state normalized solutions to problem (1.7) in L^2 -subcritical case, and the multiplicity of normalized solutions for this problem in such case is not addressed. Thus, repeating the procedure of Theorem 1.2, we arrive at the theorem below.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that $N \geq 5$ and $2 < p < \bar{p}$, for given $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists $\mu_k > 0$ such that $I_0|_{\Lambda_\rho(c)}$ admits at least k couples (u_j, λ_j) ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) of critical points when $\mu \geq \mu_k$ and $0 < c < c_0$. Moreover, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, there hold*

$$I_0(u_j) < 0, \quad \|u_j\|_2^2 = c \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j < 0.$$

where $\Lambda_\rho(c)$ and c_0 are given in [23, Theorem 1.2] and

$$I_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{4^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} dx.$$

Next, we consider the L^2 -supercritical case. It is clear that I defined in (1.14) is unbounded from below on $S(c)$ when $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$. To overcome this difficulty, the following Pohožaev manifold

$$\mathcal{P}(c) := \{u \in S(c) : P(u) = 0\}$$

is necessary, where

$$P(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \mu \gamma_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} dx. \quad (1.16)$$

Noting that any critical point of $I|_{S(c)}$ stays in $\mathcal{P}(u)$ (see [6, Lemma 2.1]) and the fact that this Pohožaev manifold is a natural constraint. By utilizing Pohožaev manifold, we get the following results:

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that $c > 0$ and*

$$\begin{cases} 5 \leq p < 10, & \text{if } N = 5; \\ 4 < p < 6, & \text{if } N = 6; \\ \frac{7}{2} < p < \frac{14}{3}, & \text{if } N = 7; \\ \bar{p} < p < 4^*, & \text{if } N \geq 8, \end{cases}$$

then problem (1.1) possesses a non-negative solution $u_{\mu,c}$ which satisfies $0 < I(u_{\mu,c}) < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}$ for large enough $\mu > 0$, where I and S are given in (1.14) and (2.2), respectively. Meanwhile, the corresponding Lagrange multiplier $\lambda_{\mu,c} < 0$.

Remark 1.6. The proof of Theorem 1.5 proceeds through the following steps. First, we employ the mountain pass framework introduced in the seminal work [15] to construct a (PS) sequence under the assumptions of the theorem, utilizing an auxiliary functional to derive this sequence.

Second, to address challenges posed by the Sobolev critical exponent, we establish an alternative lemma guaranteeing the strong convergence of the mountain pass minimizing sequence. With this lemma in place, the critical task reduces to bounding the mountain pass level within an appropriate range. Here, we introduce a novel technique to account for the influence of the dispersion term $\|\nabla u\|_2^2$ (see Lemma 5.12 for details). Finally, taking advantage of the extremal function to the critical equation, we are allowed to reach what we want after making subtle analysis.

The outline of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations. With the help of the truncation technique and the concentration-compactness principle, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3. In section 4, utilizing the genus theory presented by [16, Theorem 2.1], we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we use the minimax approach to construct the mountain pass geometrical structure and reveal the existence of corresponding (PS) sequence. Moreover we also focus our attention on the estimate of the mountain pass level and finishing proof of Theorem 1.5.

2 Preliminaries

Denote by $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $s \in [1, \infty)$, the usual Lebesgue space with its norm

$$\|u\|_s := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Define the function space

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \Delta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

with the usual norm

$$\|u\|_{H^2} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For $N \geq 5$ and $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, one has the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality ([26, Theorem in Lecture II])

$$\|u\|_t \leq C_{N,t} \|\Delta u\|_2^{\gamma_t} \|u\|_2^{1-\gamma_t}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $t \in (2, 4^*]$ and $\gamma_t := \frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t})$. Particularly, when $t = 4^*$, we find that $\gamma_{4^*} = 1$ and

$$(C_{N,4^*})^{-2} = S := \inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}}}. \quad (2.2)$$

To overcome the difficulty of lack of compactness caused by the translations, we shall consider the functional (1.14) on the below subset of $S(c)$

$$S_r(c) := S(c) \cap H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2.3)$$

where $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is given in (1.11). Furthermore, we denote $\mathcal{P}_r(c)$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_r(c) := \mathcal{P}(c) \cap S_r(c).$$

In order to introduce the mountain pass level for problem (1.1), we are necessary to consider an auxiliary function space $E := H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathbb{R}$, whose norm is defined by

$$\|(u, s)\|_E := (\|u\|_{H^2}^2 + |s|_{\mathbb{R}}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and E' denotes the dual space of E . In addition, for the arguments in the sequel conveniently, we also need the help of the continuous map $\mathcal{H} : E \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\mathcal{H}(u, s)(x) := e^{\frac{Ns}{2}} u(e^s x).$$

For the simplicity of the notations, use C_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) to indicate the positive constants in estimates while it does not lead to confusion in the paper.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the first place, assume that

$$0 < c < \left(\frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}\right)^{\frac{2(4^* - p\gamma_p)}{p(1-\gamma_p)(4^* - 2)}} =: c_*, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} := \frac{4^* - p\gamma_p}{2 - p\gamma_p} \left(\frac{(2 - p\gamma_p)\mu C_{N,p}^p}{(4^* - 2)p} \right)^{\frac{4^* - 2}{4^* - p\gamma_p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma_p - 2}{4^* - p\gamma_p}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Before proceeding the subsequent arguments, we present the following lemma, which involves the function $g_c(r)$ defined below:

$$g_c(r) := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}} r^{p\gamma_p - 2} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^* - 2}, \quad r > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For fixed $c > 0$, $g_c(r)$ has a unique global maximum point r_c and the maximum value $g_c(r_c)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \max_{r>0} g_c(r) > 0, & \text{if } c < c_*; \\ \max_{r>0} g_c(r) = 0, & \text{if } c = c_*; \\ \max_{r>0} g_c(r) < 0, & \text{if } c > c_*, \end{cases}$$

where c_* is defined in (3.1).

Proof. Noting that $0 < p\gamma_p < 2$ for $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ and $4^* > 2$, one has

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} g_c(r) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} g_c(r) = -\infty.$$

Besides, the direct calculation brings that

$$g'_c(r) = -\frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}} (p\gamma_p - 2) r^{p\gamma_p - 3} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} (4^* - 2) r^{4^* - 3},$$

which means that $g'_c(r) = 0$ has a unique solution

$$r_c := \left(\frac{(2 - p\gamma_p)\mu 4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}}}{(4^* - 2)p} \right)^{\frac{1}{4^* - p\gamma_p}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Meanwhile, $g_c(r)$ is increasing in $(0, r_c)$ and is decreasing in (r_c, ∞) . Hence, the maximum value of $g_c(r)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{r>0} g_c(r) &= g_c(r_c) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu C_{N,p}^p}{p} c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma p)\mu C_{N,p}^p 4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}}}{(4^*-2)p} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma p)\mu C_{N,p}^p 4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}}}{(4^*-2)p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} \cdot \frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p} \left(\frac{\mu C_{N,p}^p}{p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(\frac{2-p\gamma p}{4^*-2} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \\
&\quad + c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} \cdot \frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p} \left(\frac{\mu C_{N,p}^p}{p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(\frac{2-p\gamma p}{4^*-2} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)(4^*-2)}{2(4^*-p\gamma p)}} \left(\frac{\mu C_{N,p}^p}{p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(\frac{2-p\gamma p}{4^*-2} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \\
&\quad \left[\left(\frac{2-p\gamma p}{4^*-2} \right)^{-1} + 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)(4^*-2)}{2(4^*-p\gamma p)}} \left(\frac{4^*-p\gamma p}{2-p\gamma p} \right) \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma p)\mu C_{N,p}^p}{(4^*-2)p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{4^*-p\gamma p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the definition of c_* in (3.1), the conclusions hold true immediately. \square

On the basis of Lemma 3.1, we can naturally introduce the constraint set for our problem (1.1). Actually, consider the function

$$\begin{aligned}
h_c(r) &= \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} r^{p\gamma p} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*} \\
&= r^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} r^{p\gamma p-2} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*-2} \right] \\
&:= r^2 g_c(r), \quad r \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For the simplicity of the notation, from now on, let

$$r_* := r_{c_*} > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

being determined by (3.4). Obviously, as a special case of Lemma 3.1, there hold that $g_{c_*}(r_*) = 0$ and $g_c(r_*) > 0$ for $c \in (0, c_*)$. Meanwhile, if $c \in (0, c_*)$, $h_c(r)$ has exactly three zeros 0, r_1 and r_2 with $0 < r_1 < r_* < r_2 < +\infty$. Indeed, analyze the derivatives of $h_c(r)$ up to third order:

$$\begin{aligned}
h'_c(r) &= r - \mu C_{N,p}^p \gamma p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} r^{p\gamma p-1} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*-1}, \\
h''_c(r) &= 1 - \mu C_{N,p}^p \gamma p (p\gamma p - 1) c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma p)}{2}} 4r^{p\gamma p-2} - \frac{4^* - 1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*-2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$h_c'''(r) = -\mu C_{N,p}^p \gamma_p (p\gamma_p - 1)(p\gamma_p - 2) c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}} r^{p\gamma_p-3} - \frac{(4^* - 1)(4^* - 2)}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*-3},$$

the figure of $h_c(r)$ can be shown below:




Figure 1: figure of $h_c(r)$ for $0 < c < c_*$

Summarizing the above discussions, we are allowed to introduce the following set:

$$V_r(c) := \{u \in S_r(c) : \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 < r_*^2\}. \quad (3.7)$$

In the sequel, the functional I will be restricted to $V_r(c)$ for $c \in (0, c_*)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The functional I is bounded from below in $V_r(c)$ and $m_*(c) := \inf_{u \in V_r(c)} I(u) < 0$.*

Proof. By (2.1) and (2.2), for any $u \in V_r(c)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_2^{p\gamma_p} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \|\Delta u\|_2^{4^*} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma_p}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{2}} \left(\sqrt{\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2} \right)^{p\gamma_p} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\sqrt{\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2} \right)^{4^*} \\ &= h_c \left(\sqrt{\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2} \right) \geq \inf_{r \in [0, r_*]} h_c(r) > -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the functional I is bounded from below in $V_r(c)$. Fix $u \in S_r(c)$, obviously, $\mathcal{H}(u, s)(x) = e^{\frac{Ns}{2}} u(e^s x) \in S_r(c)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and a direct calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathcal{H}(u, s)) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|\mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &= \frac{e^{4s}}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{e^{2s}}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s}}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{e^{24^* s}}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2p\gamma_p = \frac{N(p-2)}{2} < 2$ and $24^* > 4$, there exists $s_0 < 0$ such that $\|\Delta \mathcal{H}(u, s_0)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \mathcal{H}(u, s_0)\|_2^2 = e^{4s_0} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + e^{2s_0} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 < r_*^2$ and $I(\mathcal{H}(u, s_0)) < 0$. Hence, $m_*(c) < 0$. \square

Next, we prove that I satisfies the $(PS)_a$ condition on $V_r(c)$.

Lemma 3.3. For $c \in (0, c_*)$, I verifies a local $(PS)_a$ condition on $V_r(c)$ for the level $a < 0$.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_a$ sequence of I restricts to $V_r(c)$ with $a < 0$, i.e.

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow a < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (I|_{V_r(c)})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.8)$$

and $\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 < r_*^2$. Therefore, take into account that the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ and the compactness of the embedding $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $u \in H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that up to a subsequence if necessary,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in} \quad H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (2 < p < 4^*) \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, we claim that $u \neq 0$. If not, (3.9) brings that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let

$$h(r) := \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

By a direct calculation, we get

$$h'(r) = r - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{4^*-1},$$

which implies that $h'(r) = 0$ has a unique solution

$$\tilde{r} := S^{\frac{N}{8}}.$$

Moreover, $h(r)$ is increasing in $(0, \tilde{r})$ and is decreasing in (\tilde{r}, ∞) . Meanwhile, in consideration of (3.1), we see that

$$c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)(4^*-2)}{2(4^*-p\gamma_p)}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p}{\mu C_{N,p}^p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2-p\gamma_p}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(\frac{4^*-2}{2-p\gamma_p} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \frac{2-p\gamma_p}{4^*-p\gamma_p}, \quad (3.10)$$

which brings that

$$\begin{aligned} c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \left(\frac{(4^*-2)p}{(2-p\gamma_p)\mu C_{N,p}^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2(2-p\gamma_p)}{(4^*-p\gamma_p)(4^*-2)}} \left(\frac{2-p\gamma_p}{4^*-p\gamma_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{(4^*-2)p}{(2-p\gamma_p)\mu C_{N,p}^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \right]^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{4^*-2}} \left(\frac{2-p\gamma_p}{4^*-p\gamma_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{(4^*-2)p}{(2-p\gamma_p)\mu C_{N,p}^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(\frac{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \left(\frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(\frac{2-p\gamma_p}{4^*-p\gamma_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{(4^*-2)p}{(2-p\gamma_p)\mu 4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}} C_{N,p}^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma_p)4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{2(4^*-p\gamma_p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \\ &= r_c^{-2} c^{\frac{p(1-\gamma_p)}{4^*-p\gamma_p}} \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma_p)4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{2(4^*-p\gamma_p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Equivalently, it states that

$$\begin{aligned} r_c &\leq \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma_p)4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{2(4^*-p\gamma_p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*-2}} = \left(\frac{(2-p\gamma_p)4^*}{2(4^*-p\gamma_p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*-2}} S^{\frac{N}{8}} \\ &= \left(\frac{8N - N^2(p-2)}{8N - N^2(p-2) + 4N(p-2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*-2}} S^{\frac{N}{8}} < S^{\frac{N}{8}} = \tilde{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

In particular, there holds that

$$0 < r_* = r_{c_*} < S^{\frac{N}{8}} = \tilde{r}. \quad (3.13)$$

Together with $h(0) = 0$, we refer that

$$h(r) \geq 0, \quad r \in [0, r_*]. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence, utilizing (1.14), (2.2), (3.14) and $\|u_n\|_p^p \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \|\Delta u_n\|_2^{4^*} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h \left(\sqrt{\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2} \right) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p \\ &\geq -\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p = o_n(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

which contradicts $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = a < 0$ in (3.8). Thus, the weak limit u is nontrivial.

For the sequence $\{u_n\}$, in view of [30, Proposition 5.12], there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I'(u_n) - \lambda_n \Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_r^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.16)$$

where $H_r^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the dual space of $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\Psi : H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx. \quad (3.17)$$

As a consequence, it infers that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta \varphi + \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi - \lambda_n u_n \varphi - \mu |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi - |u_n|^{4^*-2} u_n \varphi dx = o_n(1) \|\varphi\| \quad (3.18)$$

for $\varphi \in H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, due to the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we see that

$$\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \|u_n\|_p^p - \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = \lambda_n \|u_n\|_2^2 + o_n(1) = \lambda_n c + o_n(1), \quad (3.19)$$

which indicates that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a bounded sequence, and thus, up to a subsequence, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we claim $\lambda < 0$. Indeed, it follows from (3.19) and the fact $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = a < 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n c &= \lambda_n \|u_n\|_2^2 = \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \|u_n\|_p^p - \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1) \\ &= \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{2\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{2}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + \frac{(2-p)\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p \\ &\quad + \frac{2-4^*}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1) \\ &= 2I(u_n) + \frac{(2-p)\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{2-4^*}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1) \\ &= 2a + \frac{(2-p)\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{2-4^*}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1) \leq 2a + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of the above inequality, we have $\lambda \leq \frac{2a}{c} < 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the space of finite measure in \mathbb{R}^N . Using the concentration-compactness principle due to [1, Lemma 2.1], we can find there exist two bounded positive measures $\kappa, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$|\Delta u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad |u_n|^{4^*} \rightharpoonup \nu \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exist an at most countable index set \mathcal{J} , a family $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ of distinct points in \mathbb{R}^N and a family $\{\nu_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\nu = |u|^{4^*} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \nu_j \delta_{x_j},$$

where δ_{x_j} is the Dirac mass at the point x_j . Moreover, we have

$$\kappa \geq |\Delta u|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \kappa_j \delta_{x_j},$$

for some family $\{\kappa_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ of positive numbers satisfying

$$S\nu_j^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \leq \kappa_j, \quad j \in \mathcal{J}. \quad (3.20)$$

In particular, $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \nu_j^{\frac{2}{4^*}} < \infty$. In view of Claim 2.3 in [1], we know that \mathcal{J} is either empty or finite. Suppose that \mathcal{J} is nonempty but finite. For $\rho > 1$ and some $j \in \mathcal{J}$, consider one cut-off function $\tilde{\varphi}_\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, 1])$ such that

$$\varphi_\rho^j(x) := \tilde{\varphi}_\rho\left(\frac{x - x_j}{\rho}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x - x_j| \leq \frac{\rho}{2}; \\ 0, & \text{if } |x - x_j| \geq \rho, \end{cases}$$

$|\nabla \varphi_\rho^j| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}$ and $|\Delta \varphi_\rho^j| \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$ (see also [1, Claim 2.3]). Moreover, by the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we know that $\{\varphi_\rho^j u_n\}$ is also bounded in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} o_n(1) &= \langle I'(u_n), \varphi_\rho^j u_n \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta(\varphi_\rho^j u_n) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(\varphi_\rho^j u_n) dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{4^*} \varphi_\rho^j dx. \end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta(\varphi_\rho^j u_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \Delta u_n \Delta \varphi_\rho^j dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \nabla \varphi_\rho^j \cdot \nabla u_n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\rho^j |\Delta u_n|^2 dx \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(\varphi_\rho^j u_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho^j dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\rho^j |\nabla u_n|^2 dx.$$

For the first term on the right in (3.21), by using Hölder's inequality with exponents 2, 2 and the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \Delta u_n \Delta \varphi_\rho^j dx \right| &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u_n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u_n^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u_n^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx - \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u_n + u| |u_n - u| dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u_n + u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n + u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*}} \\
& \leq C \omega^{1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*}} \rho^{(1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*})N - 2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u_n^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u^2 |\Delta \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \\
&\leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \rho^{(1 - \frac{2}{4^*})N - 2} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \\
&\leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \rho^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where ω is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus, we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \Delta u_n \Delta \varphi_\rho^j dx = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Arguing as (3.23), there hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho^j dx \right| &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= C \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u_n^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\left| \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u_n^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx - \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u_n + u| |u_n - u| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u_n + u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n + u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*}} \\
&\leq C \omega^{1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*}} \rho^{(1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^*})N - 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u_n^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} u^2 |\nabla \varphi_\rho^j|^2 dx \\
&\leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \rho^{(1 - \frac{2}{4^*})N - 1} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \rho^3 \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \\
&\leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^3 \omega^{1 - \frac{2}{4^*}} \|u\|_{4^*}^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho^j dx = 0.$$

At the same time, the following fact is given in [10, Page 873: line 2]:

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \nabla \varphi_\rho^j \cdot \nabla u_n dx = 0.$$

Before completing our main purpose, we need to complete the following necessary estimates.

Utilizing Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{4^*}{p}$ and $\frac{4^*}{4^* - p}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx &\leq \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |\varphi_\rho^j|^{\frac{4^*}{4^* - p}} dx \right)^{\frac{4^* - p}{4^*}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j)} 1 dx \right)^{\frac{4^* - p}{4^*}} \\
&= \omega^{\frac{4^* - p}{4^*}} \rho^{\frac{(4^* - p)N}{4^*}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u|^{4^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{4^*}},
\end{aligned}$$

combining this with $2 + \frac{8}{N} < \frac{(4^* - p)N}{4^*}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx = \int_{B_\rho(x_j) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j)} |u|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx,$$

and again by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \varphi_\rho^j dx = 0.$$

All together with the above estimates, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} \varphi_\rho^j dx + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nu_j \delta_{x_j} \varphi_\rho^j dx \right) \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\rho^j d\nu = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{4^*} \varphi_\rho^j dx \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u_n|^2 \varphi_\rho^j dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi_\rho^j dx \right) \\
&\geq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u_n|^2 \varphi_\rho^j dx = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\rho^j d\kappa \\
&\geq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \varphi_\rho^j dx + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \kappa_j \delta_{x_j} \varphi_\rho^j dx \right) \geq \kappa_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Again by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral we deduce that $\nu_j \geq \kappa_j$. Together with (3.20), we deduce that $\kappa_j \geq S\nu_j^{\frac{2}{4^*}} \geq S\kappa_j^{\frac{2}{4^*}}$, i.e. $\kappa_j \geq S^{\frac{N}{4}}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} r_* &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u_n|^2 \varphi_\rho^j dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\rho^j d\kappa \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \varphi_\rho^j dx + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \kappa_j \delta_{x_j} \varphi_\rho^j dx \\ &\geq \kappa_j \geq S^{\frac{N}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with (3.13). Such a conclusion implies that $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{4^*} \varphi_\rho^j dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\rho^j d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} \varphi_\rho^j dx,$$

which means

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L_{loc}^{4^*}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.24)$$

On the other hand, taking into account of $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the Radial Lemma A.II in [5] and $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deduce that

$$|u_n(x)| \leq \frac{C_1 \|u_n\|_{H^2}}{|x|^{\frac{N-1}{2}}} \leq \frac{C_2}{|x|^{\frac{N-1}{2}}} \quad a.e. \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

so, one has

$$|u_n(x)|^{4^*} \leq \frac{C_3}{|x|^{\frac{N(N-1)}{N-4}}} \quad a.e. \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Recalling that $\frac{C_3}{|\cdot|^{\frac{N(N-1)}{N-4}}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L^{4^*}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)). \quad (3.25)$$

From (3.24) and (3.25), we conclude that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L^{4^*}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Now, using $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{4^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mu |u_n|^p + |u_n|^{4^*} \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mu |u|^p + |u|^{4^*} \right) dx.$$

Combine this with (3.19) and the fact that u is a nontrivial solution of the following equation

$$\Delta^2 u - \Delta u - \lambda u = \mu |u|^{p-2} u + |u|^{4^*-2} u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \lambda \|u_n\|_2^2 \right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mu \|u_n\|_p^p + \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \\ &= \mu \|u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &= \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \lambda \|u\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

As $\lambda < 0$, (3.26) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\lambda \|u\|_2^2 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\lambda \|u_n\|_2^2 \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\lambda \|u_n\|_2^2 \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\lambda \|u_n\|_2^2 \right) + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \lambda \|u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \\
&= -\lambda \|u\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\|u_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow \|u\|_2^2 = c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Together this with (3.26) again, we conclude that

$$\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Through Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we can obtain Theorem 1.1 directly. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Now, we present a minimax theorem which is proved by Jeanjean and Lu [16, Section 2]. In order to formulate the minimax theorem, some notations are needed. Let \mathbb{X} be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}}$ and \mathbb{H} be a real Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{H}}$. In the sequel, let us identify \mathbb{H} with its dual space and assume that \mathbb{X} is embedded continuously in \mathbb{H} . For any $k > 0$, define the manifold

$$\mathcal{Q} := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{X} \mid (u, u)_{\mathbb{H}} = k \right\},$$

which is endowed with the topology inherited from \mathbb{X} . Clearly, the tangent space of \mathcal{Q} at a point $u \in \mathcal{Q}$ is defined by

$$T_u \mathcal{Q} := \left\{ v \in \mathbb{X} \mid (u, v)_{\mathbb{H}} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $J \in C^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R})$, then $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a functional of class C^1 on \mathcal{Q} . The norm of the derivative of $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ at any point $u \in \mathcal{Q}$ is defined by

$$\|J'|_{\mathcal{Q}}(u)\| := \sup_{\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}} \leq 1, v \in T_u \mathcal{Q}} |\langle J'(u), v \rangle|.$$

A point $u \in \mathcal{Q}$ is said to be a critical point of $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ if $(J|_{\mathcal{Q}})'(u) = 0$ (or, equivalently, $\|(J|_{\mathcal{Q}})'(u)\| = 0$). A number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a critical value of $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ if $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ has a critical point $u \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $a = J(u)$.

Noting that \mathcal{Q} is symmetric with respect to $0 \in \mathbb{X}$ and $0 \notin \mathcal{Q}$, we introduce the notation of the genus. Let Σ be the family of closed symmetric subsets of \mathcal{Q} . For any nonempty set $\Gamma \in \Sigma$, the genus $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ of \mathcal{Q} is defined as the least integer $m \geq 1$ for which there exists an odd continuous mapping $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$. We set $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma) = +\infty$ if such an integer does not exist and $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma) = 0$ if $\Gamma = \emptyset$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let

$$\Gamma_m := \left\{ \Gamma \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{G}(\Gamma) \geq m \right\}.$$

Now, we are ready to state the minimax theorem which will be used later on, which is a particular case of [16, Theorem 2.1].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $J : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an even functional of class C^1 . Assume that $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is bounded from below and satisfies the $(PS)_a$ condition for all $a < 0$, and that $\Gamma_m \neq \emptyset$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the minimax values $-\infty < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_m \leq \dots$ can be defined as follows:*

$$a_m := \inf_{\Gamma \in \Gamma_m} \sup_{u \in \Gamma} J(u), \quad m \geq 1,$$

and the following statements hold:

(i) a_m is a critical value of $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ provided $a_m < 0$;

(ii) denote by K_a the set of critical points of $J|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ at one level $a \in \mathbb{R}$. If

$$a_m = a_{m+1} = \dots = a_{m+l-1} =: a < 0 \quad \text{for } m, l \geq 1,$$

then $\mathcal{G}(K_a) \geq l$. In particular, $I|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ has infinitely many critical points at the level a if $l \geq 2$.

(iii) if $a_m < 0$ for all $m \geq 1$, then $a_m \rightarrow 0^-$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists an m -dimensional subspace $E_m \subset H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that has a basis of the form $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\} \subseteq V_r(c)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_i u_j dx = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $T_m \subseteq V_r(c)$. Moreover, there exists $\mu_m := \mu(m) > 0$ such that $\max_{u \in T_m} I(u) < 0$ when $\mu \geq \mu_m$, where $V_r(c)$ is given in (3.7) and*

$$T_m := \left\{ s_1 u_1 + \dots + s_m u_m : s_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subseteq C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that

$$\|v_i\|_2^2 = c, \quad \|\Delta v_i\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_i\|_2^2 < r_*^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$\text{supp } v_i \cap \text{supp } v_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where $\text{supp } v_i$ is the support of v_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $s \leq 0$, let

$$\Phi(s, v_i)(x) := e^{\frac{Ns}{2}} v_i(e^s x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

it is clear that $\Phi(s, v_i) \in H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and

$$\text{supp } \Phi(s, v_i) \cap \text{supp } \Phi(s, v_j) = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, since $s \leq 0$ and $\text{supp } \Phi(s, v_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\|\Phi(s, v_i)\|_2^2 = c$$

and

$$\|\Delta\Phi(s, v_i)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla\Phi(s, v_i)\|_2^2 = e^{4s}\|\Delta v_i\|_2^2 + e^{2s}\|\nabla v_i\|_2^2 =: \omega < r_*^2.$$

Hence, $\Phi(s, v_i) \in V_r(c)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $s \leq 0$. Setting $\tilde{v} := \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \Phi(s, v_i)$, for every $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2 = 1$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \Phi(s, v_i) \right|^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Phi(s, v_i)|^2 dx = c \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta\tilde{v}| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\tilde{v}| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \Delta \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \Phi(s, v_i) \right|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \nabla \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \Phi(s, v_i) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta\Phi(s, v_i)|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\Phi(s, v_i)|^2 dx = \omega < r_*^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Let $u_i := \Phi(s, v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and clearly, $\tilde{v} = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i u_i \in T_m$. Meanwhile, it follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that $\tilde{v} \in V_r(c)$. Thus, we conclude that $T_m \subseteq V_r(c)$.

In view of $\dim E_m = m$, all the norms are equivalent and define

$$\alpha_m := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p dx : v \in S_r \left(\frac{c}{\omega} \right) \cap E_m, \|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 = 1 \right\} > 0$$

and

$$\beta_m := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{4^*} dx : v \in S_r \left(\frac{c}{\omega} \right) \cap E_m, \|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 = 1 \right\} > 0,$$

where $v := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \tilde{v}$. Combining this with (1.14), we refer that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tilde{v}) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta\tilde{v}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla\tilde{v}\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\tilde{v}\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|\tilde{v}\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega - \frac{\mu \omega^{\frac{p}{2}}}{p} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\|_p^p - \frac{\omega^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{4^*} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \omega - \frac{\mu \omega^{\frac{p}{2}}}{p} \alpha_m - \frac{\omega^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{4^*} \beta_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can choose $\mu_m > 0$ such that

$$I(\tilde{v}) < 0, \quad \tilde{v} \in T_m$$

for $\mu \geq \mu_m$. □

Let

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := \left\{ A \subseteq V_r(c) : A \text{ is closed and } A = -A \right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_m := \left\{ A \in \tilde{\Sigma} : \mathcal{G}(A) \geq m \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is the genus of A . If $\tilde{\Gamma}_m \neq \emptyset$, we set

$$a_m := \inf_{A \in \tilde{\Gamma}_m} \sup_{u \in A} I(u).$$

In the following, we prove that $\tilde{\Gamma}_m \neq \emptyset$ and $a_m \in (-\infty, 0)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, one has $\tilde{\Gamma}_m \neq \emptyset$ and $a_m \in (-\infty, 0)$.*

Proof. Let $T_m \subseteq V_r(c)$ be obtained by Lemma 4.2 and then, $T_m \in \tilde{\Sigma}$. Denote \mathcal{S}^{m-1} to be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^m , clearly, T_m is homeomorphic to \mathcal{S}^{m-1} (see also in [33, Corollary 5.3]). By using the properties of genus, we derive that $\mathcal{G}(T_m) = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}^{m-1}) = m$, which implies that $T_m \in \tilde{\Gamma}_m$. Furthermore, combine with Lemmas 3.2 and 4.2, there holds

$$-\infty < m_*(c) \leq a_m \leq \sup_{u \in T_m} I(u) < 0$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Lemma 3.3, Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.3, we know that there exist at least m couples (u_j, λ_j) ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) of critical points for $I|_{V_r(c)}$ when $\mu \geq \mu_m$ and $0 < c < c_*$. Moreover, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there hold

$$I(u_j) < 0, \quad \|u_j\|_2^2 = c \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j < 0.$$

In particular, we also have

$$I(u_m) = a_m \rightarrow 0^- \quad \text{as} \quad m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

□

5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

At the beginning of this section, we use the minimax approach to draw some conclusions.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. For any fixed $u \in S_r(c)$, one has $I(\mathcal{H}(u, s)) \rightarrow 0^+$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ and $I(\mathcal{H}(u, s)) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Some direct calculations bring that

$$\|\Delta \mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_2^2 = e^{4s} \|\Delta u\|_2^2, \quad \|\nabla \mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_2^2 = e^{2s} \|\nabla u\|_2^2, \quad \|\mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_p^p = e^{2p\gamma_p s} \|u\|_p^p$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}(u, s)\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = e^{24^* s} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*}.$$

Since $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ implies that $p\gamma_p \geq 2$, it is obvious to see that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathcal{H}(u, s)) &= \frac{e^{4s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{e^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \frac{e^{24^* s}}{4^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{4^*} dx \\ &\begin{cases} \rightarrow 0^+, & s \rightarrow -\infty; \\ \rightarrow -\infty, & s \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. For any $u \in S_r(c)$, we have:*

- (i) *there exists a unique $s_u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(u, s_u) \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$ and s_u is a strict maximum point for the functional $I(\mathcal{H}(u, s))$ at a positive level. Moreover, $s_u = 0$ when $u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$;*
- (ii) *if $I(u) \leq 0$, then $P(u) < 0$.*

Proof. (i) In view of Lemma 5.1, $I(\mathcal{H}(u, s))$ has a global maximum point $s_u \in \mathbb{R}$ at a positive level. Thus, we derive that

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} I(\mathcal{H}(u, s_u)) = 2e^{4s_u} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + e^{2s_u} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - 2\mu\gamma_p e^{2p\gamma_p s_u} \|u\|_p^p - 2e^{24^* s_u} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = 2P(\mathcal{H}(u, s_u)). \quad (5.2)$$

That is to say, $\mathcal{H}(u, s_u) \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$. Hence, it remains to check the uniqueness of s_u . Suppose that there exist $\tilde{s}_u \neq s_u$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} I(\mathcal{H}(u, \tilde{s}_u)) = 0$. Then, a simple calculation yields that

$$\mu\gamma_p \left(e^{(2p\gamma_p - 4)s_u} - e^{(2p\gamma_p - 4)\tilde{s}_u} \right) \|u\|_p^p + \left(e^{(24^* - 4)s_u} - e^{(24^* - 4)\tilde{s}_u} \right) \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-2s_u} - e^{-2\tilde{s}_u} \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

which is impossible under the assumption $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$.

(ii) From (5.2), we see that $I(\mathcal{H}(u, s))$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, s_u]$ and is strictly decreasing on $[s_u, +\infty)$. Together with Lemma 5.2, there holds that

$$I(\mathcal{H}(u, s)) \leq I(\mathcal{H}(u, 0)) = I(u) \leq 0 \text{ for } s \geq 0,$$

which indicates that $s_u < 0$. Subsequently, on account of

$$2P(\mathcal{H}(u, s_u)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} I(\mathcal{H}(u, s_u)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2P(u) = 2P(\mathcal{H}(u, 0)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} I(\mathcal{H}(u, 0)),$$

we immediately draw the conclusion. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. Define*

$$K(c, \mu) := \min \left\{ \frac{8}{4^*} \left(\frac{4^* S}{16} \right)^{\frac{N}{4}}, 4 \left(\frac{S}{4} \right)^{\frac{N}{4}}, \left(\frac{p}{8C_{N,p}^p 2^{\frac{p\gamma_p}{2}} \mu c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p\gamma_p-2}}, \left(\frac{p}{(p-2)NC_{N,p}^p \mu c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p\gamma_p-2}} \right\}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\mathcal{A} := \{u \in S_r(c) : \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq K(c, \mu)\} \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} := \{u \in S_r(c) : \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = 2K(c, \mu)\}. \quad (5.5)$$

For given $c > 0$, we see that:

$$(i) \quad 0 < \sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} I(u) < \inf_{u \in \mathcal{B}} I(u);$$

(ii) *there holds that $I(u) > 0$ for any $u \in S_r(c)$ with $\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq K(c, \mu)$. Moreover,*

$$I_* := \inf \left\{ I(u) : u \in S_r(c) \text{ and } \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \right\} > 0.$$

Proof. (i) For the case $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$, if $u \in \mathcal{A}$, $v \in \mathcal{B}$, we derive from (2.1), (2.2) and (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(v) - I(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|v\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|v\|_{4^*}^{4^*} - \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|v\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|v\|_{4^*}^{4^*} - \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} K(c, \mu) - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p 2^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} - \frac{2^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} K(c, \mu) - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p 2^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} K(c, \mu) - \frac{2^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

(ii) From (2.1)-(2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\
&= \|\Delta u\|_2^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \right] \\
&= \|\Delta u\|_2^2 f(c, \|\Delta u\|_2^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(c, r) := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} r^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

For any $u \in S_r(c)$ with $\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \leq \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq K(c, \mu)$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(c, \|\Delta u\|_2^2) &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p 2^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} - \frac{2^{\frac{4^*}{2}}}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $I(u) > 0$ for any $u \in S_r(c)$ with $\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq K(c, \mu)$.

If $\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2}$, according to the definition of $K(c, \mu)$, we readily infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\
&= \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(\frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \right] \\
&> \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \left[\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu}{p} C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma p}{2}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{p\gamma p-2}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4^* S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(K(c, \mu) \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \right] \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which states that $I_* > 0$. □

Remark 5.4. For any given $u \in S_r(c)$, take into account Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, obviously there are two numbers

$$s_1 := s_1(c, \mu, u) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 := s_2(c, \mu, u) > 0 \quad (5.7)$$

to guarantee that the functions

$$\hat{u}_{1,\mu} := \mathcal{H}(u, s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_{2,\mu} := \mathcal{H}(u, s_2) \quad (5.8)$$

satisfy

$$\|\Delta \hat{u}_{1,\mu}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \hat{u}_{1,\mu}\|_2^2 < \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2}, \quad \|\Delta \hat{u}_{2,\mu}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \hat{u}_{2,\mu}\|_2^2 > 2K(c, \mu), \quad I(\hat{u}_{1,\mu}) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I(\hat{u}_{2,\mu}) < 0.$$

Therefore, following the idea from Jeanjean [15], we can determine the following mountain pass level denoted by

$$\gamma_\mu(c) := \inf_{h \in \Gamma(c)} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(h(t)) \quad (5.9)$$

with

$$\Gamma(c) := \left\{ h \in C([0, 1], S_r(c)) : \|\Delta h(0)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla h(0)\|_2^2 < \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad I(h(1)) < 0 \right\}. \quad (5.10)$$

To guarantee the existence of (PS) sequence for I at the level $\gamma_\mu(c)$ defined in (5.9), we need the help of an auxiliary functional $\tilde{I} : E = H^2(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given below

$$\tilde{I}(u, s) := \frac{e^{4s}}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{e^{2s}}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s}}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{e^{24^* s}}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = I(\mathcal{H}(u, s)). \quad (5.11)$$

As the functional \tilde{I} is concerned, it is necessary to consider the minimax level

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) := \inf_{\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(\tilde{h}(t)), \quad (5.12)$$

where the path family $\tilde{\Gamma}(c)$ is supposed to satisfy the following requirement

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}(c) := \left\{ \tilde{h} = (\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2) \in C([0, 1], S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}) : \tilde{h}(0) = (\tilde{h}_1(0), 0), \quad \tilde{h}(1) = (\tilde{h}_1(1), 0), \right. \\ \left. \|\Delta \tilde{h}_1(0)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{h}_1(0)\|_2^2 < \frac{K(c, \mu)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{I}(\tilde{h}_1(1), 0) < 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Lemma 5.5. Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. Then, we have

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c) = m_r(c) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)} I(u) > 0. \quad (5.14)$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c)$. For any $\tilde{h}(t) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)$, it can be rewritten as $\tilde{h}(t) = (\tilde{h}_1(t), \tilde{h}_2(t)) \in S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}$. Set $h(t) = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{h}_1(t), \tilde{h}_2(t))$, then $h(t) \in \Gamma(c)$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(\tilde{h}(t)) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(h(t)) \geq \gamma_\mu(c),$$

which implies that $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) \geq \gamma_\mu(c)$. On the other hand, for any $h \in \Gamma(c)$, denote $\tilde{h}(t) = (h(t), 0)$, then $\tilde{h}(t) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(\tilde{h}(t)) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(h(t)),$$

it means that $\gamma_\mu(c) \geq \tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c)$. Therefore, we conclude that $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c)$.

Secondly, we state that $\gamma_\mu(c) = m_r(c)$. For any $u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3 imply the existence of two constants $s_1 = s_1(c, \mu, u) < 0$ and $s_2 = s_2(c, \mu, u) > 0$ to guarantee that $h(t) := \mathcal{H}(u, (1-t)s_1 + ts_2)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, belongs to $\Gamma(c)$, Therefore, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that

$$\gamma_\mu(c) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(h(t)) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\mathcal{H}(u, (1-t)s_1 + ts_2)) \leq I(u),$$

namely, $\gamma_\mu(c) \leq m_r(c)$.

On the other hand, for any $\tilde{h}(t) := (\tilde{h}_1(t), \tilde{h}_2(t)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)$, we consider the function

$$\tilde{P}(t) := P(\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h}_1(t), \tilde{h}_2(t))).$$

From (1.16), (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(u) &= \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \mu \gamma_p \|u\|_p^p - \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &\geq \|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} (\|\Delta u\|_2^2)^{\frac{p\gamma_p}{2}} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} (\|\Delta u\|_2^2)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\ &= \|\Delta u\|_2^2 \left(1 - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} (\|\Delta u\|_2^2)^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} (\|\Delta u\|_2^2)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \right) \\ &= \|\Delta u\|_2^2 g(c, \|\Delta u\|_2^2), \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$g(c, r) := 1 - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} r^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} r^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

For any $u \in \mathcal{A}$ defined in (5.4), from the definition of $K(c, \mu) > 0$ in (5.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g(c, \|\Delta u\|_2^2) &= 1 - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} (\|\Delta u\|_2^2)^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} (\|\Delta u\|_2^2)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\ &\geq 1 - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\ &\geq 1 - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} (K(c, \mu))^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{2}} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} (K(c, \mu))^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} (K(c, \mu))^{\frac{p\gamma_p-2}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} (K(c, \mu))^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which brings that

$$P(u) > 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{5.16}$$

Thereby, utilizing (5.16) and Lemma 5.2 (ii), we infer that

$$\tilde{P}(0) = P(\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h}_1(0), \tilde{h}_2(0))) = P(\tilde{h}_1(0)) > 0$$

and

$$\tilde{P}(1) = P(\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h}_1(1), \tilde{h}_2(1))) = P(\tilde{h}_1(1)) < 0.$$

Take advantage of the continuity of $\tilde{P}_r(t)$, there exists some $\tilde{t} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\tilde{P}(\tilde{t}) = 0$, which means that $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h}_1(\tilde{t}), \tilde{h}_2(\tilde{t})) \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$. Consequently, one has

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \tilde{I}(\tilde{h}(t)) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I\left(\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h}_1(t), \tilde{h}_2(t))\right) \geq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)} I(u).$$

Due to the arbitrariness of $\tilde{h}(t)$, it signifies that $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c) \geq m_r(c)$. Hence, $\gamma_\mu(c) = m_r(c)$.

Finally, we claim that $m_r(c) > 0$. For any $u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$, by using (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) &\leq \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &= \mu \gamma_p \|u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &\leq \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma_p}{2}} + \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}} \\ &\leq \mu \gamma_p C_{N,p}^p c^{\frac{p-p\gamma_p}{2}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{p\gamma_p}{2}} + \frac{1}{S^{\frac{4^*}{2}}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{4^*}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Together this with the fact $\frac{4^*}{2} > 1$ and $\frac{p\gamma_p}{2} > 1$, we know that there exists one $\xi > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \geq \xi.$$

Therefore, for any $u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= I(u) - \frac{1}{4^*} P(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} - \frac{1}{4^*} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{24^*} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu \gamma_p}{4^*} \|u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*} \right) \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{24^*} \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \mu \left(\frac{\gamma_p}{4^*} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|u\|_p^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*} \right) \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{24^*} \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \mu \left(\frac{\gamma_p}{4^*} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \frac{1}{\mu \gamma_p} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p\gamma_p} \right) \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p\gamma_p} \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p\gamma_p} \right) \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p\gamma_p} \right) \xi > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

since $p\gamma_p - 4^* = \frac{N[(N-4)p-2N]}{4(N-4)} < 0$ and

$$\mu \gamma_p \|u\|_p^p = \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \|u\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \leq \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

□

Based on Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.5 and the definition of \tilde{I} , it indicates that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c) > \sup_{\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)} \{I(h_1(0)), I(h_1(1))\} = \sup_{\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)} \{\tilde{I}(\tilde{h}(0)), \tilde{I}(\tilde{h}(1))\} =: (\tilde{\gamma}_\mu)_0(c). \tag{5.18}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$, for $0 < \varepsilon < \tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) - (\tilde{\gamma}_\mu)_0(c)$, choose $g \in \tilde{\Gamma}(c)$ such that $\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \tilde{I}(g(t)) \leq \tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) + \varepsilon$. Then there exists $(v, s) \in S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ to guarantee that*

$$(i) \quad \tilde{I}(v, s) \in [\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) - \varepsilon, \tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) + \varepsilon];$$

$$(ii) \min_{t \in [0,1]} \|(v, s) - g(t)\|_E \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon};$$

$$(iii) \|(\tilde{I}|_{S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}})'(v, s)\|_{E'} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c)$, $\tilde{\Gamma}(c)$ and $(\tilde{\gamma}_\mu)_0(c)$ are given in (5.12), (5.13) and (5.18), respectively.

Proof. Its proof is almost the repetition of the process of [19, Lemma 2.5], so we omit the details. \square

The following proposition can be obtained directly from the above lemma.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. Choose $\{g_n\} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}(c)$ such that $\max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(g_n(t)) \leq \tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) + \frac{1}{n}$, then there exists a sequence $\{(v_n, s_n)\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(i) \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) \in [\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) - \frac{1}{n}, \tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) + \frac{1}{n}];$$

$$(ii) \min_{t \in [0,1]} \|(v_n, s_n) - g_n(t)\|_E \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}};$$

$$(iii) \|(\tilde{I}|_{S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}})'(v_n, s_n)\|_{E'} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}, \text{ that is,}$$

$$|\langle \tilde{I}'(v_n, s_n), \omega \rangle_{E' \times E}| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \|\omega\|_E$$

$$\text{for all } \omega \in \tilde{T}_{(v_n, s_n)} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E, \langle v_n, \omega_1 \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}.$$

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. For the sequence $\{(v_n, s_n)\}$ obtained in Proposition 5.7, set $u_n := \mathcal{H}(v_n, s_n) = e^{\frac{Ns_n}{2}} v_n(e^{s_n} x)$, then there hold that*

$$(i) I(u_n) \rightarrow \gamma_\mu(c) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$(ii) \partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) = 2\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - 2\mu\gamma_p \|u_n\|_p^p - 2\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$(iii) \{\|u_n\|_{H^2}\} \text{ and } \{\frac{\mu}{p}\|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*}\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*}\} \text{ are bounded};$$

$$(iv) |\langle I'(u_n), \omega \rangle_{(H^2(\mathbb{R}^N))' \times H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon^4}{\sqrt{n}} \|\omega\|_{H^2} \text{ for all } \omega \in T_{u_n} := \{\omega \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \langle u_n, \omega \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}.$$

Proof. Observe that $I(u_n) = \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c)$, (i) is a direct conclusion from Proposition 5.7-(i).

(ii) Letting $\partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) = \langle \tilde{I}'(v_n, s_n), (0, 1) \rangle_{E' \times E}$, in view of Proposition 5.7-(iii), we see that

$$\partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

More precisely, a simple calculation indicates that

$$\partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) = 2\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - 2\mu\gamma_p \|u_n\|_p^p - 2\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(iii) Note the boundedness of $\tilde{I}(v_n, s_n)$, it follows that

$$-C_1 \leq \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) = I(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \leq C_1 \quad (5.19)$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. When $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$, the boundedness of $\{\tilde{I}(v_n, s_n)\}$ and $\{\partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n)\}$ also brings that for some constant $C_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-C_2 &\leq N\tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) + \partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{N}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu N}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{N}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + 2\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - 2\mu\gamma_p \|u_n\|_p^p - 2\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{N}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu N}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{N}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + 2\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - \mu N \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|u_n\|_p^p - 2\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\
&\leq \frac{N+4}{2} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{Np}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

since $\frac{N}{4^*} + 2 - \frac{Np}{24^*} = \frac{2N-(N-4)p}{4} > 0$. Combining (5.19) and (5.20), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
-C_2 &\leq \frac{N+4}{2} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{Np}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \\
&\leq (N+4)C_1 + (N+4) \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) - \frac{Np}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \\
&= (N+4)C_1 - \left(\frac{Np}{2} - N - 4 \right) \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $2 + \frac{8}{N} < p < 4^*$, the above inequality ensures that

$$\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \leq (N+4)C_1 + C_2 =: \varrho_1. \tag{5.21}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 &\leq 2 \left(\frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) + 2C_1 \\
&\leq 2(N+4)C_1 + 2C_2 + 2C_1 = 2(N+5)C_1 + 2C_2 =: \varrho_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

(iv) For $h_n \in T_{u_n}$ and $\tilde{h}_n(x) := e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} h_n(e^{-s_n x})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle I'(u_n), h_n \rangle_{(H^2)' \times H^2} \\
&= e^{\frac{(N+4)s_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta v_n(e^{s_n x}) \Delta h_n(x) dx + e^{\frac{(N+2)s_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n(e^{s_n x}) \cdot \nabla h_n(x) dx \\
&\quad - \mu e^{\frac{N(p-1)s_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(e^{s_n x})|^{p-2} v_n(e^{s_n x}) h_n(x) dx - e^{\frac{N(4^*-1)s_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(e^{s_n x})|^{4^*-2} v_n(e^{s_n x}) h_n(x) dx \\
&= e^{4s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta v_n(x) \Delta \left(h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} \right) dx + e^{2s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n(x) \cdot \nabla \left(h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} \right) dx \\
&\quad - \mu e^{\frac{N(p-2)s_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{p-2} v_n(x) h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} dx - e^{\frac{N(4^*-2)s_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{4^*-2} v_n(x) h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} dx \\
&= e^{4s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta v_n(x) \Delta \left(h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} \right) dx + e^{2s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n(x) \cdot \nabla \left(h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} \right) dx \\
&\quad - \mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{p-2} v_n(x) h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} dx - e^{24^* s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{4^*-2} v_n(x) h_n(e^{-s_n x}) e^{-\frac{N s_n}{2}} dx \\
&= \langle \tilde{I}'(v_n, s_n), (\tilde{h}_n, 0) \rangle_{E' \times E}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, since $h_n \in T_{u_n}$, that is, $\langle u_n, h_n \rangle_{L^2} = 0$, it gives that

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{Ns_n}{2}} v_n(e^{s_n x}) h_n(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n(x) e^{-\frac{Ns_n}{2}} h_n(e^{-s_n x}) dx = \langle v_n, \tilde{h}_n \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Hence, $(\tilde{h}_n, 0) \in \tilde{T}_{(v_n, s_n)}$, where $\tilde{T}_{(v_n, s_n)}$ is given in Proposition 5.7. Using this fact and Proposition 5.7-(iii), we see that

$$|\langle I'(u_n), h_n \rangle_{(H^2)' \times H^2}| = |\langle \tilde{I}'(v_n, s_n), (\tilde{h}_n, 0) \rangle_{E' \times E}| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \|(\tilde{h}_n, 0)\|_E.$$

Therefore, it only remains to verify that $\|(\tilde{h}_n, 0)\|_E \leq e^4 \|h_n\|_{H^2}$ for all n . Indeed, since $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu(c) = \gamma_\mu(c)$, there exists $\{g_n\} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}(c)$, being of the form $g_n(t) = ((g_n)_1(t), 0) \in E$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \tilde{I}(g_n(t)) \in [\gamma_\mu(c) - \frac{1}{n}, \gamma_\mu(c) + \frac{1}{n}].$$

Then, by Proposition 5.7-(ii), it brings that

$$|s_n|^2 = |s_n - 0|^2 \leq \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \|(v_n, s_n) - g_n(t)\|_E^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{h}_n, 0)\|_E^2 &= \|\tilde{h}_n\|_{H^2}^2 = e^{-4s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta h_n(x)|^2 dx + e^{-2s_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h_n(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |h_n(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq e^{\frac{4}{\sqrt{n}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta h_n(x)|^2 dx + e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h_n(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |h_n(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq e^4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta h_n(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h_n(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |h_n(x)|^2 dx \right) \\ &= e^4 \|h_n\|_{H^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we make some further discussions about the sequence $\{u_n\}$ appearing in Lemma 5.8, when the corresponding level $m_r(c)$ is restricted to one reasonable scope.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $N \geq 5$, $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. Suppose that $\{u_n\} \subset S_r(c)$ is the sequence given in Lemma 5.8 with $0 < m_r(c) < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}$, where S and $m_r(c)$ are given in (2.2) and (5.14). Then one of the following alternatives holds:*

(i) *either up to a subsequence $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ but not strongly, where $\bar{u} \neq 0$ is a solution to*

$$\Delta^2 u - \Delta u - \lambda u - \mu |u|^{p-2} u - |u|^{4^*-2} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (5.23)$$

with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \bar{\lambda} < 0$ for large enough μ and $I(\bar{u}) \leq m_r(c) - \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}$, where λ_n is given in (??) below;

(ii) *or up to a subsequence $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ strongly in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $I(\bar{u}) = m_r(c)$ and u solves problem (1.1) for some $\bar{\lambda} < 0$ when μ large enough.*

Proof. For the sequence $\{u_n = \mathcal{H}(v_n, s_n)\}$ determined in Lemma 5.8, making use of [30, Proposition 5.12], we know that there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I'(u_n) - \lambda_n \Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Ψ is given in (3.17). As a consequence, it infers that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta \varphi + \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi - \lambda_n u_n \varphi - \mu |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi - |u_n|^{4^*-2} u_n \varphi \, dx = o_n(1) \|\varphi\| \quad (5.24)$$

for any $\varphi \in H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Due to the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ from Lemma 5.8-(iii), we see that

$$\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \|u_n\|_p^p - \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = \lambda_n \|u_n\|_2^2 + o_n(1) = \lambda_n c + o_n(1), \quad (5.25)$$

which indicates that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is also a bounded sequence. Meanwhile, take into account the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ and the compactness of $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $\bar{u} \in H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence if necessary,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \text{ in } H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5.26)$$

Let $w_n := u_n - \bar{u}$, then (5.26) states that

$$w_n \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad w_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } w_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5.27)$$

Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded, we are allowed to choose a subsequence such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$. By means of (5.25), $\partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) \rightarrow 0$, (5.22), $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $0 < \gamma_p = \frac{N(p-2)}{4p} < 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \|u_n\|_p^p - \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \mu(\gamma_p - 1) \|u_n\|_p^p \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\varrho_2}{2} + \mu(\gamma_p - 1) \|u_n\|_p^p \right) \\ &= \frac{\varrho_2}{2} + \mu(\gamma_p - 1) \|\bar{u}\|_p^p < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for μ sufficiently large and $\bar{u} \neq 0$. Now, we claim that the weak limit \bar{u} does not vanish identically. Suppose by contradiction that $\bar{u} = 0$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, up to a subsequence we can assume that $\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow l \geq 0$. Recalling that $\partial_s \tilde{I}(v_n, s_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$0 \leq \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \gamma_p \|u_n\|_p^p + o_n(1) \rightarrow l.$$

Therefore, in light of (2.2), it gives that

$$S \leq \frac{\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2}{\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \leq \frac{\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2}{\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*}},$$

which implies that either $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{4}}$ or $l = 0$. If $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{4}}$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
m_r(c) + o_n(1) &= I(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u_n\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*}\right) \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^*}\right) \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\gamma_p}{4^*}\right) \|u_n\|_p^p + o_n(1) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*}\right) \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*}\right) \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\gamma_p}{4^*}\right) \|u_n\|_p^p + o_n(1) \\
&= \frac{2}{N} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2\right) - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\gamma_p}{4^*}\right) \|u_n\|_p^p + o_n(1),
\end{aligned}$$

since $I(u_n) \rightarrow m_r(c)$ and Lemma 5.8 (ii). Hence, one has

$$m_r(c) \geq \frac{2}{N} l \geq \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}},$$

which contradicts with the assumption $m_r(c) < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}$. When $l = 0$, we see that

$$\|u_n\|_p \rightarrow 0, \quad \|\Delta u_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which brings that $I(u_n) \rightarrow 0 = m_r(c)$, an obvious contradiction. Hence, the weak limit \bar{u} does not vanish identically. Passing to the limit in (5.24) by weak convergence, we see that \bar{u} is a weak solution of

$$\Delta^2 u - \Delta u = \bar{\lambda} u + \mu |u|^{p-2} u + |u|^{4^*-2} u \quad \text{in} \quad H_r^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (5.28)$$

Moreover, we know that \bar{u} also satisfies the following identify

$$P(\bar{u}) = \|\Delta \bar{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_2^2 - \mu \gamma_p \|\bar{u}\|_p^p - \|\bar{u}\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = 0. \quad (5.29)$$

By virtue of (5.27), the Brézis-Lieb Lemma ([30, Lemma 1.32]) ensures that

$$\|u_n\|_2^2 = \|w_n\|_2^2 + \|\bar{u}\|_2^2 + o_n(1), \quad (5.30)$$

$$\|u_n\|_p^p = \|w_n\|_p^p + \|\bar{u}\|_p^p + o_n(1) \quad (5.31)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = \|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + \|\bar{u}\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1). \quad (5.32)$$

In addition, recall that $w_n = u_n - \bar{u} \rightarrow 0$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and together with [7, Page 18: lines 18-19], it also indicates that

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 = \|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_2^2 + o_n(1) \quad (5.33)$$

and

$$\|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 = \|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \|\Delta \bar{u}\|_2^2 + o_n(1). \quad (5.34)$$

Therefore, based on Lemma 5.8 (ii) and $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deduce from (5.32)-(5.34) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta \bar{u}\|_2^2 + \|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 &= \|\Delta u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + o_n(1) \\
&= \mu \gamma_p \|u_n\|_p^p + \|u_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1) \\
&= \mu \gamma_p \|\bar{u}\|_p^p + \|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + \|\bar{u}\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, according to (5.29), there holds that

$$\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 = \|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} + o_n(1).$$

So, up to a subsequence, it can be assumed that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = l \geq 0. \quad (5.35)$$

Using (2.2) again, we have

$$S \leq \frac{\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2}{\|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \leq \frac{\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w_n\|_2^2}{\|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*}},$$

which means $l \geq Sl^{\frac{2}{4^*}}$, that is, either $l = 0$ or $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{4}}$. If $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{4}}$, (5.31)-(5.35) guarantee that

$$\begin{aligned} m_r(c) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I(\bar{u}) + \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4^*}\|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \\ &\geq I(\bar{u}) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4^*}\|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \right) \\ &= I(\bar{u}) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*} \right) l = I(\bar{u}) + \frac{2}{N}l \geq I(\bar{u}) + \frac{2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

whence alternative (i) in the thesis of this lemma follows. If instead $l = 0$, we need to show that $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. From (5.35), we have known that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\Delta w_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w_n\|_2^2 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

Hence, it remains to verify that $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. To this end, test (5.24) and (5.28) with $w_n = u_n - \bar{u}$, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta(u_n - \bar{u})|^2 + |\nabla(u_n - \bar{u})|^2 - (\lambda_n u_n - \bar{\lambda} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx \\ &= \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |\bar{u}|^{p-2} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{4^*-2} u_n - |\bar{u}|^{4^*-2} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Observing that $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^{4^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ from (5.26) and (5.36) respectively, and using the Hölder's inequality and [35, Lemma 1.20], we derive that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |\bar{u}|^{p-2} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx \right| \leq \| |u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |\bar{u}|^{p-2} \bar{u} \|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u_n - \bar{u}\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.38)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{4^*-2} u_n - |\bar{u}|^{4^*-2} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx \right| \leq \| |u_n|^{4^*-2} u_n - |\bar{u}|^{4^*-2} \bar{u} \|_{\frac{4^*}{4^*-1}} \|u_n - \bar{u}\|_{4^*} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.39)$$

Therefore, from (5.37)-(5.39), it ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_n u_n - \bar{\lambda} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_n u_n - \bar{\lambda} u_n + \bar{\lambda} u_n - \bar{\lambda} \bar{u})(u_n - \bar{u}) dx \\ &= \bar{\lambda} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - \bar{u}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

At this point, this lemma is proved. \square

Based on the above discussion, it is sufficient to estimate the mountain pass level $\gamma_\mu(c) = m_r(c) \in \left(0, \frac{2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{4}}\right)$ to obtain the existence of normalized solution of problem (1.1). For this purpose, we firstly introduce two extremal functions frequently used in the sequel arguments.

Lemma 5.10. ([1, Page 439]) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$U_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{[N(N+2)(N-2)(N-4)\varepsilon^4]^{\frac{N-4}{8}}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

then the minimizers of S (defined in (2.2)) can be obtained by $U_\varepsilon(x)$. Moreover, $U_\varepsilon(x)$ solves the following critical equation

$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{4^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Lemma 5.11. Let $B_r(x)$ be the ball of radius of r at x and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a radial cut-off function satisfying:

- (i) $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
- (ii) $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ in $B_1(0)$;
- (iii) $\psi(x) \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$.

Define

$$u_\varepsilon(x) := \psi(x)U_\varepsilon(x), \quad (5.40)$$

then the following hold true:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), \quad (5.41)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N > 8; \\ \frac{1}{2}S^2 + \frac{1}{2}K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N = 8; \\ \frac{1}{2}S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), & \text{if } 5 \leq N < 8, \end{cases} \quad (5.42)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\varepsilon|^{4^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^N) \quad (5.43)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\varepsilon|^p dx = \begin{cases} K\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o\left(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}}\right), & \text{if } p > \frac{N}{N-4}; \\ K\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} |\ln \varepsilon| + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}\right), & \text{if } p = \frac{N}{N-4}; \\ K\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}\right), & \text{if } p < \frac{N}{N-4}, \end{cases} \quad (5.44)$$

where K is a positive constant and S is given in (2.2).

Proof. Some direct calculations state that

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon = \Delta U_\varepsilon \psi + U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi + 2\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi, \quad (5.45)$$

$$\nabla U_\varepsilon = D_N \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} (4-N) \frac{x}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \quad (5.46)$$

and

$$\Delta U_\varepsilon = D_N \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} (4-N) \left[\frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + (2-N) \frac{|x|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right], \quad (5.47)$$

where

$$D_N := [N(N+2)(N-2)(N-4)]^{\frac{N-4}{8}}.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta U_\varepsilon\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ &= D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx \\ &\quad + D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (2-N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^4}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx \\ &\quad + 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (2-N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-1}} dx \\ &= D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\ &\quad + D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (2-N)^2 \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N+3}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\ &\quad - 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (N-2) \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N+1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr \\ &= D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2N-4}} \varepsilon^{N-1} \varepsilon \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\ &\quad + D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2N}} \varepsilon^{N+3} \varepsilon (2-N)^2 \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N+3}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr \\ &\quad - 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (N-2) \varepsilon^{N+1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2N-2}} \varepsilon \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-1}} dr \\ &= D_N^2 (4-N)^2 \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} + (2-N)^2 \frac{r^{N+3}}{(1+r^2)^N} - 2(N-2) \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-1}} dr \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \Delta U_\varepsilon \psi + U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi + 2\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi \right|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi|^2 + \Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi + 2\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \Delta U_\varepsilon \psi + |U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi|^2 + 2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 2\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi \Delta U_\varepsilon \psi + 2\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi + 4|\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi|^2 dx \\ &= 2A_1(\varepsilon) + A_2(\varepsilon) + A_3(\varepsilon) + A_4(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

where ω is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N ,

$$A_1(\varepsilon) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi + 2\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi + 2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi dx,$$

$$A_2(\varepsilon) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi|^2 dx,$$

$$A_3(\varepsilon) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi|^2 dx$$

and

$$A_4(\varepsilon) := 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi|^2 dx.$$

Observe the existence of \tilde{K} such that $|\nabla \psi| \leq \tilde{K}$ and $|\Delta \psi| \leq \tilde{K}$, it immediately brings that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi| dx \\ &= \int_{B_1(0)} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi| dx + \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi| dx \\ &= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi| dx \\ &= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (N-4) \left| \frac{\psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + (2-N) \frac{|x|^2 \psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right| \frac{|\Delta \psi|}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-4}{2}}} dx \\ &\leq D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (N-4) \tilde{K}^2 \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \frac{dx}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-3}} \\ &\quad + D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (N-4) (N-2) \tilde{K} \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \frac{|x|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx \\ &= D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (N-4) \omega \tilde{K} \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-3}} dr + D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (N-4) (N-2) \omega \tilde{K}^2 \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N+1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{N-4}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |2\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx \\ &= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |2\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx \\ &= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \left| 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \left(\frac{\psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + (N-2) \frac{|x|^2 \psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \frac{x \cdot \nabla \psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right| dx \\ &\leq 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \tilde{K} \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \frac{|x|}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx \\ &\quad + 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (N-2) \tilde{K} \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \frac{|x|^3}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-1}} dx \\ &= 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \omega \tilde{K} \int_1^2 \frac{r^N}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr + 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 (N-2) \omega \tilde{K} \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N+2}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{N-4} \end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx \\
&= \int_{B_1(0)} |2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx + \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)} |2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx \\
&= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |2U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi| dx \\
&= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \left| 2D_N^2 (4-N) \varepsilon^{N-4} \frac{\Delta \psi x \cdot \nabla \psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-4}{2} + \frac{N-2}{2}}} \right| dx \\
&\leq 2D_N^2 (N-4) \varepsilon^{N-4} \tilde{K}^2 \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \frac{|x|}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-4}{2} + \frac{N-2}{2}}} dx \\
&= 2D_N^2 (N-4) \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_1^2 \frac{r^N}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-3}} dr \\
&\leq C \varepsilon^{N-4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

Thus, (5.50)-(5.52) imply that

$$A_1(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^{N-4}). \tag{5.53}$$

In order to estimate $A_2(\varepsilon)$, we need the subsequent facts:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{N-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx &= \varepsilon^{N-4} \int_{B_2(0)} \frac{\psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1} \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \left(\frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} + \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} \right) dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr + \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}) \\
&= \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{N-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^4 \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx &= \varepsilon^{N-4} \int_{B_2(0)} \frac{|x|^4 \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N+3} \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \left(\frac{r^{N+3}(\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} + \frac{r^{N+3}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} \right) dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N+3}(\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr + \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N+3}(\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N+3}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&= \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+3}}{(1 + r^2)^N} dr + O(\varepsilon^{N-4})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{N-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^2 \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-1}} dx &= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N+1} \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \left(\frac{r^{N+1}(\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} + \frac{r^{N+1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} \right) dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N+1}(\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr + \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N+1}(\psi^2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N+1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr \\
&= \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.56}$$

Based on (5.54)-(5.56), there holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2(\varepsilon) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_\varepsilon \psi|^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4 - N)^2 \left(\frac{\psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + (2 - N) \frac{|x|^2 \psi}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2 \psi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right)^2 dx \\
&= D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4 - N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx + D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4 - N)^2 (2 - N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^4 \psi^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx \\
&\quad - 2D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4 - N)^2 (N - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^2 |\psi|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-1}} dx \\
&= D_N^2 (4 - N)^2 \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr + D_N^2 (4 - N)^2 (2 - N)^2 \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+3}}{(1 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&\quad - 2D_N^2 (4 - N)^2 (N - 2) \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1 + r^2)^{N-1}} dr + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.57}$$

With regard to $A_3(\varepsilon)$ and $A_4(\varepsilon)$, obviously one has

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3(\varepsilon) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi|^2 dx = \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |U_\varepsilon \Delta \psi|^2 dx \\
&= \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} \frac{|\Delta \psi|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-4}} dx \\
&\leq D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} \omega \tilde{K}^2 \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-4}} dr \\
&\leq O(\varepsilon^{N-4})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4(\varepsilon) &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi|^2 dx = 4D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x \cdot \nabla \psi|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx \\
&\leq 4D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^2 |\nabla \psi|^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{N-2}} dx \\
&= 4D_N^2 \varepsilon^{N-4} (4-N)^2 \omega \tilde{K}^2 \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N+1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\
&\leq O(\varepsilon^{N-4}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

Together with (5.48)-(5.49), (5.53), (5.57)-(5.59) and the following observations

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr &\leq \int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty r^{3-N} dr = O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), \\
\int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{r^{N+3}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr &\leq \int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty r^{3-N} dr = O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), \\
\int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-1}} dr &\leq \int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty r^{3-N} dr = O(\varepsilon^{N-4}),
\end{aligned}$$

we infer that

$$\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \|\Delta U_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}).$$

In addition, from Lemma 5.10, we know that $S\|U_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^2 = \|\Delta U_\varepsilon\|_2^2$ and $\|\Delta U_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \|U_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^4$. As a result, we conclude that

$$\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \|\Delta U_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}) = S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}). \tag{5.60}$$

Next, we turn to (5.43). From the expression of u_ε , it gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi U_\varepsilon|^{4^*} dx \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\psi^{4^*}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \int_{B_2(0)} \frac{\psi^{4^*}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx + D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)} \frac{\psi^{4^*}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \int_{B_2(0)} \frac{\psi^{4^*}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^N} dx \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1} \psi^{4^*}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr + D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^{4^*} - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr + D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \omega \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^{4^*} - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \varepsilon^N \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^N} dr + O(\varepsilon^N) \\
&= D_N^{4^*} \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr + O(\varepsilon^N).
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, one also has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*} &= D_N^{4^*} \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr, \\
\int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr &\leq \int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty r^{-1-N} dr = O(\varepsilon^N)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Delta U_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \|U_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = S^{\frac{N}{4}}.$$

Thereby, (5.43) follows from the above facts evidently.

Finally, we discuss the estimate on $\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi U_\varepsilon|^p dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \frac{\psi^p}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dx \\
&= \int_{B_2(0)} D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \frac{\psi^p}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)} D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \frac{\psi^p}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dx \\
&= D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1} \psi^p}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr \\
&= D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr + D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^p - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr \\
&= D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr + D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_1^2 \frac{r^{N-1} (\psi^p - 1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr \\
&= D_N^p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

in what follows, we distinguish it into three cases: $p > \frac{N}{N-4}$, $p = \frac{N}{N-4}$ and $p < \frac{N}{N-4}$.

(i) If $p > \frac{N}{N-4}$, it ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} & D_N^p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr \\ &= D_N^p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr - D_N^p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr \\ &=: K \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with the fact $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}}{\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} = 0$, we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p = K \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}}). \quad (5.62)$$

(ii) If $p = \frac{N}{N-4}$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} dr &= \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} dr + \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_1^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} dr \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} dr + \frac{N}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}} \ln \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.63)$$

Taking into account of (5.61) and (5.63), we obtain that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p =: K \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}). \quad (5.64)$$

(iii) If $2 \leq p < \frac{N}{N-4}$, we see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{N-1} \psi^p(r)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} = \frac{\psi^p(r)}{r^{(N-4)p - (N-1)}} \in L^1([0, 2]),$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p &= D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{r^{N-1} \psi^p(r)}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}} dr \\ &= D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \left(\frac{\psi^p(r)}{r^{(N-4)p - (N-1)}} + o(1) \right) dr \\ &= D_N^p \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} \omega \int_0^2 \frac{\psi^p(r)}{r^{(N-4)p - (N-1)}} dr + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}) \\ &=: K \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p = \begin{cases} K \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}}), & \text{if } p > \frac{N}{N-4}; \\ K \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}), & \text{if } p = \frac{N}{N-4}; \\ K \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-4)p}{2}}), & \text{if } p < \frac{N}{N-4} \end{cases} \quad (5.65)$$

for some constant $K > 0$, where S is given in (2.2).

In view of the interpolation inequality in [7, (2.3)], for any $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, one has

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \|\Delta u\|_2 \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2 \right). \quad (5.66)$$

Combining this with (5.60) and (5.65), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}) + \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} K\varepsilon^4 + o(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N > 8; \\ K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N = 8; \\ K\varepsilon^{N-4} + o(\varepsilon^{N-4}), & \text{if } 5 \leq N < 8 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} K\varepsilon^4 + o(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), & \text{if } N > 8; \\ \frac{1}{2} K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{1}{2} S^2 + O(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N = 8; \\ \frac{1}{2} K\varepsilon^{N-4} + o(\varepsilon^{N-4}) + \frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), & \text{if } 5 \leq N < 8 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N > 8; \\ \frac{1}{2} S^2 + \frac{1}{2} K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4), & \text{if } N = 8; \\ \frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), & \text{if } 5 \leq N < 8. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

Thanks to the conclusions in Lemma 5.11, the following estimate on $\gamma_\mu(c)$ can be presented.

Lemma 5.12. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, we have*

$$0 < m_r(c) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)} I(u) < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}, \quad (5.67)$$

where S is given in (2.2).

Proof. Owing to Lemma 5.5, we only need to show that

$$m_r(c) < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}.$$

Define $v_\varepsilon := \frac{\sqrt{c}u_\varepsilon}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2}$, then a direct calculation implies that

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = c, \quad \|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \frac{c}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2} \|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2, \quad \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \frac{c}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2} \right)^p \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p. \quad (5.68)$$

By Lemma 5.2, we find that there exists s_{v_ε} such that $\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s_{v_\varepsilon}) \in \mathcal{P}_r(c)$ and $I(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s_{v_\varepsilon})) = \max_{s \in \mathbb{R}} I(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s))$. Considering the sequence $\{s_{v_\varepsilon}\}$, one can observe that, if $s_{v_\varepsilon} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then we must have

$$\begin{aligned} m_r(c) &\leq I(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s_{v_\varepsilon})) \\ &= \frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta v_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \frac{e^{2s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^p dx - \frac{e^{24^* s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{4^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^{4^*} dx \\ &\rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus, $m_r(c) \leq 0$. Similarly, if $s_{v_\varepsilon} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} m_r(c) &\leq I(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s_{v_\varepsilon})) \\ &= \frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta v_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \frac{e^{2s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^p dx - \frac{e^{24^* s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{4^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^{4^*} dx \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means $m_r(c) < 0$. In fact, by Lemma 5.5, we have $m_r(c) > 0$ and so the above cases are not possible, that is, $\{s_{v_\varepsilon}\}$ must be bounded. Hence, we know that there exist $s', s'' \in \mathbb{R}$ independent of ε such that $s_{v_\varepsilon} \in [s', s'']$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Let

$$A(v_\varepsilon) := \|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2,$$

then,

$$A(v_\varepsilon) = \frac{c}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2} \left(\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) = \frac{c}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2} A(u_\varepsilon).$$

Together this with $P(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s_{v_\varepsilon})) = 0$, we derive that

$$e^{24^* s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*} = e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}} A(v_\varepsilon) - \mu \gamma_p e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p.$$

Therefore,

$$e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}} \leq \left(\frac{A(v_\varepsilon)}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} = \left(\frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^{4^*-2} A(u_\varepsilon)}{c^{\frac{4^*-2}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} = \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{c} \left(\frac{A(u_\varepsilon)}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}. \quad (5.69)$$

Define

$$g_\varepsilon(s) := \frac{e^{4s}}{2} \|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{e^{24^* s}}{4^*} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}.$$

Clearly, $g_\varepsilon(s)$ has a unique maximum point s_0 satisfying

$$e^{s_0} = \left(\frac{\|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2(4^*-2)}}.$$

Therefore, employing (5.68), (5.41) and (5.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_\varepsilon(s_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4^*} \left(\frac{\|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*} \right) \left(\frac{\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}} = \frac{2}{N} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4})}{(S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^N))^{\frac{2}{4^*}}} \right]^{\frac{N}{4}} \\ &= \frac{2}{N} \frac{S^{\frac{N^2}{16}} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}))^{\frac{N}{4}}}{S^{\frac{N(N-4)}{16}} (1 + O(\varepsilon^N))^{\frac{N-4}{4}}} = \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}} \frac{(1 + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}))^{\frac{N}{4}}}{(1 + O(\varepsilon^N))^{\frac{N-4}{4}}} = \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\max_{s \in \mathbb{R}} g_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}).$$

Moreover, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{s \in \mathbb{R}} I(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s)) &= I(\mathcal{H}(v_\varepsilon, s_{v_\varepsilon})) \\ &= \frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \|\Delta v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{e^{2s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{p} \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p - \frac{e^{24^* s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{4^*} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\ &= g_\varepsilon(s_{v_\varepsilon}) + \frac{e^{2s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{p} \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}) + \frac{e^{2s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{p} \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p. \end{aligned} \quad (5.70)$$

Meanwhile, direct calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &= \frac{e^{4s_{v\varepsilon}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|u_\varepsilon\|_2^{p-2}}{c^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p} \leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{c} \left(\frac{A(u_\varepsilon)}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*}} \right)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|u_\varepsilon\|_2^{p-2}}{c^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p} \right) \\
&= \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (A(u_\varepsilon))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.71}$$

In the following, we discuss the above inequality in six situations:

Case (i): $N = 5$ and $p = 5 > \bar{p}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_5^5} &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^5 \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{5}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_5^5 (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{5}{2}} (\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon)) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} (\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon)))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{5}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} \ln|\varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}})) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{5}{2}} (K_1 S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{5}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} \ln|\varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}})) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} (K + o(1))^{\frac{5}{2}} (K_1 S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} c^{\frac{5}{2}} (K \ln|\varepsilon| + O(1)) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{K'_1 (1 + o(1))^{\frac{5}{2}} (1 + O(\varepsilon))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{K''_1 (K \ln|\varepsilon| + O(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{K'_1 (1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon))}{K''_1 (K \ln|\varepsilon| + O(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^5))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants K , K_1 , K'_1 and K''_1 .

Case (ii): $N = 5$ and $5 < p < 10 = 4^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}} (\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon)) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} (\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon)))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{5-\frac{p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{5-\frac{p}{2}})) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_1 S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{5-\frac{p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{5-\frac{p}{2}})) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_1 S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{\varepsilon^{5-\frac{p}{2}} c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1)) (S^{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^5))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{p-5} \widetilde{K}'_1 (1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon))}{\widetilde{K}''_1 (1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^5))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants K , K_1 , \widetilde{K}'_1 and \widetilde{K}''_1 .

Case (iii): $N = 6$ and $\bar{p} < 4 < p < 6 = 4^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}} (\frac{1}{2}S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2))(S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2) + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}}(\frac{1}{2}S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2)))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{6-p} + o(\varepsilon^{6-p}))(S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^6))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_2 S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{6-p} + o(\varepsilon^{6-p}))(S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^6))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_2 S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{\varepsilon^{6-p} c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))(S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^6))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{3p}{2}-6} K_2' (1 + o(1))(1 + O(\varepsilon^2))}{K_2'' (1 + o(1))(1 + O(\varepsilon^6))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants K , K_2 , K_2' and K_2'' .

Case (iv): $N = 7$ and $\bar{p} < \frac{7}{2} < p < \frac{14}{3} = 4^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}} (\frac{1}{2}S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^3))(S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^3) + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}}(\frac{1}{2}S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^3)))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{7-\frac{3p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{7-\frac{3p}{2}}))(S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^7))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_3 S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^3))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{7-\frac{3p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{7-\frac{3p}{2}}))(S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^7))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_3 S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^3))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{\varepsilon^{7-\frac{3p}{2}} c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))(S^{\frac{7}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^7))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{2p-7} K_3' (1 + o(1))(1 + O(\varepsilon^3))}{K_3'' (1 + o(1))(1 + O(\varepsilon^7))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants K , K_3 , K_3' and K_3'' .

Case (v): $N = 8$ and $\bar{p} = 3 < p < 4 = 4^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{p}{2}} (\frac{1}{2}S^2 + \frac{1}{2}K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4))}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{8-2p} + o(\varepsilon^{8-2p}))} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(S^2 + O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}}(\frac{1}{2}S^2 + \frac{1}{2}K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4)))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{(S^2 + O(\varepsilon^8))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_4 S^2 + K_4 \varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{8-2p} + o(\varepsilon^{8-2p}))(S^2 + O(\varepsilon^8))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{2p} (K |\ln \varepsilon| + O(1))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_4 S^2 + K_4 \varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{\varepsilon^{8-2p} c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))(S^2 + O(\varepsilon^8))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{4p-8} (K |\ln \varepsilon| + O(1))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_4 S^2 + K_4 \varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K + o(1))(S^2 + O(\varepsilon^8))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants K, K_4, K'_4 and K''_4 .

Case (vi): $N \geq 9$ and $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{4s_{v_\varepsilon}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_2^p \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_2^2)^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p (\|u_\varepsilon\|_{4^*}^{4^*})^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon^4 + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{p}{2}} (\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^4)) (S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}) + \frac{1}{2e^{2s'}} (\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^4)))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}})) (S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^N))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{(K\varepsilon^4 + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{p}{2}} (K_5 S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^4))^{\frac{4^*}{4^*-2}}}{c^{\frac{p}{2}} (K\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}})) (S^{\frac{N}{4}} + O(\varepsilon^N))^{\frac{2}{4^*-2}}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{2p} K'_5 (1 + O(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^4))}{\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-4)p}{2}} K''_5 (1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^N))} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{(p-2)N}{2}} K'_5 (1 + O(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^4))}{K''_5 (1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\varepsilon^N))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants K, K_5, K'_5 and K''_5 .

Hence, by virtue of cases (i)-(vi) and the fact that $e^{s_{v_\varepsilon}} \in [e^{s'}, e^{s''}]$, there holds $\frac{\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we can find $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$\frac{e^{2s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{2} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu e^{2p\gamma_p s_{v_\varepsilon}}}{p} \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p < 0$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Together this with (5.70) and Lemma 5.5, we conclude that $0 < m_r(c) < \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Lemmas 5.8 and 5.12 ensure the existence of a (PS) sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_r(c)$ for $I|_{S_r(c)}$ at the level $m_r(c) \in (0, \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}})$ and $P(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, one of the two alternatives in Lemma 5.9 holds. Suppose that (i) of Lemma 5.9 occurs, that is, up to a subsequence, there exists $u_{\mu,c} \in H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_{\mu,c} \neq 0$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_{\mu,c}$ solves (1.1) for some $\lambda_{\mu,c} \in \mathbb{R}$. Meanwhile, we also have

$$I(u_{\mu,c}) \leq m_r(c) - \frac{2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}} < 0. \tag{5.72}$$

However, since $P(u_{\mu,c}) = 0$ and $u_{\mu,c} \neq 0$, for $\bar{p} < p < 4^*$, it gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u_{\mu,c}) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_{\mu,c}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{\mu,c}\|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{p} \|u_{\mu,c}\|_p^p - \frac{1}{4^*} \|u_{\mu,c}\|_{4^*}^{4^*} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u_{\mu,c}\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma_p}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \mu \|u_{\mu,c}\|_p^p + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4^*}\right) \|u_{\mu,c}\|_{4^*}^{4^*} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

an obvious contradiction with (5.72). Then, (ii) of Lemma 5.9 holds true, in other words, $u_n \rightarrow u_{\mu,c}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_{\mu,c}$ is a normalized solution to (1.1) for $\lambda_{\mu,c} < 0$ with $I(u_{\mu,c}) = m_r(c)$ when $\mu > 0$ is large enough.

In what follows, we demonstrate that $u_{\mu,c}$ is non-negative. Indeed, since the functional I is even, the continuous path $g_n(t) = ((g_n)_1(t), 0)$ used in Lemma 5.8-(iv) to verify the inequality

$$\min_{t \in [0,1]} \|(v_n, s_n) - g_n(t)\|_E^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

can be fixed with $(g_n)_1(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. From this fact, it follows that there exists $t_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $\nu_n := (g_n)_1(t_n) \geq 0$ and

$$\|v_n - \nu_n\|_{H^2}^2 + |s_n|_{\mathbb{R}}^2 = \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \|(v_n, s_n) - g_n(t)\|_E^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As a consequence, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta \mathcal{H}(v_n, s_n) - \Delta \mathcal{H}(\nu_n, s_n)\|_2^2 &= e^{4s_n} \|\Delta v_n - \Delta \nu_n\|_2^2 \leq \frac{e^{4s_n}}{n}, \\ \|\nabla \mathcal{H}(v_n, s_n) - \nabla \mathcal{H}(\nu_n, s_n)\|_2^2 &= e^{2s_n} \|\nabla v_n - \nabla \nu_n\|_2^2 \leq \frac{e^{2s_n}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}(v_n, s_n) - \mathcal{H}(\nu_n, s_n)\|_2^2 = \|v_n - \nu_n\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

which state that $\|u_n - \mathcal{H}(\nu_n, s_n)\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As

$$\mathcal{H}(\nu_n, s_n)(x) = e^{\frac{Ns_n}{2}} \nu_n(e^{s_n} x) \geq 0$$

and $u_n \rightarrow u_{\mu, c}$ in $H_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, up to a subsequence, we must have $u_{\mu, c} \geq 0$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. \square

Funding H.R. Sun was partially supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 11671181) and NSF of Gansu Province of China (Grant No. 21JR7RA535, 24JRRA414). Z.H. Zhang was partially supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 12371402).

Data Availability The authors declare that data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] C.O. Alves and J. do Ó, *Positive solutions of a fourth-order semilinear problem involving critical growth*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **2**(2002), 437-458.
- [2] C.O. Alves, C. Ji and O.H. Miyagaki, *Multiplicity of normalized solutions for a Schrödinger equation with critical growth in \mathbb{R}^N* , Arxiv preprint, (2021), doi:2103.07940v2.
- [3] T. Bartsch and N. Soave, *Multiple normalized solutions for a competing system of Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **58**(2019), 22.
- [4] J. Bellazzini and N. Visciglia, *On the orbital stability for a class of nonautonomous NLS*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **59**(2010), 1211-1230.
- [5] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equation II*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **82**(1983), 347-376.

- [6] D. Bonheure, J.B. Casteras, T. Gou and L. Jeanjean, *Strong instability of ground states to a fourth order Schrödinger equation*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, **2019**(2017), 5299-5315.
- [7] D. Bonheure, J.B. Casteras, T. Gou and L. Jeanjean, *Normalized solutions to the mixed dispersion nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the mass critical and supercritical regime*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **372**(2019), 2167-2212.
- [8] D. Bonheure, J.B. Casteras, E. dos Santos and R. Nascimento, *Orbitally stable standing waves of a mixed dispersion nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **50**(2018), 5027-5071.
- [9] N. Boussaïd, A.J. Fernández and L. Jeanjean, *Some remarks on a minimization problem associated to a fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, ArXiv preprint, (2019), doi:1910.13177v1.
- [10] J. Chabrowski and J. do Ó, *On some fourth-order semilinear elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N* , Nonlinear Anal., **49**(2002), 861-884.
- [11] X. Chang, H. Hajaiej, Z. Ma and L. Song *Existence and instability of standing waves for the biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined nonlinearities*, Arxiv preprint, (2023), doi:2305.00327v1.
- [12] A.J. Fernández, L. Jeanjean, R. Mandel and M. Maris, *Non-homogeneous Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities in \mathbb{R}^N and application to a biharmonic non-linear Schrödinger equation*, J. Differential Equations, **330**(2022), 1-65.
- [13] G. Fibich, B. Ilan and G. Papanicolaou, *Self-focusing fourth order dispersion*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **62**(2002), 1437-1462.
- [14] B.A. Ivanov and A.M. Kosevich, *Stable three-dimensional small-amplitude soliton in magnetic materials*, Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys., **9**(1983), 439-442.
- [15] L. Jeanjean, *Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **28**(1997), 1633-1659.
- [16] L. Jeanjean and S.S. Lu, *Nonradial normalized solutions for nonlinear scalar field equations*, Nonlinearity, **32**(2019), 4942-4966.
- [17] V.I. Karpman, *Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations*, Phys. Rev. E, **53**(1996), 1336-1339.
- [18] V.I. Karpman and A.G. Shagalov, *Stability of soliton described by nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations with higher-order dispersion*, Phys. D, **144**(2000), 194-210.
- [19] J. Liu and Z. Zhang, *Normalized solutions to biharmonic Schrödinger equation with critical growth in \mathbb{R}^N* , Comput. Appl. Math., **42**(2023), 276.

- [20] X. Luo and T. Yang, *Normalized solutions for a fourth-order Schrödinger equation with a positive second-order dispersion coefficient*, Sci. China Math., **66**(2023), 1237-1262.
- [21] H. Luo and Z. Zhang, *Existence and stability of normalized solutions to the mixed dispersion nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Electron. Res. Arch., **30**(2022), 2871-2898.
- [22] T. Luo, S. Zheng and S. Zhu, *The Existence and stability of normalized solutions for a biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion*, Acta Math. Sci., **43**(2023), 539-563.
- [23] Z. Ma and X. Chang, *Normalized ground states of nonlinear biharmonic Schrödinger equations with Sobolev critical growth and combined nonlinearities*, Appl. Math. Lett., **22**(2022), 108388.
- [24] Z. Ma, X. Chang and Z. Feng, *Normalized ground state of a mixed dispersion nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type nonlinearities*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2024**(2024), 29.
- [25] C. Miao, G. Xu and L. Zhao, *Global well-posedness and scattering for the focusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations of fourth order in the radial case*, J. Differential Equations, **246**(2009), 3715-3749
- [26] L. Nirenberg, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), **13**(1959), 115-162.
- [27] B. Pausader, *The cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation*, J. Funct. Anal., **256**(2009), 2473-2517.
- [28] T.V. Phan, *Blowup for biharmonic Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **69**(2018), 31.
- [29] S.K. Turitsyn, *Three-dimensional dispersion of nonlinearity and stability of multidimensional solitons*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz., **64**(1985), 226-232.
- [30] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1996).
- [31] J. Zhang and J. Zheng, *Energy critical fourth-order Schrödinger equation with subcritical perturbations*, Nonlinear Anal., **73**(2010), 1004-1014.
- [32] Z. Zhang and Y. Wang, *Normalized ground state solutions of the biharmonic Schrödinger equation with general mass supercritical nonlinearities*, Appl. Math. Lett., **163**(2024), 109415.
- [33] Z. Zhang and Z. Zhang, *Normalized solutions of mass subcritical Schrödinger equations in exterior domains*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl., **29**(2022), 32.
- [34] S. Zhu, J. Zhang and H. Yang, *Limiting profile of the blow-up solutions for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Dyn. Partial. Differ. Equ., **7**(2010), 187-205.

- [35] W. Zou and M. Schechter, *Critical Point Theory and its Applications*, Springer New York, New York, (2006).