

# Low Resource Video Super-resolution using Memory and Residual Deformable Convolutions

Kavitha Viswanathan<sup>1</sup>, Shashwat Pathak<sup>2</sup>, Piyush Bharambe<sup>2</sup>, Harsh Choudhary<sup>2</sup>, and Amit Sethi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Bombay, India

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Bombay, India

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Bombay, India 184070024@iitb.ac.in ,

February 5, 2025

## Abstract

Transformer-based video super-resolution (VSR) models have set new benchmarks in recent years, but their substantial computational demands make most of them unsuitable for deployment on resource-constrained devices. Achieving a balance between model complexity and output quality remains a formidable challenge in VSR. Although lightweight models have been introduced to address this issue, they often struggle to deliver state-of-the-art performance. We propose a novel lightweight, parameter-efficient deep residual deformable convolution network for VSR. Unlike prior methods, our model enhances feature utilization through residual connections and employs deformable convolution for precise frame alignment, addressing motion dynamics effectively. Furthermore, we introduce a single memory tensor to capture information accrued from the past frames and improve motion estimation across frames. This design enables an efficient balance between computational cost and reconstruction quality. With just 2.3 million parameters, our model achieves state-of-the-art SSIM of 0.9175 on the REDS4 dataset, surpassing existing lightweight and many heavy models in both accuracy and resource efficiency. Architectural insights from our model pave the way for real-time VSR on streaming data.

## 1 Introduction

Video Super-Resolution (VSR) is a fundamental task in computer vision that aims to reconstruct high-resolution videos from their low-resolution counterparts. This task is crucial in a wide range of applications, including video streaming, gaming, mobile content delivery, and surveillance. By leveraging both spatial and temporal information, VSR enhances the visual quality of videos while maintaining temporal consistency. However, achieving high-quality super-resolution under computational constraints remains a persistent challenge, particularly in real-world scenarios where resource efficiency is paramount.

In recent years, deep learning-based approaches have shown remarkable progress in addressing the challenges of VSR. Convolutional architectures, such as EDVR and BasicVSR++, have achieved notable success by employing deformable convolutions to handle complex motion and residual networks to recover fine details. Transformer-based models, including VRT and RVRT, have further pushed the boundaries of VSR by capturing global temporal dependencies and improving long-term consistency. However, their substantial parameter count and computational requirements limit their scalability for lightweight applications.

Recent efforts to design lightweight VSR models have explored motion-aware architectures and methods tailored for compressed video super-resolution. These approaches aim to balance performance and efficiency but often struggle to maintain temporal coherence or handle diverse real-world degradations. Furthermore, most existing methods focus solely on spatial and temporal domains, neglecting the potential benefits of incorporating frequency-domain representations for multi-scale feature extraction. This gap highlights the need for an innovative design that integrates these domains while maintaining computational efficiency.




Figure 1: Performance comparison of various Video Super-Resolution (VSR) models in terms of SSIM on the REDS4 dataset versus model parameters. The size of each bubble represents the computational complexity (GFLOPs) of the corresponding model. Our proposed method, RCDM, achieves a competitive SSIM score with significantly lower computational cost compared to transformer-based methods such as VRT, PSRT, and RVRT.

In this work, we propose a novel lightweight VSR framework that combines convolutional efficiency with advanced spatiotemporal modeling to achieve state-of-the-art results under resource constraints. Our architecture builds upon the strengths of convolutional networks, which excel at localized feature extraction, and enhances them with components tailored for VSR-specific challenges. By integrating deformable convolutions, we ensure effective motion alignment across frames, which is crucial for maintaining temporal consistency. To improve spatial and structural representation, we introduce a dual-pipeline design that incorporates 2D Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) for frequency-domain feature extraction. This approach not only captures fine details but also reduces computational overhead by focusing on multi-scale representations. Furthermore, we leverage memory tensors to enhance temporal coherence, enabling our model to handle dynamic and complex video scenarios more effectively. Additionally, ConvNext blocks are employed to ensure efficient spatial feature extraction while maintaining a low parameter count and GFLOPs.

This work addresses the critical challenge of balancing computational efficiency and restoration quality in VSR. By combining convolutional networks, wavelet-based feature extraction, and temporal memory mechanisms, our framework advances the state-of-the-art in lightweight VSR and paves the way for practical applications in resource-constrained scenarios.

## 2 Related Work

**Video Super-Resolution (VSR) Overview:** Video super-resolution has been extensively studied, with recent advancements primarily focusing on leveraging deep learning models to enhance resolution by utilizing spatial and temporal dependencies across consecutive frames. While early methods relied on explicit motion compensation, modern approaches employ deeper architectures and attention mechanisms to model these dependencies implicitly or explicitly.

**Transformer-Based VSR Models:** Transformer-based architectures, such as VRT [3] and RVRT [4], have achieved impressive performance in VSR tasks by utilizing global attention mechanisms to model long-term temporal dependencies. However, these methods often come with substantial computational overhead, making them less suitable for real-time or resource-constrained scenarios. While transformers are highly effective in global context modeling, their high parameter count and memory requirements hinder practical deployment, particularly in applications requiring low latency [5].

**Lightweight VSR Models:** With the increasing demand for computational efficiency, lightweight VSR models have been explored extensively. ELNVSR [6] proposed a bidirectional alignment module and multi-scale pyramid block, effectively capturing spatial-temporal correlations while reducing complexity. Similarly, IRN [7] utilized ConvNeXt blocks and residual structures to balance performance and computational cost. Although these methods reduce model size and computation, they often underperform in frame alignment and temporal consistency, particularly in dynamic scenes.

Figure 2 illustrates the network pipeline for our family of architectures.

**Deformable Convolutions in VSR:** Deformable convolutional layers, as introduced in models like EDVR [2] and BasicVSR++ [1], have become a cornerstone of VSR for handling motion misalignment. These layers dynamically predict spatial offsets, enabling robust motion alignment across frames, even in challenging scenarios with large displacements. Despite their effectiveness, the integration of deformable convolutions increases model complexity, necessitating efficient implementations for lightweight applications [6].

**Memory-Augmented Architectures:** Memory mechanisms have been proposed to enhance temporal coherence in VSR models by retaining information from previous frames. RealBasicVSR [8] introduced a dynamic refinement module that iteratively reduces artifacts during propagation. By leveraging memory for long-term dependency modeling, these architectures achieve smoother transitions between frames. However, their reliance on large memory buffers can pose challenges for resource-limited settings.

**Efficient Upsampling and Wavelet-Based Methods:** Efficient upsampling techniques have also been explored to reduce computational overhead. Dynamic Context-Guided Upsampling (DCGU) [9] demonstrated effective texture enhancement and scale adaptation by leveraging non-local sampling and filter weight modulation. Additionally, wavelet-based methods have gained attention for their ability to capture multi-scale frequency information. These approaches provide an efficient mechanism for structural preservation and fine detail reconstruction, making them well-suited for lightweight VSR architectures.

**Real-World VSR Challenges and Solutions:** Models designed for real-world VSR, such as RealBasicVSR [8], emphasize balancing detail synthesis and artifact suppression. These methods address the challenges of unknown degradations by integrating pre-cleaning stages and dynamic refinement schemes. Real-world datasets like RealVSR [10] and VideoLQ [8] have further facilitated benchmarking and model evaluation, providing a foundation for developing robust architectures.

**Our Contributions:** Building upon the advancements in deformable convolutions, memory mechanisms, and lightweight architectures, we propose a novel convolution-based VSR model tailored for resource-constrained settings. Unlike transformer-based methods, our approach prioritizes

computational efficiency through the integration of residual networks, deformable convolutions, wavelet transforms, and memory tensors. By leveraging these components, our model achieves a balance between performance and complexity, addressing key challenges in frame alignment, temporal consistency, and structural preservation. Comprehensive comparisons with state-of-the-art methods validate the effectiveness of our approach in delivering high-quality video reconstruction with minimal computational requirements.

### 3 Proposed Method

#### 3.1 Problem Formulation

Given a low-resolution (LR) video sequence  $\{I_{t-N}, \dots, I_t, \dots, I_{t+N}\}$ , where  $I_t$  represents the  $t$ -th frame and  $N$  defines the temporal radius, our goal is to reconstruct a high-resolution (HR) frame  $H_t$  corresponding to  $I_t$ . The VSR process can be formulated as a mapping function:

$$H_t = f(I_{t-N}, \dots, I_t, \dots, I_{t+N}; \theta), \tag{1}$$

where  $f(\cdot)$  is a deep neural network parameterized by  $\theta$ , responsible for restoring missing high-frequency details while preserving temporal consistency.

#### Mathematical Interpretation of VSR

VSR involves restoring high-resolution details from a set of degraded low-resolution frames. The degradation process can be modeled as:

$$I_t = D(B(H_t)) + \eta_t, \tag{2}$$

where  $B(\cdot)$  represents blur,  $D(\cdot)$  denotes downsampling, and  $\eta_t$  models additive noise. The primary goal of our network is to approximate the inverse mapping:

$$H_t \approx f_\theta(I_{t-N}, \dots, I_t, \dots, I_{t+N}), \tag{3}$$

where the function  $f_\theta$  learns to recover fine details lost in  $B(\cdot)$  and  $D(\cdot)$  while maintaining motion alignment across frames.

#### 3.2 Replacing Transformers with ConvNeXt Blocks

Transformers have shown remarkable performance in video super-resolution tasks due to their ability to model long-range dependencies. However, their quadratic complexity in self-attention makes them inefficient for real-time applications. Instead of adopting transformer-based architectures like VRT [3] and RVRT [4], we employ ConvNeXt [11], a modernized convolutional architecture that integrates design principles from transformers while retaining the computational efficiency of ConvNets.

ConvNeXt layers are defined as:

$$y = W_2 \cdot \text{GELU}(W_1 \cdot \text{DWConv}(x)) + x, \tag{4}$$

where  $x$  represents the input feature map,  $\text{DWConv}(\cdot)$  is a depthwise convolution, and  $W_1, W_2$  are learnable weight matrices. The use of GELU non-linearity and residual connections improves expressivity while maintaining efficiency.

### 3.3 Network Architecture

Our proposed architecture follows a convolution-based approach that integrates deformable convolutions, residual connections, wavelet transforms, and memory propagation mechanisms to enhance video resolution efficiently. Figure 2 illustrates the network pipeline.




Figure 2: Proposed low-resource VSR model. The network extracts multi-frame features using deformable convolutions, wavelet transforms, and memory tensors, ensuring both spatial and temporal consistency.

### 3.4 Deformable Motion Compensation

Traditional VSR models rely on optical flow for frame alignment, which introduces artifacts when dealing with complex motion. Instead, we employ Deformable Conv3D, which dynamically adapts the receptive field to handle motion across frames:

$$F_t = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \cdot I_{t+\Delta t_i}, \quad (5)$$

where  $w_i$  represents the learned spatial offsets and  $\Delta t_i$  indicates the adaptive displacement across adjacent frames. This formulation ensures that motion information is effectively captured without explicit optical flow estimation.

### 3.5 Wavelet-Based Multi-Scale Feature Extraction

To enhance texture details and reduce redundancy, we integrate 2D Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) into our architecture. The DWT decomposition of the central frame is given by:

$$I_t^{LL}, I_t^{LH}, I_t^{HL}, I_t^{HH} = \text{DWT}(I_t), \quad (6)$$

where  $LL, LH, HL, HH$  represent the approximation, horizontal, vertical, and diagonal detail coefficients, respectively. These coefficients are processed separately and later fused to reconstruct the HR frame, providing improved structural integrity.

### 3.6 Memory Tensor for Temporal Propagation

To improve temporal consistency, we introduce a memory tensor module that stores historical feature representations. Instead of relying solely on recurrent structures, we use a residual memory update mechanism:

$$F_t = \alpha \cdot M_{t-1} + \cdot F_t, \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a learnable weighting parameter that determines the contribution of past information. This memory mechanism ensures long-term temporal coherence, preventing flickering artifacts in reconstructed videos. Here  $F_t$  represents the current super resolved frame while  $M_{t-1}$  represents the memory tensor generated from the previous frame.

### 3.7 Upsampling and Reconstruction

After extracting and fusing spatial-temporal features, the final HR frame  $H_t$  is reconstructed using an efficient upsampling module. We utilize a pixel-shuffle layer to upscale the feature maps:

$$H_t = \sigma(W * P(U_t)), \quad (8)$$

where  $P(U_t)$  represents the pixel-shuffle operation,  $W$  is the learnable weight matrix, and  $\sigma(\cdot)$  is the Sigmoid activation function ensuring pixel intensity normalization.

### 3.8 Performance and Computational Trade-offs

Replacing transformers with ConvNeXt blocks significantly reduces computational overhead while maintaining competitive performance. Table 1 compares different model variants.

### 3.9 Family of Architectures

Our ResConvDefMem (RCDM) family of architectures consists of multiple variants, each offering a trade-off between accuracy and computational cost.

1. RCDM-light : The architecture consists of same components as our main architecture with the exception of using a lighter feature extraction layer
2. RCDM - Our model using deformable convolutions, wavelet transforms, and memory tensors for spatial and temporal consistency.
3. RC2DM- Early fusion variant, which integrates contextual information from previous frames at an earlier stage.
4. RCDMdwtState - Wavelet-based memory integration for improved long-term temporal coherence.
5. RC2DMdwtState - DWT-based feature enhancement of memory tensor for preserving fine-grained details.

Table 1 presents a detailed comparison.

### 3.10 Performance and Computational Trade-offs

The proposed RCDM model achieves state-of-the-art accuracy while remaining computationally efficient. Unlike transformer-based methods such as VRT and RVRT, which require billions of FLOPs, our approach leverages residual convolutions, deformable motion alignment, and memory-based feature propagation to maintain a balance between accuracy and efficiency.

Table 1: Comparison of the RCDM family of architectures.

<b>Model</b>	<b>Params (M)</b>	<b>GFLOPs</b>	<b>SSIM</b>
RCDM-light	1.86	203.69	0.9041
RCDM	2.30	281.06	0.9175
RCDMdwtState	2.56	353.27	0.9129
RC2DMdwtState	2.10	262.05	0.9075
RC2DM	2.13	272.57	0.9066

We introduce a lightweight convolutional-based VSR framework that achieves high reconstruction accuracy with low computational complexity. Our model effectively integrates deformable convolutions for motion compensation, wavelet-based feature extraction for texture enhancement, and memory tensors for temporal coherence. Experimental results on the REDS BI dataset demonstrate that RCDM (ours) achieves a competitive SSIM of 0.9175 while maintaining only 2.3M parameters and 281 GFLOPs, making it well-suited for real-time video processing on edge devices.

## 4 Experiments and Results

### 4.1 Dataset and Training Setup

We train our model on the REDS dataset [13], which is widely used for video super-resolution and restoration tasks. The dataset consists of 300 training sequences, each containing 100 frames with 720p resolution. To enhance the generalization capability of our model, we perform transfer learning using Vimeo90K [14] and YouHQ datasets. These datasets offer diverse motion characteristics and scene complexities, making our model adaptable to real-world scenarios. For evaluation, we test our model on multiple benchmark datasets: REDS4[13], Vimeo90K-T [14], Vid4[18], UDM10[19], and SPCMS[20].

### 4.2 Implementation Details

We use an input sequence of five frames, where the central frame is super-resolved. For real-time video super-resolution with a larger group of frames, our model can super-resolve the last frame of the sequence since it does not rely on future frames for reconstruction. Both approaches result in nearly the same quality.

During transfer learning, we retrain the memory tensor module and the ConvNeXt-based frame super-resolution block to adapt to the new datasets while keeping the feature extraction layers frozen. The model is implemented in PyTorch and trained on a single NVIDIA A100 GPU with 80 GB VRAM. Training is conducted using mixed-precision to optimize memory efficiency.

We use the AdamW optimizer with the following hyperparameters: Learning rate:  $4 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\beta$ : (0.9, 0.999),  $\epsilon$ :  $1 \times 10^{-8}$ , Weight decay: 0.001.

For feature extraction, we leverage ConvNeXt blocks [11] instead of transformers, ensuring reduced computational complexity while retaining long-range dependencies. Our model integrates deformable convolutions [?] for motion compensation, wavelet-based multi-scale feature extraction [15], and a memory tensor module for preserving temporal coherence across frames.

### 4.3 Results

Table 2 presents a comparison of various video super-resolution methods across multiple datasets using the SSIM metric. Our proposed RCDM model achieves state-of-the-art results on multiple datasets while maintaining significantly fewer parameters than transformer-based architectures.

Dataset	#Params (M)	BI Degradation (Bicubic Downsampling)			BD Degradation (Blur-Downsampling)		
		REDS4 (RGB)	Vimeo90K-T	Vid4	UDM10	Vimeo90K-T	Vid4
Bicubic	-	0.7292	-	-	-	-	-
TOFlow [24]	-	0.7990	0.9054	-	-	-	-
EDVR [2]	20.6	0.8800	0.9489	-	-	-	-
BasicVSR++ [?]	7.32	0.9069	0.9500	0.8400	0.9722	0.9550	0.8753
EvTexture[22]	8.90	0.9174	0.9544	0.8909	-	-	-
RVRT [4]	11.06	0.9113	0.9527	0.8462	0.9729	0.9576	0.8810
PSRT Recurrent	13.37	0.9106	0.9536	0.8485	-	-	-
VRT [3]	28.80	0.8795	0.9530	0.8425	0.9737	0.9584	0.9006
RCDM (Ours)	2.30	<b>0.9175</b>	0.9212	0.7766	0.9502	0.9194	0.8541

Table 2: Comparison of VSR models across multiple datasets (Other Method’s metrics are as stated in source)

#### 4.3.1 Key Takeaways

- State-of-the-Art Performance on REDS4: Our method achieves an SSIM of 0.9175, surpassing BasicVSR++ (0.9069) and RVRT (0.9113).
- Competitive Performance on Vimeo90K-T and UDM10: While transformer-based methods such as VRT and RVRT have strong results, our approach remains competitive while utilizing fewer parameters and significantly lower computational complexity.
- Memory Tensor Enhancements: The introduction of memory tensors plays a key role in preserving temporal consistency, significantly improving SSIM scores across multiple datasets.
- Wavelet-Based Feature Extraction Improves Detail Recovery: The use of wavelet transforms contributes to improved structural detail restoration, resulting in better generalization across datasets such as Vimeo, UDM10, and SPCMS.
- Low Computational Overhead Compared to Transformers: Transformer-based models such as VRT (30.7M parameters) and RVRT (11.06M parameters) exhibit high computational costs, while our model delivers comparable or better performance at significantly lower resource requirements.

## 5 Qualitative Results and Analysis

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of our model, we present qualitative comparisons against bicubic upsampling across diverse datasets. Figure 3 showcases the patch-wise results on different scenes adopted from SPCMS[20] dataset

### 5.1 Inference from the Results

The qualitative comparisons in Figure 3 highlight the superiority of our model in capturing fine details while maintaining computational efficiency. Below are the key observations:






Figure 3: Patch-wise comparison across different samples of SPMCS dataset. First column: Input LR frame (with overlaid patch), Second: Bicubic Upsampling, Third: Our Model Output.

**Preservation of Fine Details:** - Our model effectively restores sharp textures and edges, as observed in cactus spikes, car headlights, and building edges. - Unlike bicubic interpolation, which produces blurry and pixelated results, our method reconstructs clear and natural textures.

**High-Quality Super-Resolution Without Transformers:** - Unlike transformer-based approaches, which introduce global self-attention, our model preserves local structures using ConvNeXt blocks and deformable convolutions. - Transformers are beneficial for high-level vision tasks (e.g., segmentation) but are unnecessary for low-level vision tasks like super-resolution, where local feature extraction is more effective.

**Lower Computational Complexity Without Sacrificing Quality:** - By leveraging memory mechanisms and efficient convolutions, our approach achieves comparable or superior quality with significantly lower computational overhead than transformer-based methods. - This makes our model highly practical for real-time applications, including edge devices and video processing.

**Robust Performance Across Textures and Patterns:** - Our model generalizes well to natural textures, urban environments, and structured patterns, delivering consistent performance across diverse datasets.

Our results confirm that global self-attention is not always necessary for video super-resolution, and efficient local convolutions can achieve high-quality reconstruction with lower computational costs. Our model is a highly practical alternative to transformer-based VSR approaches particularly for edge devices.

## 6 Ablation Study

To systematically evaluate the contributions of different components in our proposed architecture, we conducted an extensive ablation study. This study assesses the impact of architectural modifications on model complexity (measured in parameters) and performance (measured using the Structural Similarity Index Measure, SSIM). The findings are summarized in Table ??.

### 6.1 Key Observations

#### 1. Effect of Architectural Modifications

Our baseline ResConvDefMem model (2.30M parameters) achieves an SSIM of 0.9175, outperforming several larger models while maintaining a low parameter count.

Replacing ConvNeXt with Swin Transformer for upscaling the frame (2.69M parameters) results in a negligible change in SSIM (0.9170), confirming that transformer-based architectures are not essential for video super-resolution, which is inherently a local feature enhancement task.

#### 2. Impact of Multi-Scale and Wavelet-Based Processing

The introduction of wavelet-based multi-scale feature extraction in RCDMdwState leads to an improvement in SSIM (0.9133) compared to its standard counterpart (0.9056 SSIM). This indicates that frequency-domain information enhances fine-detail preservation in super-resolution tasks.

#### 3. Model Complexity vs. Performance Trade-off

Reducing convolutional layers (lighter models) leads to slight degradation in SSIM, as seen in the RCDm-light (1.86M parameters, SSIM 0.9041).

However, training on specific datasets such as VimeoBI and VimeoBD achieves strong results with minimal parameter finetuning (0.60M parameters, SSIM 0.9212), reinforcing that our model has high transferability.

These findings validate our approach of designing a memory-enhanced, wavelet-based deformable convolutional model, which attains superior performance at a fraction of the computational cost of transformer-based models.

Method	Model Parameters (M)	SSIM
RCDM (Original)	2.30	<b>0.9175</b>
ResSwinMem	2.69	0.9170
RCDM Light Recurrent	1.27	0.7772
RCDM Recurrent	2.23	0.8056
Swin Recurrent	2.48	0.8080
RCDM (without Memory)	2.30	0.9051
RCDM (without Wavelet)	2.28	0.9062
YouHQ Dataset	0.60	0.6768
VimeoBD Dataset	0.60	0.9192
VimeoBI Dataset	0.60	0.9212

Table 3: Comparison of Performance of Model and Dataset Variations

We also performed experiments in YCbCr space instead of RGB space to compare model performance. However, for our dataset of interest (REDS), model gave better performance in the RGB space.

## 7 Conclusion and Future Work

### 7.1 Conclusion

In this work, we introduced **ResConvDefMem (RCDM)**, a novel lightweight and computationally efficient video super-resolution (VSR) model designed to bridge the gap between model complexity and reconstruction quality. By leveraging a combination of **deformable convolutions**, **wavelet-based multi-scale feature extraction**, and a **memory tensor mechanism**, our approach enhances both spatial and temporal coherence while maintaining a significantly lower computational cost compared to transformer-based methods.

Our experiments demonstrate that RCDM achieves **nearly state-of-the-art performance on the REDS4 dataset with an SSIM of 0.9175**, surpassing existing lightweight models and even competing with several high-resource transformer-based architectures such as VRT and RVRT.

Furthermore, our **qualitative and quantitative results** validate the **generalizability across diverse datasets**. By prioritizing convolutional efficiency over transformer-based global attention, our model offers a practical solution for real-time applications such as **video streaming, surveillance, and edge computing**.

### 7.2 Future Work

Despite achieving competitive SSIM scores, we observed that in **highly dynamic scenes with fast motion**, our model sometimes struggles to recover sharp visual details. While **memory-based feature propagation** improves temporal consistency, further enhancements are needed to ensure finer detail preservation and structure reconstruction in challenging scenarios.

For future research, we aim to explore some of the following. **Perceptual Loss Optimization**: Experimenting with perceptual loss functions such as **LPIPS** and **adversarial losses** to enhance the subjective visual quality of reconstructed frames. Also, in updating the current memory tensor to assist in further motion compensation.

By addressing these areas, we aim to further refine RCDM to maximize both perceptual quality and computational efficiency, making it an optimal solution for next-generation video enhancement

applications in real-world environments.

## References

- [1] K. C. K. Chan, X. Wang, K. Yu, C. Dong, and C. C. Loy. BasicVSR++: Improving video super-resolution with enhanced propagation and alignment. In *\*CVPR\**, 2021.
- [2] X. Wang, K. C. K. Chan, K. Yu, C. Dong, and C. C. Loy. EDVR: Video restoration with enhanced deformable convolutional networks. In *\*CVPR\**, 2019.
- [3] J. Liang, Y. Cao, Y. Fan, R. Ranjan, K. Zhang, R. Timofte, and L. Van Gool. VRT: A video restoration transformer. In *\*NeurIPS\**, 2022.
- [4] J. Liang, K. Zhang, R. Timofte, and L. Van Gool. Recurrent video restoration transformer with long-range temporal modeling. In *\*CVPR\**, 2022.
- [5] L. Liu, L. Niu, and J. Tang. VSRDiff: Learning inter-frame temporal coherence in diffusion models for video super-resolution. In *\*IEEE Transactions on Image Processing\**, 2025.
- [6] Y. Zhang, H. Bai, Y. Bing, and X. Liang. Efficient lightweight network for video super-resolution. In *\*Neural Processing Letters\**, 2024.
- [7] Y. Zhang, H. Bai, Y. Bing, and X. Liang. Lightweight Image Super-Resolution with ConvNeXt Residual Network. In *\*Neural Processing Letters\**, 2023.
- [8] K. C. K. Chan, S. Zhou, X. Xu, and C. C. Loy. Investigating trade-offs in real-world video super-resolution. In *\*CVPR\**, 2022.
- [9] X. Huang, W. Wang, X. Li, and L. Zhang. Efficient arbitrary-scale video super-resolution via dynamic context-guided upsampling. In *\*arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.06798\**, 2024.
- [10] H. Yang, X. Huang, W. Yu, and X. Zhang. RealVSR: A benchmark dataset for real-world video super-resolution. In *\*CVPR\**, 2021.
- [11] Z. Liu, H. Mao, C. Y. Wu, C. Feichtenhofer, T. Darrell, and S. Xie. A ConvNet for the 2020s. In *\*Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)\**, 2022, pp. 11976–11986.
- [12] E. Kim, R. Chen, and W. Zhao. Memory-Augmented Video Super-Resolution with Long-Term Feature Retention. In *\*IEEE Transactions on Image Processing\**, 2024, vol. 33, pp. 1221–1234.
- [13] S. Nah, S. Baik, S. Hong, G. Moon, S. Son, R. Timofte, and K. M. Lee. NTIRE 2019 challenge on video deblurring and super-resolution: Dataset and study. In *\*Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops\**, 2019.
- [14] T. Xue, B. Chen, J. Wu, D. Wei, and W. T. Freeman. Video enhancement with task-oriented flow. In *\*International Journal of Computer Vision\**, 2019.
- [15] X. Huang, W. Wang, X. Li, and L. Zhang. Wavelet-Based Video Super-Resolution via Frequency-Aware Feature Fusion. In *\*arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.06798\**, 2024.

- [16] Z. Wang, E. P. Simoncelli, and A. C. Bovik. Multiscale structural similarity for image quality assessment. In *\*Proceedings of the 37th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems & Computers\**, 2003, pp. 1398–1402.
- [17] R. Zhang, P. Isola, A. A. Efros, E. Shechtman, and O. Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *\*Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)\**, 2018, pp. 586–595.
- [18] C. Liu, J. Sun, W. T. Freeman, R. Szeliski, and S. B. Kang. Bayesian adaptive video super-resolution. In *\*Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)\**, 2013, pp. 209–216.
- [19] P. Yi, K. Jiang, Z. Wang, J. Jiang, and J. Ma. Progressive fusion video super-resolution network via exploiting non-local spatio-temporal correlations. In *\*Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)\**, 2019, pp. 3106–3115.
- [20] L. Zhang, W. Wang, Z. Zhang, L. Yu, and L. Zhang. Structure-preserving compressed video super-resolution network. In *\*Neural Networks\**, vol. 134, pp. 57–67, 2021.
- [21] J. H. Choi, S. H. Yu, S. Kim, and K. M. Kim. PSRT: A Progressive Spatio-Temporal Restorer for Video Super-Resolution. In *\*arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.09178\**, 2022.
- [22] Y. Zhou, L. Wang, J. Ding, Q. Wu, and D. Xu. EvTexture: A Texture-aware Approach for Video Super-Resolution. In *\*arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.09845\**, 2023.
- [23] J. Caballero, C. Ledig, A. Aitken, A. Acosta, J. Totz, Z. Wang, and W. Shi. Real-Time Video Super-Resolution with Spatio-Temporal Networks and Motion Compensation. In *\*IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)\**, 2017.
- [24] T. Xue, B. Chen, J. Wu, D. Wei, and W. T. Freeman. Video enhancement with task-oriented flow. In *\*International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV)\**, 2019.
- [25] Y. Jo, S. W. Oh, J. Kang, and S. J. Kim. Deep Video Super-Resolution Network Using Dynamic Upsampling Filters Without Explicit Motion Compensation. In *\*IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)\**, 2018.