THE FIBONACCI ZETA FUNCTION AND MODULAR FORMS

ERAN ASSAF, CHAN IEONG KUAN, DAVID LOWRY-DUDA, AND ALEXANDER WALKER

ABSTRACT. We show that a family of Dirichlet series generalizing the Fibonacci zeta function $\sum F(n)^{-s}$ has meromorphic continuation in terms of dihedral GL(2) Maass forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [AKLDW24], the authors introduced a family of zeta functions that generalized earlier work [Ega99, Nav01, Kam13] on the Fibonacci zeta function

$$Z_{\rm Fib}(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{F(n)^s} = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \dots$$

For a square-free integer D > 1, let \mathcal{O}_D denote the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varepsilon > 1$ denote the fundamental unit in \mathcal{O}_D . To simplify presentation and the shape of results, we suppose that ε satisfies $N(\varepsilon) = -1$ (which occurs if and only if the class group and narrow class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ coincide).

We define the \mathcal{O}_D Lucas and Fibonacci sequences in terms of traces of the fundamental unit,

$$L_D(n) := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\varepsilon^n),$$

$$F_D(n) := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\varepsilon^n/\sqrt{q})$$

where q = D if $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and otherwise q = 4D. Note that $F_5(n) = F(n)$, the standard Fibonacci function.

The main results of [AKLDW24] give meromorphic continuation to the \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci zeta functions

$$Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{F_D(2n-1)^s} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\varepsilon^{2n-1}/\sqrt{q})\right)^s},$$
$$Z_D^{\text{even}}(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{F_D(2n)^s} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\varepsilon^{2n}/\sqrt{q})\right)^s}$$

using binomial expansion or Poisson summation. A third proof was sketched that related the \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci zeta function to modular forms.

1

Date: Last compiled: February 4, 2025.

In this article, we complete this sketch and describe how to understand the \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci zeta functions via modular forms. Ultimately, we show that the resulting meromorphic continuation agrees with the continuation obtained via Poisson summation in Theorems 11 and 13 of [AKLDW24].

Theorem 1. The \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci zeta functions admit meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$\begin{split} Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s) &= \frac{q^{s/2}}{8\Gamma(s)\log\varepsilon} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m \Gamma\Big(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon}\Big) \Gamma\Big(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon}\Big),\\ Z_D^{\text{even}}(s) &= \frac{q^{\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(1-s)}{4\log\varepsilon} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{s}{2} - \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon})}, \end{split}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and for $\operatorname{Re} s < 0$, respectively.

The expressions for Z_D^{odd} and Z_D^{even} in Theorem 1 arise as spectral expansions of a certain Petersson inner product. Interestingly, these spectral expansions turn out to be supported only on dihedral Maass cusp forms. From a representation-theoretic perspective, this implies that the associated automorphic representation is dihedral. It would be nice to have an argument that the representation Π of GL_2 assocaited to $V_1(z)$ is dihedral from first-principles. But this has eluded the authors.

Though Z_D^{odd} and Z_D^{even} are similar in many ways, Z_D^{odd} is much simpler to study than Z_D^{even} . To highlight the main ideas of our method, we focus mostly on Z_D^{odd} in this article. A brief treatment of Z_D^{even} is presented in §4.6.

Acknowledgements

EA was supported by Simons Collaboration Grant (550029, to Voight) and by Simons Foundation Grant (SFI-MPS-Infrastructure-00008651, to Sutherland). CIK was supported in part by NSFC (No. 11901585). DLD was supported by the Simons Collaboration in Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory, and Computation via the Simons Foundation grant 546235. AW was supported by the Additional Funding Programme for Mathematical Sciences, delivered by EPSRC (EP/V521917/1) and the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research.

We would also like to thank John Voight, Andy Booker, Jeff Hoffstein, and Min Lee for helpful discussion and feedback on the ideas leading into this paper.

2. Shifted Convolution Dirichlet Series

The connection between the Fibonacci zeta function and modular forms comes from the following proposition, which recognizes \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci numbers as the solutions to certain generalized Pell equations.

Proposition 2 (Proposition 6 of [AKLDW24]). The positive integer n is an \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci number if and only if there is an integer solution in X to the equation

$$X^2 = qn^2 \pm 4,$$

where q = D if $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and otherwise q = 4D. If in addition $N(\varepsilon) = -1$, then n is an odd-indexed \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci number if and only if there is an integer solution in X to the equation

$$X^2 = qn^2 - 4.$$

This proposition shows that an \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci number can be recognized by detecting squares. Let $r_1(n) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^2 = n\}$ (which is twice the square indicator function, except $r_1(0) = 1$). It follows that

$$Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{F_D(2n-1)^s} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{r_1(n)r_1(qn-4)}{n^{s/2}},$$
$$Z_D^{\text{even}}(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{F_D(2n)^s} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{r_1(n)r_1(qn+4)}{n^{s/2}}.$$

We simplify further depending on $D \mod 4$. When $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we have q = D. Otherwise, we have q = 4D and $r_1(qn-4) = r_1(4D-4) = r_1(D-1)$. Stated differently, 4D - 4 is a square if and only if D - 1 is a square. Altogether, this allows us to rewrite the \mathcal{O}_D Fibonacci series as

$$Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{r_1(n)r_1(Dn-\ell)}{n^{s/2}}, \ Z_D^{\text{even}}(s) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{r_1(n)r_1(Dn+\ell)}{n^{s/2}},$$
(1)

where $\ell = \ell(D) = 4$ if $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and otherwise $\ell = 1$.

The sequence $\{r_1(n)\}$ gives the coefficients of a modular form and the sums on the right of (1) are shifted convolution Dirichlet series. We will examine Z_D^{odd} in detail and discuss the necessary modifications to treat Z_D^{even} in Remark 4.6.

The series for Z_D^{odd} in (1) is closely related to a series studied in [HKLDW20, §3]. As in that work, we obtain the meromorphic continuation for $Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s)$ via spectral expansion.

Let $\theta(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} r_1(n)e^{2\pi i n z} \in S_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ denote the classical theta function, and consider $V_1(z) = \operatorname{Im}(z)^{1/2}\theta(Dz)\overline{\theta(z)}$. Then $V_1(z)$ is an automorphic form of weight 0 for $\Gamma_0(4D)$ with nebentypus $\chi_{4D} = \left(\frac{4D}{2}\right)$. For $h \geq 1$, let $P_h(z, s; \chi_{4D})$ denote the Poincaré series

$$P_h(z,s;\chi_{4D}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma_0(4D)} \chi_{4D}(\gamma) \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^s e^{2\pi i h \gamma z},$$
(2)

where $\Gamma_{\infty} \subset \Gamma_0(4D)$ denotes the stabilizer of the cusp at ∞ . Then P_h is also an automorphic form of weight 0 for $\Gamma_0(4D)$ with nebentypus χ_{4D} . The Petersson inner product of V_1 with P_{ℓ} (where $\ell = \ell(D)$ is 1 or 4, as described above) gives a completed version of Z_D^{odd} :

$$4\Gamma(s)Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s) = (4D\pi)^s \langle V_1, P_\ell(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}; \chi_{4D}) \rangle.$$
(3)

The Poincaré series $P_{\ell}(\cdot, s)$ is known to have meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} (see for instance [IK04, §15]) and decays rapidly at cusps.

3. Spectral Expansion

Our basic strategy is to obtain a spectral expansion of Z_D^{odd} from the spectral expansion of P_{ℓ} . There is a minor obstruction: V_1 is non-cuspidal, which leads to poor convergence in the spectral expansion. Thus we first modify V_1 by subtracting an Eisenstein series that cancels the growth at the cusps in §3.1. We denote this by $V(z) = V_1(z) - E(z, \frac{1}{2})$.

Subsequently, in §3.2 we spectrally expand P_{ℓ} and insert this into (3). This expresses Z_D^{odd} as a sum of terms indexed by the discrete and continuous spectra of the hyperbolic Laplacian. A short computation in §3.3 shows that the contribution of the continuous spectrum vanishes.

Describing the discrete spectrum requires more work. We show that the only forms that contribute are dihedral forms. When $D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4$, this is largely straightforward and follows from a short argument given at the start of §3.4. But when $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, there is tension because there are oldforms that appear in the spectral decomposition. The problem is that the behavior seems easiest to understand by first studying newforms on lower levels and then raising and orthogonalizing these forms in full level. We study oldform and newform Maass forms in §3.4, and then orthogonalize to get an orthogonal basis in §3.5.

3.1. Subtracting growth at cusps. The process of mollifying growth at cusps by subtracting a linear combination of Eisenstein series is one form of automorphic regularization. Automorphic regularization is often described using a set of Eisenstein series indexed by the cusps of $\Gamma_0(4D)$. We avoid this approach here because the constant coefficients of the set $\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s)\}$ are linearly dependent functions of z when s = 1/2.

Instead, we show that in this case it is still possible to mollify the growth of a certain real subspace of automorphic forms.

Proposition 3. Let D be square-free. Let f be an automorphic form of weight 0 for $\Gamma_0(4D)$ of nebentypus χ_{4D} , such that $W_{4D}f = \overline{f}$, where W_{4D} is the Fricke involution. There exists an Eisenstein series E(z, s), modular for $\Gamma_0(4D)$ of nebentypus χ_{4D} , such that

$$f(z) - E(z, \frac{1}{2}) \in L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D}).$$

Proof. Let X be a set of representatives for the singular cusps of χ_{4D} under the action of W_{4D} . Then the constant term map $\operatorname{CT} : \mathcal{E}(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \to \mathbb{C}^X$ is surjective, where \mathcal{E} is the space spanned by the Eisenstein series and $\operatorname{CT}(f)_x$ is the constant term of $f|_{\sigma_x}$. We further consider the decomposition to real vector spaces $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_+ \oplus \mathcal{E}_-$, where \mathcal{E}_+ consists of E such that $W_{4D}E = \overline{E}$ and \mathcal{E}_- of those with $W_{4D}E = -\overline{E}$. These induce a decomposition $\mathbb{C}^X = V_+ \oplus V_-$. It follows that $\operatorname{CT}(f) \in V_+$, so there exists an Eisenstein series $E \in \mathcal{E}_+$ such that $\operatorname{CT}(E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2})) = \operatorname{CT}(f)$. Finally, if $x \in X$, then the constant term of f at $W_{4D}(x)$ is

$$CT(W_{4D}f)_x = CT(\overline{f})_x = \overline{CT(f)_x} = CT(E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}))_x$$
$$= CT(\overline{E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2})})_x = CT((W_{4D}E)(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}))_x,$$

which is the constant term of $E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2})$ at $W_{4D}(x)$, showing the result. \Box

Remark 4. Since $W_{4D}(V_1) = \overline{V_1}$, Proposition 3 implies that there exists an Eisenstein series E(z, s), modular for $\Gamma_0(4D)$ of nebentypus χ_{4D} , for which

$$V(z) := V_1(z) - E(z, \frac{1}{2}) \in L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D}).$$

To explicitly construct such an Eisenstein series, we use a linear combination of Eisenstein series indexed by two characters, E_{χ_1,χ_2} , as defined in [Hux84],

$$E_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(z,s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma_{0}(4D)} \chi_{1}(\gamma_{2,1}) \chi_{2}(\gamma_{2,2}) \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^{s}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For an odd divisor $r \mid D$, define $\chi_{r^{\diamond}}$ to be the unique primitive quadratic character of conductor r. Stated differently, write $r^{\diamond} = \left(\frac{-1}{r}\right)r$, where $\left(\frac{-1}{\cdot}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol. Then $\chi_{r^{\diamond}}$ is the Dirichlet character that agrees with the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{r^{\diamond}}{\cdot}\right)$. We also use Shimura's symbol ε_r , which equals 1 or i according as $r \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 4). If $D \equiv 2,3 \mod 4$, we define

$$E(z,s) = \sum_{\substack{0 < r \mid D \\ 2 \nmid r}} \frac{\varepsilon_r}{\sqrt{r}} E_{\chi_r \diamond, \chi_{4D} \chi_r \diamond}(z,s).$$

Here, by abuse of notation, we suppose that $\chi_{4D}\chi_{r^{\diamond}}$ denotes the primitive character inducing $\chi_{4D}\chi_{r^{\diamond}}$. If $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we define

$$\beta_{r,1} = \beta_{r,4} = \begin{cases} 1, & r \equiv \frac{D}{r} \mod 8\\ \frac{1}{3}, & r \not\equiv \frac{D}{r} \mod 8 \end{cases}, \qquad \beta_{r,2} = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{2}{r}\right), & r \equiv \frac{D}{r} \mod 8\\ 0, & r \not\equiv \frac{D}{r} \mod 8 \end{cases},$$

and then define

$$E(z,s) = \sum_{\substack{0 < r|D \\ r^2 \le D}} \frac{\varepsilon_r}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{B|4} \beta_{r,B} E_{\chi_{r^\diamond},\chi_{4D}\chi_{r^\diamond}}(Bz,s).$$
(4)

In both cases, one can check using explicit formulas (e.g. those in [You19]) that $V_1(z) - E(z, \frac{1}{2})$ vanishes at every cusp of $\Gamma_0(4D)$ that is singular for the character χ_{4D} .

`

3.2. Initial Spectral Decomposition. We are now ready to spectrally expand P_{ℓ} for use in (3). Let $\{\mu_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of Hecke–Maass forms (cf. [Maa49]) for $L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D})$, with respective spectral types $\frac{1}{2} + it_j$. Each μ_j admits a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\mu_j(x+iy) = \sqrt{y} \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n|y) e^{2\pi i n x},$$

with associated Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_j(n)$. By orthonormality, we can write $\rho_j(n) = \rho_j(1)\lambda_j(n)$ for all n. We assume $\rho_j(1) \in \mathbb{R}$ without loss of generality.

We also let $\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}\}$ be a basis of Eisenstein series associated to the cusps $\{\mathfrak{a}\}$ of $\Gamma_0(4D)$ that are singular with respect to χ_{4D} . The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,w;\chi_{4D}) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \setminus \Gamma_{0}(4D)} \chi_{D}(\gamma) \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma z)^{w},$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a scaling matrix associated to the cusp \mathfrak{a} .

Then by [DFI02, Prop. 4.1, 4.2], P_{ℓ} has a spectral expansion of the form

$$\begin{split} P_{\ell}(z,s;\chi_{4D}) &= \sum_{j} \langle P_{\ell}(\cdot,s;\chi_{4D}),\mu_{j} \rangle \mu_{j}(z) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \langle P_{\ell}(\cdot,s;\chi_{4D}),\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot,w;\chi_{4D}) \rangle \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,w;\chi_{4D}) dw, \end{split}$$

where \mathfrak{a} indexes the singular cusps. From (3) and Remark 4, we have

$$4\Gamma(s)Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s) = (4\pi D)^s \langle V, P_\ell(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}) \rangle + (4\pi D)^s \langle E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}), P_\ell(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}) \rangle.$$

For Re s sufficiently large, inserting the spectral expansion for P_{ℓ} produces the following spectral expansion for $Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s)$:

$$\frac{4\Gamma(s)Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s)}{(4\pi D)^s} = \left\langle E(\cdot,\frac{1}{2}), P_\ell(\cdot,\overline{s}+\frac{1}{2}) \right\rangle + \sum_j \langle P_\ell(\cdot,\overline{s}+\frac{1}{2}), \mu_j \rangle \langle V, \mu_j \rangle \tag{5}$$
$$+ \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \left\langle P_\ell(\cdot,\overline{s}+\frac{1}{2}), \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot,w) \right\rangle \langle V, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot,w;\chi_{4D}) \rangle dw.$$

3.3. Vanishing in the Continuous Spectrum. Surprisingly, most of the terms of the spectral expansion in (5) vanish. We first show that the second line in (5), coming from Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in the continuous spectrum, vanishes entirely.

Lemma 5. For each singular cusp \mathfrak{a} , $\langle V(z), \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, \overline{w}; \chi_{4D}) \rangle = 0$.

Proof. We apply the Rankin–Selberg method to unfold the inner product into the integral

$$\langle V, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, \overline{w}; \chi_{4D}) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} z) y^w dx \frac{dy}{y^2}.$$

The integral in x isolates the constant term of $V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} z)$. We claim that this constant term is actually 0, which implies the inner product is zero.

A short computation shows that the expansion of $V_1(z) = y^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(Dz)\overline{\theta(z)}$ at the cusp \mathfrak{a} is always a constant multiple of $y^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(uz)\overline{\theta(vz)}$ for some integers u, v with uv = D. By Proposition 3, the non-exponential decay portion of $V_1(z)$ perfectly cancels with the Eisenstein series E(z,s) at every singular cusp \mathfrak{a} . As such, it suffices to examine the exponential decay part of the constant term of $y^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(uz)\overline{\theta(vz)}$, which is

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n\geq 1\\um=vn}} r_1(m)r_1(n)e^{-2\pi(um+vn)y}.$$

Since r_1 restricts to squares, the condition um = vn forces u/v to be a rational square, which contradicts that uv = D is square-free. Hence the constant term of $V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}z)$ is identically 0, implying that the inner product is zero as well.

3.4. Vanishing of Most of the Discrete Spectrum. We turn now to the discrete spectrum. Recall that an automorphic form $\mu \in L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D})$ is *dihedral* if there exists a Hecke character η of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ such that $L(s, \mu) = L(s, \eta)$.

We claim that $\langle V, \mu \rangle = 0$ unless μ is dihedral. If μ were a newform, justification for this claim can be obtained by mimicking the proof of Lemma 4.4 in [HKLDW20].

(Proof sketch when μ is a newform). The theta function $\theta(z)$ appears in the residue of a weight 1/2, level 4D Eisenstein series

$$E(z,w;\Gamma_0(4D)) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma_0(4D)} \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^w J(\gamma, z)^{-1},$$

where $j(\gamma, z) = \theta(\gamma z)/\theta(z)$ and $J(\gamma, z) = j(\gamma, z)/|j(\gamma, z)|$ is a normalized theta multiplier. This Eisenstein series has meromorphic continuation to $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at $w = \frac{3}{4}$ with residue of the form $c^{-1}y^{1/4}\theta(z)$. By computing the constant term in the Fourier expansion of $E(z, w; \Gamma_0(4D))$ (similar to the computations from [Gol06, §3.1]), we conclude that

$$c = \frac{4\pi D}{3} \prod_{p|4D} (1+p^{-1}).$$

We compute $\langle V, \mu \rangle$ by identifying $\theta(z)$ as the residue of $E(z, w; \Gamma_0(4D))$ and using this Eisenstein series to unfold the integral:

$$\begin{split} \langle V, \mu \rangle &= \langle y^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta(Dz) \overline{\theta(z)}, \mu \rangle = c \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} \langle y^{1/4} \theta(Dz) \overline{E(z, \overline{w}; \Gamma_0(4D))}, \mu \rangle \\ &= c \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 y^{1/4} \theta(Dz) y^w \overline{\mu(z)} \frac{dxdy}{y^2} \\ &= c \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{n \ge 1} r_1(n) \overline{\rho(Dn)} \int_0^\infty y^{w-\frac{1}{4}} K_{it}(2D\pi ny) e^{-2D\pi ny} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= c \cdot 2\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(w - \frac{1}{4} + it) \Gamma(w - \frac{1}{4} - it)}{(4\pi D)^{w-\frac{1}{4}} \Gamma(w + \frac{1}{4})} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\overline{\rho(Dn^2)}}{n^{2w-\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{split}$$

in which we've used [GR15, 6.621(3)] to evaluate the integral. As the gamma functions are analytic at $w = \frac{3}{4}$, the residue is zero unless the Dirichlet series has a pole at $w = \frac{3}{4}$.

If μ is a Hecke newform, this Dirichlet series is essentially the symmetric square *L*-function associated to μ ; it has a pole if and only if μ is dihedral.

If all relevant Maass forms were newforms, this proof idea would be complete. But when $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, it is necessary to consider oldforms too.

Oldforms and Newforms. For a positive integer N and a Dirichlet character $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}$, we consider $L^2(\Gamma_0(M) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi)$ and $L^2(\Gamma_1(M) \setminus \mathcal{H})$ for divisors M of N. Recall that we have the decomposition (e.g. [DS05, Thm 5.8.3] for holomorphic modular forms)

$$L^{2}(\Gamma_{1}(N)\backslash\mathcal{H}) = \bigoplus_{M|N} i_{M} (L^{2}(\Gamma_{1}(M)\backslash\mathcal{H})^{\mathrm{new}}),$$

where $i_M : L^2(\Gamma_1(M) \setminus \mathcal{H}) \to L^2(\Gamma_1(N) \setminus \mathcal{H})$ is given by $i_M = \bigoplus_{a|(N/M)} B_a$ with

$$(B_a f)(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} f(az).$$

Decomposing according to nebentypus, we obtain

$$L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(N)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi) = \bigoplus_{\operatorname{cond}(\chi)|M|N} i_{M} (L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(M)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi)^{\operatorname{new}}).$$

When N = 4D and $\chi = \chi_{4D}$, the conductor of χ_{4D} is $\operatorname{cond}(\chi_{4D}) = q = \frac{4D}{\ell}$, hence

$$L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(4D)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{4D}) = \bigoplus_{a|\ell} i_{aq} \big(L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(aq)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{aq})^{\mathrm{new}} \big).$$

Here, this means that

$$L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(4D)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{4D}) = \begin{cases} L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(4D)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{4D})^{\text{new}} & D \equiv 2,3 \mod 4\\ L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(4D)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{4D})^{\text{new}} \\ \oplus i_{2}\left(L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(2D)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{2D})^{\text{new}}\right) & D \equiv 1 \mod 4.\\ \oplus i_{4}\left(L^{2}(\Gamma_{0}(D)\backslash\mathcal{H};\chi_{D})^{\text{new}}\right) \end{cases}$$
(6)

To understand the discrete spectrum, it is therefore necessary to consider terms coming from both newforms and oldforms.

Lemma 6. Let a, b be positive integers such that $ab \mid \ell$. Let μ denote a Hecke-Maass form in $L^2(\Gamma_0(bq)\setminus\mathcal{H};\chi_{bq})^{\text{new}}$ which is an eigenform of spectral type $\frac{1}{2} + it$ for the Hecke algebra with associated Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda(n)$ and Fourier coefficients $\rho(n)$. Then $\langle V, B_a \mu \rangle = 0$ unless μ is dihedral, in which case

$$\left(1 - \frac{\chi_q(2)}{2}\right)\rho(1)\frac{\langle V, B_a\mu\rangle_{4D}}{\langle \mu, \mu\rangle_{4D}} = \left(1 - \frac{\chi_{bq}(2)}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{P_2(1, B_a\mu)}{P_2(1, \mu)} \cdot \frac{2\lambda(D)}{\sqrt{\ell}h(D)\log\varepsilon},$$

where

$$P_2(s,\mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^{2j})}{2^{js}}$$

is the 2-factor of the symmetric square L-function associated to μ .

Note that when $b = \ell$ and a = 1, we essentially have the heuristic proof and the proof from [HKLDW20] described above.

Proof. Let

$$E(z,w;\Gamma_0(4D)) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma_0(4D)} \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^w J(\gamma, z)^{-1},$$

where $j(\gamma, z) = \theta(\gamma z)/\theta(z)$ and $J(\gamma, z) = j(\gamma, z)/|j(\gamma, z)|$. As noted above, we recognize θ as coming from a residue of a half-integral weight Eisenstein series,

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} E(z, w; \Gamma_0(4D)) = c^{-1} y^{1/4} \theta(z),$$

with

$$c = \frac{4\pi D}{3} \prod_{p|4D} (1+p^{-1}).$$

Unfolding and recalling that the Fourier coefficients of $B_a\mu$ satisfy $\rho_{B_a\mu}(n) = \rho_\mu(n/a)$ shows that

$$\langle V, B_a \mu \rangle_{4D} = c \cdot 2\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(w - \frac{1}{4} + it)\Gamma(w - \frac{1}{4} - it)}{(4\pi D)^{w - \frac{1}{4}}\Gamma(w + \frac{1}{4})} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\overline{\rho(Dn^2/a)}}{n^{2w - \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Let $\{T_n\}$ be the Hecke operators on $S_0(D, \chi_D)$, as defined in [DFI02, §6]. Then for all D and n we have

$$T_D T_n = \sum_{d \mid (D,n)} \chi_D(d) T_{Dn/d^2} = T_{Dn},$$

since $\chi_D(d) = 0$ for all $1 \neq d \mid D$. It follows that $\lambda(Dn) = \lambda(D)\lambda(n)$, and as a consequence $\rho(Dn) = \rho(1)\lambda(D)\lambda(n)$. When $2 \mid D$, we must have b = 1and a = 1; hence in all cases we have $\rho(Dn^2/a) = \rho(D)\lambda(n^2/a)$. Therefore we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho(Dn^2/a)}}{n^s} = \overline{\lambda(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho(n^2/a)}}{n^s} = \overline{\lambda(D)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2 \nmid n} \frac{\overline{\rho(n^2 \cdot 2^{2r}/a)}}{n^s \cdot 2^{rs}}.$$

Since $a \mid 4$, we can use multiplicativity to write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho(Dn^2/a)}}{n^s} = \overline{\lambda(D)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho(2^{2j}/a)}}{2^{js}} \sum_{2\nmid n} \frac{\overline{\lambda(n^2)}}{n^s} = \overline{\rho(D)} \frac{P_2(s, B_a\overline{\mu})}{P_2(s, \overline{\mu})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\lambda(n^2)}}{n^s}$$

where $P_2(s,\mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^{2j})}{2^{js}}$ as in the statement of the lemma. Recalling that

$$L(s,\overline{\mu}\otimes\overline{\mu}) = \zeta^{(bq)}(2s)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\lambda(n)}^2}{n^s} = \zeta^{(bq)}(2s)L(s,\chi_{bq})\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\lambda(n^2)}}{n^s},$$

we may write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho(Dn^2/a)}}{n^s} = \overline{\rho(D)} \frac{P_2(s, B_a \overline{\mu})}{P_2(s, \overline{\mu})} \frac{L(s, \overline{\mu} \otimes \overline{\mu})}{\zeta^{bq}(2s)L(s, \chi_{bq})}.$$

This has a pole at s = 1 if and only if μ is dihedral.¹ It also follows that

$$\langle V, B_a \mu \rangle_{4D} = \frac{P_2(1, B_a \overline{\mu})}{P_2(1, \overline{\mu})} \langle V, \mu \rangle_{4D}.$$
(7)

We now assume that μ is dihedral and compute the inner product. Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\rho(n)|^2}{n^s} = \frac{4\cosh(\pi t)}{\pi} \langle |\mu|^2, \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{E}(z,s) \rangle_{4D} = \frac{3\cosh(\pi t)\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{4D}}{\pi^2 D \prod_{p|4D} (1+\frac{1}{p})^2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(z,s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma_{0}(4D)} \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^{s}.$$

By Dirichlet's class number formula, we have

$$L(1,\chi_q) = \frac{2h(D)\log\varepsilon}{\sqrt{q}} = \frac{h(D)\sqrt{\ell}\log\varepsilon}{\sqrt{D}}.$$
(8)

¹The authors appreciate insight on this from MathOverflow [Mat24], which led to us reconsidering foundational work of Labesse and Langlands [LL79].

As $b \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\chi_{bq}(2)}{2}\right) L(1, \chi_{bq}) = \left(1 - \frac{\chi_q(2)}{2}\right) \frac{h(D) \log \varepsilon \sqrt{\ell}}{\sqrt{D}}$$

Consequently,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\chi_q(2)}{2}\right) \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \rho(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\rho(Dn^2)}}{n^s} = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\chi_{bq}(2)}{2}\right) \cdot 3\overline{\lambda(D)} \cosh(\pi t) \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{4D}}{\pi^2 \sqrt{D\ell} h(D) \log \varepsilon \prod_{p|4D} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

After substituting, we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{\chi_q(2)}{2}\right) \rho(1) \langle V, \mu \rangle_{4D} = \left(1 - \frac{\chi_{bq}(2)}{2}\right) \frac{3c\overline{\lambda(D)} \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{4D}}{2\sqrt{\ell}\pi Dh(D) \log \varepsilon \prod_{p|4D} (1 + \frac{1}{p})}$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{\chi_{bq}(2)}{2}\right) \frac{2\overline{\lambda(D)} \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{4D}}{\sqrt{\ell}h(D) \log \varepsilon}.$$

Using (7) we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{\chi_q(2)}{2}\right)\rho(1)\frac{\langle V, B_a \mu \rangle_{4D}}{\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{4D}} = \left(1 - \frac{\chi_{bq}(2)}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{P_2(1, B_a \overline{\mu})}{P_2(1, \overline{\mu})} \cdot \frac{2\overline{\lambda(D)}}{\sqrt{\ell}h(D)\log\varepsilon},$$

which is the desired equality.

which is the desired equality.

3.5. Orthogonalizing. We've now shown that the only Maass forms that appear in the spectral decomposition are linear combinations of lifts of dihedral newforms. Fortunately, this situation isn't as complicated as it might appear.

Lemma 7. If $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then neither of the spaces $L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D})^{\text{new}}$ nor $L^2(\Gamma_0(2D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{2D})^{\text{new}}$ contain any dihedral Maass forms.

Proof. Let η be a Hecke character of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, and assume by contradiction that μ_n has (minimal) level 2D or 4D. Since μ_n has nebentypus χ_D , it follows that $\eta|_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}} = 1_2$. In particular, recalling also that $L_2(s, \mu_{\eta}) = L_2(s, \eta)$, the conductor of η divides \mathfrak{p}_2 , a prime ideal over 2. If 2 is split or ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, then $\mathcal{O}_D/\mathfrak{p}_2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$, hence there is no character of conductor \mathfrak{p}_2 , showing that η has trivial conductor, hence μ_{η} has minimal level D.

When 2 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, we have $\mathcal{O}_D/\mathfrak{p}_2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_4$, and since $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, the image of ε in \mathbb{F}_4^{\times} is a generator, showing that the map $\mathcal{O}_D^{\times} \to {\pm 1}^2 \times \mathbb{F}_4^{\times}$ is surjective, and the narrow class group of level 2 is isomorphic to the class group. Therefore, there are no Hecke characters of conductor 2, hence in this case as well η has trivial conductor, and μ_{η} has minimal level D.

Thus we have two scenarios: if $D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4$, then there are only newforms to consider; if $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then the only Maass forms that don't vanish are lifts of dihedral forms on $S_0(D, \chi_D)$. In particular, if $\{\mu_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenforms for $L^2(\Gamma_0(D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_D)$, then $\{B_a \mu_j\}_{a|4,j}$ is a basis (not necessarily orthonormal) for $i_4(L^2(\Gamma_0(D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_D))$.

In the spectral expansion (5), we have an orthonormal basis of Maass forms that are either dihedral or lifts of dihedral forms. We now describe these forms and their coefficients explicitly. We largely follow the notation from [Bum98, §1.7,§1.9], though we refer also to [Lan94] and [MP22].

Each dihedral Maass form arises from a Hecke character. Let η be a Hecke character on $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, ramified only at ∞ . Then $\eta = \eta_0 \eta_\infty^m$ for some $m = m(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\eta_0 \in \mathrm{Cl}^+(F)^{\vee}$ a finite order character of the narrow class group and

$$\eta_{\infty}(x) = \eta_{\infty}(x_1, x_2) = \operatorname{sgn}(x_1) \operatorname{sgn}(x_2) \left| \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right|^{\frac{i\pi}{2\log\varepsilon}},$$

where x_1, x_2 are the two embeddings of x into \mathbb{R} (after having fixed the ordering of the embeddings). For each such character η , the function

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\eta}(x+iy) &\coloneqq \delta_{\eta=1} \cdot \frac{h(D)\log\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{y} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_D} \eta(\mathfrak{b}) \sqrt{y} K_{\frac{mi\pi}{2\log\varepsilon}}(2\pi N(\mathfrak{b})y) \cdot \mathrm{cs}_m(2\pi N(\mathfrak{b})x), \end{split}$$

in which $\operatorname{cs}_m = \operatorname{cos}$ if $2 \mid m$ and $\operatorname{cs}_m = \operatorname{sin}$ if $2 \nmid m$, is a dihedral Maass form [Maa49] for $\Gamma_0(4D)$ with nebentypus χ_{4D} . Note that $\mu_\eta(z) = \mu_{\eta^{-1}}(z)$. Moreover, μ_η is non-cuspidal if and only if η factors through the norm by [LL79, Cor. 6.6]. If η factors through the norm, then (using the same notation $\chi_{r^{\diamond}}$ as in Remark 4) $\eta = \chi_{r^{\diamond}} \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ and direct calculation shows $\mu_\eta = h(D) \log \varepsilon \sqrt{r} E_{\chi_{r^{\diamond}}, \chi_{4D}\chi_{r^{\diamond}}}$.

We note that factoring through the norm is equivalent to $\eta = \eta^{-1}$, as

$$\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) = \eta(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}) \iff 1 = \eta(\mathfrak{b}\overline{\mathfrak{b}}),$$

hence $\eta = \eta^{-1}$ if and only if $\eta(\mathfrak{b}) = \eta(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$ for all nontrivial \mathfrak{b} . Equivalently, η factors through the norm if and only if η is fixed by the natural action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Let X_F be a set of representatives for $\operatorname{Cl}^+(F)^{\vee}/\operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ excluding the fixed points, i.e. such that $\eta \neq \eta^{-1}$. Then $|X_F| = \frac{h^+(D) - d(D)/2}{2}$.

The dihedral Maass forms μ_{η} are eigenforms for the Hecke algebra with Hecke eigenvalues $\eta(\mathfrak{p}) + \eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ at the split primes $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$. Further, for every $a \mid \ell$, we have that $B_a\mu_{\eta} = B_a\mu_{\eta^{-1}}$ is also a Hecke eigenform for the odd part of the Hecke algebra of $\Gamma_0(\ell D)$. The Hecke operators at 2 require more work. When $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ (so that $\ell = 4$), for any $f \in L^2(\Gamma_0(D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_D)$ we have

$$T_2B_1f = T_2f - \chi_D(2)B_2f, \qquad T_2B_2f = f, \qquad T_2B_4f = B_2f.$$

It follows that when f is a Hecke eigenform with $T_2 f = \lambda(2) f$, and U_f is the subspace spanned by $f, B_2 f, B_4 f$, then $T_2|_{U_f}$ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda(2) & 1 & 0 \\ -\chi_D(2) & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $f, B_2 f, B_4 f$.

For any $p \neq 2$, T_p commutes with B_a for each $a \mid 4$, which implies that $\langle B_{a_1}\mu_i, B_{a_2}\mu_j \rangle = 0$ whenever μ_i and μ_j are distinct dihedral Maass forms on $\Gamma_0(D)$. Thus the space of dihedral forms admits the orthogonal decomposition

$$i_4(L^2_{\text{dihedral}}(\Gamma_0(D)\backslash \mathcal{H};\chi_D)) = \bigoplus_j (\mathbb{C}\mu_j \oplus \mathbb{C}B_2\mu_j \oplus \mathbb{C}B_4\mu_j),$$

where μ_j range over the dihedral forms coming from Hecke characters η that do not factor through the norm. Let $U_j = \mathbb{C}\mu_j \oplus \mathbb{C}B_2\mu_j \oplus \mathbb{C}B_4\mu_j$. Although T_2 is no longer normal, it satisfies $T_2^* = WT_2W^{-1}$, where W is the Fricke involution (cf. [DFI02, §6]). With respect to the basis $\{\mu_j, B_2\mu_j, B_4\mu_j\}$ we have

$$W|_{U_j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2|_{U_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j(2) & 1 & 0 \\ -\chi_D(2) & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$T_2^*|_{U_j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 2 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\chi_D(2)\\ 0 & 2 & \lambda_j(2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let G be the Gram matrix of U_j . This satisfies $T_2^t G = GT_2^*$, giving rise to a linear system of equations with solution

$$G = \frac{1}{4} \langle \mu_j, \mu_j \rangle \begin{pmatrix} 4 & \frac{4\lambda_j(2)}{2+\chi_D(2)} & \frac{2\lambda_j^2(2)}{2+\chi_D(2)} - \chi_D(2) \\ \frac{4\lambda_j(2)}{2+\chi_D(2)} & 2 & \frac{2\lambda_j(2)}{2+\chi_D(2)} \\ \frac{2\lambda_j^2(2)}{2+\chi_D(2)} - \chi_D(2) & \frac{2\lambda_j(2)}{2+\chi_D(2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this, it is straightforward to compute that

$$\mu_{j,1} = \mu_j, \qquad \mu_{j,2} = B_2 \mu_j - \frac{\lambda_j(2)}{2 + \chi_D(2)} \mu_j, \qquad (9)$$

$$\mu_{j,3} = B_4 \mu_j - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_j(2) B_2 \mu_j + \frac{1}{4} \chi_D(2) \mu_j$$

is an orthogonal basis with norms

$$\frac{\langle \mu_{j,1}, \mu_{j,1} \rangle}{\langle \mu_{j}, \mu_{j} \rangle} = 1, \qquad \frac{\langle \mu_{j,2}, \mu_{j,2} \rangle}{\langle \mu_{j}, \mu_{j} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda_{j}(2)^{2}}{5 + 4\chi_{D}(2)},$$

$$\frac{\langle \mu_{j,3}, \mu_{j,3} \rangle}{\langle \mu_{j}, \mu_{j} \rangle} = \frac{3}{16} - \frac{2 - \chi_{D}(2)}{8(2 + \chi_{D}(2))} \lambda_{j}(2)^{2}.$$
(10)

4. Explicitly computing remaining contributions

The spectral decomposition from (5) now takes the form

$$\frac{4\Gamma(s)Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s)}{(4\pi D)^s} = \left\langle E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}), P_\ell(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}) \right\rangle + \sum_j \left\langle P_\ell(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}), \mu_j \right\rangle \frac{\langle V, \mu_j \rangle}{\|\mu_j\|^2}, \quad (11)$$

where the continuous spectrum has vanished by §3.3 and the discrete spectrum consists of dihedral Maass forms in $L^2(\Gamma_0(4D), \chi_{4D})$ when $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$, or orthogonalized lifts of dihedral Maass forms in $L^2(\Gamma_0(D), \chi_D)$ when $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ (cf. Lemmas 6 and 7, and equation (6)). We find it easier to work with an orthogonal basis and to later divide by the norm $\|\mu_j\|^2$.

To complete our understanding of the meromorphic continuation, we compute these inner products.

4.1. **Poincaré inner products.** The inner product $\langle E, P_{\ell} \rangle$ extracts the ℓ th Fourier coefficient of E, weighted by a ratio of gamma functions. To see this, write the Eisenstein series E(z, w) from Remark 4 as

$$E(z,w) = \rho(w;0)y^w + \tilde{\rho}(w;0)y^{1-w} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho(w;n)\sqrt{y}K_{w-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi|n|y)e^{2\pi inx}.$$

The Fourier coefficient $\rho(w; \ell)$ may be made explicit by writing E(z, w) in terms of Eisenstein series attached to characters (cf. (4)) and applying formulas from [You19, Proposition 4.1]. Performing these computations shows that $\rho(\frac{1}{2}; \ell)$ is given by

$$\rho(\frac{1}{2};\ell) = \begin{cases} \frac{d(D/2)}{\sqrt{D}L(1,\chi_{4D})}, & \text{if } D \equiv 2 \mod 4, \\ \frac{d(D)}{\sqrt{D}L(1,\chi_{4D})}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

A standard unfolding argument shows that for $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 1$,

$$\langle E(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}), P_{\ell} \rangle = \rho(\frac{1}{2}; \ell) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi\ell y} y^{s} K_{0}(2\pi\ell y) \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\rho(\frac{1}{2}; \ell)\Gamma(s)^{2}}{(4\ell\pi)^{s}\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})},$$

in which we've used [GR15, 6.621(3)] to evaluate the integral. We further simplify by using the class number formula (8) to see

$$\langle E(\cdot,\overline{s}+\frac{1}{2}), P_{\ell} \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\ell\pi)^s \Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})\log\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s)^2}{h(D)} \cdot \frac{d(D)}{2}.$$
 (12)

(This includes writing $d(D/2) = d(D)/\sqrt{4}$ when $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$, which we do to unify results).

A similar argument gives a formula for the inner product of the Poincaré series with a Maass cusp form $\mu_j \in L^2(\Gamma_0(4D), \chi_{4D})$ of type $\frac{1}{2} + it$ and Fourier coefficients $\rho(n)$ when $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 1$, yielding

$$\langle P_{\ell}(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}), \mu \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\rho(\ell)\Gamma(s + it_j)\Gamma(s - it_j)}{(4\ell\pi)^s\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}.$$
(13)

4.2. Dihedral inner products with $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$. When $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$, we showed that $L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D}) = L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D})^{\text{new}}$ in (6). Thus the Hecke operators diagonalize the space and the cuspidal dihedral Maass forms coming from Hecke characters on $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ (described in §3.5) are orthogonal and are the only Maass forms with nonzero inner products $\langle V, \mu_j \rangle$. These inner products can be read from Lemma 6 with $a = b = \ell = 1$, which simplifies to

$$\rho_j(1) \frac{\langle V, \mu_j \rangle}{\langle \mu_j, \mu_j \rangle} = \frac{2\lambda_j(D)}{h(D)\log\varepsilon}.$$
(14)

4.3. Dihedral inner products with $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$. When $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, for each dihedral Maass form μ_j in $L^2(\Gamma_0(D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_D)$, there is a threedimensional space of forms V_j in $L^2(\Gamma_0(4D) \setminus \mathcal{H}; \chi_{4D})$ with orthogonal basis $\mu_{j,1}, \mu_{j,2}$, and $\mu_{j,3}$ given in (9).

We recover the inner products from Lemma 6, which gives the inner products for the nonorthogonal basis μ_j , $B_2\mu_j$, and $B_4\mu_j$ with $\ell = 4$, b = 1, and q = D. This gives

$$\rho_j(1) \frac{\langle V, \mu_j \rangle}{\langle \mu_j, \mu_j \rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D) \log \varepsilon}$$
$$\rho_j(1) \frac{\langle V, B_2 \mu_j \rangle}{\langle \mu_j, \mu_j \rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D) \log \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\lambda_j(2)}{2 + \chi_D(2)}$$
$$\rho_j(1) \frac{\langle V, B_4 \mu_j \rangle}{\langle \mu_j, \mu_j \rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D) \log \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2}.$$

Taking the linear combinations from (9), we find

$$\rho_j(1)\frac{\langle V,\mu_{j,1}\rangle}{\langle \mu_j,\mu_j\rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D)\log\varepsilon}, \qquad \rho_j(1)\frac{\langle V,\mu_{j,2}\rangle}{\langle \mu_j,\mu_j\rangle} = 0$$
$$\rho_j(1)\frac{\langle V,\mu_{j,3}\rangle}{\langle \mu_j,\mu_j\rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D)\log\varepsilon} \cdot \left(\frac{2+\chi_D(2)}{4} - \frac{\lambda_j(2)^2}{2(2+\chi_D(2))}\right)$$

Using the norms computed in (10) and noting that $(2+\chi_D(2))(2-\chi_D(2)) = 3$, we compute

$$\rho_{j}(1)\frac{\langle V,\mu_{j,1}\rangle}{\langle \mu_{j,1},\mu_{j,1}\rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D)\log\varepsilon}, \qquad \rho_{j}(1)\frac{\langle V,\mu_{j,2}\rangle}{\langle \mu_{j,2},\mu_{j,2}\rangle} = 0$$

$$\rho_{j}(1)\frac{\langle V,\mu_{j,3}\rangle}{\langle \mu_{j,3},\mu_{j,3}\rangle} = \frac{\lambda(D)}{h(D)\log\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{4}{2-\chi_{D}(2)}, \qquad (15)$$

4.4. Fourier coefficients. The inner product with the Poincaré series (13) requires the ℓ th Fourier coefficient of each Maass form. When $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$, we have $\ell = 1$, so our formulas are naturally stated in terms of $\rho_i(1)$.

But when $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we have $\ell = 4$ and we need the coefficients $\rho_{j,1}(4)$ and $\rho_{j,3}(4)$. (We don't need $\rho_{j,2}(4)$ as it is multiplied by $\langle V, \mu_{j,2} \rangle = 0$, which is 0 by (15)). For these, we again work in terms of the basis { $\mu_j, B_2\mu_j, B_4\mu_j$ }. Recalling that μ_j is a Hecke newform on $\Gamma_0(D)$, we use Hecke operators to compute the 4th coefficient in terms of the Hecke eigenvalue $\lambda_j(2)$, giving

$$\rho_{j,1}(4) = \rho_j(4) = \rho_j(1)(\lambda_j(2)^2 - \chi_D(2))$$

$$\rho_{j,3}(4) = \rho_j(1) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_j(2)\rho_j(2) + \frac{1}{4}\chi_D(2)\rho_j(4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\rho_j(1)(3 - (2 - \chi_D(2))\lambda_j(2)^2).$$
(16)

4.5. Assembly. Finally, we are ready to assemble all the computations together. Though there are differences between the cases $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$, we can immediately bring these cases together.

When $D \equiv 2 \mod 4$, we combine (13) and (14) to see that

$$\sum_{j} \langle P_{\ell}(\cdot, \overline{s} + \frac{1}{2}), \mu_j \rangle \frac{\langle V, \mu_j \rangle}{\|\mu_j\|^2} = \sum_{j} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s + it_j) \Gamma(s - it_j)}{(4\ell\pi)^s \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{2\lambda_j(D)}{h(D) \log \varepsilon}.$$
 (17)

When $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we first use (15) and (16) to sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} \rho_{j,k}(4) \frac{\langle V, \mu_{j,k} \rangle}{\langle \mu_{j,k}, \mu_{j,k} \rangle} = \frac{\lambda_j(D)}{h(D) \log \varepsilon} \cdot \left(\lambda_j(2)^2 - \chi_D(2) + \frac{3 - (2 - \chi_D(2))\lambda_j(2)^2}{2 - \chi_D(2)} \right) = \frac{2\lambda_j(D)}{h(D) \log \varepsilon}.$$

The same computation above then shows that the total discrete contribution exactly matches (17).

Before summing over all j, we recall that the type t_j of the dihedral form μ_j is given by $t_m = \frac{\pi i m}{2 \log \varepsilon}$, where $\eta = \eta_0 \eta_\infty^m$. We sum over m instead of j, but we must account for multiplicity. For $m \neq 0$, there are $h^+(D)$ (=h(D) as we take $N(\varepsilon) = -1$) many characters η_0 . But when m = 0, only $|X_F| = \frac{h^+(D) - d(D)/2}{2}$ choices are cuspidal (cf. §3.5).

Note that as D is squarefree, every prime p dividing D is ramified in \mathcal{O}_D and $\sqrt{D}\mathcal{O}_D$ is the unique ideal of norm D. Moreover, this ideal is narrowly principal as it is generated by the totally positive $\varepsilon\sqrt{D}$, and hence for a Hecke character η we compute

$$\eta(\sqrt{D}\mathcal{O}_D) = \eta_{\infty}^m(\varepsilon\sqrt{D}) = \left| -\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{D}}{\overline{\varepsilon}\sqrt{D}} \right|^{\frac{im\pi}{2\log\varepsilon}} = \varepsilon^{\frac{im\pi}{\log\varepsilon}} = e^{im\pi} = (-1)^m.$$

This implies that that $\lambda(D) = (-1)^m$.

We apply this to sum over j by summing over m, obtaining

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j} \langle P_{\ell}(\cdot,\overline{s}+\frac{1}{2}),\mu_{j}\rangle \frac{\langle V,\mu_{j}\rangle}{\|\mu_{j}\|^{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^{s}\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})\log\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m}\Gamma(s+it_{m})\Gamma(s-it_{m}) + \frac{|X_{F}|}{h(D)}\Gamma(s)^{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^{s}\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})\log\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m}\Gamma(s+it_{m})\Gamma(s-it_{m}) + \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{d(D)}{2h(D)}\right)\Gamma(s)^{2}\right). \end{split}$$

By the reduced spectral expansion (11), we need only add $\langle E(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}), P_{\ell} \rangle$ to recover $4\Gamma(s)Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s)/(4\pi D)^s$. With the evaluation (12), this gives

$$\frac{4\Gamma(s)Z_D^{\text{odd}}(2s)}{(4\pi D)^s} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^s\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})\log\varepsilon} \left(2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\Gamma(s+it_m)\Gamma(s-it_m)+\Gamma(s)^2\right)$$
(18)

The gamma duplication formula shows that

$$\frac{(4D\pi)^s}{4\Gamma(s)}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(4\pi\ell)^s\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{D^s\sqrt{\pi}}{4\ell^s\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{\left(\frac{4D}{\ell}\right)^s}{8\Gamma(2s)} = \frac{q^s}{8\Gamma(2s)}.$$

Multiplying (18) by $(4\pi D)^s/4\Gamma(s)$, rearranging, and taking s in place of 2s thus gives the following theorem.

Theorem 8. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ away from the poles of the summands,

$$Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s) = \frac{q^{s/2}}{8\Gamma(s)\log\varepsilon} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m \Gamma\Big(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon}\Big) \Gamma\Big(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon}\Big),$$

Thus $Z_D^{\text{odd}}(s)$ has meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$, with simple poles at $s = -2k + \frac{\pi i m}{\log \varepsilon}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and integral $k \ge 0$.

4.6. Extended Remark on Z_D^{even} . The even-indexed Fibonacci zeta function may be written

$$Z_D^{\text{even}}(s) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{r_1(n)r_1(Dn+\ell)}{n^{s/2}}$$

By analogy, one might hope to understand $Z_D^{\text{even}}(s)$ through the inner product $\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(Dz)\overline{\theta(z)}, P_{-\ell}(z,\overline{s};\chi_{4D})\rangle$, where $P_{-\ell}$ is the formal generalization of (2) to $h = -\ell$.

Unfortunately, the series $P_{-\ell}(z, s; \chi_{4D})$ diverges for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$. Nevertheless, a method for defining $P_{-\ell}$ through controlled limiting processes was presented in [HHR13]. With this, one may adapt the argument in the odd-indexed case to prove a meromorphic continuation for $Z_D^{\text{even}}(s)$.

In the left half-plane ${\rm Re}\,s<0,$ the resulting meromorphic continuation takes the form

$$Z_D^{\text{even}}(s) = \frac{q^{\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(1-s)}{4\log\varepsilon} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{s}{2} - \frac{\pi i m}{2\log\varepsilon})},$$

which exactly matches a continuation established for Z_D^{even} in [AKLDW24] via Poisson summation. To see this, one combines equations [HHR13, (3.29)] and [HHR13, (4.17)], simplifying further using the gamma function identities

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} = \frac{2^{s}\Gamma(1 - s)}{\sqrt{\pi}\csc(\frac{\pi s}{2})},$$
$$\frac{2\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} - it_j)\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + it_j)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - it_j)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + it_j)\csc(\frac{\pi s}{2})} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} - it_j)}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{s}{2} - it_j)} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + it_j)}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{s}{2} + it_j)}.$$

(As in [AKLDW24, §4.2], behavior on the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 0$ is more complicated.)

References

- [AKLDW24] Eran Assaf, Chan Ieong Kuan, David Lowry-Duda, and Alexander Walker. The fibonacci zeta function and continuation. 2024. See https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.13620. 1, 2, 3, 17, 18
 - [Bum98] Daniel Bump. Automorphic forms and representations, volume 55. Cambridge University Press, 1998. 12
 - [DFI02] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. The subconvexity problem for Artin *L*-functions. *Invent. Math.*, 149(3):489–577, 2002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002220200223. 6, 10, 13
 - [DS05] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman. A first course in modular forms, volume 228. Springer Verlag, 2005. 8
 - [Ega99] Shigeki Egami. Some curious Dirichlet series. RIMS Kokyuroku, 1091:172–174, 1999. 1
 - [Gol06] Dorian Goldfeld. Automorphic forms and L-functions for the group GL (n, R), volume 13. Cambridge University Press, 2006. 7
 - [GR15] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. Table of integrals, series, and products. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, eighth edition, 2015. Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll, Revised from the seventh edition [MR2360010]. 8, 14
 - [HHR13] J. Hoffstein, T. Hulse, and A. Reznikov. Multiple Dirichlet Series and Shifted Convolutions. 2013. 17
- [HKLDW20] Thomas A. Hulse, Chan Ieong Kuan, David Lowry-Duda, and Alexander Walker. Arithmetic progressions of squares and multiple Dirichlet series, 2020. https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.14324.3,7,9
 - [Hux84] M. N. Huxley. Scattering matrices for congruence subgroups. In Modular forms (Durham, 1983), Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl.: Statist. Oper. Res., pages 141–156. Horwood, Chichester, 1984. 5
 - [IK04] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. Analytic number theory, volume 53 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. 4
 - [Kam13] Ken Kamano. Analytic continuation of the Lucas zeta and L-functions. Indag. Math., New Ser., 24(3):637–646, 2013. 1
 - [Lan94] Serge Lang. Algebraic number theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 110, 1994. 12
 - [LL79] J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands. L-indistinguishability for SL(2). Canadian J. Math., 31(4):726–785, 1979. 10, 12

- [Maa49] Hans Maass. Über eine neue Art von nichtanalytischen automorphen Funktionen und die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch Funktionalgleichungen. Math. Ann., 121:141–183, 1949. 6, 12
- [Mat24] MathOverflow. Is 'self-dual' equivalent to 'dihedral' for maass forms on GL(2)? MathOverflow, 2024. See https://mathoverflow.net/q/473021(version:2024-06-11), asked by David Lowry-Duda. 10
- [MP22] Pascal Molin and Aurel Page. Computing groups of hecke characters. *Research in Number Theory*, 8(4):91, 2022. 12
- [Nav01] Luis Navas. Analytic continuation of the Fibonacci Dirichlet series. *Fibonacci Q.*, 39(5):409–418, 2001. 1
- [You19] Matthew P. Young. Explicit calculations with Eisenstein series. J. Number Theory, 199:1–48, 2019. 5, 14