# FUJITA'S CONJECTURES AND COMPACTIFICATION OF HOMOLOGY TRIVIAL COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

## PING LI

ABSTRACT. Takao Fujita proposed in 1980 three closely related conjectures called  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ and  $C_n$ , which relate the Kähler smooth compactification of contractible complex manifolds to the uniqueness of Kähler structure on cohomology complex projective spaces. Recently Peternell solved  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  when n are even. In this note we push forward his arguments to show that the conjectures  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are true when  $n \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ . Moreover, the contractility condition can be weakened to homology triviality. A related application is given and some remarks are discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by recalling two problems in Hirzebruch's famous 1954 problem list: Problems 27 and 28 in [Hi54, p.231].

A pair (M, D) is called an (analytic) compactification of an open n-dimensional complex manifold U if M is an n-dimensional compact complex manifold and  $D \subset M$  an analytic subvariety such that  $M \setminus D$  is biholomorphic to U, which we simply denote by  $M \setminus D \cong U$ . Such a compactification (M, D) is called *smooth* or Kähler if D is smooth or M is Kähler respectively. In [Hi54, Problem 27], Hirzebruch asked the problem of classifying the compactifications (M, D) of  $U = \mathbb{C}^n$  with the second Betti number  $b_2(M) = 1$ . The Betti number condition is equivalent to the irreducibility of the subvariety D. The standard example is  $(M, D) = (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ , where  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is some *linearly* embedded subspace in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . When n = 1 or 2,  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  is the unique example ([RvdV60]). The only smooth compactification for n = 3is  $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}^2)$  ([BM78, Thm 2.4]). When n = 3 and A is allowed to be singular, the classification is complicated (see [Hir87, p.781-782] and the references therein). When  $n \leq 6$ , the only Kähler smooth compactification is  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  ([vdV62], [Fu80-2]). We refer to [BM78] and [PS91] for a survey on these historical materials. It has been a long-standing open question if the only Kähler smooth compactification of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  ([BM78, Conjecture 3.2]), which was recently answered in the affirmative by Li and Zhou ([LZ24]).

In [Hi54, Problem 28], Hirzebruch asked the problem of determining all complex or Kähler structures on (the underlining differentiable manifold of)  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . For the complex structure the uniqueness is well-known for n = 1 and now known for n = 2 ([Ya77], [De15, §3]). There is no any real progress to date when  $n \geq 3$ , to the author's best knowledge. Hirzebruch observed that ([Hi54, p.223]) the uniqueness of complex structure on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  would imply the nonexistence of complex structures on  $S^6$  (see [To17, Prop.3.1] for a detailed proof). For the Kähler structure

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 32J05, 14F35, 14F45, 14J70.

Key words and phrases. compactification, cohomology complex projective space, homotopy complex projective space.

The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12371066).

## PING LI

this problem has been solved due to the following uniqueness result: a Kähler manifold homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$  must be biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Its proof combines a result of Hirzebruch and Kodaira ([HK57]), the homeomorphic invariance of rational Pontrjagin classes due to Novikov ([No65]), and the now called Miyaoka-Yau Chern number inequality ([CO75],[Ya77]). A detailed proof can be found in the nice expository paper [To17] by Tosatti. When the dimension n are small enough ( $n \leq 6$ ), the condition "homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ " can be further relaxed to various weaker conditions ([Fu80-2],[LS86],[Wil86],[LW90],[Ye10],[Li16],[De15]). We remark that all these results rely on a well-known criterion due to Kobayashi and Ochiai ([KO73]): the Fano index of an n-dimensional Fano manifold is no more than n + 1, with equality if and only if it is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Motivated by these two problems and some related results, Fujita raised in [Fu80-2, §1] the following three different but closely related conjectures.

**Conjecture 1.1**  $(A_n)$ . Let U be an *n*-dimensional contractible complex manifold and (M, D) its Kähler smooth compactification. Then  $U \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  and (M, D) is the standard example  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ .

**Conjecture 1.2**  $(B_n)$ . Let M be an n-dimensional projective manifold and D a smooth ample divisor on M. Suppose that the natural homomorphism  $H_k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(M;\mathbb{Z})$  is bijective for  $0 \le k \le 2(n-1)$ . Then  $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and D is a hyperplane on it.

**Conjecture 1.3**  $(C_n)$ . Let M be an n-dimensional Fano manifold such that its integral cohomology ring  $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}^n;\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .

Conjecture  $(A_n)$  is a much more stronger version of the aforementioned folklore conjecture solved in [LZ24]. Fujita showed that  $(C_n)$  implies  $(B_n)$  and  $(B_{n+1})$ ,  $(B_n)$  implies  $(A_n)$ ([Fu80-2, p.233]), and  $(C_n)$  is true for  $n \leq 5$  ([Fu80-2, Thm 1]). Combining some results in [Fu80-2] with a Chern number identity in [LW90] cleverly, Peternell recently solved Conjecture  $(B_n)$  for even n in [Pe24, Thm 1.1], and hence also solved Conjecture  $(A_n)$  for even n (although he didn't explicitly mention it). As a consequence, this provides an alternative (perhaps more direct) proof of the folklore conjecture that the only Kähler smooth compactification of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the standard  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  for even n ([Pe24, Cor.1.2]).

The major purpose in this note is to push forward Peternell's arguments to show the following result, which solves Conjecture  $(B_n)$  in the affirmative for  $n \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ . Namely, we have

**Theorem 1.4.** Let M be an n-dimensional projective manifold, D a smooth divisor on M, and the natural homomorphism  $H_k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(M;\mathbb{Z})$  is bijective for  $0 \le k \le 2(n-1)$ .

- (1) If  $n \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and D is a hyperplane on it.
- (2) If  $n = 3 \pmod{4}$ , either  $M \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and D is a hyperplane, or M is a Fano manifold with Picard number one, of index  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ , and  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_M(1)|$ . Moreover, in the latter case the cohomology rings  $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}^n;\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^*(D;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1};\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Remark 1.5.** The condition ampleness in Conjecture 1.2 turns out to be redundant. When  $n = 3 \pmod{4}$ , the Fano index of D in the non-standard case is  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ , which is half of dim D. There are some structural results for Fano *n*-folds of second Betti number  $b_2 \ge 2$  with Fano indices  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)$  (n odd) and  $\frac{1}{2}n$  (n even) respectively ([Wis91], [Wis93]). We suspect that the non-standard case may not occur. But we are unable to exclude it.

As a consequence, Conjecture  $(A_n)$  is also true when  $n \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ . Indeed, Conjecture  $(A_n)$  can be solved in a more general setting.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let U be an n-dimensional open complex manifold which is homology trivial (namely the reduced homology  $\tilde{H}_i(U;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for all i), and (M,D) its Kähler smooth compactification. Then the conclusions in Theorem 1.4 hold. In particular, Conjecture 1.1 is true whenever  $n \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ .

This provides a more direct proof of the fact that the only Kähler smooth compactification of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  when  $n \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ .

In addition to proving Theorem 1.6, we give another application to Theorem 1.4. Sommese showed in [So76] that there are severe restrictions on a projective manifold if it can be realized as an ample divisor in some other projective manifold. Fujita further improved in [Fu80-1] some of Sommese's results and answered some questions and conjectures raised in [So76]. As remarked in [Fu80-2, Remark 2], a positive answer to Conjecture 1.2 would lead to the following result, which solves [Fu80-1, Question 4.5] and gives a sharpened form of [So76, p.64, Prop.5].

**Theorem 1.7.** Let D be a smooth ample divisor in a projective manifold M and  $f: D \longrightarrow S$  a holomorphic mapping of maximal rank onto a compact complex manifold S. Assume f extends holomorphically to  $F: M \longrightarrow S$ . Then dim  $M \ge 2 \dim S$ . If moreover dim  $M = 2 \dim S$  or  $2 \dim S + 1$ , and  $n \ne 3 \pmod{4}$ , both f and F are fiber bundles with fibers being isomorphic to projective spaces so that each fiber of f is a hyperplane on the respective fiber of F.

**Remark 1.8.** When dim  $D - \dim S \ge 2$ , it turns out that the extension F always exists ([So76, p.61, Prop.3]).

The rest of this note is structured as follows. Since [Fu80-2, Thm 2] is crucial in establishing the main results, a detailed proof is provided in Section 2 for the reader's convenience as well as for making this note more self-contained. In Section 3 we recall Peternell's main result in [Pe24]. Then Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Temporarily we only assume that M is a 2*n*-dimensional closed (connected) oriented (topological) manifold with  $n \ge 2$ , and  $D \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} M$  a 2(n-1)-dimensional closed (connected) oriented submanifold in M. Let

$$P_M(\cdot) := (\cdot) \cap [M]: \ H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad (0 \le k \le 2n)$$

be the Poincaré duality of M, where [M] is the fundamental class of M determined by the orientation and " $\cap$ " the cap product. Let

(2.1) 
$$x := P_M^{-1}(i_*([D])) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

be the Poincaré dual of D in M, i.e.,  $x \cap [M] = i_*([D])$ . Let  $x_0 := i^*(x) \in H^2(D; \mathbb{Z})$  be the restriction of x to D.

With the notation above understood, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that the natural homomorphism

$$H_k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_k(M;\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by  $D \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} M$  is bijective for  $0 \le k \le 2(n-1)$ .

- (1) The even-dimensional cohomology rings  $H^{2*}(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{n+1})$  and  $H^{2*}(D;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_0]/(x_0^n)$ .
- (2) If furthermore the first Betti number  $b_1(M) = 0$ , the cohomology rings  $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{n+1})$  and  $H^*(D; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_0]/(x_0^n)$ .

*Proof.* It is well-known that the isomorphisms  $i_*$  imply isomorphisms on cohomology ([Ha02, Cor.3.4])

(2.2) 
$$H^{k}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{k}(D;\mathbb{Z}), \quad 0 \le k \le 2(n-1).$$

Let  $\tilde{i}: H^k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{k+2}(M;\mathbb{Z})$  be the isomorphism so that the following diagram commutes:

(2.3) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{k}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} & H^{k}(D;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{i} & H^{k+2}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \\ \cong & \bigvee_{P_{D}} & \cong & \bigvee_{P_{M}} & \left( 0 \le k \le 2(n-1) \right). \\ H_{2n-2-k}(D;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} & H_{2n-2-k}(M;\mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

Namely,  $\tilde{i} := P_M^{-1} \circ i_* \circ P_D$ . We assert that the composition  $\tilde{i} \circ i^*$  in (2.3) is such that

(2.4) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{i} \circ i^* : & H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{k+2}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \theta & \longmapsto & \theta \cup x \end{array}, \quad 0 \le k \le 2(n-1). \end{array}$$

Indeed we have

$$\tilde{i} \circ i^*(\theta) = P_M^{-1} \circ i_* \circ P_D(i^*(\theta)) = P_M^{-1} \circ i_*(i^*(\theta) \cap [D])$$
$$= P_M^{-1}(\theta \cap i_*([D]))$$
$$= \theta \cup x.$$

where the last equality is because of

$$P_M(\theta \cup x) = (\theta \cup x) \cap [M] = \theta \cap \left(x \cap [M]\right) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} \theta \cap i_*([D]).$$

This completes the proof of (2.4). The isomorphisms  $\tilde{i} \circ i^*$  in (2.4) and  $i^*$  in (2.2) imply that the even-dimensional cohomology rings of M and D are as in Part (1) in Lemma 2.1.

If moreover  $b_1(M) = 0$ , the universal coefficient theorem yields that  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , which leads to  $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H^{\text{odd}}(D; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , still due to the isomorphisms in (2.4) and (2.2). This completes the proof of Part (2) in Lemma 2.1.

With Lemma 2.1 in hand, we can now prove the following crucial result due to Fujita ([Fu80-2, Thm 2]), whose proof borrowed some ideas from that in [So76, Prop.5].

**Theorem 2.2** (Fujita). Let M be an n-dimensional compact Kähler manifold with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $D \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} M$  a smooth divisor, and  $H_k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{i_*}{\xrightarrow{\simeq}} H_k(M;\mathbb{Z})$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 2(n-1)$ . Then

- (1)  $x := c_1(L_D) > 0$  and so  $Pic(M) = \mathbb{Z}L_D$ , where  $L_D$  is the line bundle determined by the divisor D.
- (2)  $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{n+1})$  and  $H^*(D;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_0]/(x_0^n)$ , where as before  $x_0 := i^*(x)$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathbb{Z}x = H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Since M is Kähler, either x > 0 or x < 0 (and hence M is projective due to the Kodaira embedding theorem). The line bundle  $L_D$  is associated to the effective divisor D, which implies that it has a nontrivial global holomorphic section ([GH78, p.136]). Namely,  $h^0(M, L_D) > 0$ . If x < 0, the vanishing theorem implies that  $h^0(M, L_D) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus x > 0. This completes the proof of Part (1).

By considering the Albanese map, it turns out that (see [Fu80-2, p.232] or [RvdV63, p.156]) for every projective manifold X with dim  $X \ge 2$ , if  $b_1(X) > 0$ , then X has a nontrivial holomorphic two-form, i.e., the Hodge number  $h^{2,0}(X) \ge 1$ , which implies that  $b_2(X) = h^{1,1}(X) + 2h^{2,0}(X) \ge 3$ . By Part (1) in Lemma 2.1, in our situation  $b_2(M) = 1$ , we have thus  $b_1(M) = 0$ . This, together with Part (2) in Lemma 2.1, gives the desired proof of Part (2) in this theorem.

# 3. Peternell's result

The  $\chi_y$ -genus  $\chi_y(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$  of a compact complex manifold M was introduced by Hirzebruch ([Hi66]). When expanding  $\chi_y(M)$  at y = -1, it is well-known that the constant term  $\chi_{-1}(M)$  is the Euler number  $c_n[M]$ , where  $n = \dim M$ . A direct calculation using the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem shows that the coefficient in front of the quadratic term  $(y+1)^2$  is exactly

(3.1) 
$$\frac{n(3n-5)}{24}c_n[M] + \frac{1}{12}c_1c_{n-1}[M],$$

and hence the Chern number  $c_1c_{n-1}[M]$  can be determined by the Hodge numbers of M via an explicit formula ([NR79, p.18], [LW90, Thm 3], [Sa96, Cor.3.4]). As a consequence, we have ([LW90, Cor.2.5])

**Proposition 3.1.** If M is an *n*-dimensional compact Kähler manifold with the same Betti numbers as  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , then

$$c_1c_{n-1}[M] = c_1c_{n-1}[\mathbb{P}^n] = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)^2.$$

**Remark 3.2.** The formula (3.1), implicitly or explicitly, has been obtained by several independent articles with different backgrounds ([NR79], [LW90], [Sa96]). This kind of formula is a special case of a general phenomenon, which was called -1-phenomenon and investigated by the author in [Li15] and [Li17]. The reader may refer to [Li19, §3.2] for a summary on these materials.

The following theorem is due to Peternell ([Pe24]), which solves Conjecture 1.2 when n are *even*.

**Theorem 3.3** (Peternell). Let the conditions and notation be as in Theorem 2.2. Then  $(c_1(M), c_1(D)) = ((n+1)x, nx_0)$  or  $(\frac{1}{2}(n+1)x, \frac{1}{2}(n-1)x_0)$ .

**Remark 3.4.** The latter case in Theorem 3.3 can occur only if n is odd. So when n is even,  $(c_1(M), c_1(D)) = ((n+1)x, nx_0)$  and hence Conjecture 1.2 is true due to the well-known criterion of Kobayashi-Ochiai ([KO73]).

*Proof.* The three holomorphic vector bundles  $i^*(TM) = TM|_D$  (the restriction to D of the tangent bundle TM), TD and the normal bundle ND of D in M are related by the short

PING LI

exact sequence

$$(3.2) 0 \longrightarrow TD \longrightarrow i^*(TM) \longrightarrow ND \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 2.2 the Chern classes  $c_i(M) \in \mathbb{Z}x^i$  and  $c_i(D) \in \mathbb{Z}x_0^i$ . So  $c_i(M)$  and  $c_i(D)$  can be viewed as integers by abuse of notation. With this understood and taking the first and (n-1)-th Chern classes on  $i^*(TM)$  via (3.2), we have

(3.3) 
$$c_1(M) = c_1(D) + 1, \quad c_{n-1}(M) = c_{n-1}(D) + c_{n-2}(D) = n + c_{n-2}(D)$$

as  $c_{n-1}(D)$  is the Euler number of D. Theorem 2.2 implies that the Betti numbers of M and D are the same as those of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  respectively. Hence Proposition 3.1 yields

(3.4) 
$$c_1(M)c_{n-1}(M) = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)^2, \quad c_1(D)c_{n-2}(D) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)n^2.$$

By (3.4) both  $c_1(M) \neq 0$  and  $c_1(D) \neq 0$ . Hence putting (3.3) and (3.4) together we have

(3.5) 
$$\frac{n(n+1)^2}{2c_1(M)} = n + \frac{(n-1)n^2}{2(c_1(M)-1)}$$

Solving (3.5) leads to  $c_1(M) = n + 1$  or  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ .

# 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Our starting point to improve on Peternell's Theorem 3.3 is the following fact, which should be known to some experts as it is an application of some quite classical results in algebraic topology. But it is difficult for us to find a reference and so we provide a proof ourselves.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let M be a simply-connected smooth closed 2n-dimensional manifold such that its cohomology ring  $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}^n;\mathbb{Z})$ . Then M is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Proof. By a basic fact about the Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) = \mathbb{P}^{\infty}$  ([Ha02, Thm 4.57]), we have  $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \longleftrightarrow [M,\mathbb{P}^{\infty}]$ . Then there exists a continuous map,  $f: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\infty}$ , such that  $f^*(u) = x$ , where  $H^*(\mathbb{P}^{\infty};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[u]$  and  $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{n+1})$ . By the cellular approximation theorem ([Ha02, Thm 4.8]), there exists another continuous map  $g: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\infty}$ which is homotopic to f such that  $g(M) \subset 2n$ -skeleton of  $\mathbb{P}^{\infty} = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Since  $g^*(u) = f^*(u) = x$ , this map  $g: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  induces an isomorphism on their cohomology rings:

(4.1) 
$$g^*: \quad H^*(\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[u]/(u^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{n+1}).$$

By (4.1),  $g^*(u^n) = x^n$  and therefore the degree of g is  $\pm 1$ . Choose suitable orientations [M] and  $[\mathbb{P}^n]$  on M and  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . We have for each  $0 \le k \le n$ ,

$$g_*(x^{n-k} \cap [M]) = g_*(g^*(u^{n-k}) \cap [M]) = u^{n-k} \cap g_*([M]) = \pm u^{n-k} \cap [\mathbb{P}^n].$$

By Poincaré duality, this implies that g induces isomorphisms on all integral homology groups:

(4.2) 
$$g_*: \quad H_*(\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

Due to the simple-connectivity of M and  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and the fact (4.2), the Whitehead theorem ([Sp66, p.399]) tells us that g induces isomorphisms on all homotopy groups:

$$g_*: \pi_k(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_k(\mathbb{P}^n), \text{ for all } k.$$

Namely, g is a weak homotopy equivalence ([Sp66, p.404]) and hence a homotopy equivalence in our situation ([Sp66, p.405]).  $\Box$ 

6

Since a Fano manifold is simply-connected ([Zh00, p.225]), Proposition 4.1 has an immediate consequence.

**Corollary 4.2.** A Fano manifold whose integral cohomology ring is the same as that of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  must be homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Remark 4.3.** This implies that in Conjecture 1.3 the manifold in question is indeed homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Libgober and Wood showed that a compact Kähler manifold homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^6$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^6$  ([LW90, Thm 1]). So Conjecture 1.3 is true when  $n \leq 6$  (see also [De15, §7]).

Now are are ready to prove the main results of this note.

# Proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3, the Fano index of the (Fano) manifold M is either n + 1 or  $\frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$ . Assume that the index is  $\frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$ . By Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 4.2, M has the same homotopy type as  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . The classical Wu formula ([MS74, p.130]) says that Stiefel-Whitney classes are homotopy type invariants. Thus

(4.3) 
$$\frac{1}{2}(n+1) \equiv n+1 \pmod{2}$$

as the first Chern class modulo two is the second Stiefel-Whitney class. From (4.3) we obtain  $n = 3 \pmod{4}$ .

**Remark 4.4.** The fact that the first Chern class modulo two is a homotopy type invariant was used throughout the arguments in [LW90].

# Proof of Theorem 1.6.

*Proof.* The general form Poincaré-Alexander-Lefschetz duality theorem ([Br93, p.351]) says that, for compact subsets  $B \subset A$  in M, we have

(4.4) 
$$H^{2n-k}(M-B, M-A; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_k(A, B; \mathbb{Z}), \quad 0 \le k \le 2n.$$

Taking (A, B) = (M, D) in (4.4) yields

$$H_k(M,D;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M-D;\mathbb{Z}) = 0, \quad 0 \le k \le 2n-1,$$

as by the assumption in Theorem 1.6 the open manifold U = M - D is homology trivial. This, via the homology long exact sequence for the pair (M, D)

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_{k+1}(M,D;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(M,D;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

yields that the natural homomorphism  $H_k(D;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_k(M;\mathbb{Z})$  is bijective for  $0 \le k \le 2(n-1)$ . Then Theorem 1.6 follows from Theorem 1.4.

# Proof of Theorem 1.7.

Proof. The conclusion dim  $M \ge 2 \dim S$  was proved in [So76, p.64, Prop.5]. Let  $D_x := f^{-1}(x)$ and  $M_x := F^{-1}(x)$  be the respective fibers at  $x \in S$ . When dim  $M = 2 \dim S$  or  $2 \dim S + 1$ , the natural homomorphism

$$H_k(D_x;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(M_x;\mathbb{Z})$$

is bijective for  $0 \le k \le 2 \dim D_x$  (see the last two lines in [So76, p.64]). Applying Theorem 1.4 to the pair  $(M_x, D_x)$  yields the desired proof.

#### PING LI

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks Yang Su for communicating some arguments in Proposition 4.1 several years ago.

### References

- [BM78] L. Brenton, J. Morrow: Compactifications of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 246 (1978), 139-153. 1
- [Br93] G. E. Bredon: Topology and Geometry, volume 139 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. 7
- [CO75] B.-Y. Chen, K. Ogiue: Some characterizations of complex space forms in terms of Chern classes, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 26 (1975), 459-464. 2
- [De15] O. Debarre: Cohomological characterizations of the complex projective space, arXiv:1512.04321. 1, 2, 7
- [Fu80-1] T. Fujita: On the hyperplane section principle of Lefschetz, J. Math. Soc. Japan 32 (1980), 153-169.
  3
- [Fu80-2] T. Fujita: On topological characterizations of complex projective spaces and affine linear spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. Sci. 56 (1980), 231-234. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- [GH78] P. Griffiths, J. Harris: Principles of algebraic geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1978. 5
- [Ha02] A. Hatcher: Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. 4, 6
- [Hi54] F. Hirzebruch: Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds, Ann of Math.(2) 60 (1954), 213-236. 1
- [Hi66] F. Hirzebruch: Topological methods in algebraic geometry, 3rd Edition, Springer, Berlin (1966). 5
- [Hir87] F. Hirzebruch: Gesammelte Abhandlungen/Collected papers. I. 1951-1962, Reprint of the 1987 edition. Springer Collected Works in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. vi+814 pp. 1
- [HK57] F. Hirzebruch, K. Kodaira: On the complex projective spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. 36 (1957), 201-216. 2
- [KO73] S. Kobayashi, T. Ochiai: Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1973), 31-47. 2, 5
- [LS86] A. Lanteri, D. Struppa: Projective manifolds with the same homology as  $\mathbb{P}^k$ , Monatsh. Math. 101 (1986), 53-58. 2
- [LZ24] C. Li, Z. Zhou: Kähler compactification of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and Reeb dynamics, arXiv:2409.10275v2. 1, 2
- [Li15] P. Li: -1-Phenomena for the pluri  $\chi_y$ -genus and elliptic genus, Pacific J. Math. **273** (2015), 331-351. 5
- [Li16] P. Li: Some remarks on the uniqueness of the complex projective spaces, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 48 (2016), 379-385. 2
- [Li17] P. Li: The Hirzebruch  $\chi_y$ -genus and Poincaré polynomial revisited, Commun. Contemp. Math. 19 (2017), 19pp. 5
- [Li19] P. Li: Kähler hyperbolic manifolds and Chern number inequalities, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), 6853-6868. 5
- [LW90] A. Libgober, J. Wood: Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homology types, J. Differential Geom. 30 (1990), 139-154. 2, 5, 7
- [MS74] J.W. Milnor, J.D. Stasheff: *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. 7
- [NR79] M. S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan: Generalized Prym Varieties as Fixed Points, J. Indian Math. Soc. 39 (1979) 1-19. 5
- [No65] S. Novikov: Rational Pontrjagin classes. Homeomorphism and homotopy type of closed manifolds. I, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 29 (1965), 1373-1388.
- [Pe24] T. Peternell: Compactifications of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the complex projective space, arXiv:2410.07207v2. 2, 3, 5
- [PS91] T. Peternell, M. Schneider: Compactifications of C<sup>n</sup>: a survey, In Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), volume 52, Part 2 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 455-466. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991. 1
- [RvdV60] R. Remmert, A. van de Ven: Zwei Sätzüber die komplex-projektive Ebene, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) 8 (1960), 147-157. 1

- [RvdV63] R. Remmert, A. van de Ven: Zur Funktionentheorie homogener komplexer Mannigfaltigkeiten, Topology 2 (1963), 137-157. 5
- [Sa96] S. Salamon: On the Cohomology of Kähler and Hyper-Kähler Manifolds, Topology 35 (1996), 137-155. 5
- [So76] A. Sommese: On manifolds that cannot be ample divisors, Math. Ann. 221 (1976), 55-72. 3, 4, 7
- [Sp66] E. Spanier: Algebraic topology, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995). Corrected reprint of the 1966 original. 6
- [To17] V. Tosatti: Uniqueness of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , Expo. Math. **35** (2017), 1-12. 1, 2
- [vdV62] A. van de Ven: Analytic compactifications of complex homology cell, Math. Ann. 147 (1962), 189-204. 1
- [Wil86] P. Wilson: On projective manifolds with the same rational cohomology as P<sup>4</sup>, Conference on algebraic varieties of small dimension (Turin, 1985). Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 1986, Special Issue, pp. 15-23 (1987) 2
- [Wis91] J. Wiśniewski: On Fano manifolds of large index, Manuscripta Math. 70 (1991), 145-152. 2
- [Wis93] J. Wiśniewski: Fano manifolds and quadric bundles, Math. Z. 214 (1993), 261-271. 2
- [Ya77] S.-T. Yau: Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 74 (1977), 1798-1799. 1, 2
- [Ye10] S. Yeung: Uniformization of fake projective four spaces, Acta Math. Vietnam. **35** (2010), 99-105. 2
- [Zh00] F. Zheng: Complex differential geometry, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 2000. 7

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: pinglimath@fudan.edu.cn, pinglimath@gmail.com