
HYBRID FIREFLY ALGORITHM AND SPERM SWARM OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM USING NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD (HFASSON) AND ITS APPLICATION IN CR-VANET *

Rehannara Beegum T, Mohd Yamani Idna Idris, Mohamad Nizam Bin Ayub

Department of Computer System and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Malaya
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
{Mohd Yamani Idna Idris}yamani@um.edu.my

Hisham A Shehadeh

Department of Information Technology
Al-Huson University College, Al-Balqa Applied University
Al-Huson, Jordan

Usman Ali

Department of Computer and Software Technology
University of Swat
KPK, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a new hybrid algorithm, combining FA, SSO, and the N-R method to accelerate convergence towards global optima, named the Hybrid Firefly Algorithm and Sperm Swarm Optimization with Newton-Raphson (HFASSON). The performance of HFASSON is evaluated using 23 benchmark functions from the CEC 2017 suite, tested in 30, 50, and 100 dimensions. A statistical comparison is performed to assess the effectiveness of HFASSON against FA, SSO, HFASSO, and five hybrid algorithms: Water Cycle Moth Flame Optimization (WCMFO), Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and Genetic Algorithm (HPSOGA), Hybrid Sperm Swarm Optimization and Gravitational Search Algorithm (HSSOGSA), Grey Wolf and Cuckoo Search Algorithm (GWOCs), and Hybrid Firefly Genetic Algorithm (FAGA). Results from the Friedman rank test show the superior performance of HFASSON. Additionally, HFASSON is applied to Cognitive Radio Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (CR-VANET), outperforming basic CR-VANET in spectrum utilization. These findings demonstrate HFASSON's efficiency in wireless network applications.

Keywords Cognitive Radio-Vehicular Ad hoc network (CR-VANET) · Firefly Algorithm (FA) · Metaheuristic optimization · Newton-Raphson Method · Sperm Swarm Optimization (SSO).

1 Introduction

Optimization algorithms are extensively employed by researchers to investigate and develop effective methods for solving a diverse range of complex optimization problems, encompassing both discrete and continuous domains. These algorithms are generally categorized as either deterministic or stochastic. Within the stochastic category, metaheuristic optimization techniques are sophisticated approaches designed to discover optimal solutions while avoiding suboptimal local solutions [1]. These techniques utilize high-level strategies that incorporate stochastic elements, enabling effective

**Citation:* Authors. Title. Pages.... DOI:000000/11111.

navigation of the search space to identify the best solutions. By integrating randomness and adaptive mechanisms, metaheuristics offer robust and efficient solutions to challenging optimization problems. The field of metaheuristics is divided into population-based and trajectory-based search methods, combining insights from individual and collective search strategies to enhance optimization performance [2].

A well-defined numerical approach integrated with optimization algorithms, provides in-depth knowledge and understanding of essential factors such as convergence rate and stability of the algorithm [3]. This combined methodology enables a thorough analysis of the algorithm's performance, providing a detailed understanding of its efficiency in reaching the optimal solution, the speed at which it converges, and its robustness across varying problem instances. These factors are essential to assess the reliability and effectiveness of optimization algorithms in real-world applications. Several numerical and mathematical models have been employed in the optimization process to achieve quick convergence. Among these, methods such as Gauss-Newton, Quasi-Newton, Newton-Raphson, and conjugate gradients are commonly used. The Newton-Raphson method, in particular, utilizes second-order derivatives instead of relying on gradient descent, which facilitates faster convergence. When the Newton-Raphson method (N-R method) produces a better solution, its step size increases, further accelerating convergence towards the global minimum value [4] [5].

In recent years, various metaheuristic algorithms, such as Cuckoo Search, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and the Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm, have been integrated with the N-R method, yielding promising results across a range of optimization problems. These approaches have been widely reported in the literature, demonstrating significant improvements in solution efficiency and accuracy [6] [7] [8]. Combining the N-R method with Cuckoo Search has shown enhanced computational precision and convergence effectiveness, particularly in solving linear least squares problems [6].

Further advancements have been made by merging the N-R method with PSO, a well-known metaheuristic algorithm. This combination, referred to as the "Newton-Raphson Particle Swarm Optimization" (NRPSO), has been employed to evaluate the performance of Bearing-Only Target Motion Analysis (BO-TMA). Through this integration, the algorithm was tested under varying conditions of measurement inaccuracies and the number of measurements, considering three targets and multiple maneuvers. The results confirmed that the NRPSO method provides a robust and adaptable framework for solving complex problems in dynamic environments [7].

Additionally, the N-R method has been incorporated into the ABC algorithm to address unconstrained optimization problems, such as optimum power flow. This composite approach enhances the problem-solving capabilities of the ABC algorithm, improving both accuracy of the solution and convergence rates [8]. Another significant development is the integration of the N-R method with the Sperm Swarm Optimization (SSO) algorithm, resulting in the Modified Sperm Swarm Optimization Algorithm (MSSO). This hybrid approach accelerates the search process, helps avoid local optima, and provides more precise solutions, offering a more effective optimization framework [9].

These advancements highlight the effectiveness of combining the N-R method with various optimization algorithms to enhance their performance. Building on this trend of hybridization, this paper proposes a novel metaheuristic hybrid optimization strategy that combines the Firefly Algorithm (FA) [10] with the Sperm Swarm Optimization (SSO) algorithm [11], referred to as HFASSO. FA is known for its versatility in handling both continuous and discrete optimization problems, which motivates the selection of FA for this study. Furthermore, FA has been successfully applied in areas such as multimodal optimization, scheduling, and image processing. On the other hand, SSO has proven effective in resolving a variety of optimization challenges, particularly in wireless networks, including Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) [12]. HFASSO aims to achieve the global optimal solution by combining the strengths of both FA and SSO to successfully obtain the global optimal value while minimizing the limitations of each individual algorithm.

The search capacity and convergence speed are expected to improve when HFASSO is implemented with the N-R method. Therefore, the HFASSO utilizing the N-R method is also attempted, and termed as HFASSON. This article also compares the performance of the HFASSON algorithm with five metaheuristic hybrid algorithms, *viz.*, Water Cycle Moth Flame Optimization (WCMFO) [13], Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and Genetic Algorithm (HPSOGA) [14], Hybrid Sperm Swarm Optimization and Gravitational Search Algorithm (HSSOGSA) [15], Grey Wolf and Cuckoo Search Algorithm (GWCS) [16], and Hybrid Firefly Genetic Algorithm (FAGA) [17].

In addition, to validate the efficiency of HFASSON in real-world applications, it is further implemented in the spectrum sensing of CR-VANET. The algorithm possesses numerous advantages, such as its ability to manage both unimodal and multimodal problems, its rapid convergence rate, and its capability to locate the global best solution.

To emphasize the novelty and importance of our work, we would like to outline the key contributions of the proposed algorithm as follows:

- Proposed a new hybrid optimization algorithm, namely Hybrid Firefly Algorithm and Sperm Swarm Optimization Algorithm, and extend it to work effectively by hybridized it with the N-R method.
- Efficiently handles multiple local minima compared to the five selected metaheuristic hybrid algorithms.
- Exhibits a better convergence rate in obtaining the global optimal solution than HFASSO.
- Achieves a more controlled exploration phase at the beginning of the optimization process.
- Demonstrates efficiency in spectrum sensing when applied to Cognitive Radio-Vehicular Ad hoc Network (CR-VANET).

The rest of this paper includes a background study of FA, SSO, and Newton's Method in Section 2. Section 3 discusses the proposed hybrid algorithm HFASSO. Experimental and Statistical results of the proposed work are analyzed in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively. The implementation of the proposed algorithm with the CR-VANET algorithm is detailed in Section 6. A discussion of HFASSO is provided in Section 7 and conclusions are presented in Section 8.

2 Background and Rudimentary study of FA, SSO and Newton-Raphson Method

2.1 Standard FA and SSO algorithms

A brief overview of standard FA and SSO algorithms is discussed in this section.

2.1.1 FA

FA utilizes the flashing behavior observed in fireflies as its foundational principle. The three simple rules followed by FA are,

Rule 1: Fireflies are considered unisex, making them all attracted to one another without a gender constraint.

Rule 2: The level of attractiveness in fireflies is directly correlated with their brightness. A firefly with lower brightness will be attracted to a brighter firefly. As the distance increases, both the level of attraction and brightness decrease. If no firefly is brighter, a firefly will move randomly.

Rule 3: The brightness of a firefly is influenced by the environment of the objective function.

In most optimization problems, the brightness I at a specific point x is chosen such that $I(x) \propto f(x)$, where $f(x)$ represents the objective function. However, the attractiveness (represented as β) changes according to the proximity between two fireflies i and j . The attractiveness should adjust according to the level of absorption as light intensity decreases and is absorbed by the medium over increasing distances from its source. The light intensity $I(r)$ varies exponentially with the distance r , which is given by Eq.(1).

$$I(r) = I_0 e^{-\gamma r} - \gamma r \quad (1)$$

where I_0 = light intensity;

γ = light absorption coefficient.

Attractiveness, β is calculated as Eq.(2).

$$\beta = \beta_0 e^{-\gamma(r_{ij})^2} \quad (2)$$

where β_0 = attractiveness at $r = 0$. Generally γr^m replaces γr^2 in Eq.(2), allowing more flexibility for exponential decay based on distance. Here, m is a positive exponent, introducing non-linearity and providing greater flexibility in controlling the rate at which the attractiveness decreases with distance. The distance between the fireflies i and j at positions x_i and x_j is calculated as Eq.(3).

$$r_{ij} = x_i - x_j \quad (3)$$

The movement of a firefly towards another attractive firefly, regulated by x_i in Eq.(4), determines the manner in which a firefly moves towards its target.

$$x_i = x_i + \beta (x_i - x_j) + \alpha \varepsilon_i \quad (4)$$

For most cases during implementation, $\beta_0 = 1$, $m = 2$, α ranges between 0 and 1 and γ as 1. ε_i is a vector of random variables sampled from a uniform distribution with values ranging from 0 to 1.

2.1.2 SSO

SSO is based on the biological process through which sperm fertilize an egg, drawing on knowledge of reproductive biology. In SSO, sperm advance from the cervix, which has a lower temperature than the surrounding area. They then migrate towards the Fallopian tubes, a warmer environment where fertilization can occur, in search of the egg. This area is considered the optimal destination for the swarm. Knowledge of how vaginal pH and temperature influence

sperm migration is crucial, as these factors significantly affect the rate and direction of sperm movement. The mobility of sperm is significantly influenced by these variables. The initial velocity of sperm is obtained by Eq.(5).

$$V_0 = d_f \times V_{sperm} \times \log_{10}(pH_{rand1}) \quad (5)$$

Where:

- d_f : an arbitrary value between 0 and 1 representing a velocity dampening factor that modifies the sperm velocity.
- V_{sperm} : sperm velocity
- pH_{rand1} : a random number between 7 and 14 that indicates the pH value.

The current best solution, denoted $Best_Solution_{Current}$, refers to the optimal solution achieved so far. The sperm's current best solution is represented by Eq.(6).

$$Best_Solution_{Current} = \log_{10}(pH_{rand2}) \times \log_{10}(Temp_{rand1}) \times (Solution_{sperm}[] - Current[]) \quad (6)$$

Where:

- $Solution_{sperm}[]$: the best result obtained by the sperm so far.
- pH_{rand2} : the pH value, a random integer between 7 and 14.
- $Temp_{rand1}$: the temperature, ranging between 35.1°C and 38.5°C [11].

The sperm with the most accurate information at the moment is represented by the global best value. The following Eq. 7 represents the global best value of sperm:

$$Best_Solution_{Global} = \log_{10}(pH_{rand3}) \times \log_{10}(Temp_{rand2}) \times (Solution_{Global_sperm}[] - Current[]) \quad (7)$$

Where:

- $Solution_{Global_sperm}[]$ is the best solution achieved so far.
- pH_{rand3} is the pH value, ranging from 7 to 14.
- $Temp_{rand2}$ is a random number between 35.1°C and 38.5°C, representing the temperature of the area.

$Current[]$ is the current best solution given by the Eq.(8).

$$Current[] = Current[] + Velocity_{sperm}[] \quad (8)$$

The sperm velocity update is represented by the following equation:

$$Velocity_{sperm}[] = V_0 + Best_Solution_{Current} + Best_Solution_{Global} \quad (9)$$

The sperm velocity in Eq.(9) represents three distinct velocities of sperm used to reach the optimal solution. The pH value of the cervix zone has an impact on the initial velocity. The individual current ideal solution of the sperm, noted as its best solution, is referred to as the second velocity. Sperm travel at a certain speed in response to temperature and pH in the visited zone, which aids in locating the egg.

2.1.3 Newton-Raphson Method (N-R Method)

N-R method is employed in numerical analysis to discover roots within functions of real value. The approach generates progressively better estimates for the roots (or zeros) of a real-valued function [18]. The algorithm begins with an initial conjecture x_0 , representing an estimate of a potential root. By leveraging the tangent line of $f(x_0)$ at the initial estimate, the algorithm computes a subsequent approximation, x_1 . This point gives the precise location where the tangent line intersects the x-axis.

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)} \quad (10)$$

The expression provided by Eq.(10) estimates x_1 based solely on the known characteristics of the function at x_0 . This estimation assumes that the derivative of the function, $f'(x_0)$, is zero at x_0 indicating that x_0 is a critical or stationary point where the function's rate of change is zero. By making this assumption, the expression allows for the

approximation of the next point of interest, x_1 , based solely on the function's properties at x_0 , without the need for additional information..

Eq.(10) serves as a concise representation of a single iteration within the N-R method. Until a sufficiently precise result is obtained, this iterative procedure is carried out repeatedly. Thus, Eq.(10) can be generalized and represented as Eq.(11):

$$x_{\text{next}} = x_{\text{prev}} - \frac{f(x_{\text{prev}})}{f'(x_{\text{prev}})} \quad (11)$$

Through the systematic application of these meticulously designed steps, the N-R method astutely refines each approximation, gradually unveiling the elusive roots with remarkable precision. Consider the minimization of some function, $g(x)$, using Newton's method. Then the minima of $g(x)$ corresponds to points where its first derivative, $g'(x) = 0$. Thus by considering $f(x_{\text{prev}}) = g'(x_{\text{prev}})$ and $f'(x_{\text{prev}}) = g''(x_{\text{prev}})$, minimization problems can be optimize by substituting the values of $f(x_{\text{prev}})$ and $f'(x_{\text{prev}})$ into Newton's equation, Eq.(11):

$$x_{\text{next}} = x_{\text{prev}} - \frac{g'(x_{\text{prev}})}{g''(x_{\text{prev}})} \quad (12)$$

3 Hybrid Firefly Algorithm and Sperm Swarm Optimization with Newton's Method (HFASSON)

In HFASSON, fireflies are employed to exploit the regional optima, while sperm cells are utilized to search the search space. The sperm cells are attracted to the fireflies with higher brightness within the search space, while the fireflies are guided towards the optimal solution identified by the sperm cells.

The detailed steps involved in HFASSON are described in Algorithm 1. The algorithm performs better when exploration and exploitation are effectively combined. Furthermore, the technique tends to converge more quickly and effectively when implemented with a numerical approach, such as the N-R method.

Algorithm 1 HFASSON

- 1: Begin
 - 2: Initialize population size (n), γ , β_0 , α , δ , pH value, temperature, Maximum iteration value ($iter_{\text{max}}$), $w_{\text{min}} = 0.2$, $w_{\text{max}} = 0.9$.
 - 3: Randomly initialize position, velocity of the particles.
 - 4: **for** Iteration = 1: $iter_{\text{max}}$ **do**
 - 5: **for** all particles **do**
 - 6: Calculate the fitness value of the agents.
 - 7: Update the local and global optimum solutions.
 - 8: **end for**
 - 9: Update Inertia Weight [19], $w = w_{\text{max}} - \text{Iteration} \times \left(\frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{\text{min}}}{iter_{\text{max}}} \right)$.
 - 10: Update the position using Eq(14).
 - 11: Update the velocity using Eq(13).
 - 12: Numerically approximate the first and second derivatives of the fitness function using the N-R method.
 - 13: Update the position based on the above derivatives.
 - 14: **if** (End criteria reached?) **then**
 - 15: go to Step 21.
 - 16: **else**
 - 17: go to Step 4.
 - 18: **end if**
 - 19: **end for**
 - 20: Display the optimal solution.
 - 21: Stop
-

The hybrid algorithm takes advantage of the exploratory characteristics of the Firefly Algorithm (FA) and leverages the exploitation capabilities of the Sperm Swarm Optimization (SSO) algorithm. The rationale behind combining these two algorithms lies in the knowledge that the FA utilizes the concept of brightness or light intensity for exploration, whereas the SSO algorithm employs linear motion for locomotion.

By integrating both methodologies, the hybrid algorithm maximizes the benefits of each approach, facilitating efficient navigation and optimal utilization of the search space to identify superior solutions. This fusion leverages the unique characteristics of the Firefly Algorithm (FA) and Sperm Swarm Optimization (SSO), resulting in improved performance through a synergistic combination of their distinct capabilities.

The proposed algorithm, HFASSON, is mathematically represented as follows: Building upon Eq. (9), Eq. (13) is derived to calculate the velocity of the agents by incorporating the methods of the hybrid algorithm described previously.

$$V_{Agent}(i+1) = w \times \log_{10}(pH_{rand1}) \times V_{Agent}(i) + \log_{10}(pH_{rand2}) \times \log_{10}(Temp_{rand1}) \times (Solution_Global)_{Agent}[] - current[] \quad (13)$$

Where:

- w = inertia weight [20], values ranging from 0 to 1.
- $(Solution_Global)_{Agent}[]$, the best solution currently attained by any agent.
- pH has a range of 7 to 14.
- T is a randomly generated value in the range of 35.1 to 38.5, representing the ambient temperature.
- $current[]$ refers to the best solution currently obtained by Eq.(8).

The algorithm iteratively updates the positions of the agents based on Eq. (14), systematically exploring the solution space in an effort to identify optimal or near-optimal solutions.

$$X(i+1) = X(i) + V(i+1) \quad (14)$$

Here,

- $X(i)$ is the current position of the sperm during i^{th} iteration.
- $X(i+1)$ denotes the updated position of the agent at $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$, and
- $V(i+1)$ is the velocity or movement vector of the sperm at $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$.

HFASSON starts by randomly initializing all agents, where each agent represents a candidate solution. The $V(i)$ of all agents is determined using Eq.(13) after updating the $(Solution_Global)_{Agent}$ throughout each iteration of the algorithm. Finally, using Eq.(14), the agents' locations are updated, and the location will converge using the N-R method as well. Until an end condition is met, the position and velocity updating procedure will continue.

4 Experimental Results

The proposed algorithm is evaluated through simulation in MATLAB 2021a, running on Windows 11 with an AMD Ryzen 7 CPU and 16GB of RAM. It is benchmarked against 23 benchmark functions from the Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC 2017) suite [21]. Details of these 23 benchmark functions are provided in Table 1.

FA, SSO, HFASSO, and five hybrid algorithms are tested on each benchmark function ten times for 30, 50, and 100 dimensions. The average fitness value of HFASSON, based on ten executions, is compared with FA, SSO, and HFASSO as well as with five selected hybrid algorithms. Each algorithm uses a population size of 100 with 1000 iterations. Additionally, the parameters used for each method are described in Table 2.

The best fitness values and a comparison of HFASSON with WCMFO, HPSOGA, HSSOGSA, GWOCS, FAGA, SSO, FA, and HFASSO are summarized in Table 3. The results show that HFASSON outperforms these algorithms for eight objective functions at 30D, and for nine objective functions at both 50D and 100D. A zero optimal value is attained for f_1 through f_4 , as well as f_6 , f_9 , and f_{11} across all three dimensions.

HFASSON achieves the optimal value more quickly than the other algorithms, even when their results are similar. The iteration counts for the best solution, presented in Table 4, reveal that HFASSON converges faster than the other methods.

5 Statistical Analysis

A statistical test is performed to examine the variations in the distribution of results. The statistical analysis of the proposed HFASSON is conducted by comparing it with competing algorithms using the Friedman test. In this ranking, HFASSON ranks highest for most of the objective functions. The summary in Table 5 shows that HFASSON achieves the first rank for 9 objective functions each in the 30D, 50D, and 100D settings.

Table 1: Objective Function description

Function	Dimension	Range
$f_1(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2$	30, 50, 100	[-100, 100]
$f_2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d y_i + \prod_{i=1}^d y_i $	30, 50, 100	[-100, 100]
$f_3(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\sum_{j=1}^i y_j \right)^2$	30, 50, 100	[-100, 100]
$f_4(y) = \max_i \{ y_i , 1 \leq i \leq d \}$	30, 50, 100	[-100, 100]
$f_5(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left[100 (y_{i+1} - y_i^2)^2 + (y_i - 1)^2 \right]$	30, 50, 100	[-30, 30]
$f_6(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\lfloor y_i + 0.5 \rfloor)^2$	30, 50, 100	[-100, 100]
$f_7(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d i y_i^4 + \text{random}[0, 1]$	30, 50, 100	[-1.28, 1.28]
$f_8(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d -y_i \sin(\sqrt{ y_i })$	30, 50, 100	[-500, 500]
$f_9(y) = \sum_{i=1}^d [y_i^2 - 10 \cos(2\pi y_i) + 10]$	30, 50, 100	[-5.12, 5.12]
$f_{10}(y) = -20 \exp\left(0.2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{i}{y} \sum_{i=1}^d \cos(2\pi y_i)\right) + 20 + e$	30, 50, 100	[-32, 32]
$f_{11}(y) = \frac{i}{4000} \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2 - \prod_{i=1}^d \cos\left(\frac{y_i}{\sqrt{i}}\right) + 1$	30, 50, 100	[-600, 600]
$f_{12}(y) = \frac{\pi}{d} \{ 10 \sin(\pi y_1) + \sum_{i=1}^d (v_i - 1)^2 [1 + 10 \sin^2(\pi v_{i+1})] + (v_y - 1)^2 \} + \sum_{i=1}^y u(y_i, 10, 100, 4)$	30, 50, 100	[-50, 50]
$f_{13}(y) = 0.1 \{ \sin^2(3\pi y_1) + \sum_{i=1}^z (y_i - 1)^2 [1 + \sin^2(3\pi y_i + 1)] + (y_z - 1)^2 [1 + \sin^2(2\pi y_z)] + \sum_{i=1}^z u(y_i, 5, 100, 4) \}$	30, 50, 100	[-50, 50]
$f_{14}(y) = \left(\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{j=1}^{25} \frac{1}{j + \sum_{i=1}^j (y_i - a_{ij})^6} \right)^{-1}$	30, 50, 100	[-62.536, 65.536]
$f_{15}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{11} \left[a_i - \frac{y_i (b_1^2 + b_2 y_2)}{b_1^2 + b_3 y_3 + b_4 y_4} \right]$	30, 50, 100	[-5, 5]
$f_{16}(y) = 4y_1^2 - 2.1y_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}y_1^6 + y_1 y_2 - 4y_2^2 + 4y_2^4$	30, 50, 100	[-5, 5]
$f_{17}(y) = (y_2 - \frac{5}{4\pi^2} y_1^2 + \frac{5}{\pi} y_1 - 6)^2 + 10 \left(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi} \right) \cos y_1 + 10$	30, 50, 100	[-5, 5]
$f_{18}(y) = [1 + (y_1 + y_2 + 1)^2] (19 - 14y_1 + 3y_1^2 - 14y_2 + 6y_1 y_2 + 3y_2^2) \times [30 + (2y_1 - 3y_2)^2] \times (18 - 32y_1 + 12y_1^2 + 48y_2 - 36y_1 y_2 + 27y_2^2)$	30, 50, 100	[-2, 2]
$f_{19}(y) = -\sum_{i=1}^4 c_i \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij} (y_j - p_{ij})^2\right)$	30, 50, 100	[1, 3]
$f_{20}(y) = -\sum_{i=1}^4 c_i \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^6 a_{ij} (y_j - p_{ij})^2\right)$	30, 50, 100	[0, 1]
$f_{21}(y) = -\sum_{i=1}^5 [(y - a_i)(y - a_i)^T + c_i]^{-1}$	30, 50, 100	[0, 10]
$f_{22}(y) = -\sum_{i=1}^7 [(y - a_i)(y - a_i)^T + c_i]^{-1}$	30, 50, 100	[0, 10]
$f_{23}(y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{10} [(y - a_i)(y - a_i)^T + c_i]^{-1}$	30, 50, 100	[0, 10]

6 Application of the proposed Algorithm

The performance of HFASSON has been shown to be superior with the CEC 2017 test suites and statistical tests; however, its effectiveness must be further evaluated on real-world problems. Therefore, the Cognitive Radio-Vehicular Ad Hoc Network (CR-VANET) algorithm is chosen to assess the performance of HFASSON. A comparison is made between the CR-VANET algorithm with cognitive radio spectrum sensing (CR-VANET) and the HFASSON applied to CR-VANET (CR-VANET_HFASSON). The evaluation is performed using spectrum utilization of CR-VANET.

6.1 Background and problem formulation

Cognitive radio applied to VANET (CR-VANET) for sensing vacant spectrum is an effective approach to reduce traffic and enabling real-time communication among vehicles in a VANET environment. Cognitive Radio (CR) manages the increasing demand for frequency spectrum usage by identifying unoccupied frequency bands for data transmission. In December 2003, the FCC recognizes cognitive radio as a candidate technology for implementing spectrum sharing [22]. The main functions of CR are: (i) spectrum sensing and analysis, and (ii) spectrum allocation and sharing [23]. Within the realm of cognitive radio (CR) operations, spectrum sensing is of significant importance. The main purpose of spectrum sensing is to enable a cognitive radio to sense information about its environment and the availability of vacant spectrum. Spectrum sensing technique is classified as primary transmitter detection, co-operative transmitter detection, primary receiver detection, and interference based sensing [24]. Energy detection, Matched Filter detection and cyclostationary feature detection comes under primary transmitter detection method [25]. Among the spectrum sensing techniques(Fig.1) matching filter detection and energy detection are frequently employed.

6.1.1 Energy Detection Method

Energy detection (ED) [26] [27] is the widely used technique for spectrum sensing due to its simplicity and its independence from information about the primary signals. It is formulated based on a noise threshold. The spectrum occupancy in the ED process is determined by the threshold that is set. The energy detector makes its decision based on the following hypothesis test.

$$H_0 : s(n) = G(n) \quad (15)$$

$$H_1 : s(n) = P(n) + G(n) \quad (16)$$

Table 2: Parameters of FA, SSO, HFASSO, HFASSON and CR-VANET_HFASSON

Name	Value
Simulator	Matlab2021a
FA	
Population size	30
Numbers of iterations/generations	1000
Light absorption coefficient, γ	1
Attraction Coefficient Base Value, β_0	2
Mutation Coefficient, α	0.2
SSO	
Population size	30
Numbers of iterations/generations	1000
Damping factor of velocity, δ	Rand (0, 1)
pH	Rand (7, 14)
Temperature	Rand (35.5, 38.5)
HFASSO/HFASSON	
Population size	30
Light absorption coefficient, γ	1
Attraction Coefficient Base Value, β_0	2
Mutation Coefficient, α	0.2
Numbers of iterations/generations	1000
Damping factor of velocity, δ	Rand (0, 1)
pH	Rand (7, 14)
Temperature	Rand (35.5, 38.5)
CR-VANET_HFASSON	
Population size	30
Light absorption coefficient, γ	1
Attraction Coefficient Base Value, β_0	2
Mutation Coefficient, α	0.2
Numbers of iterations/generations	1000
Damping factor of velocity, δ	Rand (0, 1)
pH	Rand (7, 14)
Temperature	Rand (35.5, 38.5)
Routing Algorithm	AODV
Number of Vehicles	20, 40, 60, 80, 100
Number of channels	5
Number of primary users	5
Number of secondary users	6
SNR in dB	-10
Maximum and minimum speed	30 m/s and 10 m/s

Table 3: Numerical analysis of Best fitness value

Obj.Funk	300										500										1000									
	WCMFO	HPSOGA	HSSOIGA	GWCSA	FA	SSO	HFASSO	HFASSON	WCMFO	HPSOGA	HSSOIGA	GWCSA	FA	SSO	HFASSO	HFASSON	WCMFO	HPSOGA	HSSOIGA	GWCSA	FA	SSO	HFASSO	HFASSON						
1	0.001708	2.336E-01	0.0011	8.20E-01	0.001019	1.20E-01	0	0	1.09E-01	1.11	1.23E-14	0.001018	0.001016	1.699E-109	0	0	2.07E-08	2.79E-08	8.95	2.22E-10	0.0116	5.30E-13	1.20E-101	0	0					
2	8.3489	7.22E-25	3.2E-09	0.04671	0.00134	5.9E-09	7.02E-147	0	0	8.1423	6.34E-16	19.5	6.90E-27	0.00069	1.37E-08	8.170E-117	0	0	7.9	9.34E-07	2.16	4.40E-19	0.00262	5.1E-08	8.3E-118	0	0			
3	13.188	0.1244	4.70	4.04E-15	2.1E-55	1.0E-09	1.26E-138	0	0	0.001601	1.81E-04	1.56E-04	1.29E-06	3.01E-03	0.001473	8.700E-06	0	0	10.015728	6.82E-03	1.2000	6.14	2.940	8.51E-1	2.5E-45	0	0			
4	2.50121	0.2059	97.50876	0.00297	0.4752	1.38115	4E-100	0	0	2.905	3.19731	77.03731	1.401E-10	0.7223	17.107255	1.3039E-38	0	0	12.7387	17.05551	95.58235	5.84E-06	3.69282	58.5539	1.08E-25	0	0			
5	5011.4	29.217E	65.2907	36.61761	61.06297	32.6514	28.85049	28.9419206	26.674485	13.151007	75.4906	140.84607	47.34761	116.308	49.240324	48.2148123	48.9477	48.374372	10.0256033	2.682227	30.600	97.3	1.6E+08	1.2E-19	98.3421	98.93674	97.99341			
6	18.4019	0	0.000603	0.05562	0.0049	1.9E-16	4.07092626	6.41806761	19.324	8.50E-21	98.1	2.16	0.00029	6.20E-16	0.411556	0	25.2359	2.69E-08	3.500	9.23	0.0153	5.20E-15	21.7471	1.949201	0	0				
7	902.0861	0.00005	0.00136	0.00661	0.00483	0.00170	0.0005288	1.9210E-05	61.98239	2607.8506	0.00739	0.27575	0.00129	0.0152	0.0007721	8.341E-05	2.0E-05	0.000158	10.85E-1195	0.0102	1.83	0.000205	0.00664	0.00887	6.64E-05	1037.246	155.9071			
8	10000.9	1.730	7.80	1.0444E-01	8.84E-1	3.81E-095	0	0	1.566E-3831	1.9500	2.000	1.8100	1.440E-311	9.29E-232	0.01116	2.0010924	3.03E-9248	3.9500	2.8300	4.000	8.8500	8.570	1.7E-5	4.80E-11	2.05E-70	0	0			
9	47.02474	6.66925	105.46518	2.25450	9.3E-05	59.2974	0	0	101.3495	27.1	234	0.6007	0.00005	1.8630583	0	0	839.7641	87.6	472	0.1474	0.0105	302.564	0	0	0	0	0			
10	16.3051	0.0175	7.00	0.017	0.001	3.1E-04	2.7E-15	8.881E-16	8.882E-16	19.844	6.29E-15	11.4	0.0066	0.28E-09	8.881E-16	8.88E-16	8.48E-16	2.00E+01	1.75E-14	1.52E-02	9.2E-09	0	0	8.88E-16	8.88E-16	8.88E-16	8.88E-16			
11	6.828	0.0048	1.8069	0.0025	0.0083	3.1E-04	1.4	2.9925	0.0185	15.8621	0	0	0.764	0.0056	2.97	0	0.764	0.0056	1.97E+01	1.75E-14	1.52E-02	9.2E-09	0	0	8.88E-16	8.88E-16	8.88E-16			
12	0.5537	1.97E-24	9.23217	0.03875	5.4E-06	0.00037	0.40079	1.2149839	3.576E-32	0.2669	7.47E-22	18.5	0.0964	1.6E-06	0.142147	0.74980074	1.200264	1.179E-32	0.5496	0.05697	39.0000	0.251	1.3E-05	0.19342	0.001571	1.162765	7.08E-23			
13	1.8054	1.58E-24	24.07915	0.07616	1.8E-05	8.4E-14	1.2851496	2.98828819	2.4916924	1.0065	0.0011	194	1.7	0.0013	2.48E-24	0.4280951	1.979146	4.241444	4.688	0.069715	2.97000	0.006	0.00072	0.0031	8.50915	9.99274	9.88E-03			
14	2.9821	0.998	3.46951	3.98017	0.998	0.998	8.2079928	11.8054744	12.51294	2.8281	0.998	5.6865	-1.990	0.998	0.9990035	1.363099	0.9401117	12.140736	4.9322	0.998	3.66	4.66	0.998	0.998	1.990101	12.48576	12.01017			
15	0.0179	0.992	0.0028	0.992	0.0028	0.0003	0.000397	0.00015	0.01706	0.0021	0.0028	0.00039	0.000999	0.000999	0.001596	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999	0.000999		
16	28.7436	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316		
17	16.289	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	1.7181	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979	0.9979		
18	4442	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
19	0.0005	-3.8628	-3.8628	-3.8628	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623	-3.8628	-7.1623		
20	0.0000	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196		
21	0.9228	-2.7652	-4.4151	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704	-8.6704		
22	0.0000	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196	-3.1196		
23	0.6621	-3.1185	-6.2345	-9.9998	-9.9998	-4.0971	-18.2636	-1.66434	-0.356	0	-1.66	-1.68	-4.92	-2.5497008	0.77826	-1.4503	-1.2191054	-0.198	0	-0.645	-10.6	-0.912	-1.158	-0.37527	-0.41218	-0.09451	0			

*Bold font represents the best value.

Table 4: Comparison of the iteration value at optimal solution.

Objective function	Algorithm	Iteration value at the optimal solution		
		30D	50D	100D
f1	HFASSO	258	264	270
	HFASSON	142	145	148
f2	HFASSO	477	486	498
	HFASSON	268	275	281
f3	HFASSO	268	272	279
	HFASSON	147	151	154
f4	HFASSO	492	503	513
	HFASSON	279	284	284
f6	HPSOGA	861		
	HFASSON	20	21	22
f9	SSO	95	113	119
	HFASSO	18	18	19
f10	HFASSON	11	12	12
	SSO		566	837
f11	HFASSO	32	32	33
	HFASSON	18	19	20
f11	SSO	115	131	153
	HFASSO	20	21	21
	HFASSON	13	13	14

*Bold values represent lowest iteration value at which optimal solution obtained.

Table 5: Ranking of FA, SSO, HFASSO, HFASSON, and the selected state-of-the-art algorithms using Friedman test

Rank Obtained	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	GWOCs	HFASSON	SSO	FAGA	HPSOGA	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HPSOGA	FAGA									
2	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSON	HPSOGA	SSO	FAGA	HPSOGA	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HPSOGA	FAGA									
3	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	HFASSON	FA	HFASSO	FA	HFASSON	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	FAGA										
4	GWOCs	HPSOGA	GWOCs	GWOCs	HFASSO	FAGA	GWOCs	GWOCs	FAGA	HPSOGA	GWOCs	GWOCs	GWOCs	FAGA	HPSOGA									
5	HPSOGA	FA	FA	FAGA	HPSOGA	GWOCs	HPSOGA	GWOCs	FAGA	GWOCs	FA	SSO												
6	FA	GWOCs	GWOCs	HPSOGA	FA	HPSOGA	FA	HPSOGA	FA	HPSOGA	SSO													
7	FAGA	FAGA	FAGA	WCMPF	WCMPF	FAGA	HPSOGA	SSO	FA	HPSOGA	FAGA	HFASSO												
8	HSSOGSA	HSSOGSA	FAGA	FA	HSSOGSA	HFASSO																		
9	WCMPF	WCMPF	HSSOGSA	HSSOGSA	WCMPF	WCMPF	WCMPF	WCMPF	HFASSON	HSSOGSA	WCMPF	WCMPF	WCMPF	HSSOGSA	HSSOGSA	HFASSON								
10	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	GWOCs	HFASSON	SSO	FAGA	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	HFASSON	FA	GWOCs	FA	FA	HPSOGA						
11	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSO	SSO	HFASSON	FA	HFASSON	FA	HFASSON	SSO	SSO	SSO	FAGA										
12	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	HFASSON	FA	HFASSO	FA	HFASSON	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	FAGA										
13	GWOCs	SSO	GWOCs	GWOCs	HFASSO	FAGA	GWOCs	GWOCs	FAGA	HPSOGA	GWOCs	GWOCs	GWOCs	FAGA	HPSOGA									
14	HPSOGA	FA	FA	FAGA	HPSOGA	GWOCs	HPSOGA	GWOCs	FAGA	GWOCs	FA	SSO												
15	FA	GWOCs	GWOCs	HPSOGA	FA	HPSOGA	FA	HPSOGA	FA	HPSOGA	SSO													
16	FAGA	FAGA	FAGA	WCMPF	WCMPF	FAGA	HPSOGA	SSO	FA	HPSOGA	FAGA	HFASSO												
17	HSSOGSA	HSSOGSA	FAGA	FA	HSSOGSA	HFASSO																		
18	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	HFASSON	FA	HFASSO	FA	HFASSON	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	FAGA										
19	HPSOGA	HPSOGA	HPSOGA	HPSOGA	HFASSO	FAGA	HPSOGA	SSO	FA	HPSOGA	FAGA	HFASSO												
20	FA	GWOCs	GWOCs	GWOCs	HFASSO	FA	HFASSO	FA	HFASSON	SSO	SSO	SSO	SSO	FAGA										
21	HFASSON																							
22	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSO	HFASSON																			
23	HFASSON																							

Where

- H0 represents the absence of primary user signal.
- H1 represents the presence of primary user signal.
- s(n) is the signal received at each secondary user (SU).
- P(n) is the primary user (PU) signal.
- G(n) denotes the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN).

In order to decide between the two states(H0 and H1) and determine the presence of primary user’s signal, the threshold and perceived energy are compared to identify the primary user’s presence. In energy detection, a bandpass filter




Figure 1: Spectrum sensing techniques [24].

with bandwidth “G(n)” prefiltered the received signal. Over a predefined time, the output signal of Analog to Digital Converter (ADC) is squared and integrated. An energy detection test statistic (T_{ed}) is formulated using the resultant signal and is calculated as follows.

$$T_{ed} = \sum_{n=0}^N |s(n)|^2 \quad (17)$$

Where N is the number of samples over a detection period. The Probability of detection (P_d) represents the likelihood that the primary user’s presence will be effectively detected, while the Probability of false alarm (P_{fa}) denotes the likelihood that the primary user’s presence is falsely detected. To ascertain the presence of a primary signal, the threshold (μ) is compared with T_{ed} . P_d and P_{fa} are determined using test statistics under the binary hypothesis to describe the energy detector’s effectiveness. The evaluation of the effectiveness is determined by the parameters, P_d and P_{fa} , which are derived from test statistics within the framework of binary hypothesis. The P_d and P_{fa} is are given by, [28],

$$P_d = P[T_{ed} > \mu/H_1] \quad (18)$$

$$P_{fa} = P[T_{ed} > \mu/H_0] \quad (19)$$

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve usually used to typify the performance of spectrum sensing. ROC




Figure 2: Variation of P_{fa} and P_d in ROC curve with range of SNR from 17dB to -17dB for spectrum sensing using energy detection model.

curve of Fig.2 shows the variation of P_d against P_{fa} during spectrum sensing using energy detection model for varying

signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) that ranges from -17dB to 17dB. Each curve represents different values of the SNR. Moreover, as the value of SNR increases, the curve moves closer to the top left corner of the plot, which in turn specifies that Pd increases and Pfa decreases. The ideal case of the sensing algorithm has a value of 1 for Pd and 0 for Pfa, which is a straight line from 0 to 1 along the Y axis. In practice, it is impossible to reach an ideal value. Hence, there will be a trade-off between Pd and Pfa.

6.1.2 Objective function

Reducing the probability of incorrectly identifying spectrum holes is crucial for effective spectrum detection. Therefore, the main goal of the objective function in the spectrum sensing process is to minimize false alarms in order to enhance spectrum sensing performance. Consider the fitness value as f_{\min} . The fitness function is formulated as follows:

$$f_{\min}(j) = Thres(j) * -Pfa(j) * (\beta_0 * \delta * \alpha), 0 < j \leq N \quad (20)$$

Where

- 'Thres' represents a threshold value that one wants to achieve in the optimization problem.
- 'Pfa' is the probability of false alarm. Shows the probability of incorrectly identifying a state or an occurrence.
- ' β_0, δ, α ' are coefficients or constants that are used to establish the sensitivity of the fitness function and quantify the contributions of Pfa.
- N denotes the number of vehicles considered in the optimization problem.

This formulation ensures that the fitness value is minimized while considering the false alarm probability and other coefficients that influence the optimization process. The presence of numerous applications in the vehicular network could lead to a degradation in communication efficiency and a scarcity of available spectrum. CR technology is now being applied to vehicular networks due to its ability to solve problems related to network capacity and exhaustion in unlicensed network frequency bands. As a result, CR-VANET facilitates effective vehicular communication and better spectrum utilization. The integration of CR in VANET makes use of spectrum holes to mitigate the effects of spectrum scarcity caused by improper allocation. CR-VANET takes advantage of the use of the CR technique. Cognitive radio functions such as detecting spectrum opportunities, channel selection according to applications, and transmission of data without interfering with the primary user access. An efficient cooperative communication between vehicles is possible with a predefined road pattern in CR-VANET. In CR-VANET, the main challenge lies in the fact that spectrum sensing is primarily dependent on vehicle velocity, as opposed to conventional cognitive radio.

6.2 Result Analysis of CR-VANET_HFASSON

The performance metric considered for the result analysis of CR-VANET_HFASSON is spectrum utilization. The output of this metric is compared with the CR-VANET algorithm. The evaluation of the metrics is performed for 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100. The parameter values used in CR-VANET_HFASSON is given in Table 2.

6.2.1 Spectrum Utilization Vs Vehicle Count (VC) Analysis

Spectrum utilization [28] is the ratio of the sum of channel usage time by the vehicles of all channels to the channel maximum available time. The formula for spectrum utilization (in percentage):

$$\text{Spectrum Utilization} = \left(\frac{\text{Channel Usage}_{\text{Time}}}{\text{Total Time}_{\text{Available}}} \right) \times 100 \quad (21)$$

Where:

- Channel Usage_{Time} is the sum of channel usage time for all channels
- Total Time_{Available} is the product of the number of channels and the simulation time.

The Table.6 summarizes proportion of spectrum utilization of CR-VANET and CR-VANET_HFASSON. Overall, the analysis of Table.6 shows that the spectrum utilization of CR-VANET_HFASSON excels the other algorithm. CR-VANET_HFASSON has 87.07% spectrum utilization for 20 Vehicles which is 1.39% higher than CR-VANET algorithm. For 40 vehicles, CR-VANET performs well and scores 0.72% more than the CR-VANET_HFASSON. However, the CR-VANET_HFASSON achieved spectrum utilization values of 2.04%, 0.7%, and 1.13% for 60, 80, and 100 vehicles, respectively. These values are greater than those achieved by the CR-VANET.

Table 6: Comparison of average spectrum utilization (in %) between CR-VANET and CR-VANET_HFASSON.

Number of Vehicles	CR-VANET	CR-VANET_HFASSON
20	85.68%	87.07%
40	89.2%	90.18%
60	88.56%	90.60%
80	90.48%	91.18%
100	90.72%	91.86%

7 Conclusion

The proposed algorithm, HFASSON, combines the exploring skills of FA with the exploitation skills of SSO. Additionally, the suggested technique incorporates N-R method to enhance convergence potential while boosting its performance. The performance of HFASSON is compared with FA, SSO, HFASSO, WCMFO, HPSOGA, HSSOGSA, GWOCs, and FAGA using 23 benchmark functions in 30, 50, and 100 dimensions.

The algorithms are compared using both qualitative and quantitative research techniques. The quantitative analysis employed best fitness metrics, while the qualitative study compared the HFASSON convergence rates with the above-mentioned eight algorithms. The experimental results show that the convergence speed of HFASSON is faster than that of HFASSO, FA, and SSO, as well as five other selected hybrid algorithms.

The proposed method proved to be a viable alternative, as HFASSON outperformed eight for 30D and nine for 50 and 100D out of the 23 selected benchmark functions across broad and constrained search spaces. Applying the N-R method to refine the outcomes produced by the HFASSO algorithm further improved its accuracy and efficiency. Result of statistical test clearly indicates the outstanding performance of the proposed HFASSON algorithm.

Moreover, the proposed algorithm proved to be better performing with the spectrum sensing technique in CR-VANET. Thus implementing CR-VANET with HFASSON (CR-VANET_HFASSON), the simulation results indicate that CR-VANET_HFASSON achieves enhanced spectrum utilization compared to the CR-VANET algorithm.

The objective models used in this research may have certain limitations. In a real-world environment, additional factors could arise that might affect the results. Therefore, to validate the performance of HFASSON, we plan to implement CRVANET in a real environment using actual sensors and devices in the future. Furthermore, HFASSON can be expanded to incorporate hybrid optimization algorithms based on multiple objectives.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported in part by the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education through the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme under Grant FRGS/1/2021/ICT11/UM/02/1(FP005-2021). We would also like to express our gratitude to our university, Universiti Malaya, for their continued support in facilitating access to this grant.

References

- [1] Nazmul Siddique and Hojjat Adeli. Nature inspired computing: an overview and some future directions. *Cognitive computation*, 7:706–714, 2015.
- [2] T Rehannara Beegum, Mohd Yamani Idna Idris, Mohamad Nizam Bin Ayub, and Hisham A Shehadeh. Optimized routing of uavs using bio-inspired algorithm in fanet: A systematic review. *IEEE access*, 11:15588–15622, 2023.
- [3] Xin-She Yang. Nature-inspired optimization algorithms: Challenges and open problems. *Journal of Computational Science*, 46:101104, 2020.
- [4] B. T. Polyak. Newton’s method and its use in optimization. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 181:1086–1096, 2007.
- [5] Xin-She Yang, Xing-Shi He, and Qin-Wei Fan. *Mathematical framework for algorithm analysis*. Elsevier Ltd, 2020.
- [6] Mohamed Abdel-Baset and Ibrahim M. Hezam. Solving linear least squares problems based on improved cuckoo search algorithm. *Mathematical Sciences Letters:An International Journal*, 5:199–202, 2016.
- [7] Raegeun Oh, Yifang Shi, and Jee Woong Choi. A hybrid newton–raphson and particle swarm optimization method for target motion analysis by batch processing. *Sensors*, 21:1–14, 2021.

- [8] Luong Le Dinh, Dieu Vo Ngoc, and Pandian Vasant. Artificial bee colony algorithm for solving optimal power flow problem. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013, 2013.
- [9] Obadah Said Solaiman, Rami Sihwail, Hisham Shehadeh, Ishak Hashim, and Kamal Alieyan. Hybrid newton–sperm swarm optimization algorithm for nonlinear systems. *Mathematics*, 11(6):1473, 2023.
- [10] Xin She Yang. Firefly algorithm, stochastic test functions and design optimization. *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*, 2:78–84, 2010.
- [11] Hisham A. Shehadeh, Ismail Ahmedy, and Mohd Yamani Idna Idris. *Empirical study of sperm swarm optimization algorithm*, volume 869. Springer International Publishing, 2018.
- [12] Hisham A. Shehadeh, Ismail Ahmedy, and Mohd Yamani Idna Idris. Sperm swarm optimization algorithm for optimizing wireless sensor network challenges. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, pages 53–59, 2018.
- [13] Soheyl Khalilpourazari and Saman Khalilpourazary. An efficient hybrid algorithm based on water cycle and moth-flame optimization algorithms for solving numerical and constrained engineering optimization problems. *Soft Computing*, 23:1699–1722, 2019.
- [14] Haibin Duan, Qinan Luo, Yuhui Shi, and Guanjun Ma. Hybrid particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithm for multi-uav formation reconfiguration. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 8:16–27, 2013.
- [15] Hisham A. Shehadeh. A hybrid sperm swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm (hssogsa) for global optimization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 4, 2021.
- [16] Hui Xu, Xiang Liu, and Jun Su. An improved grey wolf optimizer algorithm integrated with cuckoo search. In *2017 9th IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Data Acquisition and Advanced Computing Systems: Technology and Applications (IDAACS)*, volume 1, pages 490–493, 2017.
- [17] A. Rahmani and S.A. MirHassani. A hybrid firefly-genetic algorithm for the capacitated facility location problem. *Information Sciences*, 283:70–78, 2014. New Trend of Computational Intelligence in Human-Robot Interaction.
- [18] S.J. Garrett. Introductory numerical methods. *Introduction to Actuarial and Financial Mathematical Methods*, pages 411–463, 2015.
- [19] Chung Yuan Dye and Tsu Pang Hsieh. A particle swarm optimization for solving joint pricing and lot-sizing problem with fluctuating demand and unit purchasing cost. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 60:1895–1907, 2010.
- [20] J. C. Bansal, P. K. Singh, Mukesh Saraswat, Abhishek Verma, Shimpi Singh Jadon, and Ajith Abraham. Inertia weight strategies in particle swarm optimization. *2011 Third World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing*, pages 633–640, 2011.
- [21] R Mallipeddi and Pn Suganthan. Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2010 Competition on Constrained Real-Parameter Optimization. *Nanyang Technological University, . . .*, 2010.
- [22] Yonghong Zeng and Ying Chang Liang. Eigenvalue-based spectrum sensing algorithms for cognitive radio. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 57:1784–1793, 2009.
- [23] Brent L Iverson and Peter B Dervan. *Cognitive Vehicular Networks*. CRC Press, 1st edition, 2016.
- [24] Ian F. Akyildiz, Won-Yeol Lee, Mehmet C. Vuran, and Shantidev Mohanty. Next generation/dynamic spectrum access/cognitive radio wireless networks: a survey. *Comput. Netw.*, 50(13):2127–2159, sep 2006.
- [25] Kavita Bani and Vaishali Kulkarni. Hybrid spectrum sensing using md and ed for cognitive radio networks. *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, 11(3), 2022.
- [26] Saman Atapattu, Chintha Tellambura, and Hai Jiang. *Energy Detection for Spectrum Sensing in Cognitive Radio*, chapter 2, pages 11–26. Springer New York, 2014.
- [27] Kenan Kockaya and Ibrahim Develi. Spectrum sensing in cognitive radio networks: threshold optimization and analysis. *Eurasip Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2020, 2020.
- [28] Pankaj Verma and Brahmjit Singh. Threshold optimization in energy detection scheme for maximizing the spectrum utilization. *Procedia Computer Science*, 93:191–198, 2016.