# AN OVERVIEW OF REGULARITY RESULTS FOR THE LAPLACIAN AND *p*-LAPLACIAN IN METRIC SPACES

IVAN YURI VIOLO

ABSTRACT. We review some regularity results of the Laplacian and *p*-Laplacian in metric measure spaces. The focus is mainly on interior Hölder, Lipschitz and second-regularity estimates and on spaces supporting a Poincaré inequality or having Ricci curvature bounded below.

## MSC (2020): 35B65, 35J92, 46E36, 58J05

<u>Keywords</u>: nonlinear potential theory, metric spaces, Laplacian, elliptic PDEs, regularity estimates, Ricci curvature, RCD spaces.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Standing assumptions and preliminaries	3
3. First order theory for the Laplacian and <i>p</i> -Laplacian in metric setting	4
3.1. Variational approach and integration by parts	4
3.2. Boundary value problems	6
3.3. Interior and boundary regularity of solutions	9
4. Laplacian under Ricci lower bounds	12
4.1. Second order regularity and the definition of RCD space	12
4.2. Lipschitz regularity	15
4.3. Eigenfunctions	16
4.4. Unique continuation property	17
5. Second order regularity for the <i>p</i> -Laplacian	18
6. Proof of second order regularity estimates for the <i>p</i> -Laplacian	20
6.1. Step 1: regularization of the problem	21
6.2. Step 2: Uniform a-priori estimates for regularized solutions	21
6.3. Step 3: regularized solutions are in $W^{2,2}$	24
6.4. Step 4: going back to the <i>p</i> -Laplacian and conclusion	27
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we will discuss some results about elliptic regularity in metric measure spaces. We will be mainly concerned with equations of the type

$$\Delta_p u = f,\tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Delta_p$  is the *p*-Laplacian and *f* is a given data in some  $L^q$  space. It is by now well understood that if the underlying space is doubling and supports a Poincaré inequality then the classical De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory applies and thus Harnack's inequalities and Hölder estimates are available. Nevertheless the Hölder regularity is the best one can obtain using solely the assumptions of doubling and Poincaré. Indeed there are examples of spaces satisfying these properties but where harmonic functions might not be Lipschitz. Furthermore the usual  $L^2$ -second order estimates are missing in this general setting, as the second order Sobolev space is not even clearly defined.

In recent years it has become more and more clear that to obtain second-order and the Lipschitz estimates for elliptic equations in metric spaces it is natural to assume that the Ricci curvature is bounded below in a weak sense. In the setting of Riemannian manifolds the connection between Ricci curvature bounds and PDEs estimates has been well known for a long time going back to the gradient estimates for harmonic functions by Cheng and Yau [26,98] and the Li-Yau inequality for the heat equation [69] (see also [28, 40, 87, 97] for other examples).

In the context of metric spaces the concept of Ricci curvature bounded below was introduced with *Curvature Dimension (CD) condition*. This framework was immediately demonstrated to be sufficiently powerful to derive fundamental geometric and functional inequalities like the Brunn-Minkowsi [91], (log)Sobolev [22,71], isoperimetric [21] and spectral [65] inequalities. However, only after the introduction of the stronger *Riemannian Curvature Dimension (RCD) condition* condition it became possible to fully exploit the geometric analysis machinery leading to even stronger geometric properties and the development of more advanced calculus tools. Recall, for examples, the non-smooth splitting theorem [42,49] and the rectifiability of RCD spaces [77]. For a detailed introduction to CD and RCD spaces and their properties we refer to the surveys [3, 45, 92, 96] and references there in.

The advanced analytical tools in RCD spaces primarily arise from the possibility of integrating by parts, thereby allowing the definition of linear differential operators such as the Laplacian, divergence, and heat semi-group. Moreover, it is possible to give a meaningful notion of the second-order Sobolev space  $W^{2,2}$ that contains many non-trivial functions as a consequence of the following key inclusion

$$\{u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}) : \Delta u \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})\} \subset W^{2,2}(\mathbf{X}) \tag{(\star)}$$

(see [44]). For more on the calculus available in RCD spaces we refer to [43,52]. Note that, a-posteriori, we can interpret  $(\star)$  as an elliptic regularity result for the Laplacian operator with its own interest. In addition, Lipschitz estimates for harmonic functions and the Poisson equation have been established in RCD setting [62,64] and, more recently, even for harmonic maps into CAT(0) spaces [46,79,100]. Despite these developments and the  $(\star)$  being known for a long time, a comprehensive second-order elliptic regularity theory is still missing. One of the main difficulties is the lack of a difference quotients method, which makes it complicated to treat operators other than the Laplacian.

Partly motivated by this, in collaboration with L. Benatti [13], we recently studied the second-order regularity properties of the p-Laplacian operator in RCD spaces. Our main result, roughly speaking, is that

$$\Delta_p u \in L^2(\mathfrak{m}) \implies |Du|^{p-2} \nabla u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}), \qquad (\star_p)$$

for bounded RCD spaces and for p sufficiently close to two (see Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.5). Additionally we managed to show that a suitable subclass of p-harmonic functions are locally Lipschitz (see Theorem 5.4).

The goal of this note is then twofold. The first is to give a short overview of the regularity results for the Laplacian and *p*-Laplacian in metric measure spaces with focus on, but not limited to, RCD spaces and on the aspects that we briefly described above. The second is to give an overview of the proof of  $(\star_p)$ .

The exposition will be organized as follows. In Section 2 we fix some key notations and standing assumptions. The main discussion starts in Section 3.1 with the definition of the *p*-Laplacian via integration by parts. Then in Section 3.2 we will present some well established results for the existence and uniqueness of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problems on space supporting a Poincaré inequality. Adding also a locally doubling assumption we overview in Section 3.3 the validity of Harnack's and Hölder estimates. In Section 4 we move to the setting of Ricci curvature bounded below. We will review the second order and Lipschitz regularity properties for the Laplacian, present some recent results related to the regularity of eigenfunctions and briefly discuss the problem of the unique continuation property. In Section 5 we will present the main results of [13] about the *p*-Laplacian and give an overview of the proof in Section 6. Along the exposition we will also state some open questions.

Acknowledgments: The author is thankful to L. Benatti, N. De Ponti, S. Farinelli, N. Gigli, J. Liu, F. Nobili, T. Rajala and S. Schulz for stimulating discussions around the topics of this note.

This survey is based on the talk given by the author at the "School and Conference on Metric Measure Spaces, Ricci Curvature, and Optimal Transport" (MeRiOT 2024) in Varenna organized by F. Cavalletti, M. Erbar, J. Maas and K.T. Sturm, to whom we express our gratitude for this event.

#### 2. Standing assumptions and preliminaries

A metric measure space is a triple  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ , where (X, d) is a complete and separable metric space and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a non-negative Borel measure. We denote by  $\mathsf{LIP}(X)$  the space of Lipschitz function sin X and for all  $\Omega \subset X$  open we denote by  $\mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\Omega)$  the subset of  $\mathsf{LIP}(X)$  of functions having support contained in  $\Omega$ .  $\mathcal{H}^n$ stands for the *n*-dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $(X, \mathsf{d})$ . We also denote the local Lipschitz constant by

$$\operatorname{lip} f(x) \coloneqq \overline{\operatorname{lim}}_{y \to x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\mathsf{d}(x, y)},$$

set to zero if x is isolated.

We assume the reader to be familiar with the notion of Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(X)$  over a metric measure space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ . For the relevant background we refer the reader to the books [14,52,60]. We limit ourselves to recall below a few facts and conventions that will be used through the note.

For any  $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$  there is a meaningful notion of modulus of the gradient  $|Df|_p \in L^p(\mathfrak{m})$ , called minimal weak upper gradient. For any  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{X}$  open the local Sobolev space  $f \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  is the space of functions  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  such that  $f\eta \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$  for all  $\eta \in \mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\Omega)$ .

In general  $|Df|_p$  might depend highly on the exponent p. In particular if  $f \in W^{1,p} \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbf{X})$  it can be that  $|Df|_p \neq |Df|_q$  or, if  $f \in W^{1,p} \cap L^q(\mathbf{X})$  and  $|Df|_p \in L^q(\mathbf{X})$  it might be that  $f \notin W^{1,q}(\mathbf{X})$ . Additionally the space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$  is in general not Hilbert.

Nevertheless, throughout this note and unless differently specified we will maintain the following standing assumptions:

- i) if  $f \in W^{1,p} \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbf{X})$ , then  $|Df|_p = |Df|_q$  m-a.e., moreover if  $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$ and  $|Df|_p$ ,  $f \in L^q(\mathbf{X})$ , then  $f \in W^{1,q}(\mathbf{X})$ .
- ii)  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is infinitesimally Hilbertian, i.e. the space  $W^{1,2}(X)$  is a Hilbert space.

Condition i) is usually called strong p-independency of weak upper gradients (see [51]). Condition ii) was instead introduced in [41]. It was shown in [23] that i) holds for all locally doubling spaces supporting a local Poincaré inequality (see Definition 3.13 and Definition 3.4 respectively). Moreover  $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$  spaces (see Definition 4.1) satisfy ii) by very definition and i) thanks to [48]. Thanks to i) we will simply write |Df| in place of  $|Df|_p$ . Moreover i) and ii) combined imply that, for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , the following pointwise scalar product is pointwise bilinear:

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X}) \times W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X}) \ni (f,g) \mapsto \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \bigg( |D(f+g)|^2 - |Df|^2 - |Dg|^2 \bigg).$$

In particular this implies that the weak upper gradient satisfies the usual parallelogram identity which implies that

 $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$  is uniformly convex and thus reflexive for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , (2.1)

see [51, Prop. 4.4] for the proof.

We finally recall briefly the notion of  $L^2$ -tangent bundle  $L^2(TX)$  introduced in [44], which has the structure of  $L^2$ -normed  $L^{\infty}$ -module endowed with a pointwise norm  $|\cdot|: L^2(TX) \to L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . This space comes with a linear gradient operator map  $\nabla: W^{1,2}(X) \to L^2(TX)$  which satisfies  $|\nabla f| = |Df|$ . We refer to [52] for an introduction on normed-modules and tangent bundles on metric spaces.

## 3. First order theory for the Laplacian and p-Laplacian in metric setting

3.1. Variational approach and integration by parts. The most straightforward way to define solutions of an elliptic PDE in a metric measure spaces is by considering the related minimization problem. The simplest case is the one of p-harmonic functions.

**Definition 3.1** (*p*-harmonic functions). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a metric measure space, let  $\Omega \subset X$  be an open and fix  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . We say that  $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  is *p*-superharmonic (resp. *p*-subharmonic) in  $\Omega$  provided

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|_p^p \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le \int_{\Omega} |D(u+\varphi)|_p^p \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\Omega), \quad \varphi \ge 0 \text{ (resp. } \varphi \le 0). (3.1)$$

If u is both p-superharmonic and p-subharmonic we say that u is p-harmonic in  $\Omega$ .

Note that for the above definition no assumptions on  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  are needed (we could even omit the standing assumptions i) and ii) stated in Section 2). For an account on the theory of *p*-harmonic function in metric spaces, without assumptions i) and ii) but locally doubling and supporting a Poincaré inequality, we refer to [14, Chapter 7] and references therein.

It is natural to ask whether p-harmonic functions satisfy the associated p-Laplace equation:  $\Delta_p u = 0$ . To show this we need a suitable notion of p-Laplacian.

**Definition 3.2** (*p*-Laplacian). Let  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be a metric measure space (satisfying assumptions i) and ii) stated in Section 2),  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and  $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$ . We say that  $\Delta_p u \geq f$  (resp.  $\Delta_p u \leq f$ ) in  $\Omega$  for some  $f \in L^1_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  provided

$$-\int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \ge \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\Omega), \quad \varphi \ge 0 \text{ (resp. } \varphi \le 0).$$

$$(3.2)$$

Moreover we say that  $\Delta_p u = f$  if equality holds in (3.2), in which case f is unique and it is denoted by  $\Delta_p u$ .

Since it can be that p-2 < 0, for convention we set  $|Du|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle = 0$ whenever |Du| = 0. With this convention and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have that  $||Du|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle| \leq |Du|^{p-1} \operatorname{Lip}(\varphi) \in L^1_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega)$  and so the left hand side of (3.2) is well defined. For p = 2 we will denote for simplicity  $\Delta := \Delta_2$ .

It is straightforward to check that the definition of p-Laplacian is compatible with the notion of p-harmonic functions.

**Proposition 3.3.** A function  $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  is p-subharmonic (resp. p-superharmonic) in  $\Omega$  if and only if  $\Delta_p \geq 0$  (resp.  $\Delta_p \leq 0$ ) in  $\Omega$ . In particular u is p-harmonic if and only if  $\Delta_p u = 0$ .

For a proof in the case p = 2, which can be easily adapted to general p, see [53, Theorem 2.5].

It is worth to mention that it is possible to define a notion of p-Laplacian and p-harmonic functions via integration by parts using the Cheeger differentiability structure introduced in [23]. However this requires some further assumptions on the underlying space and the notions one would get are in general not equivalent to one stated above. Nevertheless much of the first order theory would still apply (e.g. all the results in Section 3.2 and Section 3.3). See [14, Section 7.1 and Appendix B.2] for a more detailed discussion on this topic.

3.2. Boundary value problems. In this section we give some examples of existence and uniqueness results for boundary value problems involving the *p*-Laplacian. This list, far from comprehensive, it is meant to show that it is possible to obtain non-trivial solutions to several type of PDEs linked to natural minimization problems under mild assumptions on the underlying space. All the results are essentially folklore and appeared often and in multiple variants in the literature.

The main tool to show existence is the standard direct method of the calculus of variations, which requires the underlying space to satisfy a Poincaré inequality.

**Definition 3.4** (Local Poincaré inequality). A metric measure space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  supports a *local Poincaré inequality* provided there exist a constant  $\lambda \geq 1$ , called *dilation constant* such that for every  $f \in \mathsf{LIP}_{\mathsf{loc}}(X)$  it holds

$$\oint_{B_r(x)} |f - f_{B_R(x)}| \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le C_P(R) \, r \oint_{B_{\lambda r}(x)} \mathrm{lip}(f) \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \quad \forall \ 0 < r \le R, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbf{X},$$

where  $f_{B_R(x)} \coloneqq \int_{B_r(x)} f \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}$  and  $C_P(R) > 0$  is a constant depending only on R.

Throughout this section we will assume without further notice that (X, d, m) supports local Poincaré inequality (in addition to assumptions i) and ii) of Section 2 which are always present in this note).

We start by considering Dirichlet boundary value problems. First we recall that the above local Poincaré inequality implies the following classical Poincaré-type inequality for functions that are zero at the boundary (see e.g. [14, Corollary 5.54]).

**Proposition 3.5** (Poincaré inequality with zero-Dirichlet boundary conditions). Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and bounded and such that  $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \Omega) > 0$ . Then for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  it holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mathfrak{m} \le C_{p,\Omega} \int |Du|^p \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \tag{D}$$

where  $C_{p,\Omega} > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $\Omega$  and p.

We can now state the first existence result.

**Proposition 3.6** (Poisson equation). Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and bounded with  $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \Omega) > 0$ . Then for all  $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ ,  $p' \coloneqq p/(p-1)$ , and  $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  there exists a unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in g + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Moreover the solution to (3.3) coincides with the unique minimum of

$$\inf\left\{F_p(u) \coloneqq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^p - f u \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} : u \in g + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\right\}.$$
(3.4)

Sketch of the proof. The existence of a minimizer  $u \in g + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to (3.4) is a straightforward application of the direct method in the calculus of variations. In

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

particular using the Poincaré inequality in Proposition 3.5 and the reflexivity of  $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$  (by (2.1)) we deduce the a minimizing sequence admits a  $W^{1,p}$ -weakly convergent subsequence. This combined with the lower semicontinuity of the *p*-energy under  $W^{1,p}$ -weak convergence (see [52, Prop. 2.1.19]) gives the existence of a minimizer. Uniqueness follows also easily from the uniform convexity of the  $W^{1,p}$ -norm (again by (2.1)). Finally the characterization of the minimizers (3.3) with the solutions of equation (3.3) can be easily deduced by using the convexity of  $t \mapsto F_p(u + t\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\Omega)$  and differentiating at t = 0 (cf. with Proposition 3.3).

Minimizer of (3.4) in metric setting were also analyzed in [56].

Next we present an example of Dirichlet eigenvalue boundary value problem, which can be dealt with as the previous one. For a proof of the uniqueness which covers this setting see [78, Theorem 5.6].

**Proposition 3.7** (Eigenvalue problem). Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and bounded with  $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \Omega) > 0$ . Then there exists a unique minimizer of

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \coloneqq \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p d\mathfrak{m}}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mathfrak{m}} : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}\right\},\tag{3.5}$$

which satisfies

$$\Delta_p u = -\lambda_1(\Omega) u |u|^{p-2}, \quad in \ \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

Minimizers of (3.5) in the metric setting were studied first in [68] deriving Harnack's inequalities, but never discussing the associated equation (3.6).

**Proposition 3.8** (*p*-Electrostatic potential). Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and bounded with  $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \Omega) > 0$  and let  $K \subset \Omega$  be compact. Then there exists a unique minimizer of

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{p}(K,\Omega) \coloneqq \inf\left\{\int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} : u \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega), u \ge 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\right\},$$

$$(3.7)$$

called the p-electrostatic potential of K in  $\Omega$ , which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = 0, & in \ \Omega \setminus K, \\ u = 1, & in \ K. \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

The quantity  $\operatorname{Cap}_p(K, \Omega)$ , called *p*-capacity, has been widely studied and plays a fundamental role in theory of Sobolev spaces in metric setting (see [14, Section 1.4]).

**Remark 3.9.** The Poincaré inequality for functions with zero boundary condition in Proposition 3.5 (and thus all the previous existence results) holds under the weaker assumption that  $X \setminus \Omega$  has positive *p*-capacity (see [14, Corolalry 5.54]).

We pass now to equations with Neumann boundary conditions.

**Definition 3.10** (*p*-Laplacian with Neumann boundary conditions). Fix  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . We say  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = f, & \text{in } \Omega\\ \partial_\nu u = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

provided

$$-\int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W^{1,p} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(3.10)

Note that expression ' $\partial_{\nu} u = 0$  in  $\partial \Omega$ ' is just formal as we are not defining the normal derivative.

To obtain existence of Neumann problem we replace (D) with the following Poincaré inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |u - u_{\Omega}|^{p} d\mathfrak{m} \leq C_{p,\Omega} \int |Du|^{p} d\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega),$$
(N)

where  $u_{\Omega} \coloneqq \int_{\Omega} u d\mathfrak{m}$  and  $C_{p,\Omega} > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $\Omega$  and p. Sufficient conditions for inequality (N) to hold are:

- (1)  $\Omega = X$  with diam(X) <  $\infty$  (see [13, Theorem 2.4]);
- (2) (X, d, m) is locally doubling (see Definition 3.13) and Ω is a bounded uniform domain, that is an open set connected by curves that are sufficiently away from the boundary (see [18]).

Thanks to [85] we know that on doubling quasi-convex metric spaces that there are plenty of uniform domains.

The results stated above for the Dirichlet case hold also in the Neumann case. The argument is essentially the same, but using (N) instead of (D) to apply the direct method.

**Proposition 3.11** (Poisson equation with Neumann boundary conditions). Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies (N) Then for all  $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  with  $\int_{\Omega} f d\mathfrak{m} = 0$  and p' := p/(p-1) there exists a unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu u = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \\ \int_\Omega u \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

Moreover this solution coincides with the unique minimum of

$$\inf\left\{\int_{\Omega}\frac{|Du|^p}{p} - fu\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} u\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0\right\}.$$
 (3.12)

**Proposition 3.12** (Neumann eigenvalue problem). Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies (N). Then there exists a unique minimizer of

$$\lambda_1^N(\Omega) \coloneqq \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mathfrak{m}} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, \ \int_{\Omega} u |u|^{p-2} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0\right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = -\lambda_1^N(\Omega) u |u|^{p-2}, & \text{in } \Omega\\ \partial_\nu u = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

3.3. Interior and boundary regularity of solutions. A standard method in the Euclidean setting to obtain interior Hölder continuity estimates for elliptic equations in divergence form is the Moser's iteration method. Ultimately this boils down to several applications of the Sobolev inequality to get better and better integrability estimates (see e.g. [55, Section 8.5]). It is now well understood that a *doubling assumption* combined with a *Poincaré inequality* is sufficient to obtain a *Sobolev inequality*. This observation goes back to [86] and was generalized to the metric setting in [58].

Let us start recalling the notion of a locally doubling metric measure space.

**Definition 3.13** (Locally doubling condition).  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is said *locally doubling* if for all R > 0 there exists a constant  $C_D(R) > 0$  such that

$$\mathfrak{m}(B_{2r}(x)) \leq C_D(R)\mathfrak{m}(B_r(x))$$
 for every  $0 < r < R$  and  $x \in X$ .

To state the Sobolev inequality we need a notion of 'dimension', which allows to define the Sobolev conjugate exponent  $p^*$ .

**Proposition 3.14** (Doubling dimension). Suppose  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is locally doubling. Then there exists a constant s > 1 and for all R > 0 there exists a constant  $c_R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{m}(B_{r_1}(x))}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{r_2}(x))} \ge c_R \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^s, \quad \text{for every } 0 < r_1 < r_2 < R.$$
(3.15)

Note that if (3.15) holds for some s then it holds for all s' > s and so in a sense s might be understood as an 'upper bound on the dimension' of  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ .

**Theorem 3.15** (Local Sobolev inequality, [58, Theorem 5.1]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be locally doubling and supporting a local Poincaré inequality. Fix s > 1 satisfying (3.15), fix  $p \in (1, s)$  and set  $p^* := \frac{ps}{s-p}$ . Then for all  $B_r(x) \subset X$ ,  $r \leq R$  it holds

$$\left(\int_{B_r(x)} |u|^{p^*} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \le C \int_{B_{2\lambda r}(x)} r^p |Du|^p + |u|^p \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\mathbf{X}), \quad (3.16)$$

where C is a constant depending only on  $p, \lambda, C_D, C_P, s$ . The same holds for p = nand with  $p^*$  arbitrarily chosen.

In the case p > s we have also a counterpart of the Morrey's embedding.

**Theorem 3.16** (Morrey's embedding, [58, Theorem 5.1]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be locally doubling and supporting a local Poincaré inequality. Fix s > 1 satisfying (3.15) and let p > s. Then any function  $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(X)$  has a locally Hölder continuous representative.

From now on throughout this subsection we will assume that  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is locally doubling and supports a local Poincaré inequality. We also fix s > 1 satisfying (3.15). We can now state a version of the Harnack's inequalities.

**Theorem 3.17** (Harnack's inequality for subsolutions). Fix  $p \in (1, s]$  and q > s/p. Suppose that

$$\Delta_p u \ge f + g|u|^{p-1} \quad in \ B_1(x) \subset \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathfrak{m}(B_1(x)) = 1.$$

for some  $f, g \in L^q(B_1(x))$ , with  $||g||_{L^q(B_1(x))} \leq c_0$ . Then it holds

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)} u \le C_1 \left( \|u^+\|_{L^1(B_1(x))} + \|f\|_{L^q(B_1(x))}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right), \tag{3.17}$$

where  $C_1$  is a constant depending only on  $p, q, \lambda, C_D, C_P, s, c_0$ .

**Theorem 3.18** (Harnack's inequality for supersolutions). Fix  $p \in (1, s]$  and q > s/p. Suppose that u is non-negative and satisfies

$$\Delta_p u \le f + g|u|^{p-1} \quad in \ B_{35\lambda}(x) \subset \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathfrak{m}(B_1(x)) = 1,$$

for some  $f,g \in L^q(B_{35\lambda}(x))$ , with  $||g||_{L^q(B_{35\lambda}(x))} \leq c_0$ . Then there exists  $m \in [1,\infty)$  such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)} u + \|f\|_{L^{q}(B_{25}(x))}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \ge C_{2}\|u\|_{L^{m}(B_{1}(x))}$$
(3.18)

where  $C_2$  and m depend only on  $p, q, \lambda, C_D, C_P, s, c_0$ .

Harnack's inequalities in metric setting for p-sub/superharmonic functions were first obtained in [66] using De Giorgi's method and later in [15] using Moser's iteration. In [68] the same was shown for p-eigenfunctions and in [56] for the p-Poisson equation. These results did not use the PDE-formulation, in fact they hold without the standing assumptions i) and ii) of Section 2. We refer to [14, Chapter 8] for more detailed references and self-contained proofs. On the other hand the Harnack's inequalities in the PDE-formulation, as stated in Theorem 3.17 and Theorem 3.18, are considered folklore among experts as the argument is ultimately the same as in the Euclidean space. A full self contained proof can be found in the appendix of [13].

We next present some standard consequences of the Harnack's inequalities.

**Corollary 3.19** (Hölder regularity of solutions). Fix  $p \in (1, s]$  and q > s/p. Suppose that

$$\Delta_p u = f + gu|u|^{p-2} \quad in \ \Omega \subset \mathbf{X},$$

for some  $f, g \in L^q_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$ . Then u has a locally Hölder continuous representative in  $\Omega$ .

The proof of the above starting from inequalities (3.17) and (3.18) is the same as in the Euclidean setting (see e.g. [59, Section 4.4])

**Remark 3.20.** Note that if p > s the solution is automatically Hölder continuous by the Morrey's embedding of Theorem 3.16.

**Corollary 3.21.** *p*-superharmonic (resp. p-subharmonic) functions have a lower (resp. upper) semicontinuous representative.

Proof. Suppose u is p-superharmonic in  $\Omega$ . For all  $x \in \Omega$  set  $u^*(x) := \lim_{r \to 0^+} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B_r(x)} u$ . It is easy to check that  $u^*$  is lower semicontinuous. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem (which holds under the locally doubling assumption, see e.g. [60, Section 3.4]) we have that  $f_{B_r(x)} |u - u(x)| \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \to 0$  for  $\mathfrak{m}$ -a.e. point  $x \in \Omega$ , called Lebesgue point. Clearly by definition  $u^*(x) \leq \overline{\lim_{r \to 0^+} f_{B_r(x)}} u \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = u(x)$  for each Lebesgue point x. It is not hard to check that  $(u(x) - u)^+$  is p-subharmonic in  $\Omega$  (see e.g. [14, Prop. 7.12]). Hence by Harnack's inequality in (3.17)

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B_r(x)}(u(x)-u) \le \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B_r(x)}(u(x)-u)^+ \le C \oint_{B_r(x)} |u-u(x)| \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \to 0,$$

which implies that  $u^* \geq u$  m-a.e. in  $\Omega$  as well.

**Corollary 3.22** (Strong maximum principle). Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be connected. Suppose that u is a p-superharmonic and lower semicontinuous function in  $\Omega$  which attains its minimum in  $\Omega$ . Then u is constant.

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\min_{\Omega} u = 0$ . Then the set  $C := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = 0\}$  is closed. For all  $x \in C$  we have  $B_{35r\lambda}(x) \subset \Omega$  for r small enough. The rescaled version of (3.18) implies that  $||u||_{L^m(B_r(x))} = 0$  and so  $u \equiv 0$  in  $B_r(x)$ . Hence C is also open and thus  $C = \Omega$ .

The Hölder regularity result in Corollary 3.19 is sharp in the sense that there are examples of harmonic functions in locally doubling metric measure spaces supporting a Poincaré inequality that are not locally Lipschitz (see the introduction of [67]).

We conclude this part by mentioning some results about continuity at the boundary for p-harmonic functions in metric setting. Roughly speaking the key assumption is that the complement of the open set is sufficiently big. Recall the following standard definition.

**Definition 3.23** (Corkscrew condition). A set  $\Omega \subset X$  satisfies the *interior* (resp. exterior) corkscrew condition if there exists constants  $\lambda > 0$  and r > 0 such that for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $s \in (0, r)$  there exists a ball of radius  $\lambda s$  contained in  $B_s(x) \cap \Omega$  (resp.  $B_s(x) \cap (X \setminus E)$ ).

Notable examples of sets satisfying the interior (resp. exterior) corkscrew condition are enlargements of sets (reps. the complement of enlargements of sets) in length spaces. In particular balls satisfy the interior corkscrew condition.

**Proposition 3.24** (Continuity up to boundary of *p*-harmonic functions). Fix  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be locally doubling and supporting a local Poincaré inequality. Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be bounded and satisfying the exterior corkscrew condition and  $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \Omega) > 0$ . Let also  $f : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then there exists a unique  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f, & \text{in } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We can also obtain the regularity up the boundary for the p-electrostatic potential introduced in Proposition 3.8.

**Proposition 3.25** (Boundary continuity of *p*-electrostatic potential). Fix  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be locally doubling and supporting a local Poincaré inequality. Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be bounded and satisfying the exterior corkscrew condition and  $\mathfrak{m}(X \setminus \Omega) > 0$ . Let also  $K \subset \Omega$  be compact and satisfying the interior corkscrew condition. Then the *p*-electrostatic potential of K in  $\Omega$  (as in Proposition 3.8) has a continuous representative in  $\overline{\Omega}$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u = 0, & in \ \partial\Omega, \\ u = 1, & in \ \partial K. \end{cases}$$

The proof of both Proposition 3.24 and Proposition 3.25 can be found in [14, Corollary 11.25] (see also [54, Appendix B]).

In fact much weaker conditions than the corkscrew condition are sufficient to ensure continuity of the solution up to the boundary, such as the generalization of the classical Wiener criterion to metric setting (see [16,17] and also [14, Section 11.4]).

#### 4. Laplacian under Ricci lower bounds

4.1. Second order regularity and the definition of RCD space. A standard fact about elliptic regularity is that given any open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  which satisfies

$$\Delta u = f \in L^2(\Omega), \tag{4.1}$$

it holds that  $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega')$  for all  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  (see e.g. [38, Section 6.3.1]). The motivation behind this result, at least formally, is the following identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Du|^2 = |\text{Hess}(u)|^2_{HS} + \langle \nabla \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle \quad \forall u \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
(4.2)

Indeed, integrating (4.2) over all  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and integrating by parts the term on the left hand side vanishes and we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\text{Hess}(u)|_{HS}^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta u)^2.$$
(4.3)

If instead of the flat Euclidean space we consider a general Riemannian manifold (M, g) we can not expect (4.2) to hold. Indeed to obtain (4.2) we need to exchange the order of derivatives and thus expect some curvature terms to appear. As a matter of fact the correct analog of (4.2) is the *Bochner-Weitzenböck identity*:

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g |\nabla u|^2 = |\operatorname{Hess}(u)|_{HS}^2 + g(\nabla \Delta_g u, \nabla u) + \operatorname{Ric}_g(\nabla u, \nabla u), \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(M), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator and  $\operatorname{Ric}_g$  is the Ricci tensor. We omit the definition of the Ricci tensor, but we observe that one can take (4.4) as characterization of it. From (4.4) it is apparent that in order to be able to estimate the Hessian in terms of the Laplacian we need to assume that the Ricci tensor is bounded from below. More precisely, assuming that  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq Kg$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and integrating by parts (4.4) gives

$$\int_{M} |\operatorname{Hess}(u)|_{HS}^{2} \,\mathrm{dVol}_{g} \leq \int_{M} (\Delta u)^{2} - K |\nabla u|^{2} \,\mathrm{dVol}_{g}.$$

$$(4.5)$$

All in all we see that a lower bound in the Ricci curvature entails second-order elliptic regularity. Therefore it is natural to try to force such condition on a metric measure space to get  $W^{2,2}$ -regularity from the Laplacian. There are however two obvious obstacles: how to impose Ricci curvature on a non-smooth structure and what is the  $W^{2,2}$ -space and the Hessian in metric setting. Roughly speaking, the answer to both of these issues is in identity (4.4). We proceed in two steps. Multiplying (4.4) by a non-negative test function  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$  and neglecting the non-negative Hessian term we obtain the following weaker inequality:

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g |Du|^2 \ge g(\nabla \Delta_g u, \nabla u) + K |Du|^2, \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(M),$$
(4.6)

The key observation is that we can give a meaning to (4.6) also in metric measure space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ , by using the language of distributional Laplacian introduced in Section 3.1. More precisely it makes sense to write

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} |Du|^2 \Delta h \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \ge \int_{\mathcal{X}} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle h + K |Du|^2 h \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m},\tag{B}$$

for all functions  $u, h \in W^{1,2}(X)$  such that  $\Delta u \in W^{1,2}(X)$ ,  $h \ge 0$  and  $h, \Delta h \in L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Inequality (B) is called *weak Bochner inequality* and can be used to define a notion a weak notion of Ricci curvature bonded below by K in metric setting.

**Definition 4.1** ([4]). Fix  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that inf. Hilbertian m.m.s.  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  is an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  provided:

- (1) For all for all functions  $u, h \in W^{1,2}(X)$  such that  $\Delta u \in W^{1,2}(X)$  and  $h, \Delta h \in L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})$  the weak Bochner inequality (B) holds.
- (2) If  $u \in W^{1,2}(X)$  and  $|Du| \leq 1$ , then u has a 1-Lipschitz representative.
- (3) There exists C > 0 and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}(B_R(x_0)) \leq e^{CR^2}$  holds for all R > 0.

**Remark 4.2** (Existence of many test functions). Is important to stress that there are many non-trivial test functions u, h as in (1) in Definition 4.1. Indeed if  $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ , then denoting by  $t \mapsto h_t f$  its evolution via the heat flow, it holds that  $h_t f \in W^{1,2}(X)$  for t > 0 and by linearity  $\Delta h_t f = h_t \Delta f$ , thus also  $\Delta h_t f \in$  $W^{1,2}(X)$ . With a further regularization it is possible to obtain also a bounded Laplacian. For the definition of the heat flow and the proofs of these properties we refer to [52].

The above definition in fact came only after the one of  $CD(K, \infty)$  spaces introduced with a completely different approach via optimal transport in [90] and [71]. In fact  $RCD(K, \infty)$  spaces are equivalent to  $CD(K, \infty)$  spaces coupled with the infinitesimally Hilbertian condition [4]. We refer to the surveys [3,45] for a background and an historical account on the topic of weak Ricci curvature bounds in

metric setting. We also recall that  $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$  spaces support a local Poincaré inequality (see [83,84]) hence the results of Section 3.2 apply.

Going back to elliptic regularity we can now wonder if the weaker (4.6) is still enough to obtain  $W^{2,2}$ -regularity (whatever that means), as we removed the Hessian term. Multiplying (4.6) by  $|\nabla u|^2$ , integrating and then integrating by parts the left hand side we obtain

$$\int |\nabla|\nabla u|^2 |^2 \mathrm{dVol}_g \le 2\mathrm{Lip}(u)^2 \int |\nabla u| |\nabla \Delta u| + K |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{dVol}_g, \qquad (4.7)$$

which formally entails the following regularity result:

$$\Delta u \in W^{1,2}(M), \ u \in \operatorname{Lip}(M) \implies |Du|^2 \in W^{1,2}(M).$$
(4.8)

Note that  $u \in W^{2,2} \cap \text{LIP}(M) \implies |Du|^2 \in W^{1,2}(M)$ , hence (4.8) is in a sense a weaker version of (4.5).

It turns out that the same argument can be employed in RCD metric measure spaces.

**Theorem 4.3** ([89]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space with  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u \in W^{1,2} \cap \operatorname{LIP}(X)$  be such that  $\Delta u \in W^{1,2}(X)$ . Then  $|Du|^2 \in W^{1,2}(X)$ .

The above regularity result motivates the definition of the space of test functions:

$$\mathsf{Test}(\mathrm{X}) \coloneqq \left\{ f \in W^{1,2} \cap \mathsf{LIP} \cap L^{\infty}(\mathrm{X}) : \Delta u \in W^{1,2}(\mathrm{X}) \right\}$$

A remarkable observation due to Bakry [11] in the context of abstract  $\Gamma_2$ calculus is the so-called self-improvement of the Bochner inequality. Roughly speaking it turns out that the weaker inequality in (4.6) implies the stronger version containing the extra Hessian term. This can be shown by plugging in (4.6) functions of the type  $u = \Phi(f)$ , where  $\Phi$  are suitable polynomials and by carefully expanding all the terms via the chain and Leibniz rules. These ideas were extended to  $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$  spaces in [89] and [44] leading to the following pivotal result.

**Theorem 4.4** ([44, Theorem 3.3.8]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space,  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $u \in \operatorname{Test}(X)$ . Then  $u \in W^{2,2}(X)$  and

$$-\int_{\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla | Du |^2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \ge \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathrm{Hess}(u)|^2 \varphi + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \varphi + K | Du |^2 \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \quad (4.9)$$

holds for every  $\varphi \in L^{\infty} \cap W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$ .

The definitions of the space  $W^{2,2}(\mathbf{X})$  and of the Hessian operator are also due to [44] and are far from trivial to state. To avoid introducing lengthy technical details we omit the definition here and refer to [52] for the details. Very roughly speaking a function is in  $W^{2,2}(\mathbf{X})$  provided there exists a bilinear map  $\operatorname{Hess}(u) : L^2(T\mathbf{X})^2 \to L^0(\mathfrak{m})$  satisfying a suitable integration by parts formula against functions in  $\operatorname{Test}(\mathbf{X})$ . The function  $|\operatorname{Hess}(u)|_{HS} \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  instead denotes, formally, the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of this operator. Integrating by parts (4.9) and arguing by approximation it is possible to deduce the following Laplacian-regularity result, extending the metric setting inequality (4.5).

**Corollary 4.5** ([44, Corollary 3.3.9]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space,  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $u \in W^{1,2}(X)$  be such that  $\Delta u \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then  $u \in W^{2,2}(X)$  and

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\operatorname{Hess}(u)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\Delta u)^2 - K |Du|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall u \in \mathsf{D}(\Delta).$$
(4.10)

Recall that if  $\Omega \subset X$  is open and convex, then  $(\overline{\Omega}, \mathsf{d}_{|\Omega}, \mathfrak{m}_{|\Omega})$  is an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$ space (see [7, Theorem 7.2]). Hence (4.10) applied to  $(\overline{\Omega}, \mathsf{d}_{|\Omega}, \mathfrak{m}_{|\Omega})$  can be reread as a *boundary regularity* result for functions  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  with Neumann boundary conditions (recall Definition 3.10). It it thus natural to wonder whether there exist non-convex sets in RCD spaces that admits boundary second-order regularity estimates.

**Open question 4.6.** Find sufficient regularity properties (besides convexity) for an open subset  $\Omega \subsetneq X$  of an RCD(K, N) space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  so that the following holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{Hess}(u)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le C_{\Omega} \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\Delta u)^2 + |Du|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

(or for all  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  with Neumann boundary conditions), where  $C_{\Omega}$  is a constant depending only on  $\Omega$ .

4.2. Lipschitz regularity. As we mentioned in Section 3.3 in an arbitrary locally doubling space supporting Poincaré inequality the best regularity result we can hope for harmonic functions is Hölder continuity. Nevertheless on locally doubling  $\operatorname{RCD}(K,\infty)$  they are Lipschitz. This can be seen at a formal level from the Bochner inequality. Indeed if we assume that  $\Delta u = 0$  and that  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq K$  we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |Du|^2 \ge K |Du|^2.$$

Thus  $|Du|^2$  is a subsolution and so we can apply the Moser's iteration scheme to deduce that  $|Du|^2$  is locally bounded (recall Theorem 3.17).

In fact a more general result holds saying that local Lipschitzianity is obtained as soon as the Laplacian is sufficiently integrable.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a locally doubling  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space and let s > 1 satisfy (3.15). Suppose that

$$\Delta u = f, \quad in \ \Omega,$$

for some  $f \in L^q_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  with q > s. Then u is locally Lipschitz in  $\Omega$ .

The harmonic case, i.e. f = 0, was obtained in [67] in the *s*-Ahlfors regular case<sup>1</sup> and extended to all f in [61] under the same assumption. The Ahlfors regularity

 $^1\mathrm{A}$  m.m.s. is s-Ahlfors regular if there exists a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that

$$C^{-1}r^s \leq \mathfrak{m}(B_r(x)) \leq Cr^s$$

for all  $r \in (0, \operatorname{diam}(\mathbf{X}))$  and  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .

assumption was removed in [62] for  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})$  and then adapted to cover all f in [63].

The most notable example of locally doubling  $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$  spaces are RCD(K, N)spaces with  $N < \infty$ , which in particular satisfy (3.15) with s = N thanks to the Bishop-Gromov inequality [91]. We recall that an (equivalent) definition of RCD(K, N) space is the same as the one of  $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$  given in Definition 4.1 except that we replace (B) with the following stronger inequality:

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} |Du|^2 \Delta g \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \ge \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{(\Delta u)^2 g}{N} + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle g + K |Du|^2 g,$$

(see [7, 20, 36]).

The above Lipschitz regularity result is sharp in the following sense: it is not possible to derive  $C^1$ -estimates for the gradient of harmonic functions which depend only on the lower bound of the Ricci (and even sectional) curvature. This is made rigorous in the following result obtained in [32].

**Theorem 4.8.** It does not exist a modulus of continuity  $\omega$  such that for all ndimensional Riemannian manifolds (M,g) with  $\operatorname{Sec}_g \geq 0$  and all harmonic functions u in  $B_1(p) \subset M$  with  $||u||_{W^{1,2}(B_1(p))} = 1$  it holds

$$||Du|(x) - |Du|(y)| \le \omega(\mathsf{d}_g(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in B_{\frac{1}{4}}(p).$$

More recently it was shown in [80] that also the a version of the classical Weyl's lemma holds in RCD(K, N) spaces. In other words the local Lipschitzianity holds for an even weaker notion of harmonic functions.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, N)$  space with  $N < \infty$  and  $\Omega \subset X$  be open. Suppose that  $u \in L^1_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} u\Delta\varphi = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{Test}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Then  $u \in \mathsf{LIP}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$ .

We conclude with the following problem.

**Open question 4.10.** Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space (not necessarily locally doubling) and  $\Omega \subset X$  be open. Do harmonic functions in  $\Omega$  have a continuous representative?

4.3. Eigenfunctions. If  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is inf. Hilbertian the compactness of the embedding  $W^{1,2}(X) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  is equivalent to the Laplacian having discrete spectrum. This means that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \dots \le \lambda_k \to +\infty$$

counted with multiplicity and corresponding eigenfunction  $\varphi_k \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$ , i.e.  $\Delta \varphi_k = -\lambda_k \varphi_k$ , which form an orthogonal base of  $L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . It was shown in [50] that  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  spaces with K > 0 or bounded have discrete spectrum.

In RCD(K, N) spaces,  $N < \infty$ , eigenfunctions are locally Lipschitz as can be seen combining the local boundedness of solutions (recall Theorem 3.18) with Theorem 4.7. More precise estimates have been given [5] in the compact case. **Theorem 4.11** ([5, Prop. 7.1]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a compact  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, N)$  space with diam $(X) \leq D < \infty$ . Then

$$\|\varphi_k\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})} \leq C\lambda_k^{\frac{N}{4}}, \quad \||D\varphi_k|\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})} \leq C\lambda_k^{\frac{N+2}{4}}, \quad \forall \lambda_k \geq D^{-2},$$

where C is a constant depending only on K, N and D.

A version of the Weyl's asymptotic formula for eigenvalues is available in the non-collapsed case. We recall that an RCD(K, N) space  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  is called *non-collapsed* if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a multiple of the *N*-dimensional Hausdorff measure in X (see [47] and [24]).

**Theorem 4.12** ([6]). Let  $(X, d, \mathcal{H}^N)$  be a compact non-collapsed RCD(K, N) space. Then

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{k}{\lambda_k^{n/2}} = \frac{\omega_n \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbf{X})}{(2\pi)^n}.$$

The same result for Dirichlet eigenfunctions was shown in [99]. For general RCD spaces the above asymptotic does not hold. Even more in [31] examples are presented where  $\lambda_k$  is asymptotic to a non-integer power of k or even has a logarithmic behavior.

We conclude mentioning a result about the number of nodal domains. Recall that given an eigenfunction  $\varphi_k$  its nodal domains are the connected components of the set  $\{\varphi_k \neq 0\}$  which is well defined by continuity of  $\varphi_k$ . In the smooth the classical Courant nodal domain theorem [30] states that the k-th eigenfunctions has at most k-nodal domains. A weaker version of this fact was recently obtained in the non-smooth setting.

**Theorem 4.13** ([33]). Let  $(X, d, \mathcal{H}^N)$  be a compact non-collapsed RCD(K, N) space. Then for all k sufficiently big the eigenfunction  $\varphi_k$  as strictly less than k nodal domains.

In [33] actually is shown a stronger asymptotic estimate on the number of nodal domains known as Pleijel's nodal domain theorem [81]. See also [19] for estimates related to nodal domains and nodal sets of eigenfunctions.

4.4. Unique continuation property. Recall the classical unique continuation property for solutions of homogeneous second order elliptic equations in the Euclidean space

$$Lu = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- Weak Unique Continuation property: if u vanishes on a ball, then u is identically zero.
- Strong Unique Continuation property: if u vanishes at infinite order at some point, then u is identically zero.

A standard result in the Euclidean setting is that the strong unique continuation hold for linear operators in divergence form  $Lu = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u)$  with Lipschitz coefficients (see [10, 39]) and can be shown by using the monotonicity property of the frequency function introduced in [1,2]. The regularity on the coefficient is also sharp [76,82].

A long standing open question is the following.

**Open question 4.14.** Does the weak unique continuation property hold for harmonic functions (or eigenfunctions) in RCD(K, N) spaces?

In [34] it was shown that at least the strong unique continuation fails.

**Theorem 4.15.** For each  $N \ge 4$  there exists an RCD(K, N) space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  and some harmonic function on some connected set  $\Omega \subset X$  that vanishes at infinite order at some  $x_0 \in X$ , but which is not identically zero.

The construction for X used in [34] is, roughly speaking, a weighted 4-dimensional horn with tip  $x_0$ . In particular the space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  obtained is a *collapsed* RCD(K, N)*space*. It is then reasonable to consider the above question in the subclass of noncollapsed RCD spaces. This is further motivated by the fact that under this assumption the tangent space is always a metric cone (see [47] and [25]). Indeed in Riemannian manifolds the unique continuation is usually obtained using the almost monotonicity of the frequency function which ultimately is linked to how much the space looks conical (see e.g. [29]). From a functional point of view the almost monotonicity of the frequency relies on  $L^{\infty}$ -estimates of the Hessian of the distance function from the identity (see e.g. [74]). However for this usually upper and lower sectional curvature bounds are needed. In fact always in [34, Theorem 2.4] it was shown that the weak unique continuation holds for metric spaces with sectional curvature bounded from above and below. On the other hand in RCD setting only  $L^2$ -estimates for the Hessian are available at the moment.

## 5. Second order regularity for the p-Laplacian

In this section we will present some recent results obtained in collaboration with Luca Benatti [13] about the regularity of the *p*-Poisson equation

$$\Delta_p u = f.$$

In Section 4 we observed that  $W^{2,2}$ -estimates for the Laplacian are obtained directly from the Bochner inequality and integration by parts. For the *p*-Laplacian we can perform a similar formal argument using instead the *p*-Bochner identity: given  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$ , whenever  $|\nabla u| \neq 0$  it holds

$$\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} A(\nabla |\nabla u|^p)\right) = \left|\nabla\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right|^2 + g(\nabla \Delta_p u, \nabla u) |\nabla u|^{p-2} + \operatorname{Ric}_g(\nabla u, \nabla u) |\nabla u|^{2(p-2)},$$
(5.1)

where  $A := \mathsf{id} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u \otimes \nabla u}{|Du|^2}$  (see [95, Prop. 3.1]). For p = 2 we get back the usual Bochner-Weitzenböck identity in (4.4). Assuming  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq Kg$ , integrating (5.1) and then integrating by parts term containing  $\Delta_p u$  we obtain

$$\int |\nabla \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)|^2 \, \mathrm{dVol}_g \le \int (\Delta_p u)^2 - K |Du|^{2(p-1)} \mathrm{dVol}_g.$$
(5.2)

In other words we obtain the following regularity estimate:

$$\Delta_p u \in L^2(M) \implies |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \in W^{1,2}_C(TM).$$
(5.3)

Note that  $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u$  is precisely the non-linear vector field appearing in the definition of the *p*-Laplacian:  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ . In particular (5.2) is the natural generalization to the case  $p \neq 2$  of the corresponding result for the Laplacian (4.5). Nevertheless results of the type in (5.3) in the smooth setting have appeared only relatively recently. In [27] it was showed that for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  it holds  $\Delta_p u \in L^2_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  with  $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \in W^{1,2}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$ . For extensions to *p*-Laplacian type operators see [8,9,57,75]. Previously in [72] it was proved that  $|\nabla u|^{p-1} \in W^{1,2}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  assuming that  $f \in L^q_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega)$  with  $q > \max(2, n/p)$ , which was generalized to the smooth Riemannian manifolds in [12]. For  $p \in (1, 3 + \frac{2}{n-2})$  it is known that *p*-harmonic functions are  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  [35,37,93,94]. See also the recent [70,88] for related results about *p*-harmonic functions in the Euclidean space.

In [13] we obtained the following result in RCD spaces.

**Theorem 5.1** (Second order regularity for the *p*-Laplacian). Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(0, \infty)$  space. Fix  $p \in (1,3)$  and suppose that  $u \in \mathsf{D}(\Delta_p)$  with  $\Delta_p u \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then  $|Du|^{p-2}\nabla u \in H^{1,2}_C(T\mathbf{X})$  and in particular  $|Du|^{p-1} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$ . Moreover

$$\int |\nabla (|Du|^{p-2} \nabla u)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le C_p \bigg( \|\Delta_p u\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})}^2 + \||Du|^{p-1}\|_{L^1(\mathfrak{m})} \bigg), \qquad (5.4)$$

where  $C_p > 0$  is a constant depending only on p.

The space  $H_C^{1,2}(TX)$  denotes the space of vector fields in  $L^2(TX)$  with  $L^2$ -Sobolev covariant derivative. We will not give the details of the definition and refer to [52]. Roughly speaking  $v \in H_C^{1,2}(TX)$  if there exists a bilinear map  $\nabla v : L^2(TX)^2 \to L^0(\mathfrak{m})$  which satisfies a suitable integration by parts formula against test functions. The function  $|\nabla v| \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  then denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of this operator.

**Remark 5.2.** We can not expect to obtain that  $u \in W^{2,2}(X)$ , as this is would be false even in the Euclidean setting, see e.g. [27, Remark 2.7].

In finite dimension Lipschitz regularity can also be obtained.

**Theorem 5.3** (Lipschitz regularity for the *p*-Laplacian, [13]). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded RCD(0, N) space  $N < \infty$  and fix  $p \in (1, 3)$ . Suppose that

$$\Delta_p u = f, \quad in \mathbf{X}_p$$

for some  $f \in L^q(X)$  for q > N. Then  $u \in LIP(X)$ .

Compare the above result with the corresponding one for the Laplacian in Theorem 4.7. Note in particular that Theorem 5.3 applies only to globally defined functions. This is not a choice of presentation but rather a current limitation of the analysis of [13]. On the other hand some local results are also obtained.

**Theorem 5.4** (Lipschitz regularity for the *p*-electrostatic potential, [13]). Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, let  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and  $K \subset \Omega$  be compact. Suppose that  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = 0, & in \ \Omega \setminus K, \\ u = 0, & in \ \partial\Omega, \\ u = 1, & in \ \partial K. \end{cases}$$
(5.5)

Then u is locally Lipschitz in  $\Omega \setminus K$ .

Recall from Proposition 3.8 that solutions to (5.5) exist under mild assumptions on  $\Omega$  and K. In fact in [13] it is proved that local Lipschitz regularity holds for *p*-harmonic functions with relatively compact level sets (which is the case for the electrostatic potential).

**Remark 5.5** (Results for K < 0). In [13] was shown that Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 in fact hold also in the case K < 0, provided we suitably restrict the range of p. Here we consider only the case K = 0, since it is simpler to state and the argument is essentially the same. In the case  $N < \infty$  the range of p can further be improved. See [13, Definition 1.1] for the precise range of p.

## 6. Proof of second order regularity estimates for the p-Laplacian

This last section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.1. We start with an outline of the main steps and ideas.

The core idea to approximate solutions of  $\Delta_p u = f \in L^2$  with solutions of the regularized equation:

$$\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \coloneqq \operatorname{div}((|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) = f$$
(6.1)

by sending  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . This is a well established method to deal with the *p*-Laplacian in the smooth setting. We will call the  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon}$  operator  $(\varepsilon, p)$ -Laplacian or regularized *p*-Laplacian. The idea is that  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon}$  is a uniformly elliptic operator and thus we can hope that the solutions  $u_{\varepsilon}$  are as smooth as we wish.

The argument then follows the following scheme:

**Step 1** Show that  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , **Step 2** Show that  $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{2,2}$ ,

**Step 3** Obtain second order estimates for  $u_{\varepsilon}$  which are uniform in  $\varepsilon$ ,

**Step 4** Conclude the second order regularity of *u*.

The most difficult step, by far, is **Step 2**. This is the opposite of the smooth setting where  $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{2,2}$  is an automatic consequence of standard elliptic regularity theory. However in metric setting the general elliptic regularity theory is currently limited to Hölder estimates (see Section 3.3) and thus we will need to proceed in a different way.

For brevity from now we will denote

$$\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \coloneqq \left\{ f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}) : \Delta f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m}), \ \int_{\mathbf{X}} u \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0 \right\}.$$

From Theorem 4.5 we have  $D(\Delta) \subset W^{2,2}(X)$ . We recall also the following straightforward result (see [13, Prop. 5.2] for a proof).

**Proposition 6.1.** Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space. Then  $\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$ endowed with the norm  $\|\Delta(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})}$  is a Hilbert space. Moreover, the inclusion  $(\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta), \|\Delta(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})}) \hookrightarrow (\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta), \|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}(X)})$  is compact.

6.1. Step 1: regularization of the problem. We start defining the regularized operator  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon}$ .

**Definition 6.2** (( $\varepsilon$ , p)-Laplacian). Fix  $p \in (1,3)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space. A function  $u \in W^{1,p-1}(X)$  satisfies  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon}u = f$  for some  $f \in L^1(\mathfrak{m})$  provided

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \langle (|Du|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = -\int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi f \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathsf{LIP}_{bs}(\mathcal{X}), \tag{6.2}$$

in which case f is unique and denoted by  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u$ .

We define  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon}$  for functions in  $W^{1,p-1}(X)$  because we want to consider also functions in  $W^{1,2}(X)$  (note that  $W^{1,2} \subset W^{1,p-1}(X)$  for  $p \in (1,3)$  because of property i) in Section 2).

The key result is that solutions of  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u = f$  converge to solutions of  $\Delta_p u = f$ .

**Proposition 6.3** ( $\varepsilon$ -approximation of the *p*-Laplacian). Fix  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and let  $p' \coloneqq \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space and  $f \in L^{p'}(\mathfrak{m})$  with zero mean. Then:

i) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a unique solution  $u \in W^{1,p}(X)$  to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u = f, & \text{in X,} \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.3)

ii)  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$  in  $W^{1,p}(X)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , where u is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = f, & \text{in X,} \\ \int_X u d\mathfrak{m} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.4)

The existence and uniqueness of solutions to both (6.3) and (6.4) is consequence of the direct method of calculus of variations, as discussed in Section 3.2 (see in particular Proposition 3.11 and the discussion immediately before that). For the convergence part we refer to [13, Proposition 3.3].

6.2. Step 2: Uniform a-priori estimates for regularized solutions. The goal of this section is to obtain second-order regularity estimates for solutions of

$$\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} = f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m}), \tag{6.5}$$

uniformly in  $\varepsilon$  and assuming that  $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{2,2}(\mathbf{X})$ . Observe that we can not expect to obtain uniform  $W^{2,2}$ -estimates (recall Remark 5.2). Instead we will obtain uniform Sobolev estimates on the vector field  $(|Du_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space and fix  $u \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in (1, 3)$  we have that  $v_{\varepsilon} := (|Du|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u \in H^{1,2}_C(X)$  and

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + |v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \leq C_{p,K} \int_{\mathbf{X}} (\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u)^{2} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + C_{p,K} ||Du|^{p-1} + \varepsilon |Du|||_{L^{1}(\mathfrak{m})}.$$
(6.6)  
In particular  $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$ 

In particular  $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$ .

We preliminary observe that formally

$$(|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u = \Delta u + (p-2) \frac{\operatorname{Hess}(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon} = f, \quad (6.7)$$

(assuming that u is sufficiently regular). This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 6.5** (Developed  $(\varepsilon, p)$ -Laplacian). Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space,  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We defined the map  $D_{\varepsilon, p} : \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \to L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  as

$$D_{\varepsilon,p}u \coloneqq \Delta u + (p-2)\frac{\operatorname{Hess}(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon} = \Delta u + (p-2)\frac{\Delta_{\infty}u}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \in L^2(\mathfrak{m}),$$

having set  $\Delta_{\infty} u = |Du| \langle \nabla |Du|, \nabla u \rangle$ .

The following result gives a rigorous version of (6.7). The proof is a simple verification (see [13, Lemma 4.2] for the details).

**Lemma 6.6.** Fix  $p \in (1,3)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space and let  $u \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$ . Then

$$\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u = (|Du|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} D_{\varepsilon,p} u, \quad in X.$$
(6.8)

Note that  $(|Du|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ , as  $p \in (1,3)$ , hence the right hand side of (6.8) is in  $L^1(\mathfrak{m})$  and thus (6.8) makes sense.

Proof of Theorem 6.4. We prove only the case  $u \in \text{Test}(X)$ . The case  $u \in D_0(\Delta)$  can be obtain similarly by approximation (see [13, Lemma 4.8]). Fix an arbitrary constant M > 0 (in the case p < 2 we take  $M = +\infty$ ). We will prove that

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon,M}|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le C_p \int_{\mathbf{X}} (\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u)^2 + K |v_{\varepsilon,M}|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \tag{6.9}$$

where  $v_{\varepsilon,M} := (|Du| \wedge M)^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u \in H^{1,2}_C(X)$ . We first show that (6.9) is enough to conclude. From (6.9) and by interpolation (see e.g. [13, Prop. 2.22]) we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon,M}|^2 + |v_{\varepsilon,M}|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le C_{p,K} \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u)^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + C_{p,K} \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} |v_{\varepsilon,M}| \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \right)^2 \\ \le C_{p,K} \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u)^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + C_{p,K} ||Du|^{p-1} + \varepsilon |Du|||_{L^1(\mathfrak{m})}.$$

Hence  $v_{\varepsilon,M}$  is bounded in  $L^2(TX)$  and clearly  $|v_{\varepsilon,M} - v_{\varepsilon}| \to 0$  pointwise m-a.e.. Therefore by lower semicontinuity (see e.g. ) we deduce that (6.6) holds. From (6.6) for  $p \geq 2$  we deduce that  $|Du_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ , which implies that  $|Du_{\varepsilon}| \in L^p(\mathfrak{m})$ . If  $p \leq 2$  instead  $|Du_{\varepsilon}| \in L^p(\mathfrak{m})$  trivially. From the Poincaré inequality

(e.g. recall (N)) we deduce that also  $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}(\mathfrak{m})$  and so  $u \in W^{1,p}(X)$  (recall property i) in Section 2).

We pass to the proof of (6.9). We preliminary observe that, by definition,

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,\varepsilon}(u) \coloneqq \Delta u + (p-2)\frac{\operatorname{Hess}(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} = \Delta u + (p-2)\frac{|Du|\langle \nabla |Du|, \nabla u\rangle}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon}$$

Hence, setting  $\Delta_{\infty} u = |Du| \langle \nabla |Du|, \nabla u \rangle$ , we have

$$\Delta u = \mathcal{L}_{p,\varepsilon}(u) - (p-2)\frac{\Delta_{\infty}u}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon}$$
(6.10)

23

We start the computation by plugging in the improved Bochner inequality (4.9) the test function  $\varphi \coloneqq (|Du| \wedge M)^2 + \varepsilon)^{p-2}$ 

$$-2(p-2)\int |\nabla|Du||^2 \frac{|Du|^2\varphi}{|Du|^2+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \ge \int |\mathrm{Hess}(u)|^2\varphi + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \varphi + K|Du|^2\varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}.$$
(6.11)

Note that all integrals make sense because  $|Du| \in L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})$ . We integrate by parts the term containing the Laplacian:

$$\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = -\int (\Delta u)^2 \varphi + 2(p-2)\chi_{\{|Du| \le M\}} \frac{\Delta u \langle \nabla u, \nabla |Du| \rangle |Du| \varphi}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}$$
$$= -\int (\Delta u)^2 \varphi + 2(p-2)\chi_{\{|Du| \le M\}} \frac{\Delta u \Delta_{\infty} u}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}.$$

We now get rid of the Laplacian terms by plugging in identity (6.10)

$$\begin{split} \int \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} &= -\int \left( \mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u) - (p-2) \frac{\Delta_{\infty}(u)}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \right)^2 \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &+ 2(p-2) \int_{\{|Du| \le M\}} \left( \mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u) - (p-2) \frac{\Delta_{\infty}(u)}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \right) \frac{\Delta_{\infty} u}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}. \end{split}$$

Using twice the Young's inequality we can estimate for all  $\delta > 0$ :

$$\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \leq \int (1+\delta^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u)^2 \varphi + (1+\delta) \frac{(p-2)^2 (\Delta_{\infty} u)^2}{(|Du|^2+\varepsilon)^2} \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ + \int_{\{|Du| \leq M\}} (2(p-2))^2 \delta^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u)^2 \varphi + \delta \frac{(\Delta_{\infty} u)^2}{(|Du|^2+\varepsilon)^2} \varphi - \frac{2(p-2)^2 (\Delta_{\infty} u)^2}{(|Du|^2+\varepsilon)^2} \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}$$

The above combined with the pointwise inequality  $\frac{(\Delta_{\infty} u)}{|Du|^2} \le |\text{Hess}(u)|$  gives

$$\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \leq C_{p,\delta} \int \mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u)^2 \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \delta C_p \int |\mathrm{Hess}(u)|^2 \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + (p-2)^2 \int \frac{(1-2\chi_{\{|Du|\leq M\}})(\Delta_{\infty}u)^2}{(|Du|^2+\varepsilon)^2} \varphi \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}.$$
(6.12)

Finally plugging in (6.12) in (6.11) and using Lemma 6.6 we obtain

$$(1 - \delta C_p) \int |\operatorname{Hess}(u)|^2 \varphi d\mathfrak{m} \leq C_{p,\delta} \int (\Delta_{p,\varepsilon}(u))^2 + K |Du|^2 \varphi d\mathfrak{m} + \int \underbrace{\left[ (p-2)^2 \int \frac{(1 - 2\chi_{\{|Du| \leq M\}})(\Delta_{\infty}u)^2}{(|Du|^2 + \varepsilon)^2} + 2(2-p) \frac{|\nabla|Du||^2 |Du|^2}{|Du|^2 + \varepsilon} \right]}_{R:=} \varphi d\mathfrak{m}.$$

$$(6.13)$$

Suppose first that  $p \ge 2$ . Then the second term of the reminder term R is nonpositive and can be ignored. On the other hand if also p < 3, then  $|p - 2|^2 < 1$ and we can absorb the first term of R into the left hand side, provided  $\delta$  is chosen small enough, obtaining directly (6.9). In the case p < 2 it still can be proved (see [13, Prop. 4.3]) that there exists a constant  $\lambda_p \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$R \leq \lambda_p |\text{Hess}(u)|^2$$
 m-a.e.

which allows to absorb the reminder term R into the left-hand side obtaining again (6.9).

6.3. Step 3: regularized solutions are in  $W^{2,2}$ . In this crucial step we show that solutions to

$$\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} = f \tag{6.14}$$

with  $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  are in  $W^{2,2}$ . To do so we will show existence of a solution in  $W^{2,2} \cap W^{1,p}$  and then exploit the uniqueness result of Proposition 6.3. We first explain our method. Taking inspiration from identity (6.7) we construct a solution in two steps:

A) For all  $w \in W^{1,2}(X)$  we obtain  $U_w \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$  solving

$$\Delta U_w + (p-2)\frac{\operatorname{Hess}(U_w)(\nabla w, \nabla w)}{|\nabla w|^2 + \varepsilon} = \frac{f}{(|\nabla w|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}},$$
(6.15)

by fixed point argument.

B) We show that there exists a fixed point  $u_{\varepsilon}$  of the map  $w \mapsto U_w$ , which thus will solve (6.14).

We start with step A).

**Definition 6.7** (Auxiliary linear operator). Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $\mathrm{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space,  $w \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The map  $\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon} : \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \to L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U) \coloneqq \Delta U + (p-2) \frac{\operatorname{Hess}(U)(\nabla w, \nabla w)}{|\nabla w|^2 + \varepsilon} \in L^2(\mathfrak{m}),$$

which makes sense because  $\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \subset W^{2,2}(\mathbf{X})$ .

Note that the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}$  is linear and it is obtained by freezing the nonlinear part of the expression in (6.7). Observe also that

$$\mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u) = D_{\varepsilon,p}u,\tag{6.16}$$

where  $D_{\varepsilon,p}$  was defined in the previous section. We can not expect to solve precisely (6.15), because the  $\Delta U_w$  must have zero mean in X while the other terms together might not. Instead we will solve the slightly modified equation (6.17) below.

**Proposition 6.8** (Existence result for  $\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}$ ). Let  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(0, \infty)$ space and fix  $p \in (1,3)$ . Then for all  $f \in L^2 \cap L^{p'}(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $w \in W^{1,2}(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists (unique)  $U \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$  that solves

$$\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U) = g - \int_{\mathcal{X}} (g - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U)) \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, \qquad (6.17)$$

where  $g \coloneqq \frac{f}{(|Dw|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}$ . Moreover U satisfies

$$\|\Delta U\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} \leq \frac{\|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})}}{1 - |p - 2|}.$$
(6.18)

*Proof.* Define the map

$$T_{f,w}: \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \to \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$$

given by  $T_{f,w}(w) \coloneqq U$ , where U is the solution to the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta U = \Delta w + g - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(w) - f_{\mathbf{X}}(g - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(w) \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \in L^{2}(\mathfrak{m}), \\ \int U \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(6.19)

 $T_{f,w}$  is well defined. Indeed for every  $h \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$  with zero mean there exists a unique function  $U \in \mathsf{D}(\Delta)$  such that  $\Delta U = h$  and  $\int U \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0$  (see Proposition 3.11).

For every  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$ , using that  $\int (h - \int h)^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \leq \int h^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}$  for all  $h \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ , we have

This shows that  $T_{f,w}$  is a contraction with respect to the norm  $\|\Delta(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})}$ , provided |p-2| < 1. Hence there exists a fixed point U.

Since U solves (6.17) we have

$$\Delta U = \Delta U - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U) + \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U) = \Delta U - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U) + g - \oint (g - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(w)) \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m},$$

hence arguing as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta U\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} &\leq \|\Delta U - \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}(U) + g\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} \leq |p - 2| \||\operatorname{Hess}(U)|\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} + \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} \\ \text{by } (4.10) &\leq |p - 2| \|\Delta U\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} + \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathfrak{m})} , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (6.18).

We pass to step B). The goal is to apply another fixed point argument to pass from the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}$  to the true  $(\varepsilon, p)$ -Laplacian.

**Theorem 6.9** (Existence of regular solutions for the regularized equation). Let  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be a bounded  $\operatorname{RCD}(0, \infty)$  space and let  $p \in (1, 3)$ . Then, for every  $f \in L^2 \cap L^{p'}(\mathfrak{m})$  with zero mean there exists a unique function  $u \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \cap W^{1,p}(X) \subset W^{2,2}(X)$  such that

$$\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u = f. \tag{6.20}$$

*Proof.* We will restrict to the case  $p \geq 2$ . We will comment on the case p < 2 at the end of the proof. By the scaling property of the statement we can assume that  $\mathfrak{m}(X) = 1$ . Fix  $p \in [2,3)$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We define the map  $S_f : W_0^{1,2}(X) \to \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \subset W_0^{1,2}(X)$  given by  $S_f(w) \coloneqq u$ , where  $u \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$  is the (unique) solution to

$$\mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon} u = h(\varepsilon, w) - \int (h(\varepsilon, w) - \mathcal{L}_{\nabla w,\varepsilon} u) \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}, 
\int u \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = 0,$$
(6.21)

where  $h(\varepsilon, w) \coloneqq \frac{f}{(|\nabla w|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . The map  $S_f$  is well defined, since (6.21) admits a unique solution u by Proposition 6.8. We also stress that  $S_f$  is non-linear.

We aim to apply the Schauder fixed point theorem (see e.g. [55, Corollary 11.2]). We need to show that  $S_f$  is continuous with relatively compact image.

PRECOMPACT IMAGE. The set  $S_f(W_0^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}))$  is relatively compact in  $W_0^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$ . Indeed, from (6.18) we have

$$\|\Delta S_f(w)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \le C_p \|h(\varepsilon, w)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \le C_p \varepsilon^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})}$$
(6.22)

for every  $w \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$ . Moreover, the inclusion  $\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\mathbf{X})$  is compact by Proposition 6.1.

CONTINUITY. The map  $S_f$  is continuous in  $W^{1,2}(X)$ . It is enough to prove that if  $w_n \to w$  in  $W^{1,2}(X)$  then  $\|\Delta(S_f(w_n) - S_f(w))\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \to 0$ . Indeed the inclusion  $(\mathsf{D}_0(\Delta), \|\Delta(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})})$  in  $W^{1,2}(X)$  is compact and thus continuous. It is sufficient to show that this holds for every subsequence, up to a further subsequence. Fix then a non-relabeled subsequence. Up to extracting a further non-relabeled subsequence, we can then assume that  $|\nabla w_n - \nabla w| \to 0$  and  $|\nabla w_n| \to |\nabla w|$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$ -a.e.. For ease of notation we write  $h_n \coloneqq h(\varepsilon, w_n), h \coloneqq h(\varepsilon, w), \mathcal{L}_n \coloneqq \mathcal{L}_{w_n,\varepsilon},$  $\mathcal{L} \coloneqq \mathcal{L}_{w,\varepsilon}, u_n \coloneqq S_f(w_n)$  and  $u \coloneqq S_f(w)$ . Therefore using the equation (6.21) and the inequality above we can compute

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta(u_n - u)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} &= \|\Delta(u_n - u) + (h_n - \mathcal{L}_n(u_n)) + (\mathcal{L}(u) - h) - \int_{\mathbf{X}} (h_n - \mathcal{L}_n(u_n)) + (\mathcal{L}(u) - h)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \\ &\leq \|\Delta(u_n - u) - \mathcal{L}_n(u_n) + h_n + \mathcal{L}(u) - h\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \\ &\leq \|\Delta(u_n - u) - \mathcal{L}_n(u_n) + \mathcal{L}(u)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} + \|h_n - h\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \\ &\leq \|\Delta(u_n - u) - \mathcal{L}_n(u_n - u)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} + \|(\mathcal{L}(u) - \mathcal{L}_n(u))\| + \|(h_n - h)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \\ &\leq \|p - 2\|\|\Delta(u_n - u)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} + C_N\|\mathcal{L}(u) - \mathcal{L}_n(u)\| + \|h_n - h\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})}, \end{split}$$

Since |p-2| < 1, we can absorb the first term of the right-hand side into the left-hand side. Hence, it is sufficient to show that all the other terms on the right-hand side go to zero as  $n \to +\infty$ . From the definition of the operators  $\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}$  it is easily checked that

$$|\mathcal{L}_n(u) - \mathcal{L}(u)| \to 0$$
, m-a.e.,  $|\mathcal{L}_n(u) - \mathcal{L}(u)| \le 2|p-2||\text{Hess}(u)|$ .

Hence from the dominated convergence theorem we deduce that  $\|\mathcal{L}_n(u) - \mathcal{L}(u)\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \to 0$ . The argument to show that  $\|h_n - h\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m})} \to 0$  is similar.

Hence we deduce that  $S_f$  has a fixed point  $u \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Delta)$  which then satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{u,\varepsilon}(u) = h(\varepsilon, u) - \underbrace{\int (h(\varepsilon, u) - D_{\varepsilon, p}(u)) \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}}_{\lambda_u \coloneqq}.$$
(6.23)

27

From Lemma 6.6 and (6.23) (recalling also (6.16)) we deduce that

$$\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u = f - \left( |Du|^2 + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \lambda_u, \quad \text{in X.}$$

Since both  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon} u$  and f has zero mean we deduce that  $\lambda_u = 0$  and thus (6.20). Finally the fact that  $u \in W^{1,p}(X)$  follows from Theorem 6.4 while the uniqueness from Proposition 6.3.

In the case p < 2 the core of the argument is the same only that the function  $h(\varepsilon, w) = (|\nabla w|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}$  is not necessarily in  $L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ . Hence we need first to perform a cut-off and consider the function  $h(\varepsilon, w) := ((|\nabla w| \wedge M)^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}$  and then send  $M \to +\infty$  (see [13, Theorem 5.6] for the details).

6.4. Step 4: going back to the *p*-Laplacian and conclusion. We can finally pass to the limit sending  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  combining the results of the previous sections and obtaining the regularity result for the *p*-Laplacian.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We will prove the result for  $f \in L^2 \cap L^{p'}(\mathfrak{m})$ . From this the general case can be obtained with an additional approximation procedure (see the proof of [13, Theorem 6.1]). Fix a sequence  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ . Note that f must have zero mean. By Theorem 6.9 for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a unique function  $u_n \in D_0(\Delta) \cap W^{1,p}(X)$  such that  $\Delta_{p,\varepsilon_n} u_n = f$ . Moreover, by Proposition 6.3 it holds  $u_n \to u$  in  $W^{1,p}(X)$ . In particular, setting  $v_n \coloneqq (|Du_n|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_n$  and  $v \coloneqq |Du|^{p-2} \nabla u$  we have  $|v_n - v| \to 0$  m-a.e.. Moreover from Theorem 6.4 we have that  $v_n \in H_{c_n}^{1,2}(TX)$  and

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\nabla v_n|^2 + |v_n|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \le C_{p,K} \int f^2 \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + C_{p,K} |||Du_n|^{p-1} + \varepsilon_n |Du_n|||_{L^1(\mathfrak{m})}.$$
 (6.24)

Since  $u_n$  is converging in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{X})$  we deduce that  $v_n$  is bounded in  $H_C^{1,2}(\mathfrak{m})$ . In particular  $v_n \to v$  in  $L^2(T\mathbf{X})$  and by the lower semicontinuity of the energy we obtain  $v \in H_C^{1,2}(T\mathbf{X})$  together with (5.4).

#### References

- S. AGMON, Unicité et convexité dans les problèmes différentiels, vol. No. 13 (Été, 1965) of Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures [Seminar on Higher Mathematics], Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1966.
- [2] F. J. ALMGREN, JR., Dirichlet's problem for multiple valued functions and the regularity of mass minimizing integral currents, in Minimal submanifolds and geodesics (Proc. Japan-United States Sem., Tokyo, 1977), North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1979, pp. 1–6.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, Calculus, heat flow and curvature-dimension bounds in metric measure spaces, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. I. Plenary lectures, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018, pp. 301–340.
- [4] L. AMBROSIO, N. GIGLI, AND G. SAVARÉ, Bakry-Émery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds, The Annals of Probability, 43 (2015), pp. 339– 404.
- [5] L. AMBROSIO, S. HONDA, J. W. PORTEGIES, AND D. TEWODROSE, Embedding of RCD\*(K, N) spaces in L<sup>2</sup> via eigenfunctions, J. Funct. Anal., 280 (2021), pp. Paper No. 108968, 72.
- [6] L. AMBROSIO, S. HONDA, AND D. TEWODROSE, Short-time behavior of the heat kernel and Weyl's law on RCD\*(K, N) spaces, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 53 (2018), pp. 97–119.
- [7] L. AMBROSIO, A. MONDINO, AND G. SAVARÉ, On the Bakry-Émery condition, the gradient estimates and the local-to-global property of RCD\*(K, N) metric measure spaces, J. Geom. Anal., 26 (2016), pp. 24–56.
- [8] C. A. ANTONINI, A. CIANCHI, G. CIRAOLO, A. FARINA, AND V. MAZ'YA, Global secondorder estimates in anisotropic elliptic problems, arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03052, (2023).
- C. A. ANTONINI, G. CIRAOLO, AND F. PAGLIARIN, Global second order regularity for degenerate p-Laplace type equations with log-concave weights, arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.02106, (2025).
- [10] N. ARONSZAJN, A. KRZYWICKI, AND J. SZARSKI, A unique continuation theorem for exterior differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, Arkiv för Matematik, 4 (1962), pp. 417– 453.
- [11] D. BAKRY, Transformations de Riesz pour les semi-groupes symétriques. II. Étude sous la condition Γ<sub>2</sub> ≥ 0, in Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, vol. 1123 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 145–174.
- [12] L. BENATTI ET AL., Monotonicity formulas in nonlinear potential theory and their geometric applications, Ph.D. thesis, (2022).
- [13] L. BENATTI AND I. Y. VIOLO, Second-order estimates for the p-Laplacian in RCD spaces, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09982, (2024).
- [14] A. BJÖRN AND J. BJÖRN, Nonlinear potential theory on metric spaces, vol. 17 of EMS Tracts in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011.
- [15] A. BJÖRN AND N. MAROLA, Moser iteration for (quasi)minimizers on metric spaces, Manuscripta Math., 121 (2006), pp. 339–366.
- [16] J. BJÖRN, Fine continuity on metric spaces, Manuscripta Math., 125 (2008), pp. 369–381.
- [17] , Sharp exponents and a Wiener type condition for boundary regularity of quasiminimizers, Adv. Math., 301 (2016), pp. 804–819.
- [18] J. BJÖRN AND N. SHANMUGALINGAM, Poincaré inequalities, uniform domains and extension properties for Newton-Sobolev functions in metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 332 (2007), pp. 190–208.
- [19] F. CAVALLETTI AND S. FARINELLI, Indeterminacy estimates and the size of nodal sets in singular spaces, Adv. Math., 389 (2021), pp. Paper No. 107919, 38.
- [20] F. CAVALLETTI AND E. MILMAN, The globalization theorem for the curvature-dimension condition, Invent. Math., 226 (2021), pp. 1–137.
- [21] F. CAVALLETTI AND A. MONDINO, Sharp and rigid isoperimetric inequalities in metricmeasure spaces with lower ricci curvature bounds, Invent. Math., 208 (2017), pp. 803–849.

- [22] , Sharp geometric and functional inequalities in metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds, Geom. Topol., 21 (2017), pp. 603–645.
- [23] J. CHEEGER, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal., 9 (1999), pp. 428–517.
- [24] J. CHEEGER AND T. H. COLDING, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I, J. Differential Geom., 46 (1997), pp. 406–480.
- [25] , On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II, J. Differential Geom., 54 (2000), pp. 13–35.
- [26] S. Y. CHENG AND S. T. YAU, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 28 (1975), pp. 333–354.
- [27] A. CIANCHI AND V. G. MAZ'YA, Second-order two-sided estimates in nonlinear elliptic problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 229 (2018), pp. 569–599.
- [28] T. H. COLDING, New monotonicity formulas for Ricci curvature and applications. I, Acta Math., 209 (2012), pp. 229–263.
- [29] T. H. COLDING AND W. P. MINICOZZI II, Large scale behavior of kernels of schrödinger operators, American Journal of Mathematics, 119 (1997), pp. 1355–1398.
- [30] R. COURANT, Ein allgemeiner satzt zur theorie der eigenfunktionen selbsadjungierter differentialausdrücke, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse, 1923 (1923), pp. 81–84.
- [31] X. DAI, S. HONDA, J. PAN, AND G. WEI, Singular Weyl's law with Ricci curvature bounded below, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 10 (2023), pp. 1212–1253.
- [32] G. DE PHILIPPIS AND J. NÚÑEZ-ZIMBRÓN, The behavior of harmonic functions at singular points of rcd spaces, manuscripta mathematica, 171 (2023), pp. 155–168.
- [33] N. DE PONTI, S. FARINELLI, AND I. Y. VIOLO, Pleijel nodal domain theorem in nonsmooth setting, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Series B, 11 (2024), pp. 1138–1182.
- [34] Q. DENG AND X. ZHAO, Failure of strong unique continuation for harmonic functions on RCD Spaces, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), 2023 (2023), pp. 221–241.
- [35] E. DIBENEDETTO,  $C^{1+\alpha}$  local Regularity of Weak Solutions of Degenerate Elliptic Equations., Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 7 (1983), pp. 827–850.
- [36] M. ERBAR, K. KUWADA, AND K.-T. STURM, On the equivalence of the entropic curvaturedimension condition and Bochner's inequality on metric measure spaces, Invent. Math., 201 (2015), pp. 993–1071.
- [37] L. C. EVANS, A new proof of local  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity for solutions of certain degenerate elliptic p.d.e, J. Differential Equations, 45 (1982), pp. 356–373.
- [38] \_\_\_\_\_, Partial differential equations, vol. 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [39] N. GAROFALO AND F.-H. LIN, Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, A<sub>p</sub> weights and unique continuation, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 35 (1986), pp. 245–268.
- [40] B. GIDAS AND J. SPRUCK, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 34 (1981), pp. 525– 598.
- [41] N. GIGLI, On the weak closure of convex sets of probability measures, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7), 29 (2009), pp. 133–141.
- [42] , An overview of the proof of the splitting theorem in spaces with non-negative Ricci curvature, Analysis and Geometry in Metric Spaces, 2 (2014), pp. 169–213.
- [43] \_\_\_\_\_, On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 236 (2015), pp. vi+91.
- [44] —, Nonsmooth differential geometry—an approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 251 (2018), pp. v+161.
- [45] —, De Giorgi and Gromov working together, arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14604, (2023).

- [46] , On the regularity of harmonic maps from RCD(K, N) to CAT(0) spaces and related results, Ars Inven. Anal., (2023), pp. Paper No. 5, 55.
- [47] N. GIGLI AND G. DE PHILIPPIS, Non-collapsed spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below, J. Éc. polytech. Math., 5 (2018), pp. 613–650.
- [48] N. GIGLI AND B.-X. HAN, Independence on p of weak upper gradients on RCD spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 271 (2016), pp. 1–11.
- [49] N. GIGLI AND F. MARCONI, A general splitting principle on rcd spaces and applications to spaces with positive spectrum, arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06252, (2023).
- [50] N. GIGLI, A. MONDINO, AND G. SAVARÉ, Convergence of pointed non-compact metric measure spaces and stability of Ricci curvature bounds and heat flows, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 111 (2015), pp. 1071–1129.
- [51] N. GIGLI AND F. NOBILI, A first-order condition for the independence on p of weak gradients, J. Funct. Anal., 283 (2022), pp. Paper No. 109686, 52.
- [52] N. GIGLI AND E. PASQUALETTO, Lectures on Nonsmooth Differential Geometry, SISSA Springer Series, Springer, 2020.
- [53] N. GIGLI AND C. RIGONI, A note about the strong maximum principle on RCD spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 62 (2019), pp. 259–266.
- [54] N. GIGLI AND I. Y. VIOLO, Monotonicity formulas for harmonic functions in RCD(0, N) spaces, J. Geom. Anal., 33 (2023), pp. Paper No. 100, 89.
- [55] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [56] J. GONG, J. J. MANFREDI, AND M. PARVIAINEN, Nonhomogeneous variational problems and quasi-minimizers on metric spaces, Manuscripta Math., 137 (2012), pp. 247–271.
- [57] U. GUARNOTTA AND S. MOSCONI, A general notion of uniform ellipticity and the regularity of the stress field for elliptic equations in divergence form, Analysis & PDE, 16 (2023), pp. 1955–1988.
- [58] P. HAJLASZ AND P. KOSKELA, Sobolev met Poincaré, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 145 (2000), pp. x+101.
- [59] Q. HAN AND F. LIN, Elliptic partial differential equations, vol. 1 of Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second ed., 2011.
- [60] J. HEINONEN, P. KOSKELA, N. SHANMUGALINGAM, AND J. T. TYSON, Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces, vol. 27 of New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015. An approach based on upper gradients.
- [61] R. JIANG, Lipschitz continuity of solutions of Poisson equations in metric measure spaces, Potential analysis, 37 (2012), pp. 281–301.
- [62] , Cheeger-harmonic functions in metric measure spaces revisited, J. Funct. Anal., 266 (2014), pp. 1373–1394.
- [63] M. KELL, A Note on Lipschitz Continuity of Solutions of Poisson Equations in Metric Measure Spaces. arXiv:1307.2224, 2013.
- [64] —, On interpolation and curvature via Wasserstein geodesics, Adv. Calc. Var., 10 (2017), pp. 125–167.
- [65] C. KETTERER, Cones over metric measure spaces and the maximal diameter theorem, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 103 (2015), pp. 1228–1275.
- [66] J. KINNUNEN AND N. SHANMUGALINGAM, Regularity of quasi-minimizers on metric spaces, Manuscripta Math., 105 (2001), pp. 401–423.
- [67] P. KOSKELA, K. RAJALA, AND N. SHANMUGALINGAM, Lipschitz continuity of Cheegerharmonic functions in metric measure spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 202 (2003), pp. 147–173.
- [68] V. LATVALA, N. MAROLA, AND M. PERE, Harnack's inequality for a nonlinear eigenvalue problem on metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 321 (2006), pp. 793–810.
- [69] P. LI AND S. T. YAU, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, Acta Mathematica, 156 (1986), pp. 153 – 201.

- [70] J. LIU, F. PENG, AND Y. ZHOU,  $HW_{loc}^{2,2}$ -regularity for p-harmonic functions in Heisenberg groups, Adv. Calc. Var., 16 (2023), pp. 379-390.
- [71] J. LOTT AND C. VILLANI, Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport, Ann. of Math. (2), 169 (2009), pp. 903–991.
- [72] H. LOU, On singular sets of local solutions to p-Laplace equations, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 29 (2008), pp. 521–530.
- [73] J. J. MANFREDI AND A. WEITSMAN, On the Fatou theorem for p-harmonic functions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 13 (1988), pp. 651–668.
- [74] D. MANGOUBI, The effect of curvature on convexity properties of harmonic functions and eigenfunctions, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 87 (2013), pp. 645–662.
- [75] Q. MIAO, F. PENG, AND Y. ZHOU, A global second-order Sobolev regularity for p-Laplacian type equations with variable coefficients in bounded domains, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 62 (2023), p. 191.
- [76] K. MILLER, Nonunique continuation for uniformly parabolic and elliptic equations in selfadjoint divergence form with Hölder continuous coefficients, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 54 (1974), pp. 105–117.
- [77] A. MONDINO AND A. NABER, Structure Theory of Metric-Measure Spaces with Lower Ricci Curvature Bounds. Accepted at J. Eur. Math. Soc., arXiv:1405.2222, 2014.
- [78] A. MONDINO AND D. SEMOLA, Polya-Szego inequality and Dirichlet p-spectral gap for nonsmooth spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 137 (2020), pp. 238-274.
- [79], Lipschitz continuity and Bochner-Eells-Sampson inequality for harmonic maps from RCD (K, N) spaces to CAT (0) spaces, Preprint, (2022).
- [80] Y. PENG, H.-C. ZHANG, AND X.-P. ZHU, Weyl's lemma on RCD(K, N) metric measure spaces, arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09022, (2022).
- [81] A. K. PLEIJEL, Remarks on Courant's nodal line theorem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 9 (1956), pp. 543–550.
- [82] A. PLIS, On non-uniqueness in Cauchy problem for an elliptic second order differential equation, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys, 11 (1963), pp. 95–100.
- [83] T. RAJALA, Interpolated measures with bounded density in metric spaces satisfying the curvature-dimension conditions of Sturm. Journal of Functional Analysis, 263 (2012), pp. 896–924.
- [84]-, Local Poincaré inequalities from stable curvature conditions on metric spaces, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 44 (2012), pp. 477–494.
- [85] , Approximation by uniform domains in doubling quasiconvex metric spaces, Complex Analysis and its Synergies, 7 (2021), p. 4.
- [86] L. SALOFF-COSTE, A note on Poincaré, Sobolev, and Harnack inequalities, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (1992), pp. 27-38.
- [87] L. SALOFF-COSTE, Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geom., 36 (1992), pp. 417-450.
- [88] S. SARSA, Note on an elementary inequality and its application to the regularity of pharmonic functions, Ann. Fenn. Math., 47 (2022), pp. 139–153.
- [89] G. SAVARÉ, Self-improvement of the Bakry-Émery condition and Wasserstein contraction of the heat flow in  $RCD(K, \infty)$  metric measure spaces, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 34 (2014), pp. 1641–1661.
- [90] K.-T. STURM, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I, Acta Math., 196 (2006). pp. 65–131.
- [91]
- —, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II, Acta Math., 196 (2006), pp. 133–177. —, Metric measure spaces and synthetic Ricci bounds: fundamental concepts and recent [92] developments, in European Congress of Mathematics, EMS Press, Berlin, [2023] ©2023, pp. 125-159.
- [93] K. UHLENBECK, Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems, Acta Math., 138 (1977), pp. 219–240.

- [94] N. N. URAL' CEVA, Degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI), 7 (1968), pp. 184–222.
- [95] D. VALTORTA, Sharp estimate on the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 75 (2012), pp. 4974–4994.
- [96] C. VILLANI, Synthetic theory of Ricci curvature bounds, Jpn. J. Math., 11 (2016), pp. 219– 263.
- [97] X. WANG AND L. ZHANG, Local gradient estimate for p-harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom., 19 (2011), pp. 759–771.
- [98] S.-T. YAU, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 28 (1975), pp. 201–228.
- [99] H.-C. ZHANG AND X.-P. ZHU, Weyl's law on RCD\*(K, N) metric measure spaces, Comm. Anal. Geom., 27 (2019), pp. 1869–1914.
- [100] —, Boundary regularity of harmonic maps from RCD(K, N)-space to CAT(0)-space, arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19179, (2024).

I. Y. VIOLO, CENTRO DI RICERCA MATEMATICA ENNIO DE GIORGI, SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI 3, 56126 PISA (PI), ITALY

Email address: ivan.violo@sns.it