

PERIODIC FPU SYSTEM: CONTINUUM LIMIT TO KDV VIA REGULARIZATION AND FOURIER ANALYSIS

CHULKWANG KWAK AND CHANGHUN YANG

ABSTRACT. The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) system, initially introduced by Fermi for numerical simulations, models vibrating chains with fixed endpoints, where particles interact weakly, nonlinearly with their nearest neighbors. Contrary to the anticipated ergodic behavior, the simulation revealed nearly periodic (quasi-periodic) motion of the solutions, a phenomenon later referred to as the *FPU paradox*. A partial but remarkable explanation was provided by Zabusky and Kruskal [36], who formally derived the continuum limit of the FPU system, connecting it to the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation. This formal derivation was later rigorously justified by Bambusi and Ponno [4].

In this paper, we revisit the problem studied in [4], specifically focusing on the continuum limit of the periodic FPU system for a broader class of initial data, as the number of particles N tends to infinity within a fixed domain. Unlike the non-periodic case discussed in [15], periodic FPU solutions lack a (local) smoothing effect, posing a significant challenge in controlling one derivative in the nonlinearity. This control is crucial not only for proving the (uniform in N) well-posedness for rough data but also for deriving the continuum limit. The main strategies to resolve this issue involve deriving L^4 -Strichartz estimates for FPU solutions, analogous to those previously derived for KdV solutions in [7], and regularizing the system via the normal form method introduced in [1].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. A short history of FPU system	2
1.2. Notations and definitions	3
1.3. Main result	5
1.4. vs. non-periodic problem	8
1.5. Idea of the proof	11
Organization	12
Acknowledgements	12
2. Preliminary : Discrete Fourier analysis on \mathbb{T}_h	12
2.1. Notations and basic definitions	12
2.2. Basic inequalities and Fourier transform on a lattice	13
2.3. Linear interpolation	14
3. Formal derivation and proof of Theorem 1.5	15

Date: February 4, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q53, 37J12, 35Q70.

Keywords and phrases. Periodic FPU system, $X^{s,b}$ -space, Normal form method, Continuum limit.

*It is confirmed that this work was contributed equally by all authors.

3.1. FPU to KdV	15
3.2. Well-posedness of FPU	18
3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.5	19
4. Coupled and decoupled FPU systems	19
4.1. Function spaces for solutions	19
4.2. Linear estimates	22
4.3. Bilinear estimates	25
4.4. Uniform bounds for coupled and decoupled FPU	33
4.5. Decoupling the FPU system: Proof of Proposition 3.7	34
5. Reformulations : Normal form reduction method	35
5.1. Regularizing the FPU system via the Normal form approach	35
5.2. Regularizing the KdV equation	40
6. Continuum limit of the Decoupled FPU system to the KdV system	43
6.1. KdV equation	43
6.2. Some preliminaries	44
6.3. Continuum limit to KdV: Proof of Proposition 3.9	51
References	65

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. A short history of FPU system. The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) system has a rich history that spans from its origin in the 1950s to its lasting impact on nonlinear dynamics and statistical mechanics. The FPU system was introduced by physicists Enrico Fermi, John Pasta, and Stanislaw Ulam, with contributions from Mary Tsingou [12]. The system was a chain of particles connected by springs, but with nonlinear force laws between the particles, differing from the typical linear harmonic oscillator models. Fermi and his collaborators expected that the system would eventually reach thermal equilibrium, with energy evenly distributed across all particles, which would resemble the ergodic behavior predicted by classical statistical mechanics.

However, the simulation did not show the expected behavior. Instead of reaching thermal equilibrium, the system exhibited a quasi-periodic motion, with energy remaining largely localized in certain modes, and the system failed to reach a uniform distribution of energy. This unexpected behavior became known as the *FPU paradox*. This paradox was a catalyst for deeper studies into nonlinear dynamics, chaos theory, and soliton solutions. It revealed that nonlinear systems could exhibit complex behavior, and that equilibrium might not always be reached in the way traditional statistical mechanics suggested.

A partial explanation for the FPU paradox was provided by Zabusky and Kruskal [36]. They discovered that, for a specific class of nonlinear systems, the continuum limit of the FPU system could be described by the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation, which describes the evolution of waves in a shallow water surface or other physical systems with similar dynamics. The KdV equation is

known to admit soliton solutions¹, which are stable, localized wave packets that interact elastically without changing their shape or speed.

While Zabusky and Kruskal provided a formal derivation of KdV from FPU, it was not until the work of Bambusi and Ponno [4] that a rigorous mathematical justification for the continuum limit of the periodic FPU system was established. They demonstrated that, under certain conditions, the periodic FPU system indeed converged to the KdV equation as the number of particles tends to infinity. This rigorous result confirmed the connection between the discrete FPU system and the continuum KdV system.

The study of the FPU system has received extensive attention and has progressed in several directions over the years, see also [5, 14] and references therein:

- **Nonlinear dynamics and Chaos:** The FPU paradox contributed significantly to the development of chaos theory and nonlinear dynamics, particularly by exploring how energy can be trapped in nonlinear systems and how small perturbations can lead to large-scale changes in behavior, see, for instance, [29, 28, 2] and references therein.
- **Solitons and KdV equation:** The connection between the FPU system and the KdV equation sparked interest in the study of solitons, which are stable, localized wave solutions that propagate without changing shape. Solitons have since become a central theme in the study of nonlinear wave equations, see, for instance, [13, 22, 26] and references therein.
- **Extensions and Variants:** Over the years, the FPU system has been generalized in various ways, including the study of higher-dimensional systems, different forms of nonlinearity, and the effects of varying boundary conditions, see, for instance, [31, 3, 9, 15] and references therein.
- **Quantum Analogues:** More recently, the FPU system has inspired investigations into quantum versions, where quantum effects are incorporated, and new questions about quantum thermalization and solitonic behavior have arisen, see, for instance, [25, 35] and references therein.

1.2. Notations and definitions. We introduce the following notations and definitions before discussing our problem.

- The discrete Laplacian Δ_1 on \mathbb{Z}

$$(\Delta_1 u)(j) = u(j+1) + u(j-1) - 2u(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- $h\mathbb{Z}$ represents the infinite lattice with a mesh size of h for $h > 0$.
- The periodic lattice domain \mathbb{T}_h

$$\mathbb{T}_h = h\mathbb{Z}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}) = \{x = hn \mid n = -N, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N-1\},$$

that is, additive group of $(2N)$ points for a given $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The parameter h denotes the distance between adjacent points in \mathbb{T}_h . Note that $h = \frac{\pi}{N}$.

- The discrete Laplacian Δ_h on \mathbb{T}_h

$$(\Delta_h u)(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} (u(x+h) + u(x-h) - 2u(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}_h.$$

¹Such traveling waves were first discovered by Russell [30].

- For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{T}_h)$ (resp. $L^p(\mathbb{T})$) is defined by the collection of real-valued functions on \mathbb{T}_h (resp. on \mathbb{T}) equipped with the L^p -norm

$$\|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_h)} := \begin{cases} \left(h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} |f_h(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} |f_h(x)|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} |f(x)|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases} \right)$$

- With a particular $h = \frac{\pi}{N}$, $(\mathbb{T}_h)^*$ denotes the Pontryagin dual space of \mathbb{T}_h defined by

$$(\mathbb{T}_h)^* := \mathbb{Z}/(2N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2N} = \{-N, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N-1\},$$

that is, additive group of $(2N)$ points.

- For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $f_h \in L^1(\mathbb{T}_h)$, we define the Fourier coefficients of f and f_h by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f)(k) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) e^{-ixk} dx, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathcal{F}_h(f_h)(k) &:= \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} f_h(x) e^{-ixk}, \quad \forall k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*. \end{aligned}$$

We simply use $\widehat{\cdot}$ for both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_h , if no confusion. We note that \widehat{f}_h is periodic with period $2N$ satisfying $\widehat{f}_h(k+2N) = \widehat{f}_h(k)$ for all $-N \leq k < N$.

- For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the Lebesgue space $\ell^q((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)$ (resp. $\ell^q(\mathbb{Z})$) is defined by the collection of real-valued functions on $(\mathbb{T}_h)^*$ (resp. on \mathbb{Z}) equipped with the ℓ^q -norm

$$\|g_h\|_{\ell^p((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} |g_h(k)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} |g_h(k)|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \|g\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(k)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(x)|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases} \right)$$

- For $\widehat{f} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\widehat{f}_h \in \ell^1((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)$, the Fourier series (or Fourier inversion formula) is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f}) = f(x) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) e^{ixk}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}, \\ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}(\widehat{f}_h) = f_h(x) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \widehat{f}_h(k) e^{ixk}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}_h. \end{aligned}$$

There are several ways to define differentials on \mathbb{T}_h . Throughout the paper, we use the following three different types of differentials, all of which are consistent with differentiation on \mathbb{T} in the sense that, as the Fourier multiplier, the symbol of discrete differentials formally converges to ik , as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 1.1 (Differentials on \mathbb{T}_h).

- (1) ∇_h (resp., $|\nabla_h|$, $\langle \nabla_h \rangle$) denotes the discrete Fourier multiplier of the symbol $\frac{2i}{h} \sin(\frac{hk}{2})$ (resp., $|\frac{2}{h} \sin(\frac{hk}{2})|$, $\langle \frac{2}{h} \sin(\frac{hk}{2}) \rangle$), where $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.²
- (2) ∂_h (resp., $|\partial_h|$, $\langle \partial_h \rangle$) denotes the discrete Fourier multiplier of the symbol ik (resp., $|k|$, $\langle k \rangle$).
- (3) ∂_h^+ denotes the discrete right derivative naturally defined by

$$(1.3) \quad (\partial_h^+ f_h)(x) := \frac{f_h(x+h) - f_h(x)}{h}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}_h.$$

Remark 1.2. Formally, we have the following convergences as $h \rightarrow 0$:

$$\mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{F}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

1.3. Main result. The FPU system consists of $2N$ particles arranged in a one-dimensional chain, where neighboring particles interact weakly through nonlinear springs. This system was originally proposed to study the distribution of energy and the dynamical behavior of nonlinear classical particle chains. It can be described using Hamiltonian mechanics, where the motion of particles is governed by a specific Hamiltonian. The total energy of the FPU system is the sum of the kinetic and potential energies, and the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{H}(q, p) = \sum_{j=-N}^{N-1} \left(\frac{(p(j))^2}{2} + V(q(j+1) - q(j)) \right),$$

where $(q, p) : \mathbb{Z}_{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Here, $(q(j), p(j))$ denotes the position-momentum pair of the j -th particle, and $V(q(j+1) - q(j))$ represents the potential energy between adjacent particles j and $j+1$. The potential function V typically includes nonlinear terms and can be expressed as:

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 + \frac{\beta}{4}x^4,$$

where α and β are coefficients representing the nonlinear interactions. When $\beta = 0$, the system (1.4) is called the α -FPU system, which is related to the KdV equation. Conversely, when $\alpha = 0$, the system is referred to as the β -FPU system, which is connected to the modified KdV equation.

In this paper, we fix $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = 0^3$. Accordingly, our Hamiltonian system is given by

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}(q, p) = \sum_{j=-N}^{N-1} \left(\frac{(p(j))^2}{2} + V(q(j+1) - q(j)) \right), \\ V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3, \\ q(j+2N) = q(j), \quad p(j+2N) = p(j), \end{cases}$$

and this yields the following FPU system:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t q(t, j) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p(j)} = p(t, j), \\ \partial_t p(t, j) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q(j)} = V'(q(t, j+1) - q(t, j)) - V'(q(t, j) - q(t, j-1)). \end{cases}$$

²These definitions are consistent with the discrete Laplacian Δ_h , because $(-\Delta_h)$ is the Fourier multiplier of the symbol $\frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(\frac{hk}{2})$, thus, $|\nabla_h| = \sqrt{-\Delta_h}$ and $\langle \nabla_h \rangle = \sqrt{1 - \Delta_h}$.

³Some results established in this paper **cannot** immediately be obtained for the β -FPU system. This is due to the presence of stronger resonances compared to those of the α -FPU system.

We define the relative displacement between two adjacent points as

$$(1.7) \quad r(t, j) := q(t, j+1) - q(t, j).$$

This allows us to rewrite the system (1.6) in the form

$$\partial_t^2 r = \Delta_1 (V'(r)),$$

where V' denotes the usual derivative of the function V . Suppose that N is a (sufficiently large) number of particles, and let

$$h := \frac{\pi}{N}$$

denote the distance between adjacent points. We rescale the variable r by defining

$$r_h(t, x) = \frac{1}{h^2} r \left(\frac{t}{h^3}, \frac{x}{h} \right), \quad r_h : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

which leads to the rescaled equation

$$(1.8) \quad h^6 \partial_t^2 r_h = \Delta_h (V'(h^2 r_h)),$$

where Δ_h is the discrete Laplacian on \mathbb{T}_h (see Section 1.2 for the definitions of Δ_h and \mathbb{T}_h). Note that (1.8) is now posed on the box \mathbb{T}_h with $2N$ particles. For the potential function $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3$, one obtains the following initial value problem for the discrete nonlinear wave equation, referred to hereafter as the FPU system:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 r_h - \frac{1}{h^4} \Delta_h r_h = \frac{1}{2h^2} \Delta_h (r_h^2), \\ r_h(0) = r_{h,0}, \quad \partial_t r_h(0) = r_{h,1}. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.3. The FPU system (1.9) remains a Hamiltonian equation with the Hamiltonian⁴

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{H}_h(r_h) = h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h^2}{\sqrt{-\Delta_h}} \partial_t r_h \right)^2 + \frac{1}{h^4} V(h^2 r_h) \right).$$

Remark 1.4. From (1.7) and (1.6), together with the periodicity of $q(j)$ and $p(j)$, a direct computation gives

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} r_h(t, x) = h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \frac{1}{h^2} \left(q \left(\frac{t}{h^3}, \frac{x}{h} + 1 \right) - q \left(\frac{t}{h^3}, \frac{x}{h} \right) \right) = 0$$

and

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} (\partial_t r_h)(t, x) = h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \frac{1}{h^5} \left(p \left(\frac{t}{h^3}, \frac{x}{h} + 1 \right) - p \left(\frac{t}{h^3}, \frac{x}{h} \right) \right) = 0$$

for all t . These observations are crucial for the formal derivation of the coupled system, particularly when imposing the mean-zero condition on solutions in our analysis.

The main purpose of this paper is to study the continuum limit of the FPU system (1.9) as $h \rightarrow 0$, that is, as the number of particles N tends to infinity. According to Zabusky and Kruskal [36], one can expect that solutions to (1.9) are approximated by counter-propagating KdV waves

$$r_h(t, x) \approx w^+ \left(t, x - \frac{t}{h^2} \right) + w^- \left(t, x + \frac{t}{h^2} \right),$$

⁴It can be derived from (1.5).

in an appropriate sense as $h \rightarrow 0$. Here, $w^\pm = w^\pm(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are solutions to

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_t w^\pm \pm \frac{1}{24} \partial_x^3 w^\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \partial_x ((w^\pm)^2) = 0.$$

The precise statement of the theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.5 (KdV limit for periodic FPU). *Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and $R > 0$ be given. Suppose that the initial data $(r_{h,0}, r_{h,1})$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{h \in (0,1]} \left\| (r_{h,0}, h^2 \nabla_h^{-1} r_{h,1}) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \leq R,$$

where $\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ -norm is defined as in (2.2) (see Section 2.1 for details). Then, there exist $0 < h_0 = h_0(R) < 1$ and $T(R) > 0$ sufficiently small such that the following holds:

Let $0 < h \leq h_0$ be fixed. Let $r_h(t) \in C_t([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}_h))$ be the solution to FPU (1.9) with initial data $(r_h(0), \partial_t r_h(0))$ and let $w^\pm(t) \in C_t([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}))$ be the solution to KdV (1.11) with the initial data

$$w_0^\pm = \mathcal{L}_h r_{h,0}^\pm, \quad \text{where} \quad r_{h,0}^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (r_{h,0} \mp h^2 \nabla_h^{-1} r_{h,1}).$$

Here \mathcal{L}_h denotes the interpolation operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)$, defined as in (2.5) (see Section 2.3). Then, there exists $C(R) > 0$ independent on h such that the following estimate holds:

$$(1.12) \quad \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \left\| (\mathcal{L}_h r_h)(t, x) - w^+(t, x - \frac{t}{h^2}) - w^-(t, x + \frac{t}{h^2}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(R) h^{\frac{2}{5}s}.$$

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.5 states that the interpolated solutions of the FPU system (1.9) can be approximated by counter-propagating waves that satisfy the KdV equation (1.11), provided the number of particles N is sufficiently large (as $h \rightarrow 0$). This result underscores the connection between the discrete FPU system and the continuous KdV equation, demonstrating that the dynamics of the FPU system can be effectively described by the KdV approximation in the continuum limit.

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.5 ensures that the FPU system can be approximated by the KdV equation only when $h > 0$ is sufficiently small, corresponding to a sufficiently large N . In contrast, [15] assumes an infinite number of particles, making it unnecessary to impose further restrictions on $h > 0$, as the continuum limit is inherently satisfied.

Remark 1.8. The assumption on the initial data is simplified compared to the previous work [4]. Specifically, we only assume a uniform bound on the size of the initial data in a natural Sobolev norm (without any additional weight). Furthermore, the regularity requirement for the continuum limit is reduced to $s > 0$, representing a better regularity result (closer to $s = 0$) compared to [15], where the same problem was considered under the setting of infinite chains.

Remark 1.9. Let $\mathbb{T}_N = \mathbb{R}/(2N\mathbb{Z})$ denote a periodic domain of period $2N$, and define $T_N = \left(\frac{N}{\pi}\right)^3 T$, where T is found in Theorem 1.5. By rescaling, the continuum limit (1.12) in Theorem 1.5 can be interpreted as the small amplitude limit

$$(1.13) \quad \sup_{|t| \leq T_N} \left\| (\mathcal{L}_1 r)(t, x) - \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)^2 \left(w^+ \left(\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)^3 t, \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) (x - t) \right) + w^- \left(\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)^3 t, \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) (x + t) \right) \right) \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{T}_N)} \leq C(R) N^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{5}s},$$

This result is consistent with the findings in [15], which demonstrate that, under the assumption of an infinite number of particles, the FPU system is described by the KdV equation in the small amplitude limit. It further emphasizes the relationship between the continuum limit of the FPU system and the dynamics governed by the KdV equation.

Moreover, (1.13) confirms that the KdV approximation remains valid over a specific timescale N^3 , which is consistent with the observations in [4].

Remark 1.10. In the periodic domain, the dynamics of the FPU system are accurately captured by the decoupled KdV equation. The solutions to the decoupled KdV system represent traveling waves that propagate without significant changes in shape or amplitude. These solutions are closely related to the energy distribution among low-frequency modes in the FPU system. Despite the presence of nonlinear interactions, the KdV equation effectively describes the observed behaviors of the FPU system. Moreover, the specific structure of nonlinearity in the FPU system suppresses constructive interference between waves. This contrasts with the typical behaviors observed in short-wavelength systems and provides a precise explanation for the metastability of the FPU system, as introduced in [4].

Remark 1.11. The FPU system does not admit higher regularity conservation laws, while the conservation of the Hamiltonian (1.10) ensures that L^2 FPU solutions remain well-defined globally in time. This observation suggests the possibility of establishing the continuum limit for L^2 -data. Consequently, both (1.12) and (1.13) would hold for arbitrary times, provided such low regularity convergence can be achieved. However, our approach does not attain this result, leaving it as an intriguing open question.

Remark 1.12. The main novelty of this article lies in presenting an analytic framework that captures the KdV nature under periodic boundary conditions, enabling the establishment of the continuum limit of the FPU system in the low-regularity regime. This achievement, previously observed for the KdV equation in [7], allows us to overcome the lack of local smoothing effects highlighted in [15].

1.4. vs. non-periodic problem. Local smoothing is a fundamental property of solutions to dispersive equations, arising from the dispersive nature of their governing equations. This property refers to the phenomenon, typically observed in dispersive equations on unbounded domains, where solutions become smoother (in terms of Sobolev regularity) over time within localized spatial regions. Remark that this effect is not uniform across the entire domain but depends on dispersive characteristics of the equations and the specific spatial region under consideration.

The local smoothing effect results from the interplay between dispersion and time integration. Specifically, dispersion causes waves of different frequencies to spread apart, reducing constructive interference and oscillatory behavior in localized areas. Simultaneously, the spreading wavefront smooths out oscillatory irregularities, producing a locally smoother profile over time. This effect is a crucial tool for studying dispersive equations, particularly those with nonlinearities involving derivatives.

In contrast, in the periodic domain, waves are confined to the bounded region and mapped onto the periodic boundary. This confinement prevents frequencies from separating indefinitely, as they do in unbounded domains, significantly weakening the dispersion effect. Furthermore, the bounded

nature of the periodic domain often results in persistent constructive interference, thereby inhibiting the smoothing effect and sustaining oscillatory behavior.

Dispersive estimates, on the other hand, describe how solutions to dispersive equations spread out over time. In unbounded domains \mathbb{R}^d , dispersion arises from waves with different frequencies traveling at different speeds, leading to a decay in the amplitude of the solution over time. In other words, waves can spread indefinitely in unbounded domains, causing the solution's amplitude to decay. For instance, for the linear Schrödinger equation $iu_t + \Delta u = 0$, the dispersive estimate for the solution is given by

$$(1.14) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|u(0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

which shows that the L^∞ -norm of the solutions decays as time increases, with the rate determined by the spatial dimension d . Based on this property, Strichartz estimates, mixed-norm estimates that describe how solutions behave in terms of integrability and regularity over time and space, can be established. These estimates play an important role in the study of well-posedness, scattering, and stability theory for dispersive equations.

In periodic domains \mathbb{T}^d , however, dispersive behavior differs significantly due to the compactness of the domain. In other words, waves are confined to the bounded domain, and their amplitudes do not decay as strongly over time. Instead of decay in L^∞ , estimates often focus on bounds for mixed-norm spaces or averaging effects over time:

$$(1.15) \quad \|u\|_{L^q([0,T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

for some regularity $s > 0$, reflecting a loss of regularity. See, for instance, [37, 34, 6, 8] for the linear Schrödinger equation. In particular, L^4 -Strichartz estimates ensure the L^2 global well-posedness of the one-dimensional (cubic) nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) ([6]).

In discrete settings, even for infinite lattices ($h\mathbb{Z}$), the standard conservative scheme fails to reproduce dispersive estimates (1.14) uniformly with respect to the mesh parameter h , or to recover Strichartz estimates (see [20, 21]). Nevertheless, uniform (in h) Strichartz estimates with a loss of regularity address these issues and enable the continuum limit of discrete NLS [17, 18].

On lattice domains with periodic boundary conditions, the situation becomes more complex. Inspired by Bourgain [6, 7], it is expected that the lack of local smoothing effect can be compensated by the *dispersive smoothing effect*. The dispersive smoothing effect means an additional gain in regularity, which arises from the observation that the space-time Fourier coefficients of interactions among distinct frequency-localized solutions are concentrated in regions far from the hypersurface $\{(\tau, k) : \tau = \rho(k)\}$, where $\rho(k)$ is the Fourier symbol of the linear operator of the governing equation.

Focusing on the FPU system, the phase functions of its linear propagators (see also Definition 4.4 below)

$$s_h(k) = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \right)$$

and their derivatives (group velocities) are comparable to those of Airy propagators. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \right) \sim k^3 \quad \text{and} \quad s'_h(k) = \frac{1}{h} \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \right) \sim k^2$$

on the frequency domain $(\mathbb{T}_h)^*$. These observations allow us to recover the Strichartz, local smoothing and maximal function estimates on $h\mathbb{Z}$, as well as the dispersive smoothing effect in the form of

the bilinear estimates (see Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 6.1 in [15]). On \mathbb{T}_h , the dispersive smoothing effect is captured via L^4 -Strichartz estimates and the bilinear estimates (see Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 4.10, respectively), which represent significant contributions of this work as mentioned in Remark 1.12. Such results highlight the non-trivial properties of solutions to discrete equations. For instance, solutions to the discrete Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u_h + \Delta_h u_h = 0$$

on $h\mathbb{Z}$ fail to exhibit local smoothing due to the mismatch between two group velocities corresponding to the discrete Laplacian, $-\frac{2}{h}\sin(hk)$, and the continuous Laplacian, k , particularly, near the high-frequency edges $\left(k = \pm\frac{\pi}{h}\right)$ (see [21]). For the same reason, solutions on \mathbb{T}_h fail to satisfy L^4 -Strichartz estimates (1.15), due to the near-overlap of twisted annuli, given by

$$\left\{ k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* : M \leq \left| \tau_1 + \frac{2}{h^2} (1 - \cos(hk_1)) \right| \leq 2M \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ k_2 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* : N \leq \left| \tau_2 + \frac{2}{h^2} (1 - \cos(hk_2)) \right| \leq 2N \right\},$$

under the constraints $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$. This overlap reflects a loss of regularity, consistent with the standard Sobolev estimate. Further details and discussions on this failure, see [19, 16].

In [15], the authors, in collaboration with Hong, proved the continuum limit of the FPU system as the mesh size h tends to 0. A critical component of this work was deriving local smoothing and maximal function estimates on $h\mathbb{Z}$. These estimates played a key role in controlling one derivative in the nonlinearity, enabling the continuum limit to hold in a broader function space than in the earlier work [31]. This advancement highlights the broader applicability of the continuum limit in settings where stricter topological constraints are not feasible.

However, as previously discussed, local smoothing and maximal function estimates are no longer valid on \mathbb{T}_h . This issue can be resolved by employing dispersive smoothing effects, particularly when deriving uniform bounds for low-regularity solutions. Nonetheless, proving the continuum limit remains challenging because interpolated solutions cannot be included in the $X^{s,b}$ spaces associated with the KdV equation. To resolve this final issue, we employ the normal form reduction method for regularizing the system. Remarkably, not only do the original FPU system and the KdV equation correspond, but their regularized systems also exhibit the same correspondence.

One notable aspect of the FPU system under periodic boundary conditions is the presence of non-trivial resonances. Resonance occurs when energy is transferred or concentrated in specific frequencies or modes under certain conditions. On a periodic domain, resonance primarily arises in at least two scenarios. First, nonlinear terms facilitate interactions between different frequency modes, generating new modes or transferring energy between existing ones. This phenomenon particularly arises when Fourier modes satisfy specific conditions. Second, resonance can emerge when certain combinations of frequencies result in matching phase or group velocities, causing energy to focus in particular directions. As a consequence of resonances, energy transfer occurs between low-frequency and high-frequency modes, significantly influencing the long-term dynamics of nonlinear waves. In some cases, resonance can disrupt solitary waves (solitons) or give rise to complex dynamics such

as turbulence. Mathematically, the interaction of different frequencies generates new frequency components, further complicating the analysis and interpretation of the system.

The standard resonance for the KdV equation (and similarly for the FPU system) occurs when at least one Fourier mode in the nonlinear interactions becomes zero. This resonance can be eliminated via renormalization, ensuring that solutions satisfy the mean-zero condition. For the FPU system, the structure of the Hamiltonian system allows the mean-zero condition to be directly imposed, effectively ignoring the first resonance case. However, when the normal form reduction method is applied to the FPU system (or the KdV equation), the nonlinear terms are redistributed, leading to the emergence of new resonances. These include a strong cubic resonance, expressed for the FPU system as

$$(1.16) \quad \mp 2i \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k)$$

and for the KdV equation as

$$(1.17) \quad \mp \frac{2i}{k} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k),$$

under the specific condition of frequencies $k_1 = -k_2 = k_3 = k$. In addition to these strong resonances, a weaker resonance arises, which is characterized by its dependence on the total mass (square integral) of the solutions. In the case of the KdV equation, this weaker resonance does not appear because the oddness of the multiplier causes cancellation. In contrast, the regularized FPU system lacks this cancellation property, resulting in the presence of a weaker resonance (see Section 5 for further details). This weaker term can be effectively controlled by the additional continuum parameter h present in the resonant term. It is also worth noting that the strong resonances described in (1.16) and (1.17) are comparable.

1.5. Idea of the proof. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is as follows: First, inspired by Zabusky and Kruskal [36], we reformulate the FPU Hamiltonian system (1.9) by separating its Duhamel formula into two coupled equations (3.3). In the coupled system, a more intuitive approach for understanding the limit procedure can be achieved by analyzing the symbols of the linear propagators and their asymptotics (see Remark 3.4). Moreover, the coupled terms can be treated as small perturbations that become negligible in the continuum limit, leading to a convergence scheme for the KdV equation via the decoupled FPU (3.5). This reformulation makes the problem well-suited for the dispersive PDE techniques presented below.

Introducing the Fourier restriction norm method, initially developed by Bourgain [6, 7], this approach is applied to both coupled and decoupled FPU systems, facilitating the decoupling of the coupled system. Specifically, we first establish L^4 -Strichartz estimates, which demonstrate that the FPU solutions exhibit dispersive properties under the periodic boundary condition (see Section 4.2). Based on these estimates, bilinear estimates are derived (see Section 4.3). It is worth noting that, by utilizing the gap in regularities, the mixed term and the oppositely moving wave can be rendered negligible, enabling the extraction of the decoupled system (see Remark 3.5 and Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9). As a by-product, uniform-in- h bounds can also be obtained for both systems as well as for the KdV equation.

To establish the continuum limit of the decoupled FPU system to the KdV equation, an additional technical method is required, distinct from the approach based on $h\mathbb{Z}$ [15]. As previously

mentioned, the local smoothing and maximal function estimates are not applicable to the periodic setting. Instead, we apply the regularization mechanism, which was developed in its current form by Babin, Ilyin, and Titi [1] (known as the *normal form method*), to both the decoupled FPU system and the KdV equation. Surprisingly, not only do the original decoupled FPU system and the KdV equation align well in the continuum limit (as $h \rightarrow 0$), but their higher-degree regularized counterparts also exhibit a strong match under the same limit (see Section 5). The standard multilinear estimates (Lemma 6.5), combined with L^4 -Strichartz estimates and certain properties of the linear interpolation operator (Section 2.3), enable us to complete the proof.

Organization. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents preliminary analyses of discrete Fourier analysis and explores properties of the linear interpolation operator. In Section 3, we derive the coupled and decoupled FPU systems and establish their well-posedness in $L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)$. We also provide a brief proof of Theorem 1.5 assuming bridge Propositions 3.7 and 3.9. Section 4 introduces the $X^{s,b}$ spaces tailored to our systems, along with L^4 -Strichartz estimates and bilinear estimates to demonstrate the uniform-in- h well-posedness of these systems. Collecting these results, we establish Proposition 3.7, a key component of the paper. Section 5 develops regularized FPU and KdV systems as part of the continuum limit proof. Finally, Section 6 provides the proof of Proposition 3.9, another key result in this paper.

Acknowledgements. The first author is grateful for support by the Open KIAS Center at Korea Institute for Advanced Study. C. K. was supported by Young Research Program, National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00210210) and the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. 2019R1A6A1A11051177). C. Yang was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2021R1C1C1005700).

2. PRELIMINARY : DISCRETE FOURIER ANALYSIS ON \mathbb{T}_h

2.1. Notations and basic definitions. Throughout this paper, we deal with two different types of functions, i.e., functions defined on \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{T}_h . To avoid possible confusion, we use the subscript h for functions on \mathbb{T}_h with no exception. For instance, the functions u_h , v_h , and w_h are defined on \mathbb{T}_h , while u , v , and w are defined on \mathbb{T} .

On the other hand, we assign small letters x, y, z, \dots to spatial variables regardless of whether they are on \mathbb{T} or \mathbb{T}_h , if there is no confusion. Note that the subscript h determines the space of the spatial variable.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We use $a \lesssim b$ when $a \leq Cb$ for some $C > 0$. Conventionally, $a \sim b$ means $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. Moreover, $a \lesssim_s b$ means that the implicit constant $C > 0$ depends on s .

For notational convenience, we may abbreviate the domain and codomain of a function in the norm. For example, for $f_h = f_h(x) : \mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (resp., $f = f(x) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$),

$$\|f_h\|_{L^p} = \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_h)} \quad (\text{resp., } \|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})})$$

and for $F_h = F_h(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (resp., $F = F(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$),

$$\|F_h\|_{L_t^q L^r} = \|F_h\|_{L_t^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{T}_h))} \quad (\text{resp., } \|F\|_{L_t^q L^r} = \|F\|_{L_t^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{T}))}).$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ as the Hilbert space equipped with the norm

$$\|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} := \|\langle \nabla_h \rangle^s f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}.$$

Remark 2.1. In view of Definition 1.1, H^s norm is equivalent to $\|(1 - \Delta_h)^{\frac{s}{2}} f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}$ or

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \langle k \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{f_h}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

by Plancherel's theorem. In what follows, we use (2.1) for $\|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}$

For a vector-valued function $(f_h, g_h) : \mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $(f, g) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$) and $s \geq 0$, let $\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ (resp. $\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T})$) denote the Sobolev space consisting of vector-valued functions whose components belong to $H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ (resp. $H^s(\mathbb{T})$), i.e.,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h) &:= \{(f_h, g_h) : \|(f_h, g_h)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 = \|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 + \|g_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 < \infty\}. \\ \text{(resp. } \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}) &:= \{(f, g) : \|(f, g)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T})}^2 = \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}^2 + \|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}^2 < \infty\}) \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Basic inequalities and Fourier transform on a lattice. In this section, we summarize some useful inequalities for functions on \mathbb{T}_h .

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 2.1 in [16]).

(1) (*Duality*) For $1 < p, q < \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we have

$$\|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_h)} = \sup_{\|g_h\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}_h)}=1} h \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} f_h(x) \overline{g_h(x)} \right|.$$

(2) (*Hölder's inequality*) For $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$, we have

$$\|f_h g_h\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}_h)} \leq \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_h\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}_h)}.$$

Lemma 2.3 (Properties of the Fourier transform on a periodic lattice, Lemma 2.3 in [16]).

(1) (*Inversion*)

$$\mathcal{F}_h^{-1} \circ \mathcal{F}_h = \text{Id on } L^2(\mathbb{T}_h), \quad \mathcal{F}_h \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} = \text{Id on } L^2((\mathbb{T}_h)^*).$$

(2) (*Plancherel's theorem*)

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} f_h(x) \overline{g_h(x)} = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \widehat{f_h}(k) \overline{\widehat{g_h}(k)}.$$

(3) (*Fourier transform of a product*)

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_h(f_h g_h)(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k' \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \widehat{f_h}(k') \widehat{g_h}(k - k').$$

Remark 2.4. On \mathbb{T} , one also has the same properties as Lemma 2.3:

(1) (*Inversion*)

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{F} = \text{Id on } L^2(\mathbb{T}), \quad \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{Id on } \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

(2) (*Plancherel's theorem*)

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) \overline{\widehat{g}(k)}.$$

(3) (Fourier transform of a product)

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{F}(fg)(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k') \widehat{g}(k - k').$$

Lemma 2.5 (Sobolev embedding, Lemma 2.7 in [16]). *Suppose that $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $q \geq 2$, and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - s$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\|f_h\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}_h)} \lesssim \|f_h\|_{H^{s+\epsilon}}.$$

2.3. Linear interpolation. The linear interpolation operator \mathcal{L}_h is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_h f_h)(x) &:= f_h(hk) + (\partial_h^+ f_h)(hk) \cdot (x - hk) \\ &= f_h(hk) + \frac{f_h(hk + h) - f_h(hk)}{h} (x - hk) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in [hk, hk + h)$, where $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$. Note that the linear interpolation converts a function on \mathbb{T}_h into a continuous function on \mathbb{T} .

Lemma 2.6 (Boundedness of linear interpolation, Lemma 5.1 in [16]). *Let $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Then, for any $f_h \in H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_h f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}$$

Lemma 2.7 (Symbol of the linear interpolation, Lemma 5.4 in [16]). *The interpolation operator \mathcal{L}_h is a Fourier multiplier in the sense that*

$$(2.6) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h f_h}(k) = \frac{4}{h^2 k^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \widehat{f_h}\left(k - \frac{2\pi}{h}n\right), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } \left|k - \frac{2\pi}{h}n\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}.$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let $0 \leq s \leq 2$. Then for $f_h \in H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$, we have*

$$\left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h f_h) - \mathcal{F}_h(f_h)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim h^s \|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}.$$

Proof. By Taylor's remainder theorem, we know for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ that

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - k \right| \lesssim |k| \min(1, (h|k|)^2) \lesssim |k|(h|k|)^\alpha,$$

which yields

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \frac{4}{h^2 k^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{2}{hk} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - 1 \right| \left| \frac{2}{hk} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) + 1 \right| \lesssim (h|k|)^\alpha,$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Taking $\alpha = s$, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 2.9 (Invariance of mean under the linear interpolation). *We have*

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} f_h(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\mathcal{L}_h f_h)(y) dy.$$

Proof. It follows from (2.5) and (1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\mathcal{L}_h f_h)(y) dy &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \left(h f_h(x) + (\partial_h^+ f_h)(x) \int_x^{x+h} (y-x) dy \right) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \left(h f_h(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} (\partial_h^+ f_h)(x) \right) = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} (f_h(x+h) + f_h(x)). \end{aligned}$$

\square

3. FORMAL DERIVATION AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

This section is devoted to providing a short formal derivation of KdV system from the FPU system. For more details, see Section 2.1 in [15], but here we provide it for the self-containment.

3.1. FPU to KdV. The Duhamel principle allows us to rewrite (1.9) as an integral equation for the wave equation, and using the Euler formula, one has the following coupled system:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t r_h^\pm(t, x) \pm \frac{1}{h^2} \nabla_h r_h^\pm(t, x) \pm \frac{1}{4} \nabla_h (r_h(t, x))^2 = 0, \\ r_h(t, x) = r_h^+(t, x) + r_h^-(t, x), \end{cases} \quad (r_h^+, r_h^-) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

with initial data

$$r_{h,0}^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (r_{h,0} \mp h^2 \nabla_h^{-1} r_{h,1}),$$

where ∇_h is defined as in Definition 1.1.

Remark 3.1. By Remark 1.4 (in particular, the mean-zero condition on $\partial_t r_h$), $\nabla_h^{-1} r_{h,1}$ is well-defined.

From Duhamel's principle, (3.1) is equivalent to the following integral equation:

$$r_h^\pm(t) = e^{\mp \frac{t}{h^2} \nabla_h} r_{h,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t e^{\mp \frac{(t-t')}{h^2} \nabla_h} \nabla_h (r_h(t')^2) dt'.$$

Remark 3.2. Note that for any function f_h on \mathbb{T}_h , it is known that

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \nabla_h f_h(x) = \mathcal{F}_h(\nabla_h f_h)(0) = 0.$$

Using this observation, we obtain

$$\partial_t \left(h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} r_h^\pm(t, x) \right) = \mp h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \left(\frac{\nabla_h}{h^2} r_h^\pm(t, x) + \frac{\nabla_h}{4} (r_h(t, x))^2 \right) = 0,$$

which guarantees the conservation of the mean for r_h^\pm , that is,

$$(3.2) \quad h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} r_h^\pm(t, x) = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} (r_{h,0} \mp h^2 \nabla_h^{-1} r_{h,1})(x) \quad \text{for all } t.$$

On the other hand, by Remark 1.4, we know

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} r_h(0, x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} (\partial_t r_h)(0, x) = 0,$$

which, in addition to (3.2), guarantees

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} r_h^\pm(t, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Next, we introduce the phase translation operator by

$$u_h^\pm(t) := e^{\pm \frac{t}{h^2} \partial_h} r_h^\pm(t),$$

where ∂_h is the discrete Fourier multiplier of the symbol ik (see Definition 1.1). Then, u_h^\pm solves the following coupled integral equation:

$$(3.3) \quad u_h^\pm(t) = S_h^\pm(t) u_{h,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h \left(u_h^\pm(t') + e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t') \right)^2 dt',$$

where $u_{h,0}^\pm = r_{h,0}^\pm$ and the linear FPU propagator $S_h^\pm(t)$ is defined by

$$(3.4) \quad S_h^\pm(t)f_h := e^{\mp \frac{t}{h^2}(\nabla_h - \partial_h)} f_h,$$

for any function f_h on \mathbb{T}_h . By construction, the FPU system (1.9) can be recovered from the equation (3.3) via

$$r_h(t, x) = e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} u_h^+(t, x) + e^{\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} u_h^-(t, x).$$

Remark 3.3. From Remark 3.2, a direct computation gives

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} u_h^\pm(t, x) = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathcal{F}_h(u_h^\pm)(t, 0) = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathcal{F}_h(r_h^\pm)(t, 0) = h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} r_h^\pm(t, x) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t,$$

which asserts that u_h^\pm satisfies the mean zero condition.

Remark 3.4. The linear propagator $S_h^\pm(t)$ defined as in (3.4) formally approximates to the Airy flow $S^\pm(t)$ given in (3.7) below as $h \rightarrow 0$ in the Fourier space. Indeed, for each $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, the Taylor expansion of the phase function reveals the following formal convergence

$$\mp \frac{1}{h^2} \left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) - k \right) \rightarrow \pm \frac{1}{24} k^3 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark 3.5. Expanding the nonlinear terms in (3.3), one identifies the mixed term and the oppositely moving wave, expressed as

$$u_h^\pm(t') e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t') \quad \text{and} \quad (e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t'))^2,$$

respectively. These terms can be regarded as error terms as $h \rightarrow 0$ due to their asymptotic behavior. To illustrate, suppose the nonlinear solution $u_h^\pm(t)$ behaves similarly to linear solutions over a short time interval. In this case, the mixed term in (3.3) can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} & S_h^\pm(-t') \nabla_h (u_h^\pm(t') e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t')) \\ & \approx S_h^\pm(-t') \nabla_h \left(S_h^\pm(t') u_{h,0}^\pm(x) e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} S_h^\mp(t') u_{h,0}^\mp(x) \right) \\ & = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} e^{ikx} \frac{2i}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq 0}} e^{\pm i \frac{t'}{h^2} \phi_h(k, k_1)} \widehat{u_{h,0}^\mp}(k_1) \widehat{u_{h,0}^\pm}(k - k_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_h(k, k_1) = \frac{8}{h^3} \cos \left(\frac{hk}{4} \right) \sin \left(\frac{hk_1}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{h(k - k_1)}{4} \right).$$

By integrating this term over time, the Duhamel term produces a factor

$$\frac{1}{\phi_h(k, k_1)} \approx \frac{h^2}{k_1},$$

which vanishes as $h \rightarrow 0$. Alternatively, this behavior can be explained by the fact that the exponential term $e^{\pm i \frac{t'}{h^2} \phi_h(k, k_1)}$ rapidly oscillates as $h \rightarrow 0$, causing the phase cancellation. This result confirms that the mixed term asymptotically vanishes, justifying its classification as an error term. Analogously, the oppositely moving wave can be analyzed and shown to behave in the same manner. Such observations are indeed demonstrated in Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9.

Inspired by Remark 3.5, one further reduces the coupled system (3.3) as the following *decoupled FPU system*:

$$(3.5) \quad v_h^\pm(t) = S_h^\pm(t)u_{h,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h \left(v_h^\pm(t') \right)^2 dt',$$

where $v_h^\pm = v_h^\pm(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the mean zero condition

$$h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} v_h^\pm(t, x) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Remark 3.6. Both the coupled (3.3) and the decoupled (3.5) systems are well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)$ (see Proposition 3.11 below), but the existence time depends on the number of lattice points N . This dependency poses a non-trivial challenge in demonstrating the continuum limit of the FPU system to the KdV system. Nevertheless, one can capture the dispersive properties (see Sections 4.2 and 4.3) for the FPU solutions, and this observation enables us to establish the well-posedness of both (3.3) and (3.5) independently of the number of lattice points N (see Proposition 4.11).

As a consequence, we have

Proposition 3.7. *Let $h \in (0, 1]$, $0 < s \leq 1$, and $R > 0$ be given. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} u_{h,0}^\pm(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{h \in (0, 1]} \left\| (u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \leq R.$$

Then, there exist $C(R) > 0$ and $T(R) > 0$ independent on h such that the following holds: Let $(u_h^+(t), u_h^-(t)) \in C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h))$ (resp., $(v_h^+(t), v_h^-(t)) \in C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h))$) be the solution to the coupled FPU (3.3) (resp., decoupled FPU (3.5)) with an initial data $(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)$. Then,

$$\left\| u_h^\pm(t) - v_h^\pm(t) \right\|_{C_t([-T, T] : L^2(\mathbb{T}_h))} \leq C(R)h^s.$$

Moreover, due to Remark 3.4, the decoupled system (3.5) can be expected to converge to the following counter-propagating KdV system

$$(3.6) \quad w^\pm(t) = S^\pm(t)(\mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^\pm) \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S^\pm(t-t') \partial_x \left(w^\pm(t') \right)^2 dt',$$

where $w^\pm = w^\pm(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{L}_h is the linear interpolation operator defined as in (2.5), and

$$(3.7) \quad S^\pm(t) = e^{\mp \frac{t}{24} \partial_x^3}$$

denotes the Airy flow.

Remark 3.8. Note that, from the conservation of the mean for the KdV equation and Lemma 2.9, the solution w^\pm satisfies the mean-zero condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} w^\pm(t, x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Precisely, one has

Proposition 3.9. *Let $h \in (0, 1]$, $0 < s \leq 1$, and $R > 0$ be given. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} u_{h,0}^\pm(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{h \in (0, 1]} \left\| (u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \leq R.$$

Then, there exist $0 < h_0 = h_0(R) < 1$ and $T(R) > 0$ sufficiently small such that the following holds:

Let $(v_h^+(t), v_h^-(t)) \in C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h))$ (resp., $(w^+(t), w^-(t)) \in C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}))$) be the solution to the decoupled FPU (3.5) (resp., KdV (3.6)) with an initial data $(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)$ (resp., $(\mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^+, \mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^-)$). Then, there exists $C(R) > 0$ independent on h such that

$$\sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \|\mathcal{L}_h v_h^\pm(t) - w^\pm(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(R) h^{\frac{2s}{5}},$$

whenever $0 < h \leq h_0$.

Remark 3.10. The convergence rate $h^{\frac{2s}{5}}$ in Proposition 3.9 is worse than that in Proposition 3.7. However, it seems to be optimal in the sense that the Airy flows can be approximated by the linear FPU flows with this convergence rate (see (6.15) below).

3.2. Well-posedness of FPU.

Proposition 3.11 (Local well-posedness of coupled and decoupled FPU). *Let $h \in (0, 1]$ be fixed. For each*

$$u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^- \in L^2(\mathbb{T}_h),$$

there exists a time $T = T(h, \|u_{h,0}^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}, \|u_{h,0}^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)})$ for which a unique solution $(u_h^+, u_h^-) \in C([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))$ to the coupled FPU (3.3) (resp., $(v_h^+, v_h^-) \in C([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))$ to the decoupled FPU (3.5)) exists.

Proof. We only deal with the coupled FPU, since the decoupled FPU follows similarly. Define a nonlinear map $\Phi = (\Phi^+, \Phi^-)$ by

$$\Phi^\pm(u_h^+, u_h^-) := S_h^\pm(t) u_{h,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h \left(u_h^\pm(t') + e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t') \right)^2 dt'.$$

Then, for $T > 0$ (to be chosen later),

$$\|\Phi(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))} \lesssim \|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} + T \left\| \nabla_h \left(u_h^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \right)^2 \right\|_{C_t([-T, T] : L^2(\mathbb{T}_h))}.$$

Note by the continuous embedding $\ell^p \subset \ell^q$ that

$$(3.8) \quad \|u_h\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}_h)} \lesssim h^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \|u_h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_h)} \quad \text{for all } q > p.$$

Note also that the differential operator is bounded on a lattice

$$(3.9) \quad \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \lesssim h^{-1} \|u_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}.$$

Using (3.9) and (3.8), we estimate the nonlinear term by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla_h \left(u_h^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \right)^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} &\leq h^{-1} \left\| \left(u_h^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \right)^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \\ &\leq 2h^{-1} \left(\|u_h^\pm\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 + \left\| e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2Ch^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\|u_h^\pm\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 + \left\| e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2Ch^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(u_h^\pm, u_h^\mp)\|_{\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the $C > 0$ appears in the estimate (3.8) for $(p, q) = (2, 4)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\|\Phi(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))} \leq \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h)} + 2Ch^{-\frac{3}{2}} T \|(u_h^\pm, u_h^\mp)\|_{C_t([-T, T] : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))}^2.$$

Similarly, one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(u_{1,h}^+, u_{1,h}^-) - \Phi(u_{2,h}^+, u_{2,h}^-)\|_{C_t([-T,T];\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))} \\ & \leq 4Ch^{-\frac{3}{2}}T \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \left\| (u_{j,h}^\pm, u_{j,h}^\mp) \right\|_{C_t([-T,T];\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))} \right) \left\| (u_{1,h}^\pm - u_{2,h}^\pm, u_{1,h}^\mp - u_{2,h}^\mp) \right\|_{C_t([-T,T];\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $T = \frac{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}{16C\|(u_{h,0}^\pm, u_{h,0}^\mp)\|_{\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h)}}$, we prove that Φ is contractive on the ball in $C_t([-T,T];\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h))$ of radius $2\|(u_{h,0}^\pm, u_{h,0}^\mp)\|_{\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{T}_h)}$ centered at zero. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.5. We end this section with a brief proof of Theorem 1.5, assuming Propositions 3.7 and 3.9. A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\mathcal{L}_h r_h)(t, \cdot) - w^+(t, \cdot - \frac{t}{h^2}) - w^-(t, \cdot + \frac{t}{h^2}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} u_h^+)(t, \cdot) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} w^+(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} u_h^-)(t, \cdot) - e^{\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} w^-(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal only with the "+" part, as the rest follows analogously.

By Lemma 2.6, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} u_h^+)(t) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} w^+(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} u_h^+)(t) - \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} v_h^+)(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} v_h^+)(t) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} w^+(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq \|u_h^+(t) - v_h^+(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(v_h^+)(t) - w^+(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} v_h^+)(t) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} \mathcal{L}_h(v_h^+)(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming Propositions 3.7 and 3.9, the first two terms can be bounded by $h^{\frac{2s}{5}}$. For the remaining term, we split the L^2 -norm into high- and low-frequency components as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} v_h^+)(t) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} \mathcal{L}_h(v_h^+)(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} & \leq \|P_{\geq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} v_h^+) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} \mathcal{L}_h(v_h^+) \right) (t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \quad + \|P_{\leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\mathcal{L}_h(e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_h} v_h^+) - e^{-\frac{t}{h^2}\partial_x} \mathcal{L}_h(v_h^+) \right) (t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.10 and Corollary 4.12 below, the first term is bounded by h^s . Note by (2.6) that the second term vanishes. Collecting all, we complete the proof.

4. COUPLED AND DECOUPLED FPU SYSTEMS

4.1. Function spaces for solutions. In this subsection, we define resolution spaces for the FPU system. We introduce the $X^{s,b}$ spaces, introduced by Bourgain [6] and further developed by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [24] and Tao [32], adapted to our lattice setting.

First, we define the function space in a general setting. In subsequent applications, the spatial domain Ξ will be either the torus \mathbb{T} or the periodic lattice domain \mathbb{T}_h , and the associated symbol P is chosen according to the model considered. Since the following are stated in a general setting, they can be applied in a unified way. We refer to [33] for the details and proofs.

Definition 4.1 ($X^{s,b}$ spaces). *Let Ξ be either \mathbb{T} or \mathbb{T}_h . Let p be a real-valued continuous function. For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the $X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \Xi)$ spaces ($X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}$ in short) as the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times h\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the norm*

$$\|u\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}}^2 := \sum_{k \in (\Xi)^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle k \rangle^{2s} \langle \tau - \rho(k) \rangle^{2b} |\tilde{u}(\tau, k)|^2 d\tau,$$

where \tilde{u} denotes the space-time Fourier transform of u defined by⁵

$$\tilde{u}(\tau, k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \Xi} e^{-it\tau} e^{-ixk} u(t, x) dt dx$$

and $(\Xi)^*$ is the Pontryagin dual space of Ξ , i.e., $(\mathbb{T})^* = \mathbb{Z}$ and $(\mathbb{T}_h)^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus (2N\mathbb{Z})$. We particularly use $\mathcal{F}_{t,x}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t,h}$ for \tilde{u} and \tilde{u}_h , respectively, to avoid confusion.

Lemma 4.2 ([33, 11]). *Let $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}$ spaces be defined as in Definition 4.1. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function. Then, the following properties hold true:*

- (1) (Nesting) $X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s',b'} \subset X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}$ whenever $s \leq s'$, $b \leq b'$.
- (2) (Duality) $X_{-\tau=p(-k)}^{-s,-b}$ is the dual space of $X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}$.
- (3) (Well-defined for linear solutions) For any $f \in H^s(\Xi)$, we have⁶

$$\left\| \eta(t) e^{itp(-i\partial)} f \right\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} \|f\|_{H^s(\Xi)}.$$

- (4) (Transference principle) Let X be a Banach space for which the inequality

$$\left\| e^{it\tau_0} e^{itp(-i\partial)} f \right\|_X \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s(\Xi)}$$

holds for all $f \in H^s(\Xi)$ and $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If, additionally, $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the embedding

$$\|u\|_X \lesssim_b \|u\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|u\|_{C_t H^s(\Xi)} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}}.$$

- (5) (Stability with respect to time localization) Let $0 < T < 1$, $b > \frac{1}{2}$ and $f \in H^s(\Xi)$. We have

$$\left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) e^{itp(-i\partial)} f \right\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b} T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|f\|_{H^s(\Xi)}.$$

If $-\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq b < \frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) u_h \right\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b'}} \lesssim_{\eta,b,b'} T^{b-b'} \|u_h\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}}.$$

- (6) (Inhomogeneous estimate) Let $-\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq 0 \leq b \leq b' + 1$ and $T \leq 1$. Then, we have

$$\left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')p(-i\partial)} F(t') dt' \right\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b}} \lesssim_{\eta,b,b'} T^{1-b-b'} \|F\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s,b'}}.$$

From Lemma 4.2, it is known that $X^{s,b}$ for some $b > \frac{1}{2}$ seems be an appropriate auxiliary function space for both FPU and KdV. However, the nonlinearity of KdV cannot be controlled in $X^{s,b}$ except

⁵In particular, when $\Xi = \mathbb{T}_h$, \tilde{u} (as in Definition 4.1) is defined by

$$\tilde{u}_h(\tau, k) = \frac{h}{2\pi} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} e^{-ixk} u_h(t, x) dt.$$

⁶When $\Xi = \mathbb{T}$, $\partial = \partial_x$, otherwise $\partial = \partial_h$.

for $b = \frac{1}{2}$ (see Theorem 1.2 in [24])⁷. In order to overcome this issue, we use an additional auxiliary function space $Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s = Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s(\mathbb{R} \times \Xi)$ equipped with the norm (see, for instance, [7])

$$\|u\|_{Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s} := \|u\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\langle k \rangle^s \tilde{u}(\tau, k)\|_{\ell_{k \in (\Xi)^*}^2 L_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}^1}.$$

We list well-known properties of $Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s$. For the proofs, we refer to [11]

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

(1) (Embedding) For $u \in C_t^0 H_x^s(\mathbb{R} \times \Xi)$,

$$(4.3) \quad \|u\|_{C_t H_x^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s}.$$

(2) (Well-defined for linear solutions) For any $f \in H^s(\Xi)$, we have

$$\left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) e^{itp(-i\partial)} f \right\|_{Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s(\Xi)}.$$

(3) (Inhomogeneous estimate) For $Z_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s$ norm defined by

$$\|u\|_{Z_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s} := \|u\|_{X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s, -\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\langle k \rangle^s \langle \tau - \rho(k) \rangle^{-1} \tilde{u}(\tau, k)\|_{\ell_{k \in \Xi^*}^2 L_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}^1},$$

we have

$$\left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')p(-i\partial)} F(t') dt' \right\|_{Y_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s} \lesssim \|F\|_{Z_{\tau=\rho(k)}^s}.$$

For the particular FPU solutions u_h^\pm , its corresponding $X^{s,b}$ space is defined by

Definition 4.4 ($X_{h,\pm}^{s,b}$ spaces). For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the discrete $X^{s,b}$ spaces $X_{h,\pm}^{s,b} = X_{h,\pm}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h)$ as

$$X_{h,\pm}^{s,b} := X_{\tau=\pm s_h(k)}^{s,b},$$

where

$$s_h(k) = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \right).$$

Analogously, $Y_{h,\pm}^s$ and $Z_{h,\pm}^s$ can be defined by replacing $X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $X_{\tau=\rho(k)}^{s, -\frac{1}{2}}$ by $X_{h,\pm}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $X_{h,\pm}^{s, -\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively. Moreover, in a standard manner, we localize these spaces in time for $T \in (0, 1]$ as

$$Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T} = \left\{ f_h : [-T, T] \times \mathbb{T}_h : \|f_h\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} = \inf_{g_h=f_h} \inf_{[-T, T] \times \mathbb{T}_h} \|g_h\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^s} \right\},$$

$$Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T} = \left\{ f_h : [-T, T] \times \mathbb{T}_h : \|f_h\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} = \inf_{g_h=f_h} \inf_{[-T, T] \times \mathbb{T}_h} \|g_h\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^s} \right\}.$$

⁷An analogous argument to that in Theorem 1.2 of [24] may yield the same result for the FPU system, but proving it here is unnecessary.

4.2. Linear estimates.

Proposition 4.5 (L^4 -Strichartz estimates). *Let $0 < h \leq 1$. For $b > \frac{1}{3}$, we have*

$$\|f_h\|_{L_t^4(\mathbb{R}; L^4(\mathbb{T}_h))} \lesssim \|f_h\|_{X_{h,\pm}^{0,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h)}$$

for any function f_h in $X_{h,\pm}^{0,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Then, the following statements are valid:*

- (1) $|1 - \cos x - \alpha| \geq \frac{x^2}{4}$, if $\alpha \leq 0$.
- (2) $|1 - \cos x - \alpha| \geq \frac{1}{4}|x - \beta||x + \beta|$, if $0 < \alpha < 1$, where $\beta = \cos^{-1}(1 - \alpha) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$
- (3) $|1 - \cos x - \alpha| \geq \frac{1}{4}|x - \frac{\pi}{2}||x + \frac{\pi}{2}|$, if $\alpha \geq 1$.

Proof. When $\alpha \leq 0$, $|1 - \cos x - \alpha| \geq 1 - \cos x \geq 0$ in $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Let $g(x) = 1 - \cos x - \frac{1}{4}x^2$. Note that $g(0) = 0$. Since $g'(x) = \sin x - \frac{1}{2}x \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we know g is increasing, thus $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Therefore, we have Item (1) due to the evenness of g .

When $\alpha \geq 1$, $|1 - \cos x - \alpha| = \cos x + (\alpha - 1) \geq \cos x \geq 0$. Now we set $g(x) = \cos x - \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi^2}{2} - x^2)$. Note that $g(0) = 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{16} \geq 0$ and $g(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$. Since $g'(x) = -\sin x + \frac{1}{2}x \leq 0$ for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we know g is decreasing, thus $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Therefore, we have Item (3) due to the evenness of g .

When $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $\beta = \cos^{-1}(1 - \alpha) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= |1 - \cos x - \alpha| - \frac{1}{4}|x - \beta||x + \beta| \\ &= \begin{cases} -1 + \cos x + \alpha + \frac{1}{4}(x - \beta)(x + \beta), & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \beta, \\ 1 - \cos x - \alpha - \frac{1}{4}(x - \beta)(x + \beta), & \text{if } \beta \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have already shown that $g(0) = \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{4} = 1 - \cos \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{4} > 0$ and $g(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 - \alpha - \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - \beta^2) = \cos \beta - \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - \beta^2) > 0$ for $\beta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. We also note that $g(\beta) = 0$. Since

$$g'(x) = \begin{cases} -\sin x + \frac{1}{2}x < 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \beta, \\ \sin x - \frac{1}{2}x > 0, & \text{if } \beta \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases}$$

we conclude that $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Therefore, we have Item (2) due to the evenness of g . \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We only prove " + " part, and the other part follows analogously. Let $f_h = f_{h,1} + f_{h,2}$, where

$$\widehat{f}_{h,1}(k) = 0, \quad \text{if } |k| > 1.$$

Note that $|\{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* : k \in \text{supp}(\widehat{f}_1)\}| = 3$. Since $(f_h)^2 \leq 2(f_{h,1})^2 + 2(f_{h,2})^2$, it suffices to treat $\|(f_{h,1})^2\|_{L_t^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}_h))}$ and $\|(f_{h,2})^2\|_{L_t^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}_h))}$ separately. Moreover, let $f_{h,2} = f_{h,2,1} + f_{h,2,2}$, where

$$\widehat{f}_{h,2,1}(k) = 0 \quad \text{if } k > 1.$$

Then, similarly as before, $\|(f_{h,2})^2\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\|(f_{h,2,1})^2\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|(f_{h,2,2})^2\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|(f_{h,2,1})^2\|_{L^2} = \|(\overline{f_{h,2,1}})^2\|_{L^2} = \|(f_{h,2,2})^2\|_{L^2}$ which enables us to assume that $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}_{h,2}) = \{2, 3, \dots, N-1\}$.

$(f_{h,1})^2$ case. A computation gives

$$(4.4) \quad \|(f_{h,1})^2\|_{L_t^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}_h))}^2 \leq \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}_{h,1}(\tau_1, k_1)| |\tilde{f}_{h,1}(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)| d\tau_1 \right|^2 d\tau.$$

From the support property, the right-hand side of (4.4) vanishes unless $|k| \leq 2$. Let

$$\tilde{F}_{h,1}(\tau, k) = \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^b |\tilde{f}_{h,1}(\tau, k)|.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Minkowski inequality, we see that for $b > \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (4.4)} &\lesssim \sum_{|k| \leq 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{|k_1| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - s_h(k - k_1) \rangle^{-2b} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{-2b} d\tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{F}_1(\tau_1, k_1)|^2 |\tilde{F}_1(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)|^2 d\tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 d\tau \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k| \leq 2} \left(\sum_{|k_1| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{F}_{h,1}(\tau_1, k_1)|^2 |\tilde{F}_{h,1}(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)|^2 d\tau_1 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \|f_{h,1}\|_{X_{h,+}^{0,b}}^4 \lesssim \|f_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{0,b}}^4. \end{aligned}$$

$(f_{h,2})^2$ case. Analogous to (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_{h,2})^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_h)}^2 &\leq \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}_{h,2}(\tau_1, k_1)| |\tilde{f}_{h,2}(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)| d\tau_1 \right|^2 d\tau \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k > 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k - k_1, k_1 > 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}_{h,2}(\tau_1, k_1)| |\tilde{f}_{h,2}(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)| d\tau_1 \right|^2 d\tau =: I. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k > 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k - k_1, k_1 > 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - s_h(k - k_1) \rangle^{-2b} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{-2b} d\tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k - k_1, k_1 > 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{F}_{h,2}(\tau_1, k_1)|^2 |\tilde{F}_{h,2}(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)|^2 d\tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 d\tau \\ &\lesssim L \|f_{h,2}\|_{X_{h,+}^{0,b}}^4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = \sup_{\substack{\tau \in \mathbb{R}, k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k > 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k - k_1, k_1 > 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - s_h(k - k_1) \rangle^{-2b} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{-2b} d\tau_1.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that $L \lesssim 1$ whenever $b > \frac{1}{3}$.

By a direct computation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle a \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle b - a \rangle^{-\alpha} da \lesssim \langle b \rangle^{1-2\alpha},$$

for $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, we estimate

$$L \lesssim \sup_{\substack{\tau \in \mathbb{R}, k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k > 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1, k - k_1 > 1}} \langle \tau - s_h(k_1) - s_h(k - k_1) \rangle^{1-4b}.$$

Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$ with $k > 1$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & s_h(k_1) + s_h(k - k_1) - \tau \\ &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{2}{h} \left(\sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{h(k - k_1)}{2}\right) \right) \right) - \tau \\ &= \frac{4}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{h(2k_1 - k)}{4}\right) \right) - \left(\tau - \frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{h(2k_1 - k)}{4}\right) - C_h(\tau, k) \right) \\ &=: \frac{4}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) g(k_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_h(\tau, k) = \frac{\tau - \frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \right)}{\frac{4}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}.$$

Now we observe $g(x)$ for $x \in [\frac{k}{2} - \frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{k}{2} + \frac{\pi}{h}]$. When $1 < x, k - x < \frac{\pi}{h}$, we know

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{h(2k_1 - k)}{4} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and thus} \quad 0 \leq 1 - \cos\left(\frac{h(2x - k)}{4}\right) \leq 1.$$

Note also that

$$(4.5) \quad |\sin x| \geq \frac{|x|}{2},$$

for all $|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then, by Lemma 4.6,

$$|g(k_1)| \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{h(2k_1 - k)}{4} - \gamma \right| \left| \frac{h(2k_1 - k)}{4} + \gamma \right|,$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } C(\tau, k) \leq 0, \\ \cos^{-1}(1 - C_h(\tau, k)), & \text{if } 0 < C(\tau, k) < 1, \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & \text{if } C(\tau, k) \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

This, in addition to (4.5), implies

$$|\tau - s_h(k_1) - s_h(k - k_1)| \geq \frac{1}{16} k |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_+| |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_-|,$$

where

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\pm = \frac{k}{2} \pm \frac{2\gamma}{h}.$$

Let $\Omega_{\pm} := \{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* : |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}| \leq 1\}$. Then $|\Omega_{\pm}| \leq 3$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \setminus \Omega_{\pm} \\ k-k_1, k_1 > 1}} (k |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_+| |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_-|)^{1-4b} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k_1| > 1} |k_1|^{3(1-4b)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{|k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_+| > 1} |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_+|^{3(1-4b)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{|k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_-| > 1} |k_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_-|^{3(1-4b)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

if $b > \frac{1}{3}$, which completes the proof. \square

4.3. Bilinear estimates. By Remark 3.3, throughout this section, we may assume that u_h^{\pm} and v_h^{\pm} satisfy the mean zero condition. Define Hyper-surfaces by

$$\Theta := \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0\}$$

and

$$\Delta := \{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in ((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)^3 : k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 4.7 (Bilinear estimate I). *Let $s \geq 0$. Then, we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \|\nabla_h(u_h^{\pm} v_h^{\pm})\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^s} \lesssim \|u_h^{\pm}\|_{X_{h,\pm}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^{\pm}\|_{X_{h,\pm}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}},$$

for all $u_h^{\pm}, v_h^{\pm} \in X_{h,\pm}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. We prove (4.6) only for u_h^+, v_h^+ and drop the "+" sign for the simplicity. We consider the first part of the Z -norm. By duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h(u_h \cdot v_h)\|_{X_{h,+}^{s,-\frac{1}{2}}} &= \sup_{\|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{-s,\frac{1}{2}}}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\mathbb{T}_h} w_h \nabla_h(u_h \cdot v_h) dt \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{-s,\frac{1}{2}}}=1} \left| \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{2}\right) \widetilde{u}_h(\tau_1, k_1) \widetilde{v}_h(\tau_2, k_2) \widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} U_h(\tau, k) &= \langle k \rangle^s \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widetilde{u}_h(\tau, k)|, \\ V_h(\tau, k) &= \langle k \rangle^s \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widetilde{v}_h(\tau, k)|, \\ W_h(\tau, k) &= \langle k \rangle^{-s} \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it suffices to control

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) W_h(\tau_3, k_3),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{|k_3|^s \left| \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{2}\right) \right|}{|k_1|^s |k_2|^s \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

For $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, let

$$G(k_1, k_2, k_3) = s_h(k_1) + s_h(k_2) + s_h(k_3)$$

be the resonance function, which plays an important role in the bilinear $X^{s,b}$ -type estimates. Note that

$$G(k_1, k_2, k_3) = -\frac{8}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right).$$

From the identities

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) + \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) + \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) = -G(k_1, k_2, k_3),$$

we know that⁸

$$(4.9) \quad \max_{j=1,2,3} |k_j| \sim \text{med}_{j=1,2,3} |k_j| \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{j=1,2,3} |\tau_j - s_h(k_j)| \sim \max\left(\text{med}_{i=1,2,3} |\tau_j - s_h(k_j)|, |G(k_1, k_2, k_3)|\right).$$

With mean-zero condition ($k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0$), we further know

$$(4.10) \quad \max_{j=1,2,3} \langle \tau_j - s_h(k_j) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \gtrsim |k_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_3|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle$ is the largest one in $\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$.

By (4.9) and (4.10), the multiplier $\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is bounded by

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{|k_3|^{1+s}}{|k_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+s} |k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+s} |k_3|^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Then, by Parseval's identity, Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$(4.8) \lesssim \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \frac{1}{\langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) W_h(\tau_3, k_3) \\ \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\mathbb{T}_h} \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}\left(\frac{V_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}\left(\frac{W_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) dt \\ \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}\left(\frac{V_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^4} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}\left(\frac{W_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^4} \\ \lesssim \|U_h\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}\left(\frac{V_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right\|_{X_{h,+}^{0, \frac{1}{3}+}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}\left(\frac{W_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right\|_{X_{h,+}^{0, \frac{1}{3}+}} \\ \lesssim \|u_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{-s, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

For the second part of the $Z_{h,+}^s$ -norm, we have from duality that

$$\left\| \frac{\langle k \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_{t,x}(\nabla_h(u_h^+ v_h^+))(\tau, k)}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle} \right\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_{\tau}^1} \\ \lesssim \sup_{\|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_{\tau}^{\infty}} \leq 1} \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3)|,$$

where U_h and V_h are defined as in (4.7) and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{|k_3|^s \left|\frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{2}\right)\right|}{|k_1|^s |k_2|^s \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle}.$$

⁸Once applying (spacetime) Littlewood-Paley decomposition to the summand in (4.8), one knows (4.8) vanishes unless the conditions (4.9) hold.

Case 1. $\max_{i=1,2,3} \langle \tau_i - s_h(k_i) \rangle = \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle$. Note from Proposition 4.5 that

$$(4.12) \quad \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{|\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)|}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \lesssim \left\| \frac{\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^2} \lesssim \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^\infty}.$$

Similarly as (4.11), the multiplier is bounded by

$$\frac{|k_3|^{\frac{1}{2}+s}}{|k_1|^{\frac{1}{2}+s} |k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+s} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle}.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.5 and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3)| \\ & \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \right\|_{L_t^2 L^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{|\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)|}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \\ & \lesssim \|u_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Note by symmetry that the case $\max_{i=1,2,3} \langle \tau_i - s_h(k_i) \rangle = \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle$ follows analogously.

Case 2. $\max_{i=1,2,3} \langle \tau_i - s_h(k_i) \rangle = \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle$. By (4.10), we have

$$\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle \gtrsim |k_1|^{\frac{2}{3}} |k_2|^{\frac{2}{3}} |k_3|^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{6}} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we further assume that $|k_1| \leq |k_2|$. Then, the multiplier is bounded by

$$\frac{|k_3|^{1+s}}{|k_1|^{s+\frac{2}{3}} |k_2|^{s+\frac{2}{3}} |k_3|^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|k_1|^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_2 - s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

Since both $\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and $|k|^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ are square integrable in τ and k , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, -\tau_1 - \tau_2, k_1, k_2, -k_1 - k_2) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(-\tau_1 - \tau_2, -k_1 - k_2)| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{1}{|k_1|^{\frac{2}{3}}} \|U_h(k_1)\|_{L_\tau^2} \|V_h(k_2)\|_{L_\tau^2} \|\widetilde{w}_h(-k_1 - k_2)\|_{L_\tau^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|U_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^2} \|V_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^2} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|u_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_\tau^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.8 (Bilinear estimate II). *Let $0 \leq s \leq s' \leq s + 1$. Then,*

$$\left\| \nabla_h(u_h^\pm \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^\mp) \right\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^s} \lesssim h^{s'-s} \|u_h^\pm\|_{X_{h,\pm}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^\mp\|_{X_{h,\mp}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}},$$

for all $u_h^\pm \in X_{h,\pm}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}, v_h^\mp \in X_{h,\mp}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. We only prove

$$\left\| \nabla_h(u_h^+ \cdot e^{+\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^-) \right\|_{Z_{h,+}^s} \lesssim h^{s'-s} \|u_h^+\|_{X_{h,+}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 4.7, we write by duality that

$$(4.13) \quad \left\| \nabla_h (u_h^+ \cdot e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^-) \right\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \\ = \sup_{\|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{-s, -\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk_3}{2} \right) \widetilde{u}_h^+(\tau_1, k_1) \widetilde{v}_h^-(\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2}, k_2) \widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3) \right|$$

Define

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} U_h(\tau, k) &= \langle k \rangle^{s'} \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{u}_h^+(\tau, k) \right| \\ V_h(\tau, k) &= \langle k \rangle^{s'} \langle \tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{v}_h^-(\tau - \frac{2k}{h^2}, k) \right| \\ W_h(\tau, k) &= \langle k \rangle^{-s} \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the right-hand side of (4.13) is bounded by

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) W_h(\tau_3, k_3),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{|k_3|^s \left| \frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk_3}{2} \right) \right|}{|k_1|^{s'} |k_2|^{s'} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

For $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, define the resonant function as

$$G(k_1, k_2, k_3) = s_h(k_1) - s_h(k_2) + s_h(k_3) + \frac{2k_2}{h^2}.$$

Note that

$$G(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{8}{h^3} \cos \left(\frac{hk_1}{4} \right) \sin \left(\frac{hk_2}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{hk_3}{4} \right).$$

From the identities

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) + \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) + \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) = -G(k_1, k_2, k_3),$$

we know that

$$\max_{j=1,2,3} |k_j| \sim \text{med}_{j=1,2,3} |k_j|$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} M &:= \max \left(\left| \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \right|, \left| \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \right|, \left| \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \right| \right) \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{h^3} \cos \left(\frac{hk_1}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{hk_3}{4} \right) \left| \sin \left(\frac{hk_2}{4} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\cos \left(\frac{hk}{4} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for all $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$. From (4.16), we immediately know

$$(1 + M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gtrsim \left(1 + \frac{|k_2|}{h^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gtrsim h^{-1} |k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M = |\tau_1 - s_h(k_1)|$ in $\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$. Note from (4.16) that dispersive smoothing effect is efficient when $|k_2|$ is the maximum frequency, thus

it suffices to consider the worst case when $|k_2| \leq |k_1| \sim |k_3|$. Since $h|k| \lesssim 1$ for all $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$ and $1 + s - s' \geq 0$, for non-zero frequencies, the multiplier $\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{h|k_3|^{1+s}|k_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_1|^{s'}|k_2|^{s'}\langle\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{h^{s'-s}}{\langle\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Then, by Parseval's identity, Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.15) &\lesssim \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \frac{h^{s'-s}}{\langle\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) W_h(\tau_3, k_3) \\ &\lesssim h^{s'-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\mathbb{T}_h} \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\langle\tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{W_h}{\langle\tau - s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) dt, \\ &\lesssim h^{s'-s} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \right\|_{L_t^2 L^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\langle\tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{W_h}{\langle\tau - s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \\ &\lesssim h^{s'-s} \|u_h^+\|_{X_{h,+}^{s',\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\langle\tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{X_{h,-}^{0,\frac{1}{3}}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{W_h}{\langle\tau - s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{X_{h,+}^{0,\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &\lesssim h^{s'-s} \|u_h^+\|_{X_{h,+}^{s',\frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{s',\frac{1}{2}}} \|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{-s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part of $Z_{h,+}^s$, by duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{\langle k \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_{t,x} \left(\nabla_h (u_h^+ \cdot e^{\frac{2i}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^-) \right) (\tau, k)}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle} \right\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_{\tau}^1} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_{\tau}^{\infty}} \leq 1} \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3)|, \end{aligned}$$

where U_h and V_h are defined as in (4.14), and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{|k_3|^s \left| \frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk_3}{2} \right) \right|}{|k_1|^{s'} |k_2|^{s'} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle}.$$

Case 1. $M = |\tau_1 - s_h(k_1)|$. Similarly as above in addition to (4.16) under the worst case $|k_2| \leq |k_1| \sim |k_3|$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{h|k_3|^{1+s}|k_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_1|^{s'}|k_2|^{s'}\langle\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)\rangle\langle\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{h^{s'-s}}{\langle\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)\rangle\langle\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 4.5 and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3)| \\ &\lesssim h^{s'-s} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \right\|_{L_t^2 L^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\langle\tau - s_h(k)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{|\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)|}{\langle\tau - s_h(k)\rangle} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \\ &\lesssim h^{s'-s} \|u_h^+\|_{X_{h,+}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 L_{\tau}^{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note by symmetry that the case $M = |\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)|$ follows analogously.

Case 2. $M = |\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)|$. By (4.16), we have

$$\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle \gtrsim h^{-\frac{4}{3}} |k_2|^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{6}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Since the worst case occurs when $|k_2|$ is the smallest one, we further assume that $|k_2| \leq |k_1| \sim |k_3|$. Then, the multiplier is bounded by

$$\frac{|k_3|^{1+s} h^{\frac{4}{3}}}{|k_1|^{s'} |k_2|^{s'+\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}} \lesssim \frac{h^{\frac{1}{3}+s'-s}}{|k_2|^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

Since both $\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and $k^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ are square integrable in τ and k , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, -\tau_1 - \tau_2, k_1, k_2, -k_1 - k_2) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(-\tau_1 - \tau_2, -k_1 - k_2)| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{3}+s'-s}}{|k_2|^{\frac{2}{3}}} \|U_h(k_1)\|_{L^2_\tau} \|V_h(k_2)\|_{L^2_\tau} \|\widetilde{w}_h(-k_1 - k_2)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{3}+s'-s} \|U_h\|_{\ell^2_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} L^2_\tau} \|V_h\|_{\ell^2_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} L^2_\tau} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell^2_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{3}+s'-s} \|u_h^+\|_{X_{h,+}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{s, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell^2_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*} L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.9 (Bilinear estimate III). *Let $0 \leq s \leq s' \leq s + 1$. Then,*

$$\left\| \nabla_h (e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^\mp) \right\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^s} \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}+s'-s} \|u_h^\mp\|_{X_{h,\mp}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^\mp\|_{X_{h,\mp}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}},$$

for all $u_h^\mp, v_h^\mp \in X_{h,\mp}^{s', \frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. In view of the proof of Lemma 4.8, it suffices to prove

$$\left\| \nabla_h (e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^- \cdot e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^-) \right\|_{Z_{h,+}^0} \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

We start with the first part of $Z_{h,+}^0$ norm

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla_h (e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^- \cdot e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^-) \right\|_{X_{h,+}^{0, -\frac{1}{2}}} \\ (4.17) \quad & = \sup_{\|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}=1} \left| \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{2}\right) \widetilde{u}_h^-(\tau_1 - \frac{2k}{h^2}, k_1) \widetilde{v}_h^-(\tau_2 - \frac{2k}{h^2}, k_2) \widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad U_h(\tau, k) &= \langle \tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{u}_h^-(\tau - \frac{2k}{h^2}, k) \right| \\ V_h(\tau, k) &= \langle \tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{v}_h^-(\tau - \frac{2k}{h^2}, k) \right| \\ W_h(\tau, k) &= \langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the right-hand side of (4.17) is bounded by

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) W_h(\tau_3, k_3),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{\frac{2}{h} \left| \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{2}\right) \right|}{\left\langle \tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

For $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, define the resonant function as

$$G(k_1, k_2, k_3) = s_h(k_1) - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} - s_h(k_3).$$

Note that

$$G(k_1, k_2, k_3) = -\frac{8}{h^3} \cos\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right).$$

From the identities

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1) + \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) + \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) = -G(k_1, k_2, k_3),$$

we know that

$$\max_{j=1,2,3} |k_j| \sim \text{med}_{j=1,2,3} |k_j|$$

and

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} M &:= \max \left(\left| \tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1) \right|, \left| \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \right|, \left| \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \right| \right) \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{h^3} \cos\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) \left| \sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for all $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$. From (4.20), we immediately know

$$(1 + M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gtrsim (1 + M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \left(1 + \frac{|k_3|}{h^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq h^{-1} |k_3|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which says that dispersive smoothing effect is efficient when $|k_3|$ is the maximum frequency, thus it suffices to consider the worst case when $|k_3| \leq |k_1| \sim |k_2|$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M = \left| \tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1) \right|$ in $\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$. Since $h|k| \lesssim 1$ for all $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, for non-zero frequencies, the multiplier $\mathcal{M}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{h|k_3|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left\langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left\langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Then, by Parseval's identity, Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$(4.19) \lesssim \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left\langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) W_h(\tau_3, k_3) \\ = h^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\mathbb{T}_h} \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\left\langle \tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{W_h}{\left\langle \tau - s_h(k) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) dt \\ \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \right\|_{L_t^2 L^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\left\langle \tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{W_h}{\left\langle \tau - s_h(k) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \\ \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\left\langle \tau - \frac{2k}{h^2} + s_h(k) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{X_{h,-}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{W_h}{\left\langle \tau - s_h(k) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{X_{h,+}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \|w_h\|_{X_{h,+}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Next, we consider the second part of the $Z_{h,+}^s$ norm. By duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}_{t,x} \left(\nabla_h (e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^- \cdot e^{\frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^-) \right) (\tau, k)}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle} \right\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^1} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{\|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3)|, \end{aligned}$$

where U_h and V_h are defined as in (4.18), and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{\left| \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{hk_3}{2} \right|}{\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle \langle \tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Case 1. $M = |\tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1)|$. Since $h|k| \lesssim 1$ for all $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, from (4.20), the multiplier $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{h|k_3|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle} \lesssim \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 4.5 and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Theta} \sum_{\Delta} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, k_1, k_2, k_3) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(\tau_3, k_3)| \\ & \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1}(U_h) \right\|_{L_t^2 L^2} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_h}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \left\| \mathcal{F}_{t,h}^{-1} \left(\frac{|\widetilde{w}_h(\tau, k)|}{\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle} \right) \right\|_{L_t^4 L^4} \\ & \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Note by symmetry that the case $M = |\tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2)|$ follows analogously.

Case 2. $M = |\tau_3 - s_h(k_3)|$. By (4.20), we have

$$\langle \tau_3 - s_h(k_3) \rangle \gtrsim h^{-\frac{3}{2}} |k_3|^{\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau_1 - \frac{2k_1}{h^2} + s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}}.$$

Since $h|k| \lesssim 1$ for all $k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*$, the multiplier is bounded by

$$\frac{h^{\frac{3}{2}} |k_3|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{5}{8}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{5}{8}}} \lesssim \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_2|^{\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau_1 - s_h(k_1) \rangle^{\frac{5}{8}} \langle \tau_2 - \frac{2k_2}{h^2} + s_h(k_2) \rangle^{\frac{5}{8}}}.$$

Since both $\langle \tau - s_h(k) \rangle^{-\frac{5}{8}}$ and $k^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ are square integrable in τ and k , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_h(\tau_1, \tau_2, -\tau_1 - \tau_2, k_1, k_2, -k_1 - k_2) U_h(\tau_1, k_1) V_h(\tau_2, k_2) |\widetilde{w}_h(-\tau_1 - \tau_2, -k_1 - k_2)| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_2|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|U_h(k_1)\|_{L_\tau^2} \|V_h(k_2)\|_{L_\tau^2} \|\widetilde{w}_h(-k_1 - k_2)\|_{L_\tau^\infty} \\ & \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|U_h(k_1)\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^2} \|V_h(k_2)\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^2} \|\widetilde{w}_h(-k_1 - k_2)\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^\infty} \\ & \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|v_h^-\|_{X_{h,-}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{w}_h\|_{\ell_{k \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^*}^2 * L_\tau^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As an immediate corollary, we have

Corollary 4.10. *Let $0 \leq s \leq s' \leq s + 1$. Suppose $u_h^\pm(t), v_h^\pm(t) \in Y_{h,\pm}^{s',T}$ are supported in $[0, T]$ and satisfy mean zero assumption. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h(u_h^\pm v_h^\pm)\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} \|u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}}, \\ \|\nabla_h(u_h^\pm \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^\mp)\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} &\lesssim h^{s'-s} T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s',T}} \|v_h^\mp\|_{Y_{h,\mp}^{s',T}}, \\ \|\nabla_h(e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^\mp)\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} &\lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}+s'-s} T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|u_h^\mp\|_{Y_{h,\mp}^{s',T}} \|v_h^\mp\|_{Y_{h,\mp}^{s',T}}, \end{aligned}$$

where all implicit constants are independent of $h > 0$.

Proof. For given $0 < T \leq 1$, take $\eta \in C_0^\infty$ as a non-negative cut-off function supported on $[-2, 2]$ and equals to 1 in $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\|\eta_T(t) u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} \leq 2 \|u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}},$$

where $\eta_T(t) = \eta(\frac{t}{T})$. Then, by Lemma 4.7⁹ and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h(u_h^\pm v_h^\pm)\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} &\lesssim \|\nabla_h((\eta_T u_h^\pm) \cdot (\eta_T v_h^\pm))\|_{Z_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|\eta_T u_h^\pm\|_{X_{h,\pm}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \|\eta_T v_h^\pm\|_{X_{h,\pm}^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} \|u_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest follow analogously. \square

4.4. Uniform bounds for coupled and decoupled FPU.

Proposition 4.11 (Uniform bounds for coupled and decoupled FPU). *Let $s \geq 0$. For given initial data*

$$(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-) \in \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h) \quad \text{with} \quad h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} u_{h,0}^\pm = 0,$$

there exist $T = T(\|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)})$ independent of $h \in (0, 1]$ and a unique solution (u_h^+, u_h^-) to the coupled FPU (3.3) (resp., (v_h^+, v_h^-) to the decoupled FPU (3.5)) with

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_h^\pm(t)\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} &\lesssim \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, \\ \left(\text{resp., } \|v_h^\pm(t)\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} &\lesssim \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For sufficiently small $0 < T \ll 1$ to be chosen later, consider the nonlinear map $\Phi = (\Phi^+, \Phi^-)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\pm(u_h^+, u_h^-) &:= S_h^\pm(t) u_{h,0}^\pm \\ &\mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h \left(u_h^\pm(t') + e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t') \right)^2 dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbb{Y}_h^s (analogously define $\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}$ as a time localized version) denote the solution space for (u_h^+, u_h^-) equipped with the norm

$$\|(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^s}^2 := \|u_h^+\|_{Y_{h,+}^s}^2 + \|u_h^-\|_{Y_{h,-}^s}^2.$$

By Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.10, we have

$$\|\Phi(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} \leq C \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} + C' T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}}^2.$$

⁹In view of the proof, one can find at least $1/6$ more dispersive smoothing effect.

We, here, emphasize that the constants C and C' are independent of $h > 0$. By taking $0 < T < 1$ such that

$$(4.21) \quad CC'T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}_h^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} < \frac{1}{4},$$

one verifies that Φ maps from the set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ (u_h^+, u_h^-) \in \mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T} : \|(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}} \leq 2C \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}_h^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right\}$$

to itself. The difference of two solutions can be treated similarly. Let $\mathcal{N}^\pm(u_h^+, u_h^-)(t)$ denote

$$\mathcal{N}^\pm(u_h^+, u_h^-)(t) = \nabla_h \left\{ \left(u_h^\pm(t) \right)^2 + \left(e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t) \right)^2 + 2u_h^\pm(t) e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t) \right\}.$$

For $(u_h^+, u_h^-), (w_h^+, w_h^-) \in \mathcal{Y}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}^\pm(u_h^+, u_h^-) - \mathcal{N}^\pm(w_h^+, w_h^-) \\ &= \nabla_h \left\{ (u_h^\pm(t) + w_h^\pm(t))(u_h^\pm(t) - w_h^\pm(t)) \right\} + \nabla_h \left\{ \left(e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} (u_h^\mp(t) + w_h^\mp(t)) \right) \left(e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} (u_h^\mp(t) - w_h^\mp(t)) \right) \right\} \\ & \quad + \nabla_h \left\{ (u_h^\pm(t) - w_h^\pm(t)) e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} u_h^\mp(t) \right\} + \nabla_h \left\{ u_h^\pm(t) e^{\pm \frac{2t}{h^2} \partial_h} (u_h^\mp(t) - w_h^\mp(t)) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which guarantees

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C'T^{\frac{1}{6}-} (\|(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^s} + \|(w_h^+, w_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^s}) \|(u_h^+, u_h^-) - (w_h^+, w_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}} \\ & < \frac{1}{2} \|(u_h^+, u_h^-) - (w_h^+, w_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}}, \end{aligned}$$

by taking the same $T > 0$ as in (4.21). Thus, we conclude that Φ is contractive on \mathcal{Y} . (u_h^+, u_h^-) is a solution to (3.3) which, by uniqueness, coincides in $C_t([-T, T] : H^s(\mathbb{T}_h))$ with the solution constructed in Proposition 3.11. \square

As a direct consequence of (4.3) and proposition 4.5, we have

Corollary 4.12 (Uniform bounds for FPU solutions). *Let $s \geq 0$. For given initial data*

$$(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-) \in \mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h) \quad \text{with} \quad h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} u_{h,0}^\pm = 0,$$

Let $(u_h^+(t), u_h^-(t))$ (resp., $(v_h^+(t), v_h^-(t))$) be the solutions to the coupled FPU (3.3) (resp., to the decoupled FPU (3.5)) given in Proposition 4.11. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_h^\pm(t)\|_{C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}_h))} &\lesssim \|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, & \|u_h^\pm\|_{L^4([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_h)} &\lesssim \|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, \\ \|v_h^\pm(t)\|_{C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}_h))} &\lesssim \|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, & \|v_h^\pm\|_{L^4([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_h)} &\lesssim \|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}. \end{aligned}$$

4.5. Decoupling the FPU system: Proof of Proposition 3.7.

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Let $T = T(\|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}_h^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}) > 0$ be the common lifespan of solutions $(u_h^+(t), u_h^-(t))$ to the coupled FPU and $(v_h^+(t), v_h^-(t))$ to the decoupled FPU with initial data $(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)$ constructed in Proposition 4.11. Moreover, the solutions are uniformly (in h) bounded by the size of initial data

$$(4.22) \quad \|(u_h^+, u_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}}, \|(v_h^+, v_h^-)\|_{\mathbb{Y}_h^{s,T}} \leq 2C \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}_h^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}.$$

By subtracting (3.3) from (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_h^\pm(t) - v_h^\pm(t) &= \mp \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h \{ (u_h^\pm(t') + v_h^\pm(t')) (u_h^\pm(t') - v_h^\pm(t')) \} dt' \\ &\mp \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h \left\{ \left(e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^\mp(t') \right)^2 + 2v_h^\pm(t') e^{\pm \frac{2t'}{h^2} \partial_h} v_h^\mp(t') \right\} dt'. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $Y_{h,\pm}^{0,T}$ norm and then applying Corollary 4.10 to the quadratic terms, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_h^\pm - v_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{0,T}} &\leq C'T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \left(\|u_h^+\|_{Y_{h,+}^{0,T}} + \|u_h^-\|_{Y_{h,-}^{0,T}} \right) \|u_h^\pm - v_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{0,T}} \\ &\quad + C'T^{\frac{1}{6}-} h^{\frac{1}{2}+s} \|v_h^\mp\|_{Y_{h,\mp}^{s,T}}^2 + C'T^{\frac{1}{6}-} h^s \|v_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{s,T}} \|v_h^\mp\|_{Y_{h,\mp}^{s,T}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C' > 0$ uniform in $h \in (0, 1]$. By shrinking the time interval, if necessary, such that

$$CC'T^{\frac{1}{6}-} \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} < \frac{1}{8},$$

we obtain from the uniform bounds of solutions (4.22) that

$$\|u_h^\pm - v_h^\pm\|_{Y_{h,\pm}^{0,T}} \lesssim h^s \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2.$$

Then, the embedding $Y_{h,\pm}^{0,T} \hookrightarrow C_t([-T, T] : L^2(\mathbb{T}_h))$ gives the desired results. \square

5. REFORMULATIONS : NORMAL FORM REDUCTION METHOD

Due to the lack of smoothing effect, it cannot be shown directly that the decoupled system (3.5) converges to the KdV system (3.6) similarly as in [15]. Thus, we reformulate the decoupled FPU (3.5) using the argument in [1] as well as the corresponding KdV system (3.6).

By definitions of the Fourier transforms (1.1) and their inversion formula (1.2), the constant $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ appears when taking the Fourier transform to $(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm)^2$ and $(\mathcal{W}^\pm)^2$, see (2.3) and (2.4). Throughout this section, we drop the constant $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ from (2.3) and (2.4) for the sake of convenience.

5.1. Regularizing the FPU system via the Normal form approach. Let us recall the decoupled FPU (3.5) on \mathbb{T}_h with initial data $u_{h,0}^\pm$ as

$$v_h^\pm(t) = S_h^\pm(t)u_{h,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S_h^\pm(t-t') \nabla_h (v_h^\pm(t'))^2 dt',$$

where $S_h^\pm(t) = e^{\mp \frac{t}{h^2} (\nabla_h - \partial_h)}$. The corresponding KdV system (3.6) on \mathbb{T} with initial data $\mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^\pm$ is given by

$$w^\pm(t) = S^\pm(t)(\mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^\pm) \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t S^\pm(t-t') \partial_x (w^\pm(t'))^2 dt',$$

where $S^\pm(t) = e^{\mp \frac{t}{24} \partial_x^3}$. Note from Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.9 that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^\pm(x) dx = 0.$$

Let us define a profile \mathcal{V}_h^\pm by

$$(5.1) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) = e^{\pm it \frac{1}{h^2} (\frac{2}{h} \sin(\frac{hk}{2}) - k)} \widehat{v}_h^\pm(t, k), \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t) = S_h^\pm(-t)v_h^\pm(t).$$

Note that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, 0) = \widehat{v}_h^\pm(t, 0) = h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_h} v_h^\pm(t, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Then, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm (indeed, $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm$) solves the differential equation

$$(5.2) \quad \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) = \mp \frac{1}{4} \frac{2i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_2) =: \mathcal{F}_h \mathbf{B}_1^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k),$$

or the integral equation

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) = \widehat{u}_{h,0}^\pm(k) \mp \frac{1}{4} \frac{2i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} e^{\pm it' \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) dt',$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2) &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - k \right) - \left(\frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{2}\right) - k_1 \right) - \left(\frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{2}\right) - k_2 \right) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{8}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that Φ_h^2 is symmetric over the variables. Let us define a bilinear form

$$\mathcal{F}_h \mathbf{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) = \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{\frac{2i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{i \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_2).$$

Then, by symmetry, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) &= \widehat{u}_{h,0}^\pm(k) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_h \mathbf{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_h \mathbf{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(0, k) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_h \mathbf{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.2) and (5.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_h \mathbf{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{\frac{2i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{i\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it' \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) dt' \\
&= \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{\frac{2i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{i\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it' \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \\
&\quad \times \frac{2i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{k_2=k_{21}+k_{22} \\ k_{21} k_{22} \neq 0}} e^{\pm it' \Phi_h^2(k_2, k_{21}, k_{22})} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{21}) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{22}) dt' \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3 \\ k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0}} \frac{\pm 2i \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_2+k_3)}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right)} e^{\pm it' \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) dt' \\
&=: \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt',
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3) &:= \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2 + k_3) + \Phi_h^2(k_2 + k_3, k_2, k_3) \\
&= -\frac{8}{h^3} \sin\left(\frac{h(k_1 + k_2)}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h(k_2 + k_3)}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h(k_3 + k_1)}{4}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Φ_h^3 is symmetric over the variables. We further decompose the summation in $\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)$ into two parts:

$$\sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3 \\ k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0}} = \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0, (k_1+k_2)(k_1+k_3)=0}} + \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ (k_1+k_2)(k_2+k_3)(k_1+k_3) \neq 0}},$$

and their corresponding parts of $\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)$ are respectively denoted by $(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}$, thus, we write

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) = (\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) + (\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k).$$

Here, by the subscript \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{NR} , we mean the resonant and nonresonant terms, respectively.

First, consider the resonant term $(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}$. The set of frequencies under

$$k_2 + k_3 \neq 0, (k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_3) = 0$$

can be divided into the following three sets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{h,1} &= \{k_1 + k_2 = 0\} \cap \{k_1 + k_3 = 0\} \cap \{k_2 + k_3 \neq 0\}, \\
\mathcal{R}_{h,2} &= \{k_1 + k_2 = 0\} \cap \{k_1 + k_3 \neq 0\} \cap \{k_2 + k_3 \neq 0\}, \\
\mathcal{R}_{h,3} &= \{k_1 + k_2 \neq 0\} \cap \{k_1 + k_3 = 0\} \cap \{k_2 + k_3 \neq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Over $\mathcal{R}_{h,1}$, we know $k_1 = -k_2 = -k_3$ and $k = -k_1$, which assure

$$(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_{h,1}} = \mp 2i \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k),$$

due to $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, -k) = \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k)}$, where \bar{z} , $z \in \mathbb{C}$, is the complex conjugate of z . Here $f|_A$ denotes the restriction of f on the set A .

Under the symmetry over frequencies, we know

$$(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_{h,2}} = (\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_{h,3}}.$$

Over $\mathcal{R}_{h,2}$, we know $k_1 = -k_2 \neq \pm k_3$ and $k = k_3$, which assure

$$(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_{h,2}} = \pm 2i \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{h(k-k_1)}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right)} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2.$$

From the angle difference identity for the cosine function, and symmetry and anti-symmetry for cosine and sine functions, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{h(k-k_1)}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right)} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right)} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2 \\ &= \frac{h}{4} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes

$$(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_{h,2} \cup \mathcal{R}_{h,3}} = \pm \frac{ih}{2} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2.$$

Collecting all, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) &= \mp 2i \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \\ &\quad \pm \frac{ih}{2} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the nonresonant term $(\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}$ in (5.6). Let us define the (cubic) non-resonant set

(5.7)

$$\mathcal{A}(k) = \{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in ((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)^3 : k = k_1 + k_2 + k_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0, (k_1 + k_2)(k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_3) \neq 0\}$$

and a trilinear form

$$\mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) := \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathcal{A}(k)} \frac{2i \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_2+k_3)}{4}\right)}{\frac{4i}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} e^{\pm it \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_j).$$

Then, a direct computation under the symmetry on k_2 and k_3 variables in the multiplier gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt' &= \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(0, k) + \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.2) and (5.5), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) &= \mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_1+k_2)}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_3+k_4)}{4}\right)}{\Phi_h^3(k, k_1+k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\ &\quad \times e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_4), \end{aligned}$$

where the set $\mathcal{B}(k)$ of frequencies is given by

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{B}(k) = \left\{ (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in ((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)^4 : \begin{array}{l} k = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4, \quad k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 \neq 0, \\ (k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4) \neq 0 \end{array} \right\},$$

and the quartic resonant function is given by

$$\Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \Phi_h^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4) + \Phi_h^2(k_1 + k_2, k_1, k_2).$$

Similarly, we also have (by changing the variables)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) &= \mp \frac{i}{4} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_1+k_2+k_4)}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h(k_1+k_2)}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_1+k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\ &\quad \times e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) + 2\mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) \\ &= \mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \mathfrak{M}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_4) \\ &=: \mathcal{F}_h \mathbb{B}_4^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_1+k_2)}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_3+k_4)}{4}\right)}{\Phi_h^3(k, k_1+k_2, k_3, k_4)} + \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_1+k_2+k_4)}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{h(k_1+k_2)}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_1+k_2, k_3, k_4)}$$

Collecting all, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) &= \widehat{u}_{h,0}^\pm(k) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(0, k) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (\mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm) \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(0, k) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t, k) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{F}_h \mathcal{B}_4^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. Regularizing the KdV equation. We repeat the argument as in the previous subsection for KdV equation, already done by [1], but we briefly arrange the computation for the sake of self-containedness and reader's convenience.

Let us define a profile \mathcal{W}^\pm by

$$(5.10) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) = e^{\mp it \frac{k^3}{24}} \widehat{w}^\pm(t, k), \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad \mathcal{W}^\pm(t) = S^\pm(-t)w^\pm(t).$$

Note that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, 0) = \widehat{w}^\pm(t, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} w^\pm(t, x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Then, \mathcal{W}^\pm (indeed, $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm$) solves the differential equation

$$(5.11) \quad \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) = \mp \frac{1}{4} (ik) \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_2) =: \mathcal{FD}_1^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k),$$

or the integral equation

$$(5.12) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h u_{h,0}^\pm(k) \mp \frac{1}{4} (ik) \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_2) dt',$$

where

$$(5.13) \quad \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2) = -\frac{1}{24} (k^3 - k_1^3 - k_2^3) = -\frac{1}{8} k k_1 k_2.$$

Note that Ψ^2 is symmetric over the variables. Let us define a bilinear form

$$\mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) = \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{ik}{i\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_2).$$

Then, by symmetry, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) &= \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h u_{h,0}^\pm(k) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(0, k) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.11) and (5.13), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{ik}{i\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_1) \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_2) dt' \\
&= \mp \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{ik}{i\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_1) \\
&\quad \times (ik_2) \sum_{\substack{k_2=k_{21}+k_{22} \\ k_{21} k_{22} \neq 0}} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k_2, k_{21}, k_{22})} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_{21}) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_{22}) dt' \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3 \\ k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0}} \frac{\pm 2i}{k_1} e^{\pm it' \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k_3) dt' \\
&=: \int_0^t \mathcal{FN}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt',
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.14) \quad \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3) := \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2 + k_3) + \Psi^2(k_2 + k_3, k_2, k_3) = -\frac{1}{8}(k_1 + k_2)(k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_3).$$

Note that Ψ_h^3 is symmetric over the variables. We further decompose the summation in $\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)$ into two parts:

$$\sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3 \\ k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0}} = \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0, (k_1+k_2)(k_1+k_3)=0}} + \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2+k_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0 \\ (k_1+k_2)(k_2+k_3)(k_1+k_3) \neq 0}},$$

and their corresponding parts of $\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)$ are respectively denoted by $(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}$, thus, we write

(5.15)

$$\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) = (\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) + (\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k).$$

Here, by the subscript \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{NR} , we mean the resonant and nonresonant terms, respectively.

First, consider the resonant term $(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}$. The set of frequencies under

$$k_2 + k_3 \neq 0, (k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_3) = 0$$

can be divided into the following three sets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1 &= \{k_1 + k_2 = 0\} \cap \{k_1 + k_3 = 0\} \cap \{k_2 + k_3 \neq 0\}, \\
\mathcal{R}_2 &= \{k_1 + k_2 = 0\} \cap \{k_1 + k_3 \neq 0\} \cap \{k_2 + k_3 \neq 0\}, \\
\mathcal{R}_3 &= \{k_1 + k_2 \neq 0\} \cap \{k_1 + k_3 = 0\} \cap \{k_2 + k_3 \neq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Over \mathcal{R}_1 , we know $k_1 = -k_2 = -k_3$ and $k = -k_1$, which assure

$$(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_1} = \mp \frac{2i}{k} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k).$$

Over \mathcal{R}_2 , we know $k_1 = -k_2 \neq \pm k_3$ and $k = k_3$, which assure

$$(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_2} = \pm 2i \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} \frac{1}{k_1} |\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_1)|^2 = 0,$$

due to the symmetric in k_1 . Similarly, we have

$$(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k)|_{\mathcal{R}_3} = \pm 2i \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} \frac{1}{k_1} |\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_1)|^2 = 0,$$

Collecting all, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) = \mp \frac{2i}{k} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k).$$

Now, we consider the nonresonant term $(\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}$ in (5.15). Let us define the (cubic) non-resonant set

$$\Lambda(k) = \{ (k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : k = k_1 + k_2 + k_3, k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0, (k_1 + k_2)(k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_3) \neq 0 \}$$

and a trilinear form

$$\mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) := \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \Lambda(k)} \frac{2i}{ik_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} e^{\pm it \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_j).$$

Then, a direct computation under the symmetric on k_2 and k_2 variables in the multiplier gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{NR}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt' &= \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(0, k) + \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.11) and (5.14), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) &= \mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{\Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\ &\quad \times e^{\pm it \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_4), \end{aligned}$$

where the set $\Gamma(k)$ of frequencies is given by

$$\Gamma(k) = \left\{ (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 : \begin{array}{l} k = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4, k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 \neq 0, \\ (k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4) \neq 0 \end{array} \right\},$$

and the quartic resonant function is given by

$$\Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4) + \Psi^2(k_1 + k_2, k_1, k_2).$$

Similarly, we also have (by changing the variables)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) &= \mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{k_1 + k_2}{k_3 \Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\ &\quad \times e^{\pm it \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) + 2\mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \partial_t \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) \\
&= \mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{2(k_1 + k_2) + k_3}{k_3 \Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm i t \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \prod_{j=1}^4 \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k_j) \\
&=: \mathcal{FD}_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k).
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.16) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t, k) &= \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h u_{h,0}^\pm(k) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{FD}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(0, k) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (\mathcal{FN}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(0, k) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{FD}_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.1. Comparing (5.16) with (5.9), the resonant term

$$\mp \frac{2i}{k} \int_0^t \left| \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^\pm(t', k) dt'$$

corresponds to

$$\mp 2i \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)} \int_0^t \left| \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k) \right|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k) dt',$$

while the rest

$$\pm \frac{ih}{2} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \int_0^t \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1)|^2 dt'$$

itself is negligible in L^2 due to an additional h .

6. CONTINUUM LIMIT OF THE DECOUPLED FPU SYSTEM TO THE KdV SYSTEM

6.1. KdV equation. In this section, we are going to introduce some interesting results concerned with KdV equation.

Lemma 6.1 (L^4 -Strichartz estimates [7]). *For $f \in X_{\tau=k^3}^{0, \frac{1}{3}}$, we have*

$$\|f\|_{L_{t,x}^4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|f\|_{X_{\tau=k^3}^{0, \frac{1}{3}}}.$$

Proof. The proof is analogous in the proof of Proposition 4.5, and it can be found in [7] as well as [32, 33, 27]. \square

Proposition 6.2 (L^2 well-posedness [7]). *The KdV system*

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.1) \quad \partial_t w_\pm \pm \frac{1}{24} \partial_x^3 w_\pm \mp \frac{1}{4} \partial_x (w_\pm^2) &= 0, \\
w_\pm(0) &= w_{\pm,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

is well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

Remark 6.3. Low regularity results for KdV equation have been extensively studied. We, for instance, refer to [24, 10, 23].

As an immediate corollary, we have

Corollary 6.4 (Uniform bounds for KdV solutions). *Let $s \geq 0$. For given initial data $w_{\pm,0} \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$, let $w_{\pm}(t)$ be the solutions to the KdV system (6.1) given in Proposition 6.2. Then,*

$$\|w_{\pm}(t)\|_{C([-T,T]:H_x^s(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|w_{\pm,0}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}, \quad \|w_{\pm}\|_{L^4([0,T] \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|w_{\pm,0}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.1. \square

6.2. Some preliminaries. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{\leq M}$ denote the projection operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$P_{\leq M} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{|k| \leq M} \widehat{f}(k).$$

With this, we define $P_{\geq M}$ and $P_{L \leq \cdot \leq M}$ by

$$P_{\geq M} = I - P_{< M} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{L \leq \cdot \leq M} = P_{\leq M} - P_{< L},$$

where I is the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

We first address some preliminaries.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $M > 0$. Then,*

$$(6.2) \quad \|P_{\leq M} \mathcal{D}_1^{\pm}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim M^{\frac{3}{2}} \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})},$$

$$(6.3) \quad \|P_{\geq M} \mathcal{D}_2^{\pm}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})},$$

$$(6.4) \quad \|P_{\geq M} \mathcal{D}_3^{\pm}(f_1, f_2, f_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

$$(6.5) \quad \|P_{\geq M} \mathcal{D}_4^{\pm}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})},$$

for $f_j \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

Proof. (6.2) just follows from the duality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

For (6.3), we know

$$|\mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_2^{\pm}(f_1, f_2)(k)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{1}{|k_1 k_2|} |\widehat{f}_1(k_1)| |\widehat{f}_2(k_2)|.$$

Since $k = k_1 + k_2$ with $k_1 k_2 \neq 0$, we have $M \leq |k| \lesssim \max(|k_1|, |k_2|)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $|k_1| \geq |k_2|$. Using $|k| \leq |k_1(k - k_1)|$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the summation of $|k|^{-2}$ over $|k| \geq M$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\geq M} \mathcal{D}_2^{\pm}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k| \geq M} \left| \sum_{k_1 \neq 0} \frac{1}{|k_1(k - k_1)|} |\widehat{f}_1(k_1) \widehat{f}_2(k - k_1)| \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}. \end{aligned}$$

For (6.4), let $\widehat{F}_1(k_1) = |k_1|^{-1} |\widehat{f}_1(k_1)|$ and $\widehat{F}_j(k_j) = |k_j|^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\widehat{f}_j(k_j)|$, $j = 2, 3$. From

$$|(k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_3)(k_2 + k_3)| \gtrsim \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|, |k|) \gtrsim |k_2|^{\frac{1}{4}} |k_3|^{\frac{1}{4}} |k|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

on $\Lambda(k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{\geq M}\mathcal{FD}_3^\pm(f_1, f_2, f_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= \left(\sum_{|k|\geq M} \left| \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \Lambda(k)} \frac{2i}{ik_1\Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} e^{\pm it\Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{f}_j(k_j) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \Lambda(k)} \widehat{F}_1(k_1)\widehat{F}_2(k_2)\widehat{F}_3(k_3) \right\|_{\ell_k^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|F_1 F_2 F_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|F_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \|F_2\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})} \|F_3\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (6.5), observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2(k_1 + k_2) + k_3}{k_3(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4)} \\
&= \frac{2}{k_3(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4)} - \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4)},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{FD}_4^\pm(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)(k)| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{k_3(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4)} \prod_{j=1}^4 \widehat{f}_j(k_j) \\
&+ \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4)} \prod_{j=1}^4 \widehat{f}_j(k_j).
\end{aligned}$$

By duality, it suffices to show

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{\Pi_1(k)} \frac{\widehat{f}_1(k_1)\widehat{f}_2(k_2)\widehat{f}_3(k_3)\widehat{f}_4(k-k-k_1-k_2-k_3)\widehat{g}(-k)}{k_3(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^4 \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{\Pi_2(k)} \frac{\widehat{f}_1(k_1)\widehat{f}_2(k_2)\widehat{f}_3(k_3)\widehat{f}_4(k-k_1-k_2-k_3)\widehat{g}(-k)}{(k_1+k_2+k_3)(k-k_3)(k-k_1+k_2)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^4 \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

where $\Pi_1(k)$ and $\Pi_2(k)$ are the sets of frequencies given by

$$\Pi_1(k) = \{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in (\mathbb{Z})^4 : k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 = k, k_3(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\Pi_2(k) = \{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in (\mathbb{Z})^4 : k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 = k, (k_1 + k_2 + k_3)(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)(k_3 + k_4) \neq 0\},$$

respectively. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (6.6)} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\Pi_1(k)} \frac{|\widehat{f}_1(k_1)\widehat{f}_3(k_3)|^2}{|(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\Pi_1(k)} \frac{|\widehat{f}_2(k_2)\widehat{f}_4(k-k_1-k_2-k_3)\widehat{g}(-k)|^2}{|k_3|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (6.7)} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\Pi_2(k)} \frac{|\widehat{f}_1(k_1)\widehat{f}_3(k_3)|^2}{|(k_1+k_2+k_3)(k-k_3)|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\Pi_2(k)} \frac{|\widehat{f}_2(k_2)\widehat{f}_4(k-k_1-k_2-k_3)\widehat{g}(-k)|^2}{|k-k_1-k_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.6. *Let $0 < h \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,0}^{2,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2) = k e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - \frac{8}{h^3 k^2} \sin^3\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)}.$$

Then, for $|k|, |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{M}_{h,0}^{2,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2)| \lesssim |k|^{1+\alpha} h^\alpha (1+|t|)^\alpha (|k_1 k_2| \max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|))^\alpha.$$

Proof. By the mean-value theorem, the Taylor remainder theorem, and (2.7) (for $\alpha = 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| &\lesssim |t| \left| \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2) - \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2) \right| \\ &\lesssim |t| \left| \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) - k \right| \left| \frac{2}{h^2} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) \right| \\ &\quad + |t| \left| \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) - k_1 \right| \left| \frac{k}{2h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) \right| \\ &\quad + |t| \left| \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right) - k_2 \right| \left| \frac{k k_1}{8} \right| \\ &\lesssim |t| h (|k| + |k_1| + |k_2|) |k k_1 k_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Interpolating with the following trivial bound

$$\left| e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \lesssim 1,$$

one has

$$(6.8) \quad \left| e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \lesssim (h|t| |k k_1 k_2| \max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|))^\alpha,$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. For any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, collecting (2.7), (2.8) and (6.8), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_{h,0}^{2,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2)| &\lesssim \left| \frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - k \right| \left| \frac{4}{h^2 k^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \\ &\quad + |k| \left| \frac{4}{h^2 k^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - 1 \right| \left| e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \\ &\quad + |k| \left| e^{\pm it \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - e^{\pm it \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \\ &\lesssim |k| |hk|^\alpha + |k| (h|t| |k k_1 k_2| \max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|))^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 6.7. *Let $0 < h \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{2,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2) := \frac{k}{\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - \frac{8 \sin^3\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h^3 k^2 \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)}$$

Then, for $|k|, |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}$ with $k_1 k_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$|\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2)| \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (1 + |t|^\alpha)}{|k_1 k_2|} (|k k_1 k_2| \max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|))^\alpha.$$

Proof. By (2.8), we know

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{4}{h^2 k^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) - 1 \right) \frac{\frac{2}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| &\lesssim (h|k|)^\alpha \left| \frac{\frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \\ &\lesssim (h|k|)^\alpha \left| \frac{h^2}{\sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{hk_2}{4}\right)} \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{(h|k|)^\alpha}{|k_1 k_2|}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Thus, it suffices to deal with

$$(6.9) \quad \left| \frac{k}{\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right|.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_j = \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{hk_j}{4}\right)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then, it is known that $|\mathcal{K}_j| \sim |k_j|$, $j = 1, 2$. Note that similarly as (2.7), we have

$$(6.10) \quad \left| \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) - 1 \right| \lesssim (h|k|)^\alpha,$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. By (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_2} - \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \right| &= \left| \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) (k_1 - \mathcal{K}_1) k_2}{\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_2 k_1 k_2} + \frac{(\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) - 1) \mathcal{K}_1 k_2}{\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_2 k_1 k_2} + \frac{\mathcal{K}_1 (k_2 - \mathcal{K}_2)}{\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_2 k_1 k_2} \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \frac{(h|k_1|)^\alpha}{\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_2} \right| + \left| \frac{(h|k|)^\alpha}{\mathcal{K}_2 k_1} \right| + \left| \frac{(h|k_2|)^\alpha}{\mathcal{K}_2 k_1} \right| \\ &\sim \frac{h^\alpha}{|k_1 k_2|} (|k|^\alpha + |k_1|^\alpha + |k_2|^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Thus, by (6.8), we obtain for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.9) &\leq \left| \frac{k}{\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \left(e^{\pm it\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - e^{\pm it\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left(\frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h \Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} - \frac{k}{\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right) e^{\pm it\Phi_h^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|k_1 k_2|} (h|t| |k k_1 k_2| \max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|))^\alpha + \frac{h^s}{|k_1 k_2|} (|k|^\alpha + |k_1|^\alpha + |k_2|^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Let $0 < h \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_h^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) &:= \frac{2}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} e^{\pm it \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \\ &\quad - \frac{2 \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_2+k_3)}{4}\right)}{hk^2 \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} e^{\pm it \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \end{aligned}$$

over $\mathcal{A}(k)$ defined as in (5.7). Then, we have

$$|\mathcal{M}_h^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3)| \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (1 + |t|)^\alpha (\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^{2\alpha}}{|k_1| \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) := \frac{1}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \left(e^{\pm it \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} - e^{\pm it \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) := \frac{1}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} - \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{h(k_2+k_3)}{4}\right)}{hk^2 \sin\left(\frac{hk_1}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{M}_h^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) = 2 \left(\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) + \mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) e^{\pm it \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right)$, and thus it suffices to control $|\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3)|$ and $|\mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3)|$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{j\ell} = \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{h(k_j + k_\ell)}{4}\right)$ and $k_{j\ell} = k_j + k_\ell$, $j, \ell = 1, 2, 3$. Then, it is known that $|\mathcal{K}_{j\ell}| \sim |k_{j\ell}|$, $j, \ell = 1, 2, 3$. Similarly as the proof of (6.8), we have for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ that

$$(6.11) \quad \left| e^{\pm it \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} - e^{\pm it \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right| \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t|)^\alpha (|k_{12}| |k_{13}| |k_{23}| \max(|k_{12}|, |k_{13}|, |k_{23}|))^\alpha.$$

Note that $\max(|k_{12}|, |k_{13}|, |k_{23}|) \lesssim \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)$ and $|k_{12} k_{13} k_{23}| \gtrsim \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)$. Then, (6.11) guarantees

$$|\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3)| \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (1 + |t|)^\alpha (\max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^\alpha}{|k_1| (|k_{12} k_{13} k_{23}|)^{1-\alpha}} \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (1 + |t|)^\alpha (\max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^{2\alpha}}{|k_1| \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)}.$$

On the other hand, note by (6.10) that

$$(6.12) \quad \left| 1 - \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{23}}{4}\right) \right| \lesssim (h|k| + h|k_{23}|)^\alpha \lesssim h^\alpha (\max(|k|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^\alpha,$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Moreover, by (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_1 \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right| &\lesssim \left| \frac{\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23} - k_1 k_{12} k_{13} k_{23}}{k_1 k_{12} k_{13} k_{23} \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}} \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \frac{(\mathcal{K}_1 - k_1) \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}}{k_1 k_{12} k_{13} k_{23} \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{k_1 (\mathcal{K}_{12} - k_{12}) \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}}{k_1 k_{12} k_{13} k_{23} \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{k_1 k_{12} (\mathcal{K}_{13} - k_{13}) \mathcal{K}_{23}}{k_1 k_{12} k_{13} k_{23} \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{k_1 k_{12} k_{13} (\mathcal{K}_{23} - k_{23})}{k_1 k_{12} k_{13} k_{23} \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{K}_{12} \mathcal{K}_{13} \mathcal{K}_{23}} \right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (|k_1|^\alpha + |k_{12}|^\alpha + |k_{13}|^\alpha + |k_{23}|^\alpha)}{|k_1| |k_{12}| |k_{13}| |k_{23}|} \\
&\lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (\max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^\alpha}{|k_1| \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, and where \mathcal{K}_1 is defined in the proof of Lemma 6.7. Together with (2.8) and (6.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{3,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3) &\lesssim \left| \left(1 - \frac{4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right)}{h^2 k^2} \right) \frac{\cos \left(\frac{hk}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{hk_{23}}{4} \right)}{\mathcal{K}_1 \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{hk}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{hk_{23}}{4} \right) \right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_1 \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{1}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_1 \Phi_h^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^\alpha}{|k_1| \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Since $(\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^\alpha \lesssim (\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|))^{2\alpha}$ for $\alpha \geq 0$, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Let $0 < h \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) &:= \frac{2(k_1 + k_2)}{k_3 \Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm it \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\
&\quad - \frac{4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{h(k_1 + k_2)}{2} \right) \cos \left(\frac{hk}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{h(k_1 + k_2 + k_4)}{4} \right)}{h^2 k^2 \sin \left(\frac{hk_3}{4} \right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) &:= \frac{1}{\Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm it \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\
&\quad - \frac{4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \cos \left(\frac{hk}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{h(k_1 + k_2)}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{h(k_3 + k_4)}{4} \right)}{h^2 k^2 \Phi_h^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)}
\end{aligned}$$

over $\mathcal{B}(k)$ defined as in (5.8). Let

$$\mathcal{M}_h^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) + \mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{M}_h^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)| \\ & \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha(1+|t|)^\alpha |k_{12}|}{|k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|} (\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|, |k_{12}|, |k_{34}|, |k_{123}|, |k_{124}|))^\alpha (|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|^\alpha + |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{K}_j , $\mathcal{K}_{j\ell}$, and $k_{j\ell}$ be defined as in the proofs of Lemma 6.7 and 6.8 for $j, \ell = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Additionally, let $\mathcal{K}_{j\ell m} = \frac{4}{h} \sin\left(\frac{h(k_j + k_\ell + k_m)}{4}\right)$ and $k_{j\ell m} = k_j + k_\ell + k_m$, $j, \ell, m = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Similarly as the proof of (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.13) & \leq \left| e^{\pm it\Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} - e^{\pm it\Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \right| \\ & \leq \left| e^{\pm it\Psi^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} - e^{\pm it\Phi_h^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \right| + \left| e^{\pm it\Psi^2(k_{12}, k_1, k_2)} - e^{\pm it\Phi_h^2(k_{12}, k_1, k_2)} \right| \\ & \lesssim h^\alpha(1+|t|)^\alpha ((|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}| \max(|k_{123}|, |k_{124}|, |k_{34}|))^\alpha + (|k_{12} k_1 k_2| \max(|k_{12}|, |k_1|, |k_2|))^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Note by (6.10) that

$$(6.14) \quad \left| 1 - \cos\left(\frac{hk_{12}}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{124}}{4}\right) \right| \lesssim (h|k_{12}| + h|k| + h|k_{124}|)^\alpha \\ \lesssim h^\alpha (\max(|k_{12}|, |k|, |k_{124}|))^\alpha,$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Moreover, by (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{k_{12}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}} - \frac{\mathcal{K}_{12}}{\mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| = \left| \frac{k_{12} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34} - \mathcal{K}_{12} k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{(k_{12} - \mathcal{K}_{12}) \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\mathcal{K}_{12} (\mathcal{K}_3 - k_3) \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\mathcal{K}_{12} k_3 (\mathcal{K}_{123} - k_{123}) \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\mathcal{K}_{12} k_3 k_{123} (\mathcal{K}_{124} - k_{124}) \mathcal{K}_{34}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\mathcal{K}_{12} k_3 k_{123} k_{124} (\mathcal{K}_{34} - k_{34})}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34} \mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{|k_{12}| h^\alpha (|k_{12}|^\alpha + |k_3|^\alpha + |k_{123}|^\alpha + |k_{124}|^\alpha + |k_{34}|^\alpha)}{|k_3| |k_{123}| |k_{124}| |k_{34}|} \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Together with (6.13), (2.8) and (6.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \right| \\
& \lesssim \left| \frac{k_{12}}{k_3 \Psi^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \left(e^{\pm it \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} - e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \right) \right| \\
& + \left| \left(1 - \frac{4 \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h^2 k^2} \right) \frac{\sin\left(\frac{hk_{12}}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{124}}{4}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \right| \\
& + \left| \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{hk_{12}}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{124}}{4}\right) \right) \frac{\sin\left(\frac{hk_{12}}{4}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{hk_3}{4}\right) \Phi_h^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \right| \\
& + \left| \frac{k_{12}}{k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}} - \frac{\mathcal{K}_{12}}{\mathcal{K}_3 \mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\
& \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (1+|t|)^\alpha |k_{12}|}{|k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|} (\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|, |k_{12}|, |k_{34}|, |k_{123}|, |k_{124}|))^\alpha (|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|^\alpha + |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Analogously, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathcal{M}_{h,2}^{4,\pm}(t, k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \right| \\
& \lesssim \left| \frac{1}{\Psi^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \left(e^{\pm it \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} - e^{\pm it \Phi_h^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \right) \right| \\
& + \left| \left(1 - \frac{4 \sin^2\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h^2 k^2} \right) \frac{\cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{12}}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{34}}{4}\right)}{\Phi_h^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \right| \\
& + \left| \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{12}}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk_{34}}{4}\right) \right) \frac{1}{\Phi_h^3(k, k_{12}, k_3, k_4)} \right| \\
& + \left| \frac{1}{k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{123} \mathcal{K}_{124} \mathcal{K}_{34}} \right| \\
& \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha (1+|t|)^\alpha |k_{12}|}{|k_3 k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|} (\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|, |k_{12}|, |k_{34}|, |k_{123}|, |k_{124}|))^\alpha (|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|^\alpha + |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Collecting all, we complete the proof. \square

6.3. Continuum limit to KdV: Proof of Proposition 3.9. We finally prove the convergence of decoupled FPU (3.5) to KdV (3.6). Let $T = T(\|u_{h,0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}) > 0$ be the common existence time for the solution $v_h^\pm(t)$ (resp. $w^\pm(t)$) to the decoupled FPU with initial data $u_{h,0}^\pm$ constructed in Proposition 4.11 (resp. the KdV equation with initial data $\mathcal{L}_h u_{h,0}^\pm$ constructed in Proposition 6.2).

Proposition 6.10. *Let $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Let f_h and g be any $H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ and $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ functions, respectively. Then,*

$$\|P_{\geq \frac{\hbar}{h}} \mathcal{L}_h f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim h^s \|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_{\geq \frac{\hbar}{h}} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim h^s \|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})},$$

where \mathcal{L}_h is the linear interpolation defined as in (2.5).

Proof. It immediately follows from Bernstein's inequality and the boundedness of the linear interpolation operator. \square

Proposition 6.11 (Comparison between linear FPU and Airy flows). *Let $0 \leq s \leq 5$ and $|t| \leq 1$ be fixed. Let f_h be any $H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ function. Then,*

$$\|P_{\leq \frac{\hbar}{h}} (S^\pm(t) \mathcal{L}_h f_h(t) - \mathcal{L}_h S_h^\pm(t) f_h(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim h^{\frac{2s}{5}} \|f_h\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}.$$

Proof. Note that for $0 \leq s \leq 5$, by the mean-value theorem and the Taylor remainder theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{\pm it \frac{k^3}{24}} - e^{\pm it \frac{1}{h^2} (k - \frac{2}{h} \sin(\frac{hk}{2}))} \right| &\lesssim \min \left(1, |t| \left| \frac{k^3}{24} - \frac{1}{h^2} \left(k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \right) \right| \right) \\ &\lesssim \min (1, |t| h^2 |k|^5) \\ &\lesssim |t|^{\frac{6}{5}} h^{\frac{2s}{5}} |k|^s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude for $|t| \leq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| P_{\leq \frac{\pi}{h}} (S^\pm(t) \mathcal{L}_h f_h(t) - \mathcal{L}_h S_h^\pm(t) f_h(t)) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ (6.15) \quad &= \left\| \left(e^{\pm it \frac{k^3}{24}} - e^{\pm it \frac{1}{h^2} (k - \frac{2}{h} \sin(\frac{hk}{2}))} \right) \frac{4}{h^2 k^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{hk}{2} \right) \widehat{f}_h(t, k) \right\|_{L^2(|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h})} \\ &\lesssim h^{\frac{2s}{5}} \|f_h(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 6.12. *Let $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $0 < h \leq 1$. For $1 < M < \frac{\pi}{h}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\| P_{\leq M} (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ (6.16) \quad &\lesssim h^{\frac{s}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{s}{2}} \int_0^t (1 + |t'|)^{\frac{s}{2}} \| \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t') \|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 dt' \\ &\quad + M^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^t \| (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t') \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (\| \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t') \|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} + \| \mathcal{W}^\pm(t') \|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}) dt', \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathcal{V}_h^\pm \in H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{W}^\pm \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$. The implicit constant does not depend on $h \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. From (5.3) and (5.12), we write for $|k| \leq M$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) &= \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_h B_1^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) - D_1^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt' \\ &= \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}_h B_1^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_1^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t', k) dt' \\ &\quad + \int_0^t D_1^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm + \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t', k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.2) and the Minkowski inequality, we first have

$$\begin{aligned} &\| P_{\leq M} D_1^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm + \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t') \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim M^{\frac{3}{2}} \| (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t') \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (\| \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t') \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \| \mathcal{W}^\pm(t') \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.6) and the symmetry over k_1 and k_2 , a direct computation for $|k| \leq M$ gives

$$\mathcal{F} (\mathcal{L}_h B_1^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t', k) - D_1^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t', k) = I_1(t', k) + I_2(t', k) + I_3(t', k),$$

where

$$I_1(t', k) = \mp i \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} \mathcal{M}_{h,0}^{2,\pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_2),$$

here $\mathcal{M}_{h,0}^{2,\pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2)$ is introduced in Lemma 6.6,

$$I_2(t', k) = \mp \frac{ik}{4} \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right)(t', k_1) \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} + \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right)(t', k_2),$$

and

$$I_3(t', k) = \mp \frac{ik}{4} \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ |k_1| > \frac{\pi}{h} \text{ or } |k_2| > \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0}} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_2).$$

By Lemma 6.6 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\|P_{\leq M} I_1(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha M^{\frac{3}{2} + \alpha} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)},$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. On the other hand, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{\leq M} I_2(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim h^\alpha M^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} + \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim h^\alpha M^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Moreover, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{\leq M} I_3(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim M^{\frac{3}{2}} \|P_{> \frac{\pi}{h}} \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim h^\alpha M^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Collecting all in addition to Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_{\leq M} (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim h^\alpha M^{\frac{3}{2} + \alpha} \int_0^t (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} dt' \\ &\quad + h^\alpha M^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} dt' \\ &\quad + M^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^t \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \left(\|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \right) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $2\alpha = s$, we complete the proof. \square

Proposition 6.13. *Let $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $0 < h \leq 1$. For $1 < M < \frac{\pi}{h}$, we have*

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sup_{t' \in [0, t]} \left(\|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (1 + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})})^2 \right) \\ &\quad + h^{\frac{s}{2}} (1 + |t'|)^{1 + \frac{s}{2}} \sup_{t' \in [0, t]} \left((1 + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}) \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (1 + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})})^3 dt' \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathcal{V}_h^\pm \in H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{W}^\pm \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$. The implicit constant does not depend on $h \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. From (5.9) and (5.16) in addition to (2.6), we write for $M < |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}$ that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t, k) = (\widehat{J}_1 + \widehat{J}_2 + \widehat{J}_3 + \widehat{J}_4)(t, k),$$

where

$$\widehat{J}_1(t, k) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{t'=0, t} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - \mathcal{D}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm))(t', k),$$

$$\widehat{J}_2(t, k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t'=0, t} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - \mathcal{D}_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm))(t', k),$$

$$\widehat{J}_3(t, k) = \int_0^t \left((\mathcal{F}_h(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm))_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - (\mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm) \right)(t', k) dt',$$

and

$$\widehat{J}_4(t, k) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_4^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - \mathcal{D}_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm))(t', k) dt'.$$

J₁ estimate. We write

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - \mathcal{D}_2^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm))(t') &= (\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - \mathcal{D}_2^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t') \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_2^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm + \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t'). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.3), we immediately know

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}}(\mathcal{D}_2^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm + \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t'))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}). \end{aligned}$$

For the rest, by the symmetry over k_1 and k_2 , we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_2^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - \mathcal{D}_2^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t', k) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0 \\ |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}}} \mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{2,\pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0 \\ |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}}} \frac{k}{\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm \right)(t', k_1) \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} + \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm \right)(t', k_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 \neq 0 \\ |k_1| > \frac{\pi}{h} \text{ or } |k_2| > \frac{\pi}{h}}} \frac{k}{\Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} e^{\pm it' \Psi^2(k, k_1, k_2)} \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_2) \\ &=: \widehat{J}_{11} + \widehat{J}_{12} + \widehat{J}_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{h,1}^{2,\pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2)$ is introduced in Lemma 6.7. For J_{11} , by the symmetry on k_1 and k_2 , we may assume that $|k_1| \geq |k_2|$. Since $k = k_1 + k_2$, we have $|k| \lesssim |k_1|$, and thus, by Lemma 6.7, we

have for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{11}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\
& \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 \neq k}} \frac{|k_1|^\alpha |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)| |k - k_1|^\alpha |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k - k_1)|}{|k_1|^{1-2\alpha} |k - k_1|} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 \neq k}} \frac{1}{|k - k_1|^2} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 \neq k}} |k_1|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k - k_1|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k - k_1)|^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For J_{12} and J_{13} , similarly as (6.17) and (6.18), respectively, but using (6.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{12}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} & \lesssim \frac{1}{M} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm + \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha}{M} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} (\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{13}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} & \lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|P_{> \frac{\pi}{h}} \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\
& \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha}{M} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Collecting all and taking $2\alpha = s$ in addition to Lemma 2.6, we obtain for $t' = 0, t$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.20) \quad \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_1(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} & \lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (\|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})}) \\
& \quad + h^{\frac{s}{2}} (1 + |t'|)^{\frac{s}{2}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 + \frac{h^{\frac{s}{2}}}{M} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

J_2 estimate. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_h B_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t') &= (\mathcal{L}_h B_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_3^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t') \\
& \quad + D_3^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t') \\
& \quad + D_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t') \\
& \quad + D_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t').
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.4), we immediately know

$$\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_3^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2.$$

Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_3^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2.$$

For the rest, we write for $M < |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}$ that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h B_3^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_3^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t', k) = \widehat{J}_{21} + \widehat{J}_{22} + \widehat{J}_{23},$$

where

$$\widehat{J}_{21} = - \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathcal{A}(k)} \mathcal{M}_h^{3, \pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2, k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t, k_3),$$

here $\mathcal{M}_h^{3, \pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ and $\mathcal{A}(k)$ are introduced in Lemma 6.8 and (5.7), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}_{22} = - \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathcal{A}(k)} \frac{2e^{\pm it' \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)}}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} & \left((\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \right. \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \\ & \left. + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_2) (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_3) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{J}_{23} = - \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \Lambda(k) \\ \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|) > \frac{\pi}{h}}} \frac{2e^{\pm it' \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)}}{k_1 \Psi^3(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_3).$$

For J_{21} , note that $|k_3| \leq \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|)$. If $\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|) = |k_2|^{10}$, by Lemma 6.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{21}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 + k_2 \neq k}} \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)| |k_2|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)| |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k - k_1 - k_2)|}{|k_1| |k - k_1 - k_2|} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 + k_2 \neq k}} \frac{1}{|k_1|^2 |k - k_1 - k_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2| \leq \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1 + k_2 \neq k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_2|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k - k_1 - k_2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

¹⁰The estimate of J_{21} does not depend on the choice $\max(|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|) = |k_2|$.

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. For the other cases, we also have the same result. For J_{22} and J_{23} , similarly as (6.17) and (6.18), respectively, but using (6.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{22}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 + \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{h^\alpha}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{23}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|P_{> \frac{\pi}{h}} \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{h^\alpha}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Collecting all and taking $2\alpha = s$ in addition to Lemma 2.6, we obtain for $t' = 0, t$ that

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_2(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \frac{1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \left(\|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + h^{\frac{s}{2}} (1 + |t'|)^{\frac{s}{2}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^3 + \frac{h^{\frac{s}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^3. \end{aligned}$$

J_3 estimate. We write

$$\left((\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{N}_{h,3}^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - (\mathcal{N}_3^\pm)_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm) \right)(t') = J_{31} + J_{32} + J_{33} + J_{34},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}_{31} &= \mp 2i \left(\frac{4 \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{h^2 k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) |\widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k)|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k), \\ \widehat{J}_{32} &= \mp \frac{2i}{k} \left(|\widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm}|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - |\widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right)(t', k), \\ \widehat{J}_{33} &= \mp \frac{2i}{k} \left(|\widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - |\widehat{\mathcal{W}^\pm}|^2 \widehat{\mathcal{W}^\pm} \right)(t', k), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{J}_{34} = \pm \frac{2ih \sin^3\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h^2 k^2} \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(t', k_1)|^2.$$

One can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{32}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \frac{1}{M} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(k) - \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm}(k) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{h^\alpha}{M} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ due to Lemma 2.8, and

$$\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{33}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \right)^2.$$

Moreover, since

$$\left| \frac{2ih \sin^3\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right)}{h^2 k^2} \right| \lesssim h \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in (\mathbb{T}_h)^* \\ k_1 \neq \pm k}} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2,$$

we have

$$\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{34}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim h \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^3.$$

For the rest J_{31} , by (2.8), (6.12) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{31}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \frac{4 \sin\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{hk}{4}\right)}{h^2 k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \right|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k)|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\frac{h^\alpha |k|^\alpha}{|k|} \right)^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k)|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{h^\alpha}{M} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Collecting all and taking $\alpha = s$ in addition to Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_3(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ (6.22) \quad & \lesssim \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{M} \|(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} (\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})})^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{h^s}{M} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^3 + h \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^3 \right) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

J_4 estimate. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{L}_h B_4^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm))(t') \\ & = (\mathcal{L}_h B_4^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_4^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t') \\ & \quad + D_4^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t') \\ & \quad + D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t') \\ & \quad + D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t') \\ & \quad + D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t'). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.5), we immediately know

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_4^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \lesssim \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \lesssim \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2, \\ & \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \lesssim \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} D_4^\pm(\mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{W}^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^3.$$

For the rest, we write for $M < |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}$ that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{B}_4^\pm(\mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{V}_h^\pm) - D_4^\pm(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm, \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm))(t', k) = \widehat{J}_{41} + \widehat{J}_{42} + \widehat{J}_{43},$$

where

$$\widehat{J}_{41} = \pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \mathcal{M}_h^{4, \pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4),$$

here $\mathcal{M}_h^{4, \pm}(t', k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$ and $\mathcal{B}(k)$ are introduced in Lemma 6.9 and (5.8), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}_{42} = \pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} & \frac{2(k_1 + k_2) + k_3}{k_3 \Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm i t' \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\ & \times \left((\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4) \right. \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4) \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_2) (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4) \\ & \left. + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_3) (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm)(t', k_4) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}_{43} = \pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k) \\ \max(|k_j|: j=1,2,3,4) > \frac{\pi}{h}}} & \frac{2(k_1 + k_2) + k_3}{k_3 \Psi^3(k, k_1 + k_2, k_3, k_4)} e^{\pm i t' \Psi^4(k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\ & \times \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t', k_4). \end{aligned}$$

For J_{41} , let $k_{max} = \max(|k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3|, |k_{12}|, |k_{34}|, |k_{123}|, |k_{124}|)$. By Lemma 6.9 and $k_{12} = k_{123} - k_3$, J_{41} is divided by the following four terms:

$$(6.23) \quad \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{k_{max}^\alpha |k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|^\alpha}{|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4),$$

$$(6.24) \quad \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{k_{max}^\alpha |k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|^\alpha}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4),$$

$$(6.25) \quad \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{k_{max}^\alpha |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^\alpha}{|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4),$$

and

$$(6.26) \quad \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{k_{max}^\alpha |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^\alpha}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4),$$

whenever $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $k_{123}^* = k - k_{123}$.

For (6.23), we first fix $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Note that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2}{|(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)|^{2-2\alpha}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123} \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_3|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k_1 + k_2 + k_3|^{2-2\alpha}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^6. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (6.27) \quad & \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.23)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2}{|(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)|^{2-2\alpha}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123} \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_3|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k_1 + k_2 + k_3|^{2-2\alpha}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^* k_{123} (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{k_{max}}{|k_{123}(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)| |k_1 k_2 k_3 k_{123}^*|^2} \lesssim 1,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.23)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^* k_{123} (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{k_{max}}{|k_{123}(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)| |k_1 k_2 k_3 k_{123}^*|^2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h}} |k_1|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_2|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |k_3|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4. \end{aligned}$$

For (6.24), we first fix $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Note that

$$\sum_{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h}} \frac{|k_1|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k_3|} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4$$

and

$$\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |k_3|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2}{|(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)|^{2-2\alpha}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.24)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k_3|} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ (k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |k_3|^{4\alpha} |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2}{|(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)|^{2-2\alpha}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^*(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{k_{max}^2}{|k_3|^2 |(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)| |k_1 k_2 k_3 k_{123}^*|^2} \lesssim 1,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.24)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^*(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{k_{max}^2}{|k_3|^2 |(k-k_3)(k-k_1-k_2)| |k_1 k_2 k_3 k_{123}^*|^2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h}} |k_1|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_2|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |k_3|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

For (6.25), we first fix $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Using $k_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(k_{123} + k_{124} - k_{34})$, one sees that

$$\frac{|k_{12}|^\alpha}{|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}|^{1-\alpha}}.$$

An analogous argument to (6.27) guarantees

$$\left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.25)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4.$$

Now we consider the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $|k_{12}| \leq \min(\max(|k_1|, |k_2|), \max(|k_{123}|, |k_{124}|, |k_{34}|))$. Considering all cases of k_{max} , it follows that

$$\frac{k_{max}^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_{123} k_{124} k_{34}| |k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|k_{123} k_{124} k_4|} + \frac{1}{|k_{123} k_{34} k_4|} + \frac{1}{|k_{124} k_{34} k_3|}.$$

Then, (6.25) with each multiplier on the right-hand side is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.25)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^*(k-k_3) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2}{|k_{123}^*(k-k_3)|^2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_1+k_2+k_3 \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |k_3|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k_1+k_2+k_3|^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4, \\
& \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.25)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^*(k-k_1-k_2) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2}{|k_{123}^*(k-k_1-k_2)|^2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ (k_1+k_2+k_3) \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_3|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k_1+k_2+k_3|^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.25)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(6.28) \quad & \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k-k_1-k_2 \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_3|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2}{|k-k_1-k_2|^2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k-k_3 \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|(k-k_3)k_3|^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4.
\end{aligned}$$

For (6.26), we first fix $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. It follows from $k_{12} = k_{124} - k_{34} + k_3$ that

$$\frac{|k_{12}|^\alpha}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Similarly as (6.28), we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} |(6.26)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4.$$

On the other hand, note that $|k_{12}| \leq \min(\max(|k_1|, |k_2|), \max(|k_{124}|, |k_{34}|, |k_3|))$. By considering all possible cases of k_{max} , we find

$$\frac{k_{max}^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}| |k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|k_{124} k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_3 k_4|} + \frac{1}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|} + \frac{1}{|k_3 k_{124} k_4|}.$$

Note that the bound $\frac{1}{|k_{124} k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_3 k_4|}$ appears only when $\max(|k_1|, |k_2|) \sim |k| \gg \min(|k_1|, |k_2|), |k_3|, |k_4|$. Let us define a set by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(k) = \mathcal{B}(k) \cap \{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in ((\mathbb{T}_h)^*)^4 : \max(|k_1|, |k_2|) \sim |k| \gg \min(|k_1|, |k_2|), |k_3|, |k_4|\},$$

and a function $g_{h,\sigma}$ by $\widehat{g}_{h,\sigma}(t', k) = |k|^{-\sigma} |k| |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k)|$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $|k_1| \sim |k_{124}|$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(k)$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(k)} \frac{k_{max}^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|g_{h, \frac{1}{2}} g_{h, \frac{1}{2}} g_{h, 1} g_{h, 1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \\ & \lesssim \|g_{h, \frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_{h, \frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_{h, 1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_{h, 1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_h)} \\ & \lesssim \|g_{h, \frac{1}{2}}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_{h, \frac{1}{2}}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_{h, 1}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)} \|g_{h, 1}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4. \end{aligned}$$

With the second bound, (6.26) is dealt with analogously to (6.28). Lastly, (6.26) with the third bound is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(k) \setminus \mathcal{B}(k)} \frac{k_{max}^{\frac{1}{2}} |k_{12} k_1 k_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|k_3 k_{124} k_{34}|} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3) \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_4) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{M \leq |k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k_{123}^* \neq 0}} \frac{|k_2|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_2)|^2}{|k_{123}^* k_3|^2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{\substack{0 < |k_1|, |k_2|, |k_3| < \frac{\pi}{h} \\ k - k_3 \neq 0}} \frac{|k_1|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_1)|^2 |k_3|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_3)|^2 |k_{123}^*|^2 |\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_h^\pm(t', k_{123}^*)|^2}{|k - k_3|^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4. \end{aligned}$$

All these prove the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

By collecting all, we conclude that

$$\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{41}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim h^\alpha (1 + |t'|)^\alpha \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4,$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. For J_{42} and J_{43} , similarly as (6.17) and (6.18), respectively, but using (6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{42}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} \left| \widehat{\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}_h^\pm} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^3 + \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^2 + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)}^3 \right) \\ &\lesssim h^\alpha \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}_h)} \left(\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_h)} \right)^3, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_{43}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \|P_{> \frac{\pi}{h}} \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^3 \\ &\lesssim h^\alpha \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{T})} \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Collecting all and taking $2\alpha = s$ in addition to Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (6.29) \quad &\|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}} J_4(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \left(\|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \left(\|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} + \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \right)^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h^{\frac{s}{2}} (1 + |t'|)^{\frac{s}{2}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4 + h^{\frac{s}{2}} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t')\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}^4 \right) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting (6.20), (6.21), (6.22), and (6.29), one concludes (6.19). \square

Proof of Proposition 3.9. For given $R > 0$, let $u_{h,0}^\pm \in H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)$ denote the initial data satisfying

$$\sup_{h \in (0,1]} \|(u_{h,0}^+, u_{h,0}^-)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_h)} \leq R,$$

and let $T > 0$ be a common lifespan of solutions to (3.5) and (3.6)¹¹. Then, by Corollaries 4.12 and 6.4 in addition to Lemma 2.6, the solutions to (3.5) and (3.6) are uniformly (in h) bounded in terms of the initial data on their lifespan, i.e.,

$$\sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \|v_h^\pm(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, \quad \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \|w^\pm(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim R,$$

as well as

$$(6.30) \quad \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \|\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}_h)}, \quad \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \|\mathcal{W}^\pm(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim R,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t)$ and $\mathcal{W}^\pm(t)$ are defined as in (5.1) and (5.10), respectively. Note that

$$\mathcal{L}_h v_h^\pm(t) - w^\pm(t) = (\mathcal{L}_h S_h^\pm(t) \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t) - S^\pm(t) \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t)) + (S^\pm(t) \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t) - S^\pm(t) \mathcal{W}^\pm(t)).$$

By Proposition 6.11 and (6.30), we know

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_h S_h^\pm(t) \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - S^\pm(t) \mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim_R h^{\frac{2s}{5}}.$$

¹¹One can take the minimum T appearing in Corollaries 4.12 and 6.4

Thus, it suffices to show that there exists $0 < h_0 = h_0(R) < 1$ sufficiently small such that

$$(6.31) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t) - \mathcal{W}^\pm(t)\|_{C_t([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \lesssim_R h^{\frac{2\alpha}{5}}$$

for all $0 < h \leq h_0$. Here, time $T > 0$ could be smaller than the one appearing in (6.30). Fix $M > 0$, sufficiently large and depending only on R , such that

$$\frac{C}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} (1 + R)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

where $C > 0$ is a universal constant appeared in Propositions 6.10, 6.12, and 6.13, and (6.30), which is independent not only on h , but also on R . For this M , take h_0 satisfying $h_0 M < \pi$. For $h \leq h_0$, by Propositions 6.10, 6.12, and 6.13 in addition to (6.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t) - \mathcal{W}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq \|P_{\leq M}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|P_{M \leq \cdot \leq \frac{\pi}{h}}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|P_{\geq \frac{\pi}{h}}(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq Ch^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(1+T)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}M^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(1+R)R^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{C_t([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))}(1+R)^2 \\ & \quad + CT\|(\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm - \mathcal{W}^\pm)(t')\|_{C_t([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}))}\left(M^{\frac{3}{2}}R + (1+R)^3\right), \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T$.

For fixed $M > 0$, we can take $T > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$CT\left(M^{\frac{3}{2}}R + (1+R)^3\right) \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{V}_h^\pm(t) - \mathcal{W}^\pm(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2Ch^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(1+T)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}M^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(1+R)R^2,$$

which guarantees (6.31). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Babin, A. Ilyin, and E. Titi, *On the regularization mechanism for the periodic Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **64** (2011), no. 5, 591–648. MR 2789490
- [2] D. Bambusi, A. Carati, A. Maiocchi, and A. Maspero, *Some analytic results on the FPU paradox*, Fields Inst. Commun., 75 Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, 2015, 235–254. MR 3445504
- [3] D. Bambusi, T. Kappeler, and T. Paul, *From Toda to KdV*, Nonlinearity **28** (2015), no. 7, 2461–2496. MR 3366652
- [4] D. Bambusi and A. Ponso, *On metastability in FPU*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), no. 2, 539–561. MR 2215616
- [5] G. P. Berman F. M. Izrailev, *The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem: fifty years of progress*, Chaos **15** (2005), no. 1, 015104, 18 pp. MR 2133455
- [6] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), no. 2, 107–156. MR 1209299
- [7] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV equation*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), no. 3, 209–262. MR 1215780
- [8] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov, *The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a compact manifold*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **10** (2003), 12–27. MR 2063542
- [9] F. Chen and M. Herrmann, *KdV-like solitary waves in two-dimensional FPU-lattices*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **38** (2018), no. 5, 2305–2332. MR 3809037
- [10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao, *Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{T}* , J. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (2003), 705–749. MR 1969209

- [11] M. Erdoğan and N. Tzirakis, *Dispersive partial differential equations*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 86, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016, Wellposedness and applications. MR 3559154
- [12] E. Fermi, P. Pasta, S. Ulam, and M. Tsingou, *Studies of the nonlinear problems*, *Tech. report, Los Alamos Scientific Lab.*, N. Mex., 1955.
- [13] G. Friesecke and R. Pego, *Solitary waves on FPU lattices. I. Qualitative properties, renormalization and continuum limit*, *Nonlinearity* **12** (1999), no. 6, 1601–1627. MR 1726667
- [14] G. Gallavotti, *The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem: a status report*, vol.728, Springer, 2007.
- [15] Y. Hong, C. Kwak, and C. Yang, *On the Korteweg–de Vries Limit for the Fermi–Pasta–Ulam System*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **240** (2021) 1091–1145. MR 4244827
- [16] Y. Hong, C. Kwak, S. Nakamura, and C. Yang, *Finite difference scheme for two-dimensional periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, *J. Evol. Equ.* **21** (2021), no. 1, 391–418. MR 4238211
- [17] Y. Hong and C. Yang, *Uniform Strichartz estimates on the lattice*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **39** (2019), no.6, 3239–3264. MR 3959428
- [18] Y. Hong and C. Yang, *Strong convergence for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the continuum limit*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **51** (2019), no. 2, 1297–1320. MR 3939333
- [19] L. Ignat, *On the numerical approximations of the periodic Schrödinger equation*, preprint, arXiv:1910.05517 [math.AP]
- [20] L. Ignat and E. Zuazua, *Dispersive properties of a viscous numerical scheme for the Schrödinger equation*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **340** (2005), no. 7, 529–534. MR 2135236
- [21] L. Ignat and E. Zuazua, *Numerical dispersive schemes for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47** (2009), no. 2, 1366–1390. MR 2485456
- [22] G. Iooss, *Travelling waves in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice*, *Nonlinearity* **13** (2000), no. 3, 849–866. MR 1759004
- [23] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, *Global wellposedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$* , *Duke Math. J.*, **135** (2006), 327–360. MR 2267286
- [24] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 2, 573–603. MR 1329387
- [25] D. Li and B. Tang, *Quantum solitons in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model*, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. B* **28** (2014), no. 11, 1450075, 10 pp. MR 3189889
- [26] T. Mizumachi, *Asymptotic stability of N -solitary waves of the FPU lattices*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **207** (2013), no. 2, 393–457. MR 3005321
- [27] T. Oh, *Periodic L^4 -Strichartz estimate for KdV*, unpublished note, <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/toh/Files/KdVL4Strichartz.pdf>
- [28] A. Ponno and D. Bambusi, *Korteweg-de Vries equation and energy sharing in Fermi-Pasta-Ulam*, *Chaos* **15** (2005), no. 1, 015107, 5. MR 2133458
- [29] B. Rink, *Symmetry and resonance in periodic FPU chains*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **218** (2001), no. 3, 665–685. MR 1831098
- [30] J. Russell, *Report on Waves*, *Rept. Fourteenth Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science* J. Murray, London, pp. 311–390, 1844.
- [31] G. Schneider and C. E. Wayne, *Counter-propagating waves on fluid surfaces and the continuum limit of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model*, *International Conference on Differential Equations*, Vol. 1, 2 (Berlin, 1999), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000, pp. 390–404. MR 1870156
- [32] T. Tao, *Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 -functions, and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations*, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), no. 5, 839–908. MR 1854113
- [33] T. Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, vol. 106, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006, Local and global analysis. MR 2233925
- [34] L. Vega, *Restriction Theorems and the Schrödinger Multiplier on the Torus*, in *Partial differential equations with minimal smoothness and applications* (Chicago, IL,1990), IMA Vol. Math. Appl.,Vol. 42, Springer, New York, 1992, 199–211. MR 1155865
- [35] H. Yan and M. Robnik, *Chaos and quantization of the three-particle generic Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou model. II. Phenomenology of quantum eigenstates*, *Phys. Rev. E* **109** (2024), no. 5, Paper No. 054211, 17 pp. MR 4770223

- [36] N. Zabusky and M. Kruskal, *Interaction of "solitons" in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states*, Physical review letters **15** (1965), no. 6, 240.
- [37] A. Zygmund, *On Fourier coefficients and transforms of functions of two variables*, Studia Math. 50 (1974), 189–201. MR 0387950

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 03760, KOREA
Email address: `ckkwak@ewha.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHEONGJU-SI 28644, KOREA
Email address: `chyang@chungbuk.ac.kr`