

Packaged Quantum States in Field Theory: No Partial Factorization, Multi-Particle Packaging, and Hybrid Gauge-Invariant Entanglement

Rongchao Ma

Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2R3, Canada

(Dated: February 4, 2025)

We show that local gauge invariance and superselection rules enforce a “packaging” principle for quantum field excitations: **internal quantum numbers (IQNs)** such as charge, color, or flavor are locked into **irreducible representation (irrep)** blocks. At the single-particle level, this packaging principle forbids the partial factorization of IQNs (e.g. “half an electron charge” or “just the color quantum number of a quark”). Extending to multi-particle states, superselection restricts the net gauge charge to a single sector, eliminating cross-sector Bell-type superpositions while permitting packaged entangled states within one superselection sector. We provide rigorous theorems clarifying (i) why no partial factorization of IQNs is possible, (ii) how multi-particle superpositions remain gauge-invariant within a single net-charge sector, and (iii) how external **degrees of freedom (DOFs)** (spin, momentum) can be hybridized with these internal charges to form gauge-invariant entanglement. We also discuss how measurements of spin or momentum in such hybrid states lead to collapse of the internal entanglement. The interplay of gauge constraints and superselection thus gives quantum field states a nontrivial information-theoretic structure: *internal charges must be packaged with each particle’s creation operator, yet multi-particle states may form entangled superpositions so long as the net charge remains consistent.*

Introduction.— Local gauge invariance [1–4] lies at the heart of modern quantum field theory (QFT), dictating how fundamental particles carry quantized charges under gauge groups such as $U(1)$ (electromagnetism) [1] or $SU(3)$ (QCD) [5, 6]. Alongside gauge invariance, superselection rules ensure that states belonging to different charge sectors $\mathcal{H}_Q \neq \mathcal{H}_{Q'}$ cannot interfere or form physically observable superpositions [7–10].

In conventional approaches, local gauge invariance and superselection rules are treated as separate ingredients: gauge invariance binds each particle’s internal charges into an **irreducible representation (irrep)** [11, 12] that cannot be subdivided, while superselection forbids coherent superpositions of states with differing net charge. Here, we introduce a packaging principle that unifies these constraints and connects them to quantum entanglement. The packaging principle is nontrivial because, when extended to multi-particle excitations, it naturally leads to the formation of packaged entangled states—in which all **internal quantum numbers (IQNs)** remain inseparably bound [13]. This perspective not only clarifies why partial factorization of internal quantum numbers (e.g., “half an electron charge”) is forbidden, but also reveals how the intrinsic structure of particle creation operators can be exploited as a resource for robust quantum information processing.

In this paper, we unify these constraints and entanglement under the packaging principle. We show that: (1) At the single-particle level, local gauge invariance forces each creation operator to form an irreducible representation (irrep) of gauge \times Lorentz. This locks all IQNs for that particle into one inseparable unit, disallowing partial fractionation. We prove Theorem 1 formalizing the

impossibility of partial factorization. (2) At the multi-particle level, local gauge invariance still forces each creation operator to form its own irrep of gauge \times Lorentz, while superselection restricts the net charge (or net color) to remain constant across the entire superposition. Consequently, multi-particle excitations can form nontrivial packaged entangled states [13] within the same net charge sector, ruling out cross-sector interference. We prove Theorem 2 showing that multi-particle packaged states remain confined to a single superselection sector. (3) Furthermore, we discuss hybrid states that combine external **degrees of freedom (DOFs)**—such as spin and momentum—with internal charges. We prove Theorem 3 showing that spin measurements in such hybridized entangled states can collapse the internal charge entanglement.

In our framework, the “packaged entangled states” are not only a natural consequence of local gauge invariance and superselection constraints but also serve as ideal carriers of quantum information. This perspective bridges conventional field-theoretic constraints with modern entanglement measures and opens new avenues for designing quantum error-correction protocols that inherently respect gauge symmetry. In contrast to traditional treatments where entanglement is studied separately from gauge invariance, our work emphasizes that the very structure of a particle’s creation operator—and thus the inseparability of its internal quantum numbers—is a resource for robust quantum information processing.

Single-Particle Packaging.— Consider a field $\psi(x)$ in a local gauge theory [5, 6] with gauge group G , typically $U(1)$ or $SU(N)$. Under canonical quantization, $\psi(x)$ ex-

pands in creation/annihilation operators:

$$\psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_s \left[\hat{a}_s(\mathbf{p}) u_s(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \hat{b}_s^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) v_s(\mathbf{p}) e^{+ip \cdot x} \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here s typically indexes external spin or helicity DOFs (the functions $u_s(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_s(\mathbf{p})$ also “carry” the Lorentz and spinor structure), while $\hat{a}_s, \hat{b}_s^\dagger$ carry the internal gauge charges (e.g. electron vs. positron, quark vs. antiquark, etc.). By design, ψ belongs to an irrep of $G \times \text{Lorentz}$.

Definition 1 (Packaged Single-Particle State). *A single-particle state $|P\rangle \equiv \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})|0\rangle$ is **packaged** if the operator $\hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ is an irrep under local gauge transformations and thereby carries all relevant internal quantum numbers (IQNs) (electric charge, color, baryon/lepton number, flavor, etc.) as one inseparable block.*

Example 1 (Packaged Single-Particle State). *Typical examples include:*

(1) *The electron field $\psi_e(x)$ in QED carrying $U(1)$ charge $-e$ and spin- $\frac{1}{2}$. The creation operator $\hat{a}_{e^-}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ forms a single irrep: spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ plus electric charge $-e$.*

(2) *A quark field in QCD carrying color $\mathbf{3}$ or $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ representation;*

(3) *A lepton field with fixed lepton number.*

In all cases, the field operator transforms as an irreducible representation of gauge \times Lorentz, so one cannot independently manipulate the charge or color component of \hat{a}^\dagger .

Why no partial factorization of IQNs? The crux is that local gauge invariance *forbids partial factorization* of these quantum numbers. Now we restate the standard fact in our new perspective: packaging in an information-theoretic language and prove rigorously.

Theorem 1 (Packaging of Single-Particle IQNs). *Let $\psi(x)$ be an irreducible field under $G \times \text{Lorentz}$, where G is a local gauge group (Abelian or non-Abelian). The creation operator $\hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ is a single, complete irrep block that packages all IQNs (electric charge, color, flavor, etc.), disallowing partial factorization of each IQN.*

Proof. We assume that the field operators are defined on a Hilbert space satisfying the Wightman axioms (or an equivalent framework). By Schur’s lemma, an irreducible representation of a group cannot be further decomposed into smaller invariant subrepresentations. If one attempted to split charge q among multiple sub-states within a single-particle sector, it would violate the gauge group’s representation structure. Thus, irreducibility directly implies “packaging.” In short, packaging = irreducibility for single-particle excitations. \square

Thus, at the single-particle level, local gauge symmetry itself enforces that each particle’s internal charges are

“locked together” in one operator. Each single creation operator is a “packaged” irrep. This principle will persist into multi-particle superpositions, as we see next.

Multi-Particle Packaged Entangled States.— We now extend the packaging principle to multi-particle states. The full Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}}$ is spanned by applying creation operators to the vacuum:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}} = \left\{ \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \cdots \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_n) |0\rangle, \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_1) \cdots \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_m) |0\rangle, \dots \right\}.$$

Superselection theorems (Wick–Wightman–Wigner [7], Doplicher–Haag–Roberts [8, 9], etc.) say that net charge sectors \mathcal{H}_Q for $Q \neq Q'$ are disjoint Hilbert subspaces and cannot form coherent superpositions. However, *within* a single sector \mathcal{H}_Q , linear combinations of multi-particle states remain valid and can produce non-trivial entanglement.

Therefore, we can form linear combinations (superpositions) of these basis states, provided they all lie within the same net charge sector, ensuring we do not violate superselection. The total state can remain in a single net charge sector even if individual particles are ‘packaged’ in different ways.

If the resulting superposition is not factorizable over the constituent particles, we obtain a multi-particle packaged entangled state.

Definition 2 (Multi-Particle Packaged Entangled State). *Consider multi-particle basis states $|\Phi_n\rangle = \hat{a}_{n,1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n,2}^\dagger \cdots |0\rangle$ in the net-charge Q subspace \mathcal{H}_Q . A **packaged entangled state** is any superposition*

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_n \alpha_n |\Phi_n\rangle$$

where: (i) each $\hat{a}_{n,k}^\dagger$ is a single-particle packaged operator (Def. 1), (ii) all $|\Phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_Q$, (iii) the total wavefunction is non-factorizable across its multiple excitations (entanglement).

Example 2 (Electron–Positron Pair vs. Forbidden $\pm 2e$ Sector Mixing). *Let $\hat{a}_{e^-}^\dagger$ create an electron ($Q = -e$) and $\hat{b}_{e^+}^\dagger$ create a positron ($Q = +e$). Then*

$$\alpha \hat{a}_{e^-}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \hat{b}_{e^+}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_2) |0\rangle + \beta \hat{b}_{e^+}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \hat{a}_{e^-}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_2) |0\rangle$$

lies in $\mathcal{H}_{Q=0}$ and can form an entangled state with $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. By contrast, mixing $\hat{a}_{e^-}^\dagger - \hat{a}_{e^+}^\dagger$ ($Q = -2e$) with $\hat{b}_{e^+}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{e^-}^\dagger$ ($Q = +2e$) violates superselection because it tries to superpose net charges $\pm 2e$ in one state.

We now prove two main statements about such multi-particle packaged states:

Theorem 2 (Superselection + Packaging). *Let $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_n \alpha_n |\Phi_n\rangle$ be a superposition of multi-particle basis states $|\Phi_n\rangle$ in the net-charge sector \mathcal{H}_Q , each single-particle excitation being an irrep (Theorem 1). Then:*

(a) **Superselection Compatibility:** $|\Psi\rangle$ is physically realizable with no cross-sector interference. Mixing \mathcal{H}_Q with $\mathcal{H}_{Q'}$ ($Q \neq Q'$) is disallowed.

(b) **Gauge Invariance:** Each creation operator $\hat{a}_{n,k}^\dagger$ remains an irrep under local gauge transformations, and the total superposition remains gauge-invariant in the sense that it consistently transforms as a net Q block. No partial IQNs are extracted.

Hence, if $|\Psi\rangle$ is non-factorizable over its particles, it constitutes a packaged entangled state.

Proof. (i) **Superselection:** According to Wick–Wightman–Wigner [7] and Doplicher–Haag–Roberts [8, 9], states of different net charges $Q \neq Q'$ are orthogonal in any local gauge-invariant measurement. Thus if each $|\Phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_Q$, their linear combination $\sum_n \alpha_n |\Phi_n\rangle$ remains strictly in \mathcal{H}_Q and cannot mix with $\mathcal{H}_{Q'}$. Concretely, for any local gauge-invariant operator \hat{O}_{phys} , we have $\langle \Phi_m | \hat{O}_{\text{phys}} | \Phi_n \rangle = 0$ if they differ in net charge. Thus no cross-sector interference or amplitude is possible.

(ii) **Gauge Invariance of Each Operator:** Each $\hat{a}_{n,k}^\dagger$ is a single irrep creation operator, carrying its full IQNs (see Theorem 1). Summing them in one charge sector preserves local gauge invariance: the total wavefunction $\hat{a}_{n,1}^\dagger \cdots |0\rangle$ is a well-defined gauge representation with net Q .

(iii) **Entanglement in One Sector:** If the superposition is non-separable across excitations, it is entangled in the usual sense [14] but still respects superselection (no cross- Q mixing). This is precisely a *packaged entangled state*. \square

Thus $|\Psi\rangle$ is both superselection-compatible and gauge-invariant. If it is non-separable across excitations, it qualifies as a *packaged entangled state*.

Hybridization of Internal & External Entanglement.— While internal charges (e.g. electric charge, color) must remain “packaged”, real particles also carry external DOFs such as spin or momentum that are not necessarily gauged. If spin does not transform nontrivially under G , then it is an independent factor in the single-particle representation, e.g. $\text{spin-}\frac{1}{2} \otimes \text{charge } -e$. Hence a single-particle operator $\hat{a}_{s,q}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ can carry both spin s and gauge charge q . Similarly, momentum or other quantum numbers can appear. Here we show that multiple excitations can form states that entangle spin and IQNs across different particles.

In what follows, we use notations:

- n, m : indices labeling distinct particles within a multi-particle state,
- s : the spin index (or helicity) of a particle,
- q : the particle’s internal gauge charge (e.g. $\pm e$, color $\mathbf{3}$ or $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$),

- \mathbf{p} : (optionally) the momentum label, which we include when relevant.

All these quantum numbers collectively specify the creation operator $\hat{a}_{s,q}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$. Gauge invariance demands that q be a valid irrep of G , while spin and momentum remain external DOFs unaffected by local gauge transformations.

Definition 3 (Hybridized Packaged Entangled State). *A multi-particle wavefunction*

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_n \alpha_n \left(\hat{a}_{n,1}^\dagger(s_1, q_1) \hat{a}_{n,2}^\dagger(s_2, q_2) \cdots \hat{a}_{n,m}^\dagger(s_m, q_m) \right) |0\rangle$$

is called a **hybridized packaged entangled state** if:

1. Each single-particle operator $\hat{a}^\dagger(s, q)$ is a packaged irrep carrying full internal charge q and external spin s .
2. All terms lie in one net gauge sector (e.g. net charge Q), so superselection is respected.
3. The total wavefunction is non-factorizable across spin and internal charges among the various excitations (entangled both internally and externally).

Example 3 (Hybrid Spin–Charge Entangled Pair). *As a concrete illustration, consider an electron–positron pair, where each particle can be spin-up \uparrow or spin-down \downarrow . A simple hybridized entangled state is:*

$$|\Psi\rangle = \alpha (|\uparrow, e^- \rangle |\downarrow, e^+ \rangle) + \beta (|\downarrow, e^- \rangle |\uparrow, e^+ \rangle),$$

with both terms lying in the net $Q = 0$ sector. Each creation operator $\hat{a}_{\uparrow, e^-}^\dagger$ or $\hat{b}_{\downarrow, e^+}^\dagger$ is a packaged operator carrying charge $\pm e$ and spin \uparrow or \downarrow . The entanglement is hybrid because measuring spin on one particle projects the entire spin–charge wavefunction for the pair.

We conclude with a statement on how gauge invariance is preserved in hybrid states, yet spin or momentum measurements can collapse internal DOFs if the state is entangled:

Theorem 3 (Gauge Invariance of Hybrid States). *Consider external DOFs (spin or momentum) appended to each creation operator. Then:*

- (a) *The total state is physically realizable with no cross-sector interference. Mixing \mathcal{H}_Q with $\mathcal{H}_{Q'}$ ($Q \neq Q'$) is disallowed.*
- (b) *The total state remains gauge-invariant as long as the net gauge charge Q of each term is fixed within the superposition.*
- (c) *If the total wavefunction is entangled across external DOFs and internal IQNs, then a projective measurement on external DOFs can collapse the entire external-internal wavefunction, i.e., collapsing both external DOFs and internal IQNs in a correlated manner.*

Proof. (a) The net gauge charge is unchanged across all superposition terms, so superselection is not violated within \mathcal{H}_Q .

(b) A local gauge transformation does not affect spin because spin is an external SU(2) decoupled from gauge U(1) or SU(3).

(c) A measurement on spin (or momentum) is not a gauge operation, yet it remains a valid physical observable in QFT. Because each creation operator carries both spin and gauge charge, measuring spin projects the entire wavefunction. Although superselection ensures the total gauge charge remains fixed, the spin measurement collapses any existing spin–gauge entanglement and thereby forces the internal charges into a definite post-measurement configuration within that single net-charge sector.

Hence the entire “external \otimes internal” wavefunction is gauge-invariant, and a spin or momentum measurement triggers partial wavefunction collapse across internal IQNs as well [14]. Due to superselection, a measurement on an external DOF (e.g. spin) does not affect the gauge sector. \square

In Example 3, measuring spin-up vs. spin-down on the electron forces the positron’s spin to collapse, thereby collapsing the entire correlated spin–charge state. The net $Q = 0$ sector is preserved, but the post-measurement outcome can break the entanglement structure. This is the usual phenomenon in bipartite entanglement, yet now embedded in a gauge-invariant context.

Recent work on quantum simulations of lattice gauge theories [15, 16] and quantum resource allocation in gauge-invariant systems has highlighted how gauge constraints restrict accessible states in a fundamental way. Our packaging framework clarifies a key point: why partial or fractional IQNs cannot appear as independent quantum DOFs in a single-particle state. It further elucidates how gauge singlets or color-singlet states can still produce entangled pairs (e.g. quark–antiquark mesons, electron–positron pairs) within one net-charge sector. Such states exemplify a form of packaged entanglement: the wavefunction is entangled but still respects superselection and local gauge invariance.

Discussion and Outlook.— We hope these results will prove beneficial for: (1) Quantum simulations of lattice gauge theories [15, 16]: Clarifies how partial local charges or partial color do not exist, yet entangled color singlets are possible. (2) High-energy physics: Color confinement [17, 18], black-hole pair production [19], and hadronization might rely on the principle that single excitations are irrep. The packaging principle offers a direct quantum-information lens on these phenomena. (3) Quantum error-correction [14] with gauge symmetry: Constructing gauge-invariant codes requires understanding that each logical excitation must be packaged consistently. This can prevent spurious unphysical states from arising in

the code space.

Conclusion.— We have shown how local gauge invariance and superselection rules jointly produce the packaging principle for quantum field excitations: (1) *No partial IQN factorization*: A single-particle creation operator forms an irrep block under gauge transformations, forbidding partial factorization of electric charge, color, or baryon number. (2) *Single net-charge superselection sector*: Multi-particle states must lie entirely in one net charge (color) sector, eliminating cross-sector superpositions like $\alpha|Q\rangle + \beta|Q'\rangle$ for $Q \neq Q'$. (3) *Packaged Entangled States*: Within that single charge sector, non-factorizable superpositions of irrep are possible and produce entangled excitations, including electron-positron pairs, color-singlet quark-antiquark states, or $K^0\bar{K}^0$ flavor entanglement. (4) *Hybrid External-Internal DOFs*: Spin or momentum can be combined with internal charges in each single-particle operator, leading to hybridized entanglement and remaining gauge-invariant if net charge is fixed. Measurement of spin or momentum can collapse the entire external-internal wavefunction consistently with gauge symmetry.

-
- [1] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. 76, 769 (1949).
 - [2] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. 96, 191 (1954).
 - [3] Ryoju Utiyama, Phys. Rev. 101, 1597 (1956).
 - [4] Steven Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967).
 - [5] Michael E. Peskin, Daniel V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Westview Press, Boulder, 1995).
 - [6] Steven Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
 - [7] G. C. Wick, A. S. Wightman, and E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 88, 101 (1952).
 - [8] Sergio Doplicher, Rudolf Haag & John E. Roberts, Commun. Math. Phys. 23, 199-230 (1971).
 - [9] Sergio Doplicher, Rudolf Haag & John E. Roberts, Commun. Math. Phys. 35, 49-85 (1974).
 - [10] Raymond F. Streater and Arthur S. Wightman, *PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2001).
 - [11] H. Weyl, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 23, 271–309 (1925).
 - [12] E. Wigner, Annals of Mathematics, 40(1), 149–204.
 - [13] Rongchao Ma, Reports in Advances of Physical Sciences 1 (03), 1750005 (2017).
 - [14] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
 - [15] Erez Zohar, J Ignacio Cirac and Benni Reznik, Rep. Prog. Phys. 79 014401 (2016).
 - [16] P. Sala, T. Shi, S. Kühn, M. C. Banuls, E. Demler, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. D 98, 034505 (2018).
 - [17] David J. Gross and Frank Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1343 (1973).
 - [18] H. David Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1346 (1973).
 - [19] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199-220 (1975).