Charge sum rules for quark fragmentation functions

D. Kotlorz^{1, *} and O. V. Teryaev^{1,2,3, †}

¹Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie 6, Dubna, 141980, Russia

² Veksler and Baldin Laboratory of High Energy Physics,

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie 6, Dubna, 141980, Russia

³Lomonosov Moscow State University GSP-1, Leninskie Gory, Moscow, 119991, Russia

(Dated: February 4, 2025)

Charge sum rules for quark fragmentation functions are studied. The simultaneous implementation of the conservation of electric and baryon charges, strangeness and isospin symmetry is achieved when the fragmentation to both mesons and baryons is considered. The results are compatible to Gell-Mann–Nishijima formulas and may be the new manifestation of superconformal symmetry between mesons and baryons. The numerical estimates are performed and compared with phenomenological models. The recently suggested violations of sum rules due to Wilson lines contributions are discussed.

Introduction. The basic concept underlying the theoretical analysis of most high energy interactions in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is factorization. As a result of the factorization, the physical quantities (e.g. cross sections) may be given as convolution of the two separated parts: the long-distance part that contains information on the structure of the nucleon in terms of its parton distribution functions (PDFs) and fragmentation functions (FFs), and the short-distance part which describes the hard interactions of the partons. The long-distance contributions are universal, i.e., they are the same in any inelastic reaction, and the short-distance parts depend only on the large scales related to the large momentum transfer and, therefore, can be evaluated using perturbative methods of QCD. PDFs and FFs controlled by the nonperturbative dynamics of QCD and determined from one process can be used for other processes.

The longitudinal fragmentation functions $D_i^h(z, Q^2)$ $(i = q, \bar{q}, q)$ are the final-state analogs of the PDFs indicating the probability density that an outgoing parton i produces a hadron h with the momentum fraction z. While the PDFs are fairly well known, on the contrary, the flavor-separated quark and gluon FFs, being relatively new objects, required for a quantitative description of hard scattering processes involving identified light hadrons in the final-state, are not so well constrained. There are different sources to extract FFs from experimental data: semi-inclusive e^+e^- annihilation, singleinclusive production of a hadron h at a high transverse momentum p_T in hadron-hadron collisions and unpolarized semi-inclusive deep inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering (SIDIS). Only the latter are crucial for a reliable determination of FFs, because only then one can separate $D^h_q(z,Q^2)$ from $D^h_{\bar{q}}(z,Q^2)$ (from the other processes only the sum of them can be determined).

In analogy to PDFs, FFs obey the various sum rules reflecting the conservation laws in QCD. These sum rules, among which the best known example is the momentum sum rule, provide useful phenomenological constraints for the practical extraction of the fragmentation functions. At present there are several sets of FFs that well describe data, but nevertheless differ quite a lot in different kinematic regions, particularly at very small z, where the NLO perturbative QCD evolution may lead to the unphysical negative $D_q^h(z, Q^2)$. This make the energy and other sum rules for FFs a delicate concept which cannot be resolved unless the $z \to 0$ behavior of FFs is better understood.

Fragmentation functions. Parton fragmentation functions $D_i^h(z, Q^2)$ carry information on the hadronization process and are related to a nonperturbative aspect of QCD. The fragmentation process of the hadron h at some hard scale Q^2 occurs from a parton i with the probability density $D_i^h(z, Q^2)$, where z is a fraction of the parton energy carrying by the hadron. The fragmentation functions, similarly to the PDFs, obey the DGLAP Q^2 evolution.

The momentum sum rule,

$$\sum_{h} \int_{0}^{1} dz \, z D_{i}^{h}(z, Q^{2}) = 1 \,, \tag{1}$$

is valid separately for each flavor i and involves a sum over all possible produced hadrons. It is true at all scales Q^2 , what is guaranteed by DGLAP evolution, and reflects the energy conservation. This is a rigorous assumption used in most phenomenological extractions of FFs, e.g. [1-6].

However, in some recent fits of the fragmentation functions the momentum sum rule is not imposed *a priori* but rather is used as *a posteriori* check, e.g. [7, 8]. There are two important reasons for such procedure. First, the momentum sum rule, Eq. (1), requires the knowledge of the FFs of all produced hadrons, and second, it requires integration over z down to z = 0, while FFs can be usually determined from the experimental data only to $0.01 < z_{\min} < 0.2$. Hence, extrapolation of the FFs parametrizations to small-z region may lead to their unphysical behavior in this region. The lack of knowledge

 $[\]ast$ dorota@theor.jinr.ru

[†] teryaev@theor.jinr.ru

of the real small-z behavior of FFs causes the verification of the sum rules to be problematic. This is not so crucial in the case of the momentum sum rule due to the suppression of small-z contributions but may become essential in the case of the charge and particle number sum rules. Also, the low-z problem with the formulation of the charge and number sum rules for FFs from the theoretical point of view has been recently raised in [9].

Charge sum rules. The charge sum rule for FFs under study express charge conservation:

$$Q_i = \sum_h Q_h \int_0^1 dz \, D_i^h(z, Q^2) \,, \tag{2}$$

where Q_i is the conserved (in particular, electric) charge of the parent quark of flavor *i* and the sum runs over all produced hadrons of charge Q_h . Unlikely to the case of the momentum sum rule, Eq. (1), where the suppression of small-*z* contributions occurs, the charge sum rule can be invalidated due to lack of the experimental data and also an adequate theoretical interpretation of the fragmentation functions in the range of $0 < z < z_{min}$.

For a given process of charged-hadron production the small-z range depends on Q, $z_{min} \sim 1/Q$ for large Q, and it is reasonable to consider instead of the charge sum rule, Eq. (2), its truncated contribution, which depends on the scale Q^2 :

$$Q_i(Q^2) = \sum_h Q_h \int_{z_{min}(Q^2)}^1 dz \, D_i^h(z, Q^2) \,. \tag{3}$$

In this way, one can test consistency of data with a theoretical model.

Note also that the region of small $z \leq \frac{\mu^2 x_B}{Q^2}$ (where μ is a scale of an order of typical hadron mass) in SIDIS may correspond [10] to the breaking of factorization of independent distribution and fragmentation functions and appearance of (extended) fracture [11–14] functions. The simultaneous consideration of sum rules for fragmentation and fragmentation and fragmentation.

In much of the data for the charged hadron production, the observed hadrons are identified as one of the three lightest ones: the pions (π^{\pm}) , kaons (K^{\pm}) and protons (p/\bar{p}) . Here, in a simple approach to the charge sum rule, we consider these particles by adding step by step the subsequent components. Using only isospin SU(2) symmetry for the favored and unfavored fragmentation functions, and also the charge conjugation invariance of the strong interactions, we arrive at the generalized conservation law including charge, strangeness and baryon number. On this basis, we obtain the constraints for the valence fragmentation functions of quark u, $D^h_{uval}(z, Q^2)$, into mesons π^+ , K^+ and baryons p, n, Λ , and compare the results with data.

Let us consider the charge sum rule for up and down quarks. Henceforth, we skip for simplicity the argument

 Q^2 in functions $D^h_q(z,Q^2).$ Writing out Eq. (2) explicitly, we have

$$Q_{u} = \sum_{h} \int_{0}^{1} dz \left(D_{u}^{h^{+}}(z) - D_{u}^{h^{-}}(z) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{h} \int_{0}^{1} dz D_{uval}^{h^{+}}(z)$$
(4)

and

$$Q_{d} = \sum_{h} \int_{0}^{1} dz \left(D_{d}^{h^{+}}(z) - D_{d}^{h^{-}}(z) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{h} \int_{0}^{1} dz D_{dval}^{h^{+}}(z), \qquad (5)$$

respectively, where

$$D_{qval}^{h^+}(z) = D_q^{h^+}(z) - D_{\bar{q}}^{h^+}(z) \equiv D_q^{h^+ - h^-}(z).$$
(6)

In the above formulas, Eqs. (4)-(6), we have used charge symmetry of FFs:

$$D_q^{h^-}(z) = D_{\bar{q}}^{h^+}(z) \,. \tag{7}$$

The charged hadron production is dominated by charged pions, and this approximation was used long ago (see p.16 of [15]) to perform the pioneering check of charge sum rule and get the u-quark charge from semi-inclusive deep inelastic neutrino data. Therefore, at a first step, we consider only the pion contributions to the sums over h in Eqs. (4) and (5):

$$Q_u = \frac{2}{3} = \sum_{h=\pi^{\pm}} Q_h \int_0^1 dz \, D_u^h(z) = \int_0^1 dz \, D_{uval}^{\pi^+}(z) \qquad (8)$$

and

$$Q_d = -\frac{1}{3} = \sum_{h=\pi^{\pm}} Q_h \int_0^1 dz \, D_d^h(z) = \int_0^1 dz \, D_{dval}^{\pi^+}(z).$$
(9)

Assuming the isospin SU(2) symmetry for the favored and unfavored pion fragmentation functions $(\pi^+ = (u\bar{d}), \pi^- = (d\bar{u})),$

$$D_u^{\pi^+}(z) = D_{\bar{d}}^{\pi^+}(z), \qquad D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^+}(z) = D_{\bar{d}}^{\pi^+}(z), \qquad (10)$$

we have

$$D_{dval}^{\pi^{+}}(z) = -D_{uval}^{\pi^{+}}(z), \qquad (11)$$

and hence, using Eqs. (4) and (5), one get the incompatible relations:

$$Q_u = \frac{2}{3} = \int_0^1 dz \ D_{uval}^{\pi^+}(z) \,; \tag{12}$$

$$Q_d = -\frac{1}{3} = -\int_0^1 dz \ D_{uval}^{\pi^+}(z) \,. \tag{13}$$

Still, taking their difference divided by 2, one get

$$\frac{Q_u - Q_d}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \int_0^1 dz \ D_{uval}^{\pi^+}(z) \,, \tag{14}$$

which is nothing else than sum rule relating the quark isospin projection to that of pions. This also shows, that the result obtained in the pioneering paper [15] should be more close to 1/2 rather than to 2/3 which is perfectly compatible within 30% accuracy of the data, explicitly mentioned in [15] just after the presented result.

At the same time, taking the sum (for which we will concentrate in what follows) instead of the difference one have the obvious contradiction

$$Q_d = -Q_u. \tag{15}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{3} = 0.$$
 (16)

Now, let us check if adding to the charge sum rules for Q_u and Q_d also the kaon contributions will remove the discrepancy Eq. (16). Thus, taking into account pions and kaons in the sum over h in Eqs. (4) and (5), we can write

$$Q_{u} + Q_{d} = \sum_{\substack{h=\pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}}} (\cdots)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} dz \left(D_{uval}^{K^{+}}(z) + D_{dval}^{K^{+}}(z) \right), \quad (17)$$

where, as we have shown above in Eq. (15), the pion contribution to $Q_u + Q_d$ is 0. By using the isospin SU(2) symmetry for the kaon fragmentation functions

$$D_{dval}^{K^+}(z) = D_{uval}^{K^0}(z) \equiv D_u^{K^0}(z) - D_u^{\bar{K}^0}(z), \qquad (18)$$

Eq. (17) takes the form:

$$Q_u + Q_d = \int_0^1 dz \, \left(D_{uval}^{K^+}(z) + D_{uval}^{K^0}(z) \right) \,. \tag{19}$$

Note that the appearing combination of kaon fragmentation functions should be zero due to the strangeness (equal zero for light quarks) conservation, so that the discrepancy remains to persist. Note that the fragmentation functions of K^0 mesons are added here as a matter of principle. They correspond to the states of different quark content, while experimentally the ones with definite CP-symmetry are measured, for which the relevant valence contributions are zero, up to small CP-symmetry violation.

Bearing in mind the above conclusion and also the motivation to find some general law in terms of the fragmentation functions, we proceed our analysis by including also *baryons*. Thus, after taking into account the contributions to the charge sum rules, Eqs. (4) and (5), coming from pions, kaons and also protons, we obtain

$$Q_u + Q_d = \int_0^1 dz \left(D u^{K^+ - K^-}(z) + D_u^{K^0 - \bar{K}^0}(z) + D_u^{p - \bar{p}}(z) + D_d^{p - \bar{p}}(z) \right).$$
(20)

Assuming the charge and isospin SU(2) symmetry for the proton (p = (uud)) and neutron (n = (ddu)) FFs,

$$D_d^p(z) = D_u^n(z), \qquad D_{\bar{d}}^p(z) \approx D_{\bar{u}}^p(z) \approx D_{\bar{u}}^n(z), \quad (21)$$

we can write Eq. (20) as

$$\frac{1}{3} = \langle D_u^{K^+} \rangle - \langle D_u^{K^-} \rangle + \langle D_u^{K^0} \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{K}^0} \rangle
+ \langle D_u^p \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{p}} \rangle + \langle D_u^n \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{n}} \rangle,$$
(22)

where we have used the hadron multiplicity in the form

$$\langle D_q^h \rangle \equiv \int_0^1 dz \, D_q^h(z) \,. \tag{23}$$

Note that four last terms now express the *baryon* charge so that current sum rule simultaneously provide conservation of strangeness, electric and baryon charges, which is a sort of manifestation of Gell-Mann–Nishijima formula.

As soon as in the "favored" approximation the fragmentation function of K^+ dominates (and the strangeness conservation is numerically questionable), it is instructive to add and subtract to the sum rule the same term (which is also theoretically attracting providing the baryonic strangeness contribution in addition to the mesonic one) $\langle D_u^{\Lambda} \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{\Lambda}} \rangle$, where $\Lambda = (uds)$ hyperon, and then we group all terms in *strange* and *non-strange* parts:

$$\frac{S_u = 0}{\langle D_u^{K^+} \rangle - \langle D_u^{K^-} \rangle + \langle D_u^{K^0} \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{K}^0} \rangle + \langle D_u^{\bar{\Lambda}} \rangle - \langle D_u^{\Lambda} \rangle} + \underbrace{\langle D_u^p \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{p}} \rangle + \langle D_u^n \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{n}} \rangle + \langle D_u^{\Lambda} \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{\Lambda}} \rangle}_{B_u = 1/3}. \quad (24)$$

One can see that the r.h.s. of Eq. (24) is a sum of strangeness S = 0 and baryon number B = 1/3 of the u quark (like in the Gell-Mann–Nishijima formula), and the final result reads

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$$
. (25)

We have demonstrated that the charge sum rules for the quark fragmentation functions hold including simultaneously the contributions of mesons and baryons providing the conservation of the strangeness, electric and baryon charges. Let us, for completeness, write the expression for the isospin conservation including the kaons and baryons:

$$\frac{1}{2} = \langle D_u^{\pi^+} \rangle - \langle D_u^{\pi^-} \rangle
+ \frac{1}{2} \Big(\langle D_u^{K^+} \rangle - \langle D_u^{K^-} \rangle - \langle D_u^{K^0} \rangle + \langle D_u^{\bar{K}^0} \rangle
+ \langle D_u^p \rangle - \langle D_u^{\bar{p}} \rangle - \langle D_u^n \rangle + \langle D_u^{\bar{n}} \rangle \Big).$$
(26)

Two last equations form our main result. They are clearly compatible to Gell-Mann–Nishijima formulas for quarks and hadrons, and it is crucial that fragmentation to both mesons and baryons must be considered. This may provide a new manifestation of superconformal symmetry [16] between mesons and baryons.

Another interesting aspect of the obtained sum rules is provided by the recent investigation [9] of the sum rule violations due to Wilson line contributions implied by quark and baryon quantum numbers mismatch. Our result suggests that these effects are strongly constrained by Gell-Mann–Nishijima formulas. The simplest way of the realization of such constraints is probably the cancellations of Wilson lines contributions between quarks and antiquarks for valence fragmentation functions. This possibility may be supported by the fact [17], that resummed evolution kernels for multiplicities in quark and gluon jets (that is, the first moments of quark and gluon fragmentation functions) obey the Casimir scaling. As the gluon fragmentation to particle and antiparticle coincide, their quark multiplicities evolution is the same, due to Casimir scaling. One may there expect that Wilson line contributions [9] is also the same, although the additional investigations are required. It is interesting to mention, that Casimir scaling in [17] is related to supersymmetric properties of evolution, which may be compared with superconformal symmetry in [16].

Numerical estimations. Here, in addition to our main results on the charge and isospin sum rules, we obtain some constraints on $\langle D_{uval}^h \rangle$, where $h = \pi^+, K^+, p$ and Λ , which can be tested numerically.

Gathering together Eqs. (22), (24) and also the expression for the electric charge Q_u :

$$\langle D_{uval}^{K^+} \rangle + \langle D_{uval}^p \rangle + \langle D_{uval}^n \rangle = \frac{1}{3},$$
 (27)

$$\langle D_{uval}^p \rangle + \langle D_{uval}^n \rangle + \langle D_{uval}^\Lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{3},$$
 (28)

$$\langle D_{uval}^{\pi^+} \rangle + \langle D_{uval}^{K^+} \rangle + \langle D_{uval}^p \rangle = \frac{2}{3}, \qquad (29)$$

and assuming (based on the concept of a common function for favored fragmentation functions from up and down quarks and on a flavor symmetry)

$$D_{uval}^{p}(z) \approx 2D_{uval}^{n}(z) \approx 2D_{uval}^{\Lambda}(z) \,, \tag{30}$$

we arrive at

$$\langle D_{uval}^{\pi^+} \rangle = \frac{5}{12}, \qquad \langle D_{uval}^p \rangle = \frac{1}{6},$$

$$\langle D_{uval}^{K^+} \rangle = \langle D_{uval}^n \rangle = \langle D_{uval}^\Lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{12}.$$

$$(31)$$



FIG. 1. Truncated contributions to the electric charge of the u-quark, Eq. (29), (left) and to the isospin sum rule, Eq. (26), (right).

To test consistency of our results with a phenomenological model of the fragmentation functions we compare the theoretical predictions on the charge and isospin sum rules with the numerical estimates of their truncated at z contributions based on some recent parametrizations of FFs. We use various published NLO parametrization sets: HKNS-2007 [2], AKK-2008 [3], DSEHS-2014 [4] and LSS-2015 [6] for the pion, HKNS-2007, AKK-2008 and DEHSS-2017 [5] for kaon, HKNS-2007, AKK-2008 and BS-2003 [18] for the proton, and DSV-1998 [19], BS-2003, AKK-2008 and SAK-2020 [20] for Λ . DGLAP NLO evolution of FFs has been performed with the help of a numerical code provided by HKNS [2].

The left panel of Fig. 1 presents the truncated at z contributions to the electric charge of the u-quark ($Q_u = 2/3$), Eq. (29), from the sum of pion, kaon and proton for HKNS, AKK and DSEHS (DEHSS) parametrizations at $Q = M_Z$. Since DSEHS don't parametrize proton FFs, we supplemented the sum of DSEHS pion and DEHSS kaon with the proton contribution obtained from the statistical approach to FFs, BS. Similarly, the isospin sum rule, Eq. (26), is illustrated in the right panel. One can see good agreement between the numerical predictions for the charge and isospin sum rule and the theoretical results in the limit of the smallest accessible experimentally z or below it.

It is also interesting to notice that the constraints on $\langle D_{uval}^h \rangle$ obtained in Eq. (31) are mostly supported by the phenomenological estimates as well with the exception of AKK parametrizations for the pion, kaon and lambda. This is shown in Fig. 2, where we present the truncated at z first moment of D_{uval}^h for mesons: pion and kaon, and baryons: proton and lambda.

Summary. We have demonstrated that the charge sum rules for the quark fragmentation functions hold including simultaneously the contributions of mesons and baryons providing the conservation of the strangeness, electric and baryon charges, Eq. (24). We also obtained the expression for the isospin conservation, Eq. (26). The results are compatible to Gell-Mann–Nishijima formulas for quarks and hadrons manifesting a new aspect of quark-hadron duality.

Using our results, we formulated the constraints for $D^h_{uval}(z, Q^2)$, Eq. (31), where h denotes mesons π , K and baryons p, n, Λ . The numerical estimates based



FIG. 2. The truncated moments, $\int_{z}^{1} D_{uval}^{h}(x, Q_{0}^{2}) dx$, for the pion, kaon, proton and lambda calculated for different FFs sets, compared to the constraints for $\langle D_{uval}^{h} \rangle$, Eq. (31).

- S. Kretzer, Phys. Rev. D 62, 054001 (2000), hepph/0003177.
- [2] M. Hirai, S. Kumano, T. H. Nagai, and K. Sudoh, Phys. Rev. D 75, 094009 (2007), hep-ph/0702250.
- [3] S. Albino, B. A. Kniehl, and G. Kramer, Nucl. Phys. B 803, 42 (2008), 0803.2768.
- [4] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Epele, R. J. Hernández-Pinto, and M. Stratmann, Phys. Rev. D 91, 014035 (2015), 1410.6027.
- [5] D. de Florian, M. Epele, R. J. Hernandez-Pinto, R. Sassot, and M. Stratmann, Phys. Rev. D 95, 094019 (2017), 1702.06353.
- [6] E. Leader, A. V. Sidorov, and D. B. Stamenov, Phys. Rev. D 93, 074026 (2016), 1506.06381.
- [7] V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, N. P. Hartland, E. R. Nocera, and J. Rojo (NNPDF), Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 516 (2017), 1706.07049.
- [8] J. Gao, C. Liu, X. Shen, H. Xing, and Y. Zhao (2024), 2401.02781.
- [9] J. Collins and T. C. Rogers, Phys. Rev. D 109, 016006 (2024), 2309.03346.
- [10] O. V. Teryaev, Acta Phys. Polon. B 33, 3749 (2002),

on some recent parametrizations of FFs confirm these constraints and also are in agreement with the truncated contributions to the charge and isospin sum rules.

We have also discussed the possible cancellations of Wilson lines contributions between quarks and antiquarks for valence fragmentation functions to resolve the problem of violation of the charge sum rules for FFs coming from the small-z region.

Acknowledgments. We thank A.V. Kotikov, A. Kotlorz and S.V. Mikhailov for stimulating discussions and interesting comments.

hep-ph/0211027.

- [11] L. Trentadue and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 323, 201 (1994).
- [12] M. Grazzini, L. Trentadue, and G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B **519**, 394 (1998), hep-ph/9709452.
- [13] D. de Florian and R. Sassot, Phys. Rev. D 56, 426 (1997), hep-ph/9703228.
- [14] M. Anselmino, V. Barone, and A. Kotzinian, Phys. Lett. B 699, 108 (2011), 1102.4214.
- [15] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 3N2, 1 (1980).
- [16] H. G. Dosch, G. F. de Teramond, and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 085016 (2015), 1501.00959.
- [17] A. V. Kotikov and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. D 103, 034002 (2021), 2001.07976.
- [18] C. Bourrely and J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. D 68, 014003 (2003), hep-ph/0305070.
- [19] D. de Florian, M. Stratmann, and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 57, 5811 (1998), hep-ph/9711387.
- [20] M. Soleymaninia, H. Abdolmaleki, and H. Khanpour, Phys. Rev. D 102, 114029 (2020), 2009.08139.