

Climate network and complexity approach predict neutral ENSO event for 2025

Josef Ludescher¹, Jun Meng², Jingfang Fan³, Armin Bunde⁴, and Hans Joachim Schellnhuber⁵

¹*Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Member of the Leibniz Association, 14412 Potsdam, Germany*

²*School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China*

³*School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, 1000875 Beijing, China*

⁴*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Justus Liebig Universität Gießen, 35392 Gießen, Germany*

⁵*International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg 2361, Austria*

Abstract

The El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is the strongest driver of interannual global climate variability and can lead to extreme weather events like droughts and flooding. Additionally, ENSO influences the mean global temperature with strong El Niño events often leading, in a warming climate, to new record highs. Recently, we have developed two approaches for the early forecasting of El Niño. The climate network-based approach [1–3] allows forecasting the onset of an El Niño event about 1 year ahead. The complexity-based approach [4] allows additionally to forecast the magnitude of an upcoming El Niño event in the calendar year before. These methods successfully forecasted the onset of an Eastern Pacific El Niño for 2023/24 and the subsequent record-breaking warming of 2024 [5]. Here, we apply these methods to forecast the ENSO state in 2025. Both methods forecast the absence of an El Niño in 2025, with 91.2% and 91.7% probability, respectively. Combining these forecasts with a logistic regression based on the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) leads to a 69.6% probability that 2025/26 will be a neutral ENSO event. We estimate the probability of a La Niña at 21.8%. This makes it likely that the mean global temperature in 2025 will decrease somewhat compared to the 2024 level.

1 The El Niño Southern Oscillation

The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) [6–11] is a naturally occurring quasi-periodic oscillation of the Pacific ocean-atmosphere system that alternates between warm (El Niño), cold (La Niña) and neutral phases. These phases are commonly defined via the sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) in the Niño3.4 region (see Fig. 1). An El Niño is said to take place if the 3 months running average SSTA in this region, i.e., the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI), is above or equal 0.5°C for at least five consecutive months; correspondingly, below -0.5°C for a La Niña. A regularly updated table of the ONI can be found at [12].

Since El Niño and La Niña episodes can alter precipitation and temperature patterns over a large part of the globe, which can result in, e.g., flooding or droughts [11, 13–17], early-warning methods are highly desirable. There are two general approaches to forecasting ENSO: (i) dynamical coupled general circulation models (GCMs), which directly simulate the evolution of the system according to the governing physical laws, e.g., the laws of fluid dynamics, and (ii) statistical prediction models, which rely on statistical relationships between current properties/state of the system and the system’s consequent development. These statistical relationships are derived from past data, and the underlying physical processes are often not known. Numerous models of both types have been proposed to forecast the pertinent index with lead times between 1 and 24 months [18–42].

Unfortunately, the current operational forecasts have quite limited anticipation power. In particular, they generally fail to overcome the so-called “spring barrier” (see, e.g., [43, 44]), which usually shortens their reliable warning time to around 6 months [11, 45, 46].




Figure 1: **The nodes of the climate network.** The network consists of 14 grid points in the central and eastern equatorial Pacific (red dots) and 193 grid points outside this area (blue dots). The green rectangle shows the Niño3.4 area. The grid points represent the nodes of the climate network that we use here to forecast the *onset* or absence of an El Niño event. Each red node is linked to each blue node. The nodes are characterized by their surface air temperature (SAT), and the link strength between the nodes is determined from their cross-correlation (see below).

To resolve this problem, we have recently introduced two alternative forecasting approaches, which considerably extend the probabilistic prediction horizon. The first approach [1–3] is based on complex network analysis [48–55] and provides forecasts for the onset of an El Niño event, but not for its magnitude, in the year before the event starts. The second approach [4] relies on the System Sample Entropy (SysSampEn), an information entropy, which measures the complexity (disorder) in the Niño3.4 area. The method provides forecasts for both the onset and magnitude of an El Niño event at the end of the previous year. By regarding additional predictors, the El Niño forecasts of these methods can be leveraged to obtain more specific forecasts, for instance, for the type (Eastern Pacific or Central Pacific) of an El Niño event [56, 57].

The last El Niño forecast [5] based on data until December 2022 turned out to be correct. Both methods forecasted the onset of an El Niño, with a combined probability of around 89%. The complexity-based approach forecasted a magnitude of $1.49 \pm 0.37^\circ\text{C}$ and the magnitude of the event turned out to be 2.0°C [12], which is only 1.38 standard deviations from the center estimate. Additionally, we had forecasted with an 87.5% probability that an El Niño event starting in 2023 will be an Eastern Pacific event, as it turned out.

Here, we present the forecasts of both methods for 2025. Both methods forecast the absence of an El Niño in 2025, with 91.2% and 91.7% probability, respectively. To discriminate between a La Niña and a neutral ENSO event, we use the interannual relationship of the ONI values as an additional predictor and obtain a 69.6% probability for a neutral event in 2025.

2 Climate network-based forecasting

2.1 The network-based forecasting algorithm

For a brief description of the climate network-based approach we follow [5]. The approach is based on the observation that a large-scale cooperative mode, linking the central and eastern equatorial Pacific with the rest of the tropical Pacific (see Fig. 1), builds up in the calendar year before an El Niño event. According to [1, 2, 51], a measure for the emerging cooperativity can be derived from the time evolution of the teleconnections (“links”) between the surface air temperature anomalies (SATA) at the grid points (“nodes”) between the two areas. The strengths of these links are derived from the respective cross-correlations (for details, see, e.g., [1, 2]).

The primary predictive quantity for the onset of an El Niño is the mean link strength $S(t)$ in the considered network, which is obtained by averaging over all individual links at a given time t [1, 2]. The mean link strength $S(t)$ typically rises in the calendar year before an El Niño event starts and drops with the onset of the event (see Fig. 2). This property serves as a precursor for the event. The forecasting algorithm involves as only fit parameter a decision threshold Θ , which




Figure 2: **The network-based forecasting scheme.** We compare the average link strength $S(t)$ in the climate network (red curve) with a decision threshold Θ (horizontal line, here $\Theta = 2.82$), (left scale), and the standard Niño3.4 index (ONI), (right scale), between January 1950 and December 2024. When the link strength crosses the threshold from below, and the last available ONI is below 0.5°C , we give an alarm and predict that an El Niño episode will start in the following calendar year. The El Niño episodes (when the Niño3.4 index is at or above 0.5°C for at least 5 months) are shown by the solid blue areas. Correct predictions are marked by green arrows and false alarms by dashed arrows. The index n marks not predicted events. The threshold learning phase is between 1950 and 1980. In the whole period between 1981 and December 2024, there were 12 El Niño events. The algorithm generated 13 alarms, and 9 of them were correct. In the more restrictive version (ii) of the algorithm, only those alarms are considered where the ONI remains below 0.5°C for the rest of the year. In this version, the correct alarms in 1957 and 1976, and the incorrect alarms in 1994, 2004, 2019 and 2023 are not activated. Since the 2023 false alarm occurred after the introduction of version (ii) of the algorithm [56], it is shown as a dashed grey arrow. Between 1981 and December 2024, version (ii) of the algorithm gave 9 alarms, all of which were correct.

has been fixed in a learning phase (1950-1980) [1]. Optimal forecasts in the learning phase are obtained for Θ between 2.815 and 2.834 [1, 2].

The algorithm gives an alarm and predicts the onset of an El Niño event in the following year when $S(t)$ crosses Θ from below while the most recent ONI value is below 0.5°C . In a more restrictive version (ii) [56, 57], the algorithm considers only those alarms where the ONI remains below 0.5°C for the rest of the calendar year.

For the calculation of S , we use daily surface air temperature data from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) Reanalysis I project [58, 59]. We would like to note that for the calculations in the prediction phase (1981-present), e.g., of the climatological average, only data from the past up to the prediction date have been considered.




Figure 3: **The climate network-based real-time forecasting phase.** Same as Fig. 2 but for the period between January 2011 and December 2024. The false alarm of version (i) of the algorithm in 2023 is not activated in version (ii) and is thus not shown here. Throughout 2024, the average link strength $S(t)$ remained well below the critical threshold band, thus forecasting the absence of an El Niño in 2025.

2.2 El Niño forecasts since 2011

The climate network-based algorithm has been quite successful in providing real-time forecasts, i.e., forecasts into the future. In its original version, it provided 12 forecasts for the period 2012-2023, 11 of these forecasts turned out to be correct, see Fig. 3. The only incorrect forecast is a false alarm given in September 2019. In December 2022 [56, 57], we have introduced a more restrictive version (ii) of the algorithm, where only those alarms are considered, where the ONI remains below 0.5°C for the rest of the year and we are using exclusively this version from then on. Version (ii) correctly forecasted the absence of an El Niño onset in 2024. Thus, in total, the method provided 13 real-time forecasts for the period 2012-2024, 12 of these forecasts turned out to be correct. The p-value, obtained from random guessing with the climatological El Niño onset probability [3], for the skill in the forecasting period is $p \cong 4.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$. When considering the hindcasting and forecasting periods (1981-2024) correspondingly together, the p-value is $p \cong 2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

Throughout 2024, the mean link strength stayed well below the critical thresholds, thus predicting the absence of an El Niño onset in 2025. In the hindcasting and forecasting period (1981 and December 2024), there were 12 El Niño events. Version (i) of the algorithm generated 13 alarms and 9 of these were correct. Equivalently, the algorithm forecasted 30 times the absence of an El Niño onset and missed 3 El Niños. Thus, forecasts for the absence of an El Niño are correct with $27/30 = 90\%$ probability. The more restrictive version (ii) of the algorithm [56, 57] gave 9 El Niño alarms, all of which were correct. In this version, the forecasts for the absence of an El Niño onset are correct with $31/34 \approx 91.2\%$ probability.

3 System Sample Entropy-based forecast

3.1 SysSampEn

For a brief description of the System Sample Entropy (SysSampEn) approach we follow [5]. The SysSampEn was introduced in [4] as an analysis tool to quantify the complexity (disorder) in a complex system, in particular, in the temperature anomaly time series in the Niño3.4 region. For a brief description of the approach we follow [5]. The SysSampEn is approximately equal to the negative natural logarithm of the conditional probability that 2 subsequences similar (within a certain tolerance range) for m consecutive data points remain similar for the next p points, where the subsequences can originate from either the same or different time series (e.g., black curves in Fig. 4), that is,

$$\text{SysSampEn}(m, p, l_{eff}, \gamma) = -\log\left(\frac{A}{B}\right), \quad (1)$$

where A is the number of pairs of similar subsequences of length $m+p$, B is the number of pairs of similar subsequences of length m , $l_{eff} \leq l$ is the number of data points used in the calculation for




Figure 4: **The Niño3.4 area and the SysSampEn input data.** The red circles indicate the 22 nodes covering the Niño 3.4 region at a spatial resolution of $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$. The curves are examples of the temperature anomaly time series for 3 nodes in the Niño 3.4 region for one specific year. Several examples of their subsequences are marked in black. In the calculation of the SysSampEn, both the similarity of subsequences within a time series and the similarity of subsequences of different time series are regarded. Figure from [4].

each time series of length l , and γ is a constant that determines the tolerance range. The detailed definition of the SysSampEn for a general complex system composed of N time series and how to objectively choose the parameter values is described in detail in [4].

In [4], it was found the previous year's ($y-1$) SysSampEn exhibits a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.90$ on average) with the magnitude of an El Niño in year y when parameter combinations are used that are able to quantify a system's complexity with a high accuracy. This linear relationship between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude enables thus to predict the magnitude of an upcoming El Niño when the current ($y-1$) SysSampEn is inserted into the linear regression equation between the two quantities.

If the forecasted El Niño magnitude is below 0.5°C then the absence of an El Niño onset is predicted for the following year y . Thus, SysSampEn values below a certain threshold indicate the absence of an El Niño onset. In contrast, if the SysSampEn is above this threshold and the ONI in December of the current year is below 0.5°C then the method predicts the onset of an El Niño event in the following year.

The SysSampEn represents a generalization of two information entropies that are widely used tools in physiological fields: the sample entropy (SampEn) and the Cross-SampEn [60]. For details about their relation to the SysSampEn, see [4].

3.2 Forecast for 2025

Here we use as input data the daily near-surface (1000 hPa) air temperatures of the ERA5 reanalysis from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) [61] analysed at a 5° resolution. The last months in 2024 are from the initial data release ERA5T, which, in contrast to ERA5, only lags a few days behind real-time.

The daily time series are preprocessed by subtracting the corresponding climatological mean and then dividing by the climatological standard deviation. We start in 1984 and use the previous years to calculate the first anomalies. For the calculation of the climatological mean and standard deviation, only past data up to the year of the prediction are used. For simplicity, leap days are excluded. We use the parameter values for the SysSampEn that lead to the best El Niño forecasting skill when applied to past events, as described in [4], $m = 30$, $p = 30$, $\gamma = 8$ and $l_{eff} = 360$.

Figure 5 shows the results of the analysis. The magnitude forecast is shown as the height of rectangles in the year when the forecast is made, i.e., 1 year ahead of a potential El Niño onset. The forecast is obtained by inserting the regarded calendar year's SysSampEn value into the linear regression function between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude. For the 2025 forecast, the regression is based on all correctly hindcasted El Niño events before 2024. The red curve shows




Figure 5: **Forecasted and observed El Niño magnitudes.** The magnitude forecast is shown as the height of rectangles in the year when the forecast is made, i.e., one year ahead of a potential El Niño. The forecast is obtained by inserting the regarded calendar year’s SysSampEn value into the linear regression function between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude. To forecast the following year’s condition, we use the ERA5 daily near-surface (1000 hPa) temperatures with the set of SysSampEn parameters ($m = 30$, $p = 30$, $\gamma = 8$ and $l_{eff} = 360$). The red curve shows the ONI and the red shades highlight the El Niño periods. The blue rectangles show the correct prediction of an El Niño in the following calendar year. The onset of an El Niño in the following year is predicted if the forecasted magnitude is above 0.5°C and the current year’s December ONI is $< 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. White dashed rectangles show correct forecasts for the absence of an El Niño. Grey bars with a violet border show false alarms and the only missed event is shown as a pink rectangle. The SysSampEn value for 2024 is 0.79, i.e., is well below the threshold value of 1.31. There were 12 occurrences of low SysSampEn accompanied by a low ONI in December, as is the case in 2024 (green rectangle). In 11 out of these 12 cases, the hindcast was correct. Thus the method predicts with 91.7% probability the absence of an El Niño in 2025.

the ONI and the red shades indicate the El Niño periods. The blue rectangles show the correct prediction of an El Niño in the following calendar year and grey rectangles with a violet border show false alarms. White dashed rectangles show correct forecasts for the absence of an El Niño and the only missed event is the 2009/10 El Niño, where the preceding SysSampEn value was slightly below the threshold.

There were 12 occurrences of a low SysSampEn accompanied by a lower than 0.5°C ONI in December. In 11 out of these 12 cases, the hindcast was correct. The forecasted magnitude for 2025 is far below 0.5°C , as shown by the green rectangle. The SysSampEn value for 2024 is 0.79, i.e., well below the threshold value of 1.31. Thus the method predicts with 91.7% probability the absence of an El Niño in 2025.

4 Probability of a La Niña vs. a neutral event in 2025

Both the climate network-based and the complexity-based methods forecast with a high probability the absence of an El Niño event in 2025. The respective probabilities are 91.2% and 91.7%, and the combined probability is 91.4%. To further specify our forecast and to discriminate between a La Niña or a neutral event, we analyze the relationship between interannual ONI values. Figure 6 shows the NDJ ONI values of year $y+1$ vs the OND value of year y . We use NDJ since El Niño and La Niña tend to peak around December, and OND to gain an additional month of lead time. The forecasted absence of an El Niño in 2025, by our methods, excludes (with high probability) a large area (red shading). The current OND ONI value in 2024 is -0.4°C , i.e., a neutral event, and is shown as a vertical red line. Since we are currently clearly not in an El Niño event, this excludes additionally the grey area. We regard an OND ONI value of 0.5°C as part of an El Niño since, in 2 out of 3 past cases, this was the eventual turnout, and whether such a weak warming is part of an El Niño is not known in real-time. The exception was the 2019/2020 warming event, which narrowly missed satisfying the definition of an El Niño.




Figure 6: **Interannual ONI relationship.** The November-December-January (NDJ) ONI value of year $y+1$ vs. the OND value of the previous calendar year y (blue circles). Our forecast predicts the absence of an El Niño with a high probability (91.2% and 91.7%). Thus, this outcome is excluded with a high probability and is shown as a light red area. Dashed vertical black lines mark -0.5°C and 0.5°C and the current OND value -0.4°C is shown as a vertical red line. Since we are currently clearly not in an El Niño event, this excludes additionally the grey area.

Figure 6 shows that in the observational record since 1950, neutral events were not followed by a La Niña phase. This allows a first rough estimate of the neutral vs. La Niña probability. There were 8 cases (in Figure 6, 2 such cases are on top of each other) where a neutral event was followed by a second neutral event. Assuming that no El Niño will start in 2025, a neutral event remains thus the only outcome that has been observed since 1950. Applying a Bayesian-type estimate using Laplace’s rule of succession [62], we arrive at a neutral event probability of $(8 + 1)/(8 + 2) = 0.90$. Correspondingly, the probability of a La Niña is 0.1.

To take full advantage of the available data that is relevant in the current case, we regard all years that are non-El Niño (i.e., La Niña or neutral) years and are also followed by a non-El Niño year. These events are shown in the white area in Figure 6. On this data, we perform a logistic regression with the outcomes La Niña (encoded as 1) and neutral (encoded as 0), see Figure 7. We use as a predictor the OND ONI value of the year y , which is preceding the target year $y + 1$. Based on this regression and the current OND ONI value of -0.4°C , we obtain a 23.8% probability for a La Niña and a 76.2% probability for a neutral ENSO year. To take into account that there is a 0.086, i.e., 8.6%, probability for an El Niño in 2025 when combining the climate network and the complexity-based approaches, we multiply the above values with the complementary probability of 0.914 and arrive at 21.8% and 69.6% overall probabilities for a La Niña and a neutral event, respectively.

Figure 8 summarizes our final forecast probabilities for NDJ 2025/26 and compares them with the climatologically expected outcome (dashed horizontal lines) and the current official NOAA climate prediction center (CPC) probabilistic forecast for August-September-October (circles) issued in January 2025 [63]. Since El Niños and La Niñas can develop later in the calendar year, the NOAA CPC forecast implies that for NDJ 2025/26, there is a lower probability of a neutral event than the provided probability for ASO. Thus, compared to the NOAA CPC forecast, we predict with a considerably higher probability a neutral ENSO year.




Figure 7: **The probability of a La Niña.** We regard all years that are non-El Niño years and are also followed by a non-El Niño year, i.e., the events shown in the white area in Figure 6. These events correspond to the current state since the OND ONI is -0.4°C and our forecasting methods predict the absence of an El Niño in 2025 with high probability. The outcome of the second year is encoded as 1 for La Niña and 0 for a neutral event (blue circles). To obtain the probability for a La Niña event in 2025, given that no El Niño starts in 2025, we apply a logistic regression and use the current OND ONI value of -0.4°C . Excluding El Niño, we obtain a 23.8% probability for a La Niña vs. a 76.2% probability for a neutral event.




Figure 8: **Summary of our forecast.** The height of the bars shows the probability of El Niño, neutral event and La Niña for NDJ 2025/26 based on the climate network, the SysSampEn and on a logistic regression based on the events that correspond to the current state. We obtain 8.6%, 69.6% and 21.8%, respectively. The corresponding probabilities of the NOAA CPC forecast from January 2025 are 21%, 44% and 35% for the target season ASO 2025 [63]. The dashed horizontal lines show the NDJ climatological probabilities.

Acknowledgements

J. L. is part of the Planetary Boundaries Science Lab's research effort at PIK.

References

- [1] Ludescher J, Gozolchiani A, Bogachev MI, Bunde A, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2013) Improved El Niño forecasting by cooperativity detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:11742-11745; *ibid.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1317354110.
- [2] Ludescher J, Gozolchiani A, Bogachev MI, Bunde A, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2014) Very early warning of next El Niño. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:2064-2066; *ibid.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1323058111.
- [3] Bunde A, Ludescher J, Schellnhuber HJ (2024) Evaluation of the real-time El Niño forecasts by the climate network approach between 2011 and present. *Theor Appl Climatol* 155, 6727–6736. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-024-05035-0>.
- [4] Meng J, Fan J, Ludescher J, Ankit A, Chen X, Bunde A, Kurths J, Schellnhuber HJ (2019) Complexity based approach for El Niño magnitude forecasting before the spring predictability barrier. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 117:177-183; *ibid.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1917007117.
- [5] Ludescher J, Meng J, Fan J, Bunde A, Schellnhuber HJ (2023) Very early warning of a moderate-to-strong El Niño in 2023. arXiv:2301.10763
- [6] Dijkstra HA (2005) *Nonlinear Physical Oceanography: A Dynamical Systems Approach to the Large-Scale Ocean Circulation and El Niño* (Springer, New York).
- [7] Clarke AJ (2008) *An Introduction to the Dynamics of El Niño and the Southern Oscillation* (Elsevier Academic Press, London).
- [8] Sarachik ES, Cane MA (2010) *The El Niño-Southern Oscillation Phenomenon* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).
- [9] Wang C, et al. (2017) El Niño and Southern Oscillation (ENSO): A review, in: *Coral Reefs of the Eastern Tropical Pacific*, eds Glynn PW, Manzello D, Enochs IC (Springer, Berlin).
- [10] Timmermann A, et al. (2018) El Niño-Southern Oscillation complexity. *Nature* 559:535-545.
- [11] McPhaden MJ, Santoso A, Cai W (Eds.) (2020) *El Niño Southern Oscillation in a Changing Climate* (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken).
- [12] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Climate Prediction Center. https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensostuff/ONI_v5.php
- [13] Davis M (2001) *Late Victorian Holocaust: El Niño Famines and the Making of the Third World* (Verso, London & New York).
- [14] Wen C (2002) Impacts of El Niño and La Niña on the cycle of the East Asian winter and summer monsoon. *Chin J Atmos Sci* 26:359-376.
- [15] Kovats RS, Bouma MJ, Hajat S, Worrall E, Haines A (2003) El Niño and health. *Lancet* 362:1481–1489.
- [16] Donnelly JP, Woodruff JD (2007) Intense hurricane activity over the past 5,000 years controlled by El Niño and the West African monsoon. *Nature* 447:465-468.
- [17] Corral A, Ossó A, Llebot JE (2010) Scaling of tropical-cyclone dissipation. *Nature Phys* 6:693-696.
- [18] Cane MA, Zebiak SE, Dolan SC (1986) Experimental forecasts of El Niño. *Nature* 321:827-832.

- [19] Penland C und Sardeshmukh PD (1995) The optimal growth of tropical sea surface temperature anomalies. *J Clim* 8:1999-2024.
- [20] Tziperman E, Scher H, Zebiak SE, Cane MA (1997) Controlling Spatiotemporal Chaos in a Realistic El Niño Prediction Model. *Phys Rev Lett* 79:1034-1037.
- [21] Fedorov AV, Harper SL, Philander SG, Winter B, Wittenberg A (2003) How Predictable is El Niño? *Bull Amer Meteor Soc* 84:911-919.
- [22] Galanti E, Tziperman E, Rosati A, Sirkes Z (2003) A Study of ENSO Prediction Using a Hybrid Coupled Model and the Adjoint Method for Data Assimilation. *Mon Weather Rev* 131:2748-2764.
- [23] Kirtman BP (2003) The COLA anomaly coupled model: Ensemble ENSO prediction. *Monthly Weather Review* 131:2324-2341.
- [24] Chen D, Cane MA, Kaplan A, Zebiak SE, Huang D (2004) Predictability of El Niño over the past 148 years. *Nature* 428:733-736.
- [25] Palmer TN et al. (2004) Development of a european multimodel ensemble system for seasonal-to-interannual prediction (demeter). *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **85**, 853-872.
- [26] Chen D, Cane MA (2008) El Niño prediction and predictability. *J Comput Phys* 227:3625-3640.
- [27] Luo JJ, Masson S, Behera SK, Yamagata T (2008) Extended ENSO predictions using a fully coupled ocean-atmosphere model. *J Clim* 21(1):84-93.
- [28] Chekroun MD, Kondrashov D, Ghil M (2011). Predicting stochastic systems by noise sampling, and application to the El Niño-Southern Oscillation. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 108(29):11766-11771.
- [29] Chapman D, Cane MA, Henderson N, Lee DE, Chen C (2015) A Vector Autoregressive ENSO Prediction Model. *J Clim* 28:8511-8520.
- [30] Meng J, Fan J, Ashkenazy Y, Bunde A, Havlin S (2018) Forecasting the magnitude and onset of El Niño based on climate network *New J Phys* 20:043036.
- [31] Noteboom PD, Feng QY, Lopez C, Hernández-García, Dijkstra HA (2018) Using network theory and machine learning to predict El Niño. *Earth Syst Dynam* 9:969-983.
- [32] Ham YG, Kim JH, Luo, JJ (2019) Deep learning for multi-year ENSO forecasts. *Nature*, 573, 568-572.
- [33] Saha S et al. (2014) The NCEP climate forecast system version 2. *Journal of climate* **27**(6), 2185-2208.
- [34] Feng QY et al. (2016) ClimateLearn : A machine-learning approach for climate prediction using network measures. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10.5194/gmd-2015-273.
- [35] Lu Z, Yuan N, Fu Z (2016) Percolation Phase Transition of Surface Air Temperature Networks under Attacks of El Niño/La Niña. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26779.
- [36] Rodriguez-Mendez V, Eguiluz VM, Hernandez-Garcia E, Ramasco JJ (2016) Percolation-based precursors of transitions in extended systems. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 29552.
- [37] De Castro Santos MA, Vega-Oliveros DA, Zhao L, Berton L (2020) Classifying El Niño-Southern Oscillation combining network science and machine learning. *IEEE Access* **8**, 55711-55723.
- [38] Petersik PJ, Dijkstra HA (2020) Probabilistic forecasting of El Niño using neural network models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47**, e2019GL086423.

- [39] Hassanibesheli F, Kurths J, Boers N (2022) Long-term ENSO prediction with echo-state networks. *Environmental Research: Climate* **1**(1), 011002.
- [40] Zhao S, et al. (2024) Explainable El Niño predictability from climate mode interactions. *Nature*, 630(8018), 891-898.
- [41] Zhao A, Qin M, Wu S, Liu R, Du Z (2024) ENSO Forecasts With Spatiotemporal Fusion Transformer Network. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 17, 17066-17074, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2024.3447356.
- [42] Schlör J, Newman M, Thuemmel J, Capotondi A, Goswami B (2024). A Hybrid Deep-Learning Model for El Niño Southern Oscillation in the Low-Data Regime. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.03743.
- [43] Webster PJ (1995) The annual cycle and the predictability of the tropical coupled ocean-atmosphere system. *Meteorol Atmos Phys* 56:33-55.
- [44] Goddard L et al. (2001) Current approaches to seasonal to interannual climate predictions. *Int J Clim* 21:1111-1152.
- [45] Barnston AG, Tippett MK, L'Heureux ML, Li S, DeWitt DG (2012) Skill of real-time seasonal ENSO model predictions during 2002-11: Is our capability increasing? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **93**(5), 631-651.
- [46] Ehsan MA, L'Heureux ML, Tippett MK, Robertson AW, Turmelle J (2024) Real-time ENSO forecast skill evaluated over the last two decades, with focus on the onset of ENSO events. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 7(1), 301.
- [47] Ludescher J, Meng J, Fan J (2021) Climate network and complexity based El Niño forecast for 2021. arXiv:2102.02192.
- [48] Tsonis AA, Swanson KL, Roebber PJ (2006) What do networks have to do with climate? *Bull Amer Meteor Soc* 87:585-595.
- [49] Yamasaki K, Gozolchiani A, Havlin S (2008) Climate networks around the globe are significantly affected by El Niño. *Phys Rev Lett* 100:228501.
- [50] Donges JF, Zou Y, Marwan N, Kurths, J (2009) The backbone of the climate network. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* 87:48007.
- [51] Gozolchiani A, Havlin S, Yamasaki K (2011) Emergence of El Niño as an autonomous component in the climate network. *Phys Rev Lett* 107:148501.
- [52] Dijkstra HA, Hernández-García E, Masoller C, Barreiro M (2019) *Networks in Climate* (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- [53] Fan J, Meng J, Ludescher J, Chen X, Ashkenazy Y, Kurths J, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2020) Statistical physics approaches to the complex Earth system. *Physics Reports* 896:1-84.
- [54] Ludescher J, Martin M, Boers N, Bunde A, Ciemer C, Fan J, Havlin S, Kretschmer M, Kurths J, Runge J, Stolbova V, Surovyatkina E, Schellnhuber HJ (2021) Network-based forecasting of climate phenomena. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 118(47) e1922872118, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1922872118.
- [55] Fan J, Meng J, Ludescher J, Li Z, Surovyatkina E, Chen X, Kurths J, Schellnhuber HJ (2022) Network-based approach and climate change benefits for forecasting the amount of indian monsoon rainfall. *Journal of Climate*, 35(3), 1009-1020.
- [56] Ludescher J, Bunde A, Schellnhuber HJ (2022) Forecasting the El Niño type well before the spring predictability barrier. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.14025.

- [57] Ludescher J, Bunde A, Schellnhuber HJ (2023) Forecasting the El Niño type well before the spring predictability barrier. *npj Clim Atmos Sci* 6, 196. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00519-8>
- [58] Kalnay et al. (1996) The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 77:437-471.
- [59] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory. <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep/reanalysis.html>
- [60] Richman JS, Moorman JR (2000) Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 278, H2039–2049.
- [61] ERA5 Climate reanalysis. <https://climate.copernicus.eu/climate-reanalysis?q=products/climate-reanalysis>.
- [62] Jaynes ET, Probability theory: The logic of science. Cambridge university press. (2003).
- [63] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Climate Prediction Center (CPC), Official Probabilistic ENSO Forecast: https://iri.columbia.edu/our-expertise/climate/forecasts/enso/current/?enso_tab=enso-cpc_plume