

# Turbulence: A Nonequilibrium Field Theory

Mahendra Verma<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur,  
Kanpur, 208016, UP, India.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [mkv@iitk.ac.in](mailto:mkv@iitk.ac.in);

## Abstract

Tools of quantum and statistical field theories have been successfully ported to turbulence. Here, we review the key results of turbulence field theory. Thermalized spectrally-truncated Euler equation is described by *equilibrium field theory*, in which the equipartitioned Fourier modes generate zero energy flux. In contrast, modelling of hydrodynamic turbulence (HDT), which has small viscosity, requires *nonequilibrium field theory*. In HDT, the viscosity is renormalized using field theory that leads to wavenumber-dependent viscosity and energy spectrum. Field theory calculations also yields nonzero energy flux for HDT. These field theory computations have been generalized to other systems, e.g., passive scalar and magnetohydrodynamics. In this review, we cover these aspects, along with a brief coverage of weak turbulence and intermittency.

**Keywords:** Hydrodynamic Turbulence, Field theory, Renormalization Group, Energy Transfers and Flux, Weak Turbulence

## 1 Introduction

Classical and quantum field theories are critical pillars of modern physics, and they successfully explain complex many-body systems in high-energy, condensed-matter, and statistical physics [1–3]. Some of the prime applications are the running coupling constants in quantum electrodynamics (QED) and quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [1]; universality in second-order phase transition [4]; quantum Hall effect; and turbulence, a topic of the present review.

Early applications of quantum field theories were for equilibrium systems, e.g., Ising Hamiltonian, free-electron theory,  $\phi^4$  theory, and Hubbard model. Here, the fields are in equilibrium, and hence the energy spectrum  $[E(k)]$  in  $d$  dimension is  $O(k^{d-1})$ .

Analogous equilibrium system in hydrodynamics is truncated Euler equation, whose asymptotic  $E(k) \sim k^{d-1}$ .

Note, however, that many physical systems, including turbulence, are out of equilibrium. Such systems are often forced and dissipative, leading to uneven energy distribution across scales. For example, the energy spectrum for three-dimensional (3D) hydrodynamic turbulence (HDT) is  $k^{-5/3}$ , not equilibrium  $k^{d-1}$ . In addition, nonequilibrium systems often exhibit multiscale energy cascade, which is absent in equilibrium systems. In this review, we will contrast the equilibrium and nonequilibrium properties of turbulent flows.

Another common feature among field theory calculations is *Perturbative expansion* [1, 2]. Here, the nonlinear or interaction term is treated as a perturbation over the linear term, and its contributions are treated as corrections. The relative strength of the interaction term over the linear term is called the *coupling constant* of the theory. QED, conventional superconductors, weakly interacting Bose gases, and many other quantum systems have small coupling constants. Hence, perturbation expansion is justified for such systems. In contrast, the coupling constant in QCD is larger than unity, and perturbative expansion is questionable here. Interestingly, the coupling constant of Navier-Stokes equation is of the order of unity. Yet, perturbative turbulence field theory yields reasonable results, which will be discussed in this review.

Nonequilibrium or dynamic nature of turbulence makes its field theory interesting, as well as challenging, with several unsolved issues. In this paper, we will review important developments in field theory of turbulence. There are many books [5–8] and in review articles [9–14] on this topic. Hence, this review attempts to bring in some new perspectives and presents a couple of new results. For example, I contrast turbulence field theory with equilibrium field theories; provide historical perspectives of the field; and introduce new and unpublished field-theoretic calculations on passive scalar and magnetohydrodynamic turbulence based on Craya-Herring basis.

After these introductory remarks, we introduce some key results of turbulence field theory. The starting point of turbulence field theory is *incompressible Navier-Stokes equation*, which is

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = -\lambda \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla p + \mathbf{f}, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}, p$  are the velocity and pressure fields respectively;  $\lambda$  is the coupling constant;  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity; and  $\mathbf{f}$  is the coloured noise with the following correlations:

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{x}, t) f_j(\mathbf{x}', t') \rangle \sim |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^{y-d-z} g(t - t') \quad (3)$$

where  $d$  is the space dimension;  $y$  is the noise correlation exponent; and  $z$  is the dynamic exponent ( $\omega \sim k^z$ , where  $\omega$  and  $k$  are frequency and wavenumber respectively); and  $g(t - t')$  is the temporal correlation of the force field.

Equations (1, 2) does not have a general mathematical solution. In fact, it is not yet known if Eqs. (1, 2) admits a smooth solution for small viscosity [15]. Fortunately, stalwarts like Taylor, Batchelor, Kolmogorov, Chandrasekhar, and others solved for the

Green's and correlation functions under the assumption of homogeneity and isotropy. For brevity, we present only the Kolmogorov's K41 theory, according to which, for large-scale forcing with  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , under the assumption of homogeneity and isotropy, the third-order structure function is [15–17]

$$S_3(l) = \left\langle |(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \hat{l}|^3 \right\rangle = -\frac{4}{5}\Pi l, \quad (4)$$

where  $\hat{l}$  is unit vector along  $\mathbf{l}$ , and  $\Pi$  is the energy flux in the inertial range. Using Eq. (4), it is easy to derive the energy spectrum for HDT as  $k^{-5/3}$ . We may call K41 theory as a field theory, with Eq. (4) as an exact field-theoretic relation. However, this calculation differs from ones using standard perturbative method. Kraichnan pioneered this field, starting with his influential paper on *direct interaction approximation*.

Kraichnan [18] employed direct interaction approximation, a first-order perturbative field theory, and derived equations for the Green's and correlations functions. The integral for the Green's function (*self-energy integral*) diverges at small wavenumbers. This is called *infrared divergence* problem following the convention of field-theory. To cure this problem, Kraichnan [18] introduced a infrared cutoff for the integral. But, this trick leads to  $k^{-3/2}$  energy spectrum, which contradicts Kolmogorov's  $k^{-5/3}$  energy spectrum. We will discuss Kraichnan's formalism in Section 3. Later, Kraichnan performed more complex field theory computations, which includes *Lagrangian-History Closure Approximation* [19], *Test Field Model* [20], to derive  $k^{-5/3}$  energy spectrum for HDT. Another important field theory work on turbulence is by Wyld [21] who wrote Feynman diagrams to several orders. Unfortunately, he did not close the equations to predict the Green's and correlation functions for HDT.

Renormalization groups (RG) provides an important way to resolve the infrared divergence. Yakhot and Orszag [22] and Forster et al. [23] applied RG to hydrodynamic turbulence and derived interesting results. For example, Yakhot and Orszag [22] obtained Kolmogorov's  $k^{-5/3}$  energy spectrum for  $y = d$  [see Eq. (3)]. McComb [6], McComb and Watt [24], and Zhou et al. [25] employed recursive self-consistent RG and showed that the renormalized viscosity scales as  $k^{-4/3}$ , and that the energy spectrum scales as  $k^{-5/3}$ . We will discuss these theories in Sections 4.1 and 4.2.

Kraichnan [18], Yakhot and Orszag [22], Forster et al. [23], McComb and Watt [24], and Zhou et al. [25] employed perturbative expansion to the differential equation (NS equation). This is in contrast to standard QFT practice of using Hamiltonian, Lagrangian, or generating functions. However, using colored noise or forcing [Eq. (3)], DeDominicis and Martin [26] and Martin et al. [27] constructed a generating function for HDT. DeDominicis and Martin [26] derived a relationship between the Green's function and correlation function using Callan-Symanzik equation. In addition, they also derived Kolmogorov's spectrum for HDT for a special case of forcing.

Field-theoretic computations are quite tedious with complex tensorial algebra. Recently, Verma [28] employed Craya-Herring basis that simplified the calculations tremendously. In this framework, pressure is eliminated automatically. More importantly, the Craya-Herring components have different renormalization parameters. We will cover these results in Section 4.3. Turbulence is a dynamic phenomena with

nonzero multiscale energy transfers. Fortunately, the energy transfers have been computed using first-order perturbative computation, starting from Kraichnan [18]. We will discuss these computations in Section 5.

Homogeneity and isotropy simplify field-theoretic computations significantly. However, many real flows involve gravitational field, or magnetic field, or some other external fields that make the flow anisotropic. Anisotropic field theories are quite complex and they are beyond the scope of this review. However, I will discuss field theory of scalar and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence, still assuming homogeneity and isotropy (see Sections 6 and 7). Here, we show how Craya-Herring basis simplifies these computations significantly and yield interesting results.

The works described above deal with the two-point correlation and Green's functions. However, researchers have attempted to derive multipoint correlation functions from first principles using field theory. For example, L'vov and Procaccia [29] employed *fusion rules* to compute higher-order correlations and intermittency corrections. In another important work, intermittency exponents have been computed exactly for a passive scalar advected by Kraichnan flow [30]. In another front, weak turbulence theory employs field-theoretic tools with nonlinear term as a small perturbation over the linear term. In this review, we will discuss these issues very briefly in Sections 8 and 9. In Section 10, we compare and contrast various field theories including turbulence.

The present review employs many symbols. Hence, we tabulate them in Table 1 for convenience of the reader. In the following section 2, we will introduce the basic equations used in this review.

## 2 Governing Equations

Field-theoretic methods often employ spatial and temporal averaging, which is sensible for homogeneous and isotropic systems. In addition, the system is assumed to be steady that leads to two-point temporal correlations and Green's functions being independent of absolute time. This framework has been adopted in turbulence field theory. Most field-theoretic works on turbulence are for incompressible hydrodynamics, which is described using incompressible Navier-Stokes (NS) equation [Eqs. (1, 2)]. In the following discussion, we present the equations employed in field-theoretic calculations.

### 2.1 Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equation

Field-theoretic calculations are often performed in Fourier space, where the equations for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are [31, 32]

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t) = -i\lambda \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, t)\}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}, t) - i\mathbf{k}p(\mathbf{k}, t) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$ ;  $\lambda$  is the coupling constant;  $d$  is the space dimension; and  $\nu$  is kinematic viscosity. The transformations from real space to Fourier space and vice versa

**Table 1** Abbreviation and symbols used in this review.

Symbol	Stands for	Symbol	Stands for
CH	Craya-Herring	HDT	Hydrodynamic turbulence
KE	Kinetic energy	ME	Magnetic energy
RG	Renormalization group	$c = k_{n+1}/k_n$	wavenumber binning parameter
$\mathbf{r}$	space coordinate	$\mathbf{k}$	wavenumber
$\mathbf{u}$	velocity field	$u_1$	CH 1st component
$d$	space dimension	$u_2$	CH 2nd component
$\mathbf{f}$	force field to $\mathbf{u}$	$\psi$	Scalar field
$\mathbf{b}$	magnetic field	$\mathbf{z}^\pm = \mathbf{u} \pm \mathbf{b}$	Elsässer variables
$E(k)$	1D KE spectrum	$E(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}) ^2$	Modal KE spectrum
$ u_1(\mathbf{k}) ^2$	$C_1(\mathbf{k})$	$ u_2(\mathbf{k}) ^2$	$C_2(\mathbf{k})$
$C_1(\mathbf{k}) = C_2(\mathbf{k})$	$C(\mathbf{k})$ (isotropy)	$G(\mathbf{k})$	Green's function for $\mathbf{u}$
$G_1(\mathbf{k})$	Green's function for $u_1$	$G_2(\mathbf{k})$	Green's function for $u_2$
$ \psi(\mathbf{k}) ^2$	$C^\psi(\mathbf{k})$ for scalar	$G^\psi(\mathbf{k})$	Green's function for $\psi$
$\bar{C}_1(\mathbf{k}, t - t')$	two-time correlation for $\mathbf{u}$	$\bar{C}^\psi(\mathbf{k}, t - t')$	two-time correlation for $\psi$
$\bar{C}_{1,2}^\pm(\mathbf{k}, t - t')$	two-time correlation for $\mathbf{z}_{1,2}^\pm$	$G_{1,2}^\pm(\mathbf{k}, t - t')$	Green's function for $\mathbf{z}_{1,2}^\pm$
$K_{\text{Ko}}$	Kolmogorov constant	$K_\psi$	Obukhov-Corrison constant
$K^\pm$	Kolmogorov constant for $\mathbf{z}^\pm$	$\nu_1$	viscosity for $u_1$
$\nu$	Kinematic viscosity	$\nu_{1,2^*}$	RG constant for $\nu_{1,2}$
$\nu_2$	viscosity for $u_2$	$\kappa_*$	RG constant for $\kappa$
$\kappa$	Scalar diffusivity	$\eta_\pm$	$(\nu \pm \eta)/2$
$\eta$	magnetic diffusivity	$\eta_{1,2^*}$	RG constants for $\eta_{1,2}$
$\eta_{1,2}$	diffusivities for $\mathbf{z}^\pm$	$\Pi_\psi$	Energy flux for $\psi$
$\Pi$	Energy flux for $\mathbf{u}$	$\Pi_{u_2}$	Energy flux of $u_2$
$\Pi_{u_1}$	Energy flux of $u_1$	$\Pi_{z_2}$	Energy flux of $z_2$ ( $E^+ = E^-$ )
$\Pi_{z_1}$	Energy flux of $z_1$		

are as follows [1]:

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}); \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \int d\mathbf{r} [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})], \quad (7)$$

and the pressure field is determined using the following equation [31]


$$p(\mathbf{k}, t) = -\frac{\lambda}{k^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, t)\} \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}, t)\}, \quad (8)$$

with  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0$ . Equations (5, 8) yield a tensorial equation for the velocity field. *Galilean invariance* of NS equation leads to  $\lambda = 1$  [23]; this result plays a critical role in turbulence field theory.

Many field-theoretic calculations have been performed in frequency and wavenumber space, where the NS equations are [5, 18]

$$(-i\omega + \nu k^2) u_l(\hat{k}) = -i \frac{\lambda}{2} P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}} d\hat{p} [u_m(\hat{q})u_n(\hat{p})] + f_l(\hat{k}), \quad (9)$$

$$k_l u_l(\hat{k}) = 0, \quad (10)$$



$$\hat{e}_2(\mathbf{k}') = \hat{e}_2(\mathbf{p}) = \hat{e}_2(\mathbf{q}) = -\hat{n}$$

**Fig. 1** Craya-Herring basis vectors for the wavenumbers in an interacting wavenumber triad  $(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ . From Verma [32], Reprinted with permission from Verma.

with  $\hat{k} = (\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ ,  $\hat{p} + \hat{q} = \hat{k}$ , and

$$P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) = k_m P_{ln}(\mathbf{k}) + k_n P_{lm}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (11)$$

$$P_{lm}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{lm} - \frac{k_l k_m}{k^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$d\hat{p} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} d\mathbf{p} d\omega_p. \quad (13)$$

Field-theoretic computations are quite complex. However, Craya-Herring (CH) basis [8, 33, 34] simplifies these computations considerably [28, 35]. In 3D, the CH basis vectors in 3D are:

$$\hat{e}_0(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{k}; \quad \hat{e}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\hat{k} \times \hat{n}}{|\hat{k} \times \hat{n}|}; \quad \hat{e}_2(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{e}_0(\mathbf{k}) \times \hat{e}_1(\mathbf{k}), \quad (14)$$

where the unit vector  $\hat{k}$  is along the wavenumber  $\mathbf{k}$ , and the unit vector  $\hat{n}$  is chosen along any direction. Incompressible fluid flow has components  $u_1(\mathbf{k})$  and  $u_2(\mathbf{k})$  along the unit vectors  $\hat{e}_1(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\hat{e}_2(\mathbf{k})$ , respectively. We consider an interacting wavenumber triad  $(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$  with  $\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{k}' = -\mathbf{k}$ . We choose  $\hat{n} = (\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p})/|\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}|$  [32, 36]. The Craya-Herring basis vectors for the interacting wavenumbers are illustrated in Fig. 1. Note that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are the angles in front of  $k, p, q$  respectively. Verma [32] derived the following equations for the Craya-Herring components  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  shown in Fig. 1:

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)u_1(\mathbf{k}', t) = ik' \sin(\beta - \gamma)u_1^*(\mathbf{p}, t)u_1^*(\mathbf{q}, t) + f_1(\mathbf{k}', t), \quad (15)$$

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)u_1(\mathbf{p}, t) = ip \sin(\gamma - \alpha)u_1^*(\mathbf{q}, t)u_1^*(\mathbf{k}', t) + f_1(\mathbf{p}, t), \quad (16)$$

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)u_1(\mathbf{q}, t) = iq \sin(\alpha - \beta)u_1^*(\mathbf{p}, t)u_1^*(\mathbf{k}', t) + f_1(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (17)$$

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)u_2(\mathbf{k}', t) = ik' \{\sin \gamma u_1^*(\mathbf{p}, t)u_2^*(\mathbf{q}, t) - \sin \beta u_1^*(\mathbf{q}, t)u_2^*(\mathbf{p}, t)\} + f_2(\mathbf{k}', t), \quad (18)$$

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)u_2(\mathbf{p}, t) = ip \{\sin \alpha u_1^*(\mathbf{q}, t)u_2^*(\mathbf{k}', t) - \sin \gamma u_1^*(\mathbf{k}', t)u_2^*(\mathbf{q}, t)\} + f_2(\mathbf{p}, t), \quad (19)$$

$$(\partial_t + \nu k^2)u_2(\mathbf{q}, t) = iq \{\sin \beta u_1^*(\mathbf{k}', t)u_2^*(\mathbf{p}, t) - \sin \alpha u_1^*(\mathbf{p}, t)u_2^*(\mathbf{k}', t)\} + f_2(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (20)$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are force components along  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  respectively. We need to integrate over all possible triads for the evolution of  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ .

For hydrodynamic turbulence, the *modal energy* for wavenumber  $\mathbf{k}$  is

$$E(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}|u_1(\mathbf{k})|^2 & \text{for 2D} \\ \frac{1}{2}|u_1(\mathbf{k})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|u_2(\mathbf{k})|^2 & \text{for 3D.} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

For an isotropic flow, the energies of CH components  $u_i(\mathbf{k})$  are equal<sup>1</sup>:

$$\langle |u_i(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle \equiv C(\mathbf{k}) = C(k) \quad (22)$$

for all  $i$ 's. That is,  $\langle |u_i(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle$  depends only on the magnitude of  $\mathbf{k}$ , which is denoted by  $k$ . The total kinetic energy of the flow is

$$\frac{\langle u^2 \rangle}{2} = \int E(k) dk = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} (d-1)C(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_d}{(2\pi)^d} (d-1) \int dk k^{d-1} C(\mathbf{k}), \quad (23)$$

where  $E(k)$  is the one-dimensional (1D) shell spectrum, and  $S_d = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$  is the surface area of the  $d$ -dimensional sphere. The above equation yields the following relationship between the modal energy and 1D energy spectrum [5, 18, 37]:

$$E(k) = \frac{(d-1)}{2} \frac{S_d k^{d-1}}{(2\pi)^d} C(\mathbf{k}). \quad (24)$$


In Kolmogorov's theory of turbulence [16, 17], 1D energy spectrum is

$$E(k) = K_{\text{Ko}} \Pi^{2/3} k^{-5/3}, \quad (25)$$

where  $K_{\text{Ko}}$  is Kolmogorov's constant, and  $\Pi$  is the inertial-range kinetic energy flux or the kinetic energy dissipation rate. Note, however, that the thermalized Euler

---

<sup>1</sup>In this paper, we denote  $|u_1(\mathbf{k})|^2 = C_1(\mathbf{k})$  and  $|u_2(\mathbf{k})|^2 = C_2(\mathbf{k})$ .



**Fig. 2** Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of effective viscosity and Green's function using direct interaction approximation (DIA).

turbulence (with  $\nu = 0$ ) admits equilibrium solution, for which

$$E(k) \sim k^{d-1}. \quad (26)$$

This equilibrium solution has zero energy flux.

In Section 3, I present how Kraichnan [18] computed the Green's function and renormalized viscosity using Direct Interaction Approximation (DIA).

### 3 Direct Interaction Approximation (DIA)

The presentation in this section is a minor modification of Kraichnan [18]'s original calculation. Kraichnan [18] started with Eq. (9) and  $\lambda = 1$  due to Galilean invariance. The *bare Green's function* for the linearized NS equation is

$$G(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{-i\omega + \nu k^2}. \quad (27)$$

Kraichnan [18] treated the nonlinear term of the NS equation as perturbation. In addition, the velocity field is assumed to be homogeneous and quasi-gaussian, which leads to

$$\langle u_m(\hat{q})u_n(\hat{p}) \rangle = C(\hat{q})P_{mn}(\mathbf{q})\delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})\delta(\omega_p + \omega_q), \quad (28)$$

where  $\hat{p} = (\omega_p, \mathbf{p})$  and  $\hat{q} = (\omega_q, \mathbf{q})$ . Under quasi-gaussian approximation, the third-order correlation  $\langle u_m(\hat{q})u_n(\hat{p})u_s(\hat{p}) \rangle$  is nonzero, and it is computed by expanding the velocity field perturbatively, and then reducing the fourth-order correlations to a sum of products of two second-order correlations.

To zeroth order, the ensemble averaged value of the nonlinear term of Eq. (9) is

$$P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) \langle u_m(\hat{q})u_n(\hat{p}) \rangle = 0 \quad (29)$$

because of Eq. (28) and  $P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k} = 0) = 0$  [5, 18, 23]. Hence, Kraichnan [18] went to the next order, which is represented using the Feynman diagram of Fig. 2. To the first



order, the transformed equation for the velocity field is [18]

$$(-i\omega + \nu k^2) u_l(\hat{k}) = -u_\beta(\hat{k}) \int d\hat{q} [G(\hat{p})C(\hat{q})A_{l\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})] + f_l(\hat{k}), \quad (30)$$

where

$$A_{l\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k})P_{n\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{p})P_{m\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) \quad (31)$$

with the *effective* or *dressed Green's function* as

$$G(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{-i\omega + \nu(k)k^2}, \quad (32)$$

and *dressed correlation function* as

$$\langle u_i^*(\hat{k})u_j(\hat{k}) \rangle = \frac{1}{-i\omega + \nu(k)k^2} C(\mathbf{k})P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (33)$$

In terms of the time variable,

$$G(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = \theta(t - t') \exp[-\nu(k)k^2(t - t')], \quad (34)$$

$$\bar{C}(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = C(\mathbf{k}) \exp[-\nu(k)k^2(t - t')]. \quad (35)$$

That is, the two-time Green's function and correlations function decay with a time scale of  $(\nu(k)k^2)^{-1}$ , where  $\nu(k)$  is the effective or dressed viscosity. This is an important assumption, employed in almost all turbulence calculations [5, 18, 31], is an extrapolation of *fluctuation-dissipation theorem* for systems far from equilibrium (see Section 10).

The nonlinear term of Eq. (30) is proportional to the velocity field, but the velocity components in the left-hand side and right-hand side are unequal ( $u_l$  and  $u_\beta$ , respectively). Therefore, the viscosity correction arising due to the nonlinear term is a second-rank tensor:

$$\nu_{l\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = \int d\hat{q} G(\hat{p})C(\hat{q})A_{l\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}). \quad (36)$$

This feature appear surprising for an isotropic flow, but this is reasonable because the renormalized parameter in the plane of the triad  $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$  may differ from that perpendicular to this plane<sup>2</sup>. However, Kraichnan [18] and many other researchers have assumed the *dressed viscosity* to be an isotropic tensor, i.e.,

$$\nu_{l\beta}(\hat{k}) = \nu(\hat{k})P_{l\beta}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (37)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Recently Verma [28] observed such behaviour in his calculations based on Craya-Herring basis

Hence,

$$\nu(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{(d-1)k^2} \int d\hat{p} Q(k, p, q) G(\hat{p}) C(\hat{q}), \quad (38)$$

where

$$Q(k, p, q) = P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) P_{n\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{p}) P_{m\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) P_{l\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = pk[(d-3)z + 2z^3 + (d-1)xy]. \quad (39)$$

Using Eqs. (30, 37, 38), Eq. (9) is rewritten in terms of dressed viscosity as

$$(-i\omega + \nu k^2 + \nu(k)k^2) u_l(\hat{k}) = f_l(\hat{k}) \quad (40)$$

with

$$\nu(k) = \frac{1}{(d-1)k^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{Q(k, p, q) C(q)}{\nu(p)p^2 + \nu(q)q^2} \quad (41)$$

under the long time scales ( $\omega \rightarrow 0$ ) [5, 18]. Kraichnan [18] attempted the self-consistent solution using  $C(\mathbf{k})$  of Eq. (24) and the following formula for  $\nu(k)$  (based on Kolmogorov's spectrum):

$$\nu(k) = \nu_* \sqrt{K_{\text{Ko}} \Pi_u^{2/3}} k^{-4/3}, \quad (42)$$

where  $\nu_*$  is a nondimensional constant. Further, we nondimensionalize Eq. (41) using  $p = kp'$  and  $q = kq'$  that yields

$$\nu_*^2 = \frac{2S_{d-1}}{S_d(d-1)^2} \int_0^\infty dq' q'^{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 dy (1-y^2)^{(d-3)/2} \frac{Q(1, p', q') q'^{-2/3-d}}{p'^{2/3} + q'^{2/3}}, \quad (43)$$


where  $y = \cos \beta$  with  $\beta$  being the angle between  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  (see Fig. 1).

Unfortunately, the integral of Eq. (43) has infrared divergence near  $p' = 0$ . Kraichnan [18] tried to cure this divergence by introducing a lower or infrared cutoff for  $p'$ , but this trick leads to  $k^{-3/2}$  energy spectrum that contradicts the popular Kolmogorov's theory. Leslie [5] attempted a  $k$ -dependent lower cutoff for  $p'$ , i.e.,  $p' \geq ck$  ( $c$  is a constant) that leads to Kolmogorov's spectrum. Inspired by the infinity cancellations employed in quantum electrodynamics [1], Leslie [5] suggested splitting the integral of Eq. (43) into singular and nonsingular parts. Unfortunately, this idea has not been implemented for HDT till date.

Renormalization-Group (RG) is employed to solve the above divergence problem [1]. In Section 4, we describe viscosity renormalization based Wilson's wavenumber RG scheme.

## 4 Renormalization Group Analysis of Hydrodynamic Turbulence

In this section, we will cover prominent HDT RG schemes, mainly that of Yakhot and Orszag (YO) [22], McComb [6], Zhou et al. [25], DeDominicis and Martin [26], and



**Fig. 3** In wavenumber renormalization, the modes in the wavenumber band  $(k_n, k_{n+1})$ , denoted by  $>$ , are coarse-grained. The coarse-graining leads to enhancement of effective viscosity for wavenumbers  $k < k_n$ , denoted by  $<$ . From Verma [28], Reprinted with permission from APS.

Verma et al. [38]. Following Wilson's wavenumber renormalization, the wavenumber space is logarithmic-binned with  $k_m = k_0 c^m$  and  $c > 1$ . For the wavenumber up to  $k_{n+1}$  shown in Fig. 3, Eq. (9) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (-i\omega + \nu^{(n+1)} k^2) u_l^<(\hat{k}) = f_l(\hat{k}) - i\frac{\lambda}{2} P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}} d\hat{p} [u_m^<(\hat{q})u_n^<(\hat{p}) + u_m^>(\hat{q})u_n^>(\hat{p}) \\ + u_m^<(\hat{q})u_n^>(\hat{p}) + u_m^>(\hat{q})u_n^<(\hat{p})] \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

with  $\hat{q} = \hat{k} - \hat{p}$ . The convolutions in the above equation involves four sums with wavenumbers  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  belonging to  $<$  or  $>$  regions of Fig. 3. Now, we ensemble-average or coarse-grain the fluctuations in wavenumber band  $(k_n, k_{n+1})$ , after which  $\nu_1^{(n)}$  is the viscosity for the wavenumbers  $(k_0, k_n)$ .

For the coarse-graining process, it is assumed that  $u^>(\mathbf{k}, t)$  is time-stationary, homogeneous, isotropic, and Gaussian with zero mean, and that  $u^<(\mathbf{k}, t)$  is unaffected by coarse-graining [4, 6, 12]. In turbulence computations, another assumption is that the correlation between  $<$  and  $>$  modes is weak. Hence,

$$\langle u_l^>(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\langle u_l^<(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle = u_l^<(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (46)$$

$$\langle u_l^{*<}(\mathbf{p}, t) u_m^{*<}(\mathbf{q}, t) \rangle = u_l^{*<}(\mathbf{p}, t) u_m^{*<}(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (47)$$

$$\langle u_l^{*<}(\mathbf{p}, t) u_m^{*>}(\mathbf{q}, t) \rangle = u_l^{*<}(\mathbf{p}, t) \langle u_m^{*>}(\mathbf{q}, t) \rangle = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$\langle u_l^{*>}(\mathbf{p}, t) u_m^{*<}(\mathbf{q}, t) \rangle = \langle u_l^{*>}(\mathbf{p}, t) \rangle u_m^{*<}(\mathbf{q}, t) = 0. \quad (49)$$

Substitution of the above relations in Eq. (44) yields

$$\left(-i\omega + \nu^{(n)}k^2\right) u_l^<(\hat{k}) = f_l(\hat{k}) - i\frac{\lambda}{2}P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}+\hat{k}} d\hat{p} [u_m^<(\hat{q})u_n^<(\hat{p})], \quad (50)$$

where

$$\nu^{(n)} = \nu^{(n+1)} + i\frac{\lambda}{2k^2}P_{lmn}(\mathbf{k}) \int^{\Delta} d\hat{p} \langle u_m^>(\hat{q})u_n^>(\hat{p}) \rangle = \nu^{(n+1)} + \delta\nu^{(n)}, \quad (51)$$

with the integral performed over the coarse-grained region ( $\Delta$ ). This integral provides the viscosity correction ( $\delta\nu^{(n)}$ ).

At this point, we pause HDT and discuss Euler turbulence. The velocity field of thermalized spectrally-truncated Euler equation is  $\delta$ -correlated. Hence,  $\langle u_m^>(\hat{q})u_n^>(\hat{p}) \rangle = 0$  leading to  $\delta\nu^{(n)} = 0$ . Thus, the viscosity of Euler equation remains unchanged; this is the result of *equilibrium field theory*.

Under the quasi-gaussian approximation, the integral of Eq. (51) vanishes to the zeroth order. Hence, the integral is expanded to the first-order. Researchers have adopted various tactics to compute  $\delta\nu^{(n)}$ . In the following subsections, we will describe the schemes adopted by Yakhot and Orszag [22], McComb and Shanmugasundaram [39], Zhou et al. [25], Verma [28], Martin et al. [27], and DeDominicis and Martin [26]. We start with Yakhot and Orszag [22]'s scheme.

## 4.1 RG scheme of Yakhot and Orszag

Yakhot and Orszag [22] (YO) employed dynamical RG framework that includes renormalization of viscosity, vertex, and forcing amplitude. For NS equation, the vertex correction is absent due to the Galilean invariance. Therefore, Yakhot and Orszag [22] computed the corrections to viscosity and forcing amplitude.

Following statistical field theory, Yakhot and Orszag [22] employed random force given below, whose real space description is given by Eq. (3),

$$\langle f_l(\mathbf{k}, \omega) f_m(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \rangle = 2Dk^{-y} P_{lm}(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \delta(\omega + \omega'). \quad (52)$$


The velocity field in terms of Green's function is

$$u_l(\hat{k}) = G(\hat{k}) f_l(\hat{k}), \quad \text{or} \quad u_l(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) f_l(\mathbf{k}, \omega). \quad (53)$$

Yakhot and Orszag [22] adopted Wilson's framework [4] that involves parameter corrections using coarse-graining and then system rescaling so as to get back to the original size. We brief these two steps in the following discussion.

### 4.1.1 Coarse-graining

In Eq. (51), to zeroth order  $\delta\nu^{(n)} = 0$  because of the same reasons given in Section 3 [refer to Eq. (29)]. Therefore, Yakhot and Orszag [22] computed the viscosity correction



**Fig. 4** Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of renormalized viscosity by Yakhot and Orszag [22].

to the next order, which is represented by the Feynman diagram of Fig. 4. The formula for the viscosity correction is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\nu(k) &= \lambda^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}d\omega_q}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} Q(k, p, q) G(\hat{p}) G(\hat{q}) G(-\hat{q}) 2Dq^{-y} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2\nu^2} \int d\mathbf{q} Q(k, p, q) D \frac{q^{-y-2}}{p^2 + q^2} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2\nu^2} S_d \int_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda c} q^{d-1} dq \int_{-1}^1 dz (1-z^2)^{(d-3)/2} Q(k, p, q) D \frac{q^{-y-2}}{p^2 + q^2}, \\
&= \nu \bar{\lambda}^2 A_d \frac{e^{c\ell} - 1}{\epsilon},
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where  $c = e^\ell$ ,  $z = \cos \gamma$  (see Fig. 1), and

$$\epsilon = 4 + y - d, \tag{55}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}^2 = \frac{\lambda^2 D}{\nu^3 \Lambda^\epsilon}, \tag{56}$$

$$A_d = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 - d - \epsilon}{d(d+2)} \frac{S_d}{(2\pi)^d}. \tag{57}$$

Note that  $\bar{\lambda}$  is the nondimensional coupling constant for HDT.

In Eq. (51), Yakhot and Orszag replaced  $\nu^{(n+1)}$  with  $\nu$ , and set  $k_n \rightarrow \Lambda$  and  $k_{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda c$ . Here, we have skipped some factors in Eq. (54), which have been absorbed in  $A_d$ . With  $\delta\nu(k)$ , the revised viscosity  $\nu^< [\nu^{(n)}$  of Eq. (51)] is

$$\nu^< = \nu \left[ 1 + \bar{\lambda}^2 A_d \frac{e^{c\ell} - 1}{\epsilon} \right]. \tag{58}$$

The other two parameters to be renormalized are  $\lambda$  and  $D$ . Galilean invariance leads to constancy of  $\lambda$ , which is

$$\lambda^< = \lambda. \quad (59)$$

Yakhot and Orszag [22] argued that  $D$  too remains unrenormalized, or

$$D^< = D. \quad (60)$$

Equation (60) is consistent with Kolmogorov's theory of turbulence where forcing, applied at large scales, is absent in the inertial range. Hence, the forcing amplitude is not renormalized.

#### 4.1.2 Rescaling

Under coarse-graining, the wavenumber range  $(k_0, k_{n+1})$  shrinks to  $(k_0, k_n)$  (by a factor  $c^{-1}$ ). Following Wilson [4], to rescale the system to its original size, we perform  $k \rightarrow k' = kc$  that corresponds to  $x = x'c$ . We rescale  $t, u$ , and  $f$  as follows:

$$x = x'c; \quad t = t'c^z; \quad u = u'c^\chi; \quad f = c^{\frac{y-d-z}{2}} f'. \quad (61)$$

where  $z$  and  $\chi$  are constants that are determined using the RG analysis. Note that  $f$  scaling follows from Eq. (3). Therefore, under rescaling, the NS equation transforms to

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}'}{\partial t'} - \nu^< c^{z-2} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}' = -\lambda c^{\chi+z-1} [\mathbf{u}' \cdot \nabla' \mathbf{u}' - \nabla' p'] + c^{\frac{z+y-d}{2}-\chi} f'. \quad (62)$$

Hence, a combination of coarse-graining and rescaling yields [Eqs. (58, 59, 60, 62)]

$$\nu' = \nu^< c^{z-2} \quad (63)$$

$$\lambda' = \lambda^< c^{\chi+z-1} \quad (64)$$

$$D' = D^< c^{\frac{z+y-d}{2}-\chi} \quad (65)$$

Using  $c = e^l$  and taking the limit  $l \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu}{dl} = \nu [z - 2 + A_d \bar{\lambda}^2] \quad (66)$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dl} = \lambda [\chi + z - 1] \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dD}{dl} = D \left[ \frac{z + y - d}{2} - \chi \right] \quad (68)$$

Equations (66, 67, 68) has a trivial fixed point,  $(\nu = 0, \lambda = 0, D = 0)$ , and the following nontrivial fixed point

$$z = 2 - \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \quad (69)$$

$$\chi = \frac{\epsilon}{3} - 1, \quad (70)$$

where  $\epsilon = 4 + y - d$  [see Eq. (60)]. We take derivative of  $\bar{\lambda}$  [Eq. (56)] near the unstable fixed point [Eqs. (69, 70)] that yields

$$\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{dt} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2}(\epsilon - 3A_d\bar{\lambda}^2). \quad (71)$$

Hence, for  $\epsilon < 0$ ,  $\bar{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$  to a trivial fixed point ( $\nu = 0, \lambda = 0, D = 0$ ), for which the coupling constant vanishes. This corresponds to decoupled thermalized Fourier modes, similar to the Gaussian fixed point of  $\phi^4$  theory [4]. However, when  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}$  moves to

$$\bar{\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{3A_d}}, \quad (72)$$

thus making the nonlinear term relevant. The above calculation yields the RG fixed point for the nonequilibrium solution, as in  $\phi^4$  theory [4]. Note that Eqs. (69, 70, 72) describe the unstable RG fixed point. Also,  $\bar{\lambda} = O(1)$  for the unstable fixed point.

Using this unstable RG fixed point, we derive the energy spectrum as follow. Using Eq. (61) we derive

$$\frac{u}{u'} = c^\chi = \left(\frac{k}{k'}\right)^{-\chi} \implies u_k \propto k^{-\chi}. \quad (73)$$

Hence,

$$E(k) \sim u_k^2/k \sim k^{-2\chi-1} \sim k^{-2\epsilon/3+1}. \quad (74)$$

We recover Kolmogorov's  $k^{-5/3}$  spectrum when  $\epsilon = 4$ , or  $y = d$ . For this case, the forcing spectrum is  $k^{-d}$ , which is dominantly at large scales, as in Kolmogorov's theory of turbulence. Hence, YO's RG results are consistent with Kolmogorov's theory of turbulence.

In addition, for the Kolmogorov's spectrum,

$$z = 2 - \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \frac{2}{3} \implies \omega = k^z = k^{2/3} \quad (75)$$

leading to the dynamic exponent to be  $2/3$ . Also, the renormalized viscosity (without rescaling) is

$$\nu^< = \nu(k) \sim \nu' b^{2-z} \sim k^{-4/3} \quad (76)$$

because  $\nu'$  is a constant.

Another interesting result of YO theory is the injection of a constant energy flux by the external force for  $y = d$ :

$$\Pi(k) = 2D_0 \int_{k_0}^k k'^{-y} dk'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2S_d D_0 \int_{k_0}^k k'^{-y+d-1} dk' \\
&= 2S_d D_0 \ln \frac{k}{k_0},
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

which is a slowly varying logarithmic function of  $k$ , and it can be assumed to a constant. Note that  $y = d$  is a condition for the constant energy flux, which is an important ingredient for Kolmogorov's theory of turbulence.

In an earlier work, Forster et al. [23] employed similar RG analysis for different forcing functions. We do not present those results here.

## 4.2 McComb and Zhou

McComb, Zhou, and coworkers [25, 39] constructed self-consistent recursive RG to compute the renormalized viscosity. They assumed the forcing to be at large scales, hence noise renormalization is not required for the inertial range, where power-law solution is attempted for the renormalized viscosity.

In the absence of noise, the Feynman diagrams for the McComb and Zhou's RG procedure are same as that employed for DIA. McComb and Zhou performed only coarse-graining, and not rescaling. Hence, the renormalized viscosity increases with coarse-graining as

$$\nu^{(n)}(k) = \nu^{(n+1)}(k) + \delta\nu^{(n)}(k). \tag{78}$$

For  $\nu^{(n)}(k)$ , McComb and Zhou employed power-law solution along with a universal function  $\nu_{(n)}^*(k')$ :

$$\nu^{(n)}(k_n k') = (K_{\text{Ko}})^{1/2} \Pi^{1/3} k_n^{-4/3} \nu_{(n)}^*(k'). \tag{79}$$

Substitution of Eqs. (78, 79) in Eq. (51) yields


$$\delta\nu^{(n)*}(k') = \frac{1}{(d-1)} \int^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p}' \frac{2}{(d-1)S_d} \frac{E(q')}{q'^{d-1}} [Q(k', p', q') \frac{1}{\nu^{(n)*}(hp')p'^2 + \nu^{(n)*}(hq')q'^2}] \tag{80}$$

$$\nu^{(n+1)*}(k') = h^{4/3} \nu^{(n)*}(hk') + h^{-4/3} \delta\nu^{(n)*}(k'), \tag{81}$$

where  $\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{k}'$ ,  $h = 1/c$ , where  $c = k_{n+1}/k_n$  is the coarse-graining parameter.

The  $d\mathbf{p}'$  integral of Eq. (80) is computed in the wavenumber band  $k_n \leq p < k_{n+1}$  and  $k_n \leq q < k_{n+1}$ . McComb, Zhou, and coworkers solved the above equations numerically that yields  $\nu_{(n)}^*(k')$  shown in Fig. 5. For small  $k'$ , the renormalized parameter  $\nu_{(n)}^*(k') \rightarrow 0.4$  for Zhou et al. [25] and  $\nu_{(n)}^*(k') \rightarrow 0.37$  McComb and Shanmugasundaram [39]. Zhou et al. [25] argued that the exclusion of triple nonlinearity  $u^<u^<u^<$  alters  $\nu_{(n)}^*(k')$  marginally (see Fig.5). However, this issue is beyond the scope of this paper.






**Fig. 5** Plot of  $\nu_{(n)}^*(k')$  vs.  $k'$  computed numerically by Zhou et al. [25] (solid line with squares). The figure also exhibits McComb's results (chained line) and Zhou et al. [25]'s predictions with nonlinearity (solid line with triangles). From Zhou et al. [25]. Reprinted with permission from APS.

### 4.3 RG Analysis in Craya-Herring Basis

RG analysis is quite complex involving intricate tensor algebra and integrals. Recently, Verma [28] showed that the Craya-Herring (CH) basis simplifies field-theoretical algebra significantly. In addition, the similarities between hydrodynamic, scalar, and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence becomes apparent in CH basis. We will discuss these similarities in Sections 6 and 7.

Verma [28] focussed on the triadic interactions in the CH basis. Here, the  $u_1$  component for each wavenumbers resides in the plane of the triad, whereas the  $u_2$  component is perpendicular to the plane (see Fig. 1). Verma [28] showed that the renormalization of the  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  components are different, which has many important implications. For example, this feature leads to a conclusion that  $d = 6$  is the critical upper dimension for HDT [28].

In this subsection, we briefly describe the renormalization of the viscosities  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  that correspond to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively, as done in Verma [28]. We start with Eqs. (15, 18) and compute the viscosity corrections arising due to the nonlinear terms. The Feynman diagrams for the  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  corrections are illustrated in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 respectively.



**Fig. 6** Feynman diagrams associated with the renormalization of  $\nu_1$  for the  $u_1$  component. From Verma [28]. Reprinted with permission from APS.

$$\nu_1^{(n)} k^2 = \nu_1^{(n+1)} k^2 - \text{Integrals corresponding to Fig. 6.} \quad (82)$$

$$\nu_2^{(n)} k^2 = \nu_2^{(n+1)} k^2 - \text{Integrals corresponding to Fig. 7.} \quad (83)$$

or

$$\nu_1^{(n)} k^2 = \nu_1^{(n+1)} k^2 - \int_{\Delta} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k \sin(\beta - \gamma)}{\nu_1(p)p^2 + \nu_1(q)q^2} [pC_1(\mathbf{q}) \sin(\gamma - \alpha) + qC_1(\mathbf{p}) \sin(\alpha - \beta)], \quad (84)$$

$$\nu_2^{(n)} k^2 = \nu_2^{(n+1)} k^2 - \int_{\Delta} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \left[ \frac{kqC_1(\mathbf{p}) \sin \gamma \sin \alpha}{\nu_1(p)p^2 + \nu_2(q)q^2} + \frac{kpC_1(\mathbf{q}) \sin \beta \sin \alpha}{\nu_2(p)p^2 + \nu_1(q)q^2} \right]. \quad (85)$$


Following Kolmogorov's theory of turbulence, we model  $\nu_1^{(n)}$  and  $\nu_2^{(n)}$  as follows:

$$\nu_1^{(n)} = \nu_{1*} \sqrt{K_{\text{Ko}}} \Pi_u^{1/3} k_n^{-4/3}, \quad (86)$$

$$\nu_2^{(n)} = \nu_{2*} \sqrt{K_{\text{Ko}}} \Pi_u^{1/3} k_n^{-4/3}. \quad (87)$$

Substitution of Eqs. (86, 87) and Kolmogorov's energy spectrum in Eqs. (84, 85) yields

$$\nu_{1*} (1 - b^{-4/3}) = -\frac{2S_{d-1}}{(d-1)S_d} \frac{1}{\nu_{1*}} \int_1^b p'^{d-1} dp' \int_{(p'^2+1-b^2)/(2p')}^{p'/2} dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} (F_1 + F_2), \quad (88)$$



**Fig. 7** Feynman diagrams associated with the renormalization of  $\nu_2$  for the  $u_2$  component. Modified version of Fig. 7 of Verma [28]. Reprinted with permission from APS.

$$\nu_{2*}(1 - b^{-4/3}) = \frac{2S_{d-1}}{(d-1)S_d} \int_1^b p'^{d-1} dp' \int_{(p'^2+1-b^2)/(2p')}^{p'/2} dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} F_3(p', z), \quad (89)$$

where

$$F_1(p', z) = \frac{(1-z^2)(p'-2z)(2p'z-1)p'q'^{-8/3-d}}{p'^{2/3} + q'^{2/3}}, \quad (90)$$

$$F_2(p', z) = \frac{(1-z^2)(1-p'^2)(2p'z-1)p'^{-2/3-d}q'^{-2}}{p'^{2/3} + q'^{2/3}}, \quad (91)$$

$$F_3(p', z) = \frac{(1-z^2)p'^{-2/3-d}}{\nu_{1*}p'^{2/3} + \nu_{2*}q'^{2/3}} + \frac{(1-z^2)p'^2q'^{-8/3-d}}{\nu_{2*}p'^{2/3} + \nu_{1*}q'^{2/3}}. \quad (92)$$

See Verma [28] for details.

Verma [28] computed the integrals numerically for  $c = 1.5$  and observed that  $\nu_{1*} = 0.098$  and  $\nu_{2*} = 0.50$  for 2D HDT, and that  $\nu_{1*} = 0.070$  and  $\nu_{2*} = 0.50$  for 3D HDT. These constants are reasonably close to those reported by McComb [6] and Zhou et al. [25]. Verma [28] also showed that  $\nu_{1*}$  vanishes for  $d = 6$ , which leads to the upper critical dimension for HDT to be 6. That is, the velocity field is Gaussian for  $d \geq 6$  [28].

#### 4.4 Functional RG

In statistical field theory, *partition function* is computed using functional integral of order parameter. Similarly, computation of *action* in quantum field theory employs functional integral. Note however that the above computations are for the fields in equilibrium, which is not the case for turbulence. Interestingly, functional integral has been applied to turbulence with some modification [26, 40].

DeDominicis and Martin [26] started with the following equations:

$$\partial_t u_\alpha = \nu_0 \nabla^2 u_\alpha + \lambda_0 \tau_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) u_\beta + f_\alpha, \quad (93)$$

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{k^2}, \quad (94)$$

for which the *generating functional* was proposed as

$$\hat{Z}(l) = \int Du D\hat{u} \exp(\mathcal{L}[u, \hat{u}]) + \int dt d^d x l_\alpha(x, t) u_\alpha(x, t) \quad (95)$$

with the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L} = \int dt d^d [ -i\hat{u}_\alpha (\partial_t - \nu_0 \nabla^2) u_\alpha - i\hat{u}_\alpha \lambda_0 \tau_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) u_\beta + i\hat{u}_\alpha \langle f_\alpha f_\beta \rangle \hat{u}_\beta ]. \quad (96)$$

Here,  $\hat{u}$  is the auxiliary function to  $u$ .

DeDominicis and Martin [26] showed that the unperturbed propagators are

$$\langle i\hat{u}_\alpha u_\beta \rangle = \frac{1}{-i\omega + \nu_0 k^2} \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (97)$$

$$\langle u_\alpha u_\beta \rangle = \frac{2D_0 k^{4-d}}{|-i\omega + \nu_0 k^2|^2} (m_0^2 + k^2)^{-y/2} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(k). \quad (98)$$

After some algebra, the relationship between the correlation and Green's functions was derived as

$$\langle u_\alpha u_\beta \rangle = C(k, \omega) = \frac{D_0}{\nu_0^2} G\left(\frac{\omega}{i\nu_0}, k, g_0, \Lambda, m_0\right) \quad (99)$$

with

$$\frac{\lambda_0^2 D_0}{\nu_0^3} = g_0 \Lambda^y. \quad (100)$$

Using Callan-Symanzik equation, DeDominicis and Martin [26] derived that

$$E(k) \sim k^{-y+1+\eta_\nu-\eta_D}. \quad (101)$$

DeDominicis and Martin [26] showed that for small  $y$  and  $d > 2$ ,  $\eta_D = 0$  and  $\eta_\nu = y/3$ . For  $y = 4$ ,  $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$ .

We end this section with a summary of RG application to HDT. The RG fixes the infrared divergence of DIA. In addition, it predicts both the equilibrium solution ( $k^{d-1}$  spectrum) and nonequilibrium solution ( $k^{-5/3}$  spectrum) for HDT. Note that RG analysis yields Kolmogorov's spectrum for HDT even though the coupling constant is  $O(1)$ .

In Section 5, we will describe field-theoretic calculations for the energy transfers and flux in HDT.

## 5 Energy Transfers and Flux

In this section, we will compute the energy fluxes in HDT, both in 2D and 3D. Here, nonzero fluxes arise due to the nonequilibrium nature of the flow.

### 5.1 Basic Formulas

The dynamical equation for the *modal energy*  $E(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})|^2/2$  is [5, 18]

$$(\partial_t + 2\nu k^2)E(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) + \Re[\mathbf{F}_u(\mathbf{k}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{k}, t)], \quad (102)$$

where

$$S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \Im [\{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, t)\}\{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{k}, t)\}] + \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}, t)\}\{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{k}, t)\}] \quad (103)$$

is the *cumulative energy transfer* from  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q})$  and  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})$  to  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})$  [18]. The energy flux  $\Pi(R)$  for a wavenumber sphere of radius  $R$  is the net nonlinear energy transfer rate from all the modes residing inside the sphere to the modes outside the sphere. Using  $S(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ , Kraichnan [18] derived the following energy flux [5]:

$$\Pi(R) = \frac{1}{2} \int_R^\infty dk' \int \int^\Delta dpdq S(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R dk' \int \int^\Delta dpdq S(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \quad (104)$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$ , and  $\Delta$  represents a range of  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$  consistent with the definition of energy flux [5, 18].

Dar et al. [41] and Verma [32, 37] showed that

$$S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) = \Im [\{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, t)\}\{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{k}, t)\}] \quad (105)$$

is the *mode-to-mode energy transfer rate* from the *giver* mode  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})$  to the *receiver* mode  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})$  with the mediation of mode  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q})$ . The combined energy transfer to  $\mathbf{k}$  from  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  is a sum of  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$  and  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{q}|\mathbf{p})$ . Interestingly,  $\Pi(R)$  computation using  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$  is much easier than that using  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ . In terms of  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$ , the formula for  $\Pi(R)$  is

$$\Pi(R) = \int_R^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^R \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}). \quad (106)$$

In Eq. (106), the giver modes are within the sphere, whereas the receiver modes are outside the sphere. In contrast, Kraichnan [18]'s derivation of Eq. (104) is longer and more complex. In the Craya-Herring basis [28, 32],

$$S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) = S^{u_1 u_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) + S^{u_2 u_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}), \quad (107)$$

where

$$S^{u_1 u_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) = k' \sin \beta \cos \gamma \Im\{u_1(\mathbf{q}, t)u_1(\mathbf{p}, t)u_1(\mathbf{k}', t)\}, \quad (108)$$

$$S^{u_2 u_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) = -k' \sin \beta \Im\{u_1(\mathbf{q}, t)u_2(\mathbf{p}, t)u_2(\mathbf{k}', t)\}. \quad (109)$$

Hence, there are independent energy transfers along  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  channels, with no cross transfers between  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

## 5.2 Field-theoretic Computation of Energy Flux

Experiments and numerical simulations reveal that  $\langle S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle \neq 0$  for HDT. Non-vanishing triple correlation in  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$  indicate nongaussian and nonequilibrium nature of a turbulent flow. Interestingly, quasi-normal approximation for the triple correlation yields constant energy flux in the inertial range of HDT [5, 9, 18]. I briefly present this derivation in this section.

Under the quasi-normal approximation, the triple correlation of  $S^{uu}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$  vanishes to the zeroth order. However, the first-order expansion of the triple correlation yields a sum of fourth-order correlations, which are expanded as respective sums of products of two second-order correlations (under gaussian approximation). Kolmogorov's energy spectrum and the renormalized viscosity are employed to compute the flux integrals.

Kraichnan [18] (also see Leslie [5]) was first to compute the turbulence flux using the above framework. By expanding Eq. (104) to first order, he obtained the following formula for 3D HDT:

$$1 = \frac{\langle \Pi(R) \rangle}{\epsilon_u} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{Ko}}^{3/2}} \int_0^1 dv [\ln(1/v)] \int_{v_*}^{1+v} dv \Sigma(v, w), \quad (110)$$

where  $v_* = \max(v, |1-v|)$  and

$$\Sigma(v, w) = vw \frac{\{b(1, v, w)w^{-11/3}(v^{-11/3} - 1) + b(1, w, v)v^{-11/3}(w^{-11/3} - 1)\}}{1 + v^{2/3} + w^{2/3}} \quad (111)$$

with


$$b(1, v, w) = \frac{p}{k}(xy + z^3) \quad (112)$$

for 3D. The above derivation employs the following transformation [5, 18]

$$k = \frac{R}{u}; \quad p = \frac{Rv}{u}; \quad q = \frac{Rw}{u}. \quad (113)$$

Kraichnan [18] and Leslie [5] computed the above integral numerically and reported the Kolmogorov's constant for 3D HDT to be near 1.6. Refer to Leslie [5] for details. Verma [37] and Verma [42] performed similar computations using the mode-to-mode energy transfers [37].

Yakhot and Orszag [22] and Forster et al. [23] computed the energy flux using the forcing spectrum. As shown in Eq. (77), the forcing spectrum  $k^{-y} = k^{-d}$  yields a



**Fig. 8** Feynman diagrams associated with the energy transfers between the  $u_1$  components. From Verma [28]. Reprinted with permission from APS.

nearly constant energy flux in the inertial range. However, the forcing function and energy injection rate in Forster et al. [23] differ from those of Yakhot and Orszag [22].

In the following discussion we present the energy flux computations in the Craya-Herring basis [28]. Starting from Eq. (108), Verma [28] derived the following equation for the  $u_1$  component:

$$\langle S^{u_1 u_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle = \frac{\text{numr}_1}{\nu_1(k)k^2 + \nu_1(p)p^2 + \nu_1(q)q^2}, \quad (114)$$


where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{numr}_1 = & 2[k' \sin(\beta - \gamma)C_1(\mathbf{p})C_1(\mathbf{q}) + p \sin(\gamma - \alpha)C_1(\mathbf{k}')C_1(\mathbf{q}) + q \sin(\alpha - \beta)C_1(\mathbf{k}')C_1(\mathbf{p})] \\ & \times k' \sin \beta \cos \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

The three terms of  $\langle S^{u_1 u_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle$  correspond to the three Feynman diagrams of Fig. 8. For 3D and two-dimension three-component (2D3C), the energy transfers along the  $u_2$  channel is

$$\langle S^{u_2 u_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle = (k \sin \beta)^2 \frac{C_1(\mathbf{q})[C_2(\mathbf{p}) - C_2(\mathbf{k}')] }{\nu_2(k)k^2 + \nu_2(p)p^2 + \nu_1(q)q^2}. \quad (116)$$

The corresponding Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 9.



**Fig. 9** Feynman diagrams associated with the energy transfers between the  $u_2$  components. Modified version of Fig. 9 of Verma [28]. Reprinted with permission from APS.

Using Eqs. (114, 116), we derive the corresponding energy fluxes:

$$\langle \Pi_{u_j}(R) \rangle = \int_R^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^R \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \langle S^{u_j u_j}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle \quad (117)$$

where  $j$  takes values 1 or 2. Using the transformations of Eq. (113), Verma [28] derived the following nondimensionalized equation for  $\langle \Pi_{u_j}(R) \rangle$ :

$$\frac{\langle \Pi_{u_j}(R) \rangle}{\epsilon_u} = A \int_0^1 dv [\log(1/v)] v^{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \langle S^{u_j u_j}(v, z) \rangle, \quad (118)$$

where

$$A = K_{\text{Ko}}^{3/2} \frac{4}{(d-1)^2} \frac{S_{d-1}}{S_d}. \quad (119)$$

For 2D, the energy flux is  $\Pi_{u_1}(R)$ , whereas in 2D and 2D3C, the total energy flux is

$$\Pi(R) = \Pi_{u_1}(R) + \Pi_{u_2}(R). \quad (120)$$

See Verma [28] for details.

Verma [28] computed the energy fluxes for 2D and 3D turbulence. For 2D, using  $\Pi_{u_1}(R) = -\epsilon_u$  and  $\nu_{1*} = 0.098$ , Verma deduced that  $K_{\text{Ko}} = 1.19$ , which is inconsistent with numerical simulations and experiments, according to which  $K_{\text{Ko}} \approx 6$ . This is



possibly due to the inability of the recursive RG schemes to capture the nonlocal interactions. Verma [28] proposed a workaround by changing  $\int_0^1 dv$  of Eq. (118) to  $\int_{0.22}^1 dv$  that yields  $K_{\text{Ko}} = 4.46$ .

For 3D HDT,  $\nu_{1*}$  and  $\nu_{2*}$  appear in the denominator of Eq. (116). Since  $\nu_{1*} \ll \nu_{2*}$ , the negative energy flux  $\Pi_{u_1}$  dominates positive  $\Pi_{u_2}$  leading to  $\Pi(R) < 0$ , which is inconsistent with the experimental and numerical observations. Fortunately, this issue is easily resolved by employing  $\int_{0.22}^1 dv$  for  $\Pi_{u_1}(R)$  of Eq. (118) that yields  $K_{\text{Ko}} = 1.64$ , which is in good agreement with earlier field-theoretic computations, as well as numerical and experimental results [28].

The above nonzero energy flux (nonequilibrium) breaks detailed balance; the small wavenumber modes give energy to the large wavenumber modes, which is directional. In contrast, the equilibrium solution, delta-correlated velocity field of spectrally-truncated Euler equation, respects detailed balance because the energy flux vanishes for this case. Note that the triple correlations of Eqs. (105, 114, 116) vanish following the Gaussian property of the random  $\mathbf{u}$  of Euler turbulence [28, 32]. In addition,  $\langle S^{u_j u_j}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle$  of Eqs. (114, 116) vanish for equipartitioned  $C_1(\mathbf{k})$  and  $C_2(\mathbf{k})$ . Thus, field theory yields energy transfers for the equilibrium flows (Euler turbulence) and nonequilibrium flows (HDT).

Many realistic flows are accompanied by scalars (e.g., pollutants, temperature field), vectors (e.g., magnetic field), and tensors (e.g., polymers). Field-theoretic treatment of such fields have yielded interesting results [43]. This topic is extensive, hence we briefly describe two cases: passive scalars advected by the velocity field, and a specific case of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence.

## 6 Field-theoretic Treatment of Passive Scalar

In this section, we discuss a field-theoretic calculation of passive-scalar turbulence. In this framework, the momentum equation is not coupled to the scalar field. Hence, the equation for the velocity field is same as Eq. (1). But, the scalar field  $\psi$  is advected by the velocity field [5, 31]:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \psi = \kappa \nabla^2 \psi + f_\psi, \quad (121)$$

where  $f_\psi$  is the large-scale force on  $\psi$ , and  $\kappa$  is the scalar diffusion coefficient.

Equation (121) is transformed to Fourier space. In the CH basis, the evolution equation for  $\psi(\mathbf{k}')$  is [32]

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \kappa k^2 \right) \psi(\mathbf{k}') = ik' \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{ \sin \gamma u_1^*(\mathbf{p}) \psi^*(\mathbf{q}) - \sin \beta u_1^*(\mathbf{q}) \psi^*(\mathbf{p}) \} + f_\psi(\mathbf{k}'), \quad (122)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = 0$ . Since Eq. (122) is similar to that for  $u_2(\mathbf{k})$ , we expect the RG and the energy-transfer equations for the scalar field to be similar to that for  $u_2$ . This observation provides very useful insights. The *modal energy* for the scalar field

at wavenumber  $\mathbf{k}$  is

$$C^\psi(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} |\psi(\mathbf{k})|^2, \quad (123)$$

and the total scalar energy is

$$\frac{\langle \psi^2 \rangle}{2} = \int E^\psi(k) dk = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} C^\psi(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int dk k^{d-1} C^\psi(\mathbf{k}), \quad (124)$$

where  $E^\psi(k)$  is the one-dimensional (1D) shell spectrum. Using the above equation we derive

$$E^\psi(k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_d k^{d-1}}{(2\pi)^d} C^\psi(\mathbf{k}). \quad (125)$$

The above  $E^\psi(k)$  differs from 1D kinetic energy spectrum of Eq. (24) by a factor of  $1/(d-1)$ , which has important implications, as we show below.

For large Reynolds number  $UL/\nu \gg 1$ , the kinetic energy spectrum  $E(k)$  is given by Eq. (25). In contrast, the passive scalar spectrum depends on the strength of the nonlinear term  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi$ , which is quantified using Péclet number,  $UL/\kappa \gg 1$ . For large Reynolds and Péclet numbers, Oboukhov [44] and Corrsin [45] proposed that the passive scalar spectrum is

$$E^\psi(k) = K_\psi \Pi_\psi \Pi^{-1/3} k^{-5/3}, \quad (126)$$

where  $\Pi_\psi$  is the *scalar energy flux*, and  $K_\psi$  is *Obukhov-Corrsin constant*. Using atmospheric boundary layer data, Champagne et al. [46] showed that  $K_\psi \approx 0.64$ . Sreenivasan [47] summarized many past results and argued that  $K_\psi \approx 0.4$ .


There are a number of papers on field theory of passive scalar turbulence. We review only a couple of them for  $d = 3$ . Yakhot and Orszag [22] extended their RG computation (Section 4.1) to passive scalar and reported that  $K_\psi = 1.16$ . Zhou and Vahala [48] employed recursive RG [see Section 4.2] to the scalar field, and reported that turbulent Prandtl number ( $\nu(k)/\kappa(k)$ ) is near 0.7. McComb et al. [49] employed *local energy transfer* (LET) theory (similar to recursive RG) and obtained  $K_{K_o} = 2.5$  and  $K_\psi = 1.1$ . Verma [50] adopted similar method and reported that turbulent Prandtl number is 0.42, and  $K_\psi = 1.25$ .

The CH basis brings out the connections between the HDT and passive scalar turbulence quite nicely, which is briefly described here. Since the scalar field does not affect the velocity,  $\nu_1^{(n)}$  follows the same equation as Eq. (86). We derive the renormalized diffusivity following the same procedure as that for  $u_2$  (see Section 4.3). Figure 10 depicts the Feynman diagrams associated with the first-order perturbation of Eq. (122). The RG analysis yields

$$\kappa^{(n)} k^2 = \kappa^{(n+1)} k^2 - \text{Integrals corresponding to Fig. 10.} \quad (127)$$

or,

$$\kappa^{(n)} k^2 = \kappa^{(n+1)} k^2 - \int^\Delta \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \left[ \frac{kq C_1(\mathbf{p}) \sin \gamma \sin \alpha}{\nu_1(p) p^2 + \kappa(q) q^2} + \frac{kp C_1(\mathbf{q}) \sin \beta \sin \alpha}{\kappa(p) p^2 + \nu_1(q) q^2} \right],$$



**Fig. 10** Passive scalar turbulence: Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of renormalized diffusivity ( $\kappa$ ). Here,  $G^\psi(\mathbf{k})$  is the Green's function of the scalar field.

(128)

where  $C_1(\mathbf{p})$  is the modal energy for the  $u_1$  component. Note that Eq. (128) has the same form as Eq. (85) for the  $u_2$  component.

The time scale for the scalar field ( $\tau_k^\psi$ ) is proportional to  $(ku_k)^{-1}$ . Therefore, we model  $\kappa^{(n)}$  as [31, 50]

$$\kappa^{(n)} = \kappa_* \sqrt{K_{\text{Ko}}} \Pi^{1/3} k_n^{-4/3}, \quad (129)$$

which has the same form as Eq. (87), but with  $\nu_2(k) \rightarrow \kappa(k)$ . Substitution of the above functions in the RG equation (128) yields


$$\kappa_* (1 - b^{-4/3}) = \frac{2S_{d-1}}{(d-1)S_d} \int_1^b p'^{d-1} dp' \int_{(p'^2+1-b^2)/(2p')}^{p'/2} dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} F_4(p', z), \quad (130)$$

with

$$F_4(p', z) = \frac{(1-z^2)p'^{-2/3-d}}{\nu_{1*}p'^{2/3} + \kappa_*q'^{2/3}} + \frac{(1-z^2)p'^2q'^{-8/3-d}}{\kappa_*p'^{2/3} + \nu_{1*}q'^{2/3}}. \quad (131)$$

A comparison of Eq. (89) and Eq. (131) yields

$$\kappa_* = \nu_{2*}. \quad (132)$$



**Fig. 11** Passive scalar turbulence: Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of mode-to-mode energy transfer ( $\langle S^{\psi\psi}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ ).

Hence, the renormalized  $\kappa$  and renormalized  $\nu_2$  are equal, both for 2D and 3D. Consequently, the turbulent Prandtl number  $\nu_2/\kappa = 1$ . Interestingly, the temporal evolution of passive scalar in 2D and  $u_z$  (velocity component perpendicular to the plane) in 2D3C are identical [see Eqs. (18, 122)]. Hence, their field theories are identical too.

Now, we compute the scalar energy flux using field theory. The mode-to-mode energy transfer from  $\psi(\mathbf{p})$  to  $\psi(\mathbf{k}')$  with the mediation of  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q})$  is [50]

$$\langle S^{\psi\psi}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle = -\Im [\langle \{\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q})\} \{ \psi(\mathbf{p}) \psi(\mathbf{k}') \} \rangle], \quad (133)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} = 0$ . We compute the above triple correlation under quasi-Gaussian assumption. The Feynman diagram for the first-order perturbation, shown in Fig. 11, is similar to that for  $u_2$  component in HDT (see Fig. 9). Following the computation procedure outlined in Section 5, we derive the following expression for  $\langle S^{\psi\psi}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ :

$$\langle S^{\psi\psi}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle = (k \sin \beta)^2 \frac{C_1(\mathbf{q}) [C^\psi(\mathbf{p}) - C^\psi(\mathbf{k}')] }{\kappa(k)k^2 + \kappa(p)p^2 + \nu_1(q)q^2}, \quad (134)$$

or

$$\langle S^{\psi\psi}(v, z) \rangle = \frac{v^2 w^{-8/3-d} (v^{-2/3-d} - 1) (1 - z^2)}{\kappa_{2*} (1 + v^{2/3}) + \nu_{1*} w^{2/3}}, \quad (135)$$

where  $v, w$  are defined in Eq. (113).

Using the above mode-to-mode formula, we derive the corresponding scalar energy flux:

$$\langle \Pi_\psi(R) \rangle = \int_R^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^R \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \langle S^{\psi\psi}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle, \quad (136)$$

whose nondimensionalized version is

$$\frac{\langle \Pi_\psi(R) \rangle}{\Pi_\psi} = A_\psi \int_0^1 dv [\log(1/v)] v^{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \langle S^{\psi\psi}(v, z) \rangle, \quad (137)$$

where

$$A_\psi = K_\psi K_{\text{Ko}}^{1/2} \frac{4}{(d-1)} \frac{S_{d-1}}{S_d}. \quad (138)$$

For  $j = 2$ , Eq. (118) has a similar form as Eq. (137) with  $A \rightarrow A_\psi$  [see Eq. (119)]. Also note that  $\langle \Pi_\psi(R) \rangle = \Pi_\psi$ . Based on these observations, we derive that

$$K_\psi = \frac{K_{\text{Ko}}}{d-1} \quad (139)$$

The factor  $d-1$  in  $K_\psi$  arises because  $E^\psi(k)$  in Eq. (125) lacks the factor  $d-1$  in comparison to the Eq. (24). Equation (139) reveals that  $K_\psi = K_{\text{Ko}}/2 \approx 0.8$  in 3D, which is consistent with Champagne et al. [46]'s conclusion that  $K_\psi \approx 0.64$ , but inconsistent with Sreenivasan [51]'s results. Interestingly, in 2D, the integral of Eq. (137) is positive, thus indicating a positive scalar energy flux despite inverse cascade of kinetic energy. Also,  $K_\psi = K_{\text{Ko}}$  in 2D. These predictions need to be tested in future numerical simulations.

The above calculations demonstrate the usefulness of CH basis in field theory. It provides a unified and transparent framework for HDT and passive scalar turbulence. In the next section, we employ field theory to MHD turbulence.

## 7 Field-theoretic Treatment of MHD Turbulence

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is a description of quasi-neutral magnetized plasma at the continuum level [52]. In Fourier space, the MHD equations are [37, 52]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}) + i \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{ \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}) \} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) &= -i \mathbf{k} p(\mathbf{k}) + i \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{ \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q}) \} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}) \\ &\quad - \nu k^2 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}) + i \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{ \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}) \} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}) = i \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{ \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{q}) \} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) - \eta k^2 \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (141)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (142)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (143)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ , and  $p$  are velocity, magnetic, and pressure fields respectively;  $\nu$  and  $\eta$  are the kinematic viscosity and magnetic diffusivity respectively; and the external force  $\mathbf{f}$  is employed to the velocity field to maintain a steady state. In this review, we assume

the mean magnetic field to be absent, which enables us to assume the flow to be isotropic. We perform field-theoretic analysis in terms of Elsässer variables

$$\mathbf{z}^\pm = \mathbf{u} \pm \mathbf{b}, \quad (144)$$

in terms of which the above MHD equations are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{z}^\pm(\mathbf{k}) + i \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{z}^\mp(\mathbf{q})\} \mathbf{z}^\pm(\mathbf{p}) = -i\mathbf{k}p(\mathbf{k}) + \nu_+ k^2 \mathbf{z}^\pm(\mathbf{k}) + \nu_- k^2 \mathbf{z}^\mp(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (145)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{z}^\pm(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (146)$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$  and  $\nu_\pm = (\nu \pm \eta)/2$ .

Turbulence in MHD turbulence is more involved than in HDT because of the coupling between the  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  fields via four nonlinear terms. There are several models of MHD turbulence, including anisotropic ones [32, 37, 53]. In this review, we describe two competing MHD turbulence phenomenologies that have 3/2 and 5/3 spectral indices. Kraichnan [54], Iroshnikov [55], and Dobrowolny et al. [56] argued that the energy spectra for the velocity and magnetic fields are equipartitioned, and they are

$$E(k) = E^b(k) = K_{\text{Kr}} (\Pi_{\text{tot}} B_0)^{1/2} k^{-3/2}, \quad (147)$$

where  $\Pi_{\text{tot}}$  is the total energy flux (sum of kinetic and energy fluxes);  $K_{\text{Kr}}$  is Kraichnan's constant; and  $B_0$  is the mean magnetic field or the amplitude of the large-scale magnetic field. For MHD turbulence, Kolmogorov-like turbulence phenomenology was first proposed by Marsch [57], in which the energy spectra  $E^\pm(k)$  for  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$  are (also see [37, 58])

$$E^\pm(k) = K^\pm \frac{(\Pi^\pm)^{4/3}}{(\Pi^\mp)^{2/3}} k^{-5/3}, \quad (148)$$

where  $\Pi^\pm$  are the energy fluxes for  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$ , and  $K^\pm$  are their respective constants. The spectral exponents 5/3 and 3/2 are quite close, and they are not easily differentiable in numerical simulations. Various authors [58–61] report one exponent or the other, thus making this issue inconclusive from the numerical perspective. In this section, we do not consider field theory of Kraichnan-Iroshnikov theory<sup>3</sup>. Instead, we focus on field theory of Kolmogorov-like spectrum.

There are several field-theoretic works on MHD turbulence. Fournier et al. [62] generalized the framework of Forster et al. [23] to MHD turbulence, and predicted various scaling regimes as a function of space dimension  $d$  and the ratio of kinetic energy and magnetic energy. Goldreich and Sridhar [63] analyzed anisotropic MHD turbulence under strong turbulence limit, and showed that  $E(k_\perp) \sim k_\perp^{-5/3}$ , and that  $k_\perp z_{k_\perp} \sim k_\parallel B_0$ , where  $k_\perp, k_\parallel$  are the wavenumber components perpendicular and parallel to the mean magnetic field. The latter relation is called *critical balance*. Verma [37, 64–67] performed RG analysis of  $\nu$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $B_0$  (mean magnetic field

---

<sup>3</sup>It is discussed briefly in Section 8 in the framework of weak turbulence.

renormalization) as well as energy flux calculations for MHD turbulence. These calculations favor Kolmogorov-like turbulence phenomenology over Kraichnan-Iroshnikov phenomenology. The readers may refer to reviews [37, 68].

In the following discussion, we illustrate RG and energy flux computations for a simplified version of MHD turbulence. Here, we work with  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$  variables and assume that

$$\langle E^+(k) \rangle = \langle E^-(k) \rangle, \quad (149)$$

$$\Re \langle [\mathbf{z}^+(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{z}^{-*}(\mathbf{k})] \rangle = E^u(\mathbf{k}) - E^b(\mathbf{k}) = 0 \quad (150)$$

that simplifies the algebra considerably. For this special case, the total energy (a sum of kinetic and magnetic energies) spectrum  $E_{\text{tot}}(k) = E^+(k) = E^-(k)$ , and

$$E_{\text{tot}}(k) = K \Pi_{\text{tot}}^{2/3} k^{-5/3}, \quad (151)$$

where  $K = K^+ = K^-$  and  $\Pi_{\text{tot}} = \Pi^+ = \Pi^-$ . Using  $\tau_k^\pm \sim (k z_k^\mp)^{-1}$ , we derive the respective renormalized diffusion coefficients as

$$\eta_\pm(k) = \eta_{\pm*} \sqrt{K^\mp} \frac{(\Pi^\mp)^{2/3}}{(\Pi^\pm)^{1/3}} k^{-4/3}. \quad (152)$$

For the special case discussed above,  $\Pi^+ = \Pi^-$  and  $\eta_+(k) = \eta_-(k)$ .

As in HDT and passive scalar turbulence, CH basis simplifies the field-theoretic computations significantly. In the CH basis, the equations for  $z_1^\pm(\mathbf{k}')$  and  $z_2^\pm(\mathbf{k}')$  are given below:

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta_1 k^2 \right) z_1^\pm(\mathbf{k}') = ik' \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} [\sin \beta \cos \gamma z_1^{\mp*}(\mathbf{q}) z_1^{\pm*}(\mathbf{p}) - \sin \gamma \cos \beta z_1^{\mp*}(\mathbf{p}) z_1^{\pm*}(\mathbf{q})] + f_1(\mathbf{k}'), \quad (153)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta_2 k^2 \right) z_2^\pm(\mathbf{k}') = ik' \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \{ \sin \gamma z_1^{\mp*}(\mathbf{p}) z_2^{\pm*}(\mathbf{q}) - \sin \beta z_1^{\mp*}(\mathbf{q}) z_2^{\pm*}(\mathbf{p}) \} + f_2(\mathbf{k}'). \quad (154)$$


As in HDT, we assume different diffusive coefficients for  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  components. Since  $\langle E^+(k) \rangle = \langle E^-(k) \rangle$ , the diffusive coefficients are equal for  $\mathbf{z}^+$  and  $\mathbf{z}^-$ . That is,

$$\eta_{1+} = \eta_{1-} = \eta_1, \quad (155)$$


$$\eta_{2+} = \eta_{2-} = \eta_2. \quad (156)$$

To compute the renormalized  $\eta_{1,2}$ , we expand the nonlinear terms of Eqs. (153, 154) to first order. The corresponding Feynman diagrams are shown in Figs. 12 and 13 that yield the following recurrence relations for the renormalized  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ :

$$\eta_1^{(n)} k^2 = \eta_1^{(n+1)} k^2 + \int_{\Delta} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{\nu_1(p)p^2 + \nu_1(q)q^2} [kp \sin \beta \sin \alpha \cos^2 \gamma C_1^-(\mathbf{q})$$



**Fig. 12** MHD turbulence: Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of renormalized diffusivity  $\eta_1$ .



**Fig. 13** MHD turbulence: Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of renormalized diffusivity  $\eta_2$ .

$$+kq \sin \beta \sin \gamma \cos^2 \beta C_1^-(\mathbf{p})], \quad (157)$$

$$\eta_2^{(n)} k^2 = \eta_2^{(n+1)} k^2 + \int_{\Delta} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \left[ \frac{kq C_1^-(\mathbf{p}) \sin \gamma \sin \alpha}{\eta_1(p)p^2 + \eta_2(q)q^2} + \frac{kp C_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \sin \beta \sin \alpha}{\eta_2(p)p^2 + \eta_1(q)q^2} \right] \quad (158)$$

Note that  $C_1^+ = C_1^- = C_1$  because of Eq. (149). Also, the vanishing of the cross terms in Eq. (150) help remove some other terms. Thus, Eqs. (149, 150) simplify the RG equations considerably.



Following similar steps as that for HDT (Section 4.3), we derive that

$$\eta_{(1,2)*}(1 - b^{-4/3}) = \frac{2S_{d-1}}{(d-1)S_d} \int_1^b p'^{d-1} dp' \int_{(p'^2+1-b^2)/(2p')}^{p'/2} dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} F_{(5,6)}(p', z), \quad (159)$$

where

$$F_5(p', z) = \frac{(1-z^2)z^2 p'^2 q'^{-8/3-d}}{\eta_{1*} p'^{2/3} + \eta_{1*} q'^{2/3}} + \frac{(1-z^2)(1-p'z)^2 p'^{-8/3-d}/w^2}{\eta_{1*} p'^{2/3} + \eta_{1*} q'^{2/3}}, \quad (160)$$

$$F_6(p', z) = \frac{(1-z^2)p'^{-2/3-d}}{\eta_{1*} p'^{2/3} + \eta_{2*} q'^{2/3}} + \frac{(1-z^2)p'^2 q'^{-8/3-d}}{\eta_{2*} p'^{2/3} + \eta_{1*} q'^{2/3}}. \quad (161)$$

The integrals of Eq. (159) converge for both 2D and 3D. For the RG parameter  $c = 1.5$ ,  $\eta_{1*} = 0.22$  and  $\eta_{2*} = 0.50$  for 2D, and  $\eta_{1*} = 0.19$  and  $\eta_{2*} = 0.48$  for 3D.

After this, we compute the energy fluxes for  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$  using the following formulae:

$$S^{z_1 z_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) = k' \sin \beta \cos \gamma \Im \{ z_1^-(\mathbf{q}) z_1^+(\mathbf{p}) z_1^+(\mathbf{k}') \}, \quad (162)$$

$$S^{z_2 z_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) = -k' \sin \beta \Im \{ z_1^-(\mathbf{q}) z_2^+(\mathbf{p}) z_2^+(\mathbf{k}') \}. \quad (163)$$

Note that  $\mathbf{z}^+$  and  $\mathbf{z}^-$  have the same energy transfers statistically because  $\langle E^+(k) \rangle = \langle E^-(k) \rangle$ . The above energy transfers vanish to the zeroth order. Hence, we expand  $S^{z_1 z_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$  and  $S^{z_2 z_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q})$  to first order that yields Feynman diagrams shown in Figs. 14 and 15 and the following formulas:

$$\langle S^{z_1 z_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle = (k \sin \beta)^2 (\cos \gamma)^2 \frac{C_1^-(\mathbf{q}) [C_1^-(\mathbf{p}) - C_1^-(\mathbf{k}')] }{\eta_1(k)k^2 + \eta_1(p)p^2 + \eta_1(q)q^2}, \quad (164)$$

$$\langle S^{z_2 z_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle = (k \sin \beta)^2 \frac{C_1^-(\mathbf{q}) [C_2^-(\mathbf{p}) - C_2^-(\mathbf{k}')] }{\eta_2(k)k^2 + \eta_2(p)p^2 + \eta_1(q)q^2}. \quad (165)$$

For 2D, the energy flux  $\Pi(R)$  is

$$\langle \Pi(R) \rangle = \langle \Pi^+(R) \rangle = \langle \Pi^-(R) \rangle = \int_R^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^R \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \langle S^{z_1 z_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle \quad (166)$$

Following similar steps as in Sec. 5.2, we derive the constant  $K^+ = K^- = K = 0.85$  for 2D MHD turbulence. The above relation also indicates that the energy cascades for  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$  are positive in 2D, consistent with the absolute equilibrium theory for MHD turbulence [10, 69]. This is in contrast to 2D HDT that exhibits inverse energy cascade. For 3D MHD turbulence,

$$\langle \Pi(R) \rangle = \langle \Pi^+(R) \rangle = \langle \Pi^-(R) \rangle = \int_R^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^R \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} [\langle S^{z_1 z_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle + \langle S^{z_2 z_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle]. \quad (167)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c} z_1^+(\mathbf{k}') \\ \nearrow \\ k' \sin \beta \cos \gamma \text{ --- } z_1^+(\mathbf{p}) \\ \searrow \\ z_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} G_1^+(\mathbf{k}') \\ \text{---} \bar{C}_1^+(\mathbf{p}) \text{---} \\ \text{---} \bar{C}_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \text{---} \\ k' \sin \beta \cos \gamma \text{ ---} \quad \text{---} ik' \sin \beta \cos \gamma \end{array} \\
& + \begin{array}{c} \bar{C}_2^+(\mathbf{k}') \\ \text{---} G_1^+(\mathbf{p}) \text{---} \\ \text{---} \bar{C}_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \text{---} \\ k' \sin \beta \cos \gamma \text{ ---} \quad \text{---} -ip \sin \alpha \cos \gamma \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

**Fig. 14** MHD turbulence: Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of mode-to-mode energy transfer via  $z_1 z_1$  channel ( $\langle S^{z_1 z_1}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ ).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c} z_2^+(\mathbf{k}') \\ \nearrow \\ -k' \sin \beta \text{ --- } z_2^+(\mathbf{p}) \\ \searrow \\ z_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} G_2^+(\mathbf{k}') \\ \text{---} \bar{C}_2^+(\mathbf{p}) \text{---} \\ \text{---} \bar{C}_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \text{---} \\ -k' \sin \beta \text{ ---} \quad \text{---} -ik' \sin \beta \end{array} \\
& + \begin{array}{c} \bar{C}_2^+(\mathbf{k}') \\ \text{---} G_2^+(\mathbf{p}) \text{---} \\ \text{---} \bar{C}_1^-(\mathbf{q}) \text{---} \\ -k' \sin \beta \text{ ---} \quad \text{---} ip \sin \alpha \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

**Fig. 15** MHD turbulence: Feynman diagrams associated with the computation of mode-to-mode energy transfer via  $z_2 z_2$  channel ( $\langle S^{z_2 z_2}(\mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ ).

After the integral computation, we obtain  $K^+ = K^- = K = 0.96$ . These results are somewhat consistent with earlier field-theoretic computations [37, 66].

In MHD turbulence, we can vary various parameters:  $E^+(k)/E^-(k)$ ,  $E(k)/E_b(k)$ , and  $\Re[\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{b}^*(\mathbf{k})]$ . The turbulence properties, e.g.,  $K^\pm$  and  $\Pi^\pm$ , vary considerably when we vary these parameters. The results derived in this section are for a specific case when  $\langle E^+(k) \rangle = \langle E^-(k) \rangle$  and  $\langle E(k) \rangle = \langle E_b(k) \rangle$ . The Kolmogorov's constants derived here differ from numerical results. For example, for  $\langle E^+(k) \rangle = \langle E^-(k) \rangle$ , Verma [37] reported that  $K^+ \approx k^- \approx 1.5$  for 3D. Note, however, that  $\langle E(k)/E_b(k) \rangle \approx 0.7$  for 3D, which differs from field-theoretic assumption that  $\langle E(k) \rangle = \langle E_b(k) \rangle$ . Another result is by Beresnyak [61] who reported that the Kolmogorov's constant lies between 3.2 to 4.2 depending on Alfvénicity. We need to carefully compare the field-theoretic and numerical results for various cases, some of which is covered in Verma [37]. However, we do not delve into these details in this review.

In Section 8 we discuss field theory of weak turbulence.

## 8 Field Theory of Weak Turbulence

In HDT, scalar turbulence, and MHD turbulence, we renormalize diffusive parameters, as well as compute the multiscale energy transfers. Note that the nonlinearity is significant in such flows. However, the nonlinear term is much weaker than the linear term in some systems, for example, weakly nonlinear water waves, strongly rotating flow, MHD with strong  $\mathbf{B}_0$ , etc. For such flows, we do not renormalize the parameter(s), but compute the energy transfers and fluxes. The energy spectrum is deduced using the energy flux formula. Such a framework is called *weak turbulence* [70, 71]. In this section, we will briefly illustrate this framework and apply it to *advection equation*.

### 8.1 Weak turbulence framework

Zakharov et al. [70] extended the formalism of quantum field theory to weak turbulence. We illustrate this framework starting with Schrödinger equation:

$$i\partial_t\psi = (H_0 + H_1)\psi, \quad (168)$$

where  $\psi$  is the wavefunction, and  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are the bare (unperturbed) and perturbed parts of the Hamiltonian, respectively. Here, we set the Planck constant  $\hbar = 1$ . Zakharov assumed  $H_1$  to be a nonlinear function of  $\psi$ . As an example, we consider

$$H_0\psi(\mathbf{k}) = \hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})\psi(\mathbf{k}); \quad H_1\psi = -\nabla^2\psi^3. \quad (169)$$

In Fourier space, Eq. (168) transforms to the following:

$$i\partial_t\psi(\mathbf{k}, t) = \omega(\mathbf{k})\psi(\mathbf{k}, t) + k^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \psi(\mathbf{p}, t)\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)\psi(\mathbf{s}, t), \quad (170)$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}$ . Here, the nonlinearity is cubic, but it may of different order.

Using Eq. (170) we derive the following equation for the particle density  $|\psi(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2$ :

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} |\psi(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 = k^2 \Im \left[ \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \psi(\mathbf{p}, t) \psi(\mathbf{q}, t) \psi(\mathbf{s}, t) \psi^*(\mathbf{k}, t) \right] = T_N(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (171)$$

where  $T_N(\mathbf{k}, t)$  is the nonlinear particle transfer term<sup>4</sup>. Note that the linear term vanishes in Eq. (171). Following the discussion of Sec. 5.2, we deduce the following formula for the particle flux  $\Pi_N(R)$  for a wavenumber sphere of radius  $R$ :

$$\Pi_N(R) = - \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} T_N(\mathbf{k}'); \quad (172)$$

$\Pi_N(R)$  is interpreted as the rate of particle transfer for a wavenumber of radius  $R$ . Similar formula can be constructed for the energy flux.

In a conservative framework (e.g., in quantum mechanics), the total number of particles  $[\int d\mathbf{k} |\psi(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2]$  is conserved. A wavenumber sphere of radius  $R$  of an isolated system contains a finite number of particles. Therefore, we cannot have a steady particle flux from this sphere because it will deplete the particles in the sphere in a finite time. Finite  $\Pi_N(R)$  is possible only in the presence of particle injection into the system, which is a nonequilibrium and open framework. Weak turbulence framework assumes energy/particle injection by an external source (e.g., electromagnetic and mechanical forcing for Bose-Einstein condensate [72]) and dissipation at small scales. We will illustrate a detailed calculation of weak turbulence in the next section. It is important to point out that a typical isolated or conservative system *thermalizes or reaches equilibrium* asymptotically. That is, the energy and particles are evenly distributed among all the Fourier modes. Quantum systems may approach Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein statistics asymptotically depending on particles nature.

Next, we apply wave turbulence theory to advection equation.

## 8.2 Application of Weak Turbulence to Advection Equation

The advection equation for a scalar field  $\phi$  is

$$\partial_t \phi + V \partial_x \phi = -\partial_x \frac{\phi^2}{2}, \quad (173)$$

where  $V$  is the advection speed. Here, we assume that the advection term dominates the nonlinear term  $-\partial_x \phi^2/2$ . The equation for the modal energy is

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} |\phi(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 = T(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{k_x}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \Im[\phi(\mathbf{p}, t) \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) \phi^*(\mathbf{k}, t)], \quad (174)$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$ , and  $T(\mathbf{k}, t)$  is the transfer term for the scalar energy. Note the advection term is cancelled out in Eq. (174). In addition, the Green's function and the

---

<sup>4</sup>A typical weak turbulence calculation employs  $\psi(\mathbf{k}, t) = a(\mathbf{k}, t) \exp(-i\omega(\mathbf{k})t)$ , as in the interaction picture of quantum mechanics. We avoid this extra step in our derivation.

unequal-time correlation function for the unperturbed advection equation are

$$G(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = \theta(t - t') \exp[-ik_x V(t - t')], \quad (175)$$

$$\bar{C}(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = C(\mathbf{k}) \exp[-ik_x V(t - t')], \quad (176)$$

where  $C(\mathbf{k})$  is the equal-time correlation function (assumed to be a steady function). Note that  $V$  is not renormalized because the nonlinear term is much weaker than advection term.

In this review, we compute  $T(\mathbf{k}, t)$  using the procedure of Sec. 5.2. An expansion of Eq. (174) to first-order yields Feynman diagrams similar to Fig. 8. The energy transfer term corresponding to the first Feynman diagram of Fig. 8 is

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(\mathbf{k}, t) &= \Im \left\{ \frac{ik_x^2}{4} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^t dt' G(\mathbf{k}, t - t') \bar{C}(\mathbf{p}, t - t') \bar{C}(\mathbf{q}, t - t') \right\} \\ &= \Im \left\{ \frac{ik_x^2}{4} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{C(\mathbf{p})C(\mathbf{q})}{-iV(k_x - p_x - q_x) + \epsilon} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi k_x^2}{4V} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \delta(k_x - p_x - q_x) C(\mathbf{p})C(\mathbf{q}), \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a small parameter that induces dissipation at small scales [70]. In the last step, we employed *Cauchy principal value theorem*:

$$\frac{1}{x + i\epsilon} = P\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - i\pi\delta(x), \quad (178)$$

where  $P(1/x)$  is the Cauchy principal value. Following similar steps for the other two Feynman diagrams, we obtain

$$T(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{\pi k_x}{4V} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d} \delta(k_x - p_x - q_x) [k_x C(\mathbf{p})C(\mathbf{q}) - p_x C(\mathbf{q})C(\mathbf{k}) - q_x C(\mathbf{p})C(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (179)$$

The next task is to compute the inertial-range energy flux, which is

$$\Pi(k) = - \int_0^k \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^d} T(\mathbf{k}', t). \quad (180)$$

The above integral is quite complex with significant anisotropy. Zakharov transform [70] is often used to simplify the flux integration. In this short review, I avoid detailed computation. Instead, I simplify the calculation by assuming isotropy,  $p_x \rightarrow p$ , and  $C(\mathbf{p}) \sim E(p)/p^{d-1}$ . In addition, as is customary in weak turbulence, I assume *locality*, according to which wavenumbers with near magnitudes interact, leading to  $E(k') \approx E(p) \approx E(q)$ . Therefore,

$$\Pi(k) = \frac{k^2}{V} k^d k^{d-1} \left( \frac{E(k)}{k^{d-1}} \right)^2. \quad (181)$$

Therefore, for a constant  $\Pi(k) = \Pi$ ,

$$E(k) \sim (\text{PIV})^{1/2} k^{-3/2}. \quad (182)$$

Thus, the scalar energy spectrum for the advection equation is  $k^{-3/2}$  (not  $k^{-5/3}$ ).

Interestingly, for MHD turbulence, Kraichnan [54] and Iroshnikov [55] argued that the advection of Alfvén waves by  $\mathbf{B}_0$  via  $\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^\pm$  dominates the nonlinear terms, where  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$  are the amplitudes of the Alfvén waves in terms of Elsässer variables. For  $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{x}$ ,

$$\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^\pm = B_0 \partial_x \mathbf{z}^\pm, \quad (183)$$

which has a similar form as the advection term in Eq. (173). Note, however, that the framework of weak MHD turbulence is more complex because of the multiple fields ( $\mathbf{z}^\pm$ ) and different resonant conditions. Still, following the derivation is similar to that outlined in this section, we can derive that  $E(k) \sim k^{-3/2}$  [55, 71]. A more sophisticated derivation by Galtier et al. [73] yields  $E(k_\perp) \sim k_\perp^{-3/2}$ . This derivation, however, is beyond the scope of this review.

### 8.3 Sweeping Effect and $k^{-3/2}$ Spectrum

The derivation outlined in Section 8.2 is a gist of Kraichnan's arguments for the  $k^{-3/2}$  spectrum for HDT [74]. Note, however, that Kraichnan [74] assumed the advection speed  $V$  to be random, which leads to

$$G(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = \theta(t - t') \langle \exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}(t - t')] \rangle = \theta(t - t') \exp[-i\frac{1}{2}V^2 k^2(t - t')], \quad (184)$$

$$\bar{C}(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = C(\mathbf{k}) \langle \exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}(t - t')] \rangle = C(\mathbf{k}) \exp[-i\frac{1}{2}V^2 k^2(t - t')], \quad (185)$$

substitution of which in Eq. (177) yields Eq. (182), albeit with a slightly more complex  $dt'$  integral in Eq. (177). Here, an advection of fluctuations by  $V$  (mean flow or large-scale eddies) yields  $k^{-3/2}$  spectrum in Eulerian framework, which is inconsistent with the experimental observations of  $k^{-5/3}$  spectrum. Based on this result, Kraichnan [74] argued that Eulerian approach is inappropriate for the field-theoretic treatment of HDT. Later, Kraichnan went on to create Lagrangian-based field theory, e.g., *Mixed Lagrangian-Eulerian approach* [75], *Lagrangian-History Closure Approximation* [19], *Test Field Model* [20], etc., to derive  $k^{-5/3}$  energy spectrum for HDT. These theories, however, are beyond the scope of this review. We refer the reader to Kraichnan's original papers and Leslie [5].

In spite of above warnings by Kraichnan, Eulerian framework has been successfully adopted in a large number of field theory works on HDT, scalars, and magnetohydrodynamics. So, how do we reconcile Kraichnan's objections with the success of Eulerian field theory? Our viewpoint in this topic is as follows. Verma et al. [76] performed RG analysis of the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla p + \mathbf{f}, \quad (186)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (187)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_0$  is the mean velocity field. The steps of the RG computations remain the same as in those in Sec. 4, except that the small frequency is replaced with Doppler-shifted frequency  $\omega_D = \omega - \mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}$ . Replacement of  $\omega_D \rightarrow 0$  yields  $k^{-5/3}$  energy spectrum and the same renormalized viscosity as in Sec. 4. Thus, Verma et al. [76] showed that the renormalized parameters and energy spectrum remain unchanged with  $\mathbf{U}_0$ . However, random fluctuations at large scales do affect the correlations [76–78], as we describe below.

The *sweeping effect* is apparent in numerical  $\bar{C}(\mathbf{k}, t - t')$ . Using numerical data of isotropic HDT, Verma et al. [76] showed that  $\bar{C}(\mathbf{k}, t - t')$  follows the following form:

$$\frac{\bar{C}(\mathbf{k}, t - t')}{C(\mathbf{k})} = \exp[-\nu(k)k^2(t - t')] \exp[-ick\tilde{U}_0(t - t')] \exp[-i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}(t - t')], \quad (188)$$

with the last two oscillating terms arising due to the sweeping effect. Also, see He et al. [77] and Wilczek and Narita [78]. In Eq. (188),  $\exp[-i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}(t - t')]$  is the trivial advection term that is related to Taylor’s frozen-in hypothesis [79], whereas  $\exp[-ick\tilde{U}_0(t - t')]$  represents sweeping effect by random large-scale velocity. Verma et al. [76] argued that  $k\tilde{U}_0 \sim k^{2/3}$  that will yield  $k^{-5/3}$  energy spectrum even in the presence of random sweeping effect; this hypothesis however needs to be tested. Thus, sweeping effect remains an enigma even after 60 years of research.

Weak turbulence theory has been applied to many other systems, including anisotropic ones. However, we do not discuss this topic any further due to lack of space. Next, we will briefly discuss field theory of intermittency.

## 9 Field theory for intermittency

In equilibrium field theory,  $n$ -th order correlation functions are same as the Green’s functions, and they have been computed for various systems the past [1–3]. However, the Green’s function and the correlation function are different in nonequilibrium field theory, turbulence being one of them. In turbulence field theory, the second-order and triple-order correlations have been computed by many researchers.

Kolmogorov [16, 17] derived the exact third-order structure function under the assumption of homogeneity and isotropy [see Eq. (4)]. Note that Eqs. (4, 106) yield average energy flux  $\Pi$ . However, the fluctuations in  $\Pi$  have not been computed from the first principle. Similarly, no one has been able to derive higher-order correlations for HDT from the first principle.

The  $q$ -th order structure function is defined as

$$S_q(l) = \left\langle |(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \hat{l}|^q \right\rangle. \quad (189)$$

Phenomenologically,

$$S_q(l) = \left\langle \Pi_l^{q/3} \right\rangle l^{q/3}, \quad (190)$$

where  $\Pi_l$  denotes the fluctuating energy flux at length scale  $l$ . Note that

$$\langle \Pi_l \rangle = \Pi, \quad \text{but} \quad \langle \Pi_l^q \rangle \neq \Pi^q \quad (191)$$

because of the nontrivial probability distribution of  $\Pi_l$ . In literature, the fluctuations in  $\Pi_l$  has been modelled as

$$\langle \Pi_l^q \rangle = A_q \langle \Pi \rangle^q \left( \frac{l}{L} \right)^{\tau_q}, \quad (192)$$

where  $A_q$  is constant, and  $\tau_q$  is an exponent related to the energy flux. Substitution of Eq. (192) in Eq. (190) yields

$$S_q(l) = A_{q/3} \langle \Pi \rangle^{q/3} l^{q/3 + \tau_{q/3}} L^{-\tau_{q/3}} = A_{q/3} \langle \Pi \rangle^{q/3} l^{\zeta_q} L^{-\tau_{q/3}} \quad (193)$$

In the above formula, the exponent

$$\zeta_q = q/3 + \tau_{q/3} \quad (194)$$

is called the *intermittency exponent*. A simple generalized model of Kolmogorov's theory yields  $\zeta_q = q/3$ , which is inconsistent with the numerical and experimental observations [15]. Researchers have constructed various phenomenological models, e.g., *fractal model* [80], *lognormal model* [81], *multifractal model* [82], *She-Leveque model* [83]. Among them, She-Leveque model [83] provides the best fit to the numerical and experimental observations. Note, however, that the above models are not first-principle computations from the NS equation.

In the following, we briefly describe several field-theoretic attempts to compute intermittency exponents.

1. Belinicher et al. [84] developed a field-theoretic procedure to compute the intermittency exponent  $\zeta_q$ . In a series of follow-up papers, L'vov and Procaccia [85, 86, 87] derived scaling relations among the intermittency exponents using exact resummation of all the Feynman diagrams. These computations are divergence-free in infrared and ultraviolet regimes. The above scaling relations, referred to as *fusion rules* [29, 88], are in good agreement with the experimental results of atmospheric turbulence.
2. Onsager [89], Frisch [15], and Eyink and Sreenivasan [14] discussed Euler singularity and dissipative anomaly. Note that infinitesimal  $\nu$  yields a finite energy dissipation or flux, whereas zero viscosity in truncated Euler turbulence leads to an equilibrium solution with no energy flux. This issue have been partially addressed by mathematicians [90] and field theorists [91], but final word is not yet out.
3. Functional renormalization and generating functions have been employed to compute correlations functions to all orders, both in equilibrium [1] and nonequilibrium settings [26, 40]. The equilibrium computations have been quite successful, but the intermittency exponents computed using nonequilibrium framework differ from the experimental and numerical results. These computations are quite complex, and they are beyond the scope of this paper.



4. Das and Bhattacharjee [92] employed mode coupling method to compute the second-order correlation for the energy flux,  $\langle \Pi(\mathbf{x})\Pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}) \rangle$ . A generalization of the above computation to higher orders can yield fluctuations in  $\Pi_l$ , which is a crucial parameter in intermittency modelling.
5. Intermittency exponents have been computed exactly for passive scalar with the advective velocity field given by Kraichnan model, which is correlated in space and delta-correlated in time [30]. These calculations, based on semi-Lagrangian field-theoretic approach, are quite complex; the reader may refer to the original papers and the review article [30]. There have been attempts to generalize these calculations to HDT, but they have not been successful so far.

We summarize in the next section.

## 10 Comparing Turbulence with Other Field Theories & and General Remarks

In terms of dynamics, field theories are broadly classified into the following three categories. Here, we will compare turbulence field theory works with some past ones.

1. *Equilibrium field theories* describe systems in equilibrium with heat bath (in classical field theory) or with quantum noise (in quantum field theory). Some important examples of such fields are Ising Hamiltonian, Wilson's  $\phi^4$  theory, and Hubbard model. Here, the fields are Gaussian or quasi-gaussian and they respect detailed balance. The Green's and correlation functions are the same in these field theories.

Truncated Euler turbulence ( $\nu = 0$ ) and Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation with zero potential are isolated systems that thermalize asymptotically [93, 94]. These systems exhibit equipartition among the available Fourier modes and zero energy flux. In Sections 4 and 5 contains field-theoretic treatment of Euler turbulence. Note, however, that 2D Euler turbulence does not thermalize for some ordered initial condition; this phenomena is related to multiple conserved quantities in 2D Euler equation [95].

At present, thermalization has become an important research topic. It is conjectured that many body systems, both classical and quantum, thermalize asymptotically [96]. Researchers have invoked several mechanisms, including *Berry's conjecture*, to explain thermalization. Note that thermalization in truncated Euler equation and GP equation occurs due to forward energy flux from large scales to small scales. Verma [97] has argued that energy flux may work as a thermalization mechanism in conservative systems.

It is known that several quantum systems do not thermalize, with prime examples being *many body localization* [98] and *time crystal* [99]. For many body localization, emergent integrability and multiple conserved quantities are believed to be key reasons for nonthermalization [98]; these common features appear in 2D Euler turbulence and many body localization. This issue needs further exploration.

2. *Near-equilibrium systems* are out of equilibrium, but they are quite close to equilibrium. For example, directional heat transport in thermal conduction breaks detailed balance. Systems near equilibrium obey *fluctuation-dissipation theorem* [100]. For

diffusion equation,

$$\partial_t \phi(\mathbf{k}, t) = -\kappa k^2 \phi(\mathbf{k}, t) + f_\phi(\mathbf{k}, t), \quad (195)$$

where  $f_\phi$  is the white noise. For this system, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem yields

$$|\phi(\mathbf{k}, \omega)|^2 = C(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{k_B T}{\omega} \Im[G(\mathbf{k}, \omega)], \quad (196)$$

or

$$C(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = C(\mathbf{k}) \exp[-\kappa k^2 (t - t')], \quad (197)$$

where

$$C(\mathbf{k}) = |f_\phi(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 = k_B T. \quad (198)$$

3. *Nonequilibrium field theories* describe systems that are far from equilibrium, typically via external driving and dissipation. *Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ)* equation [101], turbulence [31], *active matter* [102], *coarsening systems* [103, 104], and *driven quantum turbulence, e.g., in superfluids* [105] are prominent examples of such systems. These systems exhibit directional energy transfers, e.g., forward energy transfers in 3D turbulence. Nonequilibrium fields are nongaussian and time-dependent. Hence, the corresponding Green's function and correlation function are frequency dependent, and they are not the same.

Field theory frameworks for nonequilibrium systems are quite similar. Most calculations involve RG flow equations for the diffusive parameter, coupling constant, and forcing amplitude (see [22, 23, 101]). In HDT, the renormalized viscosity has been computed using Yakhot-Orszag's (YO) formalism, recursive RG, and functional RG. Note, however, that coupling constant of HDT remains unchanged under RG due to Galilean symmetry, whereas the assumption of large-scaling forcing keeps the forcing amplitude unchanged. The energy flux for HDT too has been computed using perturbative field theory. Interestingly, energy transfers in field theory is not a popular topic, with only a handful of works, primarily, Bratanov et al. [106] for active turbulence, and Verma et al. [104] and Yadav et al. [107] for coarsening systems.

Another interesting observation, Eq. (197), which was derived following the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, has been generalized for HDT as [Eq. (35)]

$$C(\mathbf{k}, t - t') = C(\mathbf{k}) \exp[-\kappa(k) k^2 (t - t')], \quad (199)$$

where  $\kappa(k)$  is the renormalized or effective diffusive parameters, and  $C(\mathbf{k})$  is derived from other means, e.g., dimensional analysis. Such connections between near-equilibrium systems and nonequilibrium systems needs further exploration.

The above connections among multidisciplinary field theoretic works are encouraging. Also, as illustrated via turbulence field theory, existence of Hamiltonian or Lagrangian is not mandatory for field theory application. We can employ field theory to driven dissipation systems, that too using their governing partial differential equations.

We conclude the review in the next section.

## 11 Discussions and Summary

First principle calculations remain a challenge in turbulence. Fortunately, in addition to exact results by Kolmogorov, there are several analytical results based on field theory. In this review, we cover past works on viscosity renormalization and energy flux computations. Starting with Kraichnan’s direct interaction approximation (DIA), we discuss Yakhot-Orszag’s (YO) RG formalism, recursive RG by McComb and Zhou, and functional RG. We compare the limitations and benefits of these models. For example, infrared divergence in DIA is cured in all the RG schemes. The recursive RG scheme of McComb and Zhou is self-consistent, whereas the energy spectrum in YO’s scheme depends on the forcing function. Another perturbative field theory calculation yields the energy flux and Kolmogorov’s constant, These results are consistent with numerical and experimental observations.

Note that truncated Euler equation ( $\nu = 0$ ) is an isolated system that yields a very different solution. In thermalized Euler turbulence, the available Fourier modes have equal energy that yields zero energy flux [93]. However, Verma and Chatterjee [95] showed that 2D Euler turbulence does not thermalize for some ordered initial condition.

The field-theoretic calculations of HDT have been extended to other flows, e.g., passive scalar turbulence and MHD turbulence. Note, however, that field-theoretic computation of anisotropic turbulence still remains a challenge. For example, we do not have successful field-theoretic calculations for stably stratified turbulence, turbulent convection, rotating turbulence, and anisotropic MHD turbulence (in the presence of a mean magnetic field). Interestingly, computation of anisotropic energy flux in weak turbulence framework is reasonably well developed [70, 71].

Field theory of turbulence share similarities with other nonequilibrium field theories, including Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation [101], coarsening systems [103], and active turbulence [106]. All these computations invoke external noise, which may be thermal or nonthermal. I believe that a detailed comparison between these computations would yield interesting insights.

Phenomenologies of compressible and incompressible turbulence have significant differences. For example, shock-dominated compressible flows exhibit  $k^{-2}$  energy spectrum, rather than  $k^{-5/3}$  spectrum. Interestingly, superfluid turbulence has a strong connection with compressible turbulence. Unfortunately, there are only a limited number of field-theoretic works on compressible and superfluid turbulence [108]. It is hoped that this topic will be investigated deeply in future.

**Acknowledgements:** The author thanks Srinivas Raghu for useful discussions. I got valuable suggestions on the paper during the discussion meeting “Field Theory and Turbulence” hosted by International Centre for Theoretical Studies, Bengaluru. This work is supported by Science and Engineering Research Board, India (Grant numbers: SERB/PHY/20215225 and SERB/PHY/2021473).

## References

- [1] Peskin, M.E., Schroeder, D.V.: An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory. The

- Perseus Books Group, Reading, MA (1995)
- [2] Goldenfeld, N.: Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1992)
  - [3] Fradkin, E.: Quantum Field Theory: an Integrated Approach. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2021)
  - [4] Wilson, K.G., Kogut, J.: The renormalization group and the  $\varepsilon$  expansion. Phys. Rep. **12**(2), 75–199 (1974)
  - [5] Leslie, D.C.: Developments in the Theory of Turbulence. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1973)
  - [6] McComb, W.D.: The Physics of Fluid Turbulence. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1990)
  - [7] McComb, W.D.: Homogeneous, Isotropic Turbulence: Phenomenology, Renormalization and Statistical Closures. Oxford University Press, ??? (2014)
  - [8] Sagaut, P., Cambon, C.: Homogeneous Turbulence Dynamics, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018)
  - [9] Orszag, S.A.: Lectures on the statistical theory of turbulence in fluid dynamics. In: Balian, R., Peube, J.L. (eds.) Les Houches Summer School of Theoretical Physics, p. 235. Gordon Breach, New York (1973)
  - [10] Kraichnan, R.H., Montgomery, D.C.: Two-dimensional turbulence. Rep. Prog. Phys. **43**(5), 547–619 (1980)
  - [11] Zhou, Y., Vahala, G., McComb, W.D.: Renormalization Group (RG) in Turbulence: Historical and Comparative Perspective. Technical Report ICAS-97-36 (January 1997). <http://historical.ncstrl.org/tr/fulltext/tr/icas/TR-97-36.txt>
  - [12] Zhou, Y.: Renormalization group theory for fluid and plasma turbulence. Phys. Rep. **488**(1), 1–49 (2010)
  - [13] Zhou, Y.: Turbulence theories and statistical closure approaches. Phys. Rep. **935**, 1–117 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2021.07.001>
  - [14] Eyink, G.L., Sreenivasan, K.R.: Onsager and the theory of hydrodynamic turbulence. Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**(1), 87–135 (2006)
  - [15] Frisch, U.: Turbulence: The Legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995)
  - [16] Kolmogorov, A.N.: Dissipation of Energy in Locally Isotropic Turbulence. Dokl Acad Nauk SSSR **32**, 16–18 (1941)

- [17] Kolmogorov, A.N.: The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large Reynolds numbers. *Dokl Acad Nauk SSSR* **30**, 301–305 (1941)
- [18] Kraichnan, R.H.: The structure of isotropic turbulence at very high Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* **5**, 497–543 (1959)
- [19] Kraichnan, R.H.: Lagrangian-History Closure Approximation for Turbulence. *Phys. Fluids* **8**(4), 575–598 (1965)
- [20] Kraichnan, R.H.: Test-field model for inhomogeneous turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **56**, 287–304 (1972)
- [21] Wyld, H.W.J.: Formulation of the theory of turbulence in an incompressible fluid. *Ann. Phys.* **14**, 143–165 (1961)
- [22] Yakhot, V., Orszag, S.A.: Renormalization group analysis of turbulence. I. Basic theory. *J. Sci. Comput.* **1**(1), 3–51 (1986)
- [23] Forster, D., Nelson, D.R., Stephen, M.J.: Long-distance and long-time properties of a randomly stirred fluid. *Phys. Rev. A* **16**, 732–749 (1977)
- [24] McComb, W.D., Watt, A.: Two-field theory of incompressible-fluid turbulence. *Phys. Rev. A* **46**(8), 4797–4812 (1992)
- [25] Zhou, Y., Vahala, G., Hossain, M.: Renormalization-group theory for the eddy viscosity in subgrid modeling. *Phys. Rev. A* **37**(7), 2590–2598 (1988)
- [26] DeDominicis, C., Martin, P.C.: Energy spectra of certain randomly-stirred fluids. *Phys. Rev. A* **19**(1), 419–432 (1979)
- [27] Martin, P.C., Siggia, E.D., Rose, H.A.: Statistical dynamics of classical systems. *Phys. Rev. A* **8**, 423–437 (1973)
- [28] Verma, M.K.: Critical dimension for hydrodynamic turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E* **110**, 035102 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.110.035102>
- [29] L’vov, V., Procaccia, I.: Fusion Rules in Turbulent Systems with Flux Equilibrium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**(16), 2898–2901 (1996)
- [30] Falkovich, G., Gawędzki, K., Vergassola, M.: Particles and fields in fluid turbulence. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 913–975 (2001)
- [31] Lesieur, M.: *Turbulence in Fluids*. Springer, Dordrecht (2008)
- [32] Verma, M.K.: *Energy Transfers in Fluid Flows: Multiscale and Spectral Perspectives*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2019)

- [33] Craya, A.: Contribution à l'analyse de la turbulence associée à des vitesses moyennes. PhD thesis, Université de Grenoble (1958)
- [34] Herring, J.R.: Approach of axisymmetric turbulence to isotropy. *Phys. Fluids* **17**(5), 859–872 (1974)
- [35] Verma, M.K.: Insights into the Energy Transfers in Hydrodynamic Turbulence Using Field-theoretic Tools. arXiv, 2309–05207 (2023)
- [36] Waleffe, F.: The nature of triad interactions in homogeneous turbulence. *Phys. Fluids A* **4**(2), 350–363 (1992)
- [37] Verma, M.K.: Statistical theory of magnetohydrodynamic turbulence: recent results. *Phys. Rep.* **401**(5), 229–380 (2004)
- [38] Verma, M.K., Stepanov, R., Delache, A.: Contrasting thermodynamic and hydrodynamic entropy. *Phys. Rev. E* **110**, 055106 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.110.055106>
- [39] McComb, W.D., Shanmugasundaram, V.: Fluid turbulence and the renormalization group: A preliminary calculation of the eddy viscosity. *Phys. Rev. A* **28**(4), 2588–2590 (1983)
- [40] Canet, L.: Functional renormalisation group for turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **950**, 1 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2022.808>
- [41] Dar, G., Verma, M.K., Eswaran, V.: Energy transfer in two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic turbulence: formalism and numerical results. *Physica D* **157**(3), 207–225 (2001)
- [42] Verma, M.K.: Introduction to Statistical Theory of Fluid Turbulence. arXiv:nlin/0510069v2 (2020)
- [43] Adzhemyan, L.T., Antonov, N.V., Vasiliev, A.N.: Field Theoretic Renormalization Group in Fully Developed Turbulence. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1999)
- [44] Oboukhov, A.: Structure of the temperature field in turbulent flows. *Isv. Geogr. Geophys. Ser.* **13**, 58–69 (1949)
- [45] Corrsin, S.: On the spectrum of isotropic temperature fluctuations in an isotropic turbulence. *J. Appl. Phys.* **22**(4), 469–473 (1951) <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699986>
- [46] Champagne, F., Friehe, C., Larue, J., Wynagaard, J.: Flux measurements, flux estimation techniques, and fine-scale turbulence measurements in the unstable surface layer over land. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **34**, 515–530 (1977) [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1977\)034<0515:FMFETA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<0515:FMFETA>2.0.CO;2)

- [47] Sreenivasan, K.R.: On the universality of the Kolmogorov constant. *Phys. Fluids* **7**(11), 2778–2784 (1995)
- [48] Zhou, Y., Vahala, G.: Renormalization-group estimates of transport coefficients in the advection of a passive scalar by incompressible turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E* **48**(6), 4387–4398 (1993)
- [49] McComb, W., Filipiak, M., Shanmugasundaram, V.: Rederivation and further assessment of the let theory of isotropic turbulence, as applied to passive scalar convection. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **245**, 279–300 (1992)
- [50] Verma, M.K.: Field theoretic calculation of scalar turbulence. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **15**(26), 3419–3428 (2001)
- [51] Sreenivasan, K.R.: The passive scalar spectrum and the Obukhov-Corrsin constant. *Phys. Fluids* **8**(1), 189–196 (1996)
- [52] Bellan, P.M.: *Fundamentals of Plasma Physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)
- [53] Biskamp, D.: *Nonlinear Magnetohydrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
- [54] Kraichnan, R.H.: Inertial-range spectrum of hydromagnetic turbulence. *Phys. Fluids* **7**, 1385–1387 (1965)
- [55] Iroshnikov, P.S.: Turbulence of a Conducting Fluid in a Strong Magnetic Field. *Sov. Astron.* **7**, 566–571 (1964)
- [56] Dobrowolny, M., Mangeney, A., Veltri, P.: Fully developed anisotropic hydro-magnetic turbulence in interplanetary space. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 144–147 (1980)
- [57] Marsch, E.: Turbulence in the Solar Wind. In: Klare, G. (ed.) *Reviews in Modern Astronomy*, pp. 145–156. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1991)
- [58] Verma, M.K., Roberts, D.A., Goldstein, M.L., Ghosh, S., Stribling, W.T.: A numerical study of the nonlinear cascade of energy in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *J. Geophys. Res.-Space* **101**(A10), 21619–21625 (1996)
- [59] Mason, J., Cattaneo, F., Boldyrev, S.: Dynamic Alignment in Driven Magneto-hydrodynamic Turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**(25), 255002 (2006)
- [60] Biskamp, D., Müller, W.-C.: Scaling properties of three-dimensional isotropic magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *Phys. Plasmas* **7**(12), 4889–4900 (2000)
- [61] Beresnyak, A.: Spectral Slope and Kolmogorov Constant of MHD Turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**(7), 075001 (2011)

- [62] Fournier, J.-D., Sulem, P.-L., Pouquet, A.: Infrared properties of forced magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **15**(4), 1393–1420 (1982) <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/15/4/037>
- [63] Goldreich, P., Sridhar, S.: Toward a theory of interstellar turbulence. 2: Strong alfvenic turbulence. *ApJ* **463**, 763–775 (1995)
- [64] Verma, M.K.: Field theoretic calculation of renormalized viscosity, renormalized resistivity, and energy fluxes of magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E* **64**(2), 026305 (2001)
- [65] Verma, M.K.: Mean magnetic field renormalization and Kolmogorov’s energy spectrum in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *Phys. Plasmas* **6**(5), 1455–1460 (1999)
- [66] Verma, M.K.: Field theoretic calculation of energy cascade rates in non-helical magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *Pramana-J. Phys.* **61**(3), 577–594 (2003)
- [67] Verma, M.K.: Energy fluxes in helical magnetohydrodynamics and dynamo action. *Pramana-J. Phys.* **61**(4), 707–724 (2003)
- [68] Schekochihin, A.A.: Mhd turbulence: a biased review. *Journal of Plasma Physics* **88**(5), 155880501 (2022)
- [69] Stribling, T., Matthaeus, W.H.: Relaxation processes in a low-order three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics model. *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 1848–1864 (1991)
- [70] Zakharov, V.E., Falkovich, G., Falkovich, G.: *Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbulence I*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg (1992)
- [71] Nazarenko, S.V.: *Wave Turbulence*. Springer, Berlin (2011)
- [72] Barenghi, C.F., Skrbek, L., Sreenivasan, K.R.: Introduction to quantum turbulence. *PNAS* **111** Suppl 1, 4647 (2014)
- [73] Galtier, S., Nazarenko, S.V., Newell, A.C., Pouquet, A.G.: A weak turbulence theory for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. *J. Plasma Phys.* **63**, 447–488 (2000)
- [74] Kraichnan, R.H.: Kolmogorov’s Hypotheses and Eulerian Turbulence Theory. *Phys. Fluids* **7**(11), 1723–1734 (1964)
- [75] Kraichnan, R.H.: Relation between Lagrangian and Eulerian correlation times of a turbulent velocity field. *Phys. Fluids* **7**(1), 142–143 (1964)
- [76] Verma, M.K., Kumar, A., Gupta, A.: Hydrodynamic Turbulence: Sweeping Effect and Taylor’s Hypothesis via Correlation Function. *Trans Indian Natl. Acad. Eng.* **5**, 649 (2020)



- [77] He, G., Jin, G., Yang, Y.: Space-Time Correlations and Dynamic Coupling in Turbulent Flows. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **49**, 51–70 (2017)
- [78] Wilczek, M., Narita, Y.: Wave-number–frequency spectrum for turbulence from a random sweeping hypothesis with mean flow. *Phys. Rev. E* **86**(6), 066308 (2012)
- [79] Taylor, G.I.: The spectrum of turbulence. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **164**(9), 476–490 (1938)
- [80] Frisch, U., Sulem, P.-L., Nelkin, M.: A simple dynamical model of intermittent fully developed turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **87**(4), 719–736 (1978)
- [81] Kolmogorov, A.N.: A refinement of previous hypotheses concerning the local structure of turbulence in a viscous incompressible fluid at high Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.* **13**, 82–85 (1962)
- [82] Meneveau, C., Sreenivasan, K.R.: Simple multifractal cascade model for fully developed turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**(13), 1424–1427 (1987)
- [83] She, Z.-S., Leveque, E.: Universal scaling laws in fully developed turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**(3), 336–339 (1994)
- [84] Belinicher, V.I., L’vov, V., Pomyalov, A., Procaccia, I.: Computing the Scaling Exponents in Fluid Turbulence from First Principles: Demonstration of Multiscaling. *J. Stat. Phys.* **93**(3/4), 797–832 (1998)
- [85] L’vov, V., Procaccia, I.: Exact resummations in the theory of hydrodynamic turbulence. I. The ball of locality and normal scaling. *Phys. Rev. E* **52**(4), 3840–3857 (1995)
- [86] L’vov, V., Procaccia, I.: Exact resummations in the theory of hydrodynamic turbulence. II. A ladder to anomalous scaling. *Phys. Rev. E* **52**(4), 3858–3875 (1995)
- [87] L’vov, V., Procaccia, I.: Exact resummations in the theory of hydrodynamic turbulence. III. Scenarios for anomalous scaling and intermittency. *Phys. Rev. E* **53**(4), 3468–3490 (1996)
- [88] Fairhall, A.L., Dhruva, B., L’vov, V.S., Procaccia, I., Sreenivasan, K.R.: Fusion rules in navier-stokes turbulence: first experimental tests. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**(17), 3174–3177 (1997)
- [89] Onsager, L.: Statistical hydrodynamics. *Il Nuovo Cimento* **6**(2), 279–287 (1949)
- [90] Luo, G., Hou, T.Y.: Potentially singular solutions of the 3d axisymmetric euler equations. *PNAS* **111**(36), 12968–12973 (2014) <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405238111>

- [91] Eyink, G.L.: Cascades and dissipative anomalies in nearly collisionless plasma turbulence. *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 041020 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.8.041020>
- [92] Das, A., Bhattacharjee, J.K.: Log normal intermittency and randomly stirred fluids. *EPL* **26**, 527–532 (1994)
- [93] Cichowlas, C., Bonaïti, P., Debbasch, F., Brachet, M.E.: Effective Dissipation and Turbulence in Spectrally Truncated Euler Flows. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 264502 (2005)
- [94] Barenghi, C.F., Parker, N.G.: A primer on quantum fluids. arXiv (2016) [1605.09580](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.09580)
- [95] Verma, M.K., Chatterjee, S.: Hydrodynamic entropy and emergence of order in two-dimensional euler turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **7**, 114608 (2022)
- [96] Srednicki, M.: Chaos and quantum thermalization. *Physical Review E* **50**(2), 888–901 (1994-08-01) <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.50.888>
- [97] Verma, M.K.: Asymmetric energy transfers in driven nonequilibrium systems and arrow of time. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **92**, 190 (2019)
- [98] Abanin, D.A., Altman, E., Bloch, I., Serbyn, M.: Colloquium: Many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **91**(2), 021001 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.91.021001>
- [99] Sacha, K., Zakrzewski, J.: Time crystals: a review. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **81**(1), 016401 (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa8b38>
- [100] Pathria: *Statistical Mechanics*, 3rd edn. Elsevier, Oxford (2011)
- [101] Kardar, M., Parisi, G., Zhang, Y.-C.: Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces. *Physical Review Letters* **56**(9), 889–892 (1986) <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.56.889>
- [102] Alert, R., Casademunt, J., Joanny, J.-F.: Active turbulence. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **13**(1), 1–28 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-082321-035957>
- [103] Hohenberg, P.C., Halperin, B.I.: Theory of dynamic critical phenomena. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **49**(3), 435–479 (1977)
- [104] Verma, M.K., Agrawal, R., Yadav, P.K., Puri, S.: Nonlinear energy dissipation and transfers in coarsening systems. *Phys. Rev. E* **107**(3), 034207 (2023) <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.107.034207>

- [105] Madeira, L., Caracanhas, M.A., Santos, F.E.A., Bagnato, V.S.: Quantum turbulence in quantum gases. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **11**, 37–56 (2020)
- [106] Bratanov, V., Jenko, F., Frey, E.: New class of turbulence in active fluids. *PNAS* **112**(49), 15048–15053 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509304112>
- [107] Yadav, P.K., Verma, M.K., Puri, S.: Spectral energy transfers in domain growth problems. *Phys. Rev. E* **110**(4), 044130 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.110.044130>
- [108] Schmitt, A.: Introduction to superfluidity. *Lect. Notes Phys* **888** (2015)