

Ultrametric spaces and clouds

I. N. Mikhailov

Abstract

In [6], the natural way to construct an ultrametric space from a given metric space was presented. It was shown that the corresponding map \mathbf{U} is 1-Lipschitz for every pair of bounded metric spaces, with respect to the Gromov–Hausdorff distance. We make a simple observation that \mathbf{U} is 1-Lipschitz for pairs of all, not necessarily bounded, metric spaces. We then study the properties of the mapping \mathbf{U} . We show that, for a given dotted connected metric space A , the mapping $\Psi: X \mapsto X \times A$ from the proper class of all bounded ultrametric spaces ($X \times A$ is endowed with the Manhattan metric) preserves the Gromov–Hausdorff distance. Moreover, the mapping \mathbf{U} is inverse to Ψ . By a dotted connected metric space, we mean a metric space in which for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and every two points p, q , there exist points $x_0 = p, x_1, \dots, x_n = q$ such that $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j x_{j+1}| \leq \varepsilon$. At the end of the paper, we prove that each class (proper or not) consisting of unbounded metric spaces on finite Gromov–Hausdorff distances from each other cannot contain an ultrametric space and a dotted connected space simultaneously.

Keywords: ultrametric space, Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

1 Introduction

In the present paper we continue the investigation of the classical Gromov–Hausdorff distance geometry. Traditionally, this distance appears in the studies of compact metric spaces. The space of isometry classes of compact metric spaces, called *the Gromov–Hausdorff class*, is well studied ([5], [7], [8]) and possesses wonderful geometric properties.

In case of unbounded metric spaces, the usual Gromov–Hausdorff distance sometimes does not reflect natural properties. For example, a sequence of balls in \mathbb{R}^2 with radii tending to infinity lies on infinite Gromov–Hausdorff distance from \mathbb{R}^2 itself. To deal with such situations, *the pointed Gromov–Hausdorff convergence* was introduced in case of unbounded metric spaces ([5], [9]).

However, in the recent works ([4], [1], [3], [2], [11], [12]) the classical Gromov–Hausdorff distance was studied without restriction on compactness of arising metric spaces. In [1], the authors developed techniques that allow to work with the proper class \mathcal{GH} of all metric spaces considered up to an isometry equipped with the Gromov–Hausdorff distance. That approach is based on von Neumann–Bernays–Gödel set theory. In NBG theory all objects are called *classes* that belong to one of two types: sets and proper classes. A class is called a *set* if it belongs to some other class. If the class is not a member of any other class, then it is called *proper*.

In [8], M. Gromov described some properties of the proper class \mathcal{GH} . For example, M. Gromov suggested to study the subclasses of \mathcal{GH} that consist of metric spaces on finite Gromov–Hausdorff distances from each other. Following [1], we call such subclasses *clouds*. M. Gromov announced in [8]

that all clouds are complete and contractible. In [1], the proof of the first statement was provided, while the second statement turned out to be rather non-trivial. Also some set theoretic issues arised. It was shown by B. Nesterov (private conversations) that clouds are proper classes. Therefore, it is impossible to introduce topology on a proper class. Indeed, a proper class can not belong to any other class by definition, but each topological space is an element of its own topology. In [1], the authors showed how to avoid such issues, and introduced an analogue of topology on so-called set-filtered classes. That technique allows to define continuous mappings between clouds.

In the present paper, we investigate the geometry of clouds. However, for the sake of simplicity, we avoid working with the set-filtered classes defined in [1], and formulate all properties of mappings between clouds and particular subclasses of clouds in terms of metric defined by the Gromov–Hausdorff distance.

In [6], a natural way to construct an ultrametric space from a given metric space was presented. This construction provides one of few non-trivial lower estimates on the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between bounded metric spaces ([13]). This estimate was applied effectively to the problem of calculating Gromov–Hausdorff distances between vertex sets of regular polygons inscribed in a single circle ([14]). We start with a simple generalization of this estimate to the case of unbounded metric spaces. It follows from our generalization that the assignment to an arbitrary metric space of an ultrametric space from the mentioned construction induces a 1-Lipschitz mapping \mathbf{U} between the corresponding clouds. We then proceed to study simple properties of the mapping \mathbf{U} . At first, we consider a natural class of *fair* metrics ρ on the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ of metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) such that restrictions of ρ on $X \times \{y\}$ and $\{x\} \times Y$ coincide with d_X and d_Y , respectively, for all $x \in X, y \in Y$. We establish the equality $\mathbf{U}(X \times_{\rho} Y) = \mathbf{U}(X) \times_{\ell^{\infty}} \mathbf{U}(Y)$, for an arbitrary fair metric ρ on $X \times Y$ which is bounded from below by the standard ℓ^{∞} -metric on $X \times Y$. That is, for arbitrary $p = (x, y), p' = (x', y')$ from $X \times Y$,

$$\rho(p, p') \geq d_{X \times_{\ell^{\infty}} Y}(p, p') := \max\{d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')\}.$$

Secondly, we consider the cloud $[\Delta_1]$ of all bounded metric spaces and prove that its subclass Ult consisting of all bounded ultrametric spaces is closed. Then we recall the well-known class of metric spaces such that, for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ every and two points p, q , there exist points $x_0 = p, x_1, \dots, x_n = q$ such that $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j x_{j+1}| \leq \varepsilon$. We call them *dotted connected* metric spaces. We show that, for a given dotted connected metric space A , the mapping $\Psi: X \mapsto X \times A$ from the proper class of all bounded ultrametric spaces Ult ($X \times A$ is endowed with the Manhattan or ℓ_1 metric) preserves the Gromov–Hausdorff distance. Moreover, the mapping \mathbf{U} is inverse to Ψ . At the end of the paper, we show that a cloud consisting of unbounded metric spaces cannot contain both an ultrametric space and a dotted connected space.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to his scientific advisor, professor A. A. Tuzhilin, and also professor A. O. Ivanov for permanent attention to the work. He also thanks the team of the seminar “Theory of extremal networks” leded by A. O. Ivanov and A. A. Tuzhilin.

This work is supported by the grant 24-8-2-15-1 of the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics.

2 Preliminaries

For an arbitrary metric space X , the distance between its points x and y we denote by $|xy|$, or by $d_X(x, y)$ if we need to highlight the ambient metric space. Let $B_r(a) = \{x \in X : |ax| \leq r\}$ and $U_r(a) = \{x \in X : |ax| < r\}$ be the closed ball and the open ball of radius r with centre at the point a , respectively. For non-empty subsets $A \subset X$ and $B \subset X$, we put $d(A, B) = \inf\{|ab| : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be non-empty subsets of a metric space X . The Hausdorff distance between A and B is the value

$$d_H(A, B) = \inf\{r > 0 : A \subset B_r(B), B \subset B_r(A)\}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let X and Y be metric spaces. If X', Y' are subsets of a metric space Z such that X' is isometric to X and Y' is isometric to Y , then we call the triple (X', Y', Z) a metric realization of the pair (X, Y) .

Definition 2.3. The Gromov–Hausdorff distance $d_{GH}(X, Y)$ between two metric spaces X, Y is the infimum of positive numbers r such that there exists a metric realization (X', Y', Z) of the pair (X, Y) with $d_H(X', Y') \leq r$.

Let X and Y be non-empty sets. Recall that any subset $\sigma \subset X \times Y$ is called a relation between X and Y . Denote the set of all non-empty relations between X and Y by $\mathcal{P}_0(X, Y)$. We put

$$\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X, \pi_X(x, y) = x,$$

$$\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y, \pi_Y(x, y) = y.$$

Definition 2.4. A relation $R \subset X \times Y$ is called a correspondence if $\pi_X|_R$ and $\pi_Y|_R$ are surjective. In other words, correspondences are multivalued surjective mappings. Denote the set of all correspondences between X and Y by $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$.

Definition 2.5. For an arbitrary correspondence $R \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$, define $R^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}(Y, X)$ as follows

$$R^{-1} = \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in R\}.$$

Definition 2.6. Let X, Y be arbitrary metric spaces. Then for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_0(X, Y)$, the distortion of σ is defined as

$$\text{dis } \sigma = \sup\{||xx'| - |yy'|\} : (x, y), (x', y') \in \sigma\}.$$

Claim 2.1 ([5], [15]). For arbitrary metric spaces X and Y , the following equality holds

$$2 d_{GH}(X, Y) = \inf\{\text{dis } R : R \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)\}.$$

In this paper by $X \times_\rho Y$ we denote the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ endowed with the metric ρ .

Mostly, we consider the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ of metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) with the Manhattan or ℓ^1 metric

$$d_{X \times_{\ell^1} Y}((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y').$$

In that case, we **omit** the index ρ corresponding to a metric.

If (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) are metric spaces, then by $X \times_{\ell^\infty} Y$ we denote the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ endowed with ℓ^∞ -metric

$$d_{X \times_{\ell^\infty} Y}((x, y), (x', y')) = \max\{d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')\}.$$

Definition 2.7. Suppose (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) are arbitrary metric spaces. Consider a Cartesian product $X \times Y$. We call a metric ρ on $X \times Y$ *fair* if, for arbitrary $x \in X, y \in Y$, the restrictions $\rho|_{X \times \{y\}}, \rho|_{\{x\} \times Y}$ equal d_X and d_Y , respectively.

2.1 Clouds

Denote by \mathcal{VGH} the class consisting of all non-empty metric spaces equipped with the Gromov–Hausdorff distance.

We recall the following terminology from [1]:

- A *distance function* on a proper class \mathcal{A} is an arbitrary mapping $\rho: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, for which always $\rho(x, x) = 0$ and $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$.
- If the distance function satisfies the triangle inequality, i.e., if $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$ is always satisfied, then ρ is called *generalized pseudometric* (the word “generalized” correspond to the possibility of taking the value ∞).
- If the positive definiteness condition is additionally satisfied for a generalized pseudometric, i.e., if $\rho(x, y) = 0$ always implies $x = y$, then we call such ρ a *generalized metric*.
- Finally, if ρ does not take value, then in the above conditions we will omit the word “generalized”.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]). *The Gromov–Hausdorff distance is a generalized pseudometric vanishing on each pair of isometric spaces.*

The class \mathcal{GH}_0 is obtained from \mathcal{VGH} by factorization over the zero-value equivalence for which X is equivalent to Y , if and only if $d_{GH}(X, Y) = 0$.

Definition 2.8. Consider the following natural equivalence relation \sim_1 on \mathcal{GH}_0 : $X \sim_1 Y$, if and only if $d_{GH}(X, Y) < \infty$. The corresponding equivalence classes are called *clouds*.

If X is a metric space, we denote the corresponding cloud by $[X]$. By Δ_1 we denote a metric space that consists of one point. Therefore, $[\Delta_1]$ is the cloud consisting of all bounded metric spaces.

Definition 2.9. By Ult we denote the subclass of $[\Delta_1]$ consisting of all classes of metric spaces on the zero Gromov–Hausdorff distance from some bounded ultrametric space.

Definition 2.10. The subclass A of a cloud $[X]$ is called *closed*, if and only if, for every $Y \in [X] \setminus A$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $U_r(Y) \subset [X] \setminus A$.

2.2 Ultrametrization mapping and dotted connected metric spaces

Definition 2.11. A metric space (X, d_X) is called *ultrametric*, if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the strengthened ultrametric triangle inequality holds, namely, $d_X(x, z) \leq \max\{d_X(x, y), d_X(y, z)\}$.

In the paper [6] the following construction was first considered

Definition 2.12. For a metric space (X, d_X) , consider the pseudo ultrametric space (X, u_X) where $u_X: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$(x, x') \rightarrow u_X(x, x') := \inf \left\{ \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d_X(x_i, x_{i+1}) : x = x_0, \dots, x_n = x' \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Now, define $\mathbf{U}(X)$ to be the quotient metric space induced by (X, u_X) under the equivalence: $x \sim x'$ if and only if $u_X(x, x') = 0$.

The following result was first introduced and proved in [6] for finite metric spaces, and then formulated in [13] for bounded metric spaces.

Theorem 2.2 ([6], [13]). *For all bounded metric spaces X and Y , the following inequality holds*

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) \geq d_{GH}(\mathbf{U}(X), \mathbf{U}(Y)).$$

Definition 2.13. We call a metric space X *dotted connected* if, for arbitrary points $x, x' \in X$ and for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist points $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_n = x'$ in X such that

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |x_i x_{i+1}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Example 2.1. Note that every path-connected metric space X is dotted connected.

Proof. Indeed, take arbitrary $x, x' \in X$. Since X is path-connected, there exists a continuous mapping $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = x'$. Since γ is continuous, $[0, 1]$ is compact, γ is also uniformly continuous. Hence, for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\gamma(t)\gamma(t')| \leq \varepsilon$ if $|tt'| \leq \delta$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < |\delta|$. Then let $x_0 = x, x_1 = \gamma(\frac{1}{N}), \dots, x_N = x'$. By construction, $|x_j x_{j+1}| \leq \varepsilon$, for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. \square

Remark 2.1. We need the following simple observation: for an arbitrary dotted connected metric space X , we have $\mathbf{U}(X) = \Delta_1$.

2.3 Kuratowski embedding

Let X be an arbitrary metric space. By $C_b(X)$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded continuous real-valued functions on X , endowed with the sup-norm.

Theorem 2.3 ([10]). *For an arbitrary metric space X and a fixed point $x_0 \in X$, the mapping*

$$\Phi: X \rightarrow C_b(X), \Phi(x)(y) = d_X(x, y) - d_X(x_0, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

is isometric.

Construction 2.1. Consider an arbitrary metric space X and an arbitrary $t \geq 0$. Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow C_b(X)$ be the Kuratowski embedding. We add to $\Phi(X)$ all the segments in $C_b(X)$ with endpoints $\Phi(x), \Phi(y)$ such that $d_X(x, y) \leq t$. By $D_t(X)$ we denote the resulting subset of $C_b(X)$ endowed with the induced metric.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a metric space. (i) If $\text{diam } \mathbf{U}(X) < c < \infty$, then the metric space $D_c(X)$ is path-connected; (ii) For an arbitrary $t \geq 0$ the following inequality holds $d_{GH}(X, D_t(X)) \leq \frac{t}{2}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow C_b(X)$ be the Kuratowski embedding. Since every point from $D_c(X) \setminus \Phi(X)$ belongs to a segment with endpoints in $\Phi(X)$, it suffices to show that every pair of points $p, q \in \Phi(X)$ can be connected by a polygonal line that lies in $D_c(X)$ with all its edges. Since $\text{diam } \mathbf{U}(X) < c$, it follows that there exist $x_0 = \Phi^{-1}(p), x_1, \dots, x_n = \Phi^{-1}(q)$ such that

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} d_X(x_j, x_{j+1}) \leq c.$$

Since Kuratowski embedding is isometric, we have

$$d_{C_b(X)}(\Phi(x_j), \Phi(x_{j+1})) = d_X(x_j, x_{j+1}).$$

Therefore, by construction of $D_c(X)$, there is a polygonal line in $D_c(X)$ with vertices $\Phi(x_0) = p, \Phi(x_1), \dots, \Phi(x_n) = q$ that connects $\Phi(x_0)$ with $\Phi(x_n)$ and belongs to $D_c(X)$ with all its edges.

(ii) Consider the following correspondence R between X and $D_t(X)$:

$$R = \left\{ (x, q) : d_{C_b(X)}(\Phi(x), q) \leq \frac{t}{2}, x \in X, q \in D_t(X) \right\}.$$

For any $(x, q), (x', q') \in R$, by triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_X(x, x') - d_{D_t(X)}(q, q')| &= |d_{D_t(X)}(\Phi(x), \Phi(x')) - d_{D_t(X)}(q, q')| \leq \\ &\leq d_{D_t(X)}(\Phi(x), q) + d_{D_t(X)}(\Phi(x'), q') \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{dis } R \leq t$. Claim 2.1 implies that $d_{GH}(X, D_t(X)) \leq \frac{t}{2}$. \square

3 Main results

We start with a simple observation that Theorem 2.2 can be easily generalized to the case of arbitrary metric spaces with a proof nearly identical to the one for finite metric spaces from [6].

Theorem 3.1. *For all metric spaces X and Y , the following inequality holds*

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) \geq d_{GH}(\mathbf{U}(X), \mathbf{U}(Y)).$$

Proof. Let $R \subset X \times Y$ be a correspondence, such that $\text{dis } R = c \leq 2 d_{GH}(X, Y) + \varepsilon$. Choose arbitrary $(x, y), (x', y') \in R$. For every $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exist points $x_0 = x, \dots, x_n = x'$ in X such that $\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |x_i x_{i+1}| \leq u_X(x, x') + \varepsilon'$. Now let points $y_0 = y, y_1, \dots, y_n = y'$ be such that $(x_j, y_j) \in R$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then, by definition of distortion, for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, the inequalities hold

$$|y_j y_{j+1}| \leq |x_j x_{j+1}| + c \leq u_X(x, x') + c + \varepsilon'.$$

Hence, $u_Y(y, y') \leq u_X(x, x') + c + \varepsilon'$. Since ε' is arbitrary, we conclude that $u_Y(y, y') \leq u_X(x, x') + c$. Applying the same argument to $R^{-1} \subset Y \times X$, we obtain $u_X(x, x') \leq u_Y(y, y') + c$. Thus, $|u_X(x, x') - u_Y(y, y')| \leq c$. It means that, for a correspondence R between (X, u_X) and (Y, u_Y) , its distortion does not exceed the value c and, therefore, the value $2 d_{GH}(X, Y) + \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, by Claim 2.1 we obtain that $d_{GH}(\mathbf{U}(X), \mathbf{U}(Y)) \leq d_{GH}(X, Y)$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *The mapping $X \mapsto \mathbf{U}(X)$ induces a mapping of clouds $[X] \rightarrow [\mathbf{U}(X)]$.*

Now we list a few simple properties of the mapping \mathbf{U} .

Theorem 3.2. (i) *For an arbitrary ultrametric space Y , we have $\mathbf{U}(Y) = Y$.*

(ii) *Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be arbitrary metric spaces. Let ρ be a fair metric on $X \times Y$ such that, for all $p = (x, y), p' = (x', y') \in X \times Y$,*

$$\rho(p, p') \geq \max\{d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{U}(X \times_\rho Y) = \mathbf{U}(X) \times_{\ell^\infty} \mathbf{U}(Y)$.

Proof. (i) Take arbitrary $x, x' \in Y$. We have to show that $u_Y(x, x') = |xx'|$. Since $u_Y(x, x') \leq |xx'|$, it suffices to prove that, for arbitrary $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_n = x'$, we have $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j x_{j+1}| \geq |xx'|$. We proceed by induction. For $n = 1$, the statement turns into an identity. Let us prove the induction step. By the ultrametric triangle inequality, $\max\{|x_{n-2}x_{n-1}|, |x_{n-1}x_n|\} \geq |x_{n-2}x_n|$. Hence,

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j x_{j+1}| \geq \max\left\{\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-3} |x_j x_{j+1}|, |x_{n-2}x_n|\right\} \geq |xx'|,$$

where the latter inequality is true by induction.

(ii) Choose $p = (x, y), p' = (x', y') \in X \times Y$. We have to show that

$$u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') = \max\{u_X(x, x'), u_Y(y, y')\}.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $p_0 = (x_0, y_0) = (x, y), p_1 = (x_1, y_1), \dots, p_n = (x_n, y_n) = (x', y')$ such that

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \rho(p_j, p_{j+1}) \leq u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\rho(p_j, p_{j+1}) \geq \max\{d_X(x_j, x_{j+1}), d_Y(y_j, y_{j+1})\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} d_X(x_j, x_{j+1}) &\leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \rho(p_j, p_{j+1}) \leq u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') + \varepsilon, \\ \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} d_Y(y_j, y_{j+1}) &\leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \rho(p_j, p_{j+1}) \leq u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u_X(x, x') &\leq u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') + \varepsilon, \\ u_Y(y, y') &\leq u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, by tending ε to 0 we obtain

$$\max\{u_X(x, x'), u_Y(y, y')\} \leq u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p').$$

Now we will prove the opposite inequality.

Choose $\varepsilon > 0, x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_n = x'$ and $y_0 = y, y_1, \dots, y_m = y'$ such that

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} d_X(x_j, x_{j+1}) \leq u_X(x, x') + \varepsilon,$$

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} d_Y(y_j, y_{j+1}) \leq u_Y(y, y') + \varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality suppose that $n > m$. In this case we put $y_{m+1} = \dots = y_n = y'$.

Now consider the following polygonal line in $X \times Y$:

$$p_0 = (x_0, y_0), p_1 = (x_0, y_1), p_2 = (x_1, y_1), \dots, p_{2i} = (x_i, y_i), p_{2i+1} = (x_i, y_{i+1}), \\ \dots, p_{2n-1} = (x_{n-1}, y_n), p_{2n} = (x_n, y_n).$$

Since metric ρ on $X \times Y$ is fair, we observe that

$$\rho(p_j, p_{j+1}) = \begin{cases} d_X(x_k, x_{k+1}) & \text{if } j = 2k + 1, k = 0, \dots, n-1 \\ d_Y(y_k, y_{k+1}) & \text{if } j = 2k, k = 0, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by definition of $u_{X \times_\rho Y}$, we have

$$u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq 2n-1} \rho(p_j, p_{j+1}) \leq \\ \leq \max \left\{ \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} d_X(x_k, x_{k+1}), \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} d_Y(y_k, y_{k+1}) \right\} \leq \\ \leq \max \{u_X(x, x'), u_Y(y, y')\} + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, by tending ε to 0 we obtain the required inequality

$$u_{X \times_\rho Y}(p, p') \leq \max \{u_X(x, x'), u_Y(y, y')\}.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *For an arbitrary dotted connected metric space A and an arbitrary metric space X , we have $\mathbf{U}(X \times A) = \mathbf{U}(X)$.*

Lemma 3.1. *For an arbitrary metric space A , the mapping $p: X \mapsto X \times A$, $X \in [\Delta_1]$ is a 1-Lipschitz mapping from $[\Delta_1]$ to $[A]$.*

Proof. Since $\text{diam } X < \infty$ for all $X \in [\Delta_1]$, it follows that $d_{GH}(X \times A, A) < \infty$. Thus, p maps $[\Delta_1]$ into some subclass of $[A]$.

Let $X, Y \in [\Delta_1]$, $d_{GH}(X, Y) = c$. By Claim 2.1, for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a correspondence $R \subset X \times Y$ such that $\text{dis } R \leq 2c + \varepsilon$. Consider a correspondence

$$S = \left\{ ((x, a), (y, a)) : (x, y) \in R, a \in A \right\}$$

between $X \times A$ and $Y \times A$. Its distortion equals $\text{dis } R$. Hence, $2 d_{GH}(X \times A, Y \times A) \leq 2c + \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, $d_{GH}(X \times A, Y \times A) \leq d_{GH}(X, Y)$. □

Theorem 3.3. (i) *The subclass $\text{Ult} \subset [\Delta_1]$ is closed.*

(ii) *For an arbitrary dotted connected metric space A , the restriction to Ult of the mapping $p: [\Delta_1] \rightarrow [A]$, $X \mapsto X \times A$ from Lemma 3.1 is isometric.*

Proof. (i) Take an arbitrary bounded metric space X that is not ultrametric. It contains points x, y, z such that $|xz| > \max\{|xy|, |yz|\}$. Let $t = |xz| - \max\{|xy|, |yz|\}$.

It suffices to prove that $U_{t/4}(X) \subset [\Delta_1] \setminus \text{Ult}$.

Take an arbitrary bounded metric space Y such that $d_{GH}(Y, X) < \frac{t}{4}$. By Claim 2.1, there exists a correspondence $R \subset X \times Y$ such that $\text{dis } R < \frac{t}{2}$. Choose $x' \in R(x), y' \in R(y), z' \in R(z)$. Then

$$|x'z'| > |xz| - \frac{t}{2} = \max\{|xy|, |yz|\} + \frac{t}{2} > \max\{|x'y'|, |y'z'|\}.$$

Therefore, $Y \in [\Delta_1] \setminus \text{Ult}$. Hence, Ult is closed in $[\Delta_1]$ by definition.

(ii) Take arbitrary ultrametric spaces $U, U' \in [\Delta_1]$. Since A is dotted connected, by Theorem 3.2, we have $\mathbf{U}(U) = \mathbf{U}(A \times U), \mathbf{U}(U') = \mathbf{U}(A \times U')$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$d_{GH}(U, U') \geq d_{GH}(A \times U, A \times U') \geq d_{GH}(\mathbf{U}(A \times U), \mathbf{U}(A \times U')) = d_{GH}(U, U').$$

Therefore, $d_{GH}(U, U') = d_{GH}(A \times U, A \times U')$.

□

Theorem 3.4. (i) *If a cloud $[X]$ contains a dotted connected metric space A , then for every $B \in [X]$ it holds $\text{diam } \mathbf{U}(B) < \infty$.*

(ii) *If there is a metric space $A \in [X]$ such that $\text{diam } \mathbf{U}(A) < \infty$, then there exists a path-connected metric space in $[X]$.*

Proof. (i) By Remark 2.1, $\mathbf{U}(A) = \Delta_1$. Hence, by Corollary 3.1, $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [\Delta_1]$.

(ii) Let $c = \text{diam } \mathbf{U}(A)$. According to Lemma 2.1, $G = D_c(A)$ possesses all the required properties.

□

Corollary 3.3. (i) *If a cloud $[X]$ contains an ultrametric space, then $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [X]$.*

(ii) *If a cloud $[X]$ consists of unbounded metric spaces and contains a dotted connected metric space, then $[X]$ does not contain any ultrametric spaces.*

(iii) *If a cloud $[X]$ contains an unbounded ultrametric space, then there are not any dotted connected metric spaces in $[X]$.*

Proof. (i) Let $Y \in [X]$ be an ultrametric space. By Theorem 3.2, $\mathbf{U}(Y) = Y$. Hence, by Corollary 3.1, $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [X]$.

(ii) Suppose $[X]$ contains an ultrametric space. Then, by (i), $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [X]$. However, by Theorem 3.4, $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [\Delta_1]$. Since X is unbounded, that is a contradiction.

(iii) If $[X]$ contains a dotted connected space, by Theorem 3.4, we have $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [\Delta_1]$. However, by (i), $\mathbf{U}([X]) \subset [X]$. Since X contains an unbounded metric space, that is a contradiction. □

Example 3.1. For an arbitrary $p > 1$, consider a geometric progression $q_p = \{p^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with the metric induced from \mathbb{R} . Note that $\mathbf{U}(q_p)$ is unbounded. Hence, $[q_p]$ does not contain any dotted connected metric spaces.

References

- [1] S. A. Bogaty, A. A. Tuzhilin, *Gromov–Hausdorff class: its completeness and cloud geometry*, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:2110.06101, 2021.
- [2] S. I. Bogataya, S. A. Bogatyy, *Isometric stabilizers of clouds*, *Topology and its applications*, 329:108381 (2023)
- [3] S. I. Bogataya, S. A. Bogatyy, V. V. Redkozubov, A. A. Tuzhilin, *Clouds in Gromov–Hausdorff Class: their completeness and centers*, *Topology and its applications*, 329:108374 (2023)
- [4] S. I. Borzov, A. O. Ivanov, A. A. Tuzhilin, *Extendability of Metric Segments in Gromov–Hausdorff Distance*, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:2009.00458. (2020)
- [5] D. Burago, Yu. Burago, S. Ivanov, *A Course in Metric Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 33, AMS, 2001.
- [6] G. E. Carlsson, F. Memoli, *Characterization, stability and convergence of hierarchical clustering methods*, *J. Mach. Learn.*, vol. 11, № 47, 1425–1470, 2010.
- [7] M. Gromov, *Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes*, Textes Math. 1 (1981).
- [8] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Birkhäuser (1999).
- [9] D. A. Herron, *Gromov–Hausdorff Distance for Pointed Metric Spaces*, *J. Anal.*, 2016, v. 24, N 1, pp. 1–38.
- [10] C. Kuratowski, *Quelques problèmes concernant les espaces métriques non-séparables*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 25: pp. 534–545. (1935)
- [11] I. N. Mikhailov, *Gromov–Hausdorff distances between normed spaces*, arXiv:2407.01388, 2024 (in print).
- [12] I. N. Mikhailov, A. A. Tuzhilin, *When the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between finite-dimensional space and its subset is finite?*, *Commun. Math. Res.*, 2024 (accepted to appear in Vol. 41)
- [13] Sunhyuk Lim, Facundo Memoli, Zane Smith, *The Gromov–Hausdorff distance between spheres*, 2022, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:2105.00611v5.
- [14] T. Talipov, *The Gromov–Hausdorff Distance between Vertex Sets of Regular Polygons Inscribed in a Single Circle*, *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, **78**, 130–135 (2023).
- [15] A. A. Tuzhilin, *Lectures on Hausdorff and Gromov–Hausdorff distance geometry*, arXiv:2012.00756, 2019.