

HODGE DECOMPOSITION OF L_2 -COHOMOLOGY AND INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY OF A SHIMURA VARIETY

EDUARD LOOIJENGA

ABSTRACT. Classical Hodge theory endows the square integrable cohomology of a Shimura variety X with values in a locally homogeneous polarized variation of Hodge structure \mathbb{E} over it with a natural Hodge decomposition. The theory of Morihiko Saito does the same for the intersection cohomology of its Baily-Borel compactification with values in \mathbb{E} . Existing proofs of the Zucker conjecture identify these cohomology groups, but do not claim this for their Hodge decompositions. We show that the proof given in [2] yields that as well.

INTRODUCTION

The Zucker conjecture states that for a Shimura variety X its square integrable ($=L_2$) cohomology is naturally isomorphic with the intersection cohomology of its Baily-Borel compactification X^* and that this is even true if instead of constant coefficients we let these cohomology groups take their values in one of the polarized variations of Hodge structure \mathbb{E} that are naturally defined over such a variety: $H_{(2)}^*(X, \mathbb{E}) \cong \mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{E})$. This conjecture was settled a long time ago ([1], [5], [2]), yet one aspect remained open. Each side of the isomorphism comes with its Hodge decomposition: the representation of L_2 -cohomology by harmonic forms define a Hodge decomposition of $H_{(2)}^*(X, \mathbb{E})$ and the theory of Morihiko Saito [3] puts one on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{E})$, but it was not established that under this isomorphism the two coincide. The purpose of this note is to show that this is the case.

The proofs of the Zucker conjecture mentioned above took a local form, namely as an equality in the derived category of bounded below complexes of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on X^* . The refinement which we prove here is of a similar nature, the derived category in question now being one of filtered complexes (which encodes in a local manner the Hodge filtration and so the cohomology sheaves of successive quotients will in general not be locally constant, but rather coherent \mathcal{O}_{X^*} -modules). Our main tool are the local Hecke operators that were introduced in [1] and further developed in [2] with the express goal to prove the Zucker conjecture as stated above. Indeed, we will show that a minor modification can do the same job in a

filtered setting. Admittedly, this could well have been done at the time, because the underlying technique was then already available.

1. HODGE MODULES

Let X be a complex manifold of dimension m and \mathbb{E} a polarizable variation of Hodge structures on X of weight w . We here think of \mathbb{E} as local system of finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces which contains a lattice (this guarantees that the monodromy can be given by integral matrices and is quasi-unipotent when restricted to a punctured disk), but we do not consider that lattice as part of the data. Recall that then $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}$ comes with a Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \mathcal{E}$ (a holomorphic vector bundle with a flag of holomorphic subbundles) such that we have Griffiths transversality: the flat connection $\nabla = d \otimes 1_{\mathbb{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} takes $\mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{E}$ to $\Omega_X^1(\mathcal{F}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}) := \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}$. This derivation extends to an operator of degree 1 in $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) = \Omega_M^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{E}$ whose square is zero giving the holomorphic De Rham resolution of \mathbb{E} ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{E}), \nabla),$$

which takes $\mathcal{F}^p \Omega_X^r(\mathcal{E})$ to $\mathcal{F}^{p-1} \Omega_X^{r+1}(\mathcal{E})$.

It is clear that \mathbb{E} determines an object of $D_c^b(X, \mathbb{Q})$, the derived category of constructible \mathbb{Q}_X -modules with bounded cohomology. We consider the filtered \mathcal{O}_X -module $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet \mathcal{E})$ as a filtered \mathcal{D}_X -module (here \mathcal{D}_X stands for the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, itself filtered by order). It thus determines an object of a derived category of filtered \mathcal{D}_X -modules: if we ignore the filtering, then the two are connected by the De Rham resolution of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{E}$ above, which tells us that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and its ‘Riemann-Hilbert companion’, the \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{E} , have the same image in $D_c^b(X, \mathbb{C})$. The Hodge filtration presents itself as the ‘stupid filtration’ of the De Rham resolution, namely by the subcomplexes

$$\mathcal{F}^p \Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) := (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \cdots).$$

No information is lost by passing to these derived objects: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}$ endowed with the Hodge filtration on \mathcal{E} can be recovered from the latter by passing to cohomology (concentrated in degree zero), and the identification of the underlying object of $D_c^b(X, \mathbb{C})$ with the complexification of one of $D_c^b(X, \mathbb{Q})$ gives us $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, thereby returning the original variation of Hodge structure over X .

This exemplifies the notion of a *Hodge module* in its most primitive form (see [3], [4]), at least if we make a degree shift over m . Indeed, the notion of Hodge module generalizes this as an object over a complex variety X^* . A Hodge module has an ingredient a particular type of element of $D_c^b(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$, called a *perverse sheaf* (which some authors now call a *hypersheaf*). A

form of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence asserts that the complexification of such perverse sheaf is representable by a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module (via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, such \mathcal{D}_X -modules define an alternate triangulated structure on $D_c^b(X^*, \mathbb{C})$) and an additional piece of data consists of a filtering of a De Rham resolution of the latter and represents the Hodge filtration.

These Hodge modules are the objects of an abelian category $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$. They have as their building blocks the so-called *intermediate extensions* of (degree shifted) polarizable variations of Hodge structures. To make this precise, assume that X^* is irreducible and that X comes as the complement of a proper Zariski closed subset $Y: X^* = X \setminus Y$. If $j: X \subset X^*$ stands for the inclusion, then the intermediate extension $j_{!*}\mathbb{E}[m]$ of $\mathbb{E}[m]$ is an object of $\mathrm{MF}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. It gets its name from the fact that we have a natural factorization in the category $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$

$$j_!\mathbb{E}[m] \rightarrow j_{!*}\mathbb{E}[m] \rightarrow j_*\mathbb{E}[m]$$

and can be considered in the abelian category $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$ as an image: it has the property (which almost characterizes it) that it has no $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$ -subquotients supported by a proper subvariety. The corresponding extension of \mathbb{E} without the shift is the intersection complex $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$. One of Saito's theorems implies that if X^* is projective, then $\mathrm{IH}^k(X^*; \mathbb{E}) := \mathrm{H}^k(X^*, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}))$ has a natural polarizable Hodge structure of weight $w + k$.

Complexifying a Hodge module means that we ignore the perverse sheaf in $D_c^b(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$ that gives it its \mathbb{Q} -structure, so that we are just left with a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module with a certain type of filtration. We denote the category thus obtained (so as a quotient of $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{Q})$) by $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{C})$.

In the rest of this note, we work with the Hausdorff topology, unless stated otherwise.

Recall that the holomorphic De Rham complex of X has a fine (and hence soft) resolution by the smooth De Rham complex: the sheaf \mathcal{A}_X of \mathbb{C} -valued C^∞ -functions is a soft sheaf on X (it admits partitions of unity) and the bigraded C^∞ -De Rham complex $\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}$ (with $\mathcal{A}_X^{0,0} = \mathcal{A}_X$) is a resolution of the holomorphic De Rham complex Ω_X^\bullet (and hence of \mathbb{C}_X) by \mathcal{A}_X -modules. Hence it is soft as a complex of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Similarly,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\bullet,\bullet} := \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} = \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$$

is a soft resolution of the complex $\Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ (and hence of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$) by \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Note that each term comes with a bigrading (given by the second tensor factor). Then $\Omega^p(\mathcal{F}^{p'}\mathcal{E})$ is resolved by $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{F}^{p'}\mathcal{E})$. It follows that the thus filtered De Rham complex represents $\mathcal{F}^\bullet\mathcal{E}$ as an element of $\mathrm{MF}(X, \mathbb{C})[-m]$.

If we insist on an injective resolution, then we can take for each summand its Godement resolution.

Representation by square integrable forms. Suppose that \mathbb{E} has been polarized and that X is endowed with a Kähler metric which is complete relative to the inclusion $j : X \subset X^*$, by which we mean that every compact subset of X^* meets X is a metrically complete set. The Hodge star operator defines an anti-linear map $\star : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(U, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{m-p, m-q}(U, \mathbb{E})$ such that the hermitian pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(U, \mathbb{E}) \times \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(U, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{m,m}(U),$$

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\sqrt{-1})^{w+m} (1 \otimes \psi) \alpha \cup \star \beta$$

is positive in the sense that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$ with respect to the orientation. This defines a notion of square integrability for sections of $\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$: $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^k(U, \mathbb{E})$ is *square integrable* if $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ is integrable over U . The forms $\mathcal{A}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$ that are together with its image under ∇ are square integrable make up a subcomplex $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$ of the De Rham complex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$. Its cohomology, denoted $H_{(2)}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$, is called the *square integrable cohomology* of \mathbb{E} . Zucker observed in [6] that if the Kähler metric on X is complete and the square integrable cohomology of \mathbb{E} is finite dimensional, then the classical Hodge theory remains valid in this (possibly) noncompact setting: the space of harmonic forms (being the forms that are closed and coclosed) maps isomorphically onto $H_{(2)}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$. The bigrading subsists and defines a Hodge structure on $H_{(2)}^k(X, \mathbb{E})$ of weight $k + w$. It follows that the spectral sequence for the Hodge filtration

$$H_{(2)}^q(X, \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^p \Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{E})) \Rightarrow H_{(2)}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$$

degenerates on this page and endows $H_{(2)}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{E})$ with its Hodge filtration (note that $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^p \Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{p'+p''=p} \Omega_X^{p'}(\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{p''} \mathcal{E})$ and that its cohomology is represented by harmonic forms).

By assigning to an open subset U of X^* the subcomplex of $\mathcal{A}^\bullet(U \cap X, \mathbb{E})$ consisting of forms that are together with their image under ∇ square integrable, we have defined a presheaf on X^* . Note that the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ to X is $\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$. We regard $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ as a sheaf complex filtered by the Hodge filtration:

$$\mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}) \cap j_* \mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{E}^\bullet.$$

It is fine if there exist partitions of unity subordinate of a given covering which are square integrable and have a square integrable differential. This implies that it is soft (every section over a closed subset extends globally).

Our goal is to give conditions that imply that this Hodge filtered complex is an incarnation of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \in \text{MF}(X, \mathbb{C})[-m]$. Our point of departure is

the following observation (which, in view of Zucker's harmonic representation is merely a tautology).

Observation 1.1. *Suppose that X^* is complex projective, the Kähler metric is complete and that $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ is a complex of soft \mathcal{O}_{X^*} -modules. If the complex endowed with its Hodge filtration represents $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as an element of $\mathrm{MF}(X, \mathbb{C})[-m]$, then the isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_{(2)}^k(X, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{IH}^k(X^*, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ takes the Hodge decomposition of $\mathrm{H}_{(2)}^k(X, \mathbb{E})$ defined by its harmonic representation to the Hodge decomposition of $\mathrm{IH}^k(X^*, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ defined by the Hodge module interpretation.*

2. THE CASE OF A SHIMURA VARIETY

We want to apply this to the case X when is a connected component of a Shimura variety. The associated set of Shimura data involves a reductive \mathbb{Q} -group \mathcal{G} and an arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. We assume Γ to be neat so that X as well as the Baily-Borel strata of X are smooth. Then X inherits a complete Kähler metric from $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$. We take for X^* the minimal (Satake, Baily-Borel) compactification of X . We also assume given a \mathbb{Q} -representation E of \mathcal{G} . This gives rise to a polarizable variation of Hodge structure \mathbb{E} over X with fiber E .

Zucker showed that each $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^k(\mathbb{E})$ is fine and hence soft. A local form of his conjecture (proved in different ways in [1], [5], [2]) asserts that $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ is an incarnation of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $D_c^b(X^*, \mathbb{C})$. This implies its global, original version, which says that $\mathrm{H}_{(2)}^k(X, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{IH}^k(X^*, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$, but does not imply that the harmonic Hodge structure equals Saito's Hodge structure. The above proposition shows that this requires that we establish a *filtered* local version of the Zucker conjecture in the sense that $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ with its Hodge filtration is a cohomological Hodge complex in the sense of Deligne and represents $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ in $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{C})[-m]$. The main result of this note says that this is the case:

Theorem 2.1. *In the Shimura setting, the hypotheses of Observation 1.1 are satisfied: $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ is a soft \mathcal{O}_{X^*} -module and the Hodge filtered complex $\mathcal{L}_{X^*,(2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ represents $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as an element of $\mathrm{MF}(X^*, \mathbb{C})[-m]$. In particular, the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}_{(2)}^k(X, \mathbb{E})$ defined by its harmonic representatives is also the one on $\mathrm{IH}^k(X^*, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ obtained via its Hodge module interpretation.*

For the proof we need to briefly review the approach to the proof of the Zucker conjecture taken in [2]. It involves an inductive procedure, which begins with taking just X and then successively add boundary strata of increasing codimension. That is why in the following we assume given

a Baily-Borel stratum S (which is smooth because we assumed Γ to be neat) and a neighborhood U of S in X^* and that the theorem has been established on $U \setminus S$, i.e., that the Hodge filtered complex $\mathcal{L}_{U \setminus S, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ is one by filtered sheaves of soft \mathcal{O}_{X^*} -modules and represents $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $\text{MF}(U \setminus S, \mathbb{C})[-m]$ ⁽¹⁾.

By taking U small enough, there is a natural retraction morphism $\pi : U \rightarrow S$ for which $(U, U \cap Y)$ is topologically locally trivial over S (recall that $Y := X^* \setminus X$) with the property that there exist local trivializations that are quasi-isometries when restricted to their intersection with U . We denote by $i_S : S \subset U$ and $j_S : U \setminus S \subset U$ the inclusions.

It is proved in [2], Theorem 3.2 of [2], that in this situation there exists (a) an integer $q > 1$, (b) an *open* morphism $f : U \rightarrow U$ that is finite of degree $q^{\text{codim } S}$ onto its image which commutes with the retraction π (and so fixes S pointwise) and preserves the strata and (c) an isomorphism of polarized Hodge structures $\varphi : \mathbb{E}|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} f^{-1}\mathbb{E}|_U$ satisfying the properties below. On order to state these, we note that our assumptions make the pair (f, φ) acts on $R^k i_S^* j_{S^*} \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$.

- (i) the pair $(f, q^w \varphi)$ acts on $R^k i_S^* \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ with q -weights $\neq \text{codim } S$ in the sense that its eigenvalues have absolute value $\neq q^{k/2}$,
- (ii) The complexes $i_S^* \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_U^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ resp. $i_S^! \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_U^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ are represented by q -weight truncations of $i_S^* j_{S^*} \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$, namely by taking there the q -weights $< \text{codim } S$ resp. $> \text{codim } S$.

So to be somewhat more concrete: if we are given an injective filtered complex \mathcal{I}^\bullet on $X \setminus U$ endowed with a lift $\varphi_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I}^\bullet \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ which respects the filtration and which represents the pair $(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}), \varphi)$, then if we replace the stalks of $j_{S^*} \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ at S by the part where $(f, q^w \varphi_{\mathcal{I}})$ acts its eigenvalues have absolute value $< q^{\text{codim } S}$, we get a subcomplex that we shall denote by $j_{S^*}^- \mathcal{I}^\bullet \subset j_{S^*} \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ and which represents $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_U^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as an element of $\text{MF}(U, \mathbb{C})[-m]$ and its natural homomorphism in the Hodge module category to $j_{S^*} \mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$. This remains true if the complex \mathcal{I}^\bullet is soft, rather than injective. It is shown in [1] and [2] that $\mathcal{L}_{U, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ has these properties. We make this more explicit below.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof given in [2] is of an inductive nature with the induction assumption allowing us to assume that it has been established that $\mathcal{L}_{U \setminus S, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E})$ is a soft sheaf complex which represents $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $D_c^b(U, \mathbb{C})$. It is shown in *op. cit.* that for every relatively compact open ball $V \subset S$ and all i , the inclusions

$$f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V \subset f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+2} \mathring{U}_V \supset (f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+2} \mathring{U}_V$$

¹Although U is need not be quasi-projective, the notion of Hodge module on U still makes sense.

(where $\mathring{U}_V := X \cap \pi^{-1}V$) induce isomorphisms on L_2 -cohomology. Since f preserves the Kähler metric, it then follows that this induces an endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbf{H}_{(2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) &\cong \mathbf{H}_{(2)}^k(f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+2} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \\ &\xrightarrow{(f, q^v \varphi)^*} \mathbf{H}_{(2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned}$$

Our induction assumption implies that in the display above we may replace $\mathbf{H}_{(2)}^k$ by \mathbf{IH}^k , so that in particular,

- (i) no eigenvalue of Φ has absolute value $q^{k/2}$,
- (ii) the restriction map

$$\mathbf{H}_{(2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{(2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

is injective with image the part where Φ acts with eigenvalue of absolute value $< q^{k/2}$, and dually, if $\mathbf{H}_{\pi, (2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ stands for the cohomology of the subcomplex of $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^\bullet(f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with proper support over V , then

$$\mathbf{H}_{\pi, (2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\pi, (2)}^k(f^i \mathring{U}_V \setminus f^{i+1} \mathring{U}_V, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

is injective with image the part where Φ acts with eigenvalue of absolute value $> q^{k/2}$.

Since our induction assumption is that $\mathcal{L}_{U \setminus S, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ represents $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$, the preceding shows that the q -truncation $\tilde{j}_{S*} \mathcal{L}_{U \setminus S, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset j_{S*} \mathcal{L}_{U \setminus S, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ represents $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{C}_U^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow j_{S*} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{C}_{U \setminus S}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $\mathbf{MF}(U, \mathbb{C})[-m]$.

The discussion above tells us that $i_S^* \tilde{j}_{S*} \mathcal{L}_{U \setminus S, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset i_S^* \mathcal{L}_{U, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and that this inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism of filtered complexes. Hence $\mathcal{L}_{U, (2)}^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ represents $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{C}_U^\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $\mathbf{MF}(U, \mathbb{C})[-m]$. This completes the induction step. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Looijenga: *L²-cohomology of locally symmetric varieties*, *Compositio Math.* **67** (1988), 3–20. [1](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [2] E. Looijenga, M. Rapoport: *Weights in the local cohomology of a Baily-Borel compactification*, in *Complex geometry and Lie theory*. 223–260, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991. [1](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [3] M. Saito: *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **24** (1988), 849–995. [1](#), [2](#)
- [4] M. Saito: *A young person’s guide to mixed Hodge modules*, in *Hodge theory and L₂-analysis*, 517–553, *Adv. Lect. Math.* **39**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2017. [2](#)
- [5] L. Saper, M. Stern: *L₂-cohomology of arithmetic varieties*, *Ann. of Math.* **132** (1990), 1–69. [1](#), [5](#)
- [6] S. Zucker: *L₂-cohomology of warped products and arithmetic groups*, *Invent. Math.* **70** (1982/83), 169–218. [4](#)

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO (USA) AND MATHEMATISCH INSTITUUT,
UNIVERSITEIT UTRECHT (NEDERLAND)

Email address: e.j.n.looijenga@uu.nl