

# RATIONALITY AND CATEGORICAL PROPERTIES OF THE MODULI OF INSTANTON BUNDLES ON THE PROJECTIVE 3-SPACE

MIHAI HALIC, ROSHAN TAJAROD

ABSTRACT. We prove the rationality and irreducibility of the moduli space of mathematical instanton vector bundles of arbitrary rank and charge on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . In particular, the result applies to the rank-2 case. This problem was first studied by Barth, Hartshorne, Hirschowitz-Narasimhan in the late 1970s. We also show that the mathematical instantons of variable rank and charge form a monoidal category. The proof is based on an in-depth analysis of the Barth-Hulek monad-construction and on a detailed description of the moduli space of (framed and unframed) stable bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces.

## INTRODUCTION

The interest in rank-2 instanton bundles on the three-dimensional projective space (with Chern classes  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = n$ ) has its origins in the articles of Atiyah *et al.* [1, 2, 3], Barth-Hulek [4], Drinfel'd-Manin [19], and Hartshorne [25, 27], which in turn were motivated by work of 't Hooft [29] and Polyakov [37]. The geometry of their moduli spaces, such as smoothness and irreducibility, has been intensively investigated: see [26, 5, 18, 38, 13, 32, 40] for the rank-2 case and [11, 12, 23, 33, 34] for generalizations to higher rank bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

The issue regarding the rationality of the moduli spaces of instanton bundles was raised by Hartshorne [25], and turned out to be a difficult problem. So far, it's known that these varieties are rational for rank-2 and charge  $n = 2, 4, 5$ , due to works of Hirschowitz-Narasimhan [28], Ellingsrud-Strømme [21], and Katsylo [35]; for  $n \geq 6$ , the issue remained open, in spite of recent efforts [41, 42]. Beorchia-Franco [8] proved that the moduli space of 't Hooft instantons –those which possess a section at the first twist– is irreducible and rational. Let us emphasize that the techniques are specific to the rank-two case.

The goal in here is to address the rationality issue mentioned above in *arbitrary rank*. Most of the literature is dedicated to the rank-2 case, but non-abelian gauge theories for  $SU(r)$  are frequent in physics. Also, the ADHM-construction and the Penrose transform relating Hermitian vector bundles with self-dual connections over the sphere  $S^4$ , on one hand, to certain holomorphic vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , on the other hand, applies in this general setting [1, 2]. For this reason we believe that our *unified treatment* of the *arbitrary rank case* yields additional interest to this article.

Let us precise that our definition of mathematical instantons assumes the *existence of a trivializing line*; rank-2, semi-stable vector bundles with  $c_1 = 0$  automatically satisfy this condition. The first, possibly unexpected, result is that the instanton-property of vector bundles (of variable rank and charge) is closed under tensor product.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M20, 14D20, 14J60, 14D21.

*Key words and phrases.* rationality; moduli; instantons.

**Theorem A** *The category  $(MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}, \otimes)$ , whose objects are mathematical instanton bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , is a self-dual monoidal category. The subcategory  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\text{ps}}$  consisting of poly-stable bundles (finite direct sums of stable objects) possesses the structure of a multi-tensor category.*

To our knowledge, it hasn't been observed so far that the moduli spaces of instanton bundles aggregate into an algebraic object (see [22] for definitions). However, it's a pleasant surprise that tensor products of physical instantons –those arising from the ADHM-construction– are relevant in QCD [14, 20, 31, 9, 39]. We very briefly discuss this matter in the last section.

The invariance of the instanton-property for tensor product has two important down-to-earth consequences. First, the moduli space of mathematical instanton bundles of given rank  $r$  and charge  $n$  has expected dimension, given by the Riemann-Roch formula. Second, the general instanton on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to either a wedge of two 2-planes or to a smooth quadric.

**Theorem B** *The moduli space  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  of mathematical instanton (resp. framed) vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , of rank  $r$ , with Chern classes  $0, n, 0$ , is irreducible of dimension  $4rn - r^2 + 1$  (resp.  $4rn$ ), and is rational. In particular, these properties hold in the rank-2 case.*

We conclude this introduction with a survey of the article. The techniques are cohomological in nature but, compared to existing approaches, we dissect homomorphisms between relevant cohomology groups to understand their action.

- ◇ The analysis of the properties of instantons requires an *in-depth understanding* of Barth and Hulek's construction [4]. We show that their monad is naturally determined by a 'universal' diagram which is based on Beilinson's resolution of the diagonal in  $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ .

The matter is essential to explicitly determine the various homomorphisms between cohomology groups induced by the monad, and this allows performing cohomological computations. The main outcome is the invariance of the instanton-property under tensor products (Theorem A).

- ◇ We analyse the geometry of the moduli space of instantons on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  by restricting them to a wedge of planes, resp. a smooth quadric. The invariance of the instanton-property under tensor products implies that these *restriction maps are birational* (cf. Theorem 4.2).

To appreciate the strength of this statement, let us recall that the moduli spaces of stable bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (more generally, on Hirzebruch surfaces) are known to be unirational for all  $n$  (cf. [36]) and rational for  $n \gg 0$  (without explicit lower bound, cf. [15]). Thus one immediately deduces the unirationality (for all  $n$ ) and the rationality (for  $n \gg 0$ ) of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ . Even the weaker unirationality property, has been out of the reach of current approaches.

- ◇ We reduced the problem to the study of semi-stable vector bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces. In Section 5 we prove the *rationality* of the moduli space of (unframed and framed) semi-stable vector bundles with  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = n$  on Hirzebruch surfaces. The methods have been developed by the first named author [24]. We emphasize the *novelty* of these results *even in the 2-dimensional setting*.

Throughout the article, we work over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{k}$  of characteristic zero.

## 1. THE FRAMEWORK

**Definition 1.1** A *mathematical instanton* vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of rank  $r$  and charge  $n$ , with  $n \geq r$ , is defined by the following properties:

- (i)  $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0, c_2(\mathcal{F}) = n, c_3(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ ;
- (ii) its restriction to a (general) line  $\lambda_{gen} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is trivializable (so  $\mathcal{F}$  is slope semi-stable);
- (iii) it satisfies the instanton condition:  $H^1(\mathcal{F}(-2)) = H^2(\mathcal{F}(-2)) = 0$ .

**Remark 1.2** (i) For  $r = 2$ , semi-stable vector bundles with  $c_1 = 0$  automatically satisfy (ii), by the Grauert-Müllich theorem.

(ii) For arbitrary  $r$ , Barth-Hulek [4] showed that vector bundles  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying (i)-(iii) are the cohomology of a monad, which is determined up to isomorphism:

$$\underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}^\vee(-1))^\vee}_{\cong H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3)) \cong \mathbb{k}^n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus r+2n} \rightarrow \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1))}_{\cong \mathbb{k}^n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(1). \quad (1.1)$$

This construction will be very carefully analysed in Section 2. For our approach it's important to pinpoint a *unique*, canonically associated monad which yields  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Notation 1.3** We consider the following quasi-projective varieties:

- (i)  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ , the moduli space of instanton vector bundles of rank  $r$  and charge  $n$ . It is a non-empty open subset of the moduli space of slope semi-stable sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an instanton and  $\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  a line, such that the restriction  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is trivializable. A framing of  $\mathcal{F}$  along  $\lambda$  is an isomorphism  $\alpha_\lambda : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\lambda^{\oplus r}$ . Two frames  $\alpha_\lambda, \alpha'_\lambda$  are equivalent if there is an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  which makes commutative the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{rs} & \mathcal{F}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\lambda} & \mathcal{O}_\lambda^{\oplus r} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha_\lambda \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{rs} & \mathcal{F}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_\lambda} & \mathcal{O}_\lambda^{\oplus r} \end{array}$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is stable, two frames are equivalent if they differ by a multiplicative factor. We denote by  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)_\lambda^{\text{svb}}$  the moduli space of framed, stable vector bundles.

- (ii)  $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; n)$ , resp.  $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; n)_{\text{line}}^{\text{svb}}$ , the moduli space of rank- $r$ , slope semi-stable, resp. stable and framed, vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , with  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = n$ ; for simplicity, we call them  $\mathbb{P}^2$ -instantons. In the framed case, we consider those vector bundles which are trivializable along line  $\subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . The moduli spaces are irreducible and  $(2rn - r^2 + 1)$ -, resp.  $2rn$ -dimensional, see Section 5.
- (iii) For 2-planes  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  and  $\lambda := \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{H}$ , let

$$M_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}(r; n)_\lambda := M_{\mathcal{D}}(r; n)_\lambda \times M_{\mathcal{H}}(r; n)_\lambda,$$

be the variety of semi-stable, framed vector bundles on  $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}$ ; the frames of the factors are used for gluing along  $\lambda$ . Let

$$M_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}(r; n) := \frac{M_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}(r; n)_\lambda}{\text{PGL}(r)} = \frac{M_{\mathcal{D}}(r; n)_\lambda \times M_{\mathcal{H}}(r; n)_\lambda}{\text{PGL}(r)},$$

be the variety of semi-stable vector bundles on  $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}$ , where the group acts diagonally on the frames. An element of  $M_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}(r; n)$  is stable if its restrictions to  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}$  are so.

For a quadric  $\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , let  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; n)$  be the moduli space of vector bundles on  $\mathcal{Q}$ , with  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = n$ , which are semi-stable for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$ ,  $c > r(r-1)n$ .

- (iv) For shorthand, the symbol ‘ $\hookrightarrow$ ’ will indicate a monomorphism and ‘ $\twoheadrightarrow$ ’ an epimorphism; we denote short exact sequences by  $A \hookrightarrow C \twoheadrightarrow B$ . The notation ‘ev’ will stand for evaluation maps, ‘ $\lrcorner$ ’ for contraction (pairing), ‘ $\lvert$ , rs’ for restrictions, and ‘ $\partial$ ’ for boundary maps in cohomology.

**Proposition 1.4** *The moduli space  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  is non-empty, for  $n \geq r \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $r = 2$ , consider the union  $Z$  of  $n + 1$  disjoint lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . The rank-2 vector bundle given by the Hartshorne-Serre construction along  $Z$  fits into the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2^{HS} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(1).$$

(The construction appears in [25, Example 3.1.1].) For  $r > 2$ , we consider the rank- $r$  bundle

$$\mathcal{F}_r^{HS} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{F}_2^{HS}.$$

One easily verifies that, for all  $r \geq 2$ , one has  $\mathcal{F}_r^{HS} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus r}$  and both  $\mathcal{F}_r^{HS}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_r^{HS})$  are instanton that is, they satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) in Definition 1.1.  $\square$

## 2. THE MONAD CONSTRUCTION REVISITED

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an instanton vector bundle. Barth-Hulek [4, §7] proved that it’s isomorphic to the cohomology  $\text{Ker}(q)/\text{Im}(\varepsilon)$  of a (linear) monad

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^n \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{r+2n} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^n. \quad (\star)$$

For stable bundles, this is uniquely defined up to the natural  $\tilde{A} := \text{GL}(n) \times \text{GL}(r+2n) \times \text{GL}(n)$  on the terms. The diagonally embedded  $\mathbb{k}^*$  acts trivially, so we get an  $A := \tilde{A}/\mathbb{k}^*$  action on the moduli space of monads  $(\star)$ . The latter is an open subset of the affine variety

$$\{(\varepsilon, q) \mid q \circ \varepsilon = 0\} \subset (\text{Mat}_{r+2n, n} \times \text{Mat}_{n, r+2n}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)).$$

The dimension of its general irreducible component(s) is:

$$2 \cdot n(r+2n) \cdot h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) - n^2 \cdot h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) = 8n(r+2n) - 10n^2 = 8rn + 6n^2.$$

Let  $Monads_{r;n}$  be the open subset, of this dimension, corresponding to monads  $-\varepsilon$  is injective,  $q$  is surjective—such that their cohomology is trivializable along a general line. We obtain an  $A$ -invariant (quotient) map to the moduli space of instantons  $Monads_{r;n} \rightarrow MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ . A dimension counting yields:

$$\dim Monads_{r;n} - \dim A = 8rn + 6n^2 - (2n^2 + (r+2n)^2) + 1 = 4rn - r^2 + 1.$$

This is consistent with the (subsequent) cohomological calculation in Proposition 4.1.

The main goal of this section is, for an instanton  $\mathcal{F}$ , to determine a *canonically* associated monad whose cohomology is precisely  $\mathcal{F}$ . By this, we mean that the isomorphism-ambiguity in  $(\star)$  should be at most  $\mathbb{k}^*$ , the automorphisms of stable bundles. Barth-Hulek’s construction yields the following information: the display of the monad whose cohomology is  $\mathcal{F}$  is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow a^\vee & & \downarrow \\ V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varepsilon}} & C_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}} \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{q} & & \downarrow q \\ & & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & = & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1). \end{array} \quad (\text{BH})$$

The uniqueness part of the construction implies that two monads which yield the same vector bundle are in the same  $\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{GL}(n)$ -orbit, where

$$\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{GL}(n) = \frac{\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathbb{k}^* \times \mathrm{GL}(n)}{\mathbb{k}^*} \subset A$$

acts on the extremities of the monad. Let us enumerate several properties of (BH).

(i) There are *canonical* identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{F}} &= H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)); & V_{\mathcal{F}} &= H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3)) \stackrel{\text{Serre}}{\cong} H^1(\mathcal{F}^\vee(-1))^\vee = (W_{\mathcal{F}^\vee})^\vee. \\ C_{\mathcal{F}} &= H^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee)^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

So  $b$  is  $H^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $a^\vee$  is the dual of the evaluation map to  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee$ .

(ii) The diagram is obtained as follows:

(a) The right-hand column is the extension defined by  $\mathbb{1} \in \mathrm{End}(W_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(W_{\mathcal{F}}(1), \mathcal{F})$ .

(b) The top row is defined by  $\mathbb{1} \in \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, V_{\mathcal{F}}(-1))$ .

All these ‘rigidifications’, still leave open the issue that (BH) is determined up to a  $\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{GL}(n)$ -action, simply because all yield the same  $\mathcal{F}$ . So, to achieve our goal, we need to explicitly determine homomorphisms  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}, q_{\mathcal{F}}$ , depending naturally on  $\mathcal{F}$ , which fit into the display; if an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{GL}(n)$  fixes the homomorphisms, too, then it’s necessarily the identity. Explicit expressions for  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}, q_{\mathcal{F}}$  will allow understanding what are the homomorphisms between various cohomology groups.

(iii) The restriction  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$  to a general hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is still semi-stable, with the same Chern classes. The middle terms of the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(\mathcal{F}(-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}} H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{F}(-2)) = 0$$

are isomorphic. Thus the monad for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,

$$\underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}^\vee(-1))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)}_{\cong H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2))} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\oplus r+2n} \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1),$$

is the restriction of (BH) to  $\mathcal{H}$ . This reduction from 3- to 2-dimensions is essential for understanding the geometry of the moduli space of instanton vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

**2.1. Koszul resolution.** Let  $\lambda$  be a trivialising line for  $\mathcal{F}$ ; denote  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  its sheaf of ideals. By applying  $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{F}(-3))$  to the Koszul resolution  $\mathcal{O}(-2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}_\lambda$ , we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-2), \mathcal{F}(-3))}_{=H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1))=W_{\mathcal{F}}} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}}} \underbrace{\mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{J}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}(-3))}_{=H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3))=V_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the right-hand side, we used  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_\lambda^{\oplus r}$ . Thus  $\kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is the Yoneda-product (pairing) with the element of  $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{J}_\lambda, \mathcal{O}(-2))$  defining the resolution.

We give now an alternative description of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  which yields a ‘formula’ for its inverse. Let  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be two hyperplanes intersecting along  $\lambda$ . They determine the diagrams below; the Koszul resolution is the middle row of the second one:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \xlongequal{\quad} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{J}_\lambda \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}} \end{array} & \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \xlongequal{\quad} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D}} \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}_\lambda \\ \parallel \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-\lambda) \end{array} \right| & \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(-\lambda) \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \xlongequal{\quad} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(-\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}} \end{array} \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

By taking the tensor product of the first two with  $\mathcal{F}(-1)$ , we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \xrightarrow{\quad \kappa_{\mathcal{F}} \quad} & & \\
H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathcal{J}_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{F}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3)) \\
\cong \downarrow^{rs_{\mathcal{H}}} & & \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow^{\partial^{-1}} \\
H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)).
\end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

The inverse of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}$  is obtained by following the lower edges: the restrictions to  $\mathcal{H}$  are isomorphisms and  $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$  becomes the inclusion map  $H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))$ . This observation will be important later on.

**2.2. Beilinson resolution.** Let  $p_l, p_r : \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be the projections onto the first and second factors, and  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$  be the diagonal. The Euler sequence on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1), \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1).$$

Note that  $p_{l*}(\mathcal{J}_\Delta \otimes p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1)$ , and that  $H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)), H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$  are dual to each other. Let  $s \in H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) = \text{End } H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$  be the identity element. It transversally vanishes along  $\Delta$ , so one obtains the resolution of  $\mathcal{J}_\Delta$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 (\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) \xrightarrow{s \lrcorner} \bigwedge^2 (\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) \xrightarrow{s \lrcorner} \overbrace{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)}^{p_{l*}(\mathcal{J}_\Delta \otimes p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)} \xrightarrow{s \lrcorner_{\text{ev}}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the homomorphisms are contractions with  $s$ . We denote

$$\mathcal{S} := \text{Ker}(\text{ev}) \cong \frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^2(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)} \cong \frac{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)}, \tag{2.3}$$

and have the exact sequence  $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_\Delta$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an instanton vector bundle. The display (BH) is obtained by applying suitable derived  $\mathcal{H}om$ -functors to the ‘universal’ diagram below (independent of  $\mathcal{F}$ ):*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathcal{J}_\Delta \otimes p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \quad} & \mathcal{O}_\Delta(1) \\
& \uparrow & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{S} \otimes p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & p_l^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{J}_\Delta \otimes p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \\
& \uparrow & \downarrow \\
& \mathcal{S} \otimes p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & p_r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)
\end{array}
\begin{array}{l}
\text{apply} \\
\text{R}p_{r*} \text{R} \mathcal{H}om(\cdot, p_l^* \mathcal{F}(-3)) \\
\text{apply} \\
\text{R}p_{r*} \text{R} \mathcal{H}om(p_l^* \mathcal{F}^\vee(1), \cdot) \\
\text{apply} \\
\text{R}p_{r*} \text{R} \mathcal{H}om(\cdot, p_l^* \mathcal{F}(-3)) \\
\text{apply} \\
\text{R}p_{r*} \text{R} \mathcal{H}om(p_l^* \mathcal{F}^\vee(1), \cdot)
\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence the various homomorphism in (BH) are natural, functorial.

The unusual display of the top and rightmost sequence is done in such a way that the arrows induced in the next diagram are in normal position.

*Proof.* By applying the indicated functors, we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underbrace{H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)}_{=V_{\mathcal{F}}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}} \underbrace{\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^r}^2(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta}, \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-3)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)}_{=: \mathcal{A}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^r}^3(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}, \mathcal{F}(-4)) = \mathcal{F} = \text{pr}_* \text{pl}^*(\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}) & (2.5) \\
 \vdots & \downarrow a_{\mathcal{F}}^{\vee} & \downarrow \\
 R^1 \text{pr}_*(\text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \xrightarrow{\quad} C_{\mathcal{F}}^A := \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1), \mathcal{F}(-3)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & \xrightarrow{b_{\mathcal{F}}} R^1 \text{pr}_*(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \otimes \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) & \downarrow q_{\mathcal{F}} \\
 & \cong & \downarrow \\
 & C_{\mathcal{F}}^B := H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & \downarrow \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^r}^2(\mathcal{S} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1), \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-3)) & \cdots \cdots \cdots & \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)}_{=W_{\mathcal{F}}}
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  stands for the relative Ext-functor. (For the middle row/column, one uses (2.3) to deduce the cohomology vanishings involving  $\mathcal{S}$ .) The rightmost and top extensions are given by the identity elements of  $\text{End}(W_{\mathcal{F}})$  and  $\text{End}(V_{\mathcal{F}})$ : they are determined by the (unique) extension  $\mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  tensored by  $\mathcal{F}(-1)$  etc. Thus  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , respectively. The fact that  $a, b$  are evaluation morphisms follow from the identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^0(\mathcal{B}) &= H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, R^1 \text{pr}_*(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \otimes \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \otimes (\mathcal{F}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1))) \\
 &= H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \text{pl}_*(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}(1))) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1)) = C_{\mathcal{F}}^B; \\
 H^0(\mathcal{A}^{\vee})^{\vee} &= \dots = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^2(\text{pl}_*(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}(1)), \mathcal{F}(-3)) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1), \mathcal{F}(-3)) = C_{\mathcal{F}}^A.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we check, respectively, the isomorphism of the entries in the leftmost column and the bottom row. The Euler sequence and (2.3) yield:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^1 \text{pr}_*(\text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) &\cong H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \cong H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1), \\
 \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^r}^2(\mathcal{S} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1), \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}(-3)) &\cong H^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \cong H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Therefore (2.5) agrees with (BH), up to isomorphism; the latter is determined by extending to the left the rightmost column, by using  $\text{Ext}^1(W_{\mathcal{F}}(1), \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}^1(W_{\mathcal{F}}(1), \mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

We need to explicitly determine the maps in (2.5) and also the mysterious isomorphism between  $C_{\mathcal{F}}^A, C_{\mathcal{F}}^B$  (this is necessarily so, by general considerations). Moreover, the left- and lowermost terms in (2.5) are not equal –they are isomorphic (2.6)– which is confusing when doing cohomological computations. Things get straightened out by restricting (2.4) to a general hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ . The verification of the following claim is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$  be an instanton on  $\mathcal{H}$ . (Thus, in here,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is not necessarily the restriction of some  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ .) By applying the indicated functors to the diagram,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1) & \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathcal{J}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}}(1) & \xrightarrow{\text{apply}} \text{Rp}_{\text{pr}*} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{H} \text{om}(\cdot, \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) & (2.7) \\
 & \uparrow & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{pl}^*\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{J}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1) & \xrightarrow{\text{apply}} \text{Rp}_{\text{pr}*} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{H} \text{om}(\text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\vee}(1), \cdot) & \\
 & \uparrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) & \text{pr}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1) & & \\
 & \xrightarrow{\text{apply}} \text{Rp}_{\text{pr}*} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{H} \text{om}(\cdot, \text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) & \xrightarrow{\text{apply}} \text{Rp}_{\text{pr}*} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{H} \text{om}(\text{pl}^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\vee}(1), \cdot) & & 
 \end{array}$$

one obtains the Barth-Hulek display of the monad corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$ :



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)}_{=V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}} \underbrace{\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}}, \mathcal{P}l^*\mathcal{F}(-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)}_{=:A_{\mathcal{H}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{P}^3}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-3)) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{P}r_*\mathcal{P}l^*(\mathcal{F}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}}) & (2.8) \\
\parallel & \downarrow a_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^\vee & \downarrow \\
H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \xrightarrow{c} \underbrace{C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A := H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\cong} & \xrightarrow{b_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}} \underbrace{R^1\mathcal{P}r_*(\mathcal{J}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes \mathcal{P}l^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)}_{=:B_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow q_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
& H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1) \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)}_{=W_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}}
\end{array}$$

The dimensional reduction clarifies what are the dotted isomorphisms in (2.5): they become equalities here. For a 2-plane  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , the resolution of the diagonal  $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  is

$$\bigwedge^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) = \underbrace{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^2(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)}_{=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)} \xrightarrow{s_{\mathcal{H}} \lrcorner} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \xrightarrow{s_{\mathcal{H}} \lrcorner} \mathcal{J}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}},$$

where the homomorphisms are contractions with the identity  $s_{\mathcal{H}} \in \text{End}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)))$ , the restriction of  $s$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Note also that the tangent sequence splits:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)|_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1)|_{\mathcal{H}} = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

**Lemma 2.3** *The isomorphism  $C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}}^B$  fits into the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & \xrightarrow[\cong \otimes \text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}]{\partial^{-1} \otimes \text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}} & H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) & \xrightarrow[\text{zero map!}]{0} & H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1) & \xleftarrow[\cong \otimes \text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}]{\text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}} & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \\
\downarrow a_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee \varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}} & \downarrow s \otimes & \downarrow a_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^\vee \varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} & \downarrow s_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes & \downarrow s_{\mathcal{H}} \lrcorner & \downarrow q_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} b_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} & \downarrow q_{\mathcal{F}} b_{\mathcal{F}} \\
V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) & & V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) & & W_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)) & & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \\
\downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow s_{\mathcal{H}} \lrcorner & & \downarrow s \lrcorner \\
\underbrace{H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1))}_{=C_{\mathcal{F}}^A} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial^{-1} \otimes \text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}} & \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))}_{=C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A} & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(1))}_{=C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^B} & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}}} & \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1))}_{=C_{\mathcal{F}}^B} \\
& & \searrow \cong & & \swarrow \cong & & \\
& & & & & & 
\end{array} \tag{CAB}$$

(At the (2,2)-entry we suppressed the ‘ $\otimes$ ’, due to lack of space.)

*Proof.* The curved arrows are obtained by applying  $\mathcal{H}om$ -functors to  $\mathcal{P}l^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}r^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$  and to its restriction to  $\mathcal{H}$ . We prove the factorization for  $a_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee \varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ , the other cases are similar. Note that  $a_{\mathcal{F}}^\vee \varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$  is obtained by applying  $R^1\mathcal{P}r_*(s)$  to the homomorphism, dual to Beilinson’s map,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{s \otimes} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ , tensored by  $\mathcal{P}l^*\mathcal{F}(-2)$ . By pairing first with the  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ -component, we obtain the factorization.

Now we turn our attention to the horizontal arrows. Let  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be a general hyperplane.

We tensor by  $\mathcal{F}(-1)$  the commutative diagram on the right and deduce that the restriction

$$H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1)$$

is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Ker} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 3} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus 3} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(1) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\oplus 3} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)
\end{array}$$



A similar argument shows that  $H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(-3)) \xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}} H^2(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4))$  is an isomorphism too. (Or simply take the Serre-dual and reduce to the previous case.)

But  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1(2)$ , so  $H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}^1(1))$ ,  $H^2(\mathcal{F}(-3) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1))$  are isomorphic, by restricting to  $\mathcal{H}$ , to  $H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}^1)$ .  $\square$

One might wonder what's the use of this diagram, since the composed 'down-then-up' homomorphism vanishes. (We go from the first to the last entry of the exact sequence  $V_{\mathcal{F}}(-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}}(1)$ .) We'll see that the  $\mathcal{O}(\pm 1)$ -terms in the middle row, causing the vanishing of the evaluation maps, are absorbed into the cohomology of another instanton which enters into the picture. Thus, we'll definitely deal with non-zero maps.

### 3. DETERMINING HOMOMORPHISMS

In the sequel, we keep in mind that cohomology classes are represented by Čech cocycles, which are genuine sections over open subsets. This is useful for understanding the effect of homomorphisms. In the literature, cocycles are commonly denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}^\bullet(\cdot)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be instanton vector bundles, of *possibly different ranks and charges!* We consider the display (2.5) for  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  be the boundary map in cohomology, corresponding to the top line. The tensor product with  $\mathcal{G}(-2)$  yields the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)) & & \text{(KZ)} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \cong \delta_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}} & & \\
 & & \swarrow \kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}} \otimes \kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}} \cong & & & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)) \rightarrow & V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3)) & \xrightarrow{H^2(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}})} & H^2(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)) & \longrightarrow & H^2((\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})(-2)) \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.1** (i) *The triangle in the diagram above is commutative that is,*

$$(\delta_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}})^{-1} \circ H^2(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}}) = \kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{-1} \otimes \kappa_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}}^{-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) *The tensor product of two mathematical instantons is still a mathematical instanton:*

$$H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)) = H^2(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)) = 0.$$

The proposition has categorical interpretation (see [22] for the definitions). Let  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}$  be the category whose objects are instantons on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , of variable rank and charge (they are automatically semi-stable); let  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\text{PS}}$  be the subcategory formed by poly-stable bundles, finite direct sums of stable objects.

**Theorem 3.2** (i) *The direct sum and tensor product define morphisms between the moduli spaces of mathematical instantons:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oplus &: MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r'; n') \times MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r''; n'') \rightarrow MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r' + r''; n' + n''), \\
 \otimes &: MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r'; n') \times MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r''; n'') \rightarrow MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r'r''; r''n' + r'n'')
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  *$(MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}, \otimes)$  is a symmetric monoidal category, with unit  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ . The opposite category  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\text{OP}}$  is equivalent to  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}$  by the duality functor  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\text{OP}} \rightarrow MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\vee$ .*

(iii)  *$(MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\text{PS}}, \otimes)$  is actually a multi-tensor subcategory.*

In the last statement, one must consider poly-stable objects, as tensor products of stable vector bundles may be decomposable: e.g.  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F} = \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{F}$ . One may view  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\text{PS}}$  as the quotient of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}$  by the equivalence relation determined by the Jordan-Hölder filtration.

*Proof.* The statements follow from the previous proposition and the fact that the tensor product of two semi-stable (resp. poly-stable) vector bundles is still semi-stable (resp. poly-stable). Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , this is a consequence of the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence.  $\square$

**3.1. Proving (KZ).** One may rephrase the Proposition as follows:

$$(\delta_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}})^{-1} \circ H^2(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}}) : V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes V_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes W_{\mathcal{G}}$$

is a natural assignment from instanton bundles to homomorphisms between vector spaces, so it's natural to ask what is this map. On both sides,  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are independent, and this leads to the idea of analysing the effect on  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  separately. It's quite confusing that, although the final (desired) result is completely symmetric in the entries, this is obtained by composing 'very asymmetric' terms. Note two re-arrangements, which justify the appearance of restrictions to 2-planes in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  in the sequel:

$$V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3) = V_{\mathcal{F}}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\mathcal{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) = W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1). \quad (3.2)$$

They are necessary to apply  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}, \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and correspond to division (for  $V_{\mathcal{F}}, W_{\mathcal{F}}$ ) by a linear equation and multiplication (for  $\mathcal{G}$ ) by the same linear factor, respectively. Our reasoning involves three steps: first, we analyse the effect of the homomorphism  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}}$ ; second, we analyse  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}}$ ; finally, we compose the two maps.

**Lemma 3.3** *The homomorphism  $\phi$  in (3.3) below has the form  $\phi = \chi_{\phi} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3))}$ , where the  $\mathcal{F}$ -component is  $\chi_{\phi} \in \text{Hom}(V_{\mathcal{F}}, W_{\mathcal{F}})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be a trivialising line for  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}$  be two planes containing it; the restrictions  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$  are automatically semi-stable. We claim that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathcal{H}} \lrcorner} \\
 & & & & \\
 V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}} & H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}}) \hookrightarrow & C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}}) & \xrightarrow[\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}]{\text{incl.}} C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}}) \quad (3.3) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow & \downarrow \cong \partial \otimes \partial \\
 V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}) & \rightarrow & C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}}) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \partial \otimes \partial \\
 V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^2(\mathcal{G}(-4)) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}} & H^2(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{G}(-4)) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{\mathcal{F}}]{a_{\mathcal{F}}} & C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3)) \\
 \downarrow \gamma_{\mathcal{G}} & & \downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{H}} & & \downarrow \cong \partial \otimes \partial \\
 V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3)) & \xrightarrow[H^2(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{G}})]{} & H^2(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3)) & & \\
 \uparrow \cong \partial \otimes \partial & & \uparrow \phi & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Indeed, except the leftmost column –it's actually a square– and the top-right square, all the arrows are natural homomorphisms in the display of the monad (horizontally), and restrictions (vertically). The leftmost column is obtained by twisting the third diagram (2.1) with  $\mathcal{G}(-2)$ . The top-right square involving the inclusion  $\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}$  is obtained by tensoring with  $\mathcal{G}(-1)$  the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
 \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-\lambda) & \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} & \\
 & & & & & \\
 & & & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-\lambda) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}} & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
 & & & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}} & .
 \end{array}$$

We declare that  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2))$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))$  are equal, because the isomorphism between them is determined by the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . The second row ‘interpolates’ between the first and the third. It allows defining the dashed homomorphism  $\phi$  by following the left-top-right path, involving restrictions to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let us prove that  $\phi$  acts as the identity on  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3))$ ; equivalently, the  $\mathcal{G}$ -component of  $\phi_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the identity of  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2))$ . The composition of the first two top arrows –see Lemma (2.3)– is the pairing with  $s_{\mathcal{H}} \in \text{Hom}(V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}, C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1))$ , and it factorizes:

$$V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \xrightarrow{s_{\mathcal{H}}} C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)) \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \xrightarrow{1_{C^{\otimes \text{ev}}}} C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)).$$

In coordinates  $\zeta_{\mathcal{H},j}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, 2$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we have  $s_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{j=0}^2 c_{\mathcal{H},j} \zeta_{\mathcal{H},j}$ ,  $c_{\mathcal{H},j} \in \text{Hom}(V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}, C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A)$ .

We use  $\zeta_{\mathcal{H},j}$  for defining inclusions  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)$ ; they induce equality in the degree-1 cohomology. Since we used the same linear forms both in the evaluation and for the inclusion maps, their composition is the identity of  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2))$ . We note that the  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -component of  $\phi_{\mathcal{H}}$  is  $\chi_{\phi} = \sum_{j=0}^2 c_{\mathcal{H},j}$ , and it’s independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

It may be illuminating to give a second proof when  $\mathcal{G}$  is stable; for general  $\mathcal{H}$ , the restriction  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is still stable and  $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$  is surjective. We directly apply the division-multiplication trick (3.2): a lifting of an element in  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3))$  to  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(-4))$  amounts to dividing the corresponding cocycle by a linear equation. (The surjectivity of the arrow ensures that such division makes sense, and the commutativity of the diagram implies that the result is independent of the lifting.) The homomorphism  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$  has the form  $\sum_{j=0}^3 c_j \zeta_j$ , where  $\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_3$  are coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $c_j \in \text{Hom}(V_{\mathcal{F}}, C_{\mathcal{F}})$ . By following the third row, we see that  $\phi$  acts on  $v \otimes h \in V_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3))$  as follows: for  $j = 0, \dots, 3$ , there is a representative  $\tilde{h}_j \in \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathcal{G}(-3))$  of  $h$ , such that the quotient  $\frac{\tilde{h}_j}{\zeta_j} \in \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathcal{G}(-4))$  is well-defined, so we have

$$\phi(v \otimes h) = \text{the cohomology class defined by } \left[ \sum_{j=0}^3 c_j(v) \zeta_j \otimes \frac{\tilde{h}_j}{\zeta_j} \right] = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^3 c_j(v) \right] \otimes h.$$

Thus, the linear factor required for lifting  $h$  to  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(-4))$  cancels out by applying  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *The homomorphism  $\psi$  in (3.4) below is of the form  $\psi = \chi_{\psi} \otimes \kappa z_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}$ , where the  $\mathcal{F}$ -component is  $\chi_{\psi} \in \text{Hom}(C_{\mathcal{F}}^A, W_{\mathcal{F}})$ .*

*Proof.* The tensor product of the sequences

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}(-1) \hookrightarrow (C_{\mathcal{F}}^A(-1) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_{\mathcal{F}}^B(-1)) \twoheadrightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}(-2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(-1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}}$$

yield the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3) & \xhookrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{H}}} & \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) & \twoheadrightarrow & C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow m \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1) & \twoheadrightarrow & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{array}$$

Then  $\mathcal{M} := \text{Ker}(m)$  satisfies  $W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\mathcal{M})$ , and it fits into:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \\ C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3) \hookrightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \hookrightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1) \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow \\ W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2) \end{array} \\
\text{(I)} & & \text{(II)} & & \text{(III)}
\end{array}$$

They imply the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^2(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-3)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{H}}} & H^2(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{G}}} & W_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)) & (3.4) \\
a_{\mathcal{F}}^{\vee} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & \dashrightarrow & \text{rs}_{\mathcal{H}} \downarrow \cong \\
C_{\mathcal{F}}^A \otimes H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3)) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^2(\mathcal{M}) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & W_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}}) \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong & \swarrow \cong & \parallel \\
C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^B \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-2)_{\mathcal{H}}) & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathcal{H}}} & W_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{G}(-1)_{\mathcal{H}})
\end{array}$$

By moving along the lower edges of the diagram, we see that the homomorphism  $\psi$  is a tensor product: its  $\mathcal{F}$ -component is a composition of various homomorphisms between cohomology groups of  $C_{\mathcal{F}}, W_{\mathcal{F}}$ , so it depends only on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Concerning the  $\mathcal{G}$ -component, we claim that it acts on  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(-3))$  as the inverse of the Koszul map. Recall –see (2.2)– that the restriction to  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\kappa z^{-1}$  is simply the inclusion  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))$ . This is precisely the homomorphism obtained by following the lower side of the diagram, where we contract with the  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1))$ -component of  $s_{\mathcal{H}}$ ; see the third column of (CAB).  $\square$

*Proof.* (of Proposition 3.1) (i) The composed homomorphism on the left-hand side of (3.1) equals  $\psi \circ \phi$ , so is a tensor product of two linear maps. It's  $\mathcal{G}$ -component is  $\kappa z_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}$ .

To determine the  $\mathcal{F}$ -component of  $\chi_{\psi} \circ \chi_{\phi}$ , we return once more to (CAB), and we analyse the restrictions to  $\mathcal{H}$  that is, the middle columns. Let us look at the (2,2)-entry in there: the homomorphism  $\chi_{\phi}$  sending  $V_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}$  to  $C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A$  in diagram (3.3) is the evaluation (pairing) map applied to  $H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))$ ; as explained in the proof of Lemma 3.3, the other half of the entry, namely  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)$ , is absorbed by the  $\mathcal{G}$ -component during the division-multiplication process which yields the identity of  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2))$ .

We reached the diagram (3.4) and  $\chi_{\psi}$ . The bottom arrows start with  $C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^A \xrightarrow{\cong} C_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}^B$ , whose effect is a twist by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)$  of the  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)$ -component, which yields  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)$ ; the composition of the remaining arrows correspond to the (upward,  $q_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}} b_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}$ ) homomorphism in the third column of (CAB). Clearly, they act as the identity on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1)$ ; once more, the  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1))$ -component of  $s_{\mathcal{H}}$  –the second bottom arrow in (3.4) is the contraction with  $s_{\mathcal{H}}$ – is absorbed by the isomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))$ .

Overall,  $\chi_{\psi} \circ \chi_{\phi}$  twists  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(1)$  and yields  $H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}(-1))$ . Once more, (2.2) shows that this is nothing but the inverse of the Koszul homomorphism.

(ii) Since  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are instanton bundles, their Koszul maps are isomorphisms. Thus the ends of the exact sequence (KZ) vanish.  $\square$

## 4. MATHEMATICAL INSTANTONS ON PROJECTIVE SPACE

In this section we prove the irreducibility and rationality properties of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  stated in the Introduction. Our approach consists in restricting instantons to either to a union (wedge) of planes (intersecting along a line) or to a smooth quadric.

**4.1. Restriction maps.** We consider the following geometric objects, which are general for the indicated properties:

- ◊ a line  $\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  and two 2-planes  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}$  intersecting along  $\lambda$ .
- ◊ another line  $\lambda'$  which intersects  $\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  a quadric containing  $\lambda \cup \lambda'$ .

The moduli space  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  has finitely many irreducible components. Since the choices above were general, for any component  $M' \subset MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ , the restrictions to  $\lambda, \lambda'$  of the generic instanton bundle  $\mathcal{F}'$  in  $M'$  are trivializable. Therefore the restrictions  $\mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are all semi-stable. Thus we obtain the rational restriction maps (unframed and framed versions):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}} : MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n) &\dashrightarrow M_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}}(r; n), & \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}}, \\
 \Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}, \lambda} : MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)_{\lambda} &\dashrightarrow M_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}}(r; n)_{\lambda}, \\
 \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}} : MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n) &\dashrightarrow M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n), & \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \\
 \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}, \lambda\cup\lambda'} : MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)_{\lambda\cup\lambda'} &\dashrightarrow M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)_{\lambda\cup\lambda'},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

whose domains of definition meets all the irreducible components of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ . (Note that, for  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the charge is  $2n$  because the quadric has degree two. The semi-stability property is with respect to the polarization  $[\lambda'] + c[\lambda], c > 2r(r-1)n$ .)

**Proposition 4.1** (i) *For any  $\mathcal{F} \in MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ , the following properties hold:*

- (a)  $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})(-2)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})(-2)) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $H^2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ , so its deformations are unobstructed.

*The expected dimension of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  is  $4rn - r^2 + 1$ .*

- (ii) *The differential of  $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}}, \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are isomorphisms everywhere, so they are étale maps.*
- (iii) *Each irreducible component of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  has the expected dimension and the locus corresponding to stable bundles is dense.*

*Proof.* (i) Just replace  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^\vee$  in Proposition 3.1. For the second property, let  $\mathcal{H}'$  be a general plane (for  $\mathcal{F}$ ), take the long exact sequences in cohomology determined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}'}(-1), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}'},$$

twisted by  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$ , and use the semi-stability of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}'}$ . For a stable  $\mathcal{F}$ , one computes that  $h^1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) = -\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) + h^0(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}))$  is indeed  $4rn - r^2 + 1$ .

(ii) The differentials of  $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$  at  $\mathcal{F}$  are, respectively, the homomorphisms

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}})), \quad H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}})).$$

The property (ia) shows that they are indeed isomorphisms.

(iv) Since  $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}}$  (resp.  $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ) is étale, its restriction to each component of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  is dominant. Stable vector bundles are dense in  $M_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}}(r; n)$  (resp.  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)$ ), and  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is stable as soon as its restriction to  $\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}$ ) is so. This implies that stable bundles  $\mathcal{F} \in MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  are dense; at such a point, the moduli space is smooth and has the expected dimension.  $\square$

## 4.2. Main results.

**Theorem 4.2** *The various restriction morphisms (4.1) are birational.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the statement for the non-framed morphisms. Proposition 4.1 implies that, restricted to each irreducible component  $M' \subset MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$ , the map  $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}}$  dominates  $M_{\mathcal{D}\cup\mathcal{H}}(r; n)$  and  $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$  dominates  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)$ . Suppose there are two irreducible components. Then there are two non-isomorphic stable instantons  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  which are mapped to the same point. We proved in Proposition 3.1 that  $H^1(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})(-2)) = 0$ , so the isomorphism between the restrictions (either to  $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}$  or  $\mathcal{Q}$ ) lifts to an isomorphism over  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3**  *$MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  and  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)_{\lambda \cup \lambda'}$  are irreducible and rational.*

*Proof.* We know that  $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}}, \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are birational. The statement follows from the irreducibility and rationality of  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)$  and  $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; m)_{\lambda}$ , respectively (cf. Theorem 5.9).  $\square$

The subsequent results –cf. (5.1) and §5.5– yield a description of the general mathematical instanton vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

**Theorem 4.4** *The general instanton vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is uniquely determined:*

**either** • *by its restrictions  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}'')$  to 2-planes  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  intersecting along the line  $\lambda$ ; and*

• *by the gluing data  $\mathcal{F}'_{\lambda} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\oplus r} \cong \mathcal{F}''_{\lambda}$  (up to diagonal  $\mathrm{PGL}(r)$ -action).*

*The general element of  $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; n)$  is the kernel of a surjective homomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{J}_p^a(a)^{\oplus r-\rho} \oplus \mathcal{J}_p^{a+1}(a+1)^{\oplus \rho} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{l_j}(1), \quad a := \lfloor n/r \rfloor, \rho := n - ar, \quad (4.2)$$

$l_1, \dots, l_n \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  are distinct lines passing through  $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$ .

**or** *by its restriction to a general quadric  $\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{left}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{right}}^1$ .*

*The general element of  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)$  is the kernel of a surjective homomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{left}}^1}^{(a')^{\oplus r-\rho'}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{left}}^1}^{(a'+1)^{\oplus \rho'}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n} \mathcal{O}_{\{x_j\} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{right}}^1}(1), \quad (4.3)$$

$a' := \lfloor 2n/r \rfloor, \rho' := 2n - a'r, \quad x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{left}}^1$  are distinct points.

## 5. FRAMED VECTOR BUNDLES ON HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES

The irreducibility and rationality of  $MI_{\mathbb{P}^3}(r; n)$  follow once we know that the restriction map  $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}}$  (resp.  $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ) is birational, from the analogous statements for the moduli of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ). Note that  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is the blow-down of the 1<sup>st</sup> Hirzebruch surface; a quadric in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the 0<sup>th</sup> Hirzebruch surface. So we can utilize the techniques [24, §3], where the first named author studied vector bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces. In here, the difference is that we were led to framed instantons on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , which involve different group actions, requiring changes. Since rationality is a sensitive issue, we tried to make the presentation short, yet (almost) self-contained.

**5.1. General properties.** Let  $Y_\ell := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\ell))$  be the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  Hirzebruch surface and  $\pi : Y_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  the natural projection. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_\pi(1)$  the relatively ample line bundle of  $Y_\ell$ ,  $\Lambda := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus 0)$  the  $(-\ell)$ -curve, and  $l$  the general fibre of  $\pi$ ; we have  $[\mathcal{O}_\pi(1)] = [\Lambda] + \ell[l]$ . For integers  $m \geq r \geq 2$ , we consider the polarization

$$L_c := [\mathcal{O}_\pi(1)] + c[l], \quad c > mr(r-1),$$

and the corresponding moduli space  $\bar{M}_{Y_\ell}(r; m)$  of rank- $r$  torsion free sheaves  $Y_\ell$ , with  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = n$ ; let  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m), M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}}$  be the open loci corresponding to vector bundles, resp. stable vector bundles.

**Theorem** (cf. [24, 2.8, 3.6]) *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *The  $L_c$ -semi-stability property (of torsion free sheaves) is independent of  $c$  as above. If  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $L_c$ -semi-stable, its restriction to the general fibre  $l$  is trivializable.*
- (ii) *The restrictions to both  $\Lambda$  and  $l$  of the generic element of  $\mathcal{V} \in \bar{M}_{Y_\ell}(r; m)$  are trivializable.*
- (iii) *The generic  $\mathcal{V}$  is determined by an exact sequence of the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \pi^*\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x_j)}(-1) \rightarrow 0, \quad \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ distinct points,} \\ \mathbb{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a)^{\oplus(r-\rho)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a-1)^{\oplus\rho}, \quad a := \lfloor m/r \rfloor, \quad \rho := m - ar. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

- (iv)  *$\bar{M}_{Y_\ell}(r; m)$  is irreducible, of dimension  $2mr - r^2 + 1$ . The exact sequences as above determine a unique maximal dimensional stratum.*
- (v) *The assignment  $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \text{Supp } R^1\pi_*\mathcal{V}(-\Lambda)$  defines a morphism  $\bar{M}_{Y_\ell}(r; m) \xrightarrow{h} \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m \cong \mathbb{P}^m$ , whose generic fibre is  $(2rm - r^2 + 1 - m)$ -dimensional. For  $\mathcal{V}$  as in (5.1), we have  $h(\mathcal{V}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ .*

**Corollary 5.1** *The generic  $\mathcal{V} \in M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)$  is a stable vector bundle, so  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}}$  is dense.*

*Proof.* Otherwise, the last term  $\mathcal{V}'$  of the Jordan-Hölder filtration of  $\mathcal{V}$  is a proper, saturated, semi-stable subsheaf,  $\deg(\mathcal{V}') = 0$ , it's reflexive, so locally free;  $\mathcal{V}'' := \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}'$  is torsion free, stable,  $\deg(\mathcal{V}'') = 0$ . Let  $r' := \text{rank}(\mathcal{V}')$ ,  $m' := c_2(\mathcal{V}')$ , similarly for  $\mathcal{V}''$ ; Bogomolov's inequality yields  $0 \leq m' \leq m$ . Note that  $-h^1(\mathcal{V}'') = \chi(\mathcal{V}'') = r'' - m''$ , so  $r'' \leq m''$ .

The assignment  $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{V}'$  defines a rational map  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m) \dashrightarrow M_{Y_\ell}(r'; m')$ , so  $\dim M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)$  is at most the sum of the dimensions of  $M_{Y_\ell}(r'; m')$  and the generic fibre; the latter is  $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{V}'', \mathcal{V}') = -\chi(\mathcal{V}'' \vee \otimes \mathcal{V}') = r'm'' + r''m' - r'r''$ . The following strict inequality yields a contradiction:  $[2r'm' - (r')^2 + 1] + [r'm'' + r''m' - r'r''] < 2rm - r^2 + 1$ .  $\square$

**5.2. The extension vector bundle.** The explicit form of the general vector bundle determines a quotient description of  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}}$  and yields almost explicit coordinates on it.

**5.2.1. The absolute case.** Fix  $0, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ ;  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$  is the affine line. Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{A}^m = \text{Hilb}^m(\mathbb{A}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^m = \text{Hilb}^m(\mathbb{P}^1)$  be the open locus of  $m$ -tuples  $\underline{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  consisting of distinct points on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . For  $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ , the extensions classes (5.1) are parametrized by

$$E_{\underline{x}} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{L}_{x_i} \otimes \overbrace{\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x_i)}(1))}^{\cong \mathbb{k}^2} = \left( \mathbb{L} \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}}, \quad \dim E_{\underline{x}} = 2mr.$$

An element  $e_{\underline{x}} \in E_{\underline{x}}$  determines (5.1), equivalently, the dual form:



$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\vee = \text{Ker}(\beta^*) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \pi^* \mathbb{L}^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}}^* = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x_j)}(1) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.2)$$

The diagrams below show, respectively, the meaning of equivalent extensions, on the left, and the  $\tilde{G}_{\underline{x}} := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}) \times \mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}}^\times$ -action on  $E_{\underline{x}}$ , on the right:

$$\left. \begin{array}{ccc} \pi^* \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} \\ \parallel \quad \quad \quad \tilde{w} \downarrow \cong \quad \quad \quad \parallel \\ \pi^* \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha' = \tilde{w}\alpha} \mathcal{V}' \xrightarrow{\beta' = \beta \tilde{w}^{-1}} \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{ccc} e_{\underline{x}} : \quad \pi^* \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} \\ \quad \quad \quad w \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \downarrow t \\ (w, t) \times e_{\underline{x}} : \quad \pi^* \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha' = \alpha w^{-1}} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\beta' = t\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} \end{array} \quad (5.3)$$

If  $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}$  is stable,  $\tilde{w}$  is the multiplication by some  $c \in \mathbb{k}^*$ . Although  $\mathbb{k}_{diag}^* \subset \tilde{G}_{\underline{x}}$  acts trivially on extension classes, it acts by rescaling on the vector bundles themselves.

**Lemma 5.2** *Suppose that  $e_{\underline{x}} \in E_{\underline{x}}$  is generic that is, it determines a stable bundle  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

- (i) *The stabilizer of  $e_{\underline{x}}$  is the diagonally embedded  $\mathbb{k}_{diag}^* \subset \tilde{G}_{\underline{x}}$ , so  $G_{\underline{x}} := \tilde{G}_{\underline{x}}/\mathbb{k}_{diag}^*$  acts on  $E_{\underline{x}}$ .*
- (ii) *Suppose  $e_{\underline{x}}, e'_{\underline{x}} \in E_{\underline{x}}$  determine isomorphic  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}'$ . Then they are in the same  $G_{\underline{x}}$ -orbit.*

*Proof.* (i) The automorphisms of  $\mathcal{V}$  are multiplications by  $c \in \mathbb{k}^*$ . The conclusion follows from the second diagram (5.3).

(ii) The isomorphism  $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\tilde{w}} \mathcal{V}'$  induces  $\pi_* \tilde{w} : \mathbb{L} = \pi_* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{V}' = \mathbb{L}$  that is,  $w \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ . At quotient level, we obtain  $t : \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} = \mathcal{V}/\pi^* \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'/\pi^* \mathbb{L} = \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}}$ .  $\square$

5.2.2. *The relative case.* To describe the situation for variable  $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ , we consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & \mathcal{Z} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_\ell \\ \xi \downarrow & & \zeta \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \pi & \\ (\mathbb{P}^1)^m & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (\mathbb{P}^1)^m / \mathfrak{S}_m = \mathbb{P}^m \cong \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the universal family on  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m$ ,  $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathbb{P}^m} (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  are the permutations of  $m$  elements. In this setting,  $E_{\underline{x}}$  is the stalk at  $\underline{x}$  of the locally free sheaf of rank  $2mr$ :

$$E := \zeta_* \left( \text{pr}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \left( \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{L}^\vee, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_\pi(1)) \right) \right) = \zeta_* \left( \text{pr}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \left( \mathbb{L} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\ell)) \right) \right).$$

**Remark 5.3** For conciseness, we identify  $E$  with the linear fibre bundle  $\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{O}}^\bullet E^\vee)$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ . We are eventually interested in birational properties of  $E$ , so  $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m$  is allowed to shrink further. In the sequel, we denote  $E^{\mathcal{A}} := E|_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{U} := \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ .

We trivialize  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\ell)$  appearing in  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $E$  over  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ , so their pull-back by  $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ :

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{L}|_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus r-\rho} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus \rho} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus r}, \\ E|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \zeta_* \left( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}}^{\oplus r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}}^{\oplus r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}} \right) \\ = (\zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}})^{\oplus r} \oplus (\zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}})^{\oplus r} = E_{\text{left}} \oplus E_{\text{right}} \\ = (\zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}} \oplus \zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}})^{\oplus r} = (F \oplus F)^{\oplus r}. \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{l} \text{(View as } r = (r - \rho) + \rho \text{-column vector.)} \\ \text{(View summands as } r \times m \text{-matrices.)} \\ E_{\text{left}} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm}, E_{\text{right}} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{right}}^{rm} \\ F := \zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^m. \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

The diagram below describes the situation algebraically:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m][z] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m][z] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m][z] \\
 \langle (z-x_1) \cdots (z-x_m) \rangle & & \langle z^m - s_1 z^{m-1} + s_2 z^{m-2} - \dots \rangle & & \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m] & \xleftarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m] & & \mathbb{k}[z],
 \end{array}$$

where  $s_1 = x_1 + \dots + x_m, \dots, s_m = x_1 \cdots x_m$  are the symmetric polynomials. We have:

$$F = \frac{\mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m][z]}{\langle z^m - s_1 z^{m-1} + s_2 z^{m-2} - \dots \rangle} = \mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m] \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{z}^{m-1} \cdot \mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m] \cong \mathcal{O}_A^{\oplus m}, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\hat{z}$  the image of  $z$ . (The multiplicative structure on  $\mathcal{O}_A^m$  is induced by the quotient.) Thus points of  $E$  are represented by *pairs* of  $r \times m$  block-matrices, with entries in  $\mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m]$ :

$$e = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \text{[I]}_{(r-\rho) \times (r-\rho)} & \text{[III]}_{(r-\rho) \times \rho} & \text{[V]}_{(r-\rho) \times \rho} & \cdots \\ \hline \text{[II]}_{\rho \times (r-\rho)} & \text{[IV]}_{\rho \times \rho} & \text{[VI]}_{\rho \times \rho} & \cdots \end{array} \right]. \quad \text{The columns of } e \text{ are:} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\text{col}_j(e) = \begin{bmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{bmatrix}, 0 \leq j \leq m-1.$$

**5.3. Groups and slices.** There are two actions preserving the projection  $E \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^m(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

**5.3.1. First symmetry.**  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{L})$ . It's a linear algebraic group, a representation is obtained by making it act on  $\Gamma(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \cong \mathbb{k}^{r-\rho} \oplus \mathbb{k}^\rho \oplus \mathbb{k}^\rho$ :

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} A & H_0 + zH_1 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} A & H_0 & H_1 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} A \in \text{GL}(r-\rho), B \in \text{GL}(\rho), \\ H_0, H_1 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}^{r-\rho}, \mathbb{k}^\rho) \end{array}.$$

Subsequently, we will encounter the following subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ :

$$U_0^* := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & H_0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad U_1^* := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & zH_1 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let  $P\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$  stand for  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})/\mathbb{k}^*$ , the projective automorphisms. For  $e \in E$  as in (5.6),  $w \times e$  has the same block-form (5.6), with the following entries (see [24, §3.3.1]):

$$\begin{array}{ll} w \times \text{[I]} & = A[\text{I}] + H_0[\text{II}] + H_1[\text{II}'], & w \times \text{[III]} & = A[\text{III}] + H_0[\text{IV}] + H_1[\text{IV}'], \\ w \times \text{[IV]} & = B[\text{IV}], & w \times \text{[V]} & = A[\text{V}] + H_0[\text{VI}] + H_1[\text{VI}']. \end{array} \quad (5.7)$$

The entries  $[\text{II}'], [\text{IV}'], [\text{VI}']$  are universal linear combinations of the columns of  $w$ , see *loc. cit.*

**Lemma 5.4** *The  $U_1^*$ -orbit of the generic  $e \in E_{\text{left}}$  intersects in a unique point the subspace  $\Xi'_U$  defined by the condition  $\{[\text{III}] = 0\}$ . Thus  $\Xi'_U \subset E_{\text{left}}$  is a slice for the  $U_1^*$ -action; it's a vector bundle over an open subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\text{Hilb}^m(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .*

*Recall [24, §3.3.1]:  $\Xi'_A := \{[\text{I}] = c \cdot \mathbb{1}_{r-\rho}, [\text{III}] = c \cdot \mathbb{1}_\rho, [\text{III}] = [\text{V}] = 0 \mid c \in \mathbb{k}^*\} \subset E_{\text{left}}$  is a  $(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}), \mathbb{k}_{\text{diag}}^*)$ -slice, every generic  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ -orbit intersects  $\Xi'_A$  along a  $\mathbb{k}^*$ -orbit. It is a  $(U_0^*, \mathbb{k}_{\text{diag}}^*)$ -slice for the  $U_0^*$ -action on  $\Xi'_U$ .*

*Proof.* The explicit form of  $[\text{IV}']$  is (see *loc. cit.*):

$$[\text{IV}'] = \text{col}[v_{r-\rho-1}, \dots, v_{r-2}] + \text{col}[(-1)^{m-r+\rho-1} s_{m-r+\rho} \cdot v_{m-1}, \dots, (-1)^{m-r} s_{m-r+1} \cdot v_{m-1}].$$

For generic  $e$ , it's invertible. (*E.g.* let the first term be the identity and  $v_{m-1} = 0$ .) The matrix  $w \in U_1^*$  which cancels the  $[\text{III}]$ -component of  $e$  has  $H_1 = -[\text{III}] \cdot [\text{IV}']^{-1}$ .  $\square$

5.3.2. *Second symmetry.*  $\mathbb{T} := (\zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}})^\times$  is the group scheme of invertible elements in the sheaf of algebras  $\zeta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ . The  $\mathbb{T}$ -action doesn't preserve the direct summands (5.5); *e.g.*  $z$  is invertible, it maps the  $\hat{z}^j$ - into the  $\hat{z}^{j+1}$ -component (except for  $j = n - 1$ , which becomes a linear combination of the previous ones). In matrix terms,  $\mathbb{T}$  acts on the columns of (5.6), but doesn't preserve them individually. Note however, that  $\mathbb{k}[s_1, \dots, s_m]$  acts componentwise, so  $\mathbb{T}$  contains a diagonally embedded copy of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^\times$  (denoted abusively  $\mathbb{k}_{diag}^*$  in the sequel).

We give now a different description of the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action, over  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$  rather than  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m$ . Note that  $\mathbb{T}$  acts diagonally of  $F^2 = F_{\text{left}} \oplus F_{\text{right}}$  –see (5.4)– and the action on  $E = (F^2)^{\oplus r}$  is obtained by repeating it  $r$  times. Thus we need to describe the  $\sigma^* \mathbb{T}$ -action on  $\sigma^* F = \xi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

Since  $z^m - s_1 z^{m-1} + \dots = (z - x_1) \cdots (z - x_m)$ , we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^* \mathbb{T} &= (\xi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})^\times \cong (\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}^\times)^m, \\ &\frac{\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m][z]}{\langle (z - x_1) \cdots (z - x_m) \rangle} \cong \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{\oplus m} \quad \text{is a ring isomorphism,} \\ &= \text{pr}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, x_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, x_m}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (\mathbb{k}^*)^m$  acts by  $t_j$  on the  $j$ -coordinate of  $\sigma^* F = \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^m$ ; it's the action (5.3). But now we must also take into account the permutation group  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  which interchanges the factors of  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ . For a reason to be clarified (cf. Lemma 5.5), we consider the affine line  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  endowed with trivial actions of  $\mathfrak{S}_m, \sigma^* \mathbb{T}, \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ . We add it to  $\sigma^* E_{\text{right}} = (\sigma^* F_{\text{right}})^r$ , so we obtain the locally trivial linear bundle (vector bundle):

$$\tilde{F} := \sigma^* E \oplus \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^1 = \sigma^* E_{\text{left}} \oplus \sigma^* E_{\text{right}} \oplus \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^1.$$

Let  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathbb{k}^*$  be the multiplicative group, let it act diagonally on  $\sigma^* E_{\text{right}} \oplus \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^1$ . We construct a slice for the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action on  $E$  in two stages:

Step 1 Consider the obvious  $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mu_{\mathbb{k}}$ -invariant linear subspace in  $\tilde{F}$ :

$$\tilde{\Xi}'' := \sigma^* E_{\text{left}} \oplus \tilde{\Xi}''_{\text{right}}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\Xi}''_{\text{right}} := \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} c & \dots & c & c \\ * & \dots & * & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \right] \middle| c \in \mathbb{k}^* \right\}.$$

$\Xi''_{\text{right}} \subset \sigma^* E_{\text{right}}$

It's a (locally trivial) vector bundle over  $\mathcal{U}$ , of relative codimension  $m$ . Note that  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}} \times \sigma^* \mathbb{T}$  intersects  $\tilde{\Xi}''$  along a  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}}$ -orbit. For this reason, we think off  $\tilde{\Xi}''$  as a  $\sigma^* \mathbb{T} = (\mu_{\mathbb{k}} \times \sigma^* \mathbb{T}) / \mu_{\mathbb{k}}$ -slice; it's basically the same as setting  $c = 1$  in  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\text{right}}$  above.

Step 2 Descend to  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m$  that is, factor out the  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ -action. This is not automatic, since  $\tilde{\Xi}''$  isn't  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ -invariant and we wish to keep this action. We consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{F} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm} \times (\mathbb{A}_{\text{right}}^{rm} \times \mathbb{A}^1) & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \Upsilon)} & \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm} \times \mathbb{A}_{\emptyset}^{rm+1} \\ \text{pr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ \sigma^* E_{\text{left}} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm} & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U} & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

The assumptions of the no-name lemma [10, 17, 16] are satisfied:  $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$  acts on the fibre bundle  $\tilde{F} \rightarrow \sigma^* E_{\text{left}}$ , the generic stabilizer on  $\sigma^* E_{\text{left}}$  is trivial. Thus there is a  $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ -invariant open subset  $\tilde{O} \subset \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm}$ , and a birational pr-fibrewise linear map  $(\text{id}, \Upsilon)$  which is equivariant for the following actions:

- ◊  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm}$  (since  $\sigma^* E_{\text{left}}$  is pulled-back from the quotient);
- ◊  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  acts on  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{right}}^{rm}$  by permuting the  $m$  copies of  $\mathbb{A}^r$ ;
- ◊  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$  acts the same way on  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{right}}^{rm}$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{left}}^{rm}$ . (It acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{A}^{2rm}$ .);
- ◊  $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{A}_{\tilde{O}}^{rm+1}$ .

The group  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}} \times \sigma^* \mathbb{T}$  acts both on the fibre and the base of pr; the stabilizer of the subvariety  $\tilde{\Xi}'' \upharpoonright_{\tilde{O}}$  is  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}}$ . A dimensional count shows that its  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}} \times \sigma^* \mathbb{T}$ -orbit is open in  $\tilde{F}$ ; equivalently, the orbit of the generic point in  $\tilde{F}$  intersects  $\tilde{\Xi}'' \upharpoonright_{\tilde{O}}$ .

**Lemma 5.5** *The subvariety  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}} := (\text{id}, \Upsilon)(\tilde{\Xi}'' \upharpoonright_{\tilde{O}}) \subset \tilde{O} \times \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{O}}^{rm+1} = \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{O}}^{rm+1}$  has the properties:*

- (i) *It's invariant under the  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ -action on  $\tilde{O}$  and the fibrewise  $\mu_{\mathbb{k}}$ -action over  $\tilde{O}$ . Also, it's a locally trivial linear fibre bundle (vector bundle) over  $\tilde{O}$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}} := \tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}} / \mathfrak{S}_m \subset (\tilde{O} / \mathfrak{S}_m) \times \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{O}}^{rm} \subset E$  is a locally trivial vector bundle on  $O := \tilde{O} / \mathfrak{S}_m$ , and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$  acts fibrewise on  $O$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
- (iii)  *$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}}) = \tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}} // \mu_{\mathbb{k}}$  is a locally trivial projective bundle over  $O \subset E_{\text{left}}$ ;*  
 *$\Xi''_T := (\text{id}, \Upsilon)(\tilde{\Xi}''_{\text{right}} \upharpoonright_{c=1}) / \mathfrak{S}_m \subset E \cap \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}})$  is open; it's a slice for the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action on  $E$ .*

*Proof.* (i) The invariance follows from fact that  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\text{right}}$  is so. The linearity of  $(\text{id}, \Upsilon)$  implies that  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}}$  is an linear space bundle over  $\sigma^* E_{\text{left}}$ . To prove local triviality, take a point  $* \in \tilde{O}$  and let  $\tilde{Z}_* \subset \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{O},*}^{rm+1}$  be a complement subspace of  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O},*}$ ; extend it to  $\tilde{O} \times \tilde{Z}_*$ , trivially. The composed linear map  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F} / (\tilde{O} \times \tilde{Z}_*)$  is an isomorphism at  $* \in \tilde{O}$ , so it's the same in a neighbourhood. But the right-hand side is, obviously, locally trivial. For the last claim, we apply the no-name lemma to the group  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  acting on  $\tilde{\Xi}''_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow \tilde{O}$ . The subvariety  $\Xi''_T$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -slice because  $\tilde{\Xi}'' \upharpoonright_{\tilde{O}}$  is so.  $\square$

5.3.3. *Summary.* The group scheme  $\tilde{G} := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}) \times \mathbb{T}$  acts on  $E$ . The stabilizer of generic extension class is the diagonally embedded  $\mathbb{k}_{\text{diag}}^*$ . The latter acts by multiplication on the extension themselves.

The rational map  $\Psi : E \dashrightarrow M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}}$  is invariant, for the effective action of the group  $G := \tilde{G} / \mathbb{k}_{\text{diag}}^* = (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}) \times \mathbb{T}) / \mathbb{k}_{\text{diag}}^*$ . The moduli space of (generic) stable vector bundles is the quotient  $E // G$  of a suitable open subset.

**Lemma 5.6** *The restriction  $\Xi_{UT} := \Xi''_T \upharpoonright_{O \cap \Xi'_U} \subset E$  is a slice for the  $U_1^* \times \mathbb{T}$ -action. It is a rational variety, open subset of a locally trivial fibration over  $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m$ .*

*Recall [24, §3.3.4]:  $\Xi_G := \Xi''_T \upharpoonright_{O \cap \Xi'_A}$  is a rational slice for the  $G$ -action.*

*It is also a  $U_0^*$ -slice for the  $U_0^*$ -action on  $\Xi_{UT}$ .*

*Proof.* We combine the lemmata 5.4 and 5.5. The intersection  $O \cap \Xi'_U$  is non-empty because  $O \subset E_{\text{left}}$  is  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ -invariant and the  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ -orbit of  $\Xi'_U$  is open in  $E_{\text{left}}$ .

We observe that  $\Xi$  fits into the diagram on the right, and this proves the second claim.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Xi_{UT} & \\ \text{loc.} \downarrow & \text{affine} & \\ \text{triv.} \downarrow & \text{bdl.} & \\ O \cap \Xi'_U & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & \Xi'_U \\ & \text{loc.} \downarrow & \text{vect.} \\ & \text{triv.} \downarrow & \text{bdl.} \\ & \mathcal{A} & \end{array}$$

□

**5.4. Quotients.** Let  $l_o = \pi^{-1}(0)$  and  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)_{l_o\Lambda}^{\text{svb}}$  be the moduli space of stable vector bundles on  $Y_\ell$  which are framed along  $l_o \cup \Lambda$ ; we call the latter  $l_o\Lambda$ -frames, for short.

First, note that  $l_o \cap \Lambda$  is a point. Thus, if  $\mathcal{V}$  is trivializable along both  $l_o, \Lambda$  (cf. Theorem(ii) above), there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $l_o$ - and  $l_o\Lambda$ -frames. Second, it's not clear a priori that  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)_{l_o\Lambda}^{\text{svb}}$  has the structure of a quasi-projective variety. However, the forthcoming discussion addresses this matter. See also [7] for a different approach to framed bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces. (The frames are along a line with self-intersection  $+\ell$ .)

**Definition 5.7** Let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_e$  be determined by (5.1), corresponding to the point  $e \in E$ .

- (i) We say that  $\mathcal{V} \in M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)_{l_o\Lambda}^{\text{svb}}$  is generic if it's stable,  $\mathcal{V}|_{l_o \cup \Lambda}$  is trivializable, and  $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{V}(-\Lambda)$  consists of  $m$  distinct points in  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus  $\det(\alpha) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m))$  vanishes at  $m$  distinct points, different of  $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $M_{l_o\Lambda}^{\mathcal{A}} \subset M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)_{l_o\Lambda}^{\text{svb}}$  be the corresponding open subset. There is a natural forgetful morphism  $M_{l_o\Lambda}^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}}$ .
- (ii) We say that the extension  $e \in E$  is generic if it determines a generic vector bundle; let  $E^{\mathcal{A}} \subset E$  be the open locus determined by generic extensions.
- (iii) We fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{k}^r = \mathbb{k}^{r-\rho} \oplus \mathbb{k}^\rho \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_0^{r-\rho} \oplus \mathcal{O}_0^\rho = \mathbb{L}_0$  which respects the decomposition. Then  $\mathcal{V}$  automatically inherits the framing

$$\mathcal{V}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{l_o}^\vee \xrightarrow{\alpha^*(0)} \pi^*\mathbb{L}_0^\vee = \mathcal{O}_{l_o}^{\oplus r} \quad (\text{equivalently, } \alpha(0) : \mathcal{O}_{l_o}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{l_o}).$$

The automorphisms of  $\mathbb{L}$  preserve the subspace  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a)^{r-\rho} \subset \mathbb{L}$ , so the frames determined by  $\alpha(0)$ , as above, don't exhaust all the possible frames  $\mathbb{k}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{l_o}$ . (The only exception occurs for  $\rho = 0$  that is,  $m = ar$ .) Hence, the morphism  $E^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow M_{l_o\Lambda}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is not dominant; also,  $U_1^*$  acts trivially on  $l_o$ -frames. To compensate for this deficiency, we consider the unipotent group

$$U_* := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{r-\rho} & 0 \\ *_{\rho \times (r-\rho)} & \mathbb{1}_\rho \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

and define the morphism  $\Phi : U_* \times_{\mathbb{k}} E^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow M_{l_o\Lambda}^{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $(u, e) \mapsto ([\mathcal{V}_e], u \cdot \alpha^*(0))$ .

**Proposition 5.8** (i) *The morphism  $\Phi$  is dominant.*

- (ii) *The group scheme  $U_* \times_{\mathbb{k}} G$  acts on  $U_* \times E$  and  $\Phi$  is equivariant for the action.*
  - (iii) *The stabilizer in  $U_* \times G$  of  $(u, e) \in U_* \times_{\mathbb{k}} E^{\mathcal{A}}$  is trivial.*
  - (iv) *If  $(u, e), (u', e')$  determine isomorphic framed bundles,  $\Phi(u, e) = \Phi(u', e')$ , they belong to the same  $U_1^* \times_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{T}$ -orbit. The generic  $U_1^* \times_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{T}$ -stabilizer in  $U_* \times E$  is trivial.*
- As quasi-projective variety,  $M_{l_o\Lambda}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is the quotient of  $U_* \times_{\mathbb{k}} E^{\mathcal{A}}$  by the action of  $U_1^* \times_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* (i), (ii) The map  $\Psi : E^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}}$  is dominant,  $G$ -invariant. The image of  $U_* \times U_0^* \rightarrow \text{GL}(r)$  is open, the action preserves  $\Psi$ , so  $\Phi$  exhausts (almost) all the  $l_o$ -frames.

(iii) If  $(g, \tilde{w}) \in U_* \times \tilde{G}$  stabilizes  $(u, e)$ , then  $\tilde{w}$  stabilizes  $e$ , so  $\tilde{w} = c \in \mathbb{k}^*$  (cf. Lemma 5.2). Now impose that  $g$  stabilizes the framing:  $\alpha(0) \cdot g^{-1} = \text{const} \cdot \alpha(0)$ ; it implies  $g = 1 \in U_*$ .

(iv) The vector bundles  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}'$  determined by  $e, e'$  are isomorphic, so  $e'$  is in the  $G$ -orbit of  $e$ ; let  $e' = (w, t) \times e$ , with  $w \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}), t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^*\mathbb{L} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{S}_x \\ w \downarrow & & \tilde{w} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow t \\ \pi^*\mathbb{L} & \xrightarrow{\alpha' = \tilde{w}\alpha w^{-1}} & \mathcal{V}' & \xrightarrow{\beta' = t\beta\tilde{w}^{-1}} & \mathcal{S}_{\underline{x}} \end{array}$$

The frames are isomorphic, too, so there is  $c \in \mathbb{k}^*$  such that we have:

$$\alpha'(0) \cdot u'^{-1} = c \cdot \tilde{w}(0) \cdot \alpha(0) \cdot u^{-1} \Rightarrow c \cdot w(0) = u'^{-1}u \in U_* \cap \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}) = \{\mathbb{1}\}.$$

We conclude  $u' = u, c \cdot w \in U_1^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  is arbitrary. By replacing  $\tilde{w} \mapsto \tilde{w}_{new} = c \cdot \tilde{w}$ , similarly for  $\alpha, \beta$ , we preserve the extension class  $e$  and  $w_{new} \in U_1^*$ . Finally, the generic stabilizer in  $\tilde{G}$  is  $\mathbb{k}_{diag}^*$  which intersects  $U_1^* \times \mathbb{T}$  trivially.  $\square$

The following commutative diagram of rational morphisms summarizes the situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_* \times E^{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow[\text{quotient}_{U_1^* \times \mathbb{T}}]{\Phi} & M_{l_o \Lambda}^{\mathcal{A}} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{PGl}(r) \xrightarrow{\text{open}} U_* \times PU_0^* \\ & & \downarrow \text{acts on frames} \\ U_* \times E^{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow[\text{pr}_{E^{\mathcal{A}}}]{} E^{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow[\text{quotient}_G]{} M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)^{\text{svb}} \\ & \searrow [\text{quotient}_{U_* \times G}]{\Psi} \nearrow & \end{array}$$

The decorations indicate the general fibres. The slices  $\Xi_{UT}, \Xi_G$  fit into the same diagram (see the ‘Recall’-comment in Lemma 5.6).

**Theorem 5.9** (i) *The moduli space  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)_{l_o \Lambda}^{\text{svb}}$  is an irreducible, rational variety. Also, it admits a universal Poincaré bundle over an open subset.*

(ii) *The moduli space  $M_{Y_\ell}(r; m)$  is an irreducible, rational variety (cf. [24, Theorem 3.8]).*

Bartocci *et al.* [7, Theorem 3.4] prove that the moduli of framed bundles is fine. Their framing is over a section of  $Y_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  with self-intersection  $+\ell$ , not a fibre  $l_o$  as above.

*Proof.* The first claim follows from the previous Proposition and Lemma 5.6: a slice for the  $U_1^* \times \mathbb{T}$ -action is  $U_* \times \Xi_{UT}$ , which is a rational variety. Now we construct the universal framed bundle. Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} := E \times_{\mathcal{H}} (\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} Y_\ell)$  is a subvariety of  $E \times Y_\ell$ , since  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $s$  be the tautological section of  $\zeta^*E$  over  $E$ . The diagram below globalizes (5.2):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi \circ \text{pr}_{Y_\ell}^{E \times Y_\ell})^* \mathbb{L}^\vee & \longrightarrow & (\pi \circ \text{pr}_{Y_\ell}^{E \times Y_\ell})^* \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}} \xrightarrow[\text{pairing}]{\langle \cdot, s \rangle} (\pi \circ \text{pr}_{Y_\ell}^{E \times Y_\ell})^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}} \\ & \searrow \beta^* & \downarrow \text{evaluation} \\ & & (\text{pr}_{Y_\ell}^{E \times Y_\ell})^* \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}. \end{array}$$

The pairing with  $s$  is generically surjective,  $(U_1^* \times \mathbb{T}) \cdot \Xi_{UT} \subset E$  is open, so the vector bundle  $\mathcal{W} := \text{Ker}(\beta^*)|_{\Xi_{UT} \times Y_\ell}$  fits into the universal exact sequence over  $\Xi_{UT} \times Y_\ell$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} (\pi \circ \text{pr}_{Y_\ell}^{E \times Y_\ell})^* \mathbb{L}^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta^*} (\text{pr}_{Y_\ell}^{E \times Y_\ell})^* \mathcal{O}_\pi(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}} \rightarrow 0.$$

To include frames into the picture, we consider the pull back to  $U_* \times \Xi_{UT} \times Y_\ell$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^\vee := (\text{pr}_{\Xi_{UT} \times Y_\ell}^{U_* \times \Xi_{UT} \times Y_\ell})^* \mathcal{W}$  possesses the following universal framing over  $U_* \times \Xi_{UT} \times l_o$ :

$$f : \mathcal{V}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\vee \big|_{U_* \times \Xi_{UT} \times l_o} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{*(0)}} \left( \text{pr}_0^{U_* \times \Xi_{UT} \times Y_\ell} \right)^* \mathbb{L}_0^\vee = \mathcal{O}_{U_* \times \Xi_{UT} \times l_o}^{\oplus r}, \quad f_{(u,e)} := u \cdot \alpha_e^{*(0)}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 5.10** The first named author claimed [24, Corollary 3.11] the rationality of the framed moduli space. The argument involves a generic Poincaré bundle, induced from the  $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{k}_{diag}^*$ -slice  $\Xi_G$  in  $E$ . Unfortunately, this is incorrect:  $\mathbb{k}_{diag}^* \subset \tilde{G}$ , although acts trivially on extension classes, it acts by multiplication on the extensions. Briefly, the generic stabilizer acts non-trivially, descent doesn't apply. In contrast, in our framed situation, the  $U_1^* \times_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{T}$ -stabilizer in  $U_* \times E$  is trivial, so descent is applicable in Theorem 5.9.

**5.5. Application to the plane and quadric.** We apply the results in the following cases:

- (i) the 0<sup>th</sup> Hirzebruch surface  $Y_0 = \mathcal{Q}$ , which is  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

Here the charge  $m = 2n$  (the quadric has degree 2 in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ), so  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)$  is irreducible, rational, of dimension  $4rn - r^2 + 1$ . We immediately deduce the form (4.3) of the general vector bundle in  $M_{\mathcal{Q}}(r; 2n)$ .

- (ii) the 1<sup>st</sup> Hirzebruch surface  $Y_1$ , which is the blow-up of a plane at a point.

Here the charge is  $m = n$ . Any semi-stable vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  admits a (semi-stable) deformation whose restriction to the general line is trivializable (Hirschowitz-lemma, see [30]). Thus there is a line  $\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  such that the restriction to  $\lambda$  of the general vector bundle in each (possible) irreducible component of  $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; n)$  is trivializable.

Let  $Y_1 \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{P}^2$  be the blow-up of a point  $p \in \lambda$ . Then  $\Pi^*$  and  $\Pi_*$  determine birational maps  $M_{Y_1}(r; n) \dashrightarrow M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; n)$ . The theorem above yields the irreducibility and rationality of  $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r; n)$ ; it's dimension is  $2nr - r^2 + 1$ . (See also [24, Corollary 3.9]. Hulek [30] obtained the irreducibility in a different way.) The general stable vector bundle on  $Y_1$  is given by (5.1); since  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) = \Pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(-\Lambda)$  and  $\Pi_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(-\Lambda) = \mathcal{J}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ , we obtain the exact sequence (4.2).

## 6. RETURNING TO THE ROOTS

We conclude this article with a few speculative remarks. Instantons were introduced by physicists ('t Hooft, Polyakov, etc.) motivated by physical phenomena. Thus one naturally wonders if the brief statement

*'The tensor product of two mathematical instantons is still a mathematical instanton'.*

has any relevance in physics.

The authors were very pleased to find that tensor product representations of products of compact groups –in our situation for  $SU(r) \times SU(r')$ – has been indeed investigated in the particle physics literature [14, 20, 31, 9, 39], where the resulting objects are apparently known as 'multi-instantons'. The consideration seems to be restricted only to those instantons which originate from the 4-dimensional sphere through the ADHM-construction. On the physics side, the practical reason for investigating tensor products relies in the computation of their Green functions, which are used for estimating instanton effects in quantum chromodynamics (cf. [14, pp. 94]). This circle of ideas is beyond the authors' expertise but, we believe, it's worth mentioning the matter.

Often, one is concerned with tensor products of vector bundles possessing additional structure (mostly orthogonal or symplectic); in other words, besides  $SU(r)$ , one is interested in orthogonal or symplectic vector bundles. (For the passage from complex groups to their



compact forms, one applies the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence.) The statement above remains valid, because the tensor product breaks into irreducible components and the instanton condition –that is,  $H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}(-2)) = 0$ – holds for all the direct summands.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah M., Hitchin N., Drinfel'd V., Manin Yu., *Construction of instantons*. Phys. Lett. A **65** (1978), 185–187.
- [2] Atiyah M., Hitchin N., Singer I., *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. **362** (1978), 425–461.
- [3] Atiyah M., Ward R., *Instantons and algebraic geometry*. Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977), 117–124.
- [4] Barth W., Hulek K., *Monads and moduli of vector bundles*. manuscripta math. **25** (1978), 323–347.
- [5] Barth W., *Irreducibility of the space of mathematical instanton bundles with rank 2 and  $c_2 = 4$* . Math. Ann. **258** (1981), 81–106.
- [6] Barth W., *Lectures on mathematical instanton bundles*. In: Gauge theories: fundamental interactions and rigorous results, pp. 177–206, Progr. Phys. 5, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.
- [7] Bartocci C., Bruzzo U., Rava C., *Monads for framed sheaves on Hirzebruch surfaces*. Adv. Geom. **15** (2015), 55–76. *Erratum*. Adv. Geom. **16** (2016), 531–534.
- [8] Beorchia V., Franco D., *On the moduli space of 't Hooft bundles*. Ann. Univ. Ferrara **XLVII** (2001), 253–268.
- [9] Berg B., Stehr J., *Comments on the computation of quantum fluctuations of gluons in a multi-instanton background*. Nuclear Phys. B **175** (1980), 293–306.
- [10] Bogomolov F., Katsylo P., *Rationality of some quotient varieties*. Math. USSR-Sbornik **54** (1986), 571–576.
- [11] Bruzzo U., Markushevich D., Tikhomirov A., *Moduli of symplectic instanton vector bundles of higher rank on projective space  $\mathbb{P}^3$* . Cent. Eur. J. Math. **10** (2012), 1232–1245.
- [12] Bruzzo U., Markushevich D., Tikhomirov A., *Symplectic instanton bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and 't Hooft instantons*. Eur. J. Math. **2** (2016), 73–86.
- [13] Coanda I., Tikhomirov A., Trautmann G., *Irreducibility and smoothness of the moduli space of mathematical 5-instantons over  $\mathbb{P}^3$* . Internat. J. Math. **14** (2003), 1–45.
- [14] Corrigan E., Goddard P., Templeton S., *Instanton Green functions and tensor products*. Nuclear Phys. B **151** (1979), 93–117.
- [15] Costa L., Miro-Roig R.: *Rationality of moduli spaces of vector bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces*. J. reine angew. Math. **509** (1999), 151–166.
- [16] Dolgachev I., *Rationality of Fields of Invariants*. In: Algebraic Geometry Bowdoin 1985, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. 46, Part 2, S. Bloch (ed.), pp. 3–16, AMS, Providence Rhode Island, 1987.
- [17] Domokos M.: *Covariants and the no-name Lemma*. J. Lie Theory **18** (2008), 839–849.
- [18] Donaldson S., *Instantons and geometric invariant theory*. Commun. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), 453–460.
- [19] Drinfel'd V., Manin Yu., *Instantons and sheaves on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$* . In: Algebraic Geometry, Summer meeting, Copenhagen, 1978, K. Lønsted (ed.), pp. 60–81, LNM 732, Springer-Verlag Berlin New York, 1979.
- [20] Drinfel'd V., Manin Yu., *Yang-Mills fields, instantons, tensor products of instantons*. Soviet J. Nuclear Phys. **29** (1979), 845–849.
- [21] Ellingsrud G., Strømme S., *Stable rank 2 vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = 3$* . Math. Ann. **255** (1981), 123–135.
- [22] Etingof P., Gelaki S., Nikshych D., Ostrik V., *Tensor Categories*. AMS Rhode Island, 2015.
- [23] Frenkel I., Jardim M., *Complex ADHM equations and sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^3$* . J. Algebra **319** (2008), 2913–2937.
- [24] Halic M., *Semi-stable vector bundles on fibred varieties*. Eur. J. Math. **4** (2018), .
- [25] Hartshorne R., *Stable vector bundles of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^3$* . Math. Ann. **238** (1978), 229–280.
- [26] Hartshorne R., *Stable reflexive sheaves*. Math. Ann. **254** (1980), 121–176.
- [27] Hartshorne R., *Algebraic vector bundles on projective spaces: a problem list*. Topology **18** (1979), 117–128.
- [28] Hirschowitz A., Narasimhan M., *Fibrés de 't Hooft spéciaux et applications*. In: Enumerative geometry and classical algebraic geometry, pp. 143–164, Progr. Math. 24, Birkhäuser, 1982.
- [29] 't Hooft G., *Magnetic monopoles in unified gauge theories*. Nuclear Physics B **79** (1974), 276–284.

- [30] Hulek K., *On the classification of stable rank- $r$  vector bundles over the projective plane*. In: Vector Bundles and Differential Equations, Proceedings Nice 1979, A. Hirschowitz (ed.), pp. 113–144, Progr. Math. 7, Birkäuser, 1980.
- [31] Jack I., *Multi-instanton determinants for tensor product gauge fields*. Nuclear Phys. B **174** (1980), 526–546.
- [32] Jardim M., Maican M., Tikhomirov A., *Moduli spaces of rank 2 instanton sheaves on the projective space*. Pacific J. Math. **291** (2017), 399–424.
- [33] Jardim V., Verbitsky M., *Moduli spaces of framed instanton bundles on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$  and twistor sections of moduli spaces of instantons on  $\mathbb{C}^2$* . Adv. Math. **227** (2011), 1526–1538.
- [34] Jardim V., Verbitsky M., *Trihypercakler reduction and instanton bundles on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$* . Compositio Math. **150** (2014), 1836–1868.
- [35] Katsylo P., *Rationality of the moduli variety of mathematical instantons with  $c_2 = 5$* . In: Lie Groups, Their Discrete Subgroups, and Invariant Theory, pp. 105–111, Adv. Soviet Math. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.
- [36] Li W.-P., Qin Z.: *Stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), 833–852.
- [37] Polyakov A., *Particle spectrum in the Quantum Field Theory*. JETP Letters **20** (1974), 194–195.
- [38] Singhof W., Trautmann G., *On the topology of the moduli space  $M(0, 2)$  of stable bundles of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^3$* . Quarterly J. Math. **41** (1990), 335–358.
- [39] Temple-Raston M., *Electric Monopoles in Topological Field Theories*. Internat. J. Theor. Phys. **36** (1997), 871–881.
- [40] Tikhomirov A., *The main component of the moduli space of mathematical instanton vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^3$* . J. Math. Sciences **86** (1997), 3004–3087.
- [41] Tikhomirov A., *Moduli of mathematical instanton vector bundles with odd  $c_2$  on projective space*. Izv. Math. **76** (2012), 991–1073.
- [42] Tikhomirov A., *Moduli of mathematical instanton vector bundles with even  $c_2$  on projective space*. Izv. Math. **77** (2013), 1195–1223.