XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasses

BO LAN, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China PEI LI, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China JIAXI YIN, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China YUNPENG SONG, MOE KLINNS Lab, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China GE WANG, School of Computer Science and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China HAN DING, School of Computer Science and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China JINSONG HAN, College of Computer Science and Technology, Zhejiang University, China FEI WANG*, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China



Fig. 1. XRF V2 includes multimodal data from Wi-Fi signals, IMU sensors (smartphones, smartwatches, headphones, and smart glasses), and synchronized video recordings. Volunteers perform continuous actions indoors, and Temporal Action Localization (TAL) techniques are used to identify the start and end times of each action within untrimmed sequences, which are then combined into tuples. These action sequence tuples, in conjunction with task-oriented prompts, are input into LLMs, thereby enabling the LLMs to function as intelligent agents, supporting various applications, such as remote healthcare monitoring, personal assistant, smart home automation, and health and wellness analysis. The data and code are available at https://github.com/aiotgroup/XRFV2.

*Work in progress. Fei Wang initiated and led the project. Bo Lan and Pei Li contributed equally.

Authors' Contact Information: Bo Lan, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, bolan@stu.xjtu.edu.cn; Pei Li, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, lp@stu.xjtu.edu.cn; Jiaxi Yin, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, jiaxiyin@stu.xjtu.edu.cn; Yunpeng Song, MOE KLINNS Lab, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, yunpengs@xjtu.edu.cn; Ge Wang, School of Computer Science and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, gewang@xjtu.edu.cn; Han Ding, School of Computer Science and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, dinghan@xjtu.edu.cn; Jinsong Han, College of Computer Science and Technology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, hanjinsong@zju.edu.cn; Fei Wang, School of Software Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, feynmanw@xjtu.edu.cn.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org. © 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Human Action Recognition (HAR) plays a crucial role in applications such as health monitoring, smart home automation, and humancomputer interaction. While HAR has been extensively studied, action summarization, which involves identifying and summarizing continuous actions, remains an emerging task. This paper introduces the novel XRF V2 dataset, designed for indoor daily activity Temporal Action Localization (TAL) and action summarization. XRF V2 integrates multimodal data from Wi-Fi signals, IMU sensors (smartphones, smartwatches, headphones, and smart glasses), and synchronized video recordings, offering a diverse collection of indoor activities from 16 volunteers across three distinct environments. To tackle TAL and action summarization, we propose the XRFMamba neural network, which excels at capturing long-term dependencies in untrimmed sensory sequences and outperforms state-of-the-art methods, such as ActionFormer and WiFiTAD. We envision XRF V2 as a valuable resource for advancing research in human action localization, action forecasting, pose estimation, multimodal foundation models pre-training, synthetic data generation, and more.

CCS Concepts: • Human-centered computing \rightarrow Ubiquitous and mobile computing systems and tools.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: dataset, continuous action recognition, temporal action localization, action summarization, Mamba, wearable devices, smartphone, smartwatch, glasses, earbuds, IMU, Wi-Fi, LLM Agent, smart home, ambient sensing

ACM Reference Format:

1 Introduction

Human Indoor Action Understanding (HIAU) is pivotal in enabling intelligent environments, with wide-ranging applications in health monitoring, smart home automation, and human-computer interaction. Currently, human action recognition (HAR) is the most extensively studied aspect in HIAU. HAR aims to identify and categorize discrete human actions by leveraging data from diverse modal sensors, such as smartwatches [24, 57], smartphones [11, 47], earbuds [37, 83], smart glasses [25, 74], and Wi-Fi signals [34, 61, 68]. With accurate HAR, systems can anticipate user needs, improve safety, and provide context-aware assistance, fostering a seamless interaction between individuals and their surroundings.

In this paper, we take a step forward to propose a new HIAU task: action summarization. As shown in Fig. 1, users perform continuous actions indoors, which are identified by recognizing each action's category, start time, and end time to form a sequence of tuples. These tuples can be processed using large language models (LLMs) to generate action summaries, such as insights into physical activity levels and behavioral patterns. With targeted prompts, the system can also detect anomalies like falls or missed medication. We envision several applications for action summarization: (1) Health Monitoring: providing detailed activity logs to support physical rehabilitation, track fitness goals, or detect health issues such as sedentary behavior or irregular movement patterns. (2) Elderly Care: detecting critical events like falls, identifying missed medication times, and offering behavior insights to caregivers for better elderly support. (3) Smart Home Automation: triggering adaptive responses, such as adjusting room temperature based on activity levels or controlling lighting when specific actions are performed. (4) Personal Assistants: Summarizing daily routines to help users with task planning, reminders, and prioritizing activities, thereby enhancing productivity.

The key technology enabling action summarization is temporal action localization (TAL), which focuses on accurately identifying and localizing the start and end times of actions within untrimmed sensory recordings. This step forms the foundation for generating tuples required for further summarization tasks. Over the years, numerous datasets have been developed to support TAL, primarily focusing on video-based tasks. However, these datasets are not well-suited Manuscript submitted to ACM

for summarizing indoor daily activities due to several limitations: (1) Task-Specific Datasets: many datasets, such as YouCook2 [88], Breakfast Dataset [23], and 50Salads [46], focus on specific tasks like cooking or food preparation. While these datasets are valuable within their domains, they lack diversity and fail to generalize to other types of everyday indoor activities. (2) Activity Types and Context: datasets like FineAction [32], THUMOS14 [18], and ActivityNet [7] predominantly feature sports or outdoor activities, which do not represent typical indoor scenarios encountered in daily life. (3) Privacy and Applicability: large-scale datasets, such as HACS Segment [84] and ActivityNet [7], provide diverse activity coverage but are less applicable to indoor settings due to privacy concerns raised by cameras, which limit their use in monitoring indoor activities. In recent years, indoor-focused datasets have started to emerge. For instance: Ego-ADL [47]: this dataset uses smartphone IMUs, Wi-Fi and audio for TAL but lacks key motion-sensing information from important body positions like the hands and head. This omission makes it challenging to differentiate fine-grained actions, and its reliance on audio raises significant privacy concerns for indoor monitoring applications. WiFiTAD [33]: while it provides indoor TAL capabilities using Wi-Fi signals, its dataset includes only three participants in a single scene and seven actions, which limits its generalizability.

To address these limitations, we present XRF V2, a novel dataset designed specifically for summarizing indoor daily activities. XRF V2 integrates data from multiple sensing modalities, including Wi-Fi signals, IMU sensors (smartphones, smartwatches, headphones, and smart glasses), and synchronized video recordings, as illustrated in Fig. 1. This dataset was collected with the participation of 16 volunteers, who performed diverse and continuous action sequences across three distinct indoor environments: the dining room, study room, and bedroom, aiming to support a wide range of applications in action summarization. In total, XRF V2 consists of approximately 16 hours and 16 minutes of multimodal data recordings, comprising 853 annotated action sequences that encompass diverse daily routines, including sleeping, cutting fruit, and taking medicine. Compared to WiFiTAD [33], XRF V2 provides a more diverse, realistic, and comprehensive benchmark for the action summarization task.

We further propose the XRFMamba neural network to evaluate the XRF V2 dataset and conduct accurate Temporal Action Localization (TAL) for action summarization. XRFMamba is based on the latest advanced achievements in Mamba, which excels at capturing long-term dependencies in temporal sequences. We choose XRFMamba because, unlike action recognition, TAL involves longer input sequences that contain multiple actions, and Mamba has already demonstrated strong capabilities in handling long-term dependencies in fields like NLP [13] and CV [89]. For example, ActionMamba [8] has been successfully applied for video-based TAL tasks. In this paper, we are the first to apply the Mamba network to handle Wi-Fi and IMU data for TAL tasks. Compared with existing methods such as ActionFormer [81], TriDet [41], ActionMamba [8], TemporalMaxer [49], UWiFiAction [54], and WiFiTAD [33], the proposed XRFMamba achieves superior results, e.g., outperforming the latest WiFiTAD by a large margin of 5.49 points in mAP, while using 35% fewer parameters.

Our contributions are threefold:

(1) XRF V2 Dataset: We have collected the XRF V2 dataset, which consists of 16 participants performing continuous indoor actions across three different environments, totaling 30 unique actions. This dataset provides a rich resource for indoor continuous action understanding tasks and systems, offering valuable data for advancing research in this area.

(2) New Task, Method, and Evaluation Metric: We introduce the novel task of action summarization, a new human indoor action understanding task. We also propose a new evaluation metric, Response Meaning Consistency (RMC), to assess the performance of action summarization. We further design a novel method, XRFMamba, to effectively tackle this task. Compared to existing state-of-the-art methods, XRFMamba demonstrates superior performance in action summarization.

(3) Smart Home Intelligent Agents: We demonstrate that continuous sensory data from wearable and Wi-Fi devices, when combined with large language models (LLMs), can enable the development of intelligent agents within smart homes. These agents, such as personal assistants, health assistants, and home assistants, can offer various services, including task reminders, personalized health monitoring, climate control, and more, contributing to the intelligence of smart home systems.

2 Related Work

2.1 Action Understanding with Wi-Fi Signals

Action understanding with Wi-Fi signals has become a key technology in human sensing. The RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indicator) in Wi-Fi signals is commonly used for indoor localization [5, 70]. However, its accuracy is relatively low and is susceptible to multipath effects and temporal dynamics [71, 79]. As a result, later works have adopted more information-rich Channel State Information (CSI) to achieve more precise localization [79], such as FILA [72], SpotFi [22], Splicer [75], and Dynamic-MUSIC [28]. In addition to high-precision indoor localization, Wi-Fi CSI signals have been widely applied in various tasks in fields such as smart homes, health monitoring, and human-computer interaction. These include hand gesture recognition [34, 38], finger gesture recognition [2, 26, 48], activity recognition [60, 65, 68], vital sign monitoring [59, 63, 80], pose estimation [20, 56, 58, 77], mesh reconstruction [66, 67], crowd counting [73], and human imaging [58, 76]. Since Wi-Fi-based human sensing is highly sensitive to environmental changes, several studies have concentrated on enhancing its cross-environment capabilities. For example, EI [19] and Person-in-WiFi [58] use domain adaptation techniques to align the features of the source and target environments for activity recognition and pose estimation, respectively. CrossSense [82] proposes using a mixture of experts approach to train multiple tasks and select the optimal expert for the target environment, maintaining cross-environment adaptability. Widar 3.0 [87], on the other hand, proposes environment-invariant representation, i.e., body velocity profiles, to achieve cross-environment, cross-person, and cross-method hand gesture recognition.

Apart from the tasks mentioned above, some researchers have also focused on understanding continuous action using Wi-Fi. Smokey [86] recognizes smoking actions by detecting periodic patterns, such as holding the cigarette, bringing it to the mouth, and inhaling the smoke. WiLife [27] uses Wi-Fi for continuous in-home monitoring of elderly individuals living alone, ensuring their safety and well-being. Wang et al. [54] employ U-shaped deep neural networks for the temporal localization and segmentation of daily activities and human-human interactions. WiFiTAD [33] introduces a frequency-aware learning approach combined with a dual-pyramid network to promote the accuracy of temporal action localization. IMar [16] employs tensor decomposition to extract individual Wi-Fi streams for each person, enabling separate recognition of continuous actions. In comparison to these works, we push the boundaries further by introducing a new task: action summarization. We envision this task supporting applications in remote healthcare and monitoring, personal assistants, smart home automation, and more.

2.2 Action Understanding with IMUs

Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs), such as accelerometers and gyroscopes, can measure motion acceleration and angular velocity. They are widely embedded in personal consumer electronics, including smartphones, smartwatches, smart glasses, and earbuds. Researchers have leveraged IMUs in these devices to develop numerous applications in areas such as healthcare [1, 10, 11, 21, 35, 40, 57, 62], sports [50, 69], human-computer interaction [11, 11, 24, 39, 47, 52, 53, 83], and industrial applications [4, 45]. Jung et al. [21] utilize smartwatches and continuous wavelet transform (CWT) Manuscript submitted to ACM

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasses

technology to identify tremor episodes and amplitude of Parkinson's disease patients during drawing tasks. Azadi et al. [4] investigate the feasibility of recognizing screwing actions in manufacturing using smartwatches and unsupervised learning techniques. De et al. [10] place a mobile phone on a patient's left hip to estimate hip and knee joint loading, providing valuable insights for doctors in diagnosing the patient's condition. Anguita et al. [3] leverage a smartphone worn at the waist to identify six daily activities: standing, sitting, lying down, walking, walking downstairs, and walking upstairs. WISEGlass [53] captures the wearer's head movements—up, right, left, and down—using IMUs embedded in the legs of smart glasses, enabling the control of the Pac-Man game accordingly. Wetlab [39] integrates Google Glass with a wrist-worn IMU sensor, allowing for the capture and review of DNA extraction experiments conducted in wet laboratory environments. ExpressEar [52] uses earbuds to detect fine facial muscle movements, exploring the correlation between movements and facial expressions. This enables hands-free control through intentional facial expressions or monitoring involuntary ones to infer the user's emotional state. ExpressEar [52] utilizes a Nokia eSense earbuds to sense fine facial muscle movements, and mine the correlation between the movements and facial expressions for hands-free control using facial expressions or to monitor involuntary facial expressions to infer the user's emotional state. Ui-Ear [83] utilizes earbuds to detect on-face gestures, including tapping, slide up, slide down, slide left, slide right, clockwise circle, and counterclockwise circle, enhancing the user-earbuds interaction experience.

Current advancements primarily focus on Human Activity Recognition (HAR), but a recent work [6] shifts its focus to Temporal Action Localization (TAL) using IMU data. It adapts video-based TAL methods, e.g., ActionFormer [81], TemporalMaxer [49], and TriDet [41] on multiple public datasets, including WetLab for DNA extraction experiment [39] and Hang-Time HAR for basketball-playing actions [17]. We take a step further by collecting a continuous dataset of indoor daily activities, specifically designed to evaluate both Temporal Action Localization (TAL) and action summarization tasks.

2.3 Continuous Action Dataset and Benchmark

Temporal action localization (TAL) and temporal action detection (TAD) are fundamental tasks in the computer vision community and have been extensively studied [43]. Searchers have introduced numerous publicly accessible datasets to evaluate TAL and TAD methods. Breakfast [23] and YouCook2 [88] are two representative large-scale daily cooking datasets captured from third-person viewpoint cameras. The Breakfast includes 10 operations related to breakfast preparation, performed by 52 different people from 18 different kitchens. YouCook2 contains 2000 untrimmed long videos from 89 cooking recipes, with each step annotated with temporal boundaries and described in sentences. Beyond these cooking datasets, some other datasets such as ActivityNet [7], THUMOS14 [18], HACS Segments [84], FineAction [32], are released for TAL involving daily activities, sports, and household tasks. Among these, FineAction consists of 103K temporal instances of 106 action categories, annotated in 17K untrimmed videos, covering household activities, personal care, socializing, and sports. While cameras are widely used for TAL tasks, they face challenges such as fixed positions and viewing angles once deployed, as well as privacy concerns in home environments. Thus, several studies have explored smartwatches, smartphones, and Wi-Fi for TAL. UWash [57] is a dedicated system for segmenting handwashing gestures using smartwatch IMU data. Its dataset includes 550 handwashing recordings from 71 participants. Ego-ADL [47] leverages a smartphone to continuously capture users' audio, Wi-Fi, and motion sensor data in daily life. The released dataset comprises 141 annotated sequences. However, the reliance on a single smartphone placed in the user's pocket introduces limitations in capturing fine-grained hand and head movements. WiFiTAD [33] uses a setup with one transmitter and one receiver Wi-Fi device to collect data in a single indoor environment. The dataset features recordings from three participants performing seven daily activities-walking, running, jumping, Manuscript submitted to ACM

waving, falling, sitting, and standing. It includes 553 untrimmed sequences, with an average of 3.79 action instances per sequence.

Compared to UWash, Ego-ADL, and WiFiTAD, XRF V2 offers richer sensor data, integrating two smartphones, two smartwatches, one pair of earbuds, and one smart glasses. This comprehensive setup captures diverse motion information, including body, hand, and head movements, enabling more effective Temporal Action Localization (TAL) in home environments. Since WiFiTAD is the most relevant and latest work to XRF V2, we compare XRF V2 with it in Table 1, which demonstrates significant advantages over WiFiTAD in terms of the number of scenes, actions, subjects, sequences, and modalities. Furthermore, XRF V2 supports the exploration of optimal sensor combinations and multimodal data fusion, providing a comprehensive dataset for advancing IMU and Wi-Fi based temporal action localization and action summarization research.

Table 1. XRF V2 shows great advantages in the number of scenes, actions, subjects, sequences, and modalities compared to the very latest WiFiTAD [33].

Dataset	#Scene	#Actions	#Subjects	#Sequences	Modality	Venue
WiFiTAD [33]	1	7	3	553	Wi-Fi	AAAI 2025
XRF V2	3	30	15	853 (16h 16m 8s)	Wi-Fi, 5 IMUs, RGB+D+IR	/

3 XRF V2 Acquisition and Description

3.1 Hardware Setups

Wi-Fi transceivers. The Wi-Fi transceiver devices include one transmitter and three receivers, positioned at the four corners of a 3.1 m \times 2.4 m rectangular area. This rectangle defines the activity region for human actions, and the devices are placed at a height of 120 cm to ensure effective coverage for full-body motion capture. The transmitter operates with a single antenna, broadcasting packets at 200 per second on channel 128 (5.64 GHz). Each receiver is equipped with three antennas. Using the Wi-Fi CSI Tool [15], we extract the channel state information (CSI) from 30 orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) subcarriers. The resulting CSI recordings are represented as a tensor of size (200*t*) \times 1 \times 3 \times 3 \times 30, where *t* is the recording duration in seconds. For example, for an 80-second continuous action, the CSI recordings yield a tensor of size 16000 \times 1 \times 3 \times 3 \times 30, capturing detailed variations in the wireless channel over time for subsequent analysis.

IMU devices. The IMU device choice follows IMUPoser [36] and includes three commonly used devices in daily life: smartwatches, smartphones, and earbuds. Additionally, we introduce smart glasses, considering their promising potential in AR and the metaverse [85]. In our experiments, the brand of earbuds is AirPods Pro, with IMU data recorded at a sampling rate of 25 Hz. For smartwatches, smartphones, and smart glasses, we use commercially available IMU modules to simulate the IMUs of these devices. These modules allow centralized control through a PC-based interface, facilitating better synchronization across all IMU devices. The IMU modules record data at a sampling rate of 50 Hz. The placement of IMU devices is shown in Fig. 1.

Azure Kinect. The Azure Kinect captures RGB, depth, and infrared images at 30 frames per second in 720P resolution using its toolkit. The Kinect is placed between the transmitter and the third receiver at a height of 115 cm to capture video footage of the movement of individuals in the scene. We can use open-source computer vision models to extract pose and mesh information from the videos, which will be useful for future tasks such as IMU- and Wi-Fi-based pose estimation and mesh reconstruction.

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasses



Fig. 2. Illustration of the experimental scenarios, where volunteers perform continuous action sequences in the dining room, study room, and bedroom. IMU devices from two smartwatches, two smartphones, a pair of earbuds, a pair of glasses, and four Wi-Fi transceivers, along with an Azure Kinect, are synchronized to record action sequences.

The experimental scenarios are shown in Fig. 2. Before data recording, all the aforementioned hardware devices undergo careful synchronization. Notably, XRF V2 selects commonly available consumer-grade products used in everyday household and personal settings, unlike XRF55 [55], which utilizes millimeter-wave radar and an RFID reader with a tag array.

3.2 Action Sequence Proposal

We select three typical household scenarios for the experiment: the dining room, study room, and bedroom. These settings allow us to summarize users' activities related to eating, studying, and sleeping, along with associated series of actions. For example, activities such as taking medicine, drinking water, washing hands, and stretching serve as health-related indicators; and actions like opening curtains or turning on lights provide insights into interactions with household devices.

We communicate the above objective to the volunteers, but instead of assigning each person a fixed action sequence, we aim to increase the diversity of action sequences and incorporate personal habits relevant to the volunteers. Therefore, we ask each volunteer to recall their own daily action sequences in the dining room, study room, and bedroom, and estimate the execution time of each action in the sequence. Each volunteer recalls five action sequences based on the starting positions of the actions, with each sequence containing 7-10 actions. Fig. 4 shows examples of some action sequences, while Table 2 presents the proposed actions in each scene.

3.3 Volunteer Coordination and Action Execution

We recruit volunteers through group chats, online meetings, and social media. Volunteers can access the informed consent form online, which includes details about the study's purpose, methods, participation duration, potential risks, and compensation. Registrants receive a shared editable online spreadsheet to fill in their preferred participation time. At the experiment site, volunteers are provided with a printed version of the informed consent form. We explain its content to each volunteer and address questions they might have. If volunteers agree to proceed, they sign to confirm their participation and receive compensation equivalent to the local average wage multiplied by their participation duration.

Scene	Proposed actions
Dining room	1. Walk (towards the cabinet, towards the chair), 2. Sit down, 3. Stand up, 4. Pour water into the cup, 5. Drink water, 6. Take medicine, 7. Pick up things, 8. Take the fruits from the cabinet, 9. Cut fruits, 10. Eat fruits, 11. Wash hands, 12. Throw waste, 13. Wipe the table, 14. Stretch when sitting.
Study room	1. Walk (towards the door, towards the chair, towards the blackboard), 2. Sit down, 3. Stand up, 4. Pour water into the cup, 5. Drink water, 6. Take medicine, 7. Turn on and off the desk lamp, 8. Operate the mouse, 9. Write, 10. Operate the keyboard, 11. Read a book, 12. Open an envelope, 13. Answer the phone, 14. Stretch when standing, 15. Write on the blackboard.
Bedroom	1. Walk (towards the bed, towards the window), 2. Get up, 3. Sit down, 4. Lie down, 5. Stand up, 6. Read a book, 7. Pour water into the cup, 8. Drink water, 9. Take medicine, 10. Stretch when standing, 11. Use phone, 12. Open and close windows, 13. Open and close curtains, 14. Water plants, 15. Stand still, 16. Lying still.

Table 2. Actions proposed and executed by volunteers in the Bedroom, Study room, and Dining room.

Before starting the experiment, we assist volunteers in wearing the IMU devices and explain the data collection process and relevant precautions. Once the volunteers feel ready, the experiment begins. Volunteers still retain the right to withdraw from the experiment at any time. Our experiment is conducted with approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB). We recruit 16 volunteers, with an age range of 22–34 years, a height range of 1.57–1.82 meters, and a weight range of 43–90 kgs.

Before performing the action sequences, we proportionally shorten the durations estimated by the volunteers to fall within 5–20 seconds. This adjustment is necessary because the originally estimated durations are often much longer; for instance, reading a book might be estimated as one hour. Performing such lengthy actions would significantly increase data collection time and complicate annotation. For example, it is challenging for individuals to maintain a single activity, such as reading, for an extended period without engaging in other incidental actions, such as adjusting their posture or picking up a drink. When these additional actions occur, the annotation process becomes more complicated, as it requires meticulously reviewing the entire video segment to precisely identify and mark the start and end times of each action.



Fig. 3. Automatic annotation process. The audio prompts are played in real-time to guide the volunteers. Upon hearing an action instruction, the volunteer performs the corresponding action and transitions to the next action upon hearing the subsequent prompt. The start time and end time of an action are annotated with the prompts played time.

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasse9

We also make reasonable adjustments to the execution durations several times, generating more action sequences. As a result, the action sequences in each scene become more diverse. After that, we can adopt an automated annotation method to streamline the process. The action sequences proposed by the volunteers are converted into audio prompts using text-to-audio technology. These audio prompts are played in real time to guide the volunteers. Upon hearing an action instruction, the volunteer performs the corresponding action and transitions to the next action upon hearing the subsequent prompt. This process continues until the entire sequence is completed.

The start and end times of each audio prompt are automatically recorded as the start and end times of the corresponding action. Fig. 3 illustrates this automatic annotation process. As a result, after executing an action sequence containing *n* actions, we automatically obtain the annotations for the entire sequence: { $(a_i, t_i^s, t_i^e), i = 1, 2, ..., n$ }, where a_i, t_i^s , and t_i^e represent the action category, start time, and end time of the *i*-th action, respectively.

In general, the duration of a single action sequence is approximately 50–80 seconds. After completing one action sequence, volunteers rest for 10 seconds, and after executing five sequences, they take a 5-minute break.

In Fig. 4, we present action sequences from the study room, dining room, and bedroom, with a sensory sequences visualization from the bedroom. For clarity, we display only the three-axis accelerometer data from all IMU devices, and for the Wi-Fi data, we select the data from one antenna of each receiving device. Additionally, the sampling frequency of all data has been standardized, and the x-axis represents the timestamp. To highlight the different actions in the sequence, we use dashed lines in the figure to indicate the endpoints of each action.

3.4 Dataset Statistics

Each of the 16 volunteers proposed 5 action sequences for each scene. By shortening durations and adjusting the length of individual actions, we generated multiple variants of these sequences. Specifically, in the bedroom, each volunteer performed three different variations of each action sequence, while in the study room and dining room, four different variations were performed for each sequence. Thus, XRF V2 dataset contains a total of: $16 \times 5 \times 3 + 16 \times 5 \times 4 + 16 \times 5 \times 4 = 880$ action sequences. After filtering out incomplete and erroneous sequences, we obtained 853 valid action sequences, with a total duration of 16 hours, 16 minutes and 8 seconds. These sequences were captured using synchronized Channel State Information (CSI) from four Wi-Fi transceivers, IMU data from two smartwatches, two smartphones, a pair of earbuds, and a pair of smart glasses, along with RGB+D+IR video streams from a Kinect camera. Furthermore, we implemented a stratified partitioning strategy maintaining an 80:20 ratio between training and testing sets across all environmental contexts and participants, resulting in 682 sequences (80%) allocated for model training and 171 sequences (20%) reserved for evaluation purposes. Table. 3 shows detailed statistics of XRF V2 dataset.

Table 3. XRF V2 contains 853 multimodal sensory sequences for action summarization and temporal action localization.

	tra	in	test			
Dining room	244 sequences	4h39min41s	61 sequences	1h8min40s		
Study room	256 sequences	5h0min31s	64 sequences	1h16min15s		
Bedroom	182 sequences	3h19min8s	46 sequences	51min53s		
Total	682 sequences	12h59min20s	171 sequences	3h16min48s		

4 Method

State Space Models (SSMs), such as S4 [14], S5 [44], and Mamba [13], are a recent class of deep learning methods that have demonstrated advantages in both natural language processing [13] and computer vision [89]. Unlike Transformer-based Manuscript submitted to ACM



Fig. 4. Examples of action sequence from the study room, dining room, and bedroom, with a detailed visualization of the sensory sequence from the bedroom.

models, which suffer from quadratic time complexity due to self-attention, SSMs operate with linear-time complexity, making them significantly more efficient for long sequence modeling. In action summarization, the input sensor sequences are much longer than those used in action recognition tasks, necessitating the ability to effectively model long-range dependencies. To this end, we base our approach on an advanced SSM model and propose the XRFMamba network. Next, we first introduce the preliminary concepts of SSMs in Sec. 4.1, then, we will present XRFMamba in Sec. 4.2.

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasses

4.1 State Space Model Preliminaries



Fig. 5. State space model (a). The model can be computed in linear recurrence (b) for inference, or global convolution (c) for training.

As introduced in Mamba [13], state space models are inspired by a continuous system, that maps 1-dimensional function or sequence $x(t) \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ through a hidden state $h(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, illustrated in Fig. 5 (a). The system works as follows:

$$h'(t) = \mathbf{A}h(t) + \mathbf{B}x(t), \quad y(t) = \mathbf{C}h(t).$$
(1)

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the evolution parameter, and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N}$ are the projection parameters.

For practical implementation, the continuous system needs to be discretized. When discretizing, the continuous state transition matrices (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) are approximated by their discrete counterparts $(\overline{\mathbf{A}}, \overline{\mathbf{B}})$. The Zero-Order Hold (ZOH) is commonly used in the discretization process, defined as follows:

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \exp\left(\Delta \mathbf{A}\right), \quad \overline{\mathbf{B}} = (\Delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} (\exp\left(\Delta \mathbf{A}\right) - \mathbf{I}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{B}. \tag{2}$$

After the discretization, Equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$h_t = \overline{A}h_{t-1} + \overline{B}x_t, \quad y_t = Ch_t. \tag{3}$$

Since this is in the linear recurrence expression, as illustrated in Fig. 5 (b), it is efficient for autoregressive inference. In addition, the discretized SSM can also be expressed in the global convolution expression, as follows:

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = (\mathbf{C}\overline{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{C}\overline{\mathbf{A}}\overline{\mathbf{B}}, \dots, \mathbf{C}\overline{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathsf{L}-1}\overline{\mathbf{B}}), \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} * \overline{\mathbf{K}}, \tag{4}$$

where L is input sequence length, and $\overline{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{L}$ is a structured convolutional kernel, illustrated in Fig. 5 (c). The convolution expression is efficient for parallel training.

Due to the flexibility of SSMs, they can switch to convolution during training and to recurrence during inference, providing fast performance in both stages. SSMs have a rich foundational knowledge, and introducing all aspects is beyond the scope of this paper. For more detailed information, please refer to Mamba [13]. In practice, SSMs are highly convenient. For example, in Vision Mamba [89], the Mamba module replaces the Transformer module in the Vision Transformer (ViT) [12], achieving better performance than ViT while also being more computationally efficient. Additionally, in the Video Mamba Suite [8], Mamba is evaluated as a plug-in or backbone, showing excellent results across 12 video understanding tasks, including action recognition and temporal action localization.

4.2 XRFMamba

To achieve action summarization, it is essential to identify the actions performed by the user within a specific time span, along with their respective start and end times, which corresponds to the Temporal Action Localization (TAL) task. Manuscript submitted to ACM



Fig. 6. XRFMamba uses Mamba as the feature learning backbone. It takes an input sequence and predicts the action categories that appear in the sequence, along with the start and end times of each action.

To address TAL, we propose XRFMamba, a novel neural network based on the State Space Model (SSM). As shown in Fig. 6, XRFMamba employs Mamba blocks as the representation learning backbone, enabling the accurate localization of actions in continuous sensory sequences.

Projection and Fusion. The Projection module is adapted from WiFiTAD [33] and comprises three sequential components: 1D Convolutional Operations, Group Normalization, and ReLU Activation. The Projection module standardizes the output channels of IMU and Wi-Fi data using convolutional operations, ensuring consistent channel dimensions for the subsequent fusion operation. The fusion of Wi-Fi and IMU data is performed through a weighted summation, as shown in the following equation:

$$e = \lambda e_{wifi} + (1 - \lambda)e_{imu} \tag{5}$$

Here, e_{wifi} and e_{imu} represent Wi-Fi and IMU data outputs after projection, respectively. In our experiments, we observed that the IMU data performed better than Wi-Fi data. To maintain the stability of the fusion performance, we assigned a higher weight to the IMU data. Specifically, we set $\lambda = 0.2$ in our experiments.

Embedding. After fusion, the design of our embedding module takes inspiration from ActionMamba [8]. In ActionMamba, the input to the Mamba backbone is not raw video but feature embeddings derived from the pre-trained model, InterVideo [64]. Following a similar approach, we introduce an embedding step prior to feeding the data into the Mamba backbone. However, due to the absence of widely accepted pre-trained models for IMU and Wi-Fi data in both academic and industrial contexts, a dedicated module is required to generate these embeddings. In this regard, we leverage the TSSE (Temporal Signal Semantic Embedding) module from WiFiTAD, which has proven effective in embedding Wi-Fi data for temporal action localization tasks. The TSSE embedding module is a dual-stream architecture, where one stream is based on the Transformer, which excels at learning global features, and the other is based on convolutional layers, which are effective at capturing local features. These two streams are fused at the output stage to create a comprehensive feature representation. The fused embeddings are then passed into the Mamba backbone for further processing.

Mamba Backbone. The Mamba backbone in Fig.6 is the Decomposed Bidirectionally Mamba (DBM), introduced in ActionMamba [8]. DBM extends the original Vision Mamba (ViM) [89] and vanilla Mamba [13]. We present DBM block details in Algorithm. 31, consistent with the ViM algorithm style. Initially, the input sensory sampling point S_{I-1} is normalized and processed through four linear layers to produce z_1 , $x_{forward}$, $x_{backward}$, and z_2 . $x_{forward}$ and $x_{backward}$ are then processed in their respective forward and backward directions. Specifically, $x_{forward}$ undergoes forward processing to yield $x'_{forward}$, $B_{forward}$, and $C_{forward}$, which are subsequently discretized into $\overline{A}_{forward}$ and $\overline{B}_{forward}$. Next, $y_{forward}$ is computed through the forward SSM and fused with z_1 to generate $y'_{forward}$. Similarly, Manuscript submitted to ACM XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasst3

Algorithm 1 Decomposed Bidirectionally Mamba Block Process (in ViM [89] style)

```
Require: sensory sampling point S_{l-1}: (B, L, D)
 1: /* normalize the input sequence S'_{I-1} */
  2: S'_{l-1}: (B, L, D) \leftarrow LayerNorm(S_{l-1})
  3: \mathbf{z1}: (B, L, E) \leftarrow Linear<sup>1</sup>(S'_{l-1})
  4: \ \mathbf{x_{forward}}: (\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{E}) \leftarrow \mathbf{Linear^2}(\mathsf{S}'_{l-1})
  5: \mathbf{x}_{backward} : (B, L, E) \leftarrow Linear<sup>3</sup>(S'_{l-1})
  6: \mathbf{z2}: (B, L, E) \leftarrow \mathbf{Linear}^4(\mathbf{S}'_{l-1})
  7: /* process with different direction */
  8: for o in {forward, backward} do
           \mathbf{x}'_{o}: (\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{E}) \leftarrow \mathrm{SiLU}(\mathrm{Conv1d}_{o}(\mathbf{x}_{o}))
  9:
          \mathbf{B}_o: (\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{N}) \leftarrow \operatorname{Linear}_o^{\mathsf{B}}(\mathbf{x}'_o)
10:
11: C_o : (B, L, N) \leftarrow Linear_o^C(\mathbf{x}'_o)
12:
          /* softplus ensures positive \Delta_o */
            \Delta_o : (B, L, E) \leftarrow \log(1 + \exp(\text{Linear}_o^{\Delta}(\mathbf{x}'_o) + \text{Parameter}_o^{\Delta}))
13:
            /* shape of Parameter<sup>A</sup> is (E, N) */
14:
15:
            \overline{\mathbf{A}_{o}} : (\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{N}) \leftarrow \Delta_{o} \bigotimes \mathbf{Parameter}_{o}^{\mathsf{A}}
            \overline{\mathbf{B}_{o}}:(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{L},\mathsf{E},\mathsf{N})\leftarrow\Delta_{o}\bigotimes\mathbf{B}_{o}
16:
            /* initialize h_o and \mathbf{y}_o with 0 */
17:
             h_o: (B, E, N) \leftarrow zeros (B, E, N)
18:
            \mathbf{y}_{o}: (\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{E}) \leftarrow \operatorname{zeros}(\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{E})
19:
            /* SSM recurrent */
20:
21:
           for i in {0, ..., M-1} do
                  h_o = \overline{A_o}[:, i, :, :] \odot h_o + \overline{B_o}[:, i, :, :] \odot \mathbf{x}'_o[:, i, :, None]
22:
23:
                  \mathbf{y}_{o}[:,i,:] = h_{o} \bigotimes \mathbf{C}_{o}[:,i,:]
24:
             end for
25: end for
26: /* get gated y */
\textbf{27: } \mathbf{y}_{forward}^{'}:(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{L},\mathsf{E}) \leftarrow \mathbf{y}_{forward}\bigotimes SiLU(z\mathbf{1})
28: y'_{backward} : (B, L, E) \leftarrow y_{backward} \bigotimes SiLU(z2)
29: /* residual connection */
30: \ \mathbf{S}_{l} : (\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{D}) \leftarrow \mathbf{Linear}^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{forward}}^{'}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{backward}}^{'})) + \mathbf{S}_{l-1}
31: Return: S_{l} : (B, L, D)
```

 $y'_{backward}$ is obtained in the backward direction. The forward and backward outputs, $y'_{forward}$ and $y'_{backward}$, are then fused to produce the final output S_l . Notably, the convolution and SSM parameters are shared between both directions in DBM.

Pyramidal Prediction Head. We use Mamba to transform the input into features with the same number of channels but different lengths, resulting in a feature pyramid composed of $(f_1, f_2, ..., f_K)$. Each feature dimension f_i is processed through two separate convolutional paths to output action recognition results and action localization results, respectively. The total loss is the sum of the errors from these two prediction branches. The overall training loss of the network is given as follows:

$$L^{i} = \alpha_{1}L^{i}_{Cls} + \alpha_{2}L^{i}_{Loc}$$

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{K}L^{i}$$
(6)

where we use Focal Loss [31] for action classification and L_1 loss for action localization; α_1 and α_2 are used to balance the scale of the two losses, and we set $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1000$ based on empirical observations to ensure that both losses are on a similar magnitude. This pyramidal prediction strategy leverages the ability of different lengths to capture Manuscript submitted to ACM actions of varying durations, originally applied in object detection [30], where features of different sizes capture objects of different scales, and has been widely adopted in temporal action localization tasks, such as in [8, 33, 81].

Fig. 7. Each action has multiple localization priors, leading to several candidate prediction results. NMS enables XRFMamba to keep only the best result for each action. (Illustration inspired by RF-fall [51])

Inference. In XRFMamba, each action has multiple localization priors, leading to several candidate prediction results. To convert multiple localization prediction results into a single prediction, we use Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS). The steps of NMS are as follows: (1) Sorting: sort all candidate segments by their scores, processing the segment with the highest score first. (2) Discard Overlapping Segments: after processing the current segment, compute its overlap with other segments. If the overlap exceeds a predefined threshold, that segment is discarded. (3) Repeat the Process: continue with the next highest-scoring segment and repeat step 3 until all segments have been processed. Fig. 7 shows an example. The segment corresponding to the highest classification score for action i is selected first, and the other segments that have a high overlap with it are discarded. As a result, action i will only retain one localization prediction. Then, among the remaining segments, the segment with the highest score for action j is selected, and all the other segments with a high overlap with it are discarded. Therefore, action j will also have only one prediction result. NMS enables XRFMamba to keep only the best result for each action.

4.3 Implementation Details

Prior to training, we perform data augmentation on action sequence sensory data in the training set through sliding window segmentation. Each 30-second clip is generated with a 3-second sliding stride, expanding the original 682 training action sequences into 9660 30-second clips. Subsequently, we unify multimodal sensor clips to 2048 temporal dimensions via signal interpolation: (1) Earbuds clips (25Hz×30s = 750 samples) and other IMU clips (50Hz×30s = 1500 samples) are upsampled to 2048 using linear interpolation; (2) Wi-Fi clips (200Hz×30s = 6000 samples) are adaptively downsampled to 2048.

The sliding-window data augmentation introduces inherent challenges of partial action truncation, as 30-second clips contain multiple action instances. Temporal boundaries of clips frequently manifest partial action executions (head/tail truncation), where left boundaries preserve end time without start time, while right boundaries retain start time lacking end time. To address this truncation issue, we (1) incomplete segments covering \geq 80% of original action duration are retained, with truncation points redefined as start/end time; (2) Segments containing < 80% complete actions are excluded from loss computation via temporal masking during backpropagation.

We use AdamW optimizer to train XRFMamba for 80 epochs on one Nvidia 3090 GPU. The initial learning rate is 4e-5, and is adjusted every 30 epochs by a factor of 0.5. The batch size is 8. Manuscript submitted to ACM XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glass 45

During the inference phase, XRFMamba processes 30-second temporal clips with a 5-second sliding stride, generating preliminary action proposals for each clip. To consolidate overlapping predictions, we implement the temporal non-maximum suppression (NMS) algorithm described above. The final temporal action localization (TAL) outputs comprise action classifications with precise start/end time boundaries.

5 Evaluation and Results

5.1 Metrics

(1) AP and mAP for Temporal Action Localization. We use the intersection over union (IoU) between the predicted action time and the ground truth time, referred to as tIoU, to evaluate the performance of Temporal Action Localization (TAL). A higher tIoU indicates better performance. tIoU can be computed as follows:

$$tIoU = \frac{time \ of \ overlap}{time \ of \ uniou} = \frac{\max(0, \min(\text{end}_{\text{pred}}, \text{end}_{\text{gt}})) - \max(0, \min(\text{start}_{\text{pred}}, \text{start}_{\text{gt}}))}{\text{end}_{\text{pred}} - \text{start}_{\text{pred}} + \text{end}_{\text{gt}} - \text{start}_{\text{gt}}}$$
(7)

where $start_{pred}$ and end_{pred} are the predicted start and end times of an action; $start_{gt}$ and end_{gt} are the ground truth start and end times.

Furthermore, we use AP@t to denote the ratio of actions whose tIoU is greater than or equal to a threshold t, computed as follows:

$$AP@t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{I}(tIoU_i \ge t)}{N}$$
(8)

where *N* is the number of actions to compute tIoU. I outputs 1 if $IoU_i \ge t$ is true, else 0. The larger the AP@t, the higher the ratio of actions with a tIoU greater than or equal to the threshold *t*. On the other hand, as *t* increases, the threshold for achieving a higher tIoU becomes more challenging, leading to a decrease in AP@t. The upper bound of AP@t is 1, while the lower bound is 0.

Besides, we use mAP (mean Average Precision) as the ultimate metric to evaluate the accuracy of Temporal Action Localization (TAL). It is computed by averaging the AP@t over 10 tIoU levels, specifically AP@[0.50:0.05:0.95], which covers tIoU thresholds from 0.5 to 0.95 with a step size of 0.05.

(2) RMC and mRMC for Action Summarization. Action summarization involves providing a large language model (LLM) with a sequence of actions along with their start and end times. The task is then to use task-oriented prompts to generate the responses. This is a novel task, and there is currently no standard metric for evaluating the effectiveness of action summarization. To address this, we introduce a new metric, Response Meaning Consistency (RMC), to evaluate action summarization performance.

As shown in Fig. 8, an action sequence (as) contains both the ground truth actions and their corresponding start and end times. XRFMamba also predicts action categories along with their start and end times. After inputting the sequence into the LLM for question-answering, the LLM generates responses based on both the ground truth and the predictions. When the model's predictions are more accurate, the responses generated for the ground truth and the predictions should be consistent in meaning. To evaluate this, we invite human auditors to determine whether the meaning of the responses is consistent. The RMC can thus be computed as follows:

$$RMC = \sum_{i=1}^{N_q} \text{Human}(\text{LLM}(as^i_{gt}, prompt_i), \text{LLM}(as^i_{pred}, prompt_i))/N_q$$
(9)

where Human outputs 1 if the human auditor considers the LLM's responses on ground truth and predicted action sequences share the same meaning. Specifically, for XRF V2, for the 171 test sequences, we prepared two prompts for Manuscript submitted to ACM

##(gt) This is an action sequence that occurred in the bedroom: the user did the action of sitting down, with a start time of 0.0 and an end time of 5.0; ... the user did the action of cutting fruits, with a start time of 49.0 and an end time of 57.0;

##(prediction) This is an action sequence that occurred in the bedroom: the user did the action of sitting down, with a start time of 1.0 and an end time of 6.82; ... the user did the action of stretching, with a start time of 45.4 and an end time of 58.2;

##(prompt 1) Please answer whether the user has been walking during this period?

##(LLM gt response) Yes, the user has been walking during this period. The action of walking occurred from 22.0 to 30.0. Therefore, the user walked during this period.

##(LLM prediction response) Yes, the user has been walking during this period. The action of walking occurred from 20.0 to 31.09. Therefore, the user walked during this period.

→ Consistent instance

##(prompt 2) Please answer the number of times the user has stretched during this period?

##(LLM gt response) The user stretched once during this period. The action of stretching occurred from 13.0 to 18.0. Therefore, the user stretched 1 time.

##(LLM prediction response) The user stretched twice during this period. The action of stretching occurred from 13.59 to 17:6, and from 45.4 and an end time of 58.2. Therefore, the user stretched 2 times.

→ Inconsistent instance

Fig. 8. Example of Response Meaning Consistency (RMC) calculation. For each test action sequence, several actions with start and end times are provided for both ground truth and predicted values. Using a task-oriented prompt, the LLM generates corresponding action summarization results. Human auditors then assess whether the responses for the real and predicted values convey consistent meanings. A higher level of consistency results in a higher RMC score.

Table 4. Comparison of different methods. We evaluate several state-of-the-art approaches adapted in IMU-based temporal action localization (TAL) [6], Wi-Fi based temporal action detection [54] and TAL [33]. Our method achieves the best performance, outperforming the recent WiFiTAD [33] by a large margin of 5.49 points in mAP@avg, while using 35% fewer parameters.

model	mAP@0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95	mAP@avg	Params	GFlops
TemporalMaxer [49]	89.59	86.70	81.23	69.06	54.82	39.60	24.91	11.84	4.13	0.77	46.27	9.73M	7.93
ActionFormer [81]	90.56	87.94	83.28	77.59	68.60	54.94	37.09	18.65	5.89	0.76	52.53	47.66M	15.70
TriDet [41]	92.90	91.34	89.51	83.37	77.91	67.50	51.46	31.19	11.61	2.28	59.91	16.12M	8.94
UWiFiAction [54]	87.59	86.32	84.12	81.94	78.33	66.77	53.11	34.23	13.17	1.84	58.74	6.24M	-
WiFiTAD [33]	95.34	94.71	94.32	92.83	86.32	81.75	73.62	57.73	36.69	19.14	73.25	121.06M	44.81
ActionMamba [8]	95.05	94.84	94.10	92.81	91.54	84.63	76.56	57.54	26.89	4.35	71.83	29.35M	11.91
XRFMamba (ours)	96.67	96.23	95.68	94.53	92.02	88.66	77.92	63.96	46.53	35.13	78.74	78.38M	43.49

each sequence, resulting in a total of 342 LLM responses to the ground truth and another 342 responses to the predicted results. All used prompts are presented in the Appendix. A.

We invited five auditors (3 male and 2 female), each with 1-3 years of experience using LLM models, to evaluate whether the meanings of the real and predicted responses were consistent for each of the 342 pairs. As shown in the formula, when the meanings are consistent, the numerator is incremented by 1, and the denominator represents the total number of evaluations, $N_q = 342$. To reduce randomness, we perform action summarization using three different LLM models: ChatGPT-40, Qwen2.5-Plus, and DeepSeek-V3, under their free plans. The final evaluation metric, mRMC, is obtained by averaging the results from different models and auditors. This provides a more robust and reliable measure for assessing the performance of action summarization.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

16

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glasses

Table 5. mAP of 30 actions. mAP@avg for most actions remains relatively high indicating that XRFMamba performs well in X	<rf th="" v2<=""></rf>
dataset for temporal action localization.	

actionmAP@0.50.550.60.650.70.750.80.850.90.95mAP@avWalk99.6599.3399.3399.3395.2091.5587.8272.5652.5045.9584.32Sit down99.3498.3598.1398.1397.2697.2692.5773.1263.5256.6187.43Stand up99.8899.8899.8899.8894.9391.8381.2468.5248.6841.9082.66Pour water into the cup99.7598.7298.7298.5295.4891.8588.0869.8447.3443.8383.21Drink water94.9994.9994.9994.9988.9788.9788.6469.7656.2438.1081.07Take medicine98.1798.1798.1798.1798.7398.7398.7388.9788.9788.6469.7656.2438.10Pick up things98.7398.7398.7398.7398.7398.7387.385.1867.1858.8257.1886.07Take the fruits from the cabinet100.00100.00100.0088.7472.9672.1457.8936.7833.2575.64Eat fruits99.7599.7599.7594.8794.2989.8082.6455.4624.3315.7575.64Eat fruits99.7499.7499.7494.7994.7995.7197.7197.7197.7157.3756
Walk99.6599.3399.3399.3395.2091.5587.8272.5652.5045.9584.32Sit down99.3498.3598.1398.1397.2697.2692.5773.1263.5256.6187.43Stand up99.8899.8899.8899.8894.9391.8381.2468.5248.6841.9082.66Pour water into the cup99.7598.7298.7298.5295.4891.8588.0869.8447.3443.8383.21Drink water94.9994.9994.9994.9988.9788.9788.6469.7656.2438.1081.07Take medicine98.1798.1798.1798.1796.6792.6085.3575.5262.1146.0385.10Pick up things98.7398.7398.7398.7398.7398.7398.7398.7385.1867.1858.8257.1886.07Take the fruits from the cabinet100.00100.00100.0088.7472.9672.1457.8936.7833.2576.18Cut fruits99.7599.7599.7594.8794.2989.8082.6455.4624.3315.7575.64Eat fruits98.4596.1094.4894.0691.3879.6166.7945.4726.7679.16Wash hands99.7499.7499.7499.7498.1597.7195.7193.7275.3753.6946.998
Sit down 99.34 98.35 98.13 98.13 97.26 97.26 92.57 73.12 63.52 56.61 87.43 Stand up 99.88 99.88 99.88 99.88 94.93 91.83 81.24 68.52 48.68 41.90 82.66 Pour water into the cup 99.75 98.72 98.72 98.52 95.48 91.85 88.08 69.84 47.34 43.83 83.21 Drink water 94.99 94.99 94.99 88.97 88.97 88.64 69.76 56.24 38.10 81.07 Take medicine 98.17 98.17 98.17 98.17 96.67 92.60 85.35 75.52 62.11 46.03 85.10 Pick up things 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 88.77 88.18 67.18 58.82 57.18 86.07 Take the fruits from the cabinet 100.00 100.00 100.00 88.74 72.96 72.14 57.89 36.75 75.64 Cut fruits 99.75 99.75 94.87 94.29
Stand up 99.88 99.88 99.88 99.88 94.93 91.83 81.24 68.52 48.68 41.90 82.66 Pour water into the cup 99.75 98.72 98.72 98.52 95.48 91.85 88.08 69.84 47.34 43.83 83.21 Drink water 94.99 94.99 94.99 94.99 88.97 88.97 88.64 69.76 56.24 38.10 81.07 Take medicine 98.17 98.17 98.17 98.73
Pour water into the cup 99.75 98.72 98.72 98.52 95.48 91.85 88.08 69.84 47.34 43.83 83.21 Drink water 94.99 94.99 94.99 94.99 88.97 88.97 88.64 69.76 56.24 38.10 81.07 Take medicine 98.17 98.17 98.17 98.17 96.67 92.60 85.35 75.52 62.11 46.03 85.10 Pick up things 98.73<
Drink water94.9994.9994.9994.9988.9788.9788.6469.7656.2438.1081.07Take medicine98.1798.1798.1798.1796.6792.6085.3575.5262.1146.0385.10Pick up things98.7398.7398.7398.7398.7398.7398.7385.1867.1858.8257.1886.07Take the fruits from the cabinet100.00100.00100.0088.7472.9672.1457.8936.7833.2576.18Cut fruits99.7599.7599.7594.8794.2989.8082.6455.4624.3315.7575.64Eat fruits98.4596.1094.4894.0691.3879.6166.7945.4726.7679.16Wash hands99.7499.7499.7498.1597.7195.7595.7573.8753.6946.9980.01Throw water97.7007.7007.7007.7007.7057.5755.7571.1600.16
Take medicine 98.17 98.17 98.17 98.17 96.67 92.60 85.35 75.52 62.11 46.03 85.10 Pick up things 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 85.10 85.18 67.18 58.82 57.18 86.07 Take the fruits from the cabinet 100.00 100.00 100.00 88.74 72.96 72.14 57.89 36.78 33.25 76.18 Cut fruits 99.75 99.75 99.75 94.87 94.29 89.80 82.64 55.46 24.33 15.75 75.64 Eat fruits 98.45 96.10 94.48 94.06 91.38 79.61 66.79 45.47 26.76 79.16 Wash hands 99.74 99.74 98.15 97.71 95.75 95.25 80.8 84.02 74.69 80.01
Pick up things 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 98.73 85.18 67.18 58.82 57.18 86.07 Take the fruits from the cabinet 100.00 100.00 100.00 88.74 72.96 72.14 57.89 36.78 33.25 76.18 Cut fruits 99.75 99.75 94.87 94.29 89.80 82.64 55.46 24.33 15.75 75.64 Eat fruits 98.45 96.10 94.48 94.06 91.38 79.61 66.79 45.47 26.76 79.16 Wash hands 99.74 99.74 98.74 98.15 97.71 95.75 95.25 80.8 84.02 74.95 71.16 00.16
Take the fruits from the cabinet 100.00 100.00 100.00 88.74 72.96 72.14 57.89 36.78 33.25 76.18 Cut fruits 99.75 99.75 99.75 94.87 94.29 89.80 82.64 55.46 24.33 15.75 75.64 Eat fruits 98.45 98.45 96.10 94.48 94.06 91.38 79.61 66.79 45.47 26.76 79.16 Wash hands 99.74 99.74 98.15 97.71 95.71 93.72 75.37 53.69 46.99 86.06 Throw waste 07.70 07.70 07.70 07.70 05.75 90.8 84.02 74.82 71.16 00.16
Cut fruits 99.75 99.75 94.87 94.29 89.80 82.64 55.46 24.33 15.75 75.64 Eat fruits 98.45 96.10 94.48 94.06 91.38 79.61 66.79 45.47 26.76 79.16 Wash hands 99.74 99.74 98.15 97.71 95.71 93.72 75.37 53.69 46.99 86.06 Throw works 97.70 07.70 07.70 07.70 05.25 05.25 05.86 74.92 74.95 71.16 00.16
Eat fruits 98.45 96.10 94.48 94.06 91.38 79.61 66.79 45.47 26.76 79.16 Wash hands 99.74 99.74 98.15 97.71 95.71 93.72 75.37 53.69 46.99 86.06 Throw works 97.70 97.70 97.70 97.70 95.25 80.08 84.02 74.85 71.16
Wash hands 99.74 99.74 98.15 97.71 95.71 93.72 75.37 53.69 46.99 86.06 Throw wasta 97.70 97.70 97.70 97.70 95.25 80.08 84.02 74.85 71.16 00.16
Throw wasta 07.70 07.70 07.70 07.70 05.25 05.25 80.08 84.02 74.85 71.14 00.14
$11110 \text{ wasic} \qquad \qquad$
Wipe the table 97.55 94.58 92.79 89.40 89.30 83.68 83.68 72.55 59.04 85.19
Stretching 53.93 53.21 52.59 46.07 42.64 37.03 27.53 21.26 7.90 1.87 34.40
Turn on and off the desk lamp 98.42 98.42 98.42 97.46 95.54 95.54 85.38 69.30 60.77 54.82 85.41
Operate the mouse 96.43 96.43 96.43 96.43 96.43 87.42 83.49 65.83 59.69 39.77 81.84
Write 99.62 99.62 95.18 90.23 87.38 86.10 64.30 46.22 38.06 80.63
Operate the keyboard 95.97 95.97 95.97 95.97 90.98 85.58 76.38 60.35 53.76 84.69
Read a book 97.39 97.39 97.39 97.34 94.79 94.79 94.16 85.60 47.73 23.79 83.04
Open an envelope 83.14 75.93 75.07 70.76 64.49 59.00 55.48 43.17 16.88 12.14 55.61
Answer the phone 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 95.26 86.28 54.70 23.06 16.79 77.61
Write on the blackboard 99.43 99.43 99.43 96.40 94.29 94.29 88.82 82.64 50.75 90.49
Get up 95.42 95.42 95.42 95.42 95.42 89.25 89.25 81.90 52.41 28.52 81.84
Lie down 99.71 99.71 99.71 93.12 87.32 80.84 55.82 48.48 34.96 15.35 71.50
Use phone 99.11 99.11 99.11 99.11 99.11 91.11 85.12 35.15 19.80 5.43 73.29
Open and close windows 99.77 99.77 99.77 99.77 90.75 89.51 58.42 54.33 45.90 38.86 77.69
Open and close curtains 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 96.34 81.87 71.25 53.17 41.35 84.40
Water plants 99.74 99.74 99.74 97.35 97.35 88.58 69.34 51.35 26.03 82.89
Stand still 98.21 98.21 87.71 87.71 85.71 59.49 57.31 36.20 24.17 72.25
Lying still 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 62.22
mean 96.67 96.23 95.68 94.53 92.02 88.66 77.55 63.59 46.53 35.13 78.73

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Temporal Action Localization Results. We evaluate several state-of-the-art approaches, including TriDet [41], ActionFormer [81], and TemporalMaxer [49], which have been recently applied to IMU-based temporal action localization [6]. We also compare with UWiFiAction [54], a WiFi-based action detection method, and WiFiTAD [33], a WiFi-based temporal action localization method. Additionally, we test ActionMamba [8], an advanced Mamba-based model for video-based action localization. All these methods are extended to support dual-input (IMU and WiFi) fusion, consistent with our approach. As shown in Table. 4, our method achieves the best performance, outperforming the recently accepted WiFiTAD [33] by a large margin of 5.49 points in mAP@avg, while using 35% fewer parameters.

We further present the mAP (mean Average Precision) for each action in Table. 5. From the table, it is clear that the mAP@avg for most actions remains relatively high, indicating that XRFMamba performs well in recognizing and localizing the majority of actions. However, some actions, such as Stretching and Opening Envelope, have relatively low mAP values compared to others. The Stretching action involves various body parts and features a wide range of motion with substantial variation in execution style. Different individuals may perform the stretching action in Manuscript submitted to ACM

vastly different postures, which increases the complexity of recognizing the action accurately. In contrast, Opening an Envelope typically consists of subtle, short-duration hand movements, often involving just the fingers and palms. These localized and brief movements are more challenging to capture. Additionally, there is a sudden drop at 0.75 and 0.8 for Lying Still because it appeared only once in the test data, with its tIoUS being 0.7564.

The primary limitation of our method lies in the number of parameters that need to be learned, which is nearly 2.67 times that of ActionMamba [8]. This is largely due to the use of TSSE (Temporal Signal Semantic Embedding) embedding module from WiFiTAD [33], which accounts for approximately 55.5M parameters. Therefore, a promising future research direction is to explore lighter and more efficient embedding modules that can maintain performance while significantly reducing parameters. Additionally, considering that the current state-of-the-art in video-based temporal action localization (TAL) method, such as ActionMamba [8], often relies on pre-trained models, such as InterVideo-6B [64], as embedding modules, another potential research direction is to investigate the use of pre-trained time-series foundation models, such as TimesFM [9], FoundTS [29], and Time-MoE [42], as the embedding module, thereby reducing the number of parameters that need to be learned during training.

Table 6. XRFMamba achieves high response meaning consistency (RMC) in action summarization.

	ChatGPT-40	Qwen2.5-Plus	DeepSeek-V3	mean
RMC@a1	[278/342]0.813	[276/342]0.807	[278/342]0.813	0.811
RMC@a2	[276/342]0.807	[275/342]0.804	[276/342]0.807	0.806
RMC@a3	[279/342]0.816	[279/342]0.816	[276/342]0.807	0.813
RMC@a4	[278/342]0.813	[275/342]0.804	[277/342]0.810	0.809
RMC@a5	[262/342]0.766	[263/342]0.769	[266/342]0.778	0.771
mRMC	0.803	0.800	0.803	0.802

5.2.2 Action Summarization Results. We perform action summarization using three different LLM models: ChatGPT-40, Qwen2.5-Plus, and DeepSeek-V3, all under their free plans. The consistency between predicted and real action summaries from five auditors is presented in Table 6. As shown in the table, auditors 1 to 4 consistently acknowledge that our LLMs' predictions for TAL demonstrate high alignment with ground truth, achieving a response meaning consistency (RMC) of approximately 0.81. Auditor 5 exhibits slightly lower agreement with an RMC of 0.77. The mRMC across all auditors reaches 0.802, indicating XRFMamba achieves good TAL and action summarization results.

Notably, the auditor-specific RMC values show minimal correlation with LLM selection, indicating that XRFMamba, when integrated with free-plan LLMs, can effectively function as an intelligent ambient sensing agent. Practical implementations of such agents - including personal assistants, health monitoring systems, and smart home controllers (as illustrated in Fig. 9) - demonstrate capabilities ranging from task management and personalized health tracking to environmental regulation, thereby significantly enhancing smart home ecosystems through multifunctional intelligent services.

Fig. 10 presents prediction examples in Bedroom, Study room, and Dining room, with comparison methods including TemporalMaxer [49], ActionFormer [81], TriDet [41], UWiFiAction [54], WiFiTAD [33], and ActionMamba [8] from Table. 4. It is visually clear that WiFiTAD, ActionMamba, and our XRFMamba perform better, with XRFMamba demonstrating superior classification accuracy and precise action boundary localization compared to state-of-the-art methods.

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glassto

Fig. 9. XRFMamba, when combined with LLMs, can function as an intelligent ambient sensing agent, such as personal assistant, health assistant, and home assistant, to provide services like task reminders, personalized health monitoring, climate control, and more.

5.2.3 Leave-one-person-out Results. In real-world applications, XRFMamba should not be limited to specific individuals but should instead be adaptable to a wide range of users with varying characteristics, such as body movement patterns, walking styles, and device usage habits. To assess this adaptability to new users, we employ a leave-one-person-out cross-validation evaluation. In this evaluation, data from one volunteer is used as the test set, while data from all other users are used for training. As shown in Table 7, mAP for new users is 72.83, indicating that our method performs well and is capable of generalizing effectively to unseen users.

In our experiments, we have not performed cross-environment testing because the actions differ across environments, as shown in Table 2. Actions in one environment may not exist in another, making cross-environment testing inconsistent and unreliable. Therefore, it is not feasible in our current setup.

5.3 Ablation Study

5.3.1 How and Where to Conduct Fusion. XRFMamba takes both IMU and WiFi modalities from XRF V2 as input. We first test where and how modality fusion should be applied in the XRFMamba pipeline. We evaluate fusion after the projection, after embedding, and after the Mamba backbone. In terms of fusion strategies, we evaluate three methods: (1) simple weighted addition: applying fixed weight coefficients to the IMU (0.8) and Wi-Fi (0.2) features and directly adding Manuscript submitted to ACM

Fig. 10. Example of the predicted results in Bedroom, Study room, and Dining room. In all the scenes, XRFMamba demonstrates excellent performance, with superior classification accuracy and precise action boundary localization compared to state-of-the-art methods.

the weighted features; (2) linear fusion: combining the IMU and Wi-Fi features through a learned linear transformation, followed by a gating mechanism using a sigmoid function to weight the contribution of each modality; and (3) gate fusion: applying separated convolutional layers to the IMU and Wi-Fi features to compute individual gating weights using a sigmoid function, which are then used to weight each modality and combine them through summation. For a detailed explanation of each fusion method, including the mathematical formulations and pseudocode, please refer to the Appendix. B.

The results of the nine different combinations of fusion positions and strategies are shown in Table 8. As illustrated in the table, fusion applied later in the pipeline involves more separate data streams and additional parameters. When comparing different fusion strategies, the gate and linear methods require more parameters than the weighted method, yet their performance is not as effective. In terms of fusion positions, combination 1, which applies weighted fusion Manuscript submitted to ACM

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glass2s

Table 7. Leave-one-person-out results. mAP	for new users reaches 72.83, i	indicating that XRFMamba p	performs well and is capa	able of
generalizing effectively to unseen users.				

Test user	mAP@0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95	mAP@avg
user1	94.31	94.29	93.96	93.91	93.04	90.85	84.06	74.31	53.53	43.54	81.58
user2	90.08	88.89	87.84	84.72	80.64	75.15	61.94	44.69	27.68	20.13	66.18
user2	96.91	96.91	96.49	96.14	92.21	88.25	84.93	75.87	57.34	45.85	83.09
user4	96.97	96.61	95.57	94.62	90.71	85.03	76.84	61.34	41.90	25.27	76.49
user5	55.42	55.30	54.79	53.31	51.18	48.45	44.80	36.64	23.37	14.67	43.79
user6	92.63	92.16	90.86	89.57	87.91	86.37	83.59	74.51	61.00	45.90	80.45
user7	91.15	89.98	89.12	87.78	85.84	82.33	75.20	62.78	47.43	39.66	75.13
user8	90.76	90.64	90.07	89.41	84.24	78.33	71.25	58.67	39.68	31.31	72.44
user9	93.44	93.12	91.71	90.70	87.47	83.60	76.70	60.40	41.77	32.18	75.11
user10	89.21	88.21	87.14	84.68	77.40	72.43	61.59	45.25	31.87	24.79	66.26
user11	95.71	95.38	94.69	93.30	89.27	85.40	79.47	65.21	44.65	35.75	77.88
user12	92.55	92.09	90.98	89.84	85.21	78.49	71.52	56.59	35.82	25.18	71.83
user13	95.45	95.45	94.81	94.20	91.45	87.77	81.00	68.42	47.25	34.38	79.02
user14	91.23	91.22	90.65	88.31	84.83	81.50	74.48	62.79	46.23	36.23	74.75
user15	86.24	85.77	85.07	82.19	77.43	68.54	64.21	49.70	36.31	27.63	66.31
user16	93.38	92.69	91.00	88.75	85.44	81.84	73.44	60.77	47.54	35.82	75.07
Average	90.34	89.92	89.05	87.59	84.02	79.65	72.81	59.87	42.71	32.39	72.84

Table 8. We selected the weighted addition fusion method applied after the projection module (#1) as the final fusion approach for XRFMamba, as it achieves the best mAP-parameter trade-off.

#	fusion	fusion after	mAP@0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95	mAP@avg	Params	Gflops
1	weight	projection	96.67	96.23	95.68	94.53	92.02	88.66	77.92	63.96	46.53	35.13	78.74	78.38M	43.49
2	weight	embedding	96.97	96.53	95.88	95.04	92.66	88.08	80.26	67.97	49.71	38.51	80.16	128.89M	77.58
3	weight	backbone	97.12	96.83	96.57	95.88	92.91	89.80	82.69	69.48	49.42	38.19	80.89	<u>150.08M</u>	81.96
4	linear	projection	94.87	94.04	93.34	91.83	87.63	83.03	76.53	62.03	40.87	34.26	75.84	95.16M	52.09
5	linear	embedding	96.23	95.59	95.04	94.41	90.68	86.99	80.17	63.65	42.50	30.45	77.57	129.14M	77.71
6	linear	backbone	95.86	95.50	94.94	90.68	89.13	83.60	75.69	59.11	34.07	08.08	72.66	150.43M	82.14
7	gate	projection	96.86	96.56	95.78	91.99	89.69	86.42	79.47	65.07	45.58	36.21	78.36	<u>79.17M</u>	44.57
8	gate	embedding	97.22	96.87	96.81	95.88	89.88	84.98	80.19	66.09	48.58	38.72	79.52	129.67M	77.85
9	gate	backbone	96.92	96.69	96.41	95.58	93.27	86.03	81.01	67.56	52.83	40.93	80.72	154.03M	82.22

after the projection module, achieves an average mAP of 78.74. In contrast, combination 3, which performs fusion after the Mamba backbone, achieves a higher mAP of 80.89 but requires nearly double the number of parameters. Therefore, we chose the weighted addition fusion method applied after the projection module as the final fusion approach for XRFMamba, as it offers the best mAP-parameter trade-off.

5.3.2 Device Combination Study. XRF V2 dataset includes Wi-Fi signals and IMU data from seven types of devices: phones, watches, earbuds, and glasses, as shown in Fig.11. Users can combine devices according to their own needs. In Fig. 11, we report some of the most common device combinations, such as case 9 (a phone and a watch), case 14 (a phone, a watch, and an earbud), case 17 (a phone, a watch, glasses, and ambient Wi-Fi). It shows that the average mAP of using only a right-hand-worn smartwatch (case 1) is 65.81, and case 17 achieves an average mAP of 74.35, reaching a usable level.

device	mAP@0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95	mAP@avg
1	95.88	95.32	93.52	90.90	85.02	76.06	60.50	40.57	17.40	2.93	65.81
2	94.07	93.03	91.17	87.33	79.99	67.60	51.39	27.82	10.97	1.75	60.51
3	80.99	79.94	77.65	74.81	71.11	64.19	53.78	37.90	19.02	2.86	56.22
4	91.91	90.38	85.54	79.48	68.81	54.26	35.51	18.48	7.98	1.16	53.35
5	85.76	83.82	80.99	76.34	70.48	56.36	43.89	29.39	13.03	2.76	54.28
6	89.06	88.14	86.48	83.64	75.66	66.33	50.27	30.88	9.77	1.42	58.16
7	65.40	60.51	54.67	47.75	34.70	24.68	13.17	5.52	1.67	0.32	30.84
8	95.58	95.07	93.77	88.44	85.46	77.62	67.22	49.08	26.28	4.91	68.34
9	95.19	94.60	92.87	90.86	86.38	78.39	65.48	46.95	18.51	4.58	67.38
10	91.03	89.70	87.84	82.18	78.23	71.02	57.76	37.97	18.55	4.59	61.89
11	92.40	91.80	90.77	87.64	84.49	76.19	65.69	49.87	28.32	7.66	67.48
12	94.86	94.02	93.50	91.69	89.33	82.84	71.61	52.37	22.11	3.06	69.54
13	95.29	94.60	93.57	92.19	89.82	83.28	68.06	44.47	18.34	2.76	68.24
14	94.36	94.15	92.62	90.66	87.59	80.33	68.98	51.75	31.19	10.64	70.23
15	93.05	92.76	91.91	90.15	86.76	81.01	69.77	54.25	37.55	17.17	71.44
16	96.22	95.16	94.43	92.24	89.17	79.57	68.14	47.16	22.77	4.58	68.94
17	96.36	95.87	95.26	93.23	90.65	87.08	75.10	59.85	38.74	11.36	74.35
18	96.82	96.51	96.23	95.31	92.84	84.06	73.08	54.41	26.99	3.95	72.02
19	96.51	95.82	95.44	94.23	92.00	86.98	80.26	62.57	41.40	29.00	77.42
20	97.48	97.33	96.77	92.02	89.02	84.29	76.69	60.04	41.98	18.64	75.43
21	96.67	96.23	95.68	94.53	92.02	88.66	77.92	63.96	46.53	35.13	78.74

6 Dataset and Code Availability, and Potential Usefulness

The data and code are available at https://github.com/aiotgroup/XRFV2. The repository includes a comprehensive readme.md file, which describes how to use the code to reproduce our results. The code features a well-structured modular design, making it easy to call and test various backbones and modality combinations. In this code repository, under the */model* directory, you will find different method implementations, including XRFMamba and all comparison methods. You can easily use the model you need through *make_model()* found in */model/models.py*. The code for XRFMamba is located in *model/TAD_multi_fusion.py*. Data loading methods are defined in the */dataset* directory. To get Manuscript submitted to ACM

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glass23

started, you need to modify the paths in *basic_config.json* to match your system setup. Then, run *script/train_run.py* to train the model. Finally, you can evaluate the model by running *script/test_run.py*. For more details, please check out our repository.

We believe our dataset can significantly contribute to the community in at least the following areas.

- (1) The XRF V2 dataset can serve as a benchmark for action recognition, temporal action localization, and action summarization based on smartphones, watches, earbuds, glasses, and Wi-Fi. It supports research aimed at developing methods that either achieve higher precision or are more lightweight.
- (2) XRF V2 also supports weakly supervised action localization, where only the action categories are provided without specifying the precise start and end times of the actions. This setup allows for research on action localization methods that can infer temporal boundaries from less detailed supervision, enabling more scalable and practical approaches for real-world applications.
- (3) XRF V2 is a dataset collected using real devices, and it provides high-quality data labels. It can be used to promote or evaluate research on IMU and WiFi data synthesis methods.
- (4) XRF V2 supports the research of next action prediction, which facilitates the development of systems that can anticipate and respond to user actions. For example, by detecting the user's intent to turn on the lights, the system can automatically trigger the action without the need for voice or manual control. This capability enhances the intelligence of smart devices, making them more context-aware and responsive.
- (5) XRF V2 supports multimodal learning between IMU, WiFi, and video, facilitating the development of multimodal foundational models. While the original videos cannot be shared due to privacy concerns with volunteers, we are to process them into features using InterVideo-6B [64] and make these features publicly available.
- (6) We are to process the videos into 2D pose, 3D pose, and human mesh, which will support research in pose estimation or mesh reconstruction based on WiFi, IMU, and video data. Compared to previous datasets such as Person-in-WiFi 3D [77] and MM-Fi [78], where the person stands at a fixed position and repeats actions, the actions in XRF V2 are more representative of real-world scenarios, capturing more dynamic and varied human behavior.
- (7) The academic and industrial sectors are welcome to leverage our dataset to develop specific applications, such as ambient sensing systems and context-aware systems, which help in enhancing smart home automation, health, and wellness monitoring.

7 Conclusion

We present the XRF V2 dataset, which captures continuous action sequences from 16 volunteers across three typical household environments: the dining room, study room, and bedroom. Comprising a total of 825 action sequences, the dataset integrates data from various sensors, including IMUs from smartwatches, smartphones, earbuds, and glasses, Wi-Fi transceivers, and synchronized video from an Azure Kinect. The diverse and personalized action sequences, along with synchronized multimodal data, provide a valuable resource for advancing research in human action understanding and multimodal machine learning. We also introduce a novel human action understanding task: action summarization, for which we design a new evaluation metric, response meaning consistency (RMC). Action summarization takes action sequences and task-oriented prompts as input to large language models (LLMs), transforming the LLMs into intelligent agents. We demonstrate that with IMU or Wi-Fi input, LLMs can function as personal assistants, health assistants, and home assistants. Furthermore, we propose the XRFMamba neural network, which effectively performs temporal Manuscript submitted to ACM

action summarization and action summarization, and conduct a detailed evaluation showing its superior performance compared to existing state-of-the-art methods.

References

- Mohammad Arif Ul Alam. 2020. Ai-fairness towards activity recognition of older adults. In 17th EAI International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Systems: Computing, Networking and Services. 108–117.
- [2] Kamran Ali, Alex X Liu, Wei Wang, and Muhammad Shahzad. 2015. Keystroke recognition using wifi signals. In Proceedings of the 21st annual international conference on mobile computing and networking. 90–102.
- [3] Davide Anguita, Alessandro Ghio, Luca Oneto, Xavier Parra, Jorge Luis Reyes-Ortiz, et al. 2013. A public domain dataset for human activity recognition using smartphones. In *Esann*, Vol. 3. 3.
- [4] Behrooz Azadi, Michael Haslgrübler, Georgios Sopidis, Michaela Murauer, Bernhard Anzengruber, and Alois Ferscha. 2019. Feasibility analysis of unsupervised industrial activity recognition based on a frequent micro action. In Proceedings of the 12th ACM International Conference on PErvasive Technologies Related to Assistive Environments. 368–375.
- [5] Paramvir Bahl and Venkata N Padmanabhan. 2000. RADAR: An in-building RF-based user location and tracking system. In Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM 2000. Conference on computer communications. Nineteenth annual joint conference of the IEEE computer and communications societies (Cat. No. 00CH37064), Vol. 2. Ieee, 775–784.
- [6] Marius Bock, Michael Moeller, and Kristof Van Laerhoven. 2024. Temporal Action Localization for Inertial-based Human Activity Recognition. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 8, 4 (2024), 1–19.
- [7] Fabian Caba Heilbron, Victor Escorcia, Bernard Ghanem, and Juan Carlos Niebles. 2015. Activitynet: A large-scale video benchmark for human activity understanding. In Proceedings of the ieee conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 961–970.
- [8] Guo Chen, Yifei Huang, Jilan Xu, Baoqi Pei, Zhe Chen, Zhiqi Li, Jiahao Wang, Kunchang Li, Tong Lu, and Limin Wang. 2024. Video mamba suite: State space model as a versatile alternative for video understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.09626 (2024).
- [9] Abhimanyu Das, Weihao Kong, Rajat Sen, and Yichen Zhou. 2023. A decoder-only foundation model for time-series forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10688 (2023).
- [10] Arne De Brabandere, Jill Emmerzaal, Annick Timmermans, Ilse Jonkers, Benedicte Vanwanseele, and Jesse Davis. 2020. A machine learning approach to estimate hip and knee joint loading using a mobile phone-embedded IMU. Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology 8 (2020), 320.
- [11] Nathan DeVrio, Vimal Mollyn, and Chris Harrison. 2023. SmartPoser: Arm Pose Estimation with a Smartphone and Smartwatch Using UWB and IMU Data. In Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. 1–11.
- [12] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. 2021. An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale. arXiv:2010.11929 [cs.CV] https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11929
- [13] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. 2023. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752 (2023).
- [14] Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. 2021. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00396 (2021).
- [15] Daniel Halperin, Wenjun Hu, Anmol Sheth, and David Wetherall. 2011. Tool release: Gathering 802.11 n traces with channel state information. ACM SIGCOMM computer communication review 41, 1 (2011), 53–53.
- [16] Jing He and Wei Yang. 2022. Imar: Multi-user continuous action recognition with wifi signals. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 6, 3 (2022), 1–27.
- [17] Alexander Hoelzemann, Julia Lee Romero, Marius Bock, Kristof Van Laerhoven, and Qin Lv. 2023. Hang-time HAR: a benchmark dataset for basketball activity recognition using wrist-worn inertial sensors. Sensors 23, 13 (2023), 5879.
- [18] Haroon Idrees, Amir R Zamir, Yu-Gang Jiang, Alex Gorban, Ivan Laptev, Rahul Sukthankar, and Mubarak Shah. 2017. The thumos challenge on action recognition for videos "in the wild". Computer Vision and Image Understanding 155 (2017), 1–23.
- [19] Wenjun Jiang, Chenglin Miao, Fenglong Ma, Shuochao Yao, Yaqing Wang, Ye Yuan, Hongfei Xue, Chen Song, Xin Ma, Dimitrios Koutsonikolas, et al. 2018. Towards environment independent device free human activity recognition. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual international conference on mobile computing and networking*, 289–304.
- [20] Wenjun Jiang, Hongfei Xue, Chenglin Miao, Shiyang Wang, Sen Lin, Chong Tian, Srinivasan Murali, Haochen Hu, Zhi Sun, and Lu Su. 2020. Towards 3D human pose construction using WiFi. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking. 1–14.
- [21] Woosub Jung, Kenneth Koltermann, Noah Helm, GinaMari Blackwell, Ingrid Pretzer-Aboff, Leslie Cloud, and Gang Zhou. 2022. IMU Sensing Data-Based Kinetic Tremor Detection in Parkinson's Disease Patients. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems. 772–773.
- [22] Manikanta Kotaru, Kiran Joshi, Dinesh Bharadia, and Sachin Katti. 2015. Spotfi: Decimeter level localization using wifi. In Proceedings of the 2015 ACM conference on special interest group on data communication. 269–282.
- [23] Hilde Kuehne, Ali Arslan, and Thomas Serre. 2014. The language of actions: Recovering the syntax and semantics of goal-directed human activities. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 780–787.

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glass25

- [24] Gierad Laput and Chris Harrison. 2019. Sensing fine-grained hand activity with smartwatches. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–13.
- [25] Lik-Hang Lee and Pan Hui. 2018. Interaction methods for smart glasses: A survey. IEEE access 6 (2018), 28712-28732.
- [26] Hong Li, Wei Yang, Jianxin Wang, Yang Xu, and Liusheng Huang. 2016. WiFinger: Talk to your smart devices with finger-grained gesture. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing. 250–261.
- [27] Shengjie Li, Zhaopeng Liu, Qin Lv, Yanyan Zou, Yue Zhang, and Daqing Zhang. 2024. WiLife: Long-term Daily Status Monitoring and Habit Mining of the Elderly Leveraging Ubiquitous Wi-Fi Signals. ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare (2024).
- [28] Xiang Li, Shengjie Li, Daqing Zhang, Jie Xiong, Yasha Wang, and Hong Mei. 2016. Dynamic-MUSIC: Accurate device-free indoor localization. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM international joint conference on pervasive and ubiquitous computing. 196–207.
- [29] Zhe Li, Xiangfei Qiu, Peng Chen, Yihang Wang, Hanyin Cheng, Yang Shu, Jilin Hu, Chenjuan Guo, Aoying Zhou, Qingsong Wen, et al. 2024. Foundts: Comprehensive and unified benchmarking of foundation models for time series forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.11802 (2024).
- [30] Tsung-Yi Lin, Piotr Dollár, Ross B. Girshick, Kaiming He, Bharath Hariharan, and Serge Belongie. 2017. Feature Pyramid Networks for Object Detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 2117–2125.
- [31] Tsung-Yi Lin, Piotr Goyal, Ross B. Girshick, Kaiming He, and Piotr Dollar. 2017. Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). 2980–2988.
- [32] Yi Liu, Limin Wang, Yali Wang, Xiao Ma, and Yu Qiao. 2022. Fineaction: A fine-grained video dataset for temporal action localization. IEEE transactions on image processing 31 (2022), 6937–6950.
- [33] Zhendong Liu, Le Zhang, Bing Li, Yingjie Zhou, Zhenghua Chen, and Ce Zhu. 2025. WiFi CSI Based Temporal Activity Detection Via Dual Pyramid Network. In The 39th Annual AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- [34] Yongsen Ma, Gang Zhou, Shuangquan Wang, Hongyang Zhao, and Woosub Jung. 2018. SignFi: Sign language recognition using WiFi. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 2, 1 (2018), 1–21.
- [35] Huina Meng, Xilei Wu, Xin Wang, Yuhan Fan, Jingang Shi, Han Ding, and Fei Wang. 2022. Mask wearing status estimation with smartwatches. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06113 (2022).
- [36] Vimal Mollyn, Riku Arakawa, Mayank Goel, Chris Harrison, and Karan Ahuja. 2023. Imuposer: Full-body pose estimation using imus in phones, watches, and earbuds. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–12.
- [37] Jay Prakash, Zhijian Yang, Yu-Lin Wei, Haitham Hassanieh, and Romit Roy Choudhury. 2020. EarSense: earphones as a teeth activity sensor. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking. 1–13.
- [38] Qifan Pu, Sidhant Gupta, Shyamnath Gollakota, and Shwetak Patel. 2013. Whole-home gesture recognition using wireless signals. In Proceedings of the 19th annual international conference on Mobile computing & networking. 27–38.
- [39] Philipp M Scholl, Matthias Wille, and Kristof Van Laerhoven. 2015. Wearables in the wet lab: a laboratory system for capturing and guiding experiments. In Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing. 589–599.
- [40] Meng Shang, Lenore Dedeyne, Jolan Dupont, Laura Vercauteren, Nadjia Amini, Laurence Lapauw, Evelien Gielen, Sabine Verschueren, Carolina Varon, Walter De Raedt, et al. 2024. Otago exercises monitoring for older adults by a single imu and hierarchical machine learning models. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering (2024).
- [41] Dingfeng Shi, Yujie Zhong, Qiong Cao, Lin Ma, Jia Li, and Dacheng Tao. 2023. Tridet: Temporal action detection with relative boundary modeling. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 18857–18866.
- [42] Xiaoming Shi, Shiyu Wang, Yuqi Nie, Dianqi Li, Zhou Ye, Qingsong Wen, and Ming Jin. 2024. Time-MoE: Billion-Scale Time Series Foundation Models with Mixture of Experts. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.16040 (2024).
- [43] Zheng Shou, Dongang Wang, and Shih-Fu Chang. 2016. Temporal action localization in untrimmed videos via multi-stage cnns. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 1049–1058.
- [44] Jimmy TH Smith, Andrew Warrington, and Scott W Linderman. 2022. Simplified state space layers for sequence modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04933 (2022).
- [45] Georgios Sopidis, Michael Haslgrübler, Behrooze Azadi, Bernhard Anzengruber-Tánase, Abdelrahman Ahmad, Alois Ferscha, and Martin Baresch. 2022. Micro-activity recognition in industrial assembly process with IMU data and deep learning. In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on PErvasive Technologies Related to Assistive Environments. 103–112.
- [46] Sebastian Stein and Stephen J McKenna. 2013. Combining embedded accelerometers with computer vision for recognizing food preparation activities. In Proceedings of the 2013 ACM international joint conference on Pervasive and ubiquitous computing. 729–738.
- [47] Ke Sun, Chunyu Xia, Xinyu Zhang, Hao Chen, and Charlie Jianzhong Zhang. 2024. Multimodal Daily-Life Logging in Free-living Environment Using Non-Visual Egocentric Sensors on a Smartphone. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 8, 1 (2024), 1–32.
- [48] Sheng Tan and Jie Yang. 2016. WiFinger: Leveraging commodity WiFi for fine-grained finger gesture recognition. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM international symposium on mobile ad hoc networking and computing. 201–210.
- [49] Tuan N Tang, Kwonyoung Kim, and Kwanghoon Sohn. 2023. Temporalmaxer: Maximize temporal context with only max pooling for temporal action localization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09055 (2023).
- [50] Jiacheng Tian, Pan Zhou, Fangmin Sun, Tao Wang, and Hexiang Zhang. 2021. Wearable IMU-based gym exercise recognition using data fusion methods. In The Fifth International Conference on Biological Information and Biomedical Engineering. 1–7.

- [51] Yonglong Tian, Guang-He Lee, Hao He, Chen-Yu Hsu, and Dina Katabi. 2018. RF-based fall monitoring using convolutional neural networks. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 2, 3 (2018), 1–24.
- [52] Dhruv Verma, Sejal Bhalla, Dhruv Sahnan, Jainendra Shukla, and Aman Parnami. 2021. Expressear: Sensing fine-grained facial expressions with earables. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 5, 3 (2021), 1–28.
- [53] Florian Wahl, Martin Freund, and Oliver Amft. 2015. Using smart eyeglasses as a wearable game controller. In Adjunct Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers. 377–380.
- [54] Fei Wang, Yiao Gao, Bo Lan, Han Ding, Jingang Shi, and Jinsong Han. 2023. U-Shape Networks Are Unified Backbones for Human Action Understanding From Wi-Fi Signals. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* (2023).
- [55] Fei Wang, Yizhe Lv, Mengdie Zhu, Han Ding, and Jinsong Han. 2024. XRF55: A Radio Frequency Dataset for Human Indoor Action Analysis. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 8, 1 (2024), 1–34.
- [56] Fei Wang, Stanislav Panev, Ziyi Dai, Jinsong Han, and Dong Huang. 2019. Can WiFi estimate person pose? arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.00277 (2019).
- [57] Fei Wang, Xilei Wu, Tingting Zhang, Xin Wang, Pengcheng Wang, Han Ding, Jingang Shi, Jinsong Han, and Dong Huang. 2024. You Can Wash Hands Better: Accurate Daily Handwashing Assessment with Smartwatches. arXiv:2112.06657 [eess.SP] https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.06657
- [58] Fei Wang, Sanping Zhou, Stanislav Panev, Jinsong Han, and Dong Huang. 2019. Person-in-WiFi: Fine-grained person perception using WiFi. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 5452–5461.
- [59] Hao Wang, Daqing Zhang, Junyi Ma, Yasha Wang, Yuxiang Wang, Dan Wu, Tao Gu, and Bing Xie. 2016. Human respiration detection with commodity WiFi devices: Do user location and body orientation matter?. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM international joint conference on pervasive and ubiquitous computing. 25–36.
- [60] Wei Wang, Alex X Liu, Muhammad Shahzad, Kang Ling, and Sanglu Lu. 2015. Understanding and modeling of wifi signal based human activity recognition. In Proceedings of the 21st annual international conference on mobile computing and networking. 65–76.
- [61] Wei Wang, Alex X Liu, Muhammad Shahzad, Kang Ling, and Sanglu Lu. 2017. Device-free human activity recognition using commercial WiFi devices. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications 35, 5 (2017), 1118–1131.
- [62] Xin Wang, Xilei Wu, Huina Meng, Yuhan Fan, Jingang Shi, Han Ding, and Fei Wang. 2022. Social distancing alert with smartwatches. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06110 (2022).
- [63] Xuyu Wang, Chao Yang, and Shiwen Mao. 2017. TensorBeat: Tensor decomposition for monitoring multiperson breathing beats with commodity WiFi. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST) 9, 1 (2017), 1–27.
- [64] Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Yizhuo Li, Yinan He, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Hongjie Zhang, Jilan Xu, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, et al. 2022. Internvideo: General video foundation models via generative and discriminative learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03191 (2022).
- [65] Yan Wang, Jian Liu, Yingying Chen, Marco Gruteser, Jie Yang, and Hongbo Liu. 2014. E-eyes: Device-free location-oriented activity identification using fine-grained WiFi signatures. In Proceedings of the 20th annual international conference on Mobile computing and networking. 617–628.
- [66] Yichao Wang, Yili Ren, Yingying Chen, and Jie Yang. 2022. Wi-mesh: A wifi vision-based approach for 3d human mesh construction. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems. 362–376.
- [67] Yichao Wang, Yili Ren, Yingying Chen, and Jie Yang. 2022. A wifi vision-based 3D human mesh reconstruction. In Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking. 814–816.
- [68] Yuxi Wang, Kaishun Wu, and Lionel M Ni. 2016. Wifall: Device-free fall detection by wireless networks. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 16, 2 (2016), 581–594.
- [69] Johann P Wolff, Florian Grützmacher, Arne Wellnitz, and Christian Haubelt. 2018. Activity recognition using head worn inertial sensors. In Proceedings of the 5th international Workshop on Sensor-based Activity Recognition and Interaction. 1–7.
- [70] Chenshu Wu, Zheng Yang, Yunhao Liu, and Wei Xi. 2012. WILL: Wireless indoor localization without site survey. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed systems 24, 4 (2012), 839–848.
- [71] Kaishun Wu, Haoyu Tan, Hoilun Ngan, Yunhuai Liu, and Lionel M Ni. 2011. Chip error pattern analysis in IEEE 802.15. 4. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 11, 4 (2011), 543–552.
- [72] Kaishun Wu, Jiang Xiao, Youwen Yi, Min Gao, and Lionel M Ni. 2012. FILA: Fine-grained indoor localization. In 2012 Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM. IEEE, 2210–2218.
- [73] Wei Xi, Jizhong Zhao, Xiang-Yang Li, Kun Zhao, Shaojie Tang, Xue Liu, and Zhiping Jiang. 2014. Electronic frog eye: Counting crowd using WiFi. In IEEE INFOCOM 2014-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications. IEEE, 361–369.
- [74] Wentao Xie, Qian Zhang, and Jin Zhang. 2021. Acoustic-based upper facial action recognition for smart eyewear. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 5, 2 (2021), 1–28.
- [75] Yaxiong Xie, Zhenjiang Li, and Mo Li. 2015. Precise power delay profiling with commodity WiFi. In Proceedings of the 21st Annual international conference on Mobile Computing and Networking. 53–64.
- [76] Leiyang Xu, Xiaolong Zheng, Xinrun Du, Liang Liu, and Huadong Ma. 2024. WiCamera: Vortex Electromagnetic Wave-Based WiFi Imaging. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing (2024).
- [77] Kangwei Yan, Fei Wang, Bo Qian, Han Ding, Jinsong Han, and Xing Wei. 2024. Person-in-WiFi 3D: End-to-End Multi-Person 3D Pose Estimation with Wi-Fi. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 969–978.

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glass23

- [78] Jianfei Yang, He Huang, Yunjiao Zhou, Xinyan Chen, Yuecong Xu, Shenghai Yuan, Han Zou, Chris Xiaoxuan Lu, and Lihua Xie. 2024. Mm-fi: Multi-modal non-intrusive 4d human dataset for versatile wireless sensing. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [79] Zheng Yang, Zimu Zhou, and Yunhao Liu. 2013. From RSSI to CSI: Indoor localization via channel response. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) 46, 2 (2013), 1–32.
- [80] Bohan Yu, Yuxiang Wang, Kai Niu, Youwei Zeng, Tao Gu, Leye Wang, Cuntai Guan, and Daqing Zhang. 2021. WiFi-sleep: Sleep stage monitoring using commodity Wi-Fi devices. *IEEE internet of things journal* 8, 18 (2021), 13900–13913.
- [81] Chen-Lin Zhang, Jianxin Wu, and Yin Li. 2022. Actionformer: Localizing moments of actions with transformers. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 492–510.
- [82] Jie Zhang, Zhanyong Tang, Meng Li, Dingyi Fang, Petteri Nurmi, and Zheng Wang. 2018. CrossSense: Towards cross-site and large-scale WiFi sensing. In Proceedings of the 24th annual international conference on mobile computing and networking. 305–320.
- [83] Guangrong Zhao, Yiran Shen, Feng Li, Lei Liu, Lizhen Cui, and Hongkai Wen. 2024. Ui-Ear: On-face Gesture Recognition Through On-ear Vibration Sensing. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing (2024).
- [84] Hang Zhao, Antonio Torralba, Lorenzo Torresani, and Zhicheng Yan. 2019. Hacs: Human action clips and segments dataset for recognition and temporal localization. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. 8668–8678.
- [85] SHENGDONG ZHAO, FELICIA TAN, and KATHERINE FENNEDY. 2023. Heads-Up Computing. Commun. ACM 66, 9 (2023).
- [86] Xiaolong Zheng, Jiliang Wang, Longfei Shangguan, Zimu Zhou, and Yunhao Liu. 2016. Smokey: Ubiquitous smoking detection with commercial WiFi infrastructures. In IEEE INFOCOM 2016-The 35th Annual IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications. IEEE, 1–9.
- [87] Yue Zheng, Yi Zhang, Kun Qian, Guidong Zhang, Yunhao Liu, Chenshu Wu, and Zheng Yang. 2019. Zero-effort cross-domain gesture recognition with Wi-Fi. In Proceedings of the 17th annual international conference on mobile systems, applications, and services. 313–325.
- [88] Luowei Zhou, Chenliang Xu, and Jason Corso. 2018. Towards automatic learning of procedures from web instructional videos. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 32.
- [89] Lianghui Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. 2024. Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09417 (2024).

A Evaluated Task-orientated Prompts

A.1 An example of responses from three LLMs to the same prompt.

—— **Input:** "#### You are an intelligent action summarization agent. #### This is an action sequence that occurred in the bedroom: the user did the action of walking, with a start time of 0.0 and an end time of 5.0; the user did the action of sitting down, with a start time of 5.0 and an end time of 13.0; the user did the action of reading a book, with a start time of 13.0 and an end time of 22.0; the user did the action of pouring water into the cup, with a start time of 22.0 and an end time of 27.0; the user did the action of taking medicine, with a start time of 27.0 and an end time of 40.0; the user did the action of lying, with a start time of 40.0 and an end time of 46.0; the user did the action of using the phone, with a start time of 66.0 and an end time of 60.0 and an end time of 66.0. #### Please answer whether the user read a book before lying down during this period?"

——Output of ChatGPT-40: "Yes, the user read a book before lying down during this period. The action of reading a book occurred from 13 to 22. The action of lying down occurred from 40 to 46. Therefore, reading the book happened before lying down."

——Output of Qwen2.5-Plus: "Yes, the user read a book before lying down during this period. According to the sequence of actions: The user started reading a book at 13 and ended at 22. The user then performed other actions (pouring water, taking medicine) before starting to lie down at 40. Since the action of reading a book occurred before the action of lying down, the answer is yes, the user did read a book before lying down."

——Output of DeepSeek-V3: "Yes, the user read a book before lying down during this period. The action of reading a book occurred from 13 to 22, and the action of lying down occurred from 40 to 46. Therefore, the user read a book before lying down."

A.2 All task-oriented questions used in action summarization evaluation.

(1) Please answer the number of times the user has consumed water during this period?

(2) Please answer whether the user has been drinking water during this period?

(3) Please answer whether the user has taken medication during this period?

(4) Please answer the number of times the user has taken medication during this period?

(5) Please answer whether the user has been reading during this period?

(6) Please answer whether the user read a book before lying down during this period?

(7) Please answer the number of times the user watered the plants during this period?

(8) Please answer whether the user watered the plants during this period?

(9) Please answer whether the user has opened windows for ventilation during this period?

(10) Please answer whether the user was playing with their phone while lying in bed during this period?

(11) Please answer whether the user has been walking during this period?

(12) Please answer the number of times the user has stretched during this period?

(13) Please answer whether the user has eaten fruits during this period?

(14) Please answer the number of times the user has eaten fruits during this period?

(15) Please answer whether the user has wiped the table during this period?

(16) Please answer whether the user has thrown away garbage during this period?

(17) Please answer whether the user washed their hands before eating fruits during this period?

(18) Please answer whether the user washed their hands after eating fruits during this period?

(19) Please answer whether the user has washed their hands after littering during this period?

(20) Please answer whether the user has washed their hands after wiping the table during this period?

(21) Please answer how many times the user washed their hands during this period?

(22) Please answer whether the user operated the mouse during this period?

(23) Please answer whether the user operated the keyboard during this period?

(24) Please answer whether the user has opened the envelope during this period?

(25) Please answer whether the user has turned on the desk lamp during this period?

(26) Please answer whether the user answered the phone during this period?

(27) Please answer the number of times the user answered the phone during this period?

B Detailed Description of Three Fusion Methods

In this section, we describe three different modality fusion strategies: **Gated Fusion**, **Linear Fusion**, and **Weighted Fusion**. Each method combines IMU and Wi-Fi features using different techniques. Below, we provide both the mathematical formulation and pseudocode for each method.

B.1 Weighted Fusion

In Weighted Fusion, each modality is given a fixed weight, and the features are combined by weighted addition. The IMU features are weighted by $w_{IMU} = 0.8$ and the Wi-Fi features by $w_{WiFi} = 0.2$ before being summed.

Mathematical Formulation:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{IMU}}^{\mathrm{weighted}} &= w_{\mathrm{IMU}} \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{IMU}} \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{WiFi}}^{\mathrm{weighted}} &= w_{\mathrm{WiFi}} \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{WiFi}} \end{split}$$

. 1 . 1

XRF V2: A Dataset for Action Summarization with Wi-Fi Signals, and IMUs in Phones, Watches, Earbuds, and Glass29

$$\mathbf{X}_{fused} = \mathbf{X}_{IMU}^{weighted} + \mathbf{X}_{WiFi}^{weighted}$$

Algorithm 2 Weighted Fusion Implementation

```
def weighted_fusion(imu_features, wifi_features, w_imu=0.8, w_wifi=0.2):
    # Apply fixed weights to IMU and WiFi features
    gated_imu = w_imu * imu_features
    gated_wifi = w_wifi * wifi_features
    # Combine the weighted features by addition
    fused_features = gated_imu + gated_wifi
    return fused_features
```

B.2 Linear Fusion

Linear Fusion applies a learned linear transformation to combine IMU and Wi-Fi features, followed by a gating mechanism. The resulting features are combined using the learned gate and passed through a fully connected layer.

Mathematical Formulation:

$$\mathbf{G} = \sigma \left(\mathbf{W}_{g} \cdot (\mathbf{X}_{\text{IMU}} + \mathbf{X}_{\text{WiFi}}) \right)$$
$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{fused}} = \text{fc} \left(\mathbf{G} \times (\mathbf{X}_{\text{IMU}} \oplus \mathbf{X}_{\text{WiFi}}) \right)$$

where \oplus denotes concatenation.

Algorithm 3 Linear Fusion Implementation

```
def linear_fusion(imu_features, wifi_features):
    # Combine IMU and WiFi features element-wise
    combined = imu_features + wifi_features
    # Apply a linear transformation to the combined features
    gate = sigmoid(linear_transformation(combined))
    # Concatenate the IMU and WiFi features
    concatenated_features = torch.cat([imu_features, wifi_features], dim=-1)
    # Apply gating mechanism (element-wise multiplication)
    gated_features = gate * concatenated_features
    # Pass the gated features through a fully connected layer
    fused_features = fully_connected(gated_features)
    return fused_features
```

B.3 Gated Fusion

Gated Fusion uses a learned gating mechanism to weight the contribution of each modality. A 1D convolution is applied to the IMU and Wi-Fi features, followed by a sigmoid activation function to compute gating weights. These gated features are then combined element-wise.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{IMU}} &= \sigma \left(\mathbf{W}_{g} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{IMU}} \right), \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{WiFi}} = \sigma \left(\mathbf{W}_{g} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{WiFi}} \right) \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{IMU}}^{\mathrm{gated}} &= \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{IMU}} \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{IMU}}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{WiFi}}^{\mathrm{gated}} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{WiFi}} \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{WiFi}} \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{fused}} &= \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{IMU}}^{\mathrm{gated}} + \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{WiFi}}^{\mathrm{gated}} \end{split}$$

Algorithm 4 Gated Fusion Implementation

```
def gated_fusion(imu_features, wifi_features):
    # Apply 1D convolution to IMU and WiFi features
    gate_imu = sigmoid(convolution(imu_features))
    gate_wifi = sigmoid(convolution(wifi_features))
    # Apply gating mechanism (element-wise multiplication)
    gated_imu = gate_imu * imu_features
    gated_wifi = gate_wifi * wifi_features
    # Combine the gated features by addition
    fused_features = gated_imu + gated_wifi
    return fused_features
```

Received 20 February 2007; revised 12 March 2009; accepted 5 June 2009