

Asymptotical Behavior of Global Solutions of the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg Equations with Respect to Capillarity Number at Infinity

Fei Jiang^{a,b}, Pengfei Li^{a,*}, Jiawei Wang^c

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, China.*

^b*Key Laboratory of Operations Research and Control of Universities in Fujian, Fuzhou 350108, China.*

^c*Hua Loo-Keng Center for Mathematical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.*

Abstract

Vanishing capillarity in the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg (NSK) equations has been widely investigated, in particular, it is well-known that the NSK equations converge to the Navier–Stokes (NS) equations by vanishing capillarity number. To our best knowledge, this paper first investigates the behavior of large capillarity number, denoted by κ^2 , for the global(-in-time) strong solutions with small initial perturbations of the three-dimensional (3D) NSK equations in a slab domain with Navier(-slip) boundary condition. Under the well-prepared initial data, we can construct a family of global strong solutions of the 3D incompressible NSK equations with respect to $\kappa > 0$, where the solutions converge to a unique solution of 2D incompressible NS-like equations as κ goes to infinity.

Keywords: Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations; asymptotical behavior; uniform estimates; global-in-time solutions.

1. Introduction

A classical model to describe the dynamics of an inhomogeneous incompressible fluid endowed with internal capillarity (in the diffuse interface setting) is the following general system of incompressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg (NSK) equations:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \rho(v_t + v \cdot \nabla v) - \operatorname{div}(\mu(\rho)\mathbb{D}v) + \nabla P = \operatorname{div}K, \\ \operatorname{div}v = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\rho(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $v(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $P(x, t)$ denote the density, velocity and kinetic pressure of the fluid resp. at the spacial position $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for time $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ := [0, +\infty)$. The differential operator \mathbb{D} is defined by $\mathbb{D}v = \nabla v + \nabla v^\top$, where the superscript \top represents the transposition. The shear viscosity function μ , the capillarity function $\tilde{\kappa}$ are known smooth functions $\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and satisfy $\mu > 0$, $\tilde{\kappa} > 0$. The general capillary tensor is written as

$$K = (\rho \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\kappa}(\rho)\nabla\rho) + (\tilde{\kappa}(\rho) - \rho\tilde{\kappa}'(\rho))|\nabla\rho|^2/2)\mathbb{I} - \tilde{\kappa}(\rho)\nabla\rho \otimes \nabla\rho,$$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: jiangfei0591@163.com (Fei Jiang), pfliyou@163.com (Pengfei Li), wangjiawei@amss.ac.cn (Jiawei Wang)

where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix. To conveniently investigate the asymptotical behavior of capillarity number, we consider that μ and $\tilde{\kappa}$ are positive constants [6], thus it holds that

$$\operatorname{div}(\mu(\rho)\mathbb{D}v) = \mu\Delta v \text{ and } \operatorname{div}K = \kappa^2\rho\nabla\Delta\rho, \quad (1.2)$$

where we have defined that $\kappa := \sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}} > 0$. We call the parameters μ and κ^2 the viscosity coefficient and the capillarity number, resp..

In classical hydrodynamics, the interface between two immiscible compressible/incompressible fluids is modeled as a free boundary which evolves in time. The equations describing the motion of each fluid are supplemented by boundary conditions at the free surface involving the physical properties of the interface. For instance, in the free-boundary formulation, it is assumed that the interface has an internal surface tension. However, when the interfacial thickness is comparable to the length scale of the phenomena being examined, the free-boundary description breaks down. Diffuse-interface models provide an alternative description where the surface tension is expressed in its simplest form as $\operatorname{div}K$, i.e., the capillary tension which was introduced by Korteweg in 1901 [41]. Later, its modern form was derived by Dunn and Serrin [19].

In the physical view, it can serve as a phase transition model to describe the motion of compressible fluid with capillarity effect. Owing to its importance in mathematics and physics, there has been profuse works for the mathematical theory of the corresponding compressible NSK system, for example, see [2, 7, 24] for the global(-in-time) weak solutions with large initial data, [17, 25, 27, 40, 50] for global strong solutions with small initial data, [12, 26, 42, 43] for local(-in-time) strong/classical solutions with large initial data, [16] for stationary solutions, [20] for highly rotating limit, [15, 28] for the stability of viscous shock wave, [54] for the maximal L^p - L^q regularity theory, [9, 13, 39] for the decay-in-time of global solutions, [5, 14, 21, 30, 38] for the vanishing capillarity limit, [29, 44, 45] for the nonisentropic case, [37, 48, 55] for the low Mach number limit, [3, 4, 8, 57] for the inviscid case, and so on.

The incompressible NSK system (1.1) has been also widely investigated. The local existence of a unique strong solution was obtained by Tan–Wang [58]. Burtea–Charve also established the existence result of strong solutions with small initial perturbations in Lagrangian coordinates [11], and further presented that the lifespan goes to infinity as the capillarity number goes to zero. Yang–Yao–Zhu proved that as both capillarity number and viscosity coefficient vanish, local solutions of the Cauchy problem for the incompressible NSK system converge to the one of the inhomogeneous incompressible Euler equations [60]. Recently a similar result was also established by Wang–Zhang for the fluid domain being a horizontally periodic slab with Navier-slip boundary conditions [59].

In addition, it also has been investigated that the capillarity under the large capillarity number stabilizes the motion of the incompressible fluid endowed with internal capillarity. Bresch–Desjardins–Gisclon–Sart derived that the capillarity slows down the growth rate of linear Rayleigh–Taylor (RT) instability, which occurs when a denser fluid lies on top of a lighter fluid under gravity [6]. Li–Zhang proved that the capillarity can inhibit RT instability for properly large capillarity number by the linearized motion equations [46] (Interested readers further refer to [46, 51, 61, 62] for the existence of RT instability solutions in the incompressible NSK system with small capillarity number). Later, motivated by the result of magnetic tension inhibiting the RT instability in the two-dimensional (2D) non-resistive magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) fluid in [33], Jiang–Li–Zhang mathematically proved that the capillarity inhibits RT instability in the 2D NSK equations in the Lagrangian coordinates [34]. Recently Jiang–Zhang–Zhang further verified such inhibition phenomenon for the 3D case in Eulerian coordinates [35]. Jiang–Zhang–Zhang’s

result rigorously presents that the capillarity has the stabilizing effect as well as the magnetic tension in the MHD fluid.

It is well-known that the larger the magnetic tension, the stronger the field intensity in the MHD fluid. Moreover the *local* solutions of the system of the idea MHD fluid with well-prepared initial data tend to a solution of a 2D Euler flow coupled with a linear transport equation as the field intensity goes to infinity, see [10, 22, 36] for relevant results. This naturally motivates us to expect a similar result in the incompressible NSK system. In this paper, under the well-prepared initial data, we also construct a family of the *global* strong solutions of the 3D incompressible NSK equations with respect to κ , where the solutions converge to a unique solution of a 2D incompressible Navier–Stokes-like equations as κ goes to infinity, see Theorem 2.2. As Lin pointed out in [49], the stratified fluids with the internal surface tension, the viscoelastic fluids, the non-resistive MHD fluids and the diffuse-interface model (or NSK model) can also be regarded as complex fluids with elasticity. Our mathematical result in Theorem 2.2 supports that the capillarity has the role of elasticity again.

2. Main results

This section is devoted to introducing our main results in details. Let us consider a rest state of the system (1.1). We choose the equilibrium density $\bar{\rho}$ in the rest state to satisfy

$$\bar{\rho} = ax_3 + b \text{ with given constants } a, b > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Without loss of generality, we consider

$$a = b = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Denoting the perturbations around the rest state $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ by

$$\varrho = \rho - \bar{\rho}, \quad u = v - 0,$$

and then recalling the relation

$$\Delta\rho\nabla\rho = \nabla(\rho\Delta\rho) - \rho\nabla\Delta\rho,$$

we obtain the following perturbation system from (1.1) with (1.2):

$$\begin{cases} \varrho_t + u \cdot \nabla\varrho + u_3 = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla\beta = \mu\Delta u - \kappa^2\Delta\varrho(\mathbf{e}^3 + \nabla\varrho), \\ \operatorname{div}u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathbf{e}^3 represents the unit vector with the third component being 1 and we have defined that $\beta := P - \kappa^2\rho\Delta\rho$. The initial condition reads as follows

$$(u, \varrho)|_{t=0} = (u^0, \varrho^0). \quad (2.4)$$

Here and in what follows, we always use the right superscript 0 to emphasize the initial data.

We consider that the fluid domain denoted by Ω is a slab, i.e.

$$\Omega := \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, h),$$

The 2D domain $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0, h\}$, denoted by $\partial\Omega$, is the boundary of Ω . We focus on the following Navier(-slip) boundary conditions on the velocity on $\partial\Omega$:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad ((\mathbb{D}u|_{\partial\Omega})\mathbf{n})_{\text{tan}} = 0,$$

where \mathbf{n} denotes the unit outward normal to Ω and the subscript ‘‘tan’’ means the tangential component of a vector (for example, $u_{\text{tan}} = u - (u \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}$) [18, 47]. The Navier slip boundary conditions describe an interaction between a viscous fluid and a solid wall. Since Ω is a slab domain, the Navier boundary conditions are equivalent to the boundary condition

$$(u_3, \partial_3 u_1, \partial_3 u_2)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

We mention that it is not clear to us *whether our main results in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 can be extended to the non-slip boundary condition of velocity.*

However, to investigate the asymptotical behavior of solutions with respect to capillarity number at infinity and to except the vanishing of ϱ , we set

$$\varrho = \kappa^{-1}\sigma$$

and then transform (2.3) into

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla \sigma + \kappa u_3 = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla \beta = \mu \Delta u - \Delta \sigma (\kappa \mathbf{e}^3 + \nabla \sigma), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

The corresponding initial condition reads as follows

$$(u, \sigma)|_{t=0} = (u^0, \sigma^0). \quad (2.7)$$

In addition, to avoid the vacuum of density, we assume that

$$d \leq \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{\rho^0(x)\}, \quad \text{where } \rho^0 := \bar{\rho} + \kappa^{-1}\sigma^0 \text{ and } d \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (2.8)$$

Before stating our main results, we shall introduce simplified notations, which will be used throughout this paper.

(1) Simplified basic notations: $I_a := (0, a)$ denotes an interval, in particular, $I_\infty = \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$. \bar{S} denotes the closure of a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 1$, in particular, $\bar{I}_T = [0, T]$ and $\bar{I}_\infty = \mathbb{R}_0^+$. $a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq cb$ for some constant $c > 0$, where $c > 0$ at most depends on the domain Ω , $\bar{\rho}$, μ , d in (2.8), and may vary from line to line. Sometimes we also denote c by c_i for emphasizing that c_i is fixed, where $i = 1, 2$. $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $f := (f_1, f_2, f_3)^\top$ be a vector function defined in a 3D domain, we define that $f_h := (f_1, f_2)^\top$ and $\operatorname{curl} f := (\partial_2 f_3 - \partial_3 f_2, \partial_3 f_1 - \partial_1 f_3, \partial_1 f_2 - \partial_2 f_1)^\top$. $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1, 0)$, $\nabla_h := (\partial_1, \partial_2)^\top$, $\nabla_h^\perp := (-\partial_2, \partial_1)^\top$, $\operatorname{div}_h \cdot := \partial_1 \cdot + \partial_2 \cdot$ and $\Delta_h := \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2$. For the simplicity, we denote $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|w_i\|_X^2}$ by $\|(w_1, \dots, w_n)\|_X$, where $\|\cdot\|_X$ represents a norm, and w_i is scalar function or vector function for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(2) Simplified Banach spaces, norms and semi-norms:

$$\begin{aligned} L^p &:= L^p(\Omega) = W^{0,p}(\Omega), \quad H^i := W^{i,2}(\Omega), \quad H_{\text{loc}}^i := W_{\text{loc}}^{i,2}(\Omega), \quad H_0^j := \{\phi \in H^j \mid \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \\ H_{\bar{\rho}}^3 &:= \{\phi \in H_0^3 \mid \partial_3^2 \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \partial_3 \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = -\kappa \bar{\rho}'|_{\partial\Omega}\}, \quad \mathcal{H}^i := \{u \in H^i \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0, u_3|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_s^k := \{w \in \mathcal{H}^k \mid \partial_3 w_1 = \partial_3 w_2 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|\cdot\|_i := \|\cdot\|_{H^i}, \quad \|\cdot\|_{i,l} := \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = i} \|\partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot\|_l,$$

where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is a real number, and $i, l \geq 0, j \geq 1, k \geq 2$ are integers.

(3) Simplified spaces of functions with values in a Banach space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}_T &:= \{\sigma \in C^0(\overline{I_T}, H_{\bar{\rho}}^3) \mid \sigma_t \in C^0(\overline{I_T}, H^1) \cap L^2(I_T, H^2)\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_T &:= \{u \in C^0(\overline{I_T}, \mathcal{H}_s^2) \cap L^2(I_T, H^3) \mid u_t \in C^0(\overline{I_T}, L^2) \cap L^2(I_T, H^1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Energy/dissipation functionals (generalized):

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &:= \|(\sigma\|_3^2 + \|u\|_2^2 + \|\kappa u_3\|_1^2 + \|\kappa(\Delta_h \sigma, \nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma)\|_0^2, \quad E^0 := E(0), \\ \mathcal{E}(t) &:= \|\sigma_t\|_1^2 + \|u_t\|_0^2 + E(t), \quad \mathcal{D}(t) := \|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \|(\sigma_t, \nabla u)\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we state the first result, which presents that the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7) with small initial data admits a unique global strong solution with uniform estimates with respect to κ .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mu, \kappa, d > 0$ be given, and $\bar{\rho}$ be defined by (2.1). There exist constants c_1, c_2 and χ , where*

$$c_1, c_2 \geq 1 \text{ and } \chi := \max\{\kappa^{-1}, c_2\}, \quad (2.9)$$

such that, for any (σ^0, u^0) satisfying (2.8),

$$(\sigma^0, u^0) \in H_{\bar{\rho}}^3 \times \mathcal{H}_s^2 \text{ and } E^0 \leq (3c_1 \chi^9)^{-3}, \quad (2.10)$$

the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7) has a unique global strong solution

$$(\sigma, u, \nabla \beta) \in \mathfrak{P}_\infty \times \mathcal{V}_\infty \times C^0(\mathbb{R}_0^+, L^2).$$

Moreover the solution satisfies the following estimates

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \leq 2c_1 \chi^9 E^0 < 1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{P}(t)\|_0^2 \lesssim c_1 \chi^9 (1 + \chi^2) E^0 \text{ for any } t > 0 \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\|(\kappa(\Delta_h \sigma, \nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma), \nabla \mathcal{P})\|_{L^2(I_T, H^1)}^2 \lesssim c_1 \chi^9 (1 + \chi^2 + T) E^0 \text{ for any } T > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathcal{P} := \beta + \kappa \partial_3 \sigma$.

The existence of strong solutions with small initial perturbations to the initial-boundary value problem (2.3)–(2.5) in a horizontally periodic domain $\Omega_p := \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, h)$ has been established by Jiang–Zhang–Zhang, where $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let us first roughly recall some key ideas in Jiang–Zhang–Zhang’s proof in [35].

The standing point of Jiang–Zhang–Zhang’s proof is the basic energy identity in differential version for the problem (2.3)–(2.5):

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\kappa \nabla \varrho\|_{L^2(\Omega_p)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2(\Omega_p)}^2) + \mu \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_p)}^2 = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

We call (2.14) the zero-order energy estimate of (ϱ, u) . Then they further derived energy estimates for the high-order spacial derivatives and the temporal derivatives of (ϱ, u) . However, the integrals related to the nonlinear terms in the system (2.3) appear in the high-order energy estimates. We call such integrals the nonlinear integrals for simplicity. In particular, there exist a troublesome nonlinear integral $\int (\partial_3^3 \varrho)^2 \partial_3 u_3 dx$, which is equal to $-\int (\partial_3^3 \varrho)^2 \operatorname{div}_h u_h dx$ due to the incompressible condition (2.3)₃. Jiang–Zhang–Zhang naturally expect that $\nabla_h u$ enjoy a fine decay-in-time, which contributes to close the troublesome nonlinear integral. However one can not directly derive the decay-in-time of $\nabla_h u$ from high-order energy estimates due to the absence of the dissipation of $\nabla_h \varrho$. Fortunately, they can capture the dissipation of $\nabla_h \varrho$ from the vortex equations, which can be obtained by applying curl to (2.3)₂. The dissipation of $(\nabla_h \varrho, \nabla_h u)$, together with the horizontal periodicity of Ω_p , results in the decay-in-time of $\nabla_h \varrho$ and $\nabla_h u$ by energy method with extremely fine estimates.

Following Jiang–Zhang–Zhang’s ideas in [35] for the initial-boundary vaule problem (2.5)–(2.7), however it seems to be difficult to establish the desired decay-in-time of $\nabla_h u$ due to the unboundedness of the fluid domain Ω . This results in that we shall develop a new alternative method to estimate for the troublesome nonlinear integral $\int (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 u_3 dx$ (recalling the linear relation $\sigma = \kappa \varrho$). More precisely, we use the transport equation (2.6)₁ twice and the anisotropic Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev type estimate in A.6 to estimate $\int (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 u_3 dx$, see (3.20) for the detailed derivation. Based on this new idea, we can refine the energy method in [35] to establish Theorem 2.1.

It is easy see from (2.9) that χ for $\kappa \geq 1$ reduces to $\chi = c_2$. Consequently, we can make use of the uniform-in- κ estimates in (2.11)–(2.13) with $\kappa \geq 1$ to establish the asymptotical behavior with respect to capillarity number at infinity. More precisely, for any given $\kappa > 0$, we choose initial data σ_κ^0 and u_κ^0 , which satisfy (2.8) and (2.10) with $(\sigma_\kappa^0, u_\kappa^0)$ in place of (σ^0, u^0) . In view of Theorem 2.1, the initial-boundary value problem (2.3)–(2.5) with $(\varrho_\kappa^0, u_\kappa^0)$ in place of (ϱ^0, u^0) admits a global strong solution denoted by $(\varrho^\kappa, u^\kappa)$, where we have defined that $\varrho_\kappa^0 := \kappa^{-1} \sigma_\kappa^0$. Moreover the solutions have the following asymptotical behavior with respect to κ at infinity

Theorem 2.2. *Let $p \geq 1$. We additionally assume that $(u_\kappa^0)_h \rightarrow w_h^0$ in H^2 as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$. There exist functions \tilde{u} , \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N}_3 such that, for any given $T > 0$,*

$$u^\kappa \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(I_T, \mathcal{H}_s^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, H^3) \text{ wit } \tilde{u}_3 = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$u^\kappa \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ strongly in } L^p(I_T, H_{\text{loc}}^2) \cap C^0(\overline{I_T}, H_{\text{loc}}^1), \quad (2.16)$$

$$u_t^\kappa \rightarrow \tilde{u}_t \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, \mathcal{H}^1), \quad (2.17)$$

$$(\varrho^\kappa, \varrho_t^\kappa) \rightarrow (0, 0), \text{ strongly in } L^\infty(I_T, H^3) \times L^2(I_T, H^2), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{P}^\kappa \rightarrow \nabla \mathcal{Q} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, H^1), \quad (2.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa((\nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma^\kappa)^\top, -\Delta_h \sigma^\kappa)^\top &\rightarrow (\nabla_h \mathcal{M}^\top, \mathcal{N}_3)^\top \\ &\text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, H_0^1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, \tilde{u}_h , \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N}_3 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\rho}(\partial_t \tilde{u}_h + \tilde{u}_h \cdot \nabla_h \tilde{u}_h) + \nabla_h(\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{M}) - \mu \Delta_h \tilde{u}_h = \mu \partial_3^2 \tilde{u}_h, \\ \operatorname{div}_h \tilde{u}_h = 0, \\ \tilde{u}_h|_{t=0} = w_h^0 \text{ with } \operatorname{div}_h w_h^0 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \mathcal{Q} = \bar{\rho} \nabla \tilde{u}_h : \nabla_h \tilde{u}_h, \quad \partial_3 \mathcal{Q}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ -\Delta_h \mathcal{M} = \partial_3^2 \mathcal{Q}, \\ \mathcal{N}_3 = \partial_3 \mathcal{Q}. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

Remark 2.1. Modifying the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 by further using the energy method with time-weight in [35], we also obtain the correspond results for the case of the horizontally periodic domain with finite height, i.e. $2\pi L_1 \mathbb{T} \times 2\pi L_2 \mathbb{T} \times (0, h)$. In addition, since $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ for $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, we can not except the vanishing capillarity limit from Theorem 2.1.

We will prove the asymptotical behavior in Theorem 2.2 by exploiting a compactness argument, the details of which will be presented in Section 5.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

This section is devoted to the proof of the global(-in-time) solvability with uniform-in- κ estimates for the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7). The key point is to *a priori* derive the uniform-in- κ estimate in (2.11). For this purpose, let $T > 0$ be a fixed time and (σ, u) a solution to (2.5)–(2.7) on $\Omega \times I_T$ with initial data $(\sigma^0, u^0) \in H_{\bar{\rho}}^3 \times \mathcal{H}_s^2$. Moreover, we assume that σ^0 satisfies (2.8) and the solution (σ, u) is sufficiently regular so that the procedure of formal deduction makes sense.

3.1. Basic estimates

This section is devoted to deriving some basic estimates of (σ, u) from the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7). Let us first recall the energy identity of (σ, u) .

Lemma 3.1. *It holds that*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla \sigma\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} u\|_0^2) + \mu \|\nabla u\|_0^2 = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\rho = \bar{\rho} + \sigma/\kappa$ and $\bar{\rho}$ is defined by (2.1) with $a = b = 1$ in (2.2).

Proof. Taking the inner product of (2.6)₁ and $-\Delta \sigma$ in L^2 , and then exploiting the integration by parts and the boundary condition of σ in (A.16), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \sigma|^2 dx = \int \Delta \sigma (\kappa u_3 + u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx.$$

Similarly, taking the inner product of (2.6)₂ and u in L^2 , and then making using the integration by parts, the mass equation (1.1)₁, the boundary condition (2.5) and the incompressible condition (2.6)₃, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u|^2 dx + \mu \int |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t |u|^2 dx - \int (\Delta \sigma (\kappa \mathbf{e}^3 + \nabla \sigma) + \rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u dx \\ &= - \int \Delta \sigma (\kappa u_3 + \nabla \sigma \cdot u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above two identities yields (3.1). □

Next we further extend (3.1) to both the cases satisfied by the highest-order spacial derivatives and the temporal derivatives of (σ, u) resp..

Lemma 3.2. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\nabla \Delta \sigma\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \Delta u\|_0^2 - 3 \int \left(\kappa^{-1} - \frac{7}{2\kappa^2} \partial_3 \sigma \right) \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx \right) + \mu \|\nabla \Delta u\|_0^2 \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_3) \|\nabla u\|_1 (\|u_t\|_1 + \|u\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2) + \|\sigma\|_{1,2} (\|\sigma\|_3 + \kappa^{-2} \|\sigma\|_3^3) \|\nabla u\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla \sigma_t\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_0^2) + \mu \|\nabla u_t\|_0^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\sigma_t\|_2 (\|\sigma_t\|_1 \|u\|_2 + (\|\sigma\|_3 + \kappa^{-1} \|u\|_2^2) \|u_t\|_0) \\ & \quad + (\kappa^{-1} \|\sigma_t\|_1 + (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|u\|_2) \|u_t\|_0 \|u_t\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. (1) Let $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Applying Δ and ∂_i to (2.6)₁ and (2.6)₂ resp., we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla \sigma + \kappa u_3) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_i u_t + u \cdot \nabla \partial_i u) + \nabla \partial_i \beta \\ = \partial_i(\mu \Delta u - \Delta \sigma(\kappa e^3 + \nabla \sigma)) - \partial_i \rho u_t - \partial_i(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Taking the inner product of (3.4)₁ and $-\Delta^2 \sigma$ in L^2 , and then using the integration by parts and the boundary conditions of $(\sigma, \partial_3^2 \sigma, u_3)$ in (2.5), (A.16) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \Delta \sigma\|_0^2 = \int (\Delta^2 \sigma \Delta(u \cdot \nabla \sigma) - \kappa \nabla \Delta \sigma \cdot \nabla \Delta u_3) dx. \quad (3.5)$$

Exploiting the integration by parts, (1.1)₁ and the boundary condition of u_3 in (2.5), we can derive that

$$\int \rho u \cdot \nabla \Delta u \cdot \Delta u dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div}(\rho u) |\Delta u|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t |\Delta u|^2 dx. \quad (3.6)$$

In view of the incompressible condition, the boundary condition of $(\varrho, \partial_3^2 \varrho, u_3, \partial_3 u_h)$ in (2.5), (A.16) and the equation (2.6)₂, it is to see that

$$(\partial_3^2 u_3, \partial_3 \beta)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Taking the inner product of (3.4)₂ and $-\partial_i \Delta u$ in L^2 , and then making use of the incompressible condition, the integration by parts, the boundary conditions of $(\sigma, \partial_3 \rho, u_3, \partial_3 u_h, \partial_3^2 u_3, \partial_3 \beta)$ in (2.5), (3.7), (A.16), and the identity (3.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} \Delta u\|_0^2 + \mu \|\nabla \Delta u\|_0^2 \\ & = \int \left(\partial_i(\Delta \sigma(\kappa e^3 + \nabla \sigma)) \cdot \Delta \partial_i u - (2 \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla u_t \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Delta \rho u_t + 2 \partial_i(\rho u) \cdot \partial_i \nabla u + \Delta(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Putting (3.5) and (3.8) together yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla \Delta \sigma\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \Delta u\|_0^2) + \mu \|\nabla \Delta u\|_0^2 \\
&= \int (\partial_i \Delta u \cdot \partial_i (\Delta \sigma \nabla \sigma) + \Delta^2 \sigma \Delta (u \cdot \nabla \sigma)) dx - \int (2 \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla u_t \\
&\quad + \Delta \rho u_t + 2 \partial_i (\rho u) \cdot \nabla \partial_i u + \Delta (\rho u) \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u dx =: J_2 - J_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used the Einstein convention of summation over repeated indices. Next we shall estimate for J_1 and J_2 in sequence.

Using the definition of ρ and the imbedding inequality (A.1), we get

$$\|\nabla^i \rho\|_{L^\infty} = \|\nabla^i (\bar{\rho} + \kappa^{-1} \sigma)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\nabla^i \sigma\|_2 \text{ for } i = 0, 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Making use of Hölder inequality, the product estimates in (A.12) and (3.10), we can estimate that

$$J_1 \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_3) \|\nabla u\|_1 (\|u_t\|_1 + \|u\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2). \tag{3.11}$$

Now we turn to estimating for J_2 . Using the incompressible condition, the integration by parts and the boundary conditions of $(\sigma, \partial_3^2 \sigma, u_3, \partial_3 u_h, \partial_3^2 u_3)$ in (2.5), (3.7), (A.16), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \Delta^2 \sigma u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma dx = - \int (\nabla \Delta \sigma \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma dx, \\
& \int \Delta^2 \sigma \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_j \sigma dx = - \int \partial_i \Delta \sigma (\partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \partial_j \sigma + \partial_i \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_j \sigma) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int \Delta^2 \sigma \Delta u \cdot \nabla \sigma dx = - \int \nabla \Delta \sigma \cdot \nabla (\Delta u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx.$$

We can use the above three identities and the integration by parts to rewrite J_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \int (\Delta \sigma \nabla \partial_i \sigma \cdot \partial_i \Delta u - \partial_i \Delta \sigma (\partial_i u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma + 2(\partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \partial_j \sigma \\
&\quad + \partial_i \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_j \sigma) + \Delta u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \sigma)) dx \\
&= - \int (\partial_i \Delta \sigma (\partial_i u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma + 2(\partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \partial_j \sigma + \Delta u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \sigma \\
&\quad + \partial_i \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_j \sigma)) + \Delta \sigma \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma) dx = J_{2,1} + J_{2,2} + J_{2,3},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,1} &:= - \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i \Delta \sigma (\partial_i u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma + 2(\partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \partial_j \sigma + \Delta u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \sigma \right. \\
&\quad + \partial_i \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_j \sigma)) + \partial_3 \Delta_h \sigma (\partial_3 u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma + 2(\partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \partial_j \sigma + \partial_3 \partial_j u \cdot \nabla \partial_j \sigma \\
&\quad + \Delta u \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \sigma)) + \partial_3^3 \sigma (\partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h \Delta \sigma + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 \Delta_h \sigma + 2(\partial_j u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 \partial_j \sigma \\
&\quad + \nabla_h u_3 \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3^2 \sigma + \partial_3 \partial_j u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_j \sigma + \partial_3 \nabla_h u_3 \cdot \partial_3 \nabla_h \sigma + \Delta u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma)) \\
&\quad \left. + \Delta_h \sigma \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma + \partial_3^2 \sigma (\Delta u_h \cdot \nabla_h \Delta \sigma + \Delta u_3 \Delta_h \partial_3 \sigma) \right) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$J_{2,2} := - \int \partial_3^2 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma (2\partial_3^2 u_3 + 3\Delta u_3) dx \text{ and } J_{2,3} := -3 \int (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 u_3 dx.$$

Take a similar procedure as (3.11), we can easily obtain

$$J_{2,1} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|\sigma\|_3 \|\nabla u\|_2. \quad (3.13)$$

Making use of the incompressible condition, the integration by parts, the product estimate, and the boundary condition of $\partial_3^2 \sigma$ in (A.16), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,2} &= \frac{1}{2} \int (\partial_3^2 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 (2\partial_3^2 u_3 + 3\Delta u_3) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int (\partial_3^2 \sigma)^2 (2\partial_3^2 \operatorname{div}_h u_h + 3\Delta \operatorname{div}_h u_h) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int (2\partial_3^2 u_h + 3\Delta u_h) \cdot \nabla_h (\partial_3^2 \sigma)^2 dx \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|\sigma\|_3 \|\nabla u\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Due to the absence of the dissipation of $\partial_3^3 \sigma$, we can not directly estimate for $J_{2,3}$. To overcome this difficulty, we shall use equation (2.6)₁ twice as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{2,3}/3 \\ &= \kappa^{-1} \int (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 (\sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx \\ &= \kappa^{-1} \int ((\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 (u \cdot \nabla \sigma) - 2\partial_3 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma_t) dx + \kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx \\ &= \kappa^{-1} \int (2\partial_3 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma \partial_3^3 (\kappa u_3 + u \cdot \nabla \sigma) + (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 (u \cdot \nabla \sigma)) dx + \kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx \\ &= \kappa^{-1} \int (2\partial_3 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma (\kappa \partial_3^3 u_3 + \partial_3^3 u \cdot \nabla \sigma + 3\partial_3^2 u \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \sigma \\ &\quad + 3\partial_3 u \cdot \nabla \partial_3^2 \sigma) + (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx + \kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx \\ &= \kappa^{-1} \int \partial_3^3 \sigma (2\partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h \sigma + 3\partial_3^2 u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma + 3\partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3^2 \sigma \\ &\quad + \partial_3^3 \sigma \partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h \sigma)) dx + 2 \int \partial_3 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 u_3 + \kappa^{-1} (\partial_3 \sigma \partial_3^3 u_3 + 3\partial_3^2 \sigma \partial_3^2 u_3)) dx \\ &\quad + 7\kappa^{-1} \int \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 \partial_3 u_3 dx + \kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx \\ &=: J_1^{2,3} + 2J_2^{2,3} + 7J_3^{2,3} + \kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where in the fourth equality we have used the identity

$$\int \partial_3^3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma u \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \sigma + 2\partial_3 \sigma u \cdot \nabla \partial_3^3 \sigma) dx = \int u \cdot \nabla (\partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2) dx = 0.$$

Next we shall estimate the three terms $J_1^{2,3} - J_3^{2,3}$ in sequence.

It follows from the product estimates that

$$J_1^{2,3} \lesssim \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|\sigma\|_3^2 \|\nabla u\|_2. \quad (3.16)$$

Exploiting the incompressible condition, the integration by parts, the product estimates and the boundary condition of $\partial_3^2\sigma$ in (A.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2^{2,3} &= - \int \partial_3^3\sigma(\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^2\operatorname{div}_h u_h + \kappa^{-1}\partial_3\sigma(\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^2\operatorname{div}_h u_h + 3\partial_3^2\sigma\partial_3\operatorname{div}_h u_h))dx \\
&= \int \partial_3^3\sigma(\partial_3^2 u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3\sigma + \kappa^{-1}(\partial_3^2 u_h \cdot \nabla_h (\partial_3\sigma)^2 + 3\partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h (\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^2\sigma)))dx \\
&\quad - \int \partial_3(\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^2 u_h + \kappa^{-1}\partial_3\sigma(\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^2 u_h + 3\partial_3^2\sigma\partial_3 u_h)) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3^2\sigma dx \\
&\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_3)\|\sigma\|_{1,2}\|\sigma\|_3\|\nabla u\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Similar to $J_{2,3}$, we shall use the equation (2.6)₁ twice to rewrite $J_3^{2,3}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^{2,3} &= -\kappa^{-2} \int \partial_3\sigma(\partial_3^3\sigma)^2\partial_3(\sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla\sigma)dx \\
&= \kappa^{-2} \int ((\partial_3\sigma)^2\partial_3^3\sigma\partial_3^3\sigma_t - \partial_3\sigma(\partial_3^3\sigma)^2\partial_3(u \cdot \nabla\sigma)) dx - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^3\sigma)^2 dx \\
&= -\kappa^{-2} \int \partial_3\sigma\partial_3^3\sigma(\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^3(\kappa u_3 + u \cdot \nabla\sigma) + \partial_3^3\sigma\partial_3(u \cdot \nabla\sigma)) dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^3\sigma)^2 dx \\
&= -\kappa^{-1} \int (\partial_3\sigma)^2\partial_3^3\sigma\partial_3^3 u_3 dx - \kappa^{-2} \int \partial_3\sigma(\partial_3^3\sigma)^2\partial_3 u \cdot \nabla\sigma dx - \kappa^{-2} \int (\partial_3\sigma)^2\partial_3^3\sigma(\partial_3^3 u \cdot \nabla\sigma \\
&\quad + 3\partial_3^2 u \cdot \nabla\partial_3\sigma + 3\partial_3 u \cdot \nabla\partial_3^2\sigma) dx - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^3\sigma)^2 dx \\
&=: J_{3,1}^{2,3} + J_{3,2}^{2,3} + J_{3,3}^{2,3} - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\partial_3\sigma\partial_3^3\sigma)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By the incompressible condition, the integration by parts, the product estimate, and the boundary condition of $\partial_3^2\sigma$ in (A.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3,1}^{2,3} &= \kappa^{-1} \int (\partial_3\sigma)^2\partial_3^3\sigma\partial_3^2\operatorname{div}_h u_h dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int (\nabla_h \partial_3^2\sigma \cdot \partial_3((\partial_3\sigma)^2\partial_3^2 u_h) - \partial_3^3\sigma\partial_3^2 u_h \cdot \nabla_h (\partial_3\sigma)^2) dx \\
&\lesssim \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_{1,2}\|\sigma\|_3^2\|\nabla u\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we can use the product estimate and the anisotropic interpolation inequality (A.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3,2}^{2,3} &\lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\partial_3^3\sigma\|_0^2\|\partial_3 u\|_2 \\
&\lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\partial_3^3\sigma\|_0^2\|\nabla\nabla_h\sigma\|_1\|\nabla\sigma\|_2\|\partial_3 u\|_2 \lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\sigma\|_{1,2}\|\sigma\|_3^3\|\nabla u\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3,3}^{2,3} &\lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\nabla_h\partial_3\sigma\|_1\|\partial_3\sigma\|_2^2(\|\nabla\partial_3^2\sigma\|_0\|\partial_3 u\|_2 + \|\nabla\partial_3\sigma\|_1\|\partial_3^2 u\|_1 + \|\nabla\sigma\|_2\|\partial_3^3 u\|_0) \\
&\lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\sigma\|_{1,2}\|\sigma\|_3^3\|\nabla u\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus substituting the above three estimates into (3.18) yields

$$J_3^{2,3} \leq \|\sigma\|_{1,2}(\kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_3^2 + \kappa^{-2}\|\sigma\|_3^3)\|\nabla u\|_2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\partial_3 \sigma \partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx. \quad (3.19)$$

Now inserting (3.16), (3.17) and (3.19) into (3.15) and then using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$J_{2,3} \leq 3 \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\kappa^{-1} - \frac{7}{2\kappa^2} \partial_3 \sigma \right) \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx + c \|\sigma\|_{1,2} (\|\sigma\|_3 + \kappa^{-2} \|\sigma\|_3^3) \|\nabla u\|_2. \quad (3.20)$$

Thanks to the three estimates (3.13), (3.14) and (3.20), we derive from (3.12) that

$$J_2 \leq 3 \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\kappa^{-1} - \frac{7}{2\kappa^2} \partial_3 \sigma \right) \partial_3 \sigma (\partial_3^3 \sigma)^2 dx + c \|\sigma\|_{1,2} (\|\sigma\|_3 + \kappa^{-2} \|\sigma\|_3^3) \|\nabla u\|_2. \quad (3.21)$$

Finally, putting (3.11) and (3.21) into (3.9), we arrive at the desired estimate (3.2).

(2) Applying ∂_t to (2.6)₁ and (2.6)₂, we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla \sigma + \kappa u_3) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla \beta - \mu \Delta u + \Delta \sigma(\kappa e^3 + \nabla \sigma)) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

Taking the inner products of (3.22)₁ resp. (3.22)₂ and $-\Delta \sigma_t$, resp. u_t in L^2 , then following the argument of (3.1), and finally making use of the product estimates, the relation $\rho_t = \kappa^{-1} \sigma_t$ and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla \sigma_t\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_0^2) + \mu \|\nabla u_t\|_0^2 \\ &= \int (\Delta \sigma_t u \cdot \nabla \sigma_t - (\rho_t u_t + \partial_t(\rho u)) \cdot \nabla u + \Delta \sigma \nabla \sigma_t) \cdot u_t dx \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma_t\|_2 (\|\sigma_t\|_1 \|u\|_2 + (\|\sigma\|_3 + \kappa^{-1} \|u\|_2^2) \|u_t\|_0) \\ &\quad + ((1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|u\|_2 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma_t\|_1) \|u_t\|_0 \|u_t\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.3). This completes the proof. \square

Next we shall derive the dissipative estimates for $\Delta \sigma_t$ and u_t .

Lemma 3.3. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla u\|_0^2 - \int \Delta \sigma \sigma_t dx \right) + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_0^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla \sigma_t\|_0^2 + \|\sigma_t\|_1 (\|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|u\|_1 + \|\sigma\|_3 \|\nabla u\|_1) + (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|\nabla u\|_0 \|u\|_2 \|u_t\|_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\Delta u\|_0^2 - \int \rho \Delta u \cdot u_t dx \right) + \|\Delta \sigma_t\|_0^2 \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma_t\|_0 \|u\|_2^2 \|\nabla u\|_2 + (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|u_t\|_1 (\|\nabla u_t\|_0 + \|u\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2) \\ &\quad + \|\sigma_t\|_2 (\|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|u\|_2 + \|\sigma\|_3 \|\nabla u\|_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. (1) Taking the inner product of (2.6)₂ and u_t in L^2 , and then using the integration by parts and the boundary condition (2.5), we get

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_0^2 = - \int (\Delta \sigma (\kappa \mathbf{e}^3 + \nabla \sigma) + \rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u_t dx. \quad (3.25)$$

Using (2.6)₁ and the boundary condition of σ in (A.16), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -\kappa \int \Delta \sigma \partial_t u_3 dx &= \int \Delta \sigma \partial_t (\sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \Delta \sigma \sigma_t dx + \|\nabla \sigma_t\|_0^2 + \int \Delta \sigma \partial_t (u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Putting the above identity into (3.25) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla u\|_0^2 - \int \Delta \sigma \sigma_t dx \right) + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_0^2 \\ &= \|\nabla \sigma_t\|_0^2 + \int (\Delta \sigma u \cdot \nabla \sigma_t - \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Exploiting Hölder inequality, the incompressible condition, the integration by parts, the product estimate, the boundary condition of u_3 in (2.5), (3.10), Poincaré-type inequality (A.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Delta \sigma u \cdot \nabla \sigma_t dx &= - \int (u_h \cdot \nabla_h \Delta \sigma + u_3 \partial_3 \Delta \sigma) \sigma_t dx \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma_t\|_1 (\|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|u\|_1 + \|\sigma\|_3 \|\nabla u\|_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$- \int \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|\nabla u\|_0 \|u\|_2 \|u_t\|_0.$$

Putting the above two estimates into (3.26) yields (3.23).

(2) Applying Δ to the mass equation (2.6)₁ yields

$$\Delta (\sigma_t + u \cdot \nabla \sigma + \kappa u_3) = 0.$$

Taking the inner product of the above identity and $\Delta \sigma_t$ in L^2 , we have

$$\|\Delta \sigma_t\|_0^2 = - \int \Delta \sigma_t \Delta (\kappa u_3 + u \cdot \nabla \sigma) dx.$$

Taking the inner product of (3.22)₂ and $-\Delta u$ in L^2 , and then using the integration by parts, the boundary conditions of $(u_3, \partial_3^2 u_3)$ in (2.5), (3.7), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\Delta u\|_0^2 - \int \rho \Delta u \cdot u_t dx \right) \\ &= \int (\partial_t (\Delta \sigma (\kappa \mathbf{e}^3 + \nabla \sigma) + \rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u - \rho u_t \cdot \Delta u_t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above two identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\Delta u\|_0^2 - \int \rho \Delta u \cdot u_t dx \right) + \|\Delta \sigma_t\|_0^2 \\
&= \int \left((\Delta \sigma \nabla \sigma_t + \Delta \sigma_t \nabla \sigma + \partial_t(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u + \rho u \cdot \nabla u_t) \cdot \Delta u \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Delta \sigma_t \Delta(u \cdot \nabla \sigma) - \rho u_t \cdot \Delta u_t \right) dx = J_3 + J_4,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &:= \int \left((\Delta \sigma \nabla \sigma_t + \Delta \sigma_t \nabla \sigma + (\rho u_t + \rho_t u) \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Delta \sigma_t (2\partial_i u \cdot \nabla \partial_i \sigma + \Delta u \cdot \nabla \sigma) \right) dx, \\
J_4 &:= \int (\rho u \cdot \nabla u_t \cdot \Delta u - \rho u_t \cdot \Delta u_t - \Delta \sigma_t u \cdot \nabla \Delta \sigma) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of the product estimates, (3.10) and the relation $\rho_t = \kappa^{-1} \sigma_t$, it is easy to have

$$J_3 \lesssim (\|\sigma\|_2 \|\sigma_t\|_2 + (\kappa^{-1} \|\sigma_t\|_0 \|u\|_2 + (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|u_t\|_0) \|u\|_2) \|\nabla u\|_2. \tag{3.28}$$

Exploiting the integration by parts, the product estimate, the boundary condition (2.5), and Poincaré-type inequality (A.3), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &= \int (\partial_i(\rho u_t) \cdot \partial_i u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u_t \cdot \Delta u - \Delta \sigma_t (u_h \cdot \nabla_h \Delta \sigma + u_3 \partial_3 \Delta \sigma)) dx \\
&\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) \|u_t\|_1 (\|\nabla u_t\|_0 + \|\nabla u\|_1 \|u\|_2) \\
&\quad + \|\sigma_t\|_2 (\|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|u\|_2 + \|\sigma\|_3 \|\nabla u\|_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Consequently, inserting (3.28) and (3.29) into (3.27) yields (3.24). \square

Next we shall establish the energy estimate of $\kappa \|(\nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_h \sigma)\|_0$ and the dissipation estimate of $\|\sigma\|_{1,2}$.

Lemma 3.4. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \|(\Delta_h \sigma, \nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma)\|_0 \\
& \lesssim \|u\|_2 + (1 + \kappa^{-1} \|\sigma\|_2) (\|u_t\|_0 + \|\nabla u\|_0 \|u\|_2) + \|\sigma\|_2 \|\sigma\|_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\|\sigma\|_{1,2} \lesssim \kappa^{-1} (\|\nabla \Delta u\|_0 + \|\sigma\|_{1,2} \|\sigma\|_3) + (\kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{-2} \|\sigma\|_2) (\|u_t\|_1 + \|u\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_1) \tag{3.31}$$

Proof. (1) We can rewrite (2.6)₂ as follows

$$\kappa (\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_h \sigma)^\top = \nabla \mathcal{P} - \mu \Delta u + \rho (u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla \sigma \Delta \sigma, \tag{3.32}$$

where $\mathcal{P} = \beta + \kappa \partial_3 \sigma$. Taking the inner product of the above identity and $(\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_h \sigma)^\top$ in L^2 , and then using the integration by parts and the boundary conditions of $(\sigma, \partial_3 \rho)$ in (A.16), we have

$$\kappa \int |\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_h \sigma|^2 dx$$

$$= \int (\nabla\sigma\Delta\sigma - \mu\Delta u + \rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u)) \cdot (\partial_1\partial_3\sigma, \partial_2\partial_3\sigma, -\Delta_h\sigma)^\top dx.$$

We easily deduce from the above identity that

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa \|(\nabla_h\partial_3\sigma, -\Delta_h\sigma)\|_0 \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_2 + (1 + \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_2)(\|u_t\|_0 + \|\nabla u\|_0\|u\|_2) + \|\sigma\|_2\|\sigma\|_3, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.30).

(2) Applying curl to the momentum equation (2.6)₂, we can obtain the vortex equation

$$\kappa\nabla^\perp\Delta\sigma = \rho(\omega_t + u \cdot \nabla\omega) - \mu\Delta\omega + \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{N}, \quad (3.33)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{M} := (-\partial_t u_2, \partial_t u_1, 0)^\top, & \mathbf{N} := \mathbf{N}^m + \mathbf{N}^c + \mathbf{N}^k, \\ \mathbf{N}^m := (\partial_2\rho\partial_t u_3 - \partial_3\rho\partial_t u_2, \partial_3\rho\partial_t u_1 - \partial_1\rho\partial_t u_3, \partial_1\rho\partial_t u_2 - \partial_2\rho\partial_t u_1)^\top, \\ \mathbf{N}^c := (\partial_2(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u_3 - \partial_3(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u_2, \partial_3(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u_1 - \partial_1(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u_3, \\ \quad \partial_1(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u_2 - \partial_2(\rho u) \cdot \nabla u_1)^\top, \\ \mathbf{N}^k := (\partial_3\sigma\partial_2\Delta\sigma - \partial_2\sigma\partial_3\Delta\sigma, \partial_1\sigma\partial_3\Delta\sigma - \partial_3\sigma\partial_1\Delta\sigma, \partial_2\sigma\partial_1\Delta\sigma - \partial_1\sigma\partial_2\Delta\sigma)^\top. \end{cases}$$

Exploiting the definition of ρ and the product estimates, we have

$$\|(\mathbf{M}_h, \mathbf{N}_h)\|_0 \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_2)(\|u_t\|_1 + \|\nabla u\|_1\|u\|_2) + \|\sigma\|_{1,2}\|\sigma\|_3 \quad (3.34)$$

Taking the inner product of the vortex equation (3.33) and $-\nabla^\perp\Delta\sigma$ in L^2 , and then using Hölder inequality, the product estimate, (3.10) and (3.34), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa \|\Delta(\partial_2\sigma, \partial_1\sigma)\|_0^2 \\ & \lesssim \int (\rho(\partial_t\omega_h + u \cdot \nabla\omega_h) - \mu\Delta\omega_h + \mathbf{M}_h + \mathbf{N}_h) \cdot \nabla_h^\perp\Delta\sigma dx \\ & \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2\|\sigma\|_3 + \|\sigma\|_{1,2}(\|\nabla\Delta u\|_0 + (1 + \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_2)(\|u_t\|_1 + \|u\|_2\|\nabla u\|_1)), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (A.22) with $s = 1$ and 2 , yields (3.31). This completes the proof. \square

Finally we shall derive that $\|\sigma_t\|_1$ and $\|u_t\|_0$ can be controlled by the norms of spatial derivatives of (σ, u) .

Lemma 3.5. *It holds that*

$$\|\sigma_t\|_1 \lesssim \kappa\|u_3\|_1 + \|\nabla\sigma\|_1\|u\|_2 \quad (3.35)$$

and

$$\|u_t\|_0 \lesssim \kappa\|(\Delta_h\sigma, \nabla_h\partial_3\sigma)\|_0 + (1 + (1 + \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_2)\|u\|_1)\|u\|_2 + \|\nabla\sigma\|_1\|\nabla\sigma\|_2. \quad (3.36)$$

Proof. It is easy to see from the product estimate and (2.6)₁ that

$$\|\sigma_t\|_1 \lesssim \kappa\|u_3\|_1 + \|u \cdot \nabla\sigma\|_1 \lesssim \kappa\|u_3\|_1 + \|\nabla\sigma\|_1\|u\|_2,$$

which yields (3.35).

It is well-known from the incompressible condition and the mass equation (1.1)₁ that

$$0 < d \leq \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{\bar{\rho}(x) + \kappa^{-1}\sigma^0\} \leq \rho(t, x) \text{ for any } (x, t) \in \Omega \times I_T, \quad (3.37)$$

where $\rho = \bar{\rho} + \kappa^{-1}\sigma$. Taking the inner product of (3.32) and u_t in L^2 , and then using the integration by parts, and the boundary condition of u_3 in (2.5), we get

$$\|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}u_t\|_0^2 = \int ((\mu\Delta u - \nabla\sigma\Delta\sigma - \rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u_t + \kappa(\partial_t u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma - \Delta_h \sigma \partial_t u_3)) dx.$$

Exploiting Hölder inequality, the product estimate, (3.10) with $i = 0$ and (3.37), we can get (3.36) from the above identity. This completes the proof. \square

3.2. A priori stability estimates

Now we are in the position to building the total energy inequality (2.11) for the initial boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7).

Proposition 3.1 (*A priori estimates*). *Let (σ, u) be the solution of the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7) defined on $\Omega \times I_T$ with the initial data $(\sigma^0, u^0) \in H_{\bar{\rho}}^3 \times \mathcal{H}_s^2$ satisfying (2.8). Then there exist constants c_1, c_2 and χ , where*

$$c_1, c_2 \geq 1 \text{ and } \chi = \max\{\kappa^{-1}, c_2\}$$

such that, if (σ, u) satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} E(t) \leq (2c_1\chi^9)^{-2}, \quad (3.38)$$

then the solution satisfies the following a priori stability estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} \mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \leq 2c_1\chi^9 E^0. \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. Let

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} E(t) \leq \delta^2 \leq 1. \quad (3.40)$$

In view of (3.35) and (3.36), it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1}\|\sigma\|_2)E(t) \text{ for any } t \in [0, T], \quad (3.41)$$

where δ will be defined by (3.49). In addition, we can derive from (2.6)₁ and (3.40) that

$$\kappa\|u_3\|_1 \lesssim \|\sigma_t\|_1 + \|u \cdot \nabla\sigma\|_1 \lesssim \|\sigma_t\|_1 + \|\sigma\|_2\|u\|_2 \lesssim \|\sigma\|_2 + \|\sigma_t\|_1. \quad (3.42)$$

Exploiting Young's inequality, (3.35), (3.40), (A.20) with $i = 1$ and (A.22) with $s = t$, we derive from Lemmas 3.1–3.3 and (3.31) that, for sufficiently large positive constant $\chi \geq 1$ (independent of κ),

$$\chi \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) + c\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) \lesssim (\chi^3 ((\chi^4(1 + \kappa^{-1}) + \kappa^{-2})) + \kappa^{-4}) E^{1/2}(t)\mathcal{D}(t)$$

$$+ \kappa^{-2}(\|\nabla\Delta u\|_0^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2), \quad (3.43)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) &:= \frac{\chi^2}{2} (\|(\nabla\sigma, \nabla\Delta\sigma)\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}(u, \Delta u)\|_0^2) - 3\chi^2 \int \left(\kappa^{-1} - \frac{7}{2\kappa^2} \partial_3\sigma \right) \partial_3\sigma (\partial_3^3\sigma)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \chi^3 \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla u\|_0^2 - \int \Delta\sigma\sigma_t dx \right) + \chi^4 \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\Delta u\|_0^2 - \int \rho\Delta u \cdot u_t dx \right) + \chi^6 \|(\nabla\sigma_t, \sqrt{\rho}u_t)\|_0^2, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t) &:= \|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \chi(\chi^2\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \chi^4\|\sigma_t\|_2^2 + \chi^3\|u_t\|_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, making use of Young's inequality, the definitions of $\mathcal{E}(t)$, $\mathcal{D}(t)$, (3.10) with $i = 0$, (3.30), (3.37), (3.40), (3.42), Poincaré-type inequality (A.3), (A.20) with $i = 0$ and (A.21), we have, for sufficiently large positive constant χ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) + c\chi^2 (\chi^2\kappa^{-1} + \kappa^{-2}) \|\sigma\|_3 \mathcal{E}(t), \quad (3.44)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) \lesssim (\chi^6(1 + \kappa^{-1}) + \chi^2\kappa^{-2}) \mathcal{E}(t), \quad (3.45)$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{D}(t) \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(t). \quad (3.46)$$

It is easy to see from (3.43) and (3.46) that there exists $c_2 \geq 1$ such that the following estimate holds for any $\chi \geq \max\{\kappa^{-1}, c_2\}$:

$$\chi \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) + c\mathcal{D}(t) \lesssim \chi^8 E^{1/2}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.47)$$

Integrating the above inequality over $(0, t)$ we obtain

$$\chi \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \leq \chi \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t)|_{t=0} + \chi^8 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E^{1/2}(\tau) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau,$$

which, together with (3.41), (3.44) and (3.45), implies

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \leq c_1 \chi^9 \left(E^0 + \|\sigma\|_3 \mathcal{E}(t) + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E^{1/2}(\tau) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \right). \quad (3.48)$$

If

$$\delta := (2c_1\chi^9)^{-1} < 1, \quad (3.49)$$

we can derive from (3.40) and (3.48) that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \leq 2c_1\chi^9 E^0, \quad (3.50)$$

which yields (3.39). This completes the proof. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Now we introduce the local(-in-time) well-posedness of the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7) for any fixed κ .

Proposition 4.1. *Let μ, κ be given positive constants, $\bar{\rho}$ be defined by (2.1), and $(\sigma^0, u^0) \in H_{\bar{\rho}}^3 \times \mathcal{H}_s^2$ satisfy (2.8). Then there exists $T^{\max} > 0$ such that the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7) admits a unique local(-in-time) strong solution (ϱ, v) defined on $\Omega \times [0, T^{\max})$ with an associated pressure β . Moreover*

- $(\sigma, v, \nabla\beta) \in \mathfrak{P}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T \times C^0(\overline{I_T}, L^2)$ for any $T \in I_{T^{\max}}$, and

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{\rho^0(x)\} \leq \rho(t, x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{\rho^0(x)\} \text{ for any } (t, x) \in \Omega \times I_{T^{\max}},$$

$$\text{where } \rho^0 := \varrho^0 + \kappa^{-1}\bar{\rho}.$$

- $\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^{\max}} \|(\nabla\sigma, v)(t)\|_2 = \infty$ if $T^{\max} < \infty$.

PROOF. Since Proposition 4.1 can be easily proved by the standard iteration method as in [58, Theorem 1], we omit the trivial proof. \square

Thanks to the *a priori* stability estimate (3.39) in Proposition 3.1, we can easily establish the global solvability in Theorem 2.1 based on the local solvability in Proposition 4.1. Next, we briefly describe the proof for the readers' convenience.

Assume that (σ^0, v^0) satisfies (2.8) and (2.10), where c_1 and c_2 are provided by Proposition 3.1. In view of Proposition 4.1, there exists a unique local strong solution (ϱ, v, β) to the initial-boundary value problem (2.5)–(2.7) with the maximal existence time T^{\max} .

By the regularity of (ϱ, v, β) , we can verify that (ϱ, v) satisfies stability estimate (3.39) in Proposition 3.1, i.e.

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} \mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}(t) dt \leq 2c_1 \chi^9 E^0, \quad (4.1)$$

if

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} E(t) \leq (2c_1 \chi^9)^{-2} \text{ for } T \in I_{T^{\max}}.$$

Let

$$T^* = \sup \{ \tau \in I_{T^{\max}} \mid E(t) \leq (3c_1 \chi^9)^{-2} \text{ for any } t \leq \tau \}.$$

Then, we easily see that the definition of T^* makes sense by the fact

$$E^0 \leq (3c_1 \chi^9)^{-3} < (2c_1 \chi^9)^{-2}. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, to show the existence of a global strong solution, it suffices to verify $T^* = \infty$. We shall prove this by contradiction below.

Assume $T^* < \infty$, then by and the definition of T^* and Proposition 4.1, we have

$$T^* \in I_{T^{\max}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Noting that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T^*} E(t) \leq (2c_1 \chi^9)^{-2},$$

then, by (4.1) with $T = T^*$ and (4.2), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T^*} \mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^{T^*} \mathcal{D}(t) dt \leq 2c_1 \chi^9 E^0 \leq \frac{2}{27(c_1 \chi^9)^2}.$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T^*} E(t) \leq \frac{8}{27(2c_1\chi^9)^2} < (2c_1\chi^9)^{-2}. \quad (4.4)$$

Making use of (4.3), (4.4) and the strong continuity $(\varrho, v) \in C^0([0, T^{\max}), H^3 \times H^2)$, we deduce that there is a constant $\bar{T} \in (T^*, T^{\max})$, such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \bar{T}} E(t) \leq (2c_1\chi^9)^{-2},$$

which contradicts with the definition of T^* . Hence, $T^* = \infty$, which implies $T^{\max} = \infty$. This completes the proof of the existence of a global solution. The uniqueness of the global solution is obvious due to the uniqueness result of local solutions in Proposition 4.1. In addition, exploiting the product estimates and (2.11), we can easily derive (2.12) from (3.32).

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, we shall verify (2.13). To this purpose, applying curl to the momentum equation (3.32)₁ yields

$$\kappa \operatorname{curl}(\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_{\text{h}} \sigma)^\top = \operatorname{curl}(\rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) - \mu \Delta u + \nabla \sigma \Delta \sigma). \quad (4.5)$$

Applying $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(I_T, L^2)}$ to the above identity, and then using the product estimate and (2.11), we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\kappa \operatorname{curl}(\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_{\text{h}} \sigma)^\top\|_{L^2(I_T, L^2)} \\ & \lesssim \|\operatorname{curl}(\rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) - \mu \Delta u + \nabla \sigma \Delta \sigma)\|_{L^2(I_T, L^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{c_1 \chi^9 E^0} (1 + \chi + \sqrt{T}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Noting that $\operatorname{div}(\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_{\text{h}} \sigma)^\top = 0$, we can derive from (2.11), (3.30), (4.6) and Lemma A.5 that

$$\|\kappa(\partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_{\text{h}} \sigma)\|_{L^2(I_T, H^1)} \lesssim \sqrt{c_1 \chi^9 E^0} (1 + \chi + \sqrt{T}). \quad (4.7)$$

Thanks to (2.11) and (4.7), we easily deduce from (3.32) that

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{P}\|_{L^2(I_T, H^1)} \lesssim \sqrt{c_1 \chi^9 E^0} (1 + \chi + \sqrt{T}),$$

which, together with (4.7), yields (2.13). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.2

This section is devoted to the proof of the asymptotic behavior of solutions stated in Theorem 2.2. For the simplicity, we denote $(\varrho^\kappa, \sigma^\kappa, u^\kappa)$ by (ϱ, σ, u) , where $\varrho^\kappa = \kappa^{-1} \sigma^\kappa$.

Let $T > 0$ and $p \geq 1$ be arbitrary given. Making use of (2.11)–(2.13), Aubin–Lions theorem (see Theorem A.1), Arzelá–Ascoli theorem (see Theorem A.2) and Banach–Alaoglu theorem (see Theorem A.3), there exists a sequence (not relabeled) such that, for $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} u & \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(I_T, \mathcal{H}_s^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, H^3) \text{ with } \tilde{u}_3 = 0, \\ u & \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ strongly in } L^p(I_T, H_{\text{loc}}^2) \cap C^0(\overline{I_T}, H_{\text{loc}}^1) \text{ with } \tilde{u}_{\text{h}}|_{t=0} = w_{\text{h}}^0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\omega} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(I_T, H_0^3) \text{ and strongly in } C^0(\overline{I_T}, H_{\text{loc}}^2), \quad (5.2)$$

$$u_t \rightarrow \tilde{u}_t \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, \mathcal{H}^1), \quad (5.3)$$

$$(\varrho, \varrho_t) = \kappa^{-1}(\sigma, \sigma_t) \rightarrow (0, 0) \text{ strongly in } L^\infty(I_T, H^3) \times L^2(I_T, H^2),$$

$$\kappa(\nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma^\top, -\Delta_h \sigma)^\top \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, H_0^1), \quad (5.4)$$

$$(\nabla_h \partial_3 \sigma, -\Delta_h \sigma)^\top \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2), \quad (5.5)$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(I_T, H^1); \quad (5.6)$$

moreover, for any $\chi \in C_0^\infty(I_T)$, for any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(0, h)$, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, for any $(\psi_1, \psi_2)^\top \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $\partial_1 \psi_1 + \partial_2 \psi_2 = 0$ and for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$,

$$\int_0^T \int (\mathcal{N}_h^\top, \mathcal{N}_3)^\top \cdot \nabla \phi dx \chi d\tau = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\int_0^T \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{N}_1 \psi_1 + \mathcal{N}_2 \psi_2) dx_h \eta dx_3 \chi d\tau = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\int_0^T \int \tilde{N} \cdot \varphi dx \chi d\tau = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

We can further derive from (5.7)–(5.9) that, for a.e. $t \in I_T$,

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{N}_h^\top, \mathcal{N}_3)^\top = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{N}_h \cdot \psi dx_h = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x_3 \in (0, h), \quad (5.11)$$

$$\int \tilde{N} \cdot \varphi dx = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

In view of (5.11), (5.12) and Lemma A.6, we have

$$\tilde{N} = \nabla \mathcal{Q} \text{ for some } \mathcal{Q} \in L_{\text{loc}}^2, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_h = \nabla_h \mathcal{M} \text{ for some } \mathcal{M} \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for a.e. } x_3 \in (0, h), \quad (5.14)$$

which, together with (5.10), yields

$$-\Delta_h \mathcal{M} = \partial_3 \mathcal{N}_3. \quad (5.15)$$

In addition, it is easy to see from (5.2) and (5.5) that

$$\Delta_h \tilde{\omega} = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

which, together with the regularity of $\tilde{\omega} \in L^\infty(I_T, H^3)$, imply

$$\tilde{\omega} = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

By virtue of the product estimate and (2.11), it is easily to see that

$$\|\sigma u_t\|_{L^\infty(I_T, L^2)} + \|\sigma u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^\infty(I_T, H^1)} \lesssim 1.$$

Thus it holds that

$$\kappa^{-1} \sigma u_t \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2), \quad (5.18)$$

$$\kappa^{-1}\sigma u \cdot \nabla u \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^\infty(I_T, H^1). \quad (5.19)$$

Making use of (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.17)–(5.19) and (A.2), one has

$$\rho u_t \rightarrow \bar{\rho} \tilde{u}_t \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(I_T, L^2), \quad (5.20)$$

$$\rho u \cdot \nabla u \rightarrow \bar{\rho} \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \text{ strongly in } L^p(I_T, L^3_{\text{loc}}), \quad (5.21)$$

$$\nabla \sigma \Delta \sigma \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } C^0(\bar{I}_T, L^{3/2}_{\text{loc}}). \quad (5.22)$$

Let $\tilde{\beta} = \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{M}$. Making use of (5.1), (5.4), (5.6), (5.13), (5.14) and (5.20)–(5.22), we easily derive from (2.6) with $(\nabla \partial_3 \sigma - (\nabla_{\text{h}} \partial_3 \sigma^\top, -\Delta_{\text{h}} \sigma)^\top)$ in place of $\Delta \sigma e^3$ that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\rho}(\partial_t \tilde{u}_{\text{h}} + \tilde{u}_{\text{h}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_{\text{h}}) + \nabla_{\text{h}} \tilde{\beta} - \mu \Delta \tilde{u}_{\text{h}} = 0, \\ \text{div}_{\text{h}} \tilde{u}_{\text{h}} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.23)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_3 = \partial_3 \mathcal{Q}, \quad (5.24)$$

which, together with (5.15), yields

$$-\Delta_{\text{h}} \mathcal{M} = \partial_3^2 \mathcal{Q}. \quad (5.25)$$

In addition, applying div_{h} to (5.23)₁, and then using (5.23)₂, we arrive at

$$-\Delta \mathcal{Q} = \bar{\rho} \nabla \tilde{u}_{\text{h}} : \nabla_{\text{h}} u_{\text{h}}. \quad (5.26)$$

Now let \bar{u}_{h} , $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_3$ enjoy the same regularity as well as \tilde{u}_{h} , \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N}_3 , and satisfy the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\rho}(\partial_t \bar{u}_{\text{h}} + \bar{u}_{\text{h}} \cdot \nabla_{\text{h}} \bar{u}_{\text{h}}) + \nabla_{\text{h}}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}} - \bar{\mathcal{M}}) - \mu \Delta \bar{u}_{\text{h}} = 0, \\ \text{div}_{\text{h}} \bar{u}_{\text{h}} = 0, \\ \bar{u}_{\text{h}}|_{t=0} = w_{\text{h}}^0, \\ -\Delta \bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \bar{\rho} \nabla \bar{u}_{\text{h}} : \nabla_{\text{h}} \bar{u}_{\text{h}}, \quad \partial_3 \bar{\mathcal{Q}}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\ -\Delta_{\text{h}} \bar{\mathcal{M}} = \partial_3^2 \bar{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{N}}_3 = \partial_3 \bar{\mathcal{Q}}, \end{cases} \quad (5.27)$$

then it is easy to check that

$$(\bar{u}_{\text{h}}, \nabla \bar{\mathcal{Q}}, \nabla_{\text{h}} \bar{\mathcal{M}}, \bar{\mathcal{N}}_3) = (\tilde{u}_{\text{h}}, \nabla \mathcal{Q}, \nabla_{\text{h}} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}_3).$$

The uniqueness mentioned above means that any sequence of

$$\{(u, \nabla \mathcal{P}, \kappa \partial_1 \partial_3 \sigma, \kappa \partial_2 \partial_3 \sigma, -\kappa \Delta_{\text{h}} \sigma)\}_{\kappa > 0}$$

converges to the limit function $(\tilde{u}, \nabla \mathcal{Q}, \partial_1 \mathcal{M}, \partial_2 \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}_3)$ is independent of choosing the sequences of solutions. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Appendix A. Analysis tools

This appendix is devoted to providing some mathematical results, which have been used in previous sections. In addition, $a \lesssim b$ still denotes $a \leq cb$ where the positive constant c depends on the parameters and the domain in the lemmas in which c appears.

Lemma A.1. *Embedding inequality [1, Theorem 4.12]: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a domain satisfying the cone condition. It holds that*

$$\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{D})} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(D)} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for any $f \in H^2(D)$ (after possibly being redefined on a set of measure zero), and

$$\|\phi\|_{L^6(D)} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{H^1(D)} \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(D). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Lemma A.2. *Poincaré-type inequality [23, Lemma A.10]: For any $f \in H_0^1$, it holds that*

$$\|f\|_0 \lesssim \|\partial_3 f\|_0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Lemma A.3. (1) *Interpolation inequality in H^j : Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a domain satisfying the cone condition and $0 \leq j < i$, then it holds that*

$$\|f\|_j \lesssim \|f\|_0^{1-\frac{j}{i}} \|f\|_i^{\frac{j}{i}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\frac{j}{i-j}} \|f\|_0 + \varepsilon \|f\|_i \quad (\text{A.4})$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $f \in H^j(D)$.

(2) *Interpolation inequalities in L^p : it holds that*

$$\|f\|_{L^4}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f\|_0 \|f\|_1 \text{ for any } f \in H^1, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f\|_1 \|f\|_2 \text{ for any } f \in H^2. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

PROOF. The result (A.4) can be founded in [1, Theorem 5.2]. Next we derive (A.5) and (A.6).

It is well-known that [52]

$$\|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \text{ for a.e. } x_3 \in I_h, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^\infty(I_h)}^2 \lesssim \|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^2(I_h)} \|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{W^{1,2}(I_h)} \text{ for a.e. } x_{\text{h}} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty}^4 \lesssim \|f\|_{L^4} \|\nabla f\|_{L^4}^3. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Exploiting (A.7) and (A.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^4}^4 &\lesssim \| \|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|_{L^1(I_h)} \\ &\lesssim \| \|f(x_{\text{h}}, x_3)\|_{L^\infty(I_h)}^2 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f\|_0^2 \lesssim \|f\|_1^2 \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f\|_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Hence (A.5) holds. Thus we further deduce from (A.5) and (A.9) that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{1,4}}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla_{\text{h}} f\|_1 \|f\|_2, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which yields (A.6). \square

Lemma A.4. *Product estimates (see [31, Lemma A.3]): Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a domain satisfying the cone condition, and f, g be functions defined in D . Then*

$$\|fg\|_i \lesssim \begin{cases} \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 & \text{for } i = 0; \\ \|f\|_i \|g\|_2 & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 2. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

if the norms on the right hand side of the above inequalities are finite.

Lemma A.5. *A Hodge-type elliptic estimate [32, Lemma A.4]: Let $i \geq 1$, then*

$$\|\nabla w\|_{i-1} \lesssim \|(\operatorname{div} w, \operatorname{curl} w)\|_{i-1} \text{ for any } w \in H^i \text{ with } w_3|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Lemma A.6. *Helmholtz decomposition in L^2 -spaces (see Lemma 2.5.1 in Chapter II in [56]): Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be any domain. We define that*

$$\mathcal{L}^2(D) := \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(D)}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^2(D)}}, \quad \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(D) := \{w \in C_0^\infty(D) \mid \operatorname{div} w = 0\}$$

and

$$G(D) := \{f \in L^2(D) \mid f = \nabla g \text{ for some scalar function } g \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(D)\}.$$

Then

$$G(D) = \left\{ f \in L^2(D) \mid \int_D f \cdot w \, dx = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{L}^2(D) \right\},$$

and each $f \in L^2(D)$ has a unique decomposition

$$f = \tilde{f} + \nabla g$$

with $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$, $\nabla g \in G(D)$, $\int_D \tilde{f} \cdot \nabla g \, dx = 0$ and

$$\|f\|_0^2 = \|\tilde{f}\|_0^2 + \|\nabla g\|_0^2.$$

Lemma A.7. *An elliptic estimate for the Dirichlet boundary value condition: Let $i \geq 0$, $f^1 \in H^i$ and $f^2 \in H^{i+2}$ be given, then there exists a unique solution $w \in H^{i+2}$ solving the boundary value problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w = f^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = f^2 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\|w\|_{i+2} \lesssim \|f^1\|_i + \|f^2\|_{i+2}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

PROOF. Please refer to [32, Lemma A.7] for the proof. \square

Lemma A.8. *An elliptic estimate for the Neumann boundary value condition: Let a be a positive constant and $i \geq 0$, then there exists a unique solution $w \in H^{i+2}$ solving the boundary value problem:*

$$\begin{cases} -a\Delta w = \operatorname{div} f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{n} denotes the outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Moreover,

$$\|\nabla w\|_{i+1} \lesssim \|f\|_0 + \|\operatorname{div} f\|_i. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

PROOF. Please refer to [32, Lemma A.7] and [56, 1.3.1 Theorem in Chapter III]. \square

Lemma A.9. *Let $(\sigma, u) \in \mathfrak{B}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ be the solution of (2.6) with the initial condition $\sigma^0 \in H_{\bar{\rho}}^3$, then σ satisfies the following boundary condition:*

$$(\sigma, \partial_3 \rho, \partial_3^2 \sigma)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where $\rho = \bar{\rho} + \kappa^{-1}\sigma$.

Proof. The above result (A.16) can be found in [35, Lemma 2.1]. However we provide the proofs for reader's convenience. In view of the mass equation (2.6)₁ and the boundary condition of u_3 in (2.5), it holds that

$$(\sigma_t + u_h \cdot \nabla_h \sigma)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Taking the inner product of the above identity and σ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, and then using the integration by parts and the embedding inequality of $H^2 \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ in (A.1), we derive that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\sigma|^2 dx_h = - \int_{\partial\Omega} u_h \cdot \nabla_h |\sigma|^2 dx_h \lesssim \|\operatorname{div}_h u_h\|_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} |\sigma|^2 dx_h.$$

Noting that $\int_0^T \|\operatorname{div}_h u_h\|_2 d\tau < \infty$ and $\sigma^0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, thus applying Gronwall's inequality to the above inequality yields

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 = 0,$$

which implies

$$\sigma|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Applying ∂_3 to (2.3)₁, then using the boundary condition (2.5), we can compute out that

$$(\partial_3 \rho_t + u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 \rho + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 \rho)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where $\rho := \bar{\rho} + \kappa^{-1}\sigma$. Following the similar argument of (A.17) by further using the incompressible condition (2.6)₃ and the boundary condition $\partial_3 \rho^0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we easily derive from the above identity that

$$\partial_3 \rho|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Similarly, applying ∂_3^2 to (2.6)₁, and then using $\partial_3^2 \sigma^0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, the incompressible condition and the boundary conditions in (2.5), (A.17), we have

$$(\partial_3^2 \sigma_t + u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3^2 \sigma + 2\partial_3 u_3 \partial_3^2 \sigma)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

which obviously implies that

$$\partial_3^2 \sigma|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Thus we arrive at (A.16), which presents that the solution σ satisfies the same boundary conditions as well as the initial data σ^0 . \square

Lemma A.10. *Under the assumption of Lemma A.9, we have the following estimates:*

$$\|\nabla^i u\|_2 \lesssim \|\nabla^i u\|_0 + \|\Delta \nabla^i u\|_0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$\|\sigma\|_3 \lesssim \|\nabla \Delta \sigma\|_0, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$\|\partial_s \sigma\|_2 \lesssim \|\partial_s \Delta \sigma\|_0 \text{ for } s = 1, 2, t. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Proof. Similar results can be found in [35, Lemma 2.2], however we also provide the proofs of (A.20)–(A.22) for reader’s convenience.

Recalling the boundary condition of u in (2.5), we use both the elliptic estimates in Lemmas A.7 and A.8 to deduce that

$$\|\nabla u\|_1 \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_0 + \|\Delta u\|_0$$

and

$$\|\nabla \partial_j u\|_1 \lesssim \|\nabla \partial_j u\|_0 + \|\Delta \partial_j u\|_0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq 3,$$

which, together with the interpolation inequality (A.4), imply (A.20).

Similarly, thanks to the boundary conditions of $(\sigma, \partial_3^2 \sigma)$ in (A.16), we also exploit the elliptic estimate in Lemma A.7 to deduce (A.21)–(A.22). This completes the proof of Lemma A.10. \square

Theorem A.1. *Aubin–Lions theorem [53, Theorem 1.71]: Let $T > 0$, $X \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow Y$ be Banach spaces, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ a sequence bounded in $L^q(I_T, B) \cap L^1(I_T, X)$ and $\{df_n/dt\}_{n=1}^\infty$ bounded in $L^1(I_T, Y)$, where $1 < q \leq \infty$. Then $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is relatively compact in $L^p(I_T, B)$ for any $1 \leq p < q$.*

Theorem A.2. *Arzelá–Ascoli theorem [53, Theorem 1.70]: Let $T > 0$ and B, X be Banach spaces such that $B \hookrightarrow X$ is compact. Let f_n be a sequence of functions $\overline{I_T} \rightarrow B$ uniformly bounded in B and uniformly continuous in X . Then there exists $f \in C^0(\overline{I_T}, B)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ strongly in $C^0(\overline{I_T}, X)$ at least for a chosen subsequence.*

Theorem A.3. *Banach–Alaoglu theorem (see Sections 1.4.5.25 and 1.4.5.26 in [53]):*

- (1) *Let X be a reflexive Banach space and let $\{u_n\} \subset X$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ weakly convergent in X .*
- (2) *Another version of the Banach–Alaoglu theorem: Let X be a separable Banach space and let $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ weakly-* convergent in X^* .*

Acknowledgements. The research of Fei Jiang was supported by NSFC (Grant Nos. 12371233 and 12231016), and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Grant Nos. 2024J011011 and 2022J01105) and the Central Guidance on Local Science and Technology Development Fund of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2023L3003).

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fourier, Sobolev Spaces, Academic press, New York, 2003.
- [2] P. Antonelli, S. Spirito, Global existence of weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 39 (2022) 171–200.
- [3] C. Audiard, B. Haspot, Global well-posedness of the Euler–Korteweg system for small irrotational data, Comm. Math. Phys. 351 (2017) 201–247.
- [4] S. Benzoni-Gavage, R. Danchin, S. Descombes, On the well-posedness for the Euler–Korteweg model in several space dimensions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 (2007) 1499–1579.
- [5] D. Bian, L. Yao, C. Zhu, Vanishing capillarity limit of the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type to the Navier–Stokes equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014) 1633–1650.
- [6] D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, M. Gisclon, R. Sart, Instability results related to compressible Korteweg system, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. 54 (2008) 11–36.
- [7] D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, C.K. Lin, On some compressible fluid models: Korteweg, lubrication, and shallow water systems, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 843–868.

- [8] D. Bresch, M. Gisclon, I. Lacroix-Violet, On Navier–Stokes–Korteweg and Euler–Korteweg systems: application to quantum fluids models, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 233 (2019) 975–1025.
- [9] D. Bresch, M. Gisclon, I. Lacroix-Violet, A. Vasseur, On the exponential decay for compressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations with a drag term, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 24 (2022) Paper No. 11, 16.
- [10] G. Browning, H.O. Kreiss, Problems with different time scales for nonlinear partial differential equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 42 (1982) 704–718.
- [11] C. Burtea, F. Charve, Lagrangian methods for a general inhomogeneous incompressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg system with variable capillarity and viscosity coefficients, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 49 (2017) 3476–3495.
- [12] F. Charve, Local in time results for local and non-local capillary Navier–Stokes systems with large data, *J. Differential Equations* 256 (2014) 2152–2193.
- [13] F. Charve, R. Danchin, J. Xu, Gevrey analyticity and decay for the compressible Navier–Stokes system with capillarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 70 (2021) 1903–1944.
- [14] F. Charve, B. Haspot, Existence of a global strong solution and vanishing capillarity-viscosity limit in one dimension for the Korteweg system, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45 (2013) 469–494.
- [15] Z. Chen, Y. Li, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to an impermeable wall problem of the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type with density-dependent viscosity and capillarity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 53 (2021) 1434–1473.
- [16] Z. Chen, H. Zhao, Existence and nonlinear stability of stationary solutions to the full compressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg system, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 101 (2014) 330–371.
- [17] R. Danchin, B. Desjardins, Existence of solutions for compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 18 (2001) 97–133.
- [18] S. Ding, Q. Li, Z. Xin, Stability analysis for the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 20 (2018) 603–629.
- [19] J.E. Dunn, J. Serrin, On the thermomechanics of interstitial working, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 88 (1985) 95–133.
- [20] F. Fanelli, Highly rotating viscous compressible fluids in presence of capillarity effects, *Math. Ann.* 366 (2016) 981–1033.
- [21] P. Germain, P. LeFloch, Finite energy method for compressible fluids: the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg model, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 69 (2016) 3–61.
- [22] S. Goto, Singular limit of the incompressible ideal magneto-fluid motion with respect to the Alfvén number, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 19 (1990) 175–187.
- [23] Y. Guo, I. Tice, Decay of viscous surface waves without surface tension in horizontally infinitedomains, *Anal. PDE* 6 (2013) 1429–1533.
- [24] B. Haspot, Existence of global weak solution for compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 13 (2011) 223–249.
- [25] B. Haspot, Strong solution for Korteweg system in $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with initial density in L^∞ , *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 121 (2020) 1766–1797.
- [26] H. Hattori, D. Li, Solutions for two-dimensional system for materials of Korteweg type, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 25 (1994) 85–98.
- [27] H. Hong, Strong solutions for the compressible barotropic fluid model of Korteweg type in the bounded domain, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 71 (2020) Paper No. 85, 25.
- [28] H. Hong, Stability of stationary solutions and viscous shock wave in the inflow problem for isentropic Navier–Stokes–Korteweg system, *J. Differential Equations* 314 (2022) 518–573.
- [29] X. Hou, H. Peng, C. Zhu, Global well-posedness of the 3D non-isothermal compressible fluid model of Korteweg type, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Applications* 43 (2018) 18–53.
- [30] X. Hou, L. Yao, C. Zhu, Vanishing capillarity limit of the compressible non-isentropic Navier–Stokes–Korteweg system to Navier–Stokes system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 448 (2017) 421–446.
- [31] F. Jiang, H. Jiang, S. Jiang, Rayleigh–Taylor instability in stratified compressible fluids

- with/without the interfacial surface tension, arXiv:2309.13370 (2023).
- [32] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Y. Zhao, On inhibition of the Rayleigh–Taylor instability by a horizontal magnetic field in ideal MHD fluids with velocity damping, *J. Differential Equations* 314 (2022) 574–652.
 - [33] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Y. Zhao, On inhibition of the Rayleigh–Taylor instability by a horizontal magnetic field in 2D non-resistive MHD fluids: the viscous case, *CSIAM Trans. Appl. Math.* 4 (2023) 451–514.
 - [34] F. Jiang, F. Li, Z. Zhang, On stability and instability of gravity driven Navier–Stokes–Korteweg model in two dimensions, arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01013 (2023).
 - [35] F. Jiang, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, On the inhibition of Rayleigh–Taylor instability by capillarity in the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg model, arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07201 (2024).
 - [36] S. Jiang, Q. Ju, X. Xu, Small Alfvén number limit for incompressible magneto-hydrodynamics in a domain with boundaries, *Sci. China Math.* 62 (2019) 2229–2248.
 - [37] Q. Ju, J. Xu, Zero-Mach limit of the compressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 63 (2022) 111503.
 - [38] A. Jüngel, C.K. Lin, K.C. Wu, An asymptotic limit of a Navier–Stokes system with capillary effects, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 329 (2014) 725–744.
 - [39] S. Kawashima, Y. Shibata, J. Xu, The L^p energy methods and decay for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations with capillarity, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 154 (2021) 146–184.
 - [40] T. Kobayashi, M. Murata, H. Saito, Resolvent estimates for a compressible fluid model of Korteweg type and their application, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 24 (2022) Paper No. 12, 42.
 - [41] D.J. Korteweg, Sur la forme que prennent les équations du mouvements des fluides si l’on tient compte des forces capillaires causées par des variations de densité considérables mais connues et sur la théorie de la capillarité dans l’hypothèse d’une variation continue de la densité, *Archives Néerlandaises des Sciences exactes et naturelles* 6 (1901) 1–24.
 - [42] M. Kotschote, Strong solutions for a compressible fluid model of Korteweg type, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 25 (2008) 679–696.
 - [43] M. Kotschote, Strong well-posedness for a Korteweg-type model for the dynamics of a compressible non-isothermal fluid, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 12 (2010) 473–484.
 - [44] M. Kotschote, Dynamics of compressible non-isothermal fluids of non-Newtonian Korteweg type, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 44 (2012) 74–101.
 - [45] M. Kotschote, Existence and time-asymptotics of global strong solutions to dynamic Korteweg models, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 63 (2014) 21–51.
 - [46] F. Li, Z. Zhang, Stabilizing effect of capillarity in the Rayleigh–Taylor problem to the viscous incompressible capillary fluids, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 55 (2023) 3287–3315.
 - [47] Q. Li, S. Ding, Global well-posedness of the Navier–Stokes equations with Navier-slip boundary conditions in a strip domain, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 20 (2021) 3561–3581.
 - [48] Y. Li, W. Yong, Zero Mach number limit of the compressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations, *Commun. Math. Sci.* 14 (2016) 233–247.
 - [49] F. Lin, Some analytical issues for elastic complex fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 65 (2012) 893–919.
 - [50] M. Murata, Y. Shibata, The global well-posedness for the compressible fluid model of Korteweg type, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 52 (2020) 6313–6337.
 - [51] T.T. Nguyen, Influence of capillary number on nonlinear Rayleigh–Taylor instability to the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations, arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05536 (2023).
 - [52] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Estratto Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa* (3) XIII(II) (1959) 1–48.
 - [53] A. Novotný, I. Straškraba, *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
 - [54] H. Saito, On the maximal L_p – L_q regularity for a compressible fluid model of Korteweg type on general domains, *J. Differential Equations* 268 (2020) 2802–2851.

- [55] K. Sha, Y. Li, Low Mach number limit of the three-dimensional full compressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 70 (2019) 169–175.
- [56] H. Sohr, *The Navier–Stokes equations: An elementary functional analytic approach*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [57] M. Sy, D. Bresch, F. Guillén-González, J. Lemoine, M.A. Rodríguez-Bellido, Local strong solution for the incompressible Korteweg model, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 342 (2006) 169–174.
- [58] Z. Tan, Y. Wang, Strong solutions for the incompressible fluid models of Korteweg type, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)* 30 (2010) 799–809.
- [59] P. Wang, Z. Zhang, Vanishing capillarity-viscosity limit of the incompressible Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations with slip boundary condition, *Nonlinear Anal.* 243 (2024) 113526.
- [60] J. Yang, L. Yao, C. Zhu, Vanishing capillarity-viscosity limit for the incompressible inhomogeneous fluid models of Korteweg type, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 66 (2015) 2285–2303.
- [61] X. Zhang, F. Tian, W. Wang, On Rayleigh–Taylor instability in Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2023) Paper No. 119, 30.
- [62] Z. Zhang, Rayleigh–Taylor instability for viscous incompressible capillary fluids, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 24 (2022) Paper No. 70, 23.