

Every Image Listens, Every Image Dances: Music-Driven Image Animation

Zhikang Dong^{1*} Weituo Hao² Ju-Chiang Wang² Peng Zhang^{3†} Pawel Polak¹

¹Stony Brook University ²Bytedance ³Apple

Abstract

Image animation has become a promising area in multi-modal research, with a focus on generating videos from reference images. While prior work has largely emphasized generic video generation guided by text, music-driven dance video generation remains underexplored. In this paper, we introduce MuseDance, an innovative end-to-end model that animates reference images using both music and text inputs. This dual input enables MuseDance to generate personalized videos that follow text descriptions and synchronize character movements with the music. Unlike existing approaches, MuseDance eliminates the need for complex motion guidance inputs, such as pose or depth sequences, making flexible and creative video generation accessible to users of all expertise levels. To advance research in this field, we present a new multimodal dataset comprising 2,904 dance videos with corresponding background music and text descriptions. Our approach leverages diffusion-based methods to achieve robust generalization, precise control, and temporal consistency, setting a new baseline for the music-driven image animation task.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence has made significant strides across various domains recently [8, 15–18, 34, 37, 40, 49, 50, 68]. In particular, image animation have advanced through the use of various of guidances, such as motion [43, 44, 67], text [12]. These methods animate static images by applying motion to main characters within them. However, the area of music-guided image animation remains relatively underexplored. This task involves animating characters to dance in sync with music, ensuring the video aligns with the musical theme and follows the beats. For example, fast-paced pop music tracks suit street dance, while calm piano music complements classical waltz performances. Additionally, we aim to enhance customization by incorporating user-provided text. Even with the same appearance and back-

ground, users can express different dance motions guided by the music, creating personalized content that significantly enhances user engagement and amplifies the reach of the music. This approach has huge potential for widespread applications in industries like film, social media, and education, where users frequently create videos choreographed to popular music.

Several challenges in image animation, particularly in dance video generation, remain unresolved. The primary issues are: (1) the scarcity of large-scale datasets containing dance videos with a variety of subjects—not limited to human dancers—synchronized with background music and accompanied by corresponding text captions; (2) the lack of flexible control over the generation process; and (3) limited generalization beyond the training dataset’s scope. Current motion transfer models rely on motion guidance inputs such as pose, video, depth, and skeleton-based sequences to animate reference images. However, these methods often fail to meet user preferences. For instance, finding suitable dance poses for classic pieces by Beethoven or Mozart can be challenging. The availability of such guidance is limited and often requires specialized domain knowledge to create, which most users lack. Additionally, existing dance motion transfer models focus predominantly on human motion, as the guidance is generally designed for human figures, thereby restricting creativity. In contrast, any object—such as animated characters in Disney movies—could potentially “dance”. To address these limitations, we propose an end-to-end music-guided dance generation model that animates the reference image using only music and text. This approach enables users, regardless of expertise, to create diverse dance videos featuring not only humans but also a wide range of objects.

In this paper, we introduce MuseDance, a flexible, end-to-end multimodal image animation framework that generates dance videos from a static reference image, a music piece, and a descriptive text prompt of the desired motions. As shown in Figure 1, MuseDance allows the figure in the reference image to dance rhythmically to the music with specified movements, and the video can extend seamlessly based on prior frames. Built on a diffusion-based model,

*Work done during the internship at Bytedance.

†Work done at Bytedance.




Figure 1. MuseDance generates a dancing video from a reference image, synchronizing movements to the provided music, aligning with the beats, and visually interpreting the guidance of a text prompt for a seamless, music-driven animation.

MuseDance adopts the architecture and pretrained weights of Stable Diffusion [4] and incorporates modifications to ReferenceNet [23] to capture spatiotemporal information, linking music features and text guidance to create coherent, dynamic animations. The model’s training consists of two stages: in the first stage, the model learns single-frame generation by focusing on the reference image’s appearance, aided by DensePose [22], and disentangles appearance and motion using text prompts. In the second stage, it learns to create video sequences using the music, beat, and motion guidance, with frozen modules from the first stage to preserve the appearance quality. Three new modules—music, beat, and motion—enable semantic alignment of music features with video sequences, temporal alignment with beats, and consistency across frames, resulting in engaging and dynamic animations.

In summary, our contributions are threefold: (1) We introduce a novel music-dance video generation dataset, where each sample includes a dance video, the corresponding background music track, and the textual description of the motion in the video. This dataset enables models to learn motion dynamics from both music and text, which

can be used to animate a reference image. (2) We propose MuseDance, a novel end-to-end diffusion-based method that uses music and text as driving dynamics to animate the reference image in a way that aligns with the semantic meaning of the input. The generated content can be easily modified based on the input data. (3) Our model demonstrates robust generalization, flexible and precise control, as well as the ability to generate nuanced, temporally consistent dance videos across a wide range of objects. We establish this as a baseline for the emerging task of music-guided video generation.

2. Related Work

Video Generation Diffusion Model. Video generation is a very important task in AIGC. Methods like variational RNNs [2, 9, 14, 31] and GANs [33, 36, 42, 47, 55, 56, 64] have been explored to tackle this problem. However, most of those works are limited to low-resolution, the lack of large scale high-quality datasets or loose control ability. Diffusion models are proposed to solve this problem. [5] introduces temporal dimension to the latent diffusion image generation model. Make-A-Video [45] enhances DALL·E2

[39], a text-to-image model, by using joint text-image priors and super-resolution strategies to produce high-quality videos. Stable Video Diffusion [4] presents a large-scale text-to-video foundation model, which also supports various downstream tasks like image-to-video generation, camera motion adaptability and multi-view objects synthesis. In addition to open source models, closed-source video generative models, in particular GEN-2 [20], PikaLabs [30], Sora [7] and Kling [29] provide state-of-the-art video generation capabilities for general use.

Music-guided Dance Generation. Music-guided dance generation in 3D sequences has been explored in recent works. Bailando [46] proposes a pose Q-VAE with a motion GPT to predict future pose tokens given music. EDGE [51] presents a physics-constrained transformer-based diffusion to generate more realistic 3D dance sequences. M2C [35] introduces a music code extractor to replace existing music feature processor to enhance music’s role in 3D dance motion generation. LM2D [63] integrates lyrics information to enable the generation of more diverse 3D dance sequences. 3D dance sequence generation models produce only skeleton keypoints, rather than full dance videos, limiting their practical application. Music-guided dance generation in 2D videos is still largely unexplored. [58] utilizes a diffusion model to generate optical flow, which is then combined with a reference image for animation. However, their approach operates at low resolution and has not been tested on specific, large-scale datasets, leading to lower diversity in 2D dance video generation. Our work aims to address these limitations.

Human Motion Transfer. Earlier works [3, 6, 13, 19, 60] on human body motion transfer demonstrate lower accuracy and require significant human intervention. Recently, deep learning techniques have enabled more realistic motion transfer with highly automated training pipelines. MoCoGAN [53] introduces an unsupervised adversarial training method for transferring motion and facial expressions onto target subjects. [1] extends the StyleGAN [28] generator to learn the warped local features. [10] utilizes a video-to-video synthesis method to generate new motions by giving a 2D video and 2D skeleton sequences. Dreampose [27] proposes a diffusion model to animate a reference human image using a target pose sequence and fabric textures. [25] utilizes a lightweight pose guider to enable controllable continuous character movement across various downstream tasks.

In human dance transfer domain, DISCO [57] generates human dance videos from dance skeleton sequences and a reference human image. Their method generalizes to unseen human references, backgrounds, and poses. MagicAnimate [62] combines a video encoder with an appearance encoder to generate temporally consistent dance videos from a reference image. MagicPose [11] incorporates facial

keypoints with body skeletons as guidance to generate realistic human dance videos. However, these approaches still require pose guidance to generate dance videos, and such pose sequences are not always available, limiting the generalization capability of these models. Our approach aims to address this limitation.

3. Method

We propose a two-step training framework to animate images in dancing based on music and text input. In the first step, the model is trained on individual frames from the target video to learn visual features and acquire prior knowledge. In the second step, we introduce music and text as triggers to generate animated frames that align with these inputs. The process is illustrated below.

3.1. Preliminaries

Latent Diffusion Models denote a class of diffusion models that operate within the encoded latent space produced by an autoencoder, represented as $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(\cdot))$. One of the most widely used models in this category is Stable Diffusion [40], which incorporates a VQ-VAE and a time-conditioned U-Net architecture. Additionally, Stable Diffusion utilizes a text encoder from the CLIP [38] model to encode text prompts into embeddings. Given an image $I \in \mathbb{R}^{H_I \times W_I \times 3}$ and its corresponding text embedding $c_{\text{text}} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_c}$, we obtain the latent representation $z_0 = \mathcal{E}(I) \in \mathbb{R}^{H_z \times W_z \times D_z}$ and apply it to a predefined diffusion process across T timesteps, modeled as a Gaussian process. This process approximates a standard Gaussian distribution, $z_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. The training objective in Stable Diffusion is to iteratively denoise z_T back to the original latent representation z_0 .

During inference, the original latent z_t is reconstructed using sampling methods, such as denoising diffusion implicit models [48]. Then, the latent z_t is decoded by the decoder \mathcal{D} to generate the final, clear image. Latent Diffusion Models can produce high-fidelity images and align the generated images with the text-conditioned prompt.

Cross Attention Mechanism is a key component in the U-Net of latent diffusion models. This mechanism integrates information from the latent representation and the conditioning embedding, enabling latent diffusion models to generate images that semantically align with the given condition. More generally, the conditioning modality can be text, motion flows, audio, etc., providing semantic guidance for the generation process.

ControlNet [65] is a structure designed to control the generation process without modifying the pretrained diffusion model. ControlNet duplicates the original parameters into a trainable copy while freezing the original weights, allowing the model to retain its generalization ability from large-scale image datasets while fine-tuning the trainable copy for the specific task. The two copies of the model are connected




Figure 2. In the first training stage, we train the model to capture spatial information by generating individual frames, with reference and target frames randomly sampled from a short time window. DensePose is used to help the model focus on the object, while text prompts assist in understanding motion. In the second training stage, we freeze the spatial attention blocks to preserve the model’s frame generation ability and introduce music, beat, and motion modules to incorporate music dynamics, align with the beat, and improve frame-to-frame consistency.

through zero convolution layers, which progressively integrate the information flow. ControlNet enables precise control over image generation by conditioning diffusion models on additional inputs such as edges, poses, or segmentation maps, allowing for more targeted and customizable outputs while maintaining high image quality.

3.2. Appearance Pretraining

As shown in Figure 2, the goal of the first training stage is to generate motions similar to those in the reference image while preserving the same appearance. Specifically, we randomly select one frame as the input image and another frame within a fixed time window as the target image. Given a video with N frames, denoted as $V = I_1, \dots, I_N$, we randomly sample a frame I_i as the input image, where $i \in (w, N - w)$, with w representing the fixed time window.

We then randomly select a frame I_j as the target image, where $j \in (i - w, i + w)$ and $j \neq i$. Using the DensePose [22] mask, we obtain a dense and robust pose signal of the dancer in the reference image, denoted as D_i . We employ a mask encoder F_m , which consists of a series of convolutional layers to progressively downsample and extract mask features, yielding $m_i = F_m(D_i)$. Similar to ControlNet [65], we incorporate mask condition features in a residual manner.

$$z_0 = \mathcal{E}(I_i) + \text{Conv}(D_i), \quad (1)$$

Similar to approaches in [25, 61], we employ a ReferenceNet—a U-Net-based Stable Diffusion model with the same layers as our backbone diffusion model—to extract visual information from the reference image, denoted as R . Suppose we have features from the denoising U-

Net, $x_d \in \mathbb{R}^{H_z \times W_z \times d}$, and features from ReferenceNet, $x_R \in \mathbb{R}^{H_z \times W_z \times d}$. First, we concatenate these two features along the W dimension, then pass this concatenated feature through spatial self-attention layers, extracting the first half as the output. We then apply this output to cross-attention layers to learn the semantic meaning provided by the CLIP text encoder, denoted as $\text{CrossAttn}(z_t, c_{\text{text}})$. This approach enables the model to learn pose and appearance in the selected frames with high-level semantics.

Since ReferenceNet has a structure similar to that of the denoising U-Net, it can generate feature maps that integrate into the denoising U-Net, enhancing the quality of generated frames with detailed visual information of both foreground and background. This approach differs from ControlNet, which emphasizes alignment between the reference frame and the target frame. In our case, however, the reference and target frames are two different images from a short time window, meaning they share only spatially related features rather than alignment. This is why we chose not to use ControlNet.

3.3. Dynamic Trigger Video Generation

Figure 2 illustrates our second-stage training process. Here, the model learns to generate dance videos based on the reference image, music input, and text guidance. To preserve the visual generation ability from the appearance pretraining stage, we freeze the spatial attention blocks.

To achieve temporal alignment in driving the reference image, we add three new modules to the denoising U-Net: a music understanding module, a beat alignment module, and a motion alignment module.

Music Understanding Module. This module aims to extract musicality information from the music and use it to control frame generation. We use the AST [21] model to obtain the music embedding. Given the hidden states from the previous module, $z_t \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times (H_z W_z) \times d}$, where K is the number of generated frames, and the music embedding $c_{\text{music}} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times d}$, where L is the sequence length of the music embedding, we apply a cross-attention mechanism between the music embeddings and frames to facilitate information flow across these two modalities, allowing the music dynamics to control frame generation. To further improve temporal alignment, we reshape the hidden states into $z_t \in \mathbb{R}^{(H_z W_z) \times K \times d}$ and compute self-attention along the temporal dimension.

Beat Alignment Module. We observe that, in most music dance videos, the music beat serves as a strong signal, often marking the start, stop, or change in dance style. To capture this pattern, we incorporate beat information into the denoising U-Net. We use Librosa to identify beat locations in the music, converting them into a one-hot encoded format. This produces a binary vector $b_{\text{binary}} \in \mathbb{R}^K$, where frames with a beat are assigned a value of 1, and others a value of

0. We align the beat information with video sequences, inspired by token processing in NLP tasks, and apply a lookup embedding layer to transform the discrete embedding into a continuous dense embedding $b_{\text{dense}} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times d}$. We then apply the cross-attention mechanism to help the hidden states learn the beat information. Similar to the music understanding module, we reshape the hidden states and apply temporal attention layers to ensure temporal continuity.

Motion Alignment Module. In video generation, maintaining content continuity across frames is crucial, especially for generating coherent dance motions. In addition to the temporal attention layers in the music understanding and beat alignment modules, we employ a motion alignment module to capture temporal dependencies across frames. Inspired by [23, 61], we use several previously generated frames as guidance, concatenating them with the current hidden states and performing self-attention across the temporal sequence dimension. Specifically, we form a concatenated hidden state $z_{\text{motion}} = \text{concat}(z'_t, z_t)$, where $z'_t \in \mathbb{R}^{(H_z W_z) \times M \times d}$ represents the hidden states from the previous M generated frames. By applying self-attention on z_{motion} across the temporal axis, we select the last K hidden states as the current generated frames.

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Music Dance Dataset

In this paper, we introduce the first music-dance video dataset. The raw videos are collected from YouTube, totaling 304 videos. These videos feature a diverse range of dance genres, from popular styles found on short video platforms to traditional Chinese dance. The videos also provide diversity in dancer appearance and background settings. Given that dancers often perform to the same music, some music tracks overlap across videos. Human-object videos are primarily from TikTok dance collections or dance challenge series, while non-human object videos consist of synthetic animations of animals dancing. We split each video into multiple clips. All these sub-videos are in a vertical, object-centered format, paired with background music, and vary in length from 10 to 30 seconds. We manually edit each video to remove irrelevant segments, such as intros, outros, and conversational parts, retaining only the music-driven dance sections. To ensure consistency, we include only videos with a single dancer, with plans to expand the dataset to include multiple-dancer videos in the future. Following these preprocessing steps, our dataset comprises 2,904 videos, each paired with background music and lasting approximately 4 seconds. The dataset includes a total of 454 unique music tracks. All dance videos undergo manual review to ensure that any harmful or inappropriate content is excluded.

We also include a text description of motion for each pair




Figure 3. An example of textual data generation, we provide a series of frames and a detailed prompt to instruct GPT-4o to generate motion captions.

Dataset	Videos	Music	Text
Everybody Dance Now [10]	105 Videos	✗	✗
TikTok [26]	350 Videos	✗	✗
AIST++ ¹ [32]	1408 Videos	60 Songs	✗
MuseDance (ours)	2904 Videos	454 Songs	2904 Captions

Table 1. Current Music Dance Dataset Comparison.

of music and video. Figure 3 illustrates our process for generating these descriptions. We leverage OpenAI’s GPT-4o API to generate video captions, sampling each video every 10 frames and combining these samples with a text prompt for GPT-4o. To better disentangle motion from appearance, we instruct GPT-4o to ignore the dancer’s appearance and background, focusing solely on motions and actions. Under this setup, each sample in our dataset includes a triplet: a short dancing video, background music, and a motion description text.

In Table 1, we compare existing music-dance datasets with our own. The Everybody Dance Now [10] and TikTok [26] datasets contain only the video modality and have significantly smaller dataset sizes. The AIST++ [32] dataset, a subset of the AIST [52] dataset, includes both video and music modalities. However, it is limited to 10 dance genres and 60 music tracks, resulting in less diversity in music and videos compared to our dataset. Additionally, its video backgrounds are clear and simple, which may lack the richness and realism needed for creating diverse dancing videos with complex backgrounds.

¹For a fair comparison, we only consider videos filmed from a front-facing camera perspective with a single dancer.

4.2. Implement Details

In our experiments, both training and inference processes are conducted on a computational platform with 32 NVIDIA A100 GPUs, each has 80 GB memory. The training framework consists of two stages, each comprising 30,000 steps. The batch size is set to 12 in the first stage and 2 in the second stage for each GPU, with video dimensions maintained at 640×640 pixels. During the second stage of training, each instance generates a 4-second video at a frame rate of 12 fps. The music has a sample rate of 16,000 Hz and is in mono. To ensure consistency in the generated content, the hidden states of the last two generated frames are utilized within the motion module. A learning rate of $1e-5$ is applied across both training stages, and the Adam optimizer is employed for parameter updates. The ReferenceNet and Denoising U-Net are initialized based on *stable-diffusion-v1-5*, while the motion module is initialized with weights derived from Animatediff [23]. To enhance video generation quality, a dropout rate of 0.05 is applied. Additionally, we use DDIM to sample the generated frames.

4.3. Quantitative Results

Similar to the approach in [57], we randomly select 10 videos as the test split, including various figures, such as human and non-human ones. We evaluate the quality of our generated dancing videos using several metrics. For single-frame quality, we employ SSIM [59], LPIPS [66], and PSNR [24]. To assess overall video quality, we use Fréchet Video Distance (FVD) [54].

Due to the lack of open-source code and data for the




Figure 4. Music driven dancing video generation on non-human objects.

music-driven video generation task, we don't have baseline models for direct comparison. To evaluate our results, we compare our results from two different perspectives: (1) We select a pair of models for comparison. Firstly, we use EDGE [51], generates 3D skeleton sequences based on music input. And we project those sequences into 2D space. Using these 2D pose sequences as guidance, we animate the reference image according to the approach in DISCO [57]. (2) We leverage MM-Diffusion [41], a joint audio-video generation model, using the music in the MuseDance dataset as the condition to generate dancing videos. We reimplement those models on our manually collected dataset and Table 2 shows the comparison results.

Method	Image			Video
	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow	FVD \downarrow
EDGE + DISCO	27.68	0.602	0.266	396.95
MM-Diffusion	28.81	0.469	0.297	586.87
MuseDance (ours)	29.59	0.680	0.276	311.04

Table 2. Comparison of models' performance across image and video metrics on MuseDance dataset.

4.4. Ablation Studies

To illustrate the effectiveness of each module in the second training stage, we conduct ablation studies by removing the music module, beat module, or motion module to examine their influence on the generated content and consistency across frames. For a fair comparison, we freeze the modules trained at the first stage. As shown in Table 3, we observe that adding the music, motion, and beat modules effectively

enhances generation quality. Notably, the motion module significantly improves temporal consistency.

Module			Metrics			
Music	Motion	Beat	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow	FVD \downarrow
\times	\times	\times	24.49	0.611	0.291	738.69
\checkmark	\times	\times	24.63	0.619	0.283	612.34
\checkmark	\checkmark	\times	28.88	0.674	0.277	400.55
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	29.59	0.680	0.276	311.04

Table 3. Ablation study results showing the impact of removing different modules in the second training stage.

4.5. Qualitative Results

Non-human Object Generation. Unlike existing works, our model has the capability to generate dancing videos of non-human objects. As shown in Figure 4, our model produces realistic dancing motions for non-human objects based on the music input and tempo. We observe that regardless of whether the text description provides detailed motion instructions or just generalized guidance, the model still performs well. This demonstrates the strong language understanding ability of our model.

Text Semantic Preservation. We evaluate our model's ability to preserve semantic consistency by controlling the input text prompt. In Figure 5, we control the text guidance and use different music dynamics to drive various reference images. The results demonstrate that our model can accurately interpret the text guidance while maintaining the flexibility to incorporate the music input and generate coherent dance videos.




Figure 5. Dance video generations with the same text prompt but different reference images and music inputs. Frames are shown at the same time points from both the generated videos and the ground truth.

5. Potential Applications

Dynamic Content Creation in Social Media and Interactive Marketing. MuseDance’s ability to animate a wide range of objects, not limited to human figures, in sync with musical and textual guidance opens up new possibilities in social media content creation and interactive marketing. Users can create engaging videos featuring personalized or branded objects that “dance” to trending music tracks, significantly enhancing engagement and shareability. This unique flexibility extends beyond traditional motion transfer applications and can cater to users’ need for quick, dynamic content on platforms like TikTok, YouTube and Instagram.

Interactive Virtual Environments and Gaming. MuseDance can introduce lifelike animation to various in-game assets or virtual environments, where objects or characters move rhythmically with background music, creating an immersive atmosphere. For instance, in rhythm-based games or virtual concerts, MuseDance can animate avatars, objects, or even abstract art elements in response to the music track, amplifying user immersion and engagement. Unlike existing methods that require explicit pose guidance, MuseDance’s reliance on music and

text input makes it highly adaptable, enabling the seamless generation of synchronized movements for any character or object within the virtual scene.

Enhanced Music and Dance Education Tools. MuseDance’s capacity to synchronize animations with diverse types of music and rhythm patterns could be harnessed in educational platforms focused on music and dance. By animating reference images based on music, the model can help students visualize how various music styles—ranging from classical to jazz or pop—affect dance movements. This visual and interactive aid can serve as a practical tool for students to study the influence of rhythm and melody on motion, supporting not only traditional dance education but also aiding musicians in understanding dance as a form of physical expression of music.

6. Limitations

Although our framework allows for the generation of videos of unlimited length, extending videos for too long can reduce frame consistency and compromise the realism of the content. As the sequence progresses, minor inconsistencies may accumulate, leading to flickering or unrealistic transitions. Additionally, while our dataset includes detailed text descriptions that capture body motions sequentially, these descriptions lack explicit temporal information. This limitation affects the model’s ability to disentangle appearance and motion effectively, which may impact the temporal consistency of the generated video. In future work, we plan to expand our dataset with richer text annotations that include explicit timeline information, providing clearer temporal guidance. This enhancement could improve the model’s ability to separate appearance and motion, resulting in more coherent and consistent video sequences.

7. Conclusions

In this work, we explore the potential of using music dynamics and text guidance to animate static images, creating an end-to-end framework for flexible dance video generation. We developed the first music-driven dance video generation dataset from publicly available YouTube videos and proposed a diffusion-based model capable of integrating visual, auditory, and textual cues. Our model not only “sees” the reference image but also “listens” to music, senses tempo and beat, and interprets text instructions to produce realistic, synchronized dance videos. Extensive experiments demonstrate the strong performance of our model on this novel multi-modal task. We have also outlined potential applications and acknowledged current limitations, which we aim to address in future work. We hope this study sparks further exploration and innovation in this exciting area.

References

- [1] Badour Albahar, Jingwan Lu, Jimei Yang, Zhixin Shu, Eli Shechtman, and Jia-Bin Huang. Pose with style: Detail-preserving pose-guided image synthesis with conditional stylegan. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 40(6):1–11, 2021. 3
- [2] Mohammad Babaeizadeh, Chelsea Finn, Dumitru Erhan, Roy H Campbell, and Sergey Levine. Stochastic variational video prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.11252*, 2017. 2
- [3] Thaddeus Beier and Shawn Neely. Feature-based image metamorphosis. In *Seminal Graphics Papers: Pushing the Boundaries, Volume 2*, pages 529–536. 2023. 3
- [4] Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*, 2023. 2, 3
- [5] Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22563–22575, 2023. 2
- [6] Christoph Bregler, Michele Covell, and Malcolm Slaney. Video rewrite: Driving visual speech with audio. In *Seminal Graphics Papers: Pushing the Boundaries, Volume 2*, pages 715–722. 2023. 3
- [7] Tim Brooks, Bill Peebles, Connor Holmes, Will DePue, Yufei Guo, Li Jing, David Schnurr, Joe Taylor, Troy Luhman, Eric Luhman, Clarence Ng, Ricky Wang, and Aditya Ramesh. Video generation models as world simulators. https://openai.com/research/video_generation_models_as_world_simulators, 2024. Accessed: 2024-08-22. 3
- [8] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020. 1
- [9] Lluís Castrejon, Nicolas Ballas, and Aaron Courville. Improved conditional vrns for video prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 7608–7617, 2019. 2
- [10] Caroline Chan, Shiry Ginosar, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Everybody dance now. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 5933–5942, 2019. 3, 6
- [11] Di Chang, Yichun Shi, Quankai Gao, Hongyi Xu, Jessica Fu, Guoxian Song, Qing Yan, Yizhe Zhu, Xiao Yang, and Mohammad Soleymani. Magicpose: Realistic human poses and facial expressions retargeting with identity-aware diffusion. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2023. 3
- [12] Xi Chen, Zhiheng Liu, Mengting Chen, Yutong Feng, Yu Liu, Yujun Shen, and Hengshuang Zhao. Livephoto: Real image animation with text-guided motion control. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 475–491. Springer, 2025. 1
- [13] German KM Cheung, Simon Baker, Jessica Hodgins, and Takeo Kanade. Markerless human motion transfer. In *Proceedings. 2nd International Symposium on 3D Data Processing, Visualization and Transmission, 2004. 3DPVT 2004.*, pages 373–378. IEEE, 2004. 3
- [14] Emily Denton and Rob Fergus. Stochastic video generation with a learned prior. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1174–1183. PMLR, 2018. 2
- [15] Zhikang Dong and Pawel Polak. Cp-pinns: Changepoints detection in pdes using physics informed neural networks with total-variation penalty. In *Machine Learning and the Physical Sciences Workshop, NeurIPS 2023*, 2023. 1
- [16] Zhikang Dong, Apoorva Beedu, Jason Sheinkopf, and Irfan Essa. Mamba fusion: Learning actions through questioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.11513*, 2024.
- [17] Zhikang Dong, Juni Kim, and Pawel Polak. Mapping the invisible: Face-gps for facial muscle dynamics in videos. In *2024 IEEE First International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Medicine, Health and Care (AIMHC)*, pages 209–213. IEEE, 2024.
- [18] Zhikang Dong, Xiulong Liu, Bin Chen, Pawel Polak, and Peng Zhang. Musechat: A conversational music recommendation system for videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12775–12785, 2024. 1
- [19] Efros, Berg, Mori, and Malik. Recognizing action at a distance. In *Proceedings Ninth IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 726–733. IEEE, 2003. 3
- [20] Patrick Esser, Johnathan Chiu, Parmida Atighehchian, Jonathan Granskog, and Anastasis Germanidis. Structure and content-guided video synthesis with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7346–7356, 2023. 3
- [21] Yuan Gong, Yu-An Chung, and James Glass. Ast: Audio spectrogram transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.01778*, 2021. 5
- [22] Riza Alp Güler, Natalia Neverova, and Iasonas Kokkinos. Densepose: Dense human pose estimation in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7297–7306, 2018. 2, 4
- [23] Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Zhengyang Liang, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Maneesh Agrawala, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725*, 2023. 2, 5, 6
- [24] Alain Hore and Djemel Ziou. Image quality metrics: Psnr vs. ssim. In *2010 20th international conference on pattern recognition*, pages 2366–2369. IEEE, 2010. 6
- [25] Li Hu. Animate anyone: Consistent and controllable image-to-video synthesis for character animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8153–8163, 2024. 3, 4
- [26] Yasamin Jafarian and Hyun Soo Park. Learning high fidelity depths of dressed humans by watching social media dance videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference*

- on *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12753–12762, 2021. 6
- [27] Johanna Karras, Aleksander Holynski, Ting-Chun Wang, and Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman. Dreampose: Fashion image-to-video synthesis via stable diffusion. In *2023 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 22623–22633. IEEE, 2023. 3
- [28] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8110–8119, 2020. 3
- [29] Kuaishou. Kling video model. <https://kling.kuaishou.com/en>, 2024. 3
- [30] Pika Labs. <https://www.pika.art/>. 3
- [31] Alex X Lee, Richard Zhang, Frederik Ebert, Pieter Abbeel, Chelsea Finn, and Sergey Levine. Stochastic adversarial video prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.01523*, 2018. 2
- [32] Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Ai choreographer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with aist++. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13401–13412, 2021. 6
- [33] Yitong Li, Martin Min, Dinghan Shen, David Carlson, and Lawrence Carin. Video generation from text. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2018. 2
- [34] Xiulong Liu, Zhikang Dong, and Peng Zhang. Tackling data bias in music-avqa: Crafting a balanced dataset for unbiased question-answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 4478–4487, 2024. 1
- [35] Matthew Marchellus and In Kyu Park. M2c: Concise music representation for 3d dance generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3126–3135, 2023. 3
- [36] Yingwei Pan, Zhaofan Qiu, Ting Yao, Houqiang Li, and Tao Mei. To create what you tell: Generating videos from captions. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM international conference on Multimedia*, pages 1789–1798, 2017. 2
- [37] Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952*, 2023. 1
- [38] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 3
- [39] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2):3, 2022. 3
- [40] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 1, 3
- [41] Ludan Ruan, Yiyang Ma, Huan Yang, Huiguo He, Bei Liu, Jianlong Fu, Nicholas Jing Yuan, Qin Jin, and Baining Guo. Mm-diffusion: Learning multi-modal diffusion models for joint audio and video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10219–10228, 2023. 7
- [42] Masaki Saito, Eiichi Matsumoto, and Shunta Saito. Temporal generative adversarial nets with singular value clipping. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2830–2839, 2017. 2
- [43] Aliaksandr Siarohin, Stéphane Lathuilière, Sergey Tulyakov, Elisa Ricci, and Nicu Sebe. First order motion model for image animation. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019. 1
- [44] Aliaksandr Siarohin, Oliver J Woodford, Jian Ren, Menglei Chai, and Sergey Tulyakov. Motion representations for articulated animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13653–13662, 2021. 1
- [45] Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792*, 2022. 2
- [46] Li Siyao, Weijiang Yu, Tianpei Gu, Chunze Lin, Quan Wang, Chen Qian, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. Bailando: 3d dance generation by actor-critic gpt with choreographic memory. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11050–11059, 2022. 3
- [47] Ivan Skorokhodov, Sergey Tulyakov, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Stylegan-v: A continuous video generator with the price, image quality and perks of stylegan2. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3626–3636, 2022. 2
- [48] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. 3
- [49] Romal Thoppilan, Daniel De Freitas, Jamie Hall, Noam Shazeer, Apoorv Kulshreshtha, Heng-Tze Cheng, Alicia Jin, Taylor Bos, Leslie Baker, Yu Du, et al. Lamda: Language models for dialog applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08239*, 2022. 1
- [50] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023. 1
- [51] Jonathan Tseng, Rodrigo Castellon, and Karen Liu. Edge: Editable dance generation from music. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 448–458, 2023. 3, 7
- [52] Shuhe Tsuchida, Satoru Fukayama, Masahiro Hamasaki, and Masataka Goto. Aist dance video database: Multi-genre,

- multi-dancer, and multi-camera database for dance information processing. In *ISMIR*, page 6, 2019. 6
- [53] Sergey Tulyakov, Ming-Yu Liu, Xiaodong Yang, and Jan Kautz. Mocogan: Decomposing motion and content for video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1526–1535, 2018. 3
- [54] Thomas Unterthiner, Sjoerd Van Steenkiste, Karol Kurach, Raphael Marinier, Marcin Michalski, and Sylvain Gelly. Towards accurate generative models of video: A new metric & challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01717*, 2018. 6
- [55] Ruben Villegas, Jimei Yang, Seunghoon Hong, Xunyu Lin, and Honglak Lee. Decomposing motion and content for natural video sequence prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.08033*, 2017. 2
- [56] Carl Vondrick, Hamed Pirsiavash, and Antonio Torralba. Generating videos with scene dynamics. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 29, 2016. 2
- [57] Tan Wang, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Yuanhao Zhai, Chung-Ching Lin, Zhengyuan Yang, Hanwang Zhang, Zicheng Liu, and Lijuan Wang. Disco: Disentangled control for realistic human dance generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9326–9336, 2024. 3, 6, 7
- [58] Xuanchen Wang, Heng Wang, Dongnan Liu, and Weidong Cai. Dance any beat: Blending beats with visuals in dance video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09266*, 2024. 3
- [59] Zhou Wang, Alan C Bovik, Hamid R Sheikh, and Eero P Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 13(4):600–612, 2004. 6
- [60] Feng Xu, Yebin Liu, Carsten Stoll, James Tompkin, Gaurav Bharaj, Qionghai Dai, Hans-Peter Seidel, Jan Kautz, and Christian Theobalt. Video-based characters: creating new human performances from a multi-view video database. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2011 papers*, pages 1–10. 2011. 3
- [61] Mingwang Xu, Hui Li, Qingkun Su, Hanlin Shang, Liwei Zhang, Ce Liu, Jingdong Wang, Luc Van Gool, Yao Yao, and Siyu Zhu. Hallo: Hierarchical audio-driven visual synthesis for portrait image animation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.08801*, 2024. 4, 5
- [62] Zhongcong Xu, Jianfeng Zhang, Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Yan, Jia-Wei Liu, Chenxu Zhang, Jiashi Feng, and Mike Zheng Shou. Magicanimate: Temporally consistent human image animation using diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1481–1490, 2024. 3
- [63] Wenjie Yin, Xuejiao Zhao, Yi Yu, Hang Yin, Danica Kragic, and Mårten Björkman. Lm2d: Lyrics-and music-driven dance synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.09407*, 2024. 3
- [64] Sihyun Yu, Jihoon Tack, Sangwoo Mo, Hyunsu Kim, Junho Kim, Jung-Woo Ha, and Jinwoo Shin. Generating videos with dynamics-aware implicit generative adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10571*, 2022. 2
- [65] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836–3847, 2023. 3, 4
- [66] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 586–595, 2018. 6
- [67] Jian Zhao and Hui Zhang. Thin-plate spline motion model for image animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3657–3666, 2022. 1
- [68] Siqiao Zhao, Zhikang Dong, Zeyu Cao, and Raphael Douady. Hedge fund portfolio construction using polynomial theory and itransformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.03320*, 2024. 1