

A VARIANT OF ŠEMRL'S PRESERVER THEOREM FOR SINGULAR MATRICES

ALEXANDRU CHIRVASITU, ILJA GOGIĆ, MATEO TOMAŠEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. For positive integers $1 \leq k \leq n$ let M_n be the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices and $M_n^{\leq k}$ its subset consisting of all matrices of rank at most k . We first show that whenever $k > \frac{n}{2}$, any continuous spectrum-shrinking map $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n$ (i.e. $\text{sp}(\phi(X)) \subseteq \text{sp}(X)$ for all $X \in M_n^{\leq k}$) either preserves characteristic polynomials or takes only nilpotent values. Moreover, for any k there exists a real analytic embedding of $M_n^{\leq k}$ into the space of $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices for all sufficiently large n . This phenomenon cannot occur when ϕ is injective and either $k > n - \sqrt{n}$ or the image of ϕ is contained in $M_n^{\leq k}$. We then establish a main result of the paper – a variant of Šemrl's preserver theorem for $M_n^{\leq k}$: if $n \geq 3$, any injective continuous map $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ that preserves commutativity and shrinks spectrum is of the form $\phi(\cdot) = T(\cdot)T^{-1}$ or $\phi(\cdot) = T(\cdot)^tT^{-1}$, for some invertible matrix $T \in M_n$. Moreover, when $k = n - 1$, which corresponds to the set of singular $n \times n$ matrices, this result extends to maps ϕ which take values in M_n . Finally, we discuss the indispensability of assumptions in our main result.

INTRODUCTION

This is a follow-up on prior work ultimately motivated by the problem of characterizing *Jordan morphisms* [11, §I.1] between matrix algebras or more broadly, operator algebras: linear maps satisfying

$$\phi(XY + YX) = \phi(X)\phi(Y) + \phi(Y)\phi(X), \quad \forall X, Y.$$

We remind the reader (e.g. [9, 18]) that such nonzero self-maps on the $n \times n$ complex matrix algebra M_n are classified as precisely those of the form

$$(0-1) \quad \phi(\cdot) = T(\cdot)T^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(\cdot) = T(\cdot)^tT^{-1},$$

for some invertible matrix $T \in M_n$.

The vast literature on Jordan morphisms between operator algebras has branched out in numerous directions and spawned many variations on the theme of recognizing, characterizing and classifying such morphisms via various (linear and nonlinear) preservation properties. One notable example of such a result is the theorem alluded to in the present paper's title:

Theorem A (Šemrl's preserver theorem [19, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $\phi : M_n \rightarrow M_n$, $n \geq 3$, be a continuous map that preserves*

- *commutativity, in the sense that*

$$\forall X, Y \in M_n \quad : \quad XY = YX \quad \implies \quad \phi(X)\phi(Y) = \phi(Y)\phi(X);$$

- *and also spectra, i.e. $\text{sp}(\phi(X)) = \text{sp}(X)$ for all $X \in M_n$.*

Then, there exists an invertible matrix $T \in M_n$ such that ϕ is as in (0-1).

Date: February 3, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A10; 47B49; 15A27; 57N35.

Key words and phrases. singular matrix; rank; spectrum shrinker; spectrum preserver; commutativity preserver; Jordan homomorphism.

The version just cited improves on earlier work [17] and employs relatively sophisticated techniques relying, for instance, on a variant of the *Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry* (see e.g. [4, Theorem 3.1] or [14, Theorem 2.3]); this allows for a more concise argument as compared to prior approaches based on elementary calculations. [19] also gives examples demonstrating the indispensability of the various assumptions. For further related results, ramifications and generalizations we direct the reader to [2, 5, 6, 15, 16] and the references cited therein.

In the same spirit of generalizing characterization or classification results on and around preserver maps, in [2] we show that in Theorem A it suffices to assume that ϕ is merely *spectrum-shrinking* (meaning that $\text{sp}(\phi(X)) \subseteq \text{sp}(X)$ for all matrices $X \in M_n$) rather than spectrum-preserving. More generally, given a subset $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, denote by Δ_L the subset of L that consists of elements with at least two equal coordinates. We naturally identify the symmetric group S_n with the $n \times n$ permutation matrices, so that S_n forms a subgroup of the general linear group $\text{GL}(n)$, which naturally acts (by conjugation) on the set of connected components of $L \setminus \Delta_L$. We have:

Theorem 0.1 ([2, Theorem 1.1]). *Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, a closed connected subgroup G of $\text{GL}(n)$, a linear subspace V of the algebra $T^+(n)$ of $n \times n$ strictly upper-triangular matrices, denote*

$$T_{L,V} := \{\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) + v : (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in L, v \in T^+(n)\}.$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}_n := \text{Ad}_G T_{L,V} = \{SXS^{-1} : X \in T_{L,V}, S \in G\}.$$

Assume that

- $L \setminus \Delta_L$ is dense in L ;
- and the isotropy groups of the connected components of $L \setminus \Delta_L$ in $G \cap S_n$ are transitive on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Then for an arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ there exists a continuous spectrum shrinker $\phi : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow M_m$ if and only n divides m and in that case we have the equality of characteristic polynomials

$$(0-2) \quad k_{\phi(X)} = (k_X)^{\frac{m}{n}}, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}_n.$$

As established in [2, Corollary 1.2], Theorem 0.1 applies to a wide array of distinguished matrix subsets \mathcal{X}_n of M_n , including: M_n , $\text{GL}(n)$, the special linear group $\text{SL}(n)$, the unitary group $\text{U}(n)$ and the subset N_n of $n \times n$ normal matrices. Additionally, it also holds for the sets of semisimple (i.e. diagonalizable) elements in M_n , $\text{GL}(n)$ and $\text{SL}(n)$. Moreover, by synthesizing [2, Corollary 1.2] with a range of algebraic, topological, and operator-theoretic techniques, in [2, Theorem 0.2] we show that for

$$\mathcal{X}_n \in \{\text{GL}(n), \text{SL}(n), \text{U}(n), N_n\}$$

any continuous, commutativity-preserving and spectrum-shrinking map $\phi : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow M_n$ is of the form (0-1) for some $T \in \text{GL}(n)$. However, when \mathcal{X}_n consists of semisimple matrices within $\text{GL}(n)$ or $\text{SL}(n)$, a qualitatively new phenomenon emerges: the map ϕ that sends SNS^{-1} to $S^{-1}NS$ for positive invertible S and normal N also serves as an example.

The primary objective of this paper is to establish a variant of Theorem A and [2, Theorem 0.2] for a class of maps ϕ defined on appropriate subsets of all *singular matrices* $\text{Sing}(n) \subset M_n$. More precisely, if $k \leq n$, let

$$M_n^{=k} := \{A \in M_n : r(A) = k\}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_n^{\leq k} := \{A \in M_n : r(A) \leq k\},$$

where $r(A)$ denotes the rank of a matrix A . Obviously, $\text{Sing}(n) = M_n^{\leq n-1}$.

Note that Theorem 0.1 does not apply to $M_n^{\leq k}$ when $k \leq n-1$. Specifically, if $k \leq n-2$, the space L of possible n -tuples of eigenvalues would always contain at least two zeros, implying that $L \setminus \Delta_L$ empty, so certainly not dense in L . Additionally, for $k = n-1$, the connected components of L are

$$\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \lambda_j \text{ distinct and } \lambda_j = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.\}$$

The respective isotropy groups are the copies of $S_{n-1} \subset S_n$ fixing the n individual symbols, so do not operate transitively. These issues notwithstanding, we prove the following singular variant:

Theorem 0.2. *Let $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and let $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_m$ be a continuous spectrum-shrinking map.*

(1) *There is some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that*

$$(0-3) \quad \forall X \in M_n^{\leq k} \quad : \quad k_{\phi(X)}(x) = k_X^p(x) \cdot x^{m-pn}$$

(2) *If $2k > m$ then ϕ either takes nilpotent values or*

$$(0-4) \quad \forall X \in M_n^{\leq k} \quad : \quad k_{\phi(X)}(x) = k_X(x) \cdot x^{m-n}$$

In particular, if $m = n$ then ϕ either takes only nilpotent values or preserves characteristic polynomials.

(3) *If in addition to the preceding point's conditions we also have $k(2n-k) > m^2 - m$ and ϕ is injective then (0-4) holds.*

(4) *If $m = n$, ϕ is injective, and $k > n - \sqrt{n}$ or $\phi(M_n^{\leq k}) \subseteq M_n^{\leq k}$, then ϕ preserves characteristic polynomials (and hence also spectra).*

Additionally, we provide an argument that for any fixed positive integer k there exist (real analytic) embeddings of $M_n^{\leq k}$ into the space of all $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices, provided n is sufficiently large (Remark 1.5).

Using Theorem 0.2 combined with the techniques developed in [6] we then prove our main result – a variant of Theorem A for sets $M_n^{\leq k}$:

Theorem 0.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k < n$. If $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ is an injective continuous commutativity-preserving and spectrum-shrinking map, then there exists $T \in \text{GL}(n)$ such that ϕ is of the form (0-1).*

In contrast to the previously discussed results, the injectivity condition in Theorem 0.3 proves to be indispensable (see Remark 1.7). Further, when $k = n-1$, leveraging the fact that any injective continuous spectrum-shrinking map $\phi : \text{Sing}(n) \rightarrow M_n$ automatically preserves characteristic polynomials (Theorem 0.2(4)), so that in particular $\phi(\text{Sing}(n)) \subseteq \text{Sing}(n)$, we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 0.3, for the case when ϕ takes values in M_n :

Corollary 0.4. *If $\phi : \text{Sing}(n) \rightarrow M_n$, $n \geq 3$, is an injective continuous commutativity-preserving and spectrum-shrinking map, then there exists $T \in \text{GL}(n)$ such that ϕ is of the form (0-1).*

The proofs of Theorems 0.2 and 0.3 will be provided in the next section. We further remark that for $k = 1$, Theorem 0.3 cannot be strengthened for maps with values in M_n , as the following example demonstrates:

$$\phi : M_n^{\leq 1} \rightarrow M_n, \quad \phi(X) := \text{Tr}(X)I - X$$

(where $\text{Tr}(X)$ denotes the trace of X). Clearly, ϕ is a linear (hence continuous) injective map that preserves both spectrum and commutativity, yet it does not assume the form of (0-1).

However, we are currently uncertain whether Theorem 0.3 holds for maps $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n$ when $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and we anticipate addressing this question in future research.

1. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 0.2 AND 0.3

Before proving Theorem 0.2 we need some preparation. We begin by citing the next simple, yet useful lemma from [2].

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 1.7 of [2]). *Let X and Y be topological spaces such that X is connected and Y is Hausdorff. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $g, f_1, \dots, f_n : X \rightarrow Y$ are continuous functions such that for each $x \in X$ we have*

- $g(x) \in \{f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$,
- $f_i(x) \neq f_j(x)$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

Then there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $g = f_i$.

Let us now introduce some notation which will be used throughout the paper. First of all, by \mathbb{C}^\times we denote the subgroup of nonzero complex numbers. Now let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By $[n]$ we denote the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Furthermore, denote by \mathcal{D}_n the subalgebra of all diagonal matrices in M_n and by $\mathcal{N}(n)$ the set of all nilpotent matrices in M_n . As usual, we identify vectors in \mathbb{C}^n with the corresponding column-matrices. Given a matrix $A \in M_n$, by $k_A(x) := \det(xI - A)$ we denote its characteristic polynomial. Additionally, for $i, j \in [n]$ we denote by $E_{ij} \in M_n$ the standard matrix unit with 1 at the position (i, j) and 0 elsewhere. Similarly, the canonical basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^n are denoted by e_1, \dots, e_n .

Unless specified otherwise, we fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $k \leq n$. We denote

$$[n]^{(n-k)} := \{(n-k)\text{-element subsets of } [n]\}.$$

Further, by $\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k} \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ we denote the set of $n \times n$ diagonal matrices of rank at most k , and for each $J \in [n]^{(n-k)}$, we define

$$(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_J := \left\{ \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k} : \lambda_j = 0 \iff j \in J \right\}.$$

Lemma 1.2. *Let $S \subseteq [n - 1]$ and $A := \sum_{j \in S} E_{j,j+1} \in M_n$. For each $j \in S$ choose some $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then the matrix*

$$B := \sum_{j \in S} (\lambda_j E_{jj} + E_{j,j+1}) \in M_n$$

satisfies $r(B) = r(A)$.

Proof. An elementary linear algebra exercise. □

Throughout, the abbreviation ‘‘p. d.’’ stands for ‘‘pairwise distinct’’.

Lemma 1.3.

(a) *The set*

$$S := \{X \in M_n^{\leq k} : k_X(x) = (x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_k) x^{n-k} \text{ p. d. } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$$

is dense in $M_n^{\leq k}$.

(b) *Suppose that $A \in M_n^{\leq k}$ satisfies $\text{sp}(A) = \{0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ for some distinct $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then A is diagonalizable.*

Proof. (a) Let $A \in M_n^{=k}$ be arbitrary. Without loss of generality, assume that A equals its Jordan form, which takes the shape

$$A = \text{diag}(J(\lambda_1)_{p_1 \times p_1}, \dots, J(\lambda_r)_{p_r \times p_r}, J(0))$$

for some distinct $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, where each $J(\lambda)_{p \times p}$ denotes a $p \times p$ Jordan matrix with λ -s on the diagonal. We have

$$k = r(A) = p_1 + \dots + p_r + r(J(0)).$$

Let $B \in M_n$ be any matrix whose off-diagonal elements match those of A , while its diagonal consists of distinct nonzero complex numbers on the positions $[p_1 + \dots + p_r]$ and $\{p_1 + \dots + p_r + j : (j, j+1) \in \text{supp } J(0)\}$. Then B retains the block-diagonal structure of A , and its first r blocks are invertible matrices (just like those of A), while its last block has the same rank as $J(0)$ by Lemma 1.2. It follows that $r(B) = r(A) = k$. We conclude that any such matrix B in fact lies in \mathcal{S} . It is evident we can construct such a B arbitrarily close to A .

(b) Since the nullity of A is precisely $n - k$, there are that many elementary 0-blocks in the Jordan form of A . □

Lemma 1.4. *Suppose that $\phi : M_n^{=k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ is an injective continuous map. Then there exists an open subset $U \subseteq M_n^{=k}$ such that $\phi(U)$ is an open subset of $M_n^{=k}$.*

Proof. First recall [8, Example 14.16] that for any $k \in [n]$, $M_n^{=k}$ is an irreducible, smooth, complex algebraic variety of dimension $k(2n - k)$, and hence also a smooth connected *real* manifold of dimension $2k(2n - k)$ and that $M_n^{=k}$ is open in $M_n^{\leq k}$ (by the lower semicontinuity of the rank). Let

$$m := \max_{A \in M_n^{=k}} r(\phi(A)).$$

If $m < k$ then, by continuity, ϕ would map some open subset of $M_n^{=k}$ into $M_n^{=m}$, which would contradict the *Invariance of Domain theorem* [1, Corollary IV.19.9]. Hence, $m = k$, so there exists an open subset $U \subseteq M_n^{=k}$ such that $\phi(U) \subseteq M_n^{=k}$. By another application of domain invariance, we obtain that $\phi(U)$ is open in $M_n^{=k}$. □

Proof of Theorem 0.2. We address the claims separately.

(1) Denote by $(M_n^{\leq k})_s \subset M_n^{\leq k}$ the collection of semisimple rank- $(\leq k)$ matrices with precisely k non-zero distinct eigenvalues, and by $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s := (M_n^{\leq k})_s \cap \mathcal{D}_n$ that of diagonal matrices therein. Because the continuous polynomial-valued map $k_{\phi(\cdot)}$ is constant on every (connected!) conjugacy class, it is enough to examine its effect on $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s$, as $\text{Ad}_{\text{GL}(n)}(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s = (M_n^{\leq k})_s$ and the latter set is dense in $M_n^{\leq k}$. In terms of $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s$, the statement translates to the claim that all non-zero eigenvalues of $X \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s$ have the same algebraic multiplicity p for $\phi(X)$.

The (path-)connected components of $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s$ are given by:

$$(1-1) \quad (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J} := \left\{ \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_s : \lambda_j = 0 \iff j \in J \right\}, \quad J \in [n]^{(n-k)}.$$

The isotropy subgroup $S_{n,(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}} \leq S_n$ of $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}$ in $S_n \leq \text{GL}(n)$ is the intersection of the isotropy groups of all $j \in J$, and hence permutes the k elements of $[n] \setminus J$ transitively. It follows that on $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}$ the polynomial $k_{\phi(\cdot)}$ is symmetric in $\lambda_j, j \in [n] \setminus J$: for any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$

fixing J pointwise and $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{m-\sum p_j} \prod_{j \notin J} (x - \lambda_j)^{p_j} &= k_{\phi(D)}(x) \quad \text{for } p_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ depending on } J \\ &= k_{\phi(\sigma^{-1}D\sigma)}(x) = k_{\phi(\text{diag}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)}))}(x) \quad (\text{Ad-invariance of } k_{\phi(\cdot)}) \\ &= x^{m-\sum p_j} \prod_{j \notin J} (x - \lambda_{\sigma(j)})^{p_j} \quad (\text{because } \sigma \in S_{n, (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}}). \end{aligned}$$

This being valid for *every* permutation σ of $[n] \setminus J$ (regarded as a permutation of $[n]$ fixing J pointwise) and arbitrary non-zero λ_j , $j \notin J$, we conclude that all p_j , $j \notin J$ must be equal.

The argument thus far ensures the existence of (perhaps J -dependent) non-negative integers $p = p_J$ satisfying (0-3) for $D \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}$ respectively. To check that all p_J are equal, fix a diagonal matrix

$$D := \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J}, \quad \lambda_j \neq 0 \text{ distinct for } j \notin J$$

and a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ mapping J onto J' for $J, J' \in [n]^{(n-k)}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{m-p_J k} \prod_{j \notin J} (x - \lambda_j)^{p_J} &= k_{\phi(D)}(x) \\ &= k_{\phi(\sigma^{-1}D\sigma)}(x) = k_{\phi(\text{diag}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)}))}(x) \quad (k_{\phi(\cdot)} \text{ is Ad-invariant}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma(J)=J'}{\text{diag}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)}) \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s,J'}} x^{m-p_{J'} k} \prod_{j \notin J} (x - \lambda_j)^{p_{J'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Given that the λ_j , $j \notin J$ are assumed distinct and non-zero, the equality is sufficient to conclude that $p_J = p_{J'}$. Since $J, J' \in [n]^{(n-k)}$ were arbitrary, we are done.

(1) \implies (2). The assumed inequality in (2) ensures that the only possible p in (0-3) are $p = 0, 1$, corresponding to the two options the statement lists.

(1) \implies (3). Part (2) reduces the problem to arguing that in the specified regime for m and n our map ϕ cannot take nilpotent values only. This is a simple dimension count:

- $M_n^{\leq k}$ is a complex algebraic variety of (complex) dimension $k(2n - k)$ [8, Example 14.16].
- The space $\mathcal{N}(m)$ of nilpotent $m \times m$ matrices is a complex variety of dimension $m^2 - m$ (e.g. [10, Corollary 10.2.5 and Example 10.2.6]).
- Their respective *covering dimensions* [3, Definition 1.6.7] are thus $2k(2n - k)$ and $2(m^2 - m)$ respectively (for analytic varieties can be stratified by manifolds [12, Theorem 6.3.3] and the covering dimension matches that of the largest manifold stratum by [3, Corollary 1.5.4 and Theorem 1.8.2]).
- And the larger-dimensional space cannot embed topologically into the smaller [3, Theorem 1.1.2].

(1) \implies (4). Assume that $m = n$ and that ϕ is injective.

Case 1. $k > n - \sqrt{n}$.

This follows from (3): if $k > n - \sqrt{n}$ then $2k(2n - k) > 2(n^2 - n)$.

Case 2. $\phi(M_n^{\leq k}) \subseteq M_n^{\leq k}$.

In light of (1), we have to argue that $p = 1$ is the only possibility. Lemma 1.4 applied to the map $\phi|_{M_n^{\leq k}}$ shows that there exist open sets $U, V \subseteq M_n^{\leq k}$ such that $\phi(U) = V$. By Lemma 1.3,

V contains a matrix $B = \phi(A)$, $A \in U$ with k distinct nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We thus have

$$k_A(x) = x^{n-k} \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \lambda_j) = k_B(x) = k_{\phi(A)}(x),$$

so that indeed $p = 1$ (per (1), if this happens for *one* matrix A it happens globally on $M_n^{\leq k}$). \square

Remark 1.5. It is perhaps worth noting that the rigidity afforded by the assumed inequalities in items (2), (3) and (4) of Theorem 0.2 is crucial (even taking the injectivity of ϕ for granted): as the following result shows, injections exist for any k realizing any pre-selected p in Theorem 0.2(1) so long as m is sufficiently large. In particular, setting $p = 0$ in Proposition 1.6 shows that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ there exists a real analytic embedding of $M_n^{\leq k}$ into the space of $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices for all sufficiently large n . \triangle

Proposition 1.6. *Let $k, p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.*

If $m \geq pn$ and either $p \geq 1$ or $p = 0$ and $m^2 - m \geq 2k(2n - k) + 1$ there are continuous embeddings

$$M_n^{\leq k} \xrightarrow{\phi} M_m \quad \text{with} \quad k_{\phi(X)}(x) = k_X^p(x) \cdot x^{m-pn}, \quad \forall X \in M_n^{\leq k}.$$

Proof. We can define ϕ block-diagonally as

$$X \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{diag}(\underbrace{\text{id} \ \text{id} \ \dots \ \text{id}}_{p \text{ times}} \ \psi) \in M_m,$$

where ψ is a continuous map into $\mathcal{N}(m - pn)$ for all sufficiently large $m - pn$, chosen injective if $p = 0$.

It remains to argue that such injections do exist if $m^2 - m \geq 2k(2n - k) + 1$. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 0.2(4) observes that $M_n^{\leq k}$ and $\mathcal{N}(m)$ are complex algebraic varieties of respective dimensions $k(2n - k)$ and $m^2 - m$. They are also *affine*, i.e. definable by polynomial equations as closed subspaces of \mathbb{C}^N for appropriate N ; by [7, §V.1, Theorem 1(b)] this means they are *Stein spaces* in the sense of [7, §IV.1, Definition 1], so in particular

$$m^2 - m \geq 2k(2n - k) + 1 \xrightarrow{[13, \text{p.17, item 2.}]} \exists \left(M_n^{\leq k} \xrightarrow{\text{proper holomorphic}} \mathbb{C}^{m^2 - m} \right).$$

In turn, $\mathbb{C}^{m^2 - m}$ is real-analytically isomorphic to a ball in the $(m^2 - m)$ -dimensional non-singular locus of $\mathcal{N}(m)$. \square

We now embark on the proof of Theorem 0.3, which will be presented in a sequence of carefully structured steps, following the similar approach outlined in [6]. We first introduce some auxiliary notation.

- For $X, Y \in M_n$, by $X \leftrightarrow Y$ and $X \perp Y$ we denote that $XY = YX$ and $XY = YX = 0$, respectively.
- For $k \in [n]$ denote

$$\Lambda_n^k := \text{diag}(1, \dots, k, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s, \{k+1, \dots, n\}},$$

where the set $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s, \{k+1, \dots, n\}}$ is defined in (1-1).

- For vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by $u \parallel v$ we denote that the set $\{u, v\}$ is linearly dependent. The same notation is used for matrices.

• Any matrix $X = [X_{ij}] \in M_n$ can be understood as a map $[n]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(i, j) \mapsto X_{ij}$, so we can consider its *support*, i.e.

$$\text{supp } X := \{(i, j) \in [n]^2 \text{ such that } X_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 0.3. Firstly, by Theorem 0.2(4) ϕ preserves characteristic polynomials. Secondly, since the case $k = n$ directly corresponds to Theorem A (where the injectivity assumption is superfluous), we henceforth assume $k \in [n - 1]$.

Claim 1. *Without loss of generality we can assume $\phi(\Lambda_n^k) = \Lambda_n^k$ and hence that*

$$\phi(D) = D, \quad \forall D \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{\{k+1, \dots, n\}}.$$

Proof. As ϕ preserves characteristic polynomials, the Jordan form of $\phi(\Lambda_n^k)$ looks like the block-diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(1, \dots, k, J)$, where $J \in M_{n-k}$ is a nilpotent Jordan matrix. Since $\phi(\Lambda_n^k) \in M_n^{\leq k}$, it follows that $J = 0$. Therefore, by using a suitable conjugation matrix, without loss of generality we can assume that $\phi(\Lambda_n^k) = \Lambda_n^k$. The claim now follows by a standard argument. Indeed, fix an arbitrary $D \in (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s, \{k+1, \dots, n\}}$. As $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s, \{k+1, \dots, n\}}$ is path-connected, we can choose a continuous path

$$\text{diag}(f_1, \dots, f_k, 0, \dots, 0) : [0, 1] \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s, \{k+1, \dots, n\}}$$

such that

$$\text{diag}(f_1(0), \dots, f_k(0), 0, \dots, 0) = D \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diag}(f_1(1), \dots, f_k(1), 0, \dots, 0) = \Lambda_n^k.$$

For every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0, \dots, 0) \leftrightarrow \Lambda_n^k,$$

so (by commutativity-preserving)

$$\phi(\text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0, \dots, 0)) \leftrightarrow \phi(\Lambda_n^k) = \Lambda_n^k.$$

Hence,

$$\phi(\text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0, \dots, 0)) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{diag}(*_1, \dots, *_k) & 0 \\ 0 & *_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $*_1, \dots, *_k \in \{f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0\}$ (by spectrum-preserving). In view of Lemma 1.1, the map

$$[0, 1] \rightarrow M_k, \quad t \mapsto \phi(\text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0, \dots, 0))_{\text{upper left } k \times k \text{ block}}$$

is equal to one of the continuous maps

$$t \mapsto \text{diag}(g_1(t), \dots, g_k(t)),$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \{f_1, \dots, f_k, 0\}$. The only one of these which does not contradict $\phi(\Lambda_n^k) = \Lambda_n^k$ for $t = 1$ is $t \mapsto \text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t))$. Since the rank cannot increase, the lower right $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ block has to be zero. Hence, we conclude

$$\phi(\text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0, \dots, 0)) = \text{diag}(f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t), 0, \dots, 0), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

and consequently, for $t = 0$, $\phi(D) = D$. Now the density of $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{s, \{k+1, \dots, n\}}$ in $(\mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k})_{\{k+1, \dots, n\}}$ finishes the proof of the claim. \diamond

In particular we have $\phi(E_{jj}) = E_{jj}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Claim 2. *We have $\phi(D) = D$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k}$.*

Proof.

Case 1. First assume $\phi : M_n^{\leq 1} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq 1}$, i.e. $k = 1$.

By Claim 1, we have $\phi(E_{11}) = E_{11}$. Now, $\phi(E_{22}) \leftrightarrow \phi(E_{11}) = E_{11}$ so it is of the form

$$\phi(E_{22}) = \begin{bmatrix} *_{1 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 1} & *_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\phi(E_{22})_{11} \neq 0$, then it must be $\phi(E_{22})_{11} = 1$. Since $\phi(E_{22})$ has rank one, the rest of the matrix has to be zero so $\phi(E_{22}) = E_{11}$, which contradicts the injectivity of ϕ . Therefore $\phi(E_{22})_{11} = 0$ so

$$\phi(E_{22}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{1 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 1} & *_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\phi(E_{22})$ is diagonalizable so its lower right $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ block is also diagonalizable. It follows that there exists

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{1 \times 1} & 0_{1 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 1} & *_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}(n)$$

such that $\phi(E_{22}) = TE_{22}T^{-1}$. We also have $TE_{11}T^{-1} = E_{11}$, so by passing to the map $T^{-1}\phi(\cdot)T$, we can assume that

$$\phi(E_{11}) = E_{11} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{22}) = E_{22}.$$

Continuing inductively in this fashion, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\phi(E_{jj}) = E_{jj}, \quad \forall j \in [n].$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have

$$\phi(\lambda E_{jj}) \leftrightarrow E_{11}, \dots, E_{nn},$$

so $\phi(\lambda E_{jj})$ is a diagonal matrix with a single λ on the diagonal. The continuous map

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \{E_{11}, \dots, E_{nn}\}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi(\lambda E_{jj})$$

has to be constant, so it is equal to its value for $\lambda = 1$. This implies $\phi(\lambda E_{jj}) = \lambda E_{jj}$. Therefore, we conclude that $\phi(D) = D$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\leq 1}$.

Case 2. Now assume that $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ for $k \geq 2$.

Fix $j \in [k]$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. We have

$$\text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0) \leftrightarrow \Lambda_n^k,$$

which implies

$$\phi(\text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0)) \leftrightarrow \phi(\Lambda_n^k) = \Lambda_n^k$$

and therefore

$$\phi(\text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0)) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{k \times k} & 0 \\ 0 & *_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $D_{k \times k} \in \mathcal{D}_k$. By Lemma 1.1, the continuous map

$$\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k, \quad \varepsilon \mapsto \phi(\text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0))_{\text{upper left } k \times k \text{ block}}$$

equals one of the continuous maps $\varepsilon \mapsto \text{diag}(g_1(\varepsilon), \dots, g_k(\varepsilon))$, where g_1, \dots, g_k are the identity map or the constant functions $1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k$. By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and using Claim 1 we see that there are exactly two options:

(1-2)

$$\phi(\text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0)) = \text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, \varepsilon, j+1, \dots, k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and the second is

(1-3)

$$\phi(\text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0)) = \text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, E(\varepsilon))$$

for all $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, where $E(\varepsilon) \in M_{n-k}$ is a rank-one matrix with ε being its only nonzero eigenvalue (hence it is diagonalizable). In view of Claim 1, the first option (1-2) clearly contradicts the injectivity of ϕ . Therefore, the second option (1-3) must be true. In particular, for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, by conjugating ϕ with a suitable invertible matrix which diagonalizes $E(\frac{1}{2})$ but leaves the upper left $k \times k$ block alone, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \left(\text{diag} \left(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \right) \\ = \text{diag} \left(1, 2, \dots, j-1, 0, j+1, \dots, k, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity we easily conclude that for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} \in \mathbb{C}$ holds

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, 0, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0)) \\ = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, 0, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Now we inductively obtain the result for two zeroes in the range $[k+2]$, then three zeroes in $[k+3]$ and so on, until we arrive at $n-k$ zeroes in $[n]$.

◇

The rest of the argument follows using the simplified arguments from [6]. For completeness we include some details.

Claim 3. For each $S \in \text{GL}(n)$ there exists $T \in \text{GL}(n)$ such that

$$\phi(SDS^{-1}) = TDT^{-1}, \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k}.$$

Proof. For a fixed $S \in \text{GL}(n)$ there exists $T \in \text{GL}(n)$ such that $\phi(S\Lambda_n^k S^{-1}) = T\Lambda_n^k T^{-1}$. Now we can apply Claim 1 to the map $T^{-1}\phi(S(\cdot)S^{-1})T$ which satisfies the same properties as ϕ , as well as $\Lambda_n^k \mapsto \Lambda_n^k$. ◇

Claim 4. Let $A, B \in M_n^{\leq k}$ be two diagonalizable matrices such that $A \perp B$. Then $\phi(A) \perp \phi(B)$.

Proof. Follows directly from Claim 3. ◇

Claim 5.

- (a) Let $A, B \in M_n^{\leq k}$ be diagonalizable matrices such that $A \leftrightarrow B$. Then $\phi(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha\phi(A) + \beta\phi(B)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (b) ϕ is a homogeneous map.

Proof. (a) follows directly from and Claim 3, while (b) follows from (a), Lemma 1.3 and the continuity of ϕ . ◇

Claim 6. ϕ is a rank-decreasing map. In particular, $\phi(M_n^{\leq 1}) \subseteq M_n^{\leq 1}$.

Proof. In view of Claim 3, ϕ in fact preserves the rank of diagonalizable matrices. On the other hand, every matrix in $M_n^{\leq k}$ is, by Lemma 1.3, a limit of a sequence of diagonalizable matrices with the same rank. Now the claim follows from the continuity of ϕ and the lower semicontinuity of the rank. \diamond

Claim 7. *The theorem is true when $n = 3$.*

Proof. This follows from the same arguments in [17, Section 2], which are conducted entirely within $M_3^{\leq 1}$. Indeed, the rank-one preservation assumption in [17] serves to preclude the case of $\phi(E_{ij}) = 0$ for some matrix unit E_{ij} , while here this is a consequence of injectivity. \square

Claim 8. *Suppose that matrices $A_1, A_2 \in M_n^{\leq 1}$ satisfy $A_1 \perp A_2$. Then $\phi(A_1) \perp \phi(A_2)$.*

Proof. This follows exactly as in [6, Claim 7]. \diamond

Claim 9. *We have*

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ij}, \quad \forall (i, j) \in [n]^2$$

or

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ji}, \quad \forall (i, j) \in [n]^2.$$

Proof. For each $(i, j) \in [n]^2$ we have $E_{ij} \perp E_{kk}$ for all $k \in [n] \setminus \{i, j\}$ so by Claim 8 we obtain

$$\text{supp } \phi(E_{ij}) \subseteq \{i, j\} \times \{i, j\}.$$

Suppose that $(i, j), (i, k) \in [n]^2 \setminus \{(1, 1), \dots, (n, n)\}$ for $j \neq k$. By arguments similar to [6, Claim 8], it is not difficult to show that we have either

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{ik}) \parallel E_{ik}$$

or

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{ik}) \parallel E_{ki}.$$

Now suppose $(i, j), (k, j) \in [n]^2 \setminus \{(1, 1), \dots, (n, n)\}$ for $i \neq k$. By Claim 8 we have

$$E_{ij} \perp E_{kj} \implies \phi(E_{ij}) \perp \phi(E_{kj})$$

so we conclude that either

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{kj}) \parallel E_{kj}$$

or

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{kj}) \parallel E_{jk}.$$

Therefore, ϕ behaves the same way on all matrix units which are contained in the same row or in the same column. Now it is easy to conclude that ϕ behaves the same way globally on all matrix units, which is what we wanted to prove. \diamond

By passing to the map $\phi(\cdot)^t$ if necessary, we can assume that

$$\phi(E_{ij}) \parallel E_{ij}, \quad \forall (i, j) \in [n]^2.$$

In view of Claim 9, for each $(i, j) \in [n]^2$, denote by $g(i, j) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ the unique scalar such that

$$\phi(E_{ij}) = g(i, j)E_{ij} \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(E_{ij}) = g(i, j)E_{ji}.$$

As, by assumption, $\phi|_{\mathcal{D}_n}$ is the identity map, it is immediate that $g|_{\{(1,1), \dots, (n,n)\}} \equiv 1$.

Following the notation established in [6], for $A \in M_n$ and $S \subseteq [n]$, we define the following auxiliary notation:

- $M_n^{\subseteq S} := \{X \in M_n : \text{supp } X \subseteq S \times S\}$.
- When $S \neq [n]$, denote by $A^{bS} \in M_{n-|S|}$ the matrix obtained from A by deleting all rows i and columns j where $i, j \in S$. We also formally allow $A^{b\emptyset} = A$.
- Denote by $A^{\#S} \in M_{n+|S|}$ the matrix obtained from A by adding zero rows and columns so that $(A^{\#S})^{bS} = A$.

By using block-matrix multiplication, it is not difficult to verify that $M_n^{\subseteq S}$ is a subalgebra of M_n , and that $(\cdot)^{bS^c} : M_n^{\subseteq S} \rightarrow M_{|S|}$ and $(\cdot)^{\#S} : M_n \rightarrow M_{n+|S|}$ are algebra monomorphisms (see [6, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5]). We also extend the notation $(\cdot)^{bS}$ and $(\cdot)^{\#S}$ to sets of matrices by applying the respective operation element-wise.

Claim 10. *Suppose that $X \in M_n^{\leq k}$ satisfies $X \in M_n^{\subseteq S}$ for some $S \subseteq [n]$. Then $\phi(X) \in M_n^{\subseteq S}$.*

Proof. By applying Lemma 1.3 to the matrix $X^{bS^c} \in M_{|S|}^{\leq k}$, we can approximate it by a diagonalizable matrix $Y \in M_{|S|}^{\leq k}$ of the same rank. Then $Y^{\#S^c} \in M_n^{\leq k} \cap M_n^{\subseteq S}$ approximates X and is diagonalizable. In view of the continuity of ϕ it therefore suffices to assume that X itself is already diagonalizable. Then the assertion follows from $X \perp E_{kk}$ for all $k \in [n] \setminus S$, Claim 4 and the fact that $\phi(E_{kk}) = E_{kk}$. \diamond

Claim 11. *Let $S \subseteq [n]$ be a nonempty set. The map*

$$\psi : (M_n^{\leq k})^{bS^c} = M_{|S|}^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_{|S|}^{\leq k}, \quad X \mapsto \phi(X^{\#S^c})^{bS^c}$$

is an injective continuous commutativity and spectrum preserver.

Proof. This is easy to verify directly (see the proof of [6, Claim 11]). \diamond

Arguments similar to ones immediately after [6, Claim 11] show that

$$g(i, j)g(j, k) = g(i, k), \quad \forall (i, j), (j, k) \in [n]^2.$$

Now it is easy to see that for $D := \text{diag}(g(1, 1), \dots, g(1, n)) \in \text{GL}(n)$ we have

$$\phi(E_{ij}) = D^{-1}E_{ij}D, \quad \forall (i, j) \in [n]^2$$

so by passing to the map $D\phi(\cdot)D^{-1}$ without loss of generality we will assume that

$$\phi(E_{ij}) = E_{ij}, \quad \forall (i, j) \in [n]^2.$$

Claim 12. *ϕ acts as the identity on all rank-one non-nilpotents, i.e. $(M_n^{\neq 1})_s$.*

Proof. Fix some $ab^* \in (M_n^{\neq 1})_s$ for some nonzero vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In view of Claim 6, denote $\phi(ab^*) = xy^*$ for some nonzero $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Since $b^*a = \text{Tr}(ab^*) \neq 0$, we can choose some $j \in (\text{supp } a) \cap (\text{supp } b)$. Fix some distinct $i \in [n] \setminus \{j\}$ and consider

$$A := (\overline{b_j}e_i - \overline{b_i}e_j)(\overline{a_i}e_j - \overline{a_j}e_i)^* \in M_n^{\leq 1}.$$

Clearly, $\text{supp } A \subseteq \{i, j\} \times \{i, j\}$. Since $n \geq 3$, choose some $k \in [n] \setminus \{i, j\}$. We can now invoke Claim 11 and the $n = 3$ case (Claim 7) to conclude that the map

$$\psi : (M_n^{\leq k})^{b(\{i, j, k\}^c)} = M_3^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_3^{\leq k}, \quad X \mapsto \phi(X^{\#(\{i, j, k\}^c)})^{b(\{i, j, k\}^c)}$$

is of the form (0-1). Since ψ acts as the identity on all matrix units of $M_3^{\leq k}$, we conclude that ψ is the identity map. In particular, Claim 10 implies that $\phi(A) = A$.

Now notice that $ab^* \perp A$, so by Claim 8 we obtain

$$xy^* = \phi(ab^*) \perp \phi(A) = A \implies (\overline{a_i}e_j - \overline{a_j}e_i)^*x = y^*(\overline{b_j}e_i - \overline{b_i}e_j) = 0.$$

Overall, it follows

$$x \perp \{\overline{a_i}e_j - \overline{a_j}e_i : i \in [n] \setminus \{j\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad y \perp \{\overline{b_j}e_i - \overline{b_i}e_j : i \in [n] \setminus \{j\}\}.$$

In fact, these sets are bases for $\{a\}^\perp$ and $\{b\}^\perp$, respectively. We conclude $x \parallel a$ and $y \parallel b$, which implies $\phi(ab^*) \parallel ab^*$. Equating the traces yields $\phi(ab^*) = ab^*$. \diamond

Claim 13. ϕ is the identity map.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [6, Claim 15]. More specifically, by Claim 3, for each $S \in \text{GL}(n)$ there exists $T \in \text{GL}(n)$ such that

$$\phi(SDS^{-1}) = TDT^{-1}, \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k}.$$

In particular, for all $j \in [n]$ we have

$$TE_{jj}T^{-1} = \phi(\underbrace{SE_{jj}S^{-1}}_{\in M_n^{\leq 1}}) \stackrel{\text{Claim 12}}{=} SE_{jj}S^{-1}.$$

Hence, by the linearity of the maps $T(\cdot)T^{-1}$ and $S(\cdot)S^{-1}$, for all $D \in \mathcal{D}_n^{\leq k}$ we have

$$\phi(SDS^{-1}) = TDT^{-1} = SDS^{-1}.$$

The Claim now follows from Lemma 1.3 and the continuity of ϕ . \diamond

\square

We conclude the paper with a brief discussion on the necessity of assumptions in Theorem 0.3.

Remark 1.7. (1) The assertion of Theorem 0.3 does not hold for $n = 2$. This follows from [6, Proof of Case 1 in (ii) \implies (i) of Theorem 3.7], which is a slight modification of [17, Example 7] (in order to ensure the injectivity). More specifically, let $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be a function defined by $f(t) := e^{\frac{i\pi}{t+1}}$. Define $\phi : M_2^{\leq 1} \rightarrow M_2^{\leq 1}$ by

$$\phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) := \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \begin{bmatrix} a & bf\left(\left|\frac{c}{b}\right|\right) \\ cf\left(\left|\frac{c}{b}\right|\right) & d \end{bmatrix}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ϕ is an injective continuous spectrum and commutativity preserver. On the other hand,

$$\phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly shows that ϕ is not of the form (0-1).

Now let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k < n$.

(2) The map $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ given by $\phi(X) = 2X$ is commutativity-preserving, injective and continuous, but not spectrum-shrinking.

(3) Following [19, Section 4] (see also [6, Remark 4.3]), the map $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ given by $\phi(X) = f(X)Xf(X)^{-1}$, for some continuous map $f : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow \text{GL}(n)$ is injective, continuous and spectrum-preserving, but not commutativity-preserving in general.

(4) The map $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ given by

$$\phi(X) = \begin{cases} -X, & \text{if } X \text{ is nilpotent,} \\ X, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is injective and preserves spectra and commutativity, but is not continuous.

(5) The map $\phi : M_n^{\leq k} \rightarrow M_n^{\leq k}$ that sends every matrix to a fixed rank-one nilpotent matrix is clearly continuous, commutativity-preserving and spectrum-shrinking, but not injective. \triangle

REFERENCES

- [1] Glen E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry.*, volume 139 of *Grad. Texts Math.* Berlin: Springer, corr. 3rd printing of the 1993 original edition, 1997. 5
- [2] Alexandru Chirvasitu, Ilja Gogić, and Mateo Tomašević. Continuous spectrum-shrinking maps and applications to preserver problems, 2025. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2501.06840v1>. 2, 4
- [3] Ryszard Engelking. *Dimension theory.* North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-Oxford-New York; PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1978. Translated from the Polish and revised by the author, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 19. 6
- [4] Claude-Alain Faure. An elementary proof of the fundamental theorem of projective geometry. *Geom. Dedicata*, 90:145–151, 2002. 2
- [5] Ilja Gogić, Tatjana Petek, and Mateo Tomašević. Characterizing Jordan embeddings between block upper-triangular subalgebras via preserving properties. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 704:192–217, 2025. 2
- [6] Ilja Gogić and Mateo Tomašević. An extension of Petek-Šemrl preserver theorems for Jordan embeddings of structural matrix algebras, 2024. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.11092v1>. 2, 3, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13
- [7] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert. *Theory of Stein spaces. Transl. from the German by Alan Huckleberry.* Class. Math. Berlin: Springer, reprint of the 1979 ed. edition, 2004. 7
- [8] Joe Harris. *Algebraic geometry. A first course*, volume 133 of *Grad. Texts Math.* Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1992. 5, 6
- [9] I. N. Herstein. Jordan homomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81:331–341, 1956. 1
- [10] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory. Translated from the Japanese by Kiyoshi Takeuchi*, volume 236 of *Prog. Math.* Basel: Birkhäuser, expanded edition edition, 2008. 6
- [11] Nathan Jacobson. *Structure and representations of Jordan algebras*, volume 39 of *Colloq. Publ., Am. Math. Soc.* American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, RI, 1968. 1
- [12] Steven G. Krantz and Harold R. Parks. *A primer of real analytic functions.* Birkhäuser Adv. Texts, Basler Lehrbüch. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 2nd ed. edition, 2002. 6
- [13] Raghavan Narasimhan. Imbedding of holomorphically complete complex spaces. *Am. J. Math.*, 82:917–934, 1960. 7
- [14] Mark Pankov. *Wigner-type theorems for Hilbert Grassmannians*, volume 460 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2020. 2
- [15] Tatjana Petek. Mappings preserving spectrum and commutativity on Hermitian matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 290(1-3):167–191, 1999. 2
- [16] Tatjana Petek. Spectrum and commutativity preserving mappings on triangular matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 357:107–122, 2002. 2
- [17] Tatjana Petek and Peter Šemrl. Characterization of Jordan homomorphisms on M_n using preserving properties. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 269:33–46, 1998. 2, 11, 13
- [18] Peter Šemrl. Maps on matrix spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 413(2-3):364–393, 2006. 1
- [19] Peter Šemrl. Characterizing Jordan automorphisms of matrix algebras through preserving properties. *Oper. Matrices*, 2(1):125–136, 2008. 1, 2, 13

A. CHIRVASITU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260-2900, USA

Email address: achirvas@buffalo.edu

I. GOGIĆ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA

Email address: ilja@math.hr

M. TOMAŠEVIĆ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA

Email address: mateo.tomasevic@math.hr