Motion Diffusion Autoencoders: Enabling Attribute Manipulation in Human Motion Demonstrated on Karate Techniques

Anthony Mendil¹, Felix Putze¹

¹University of Bremen, Cognitive Systems Lab {antmen, fputze}@uni-bremen.de

Abstract

Attribute manipulation deals with the problem of changing individual attributes of a data point or a time series, while leaving all other aspects unaffected. This work focuses on the domain of human motion, more precisely karate movement patterns. To the best of our knowledge, it presents the first success at manipulating attributes of human motion data. One of the key requirements for achieving attribute manipulation on human motion is a suitable pose representation. Therefore, we design a novel rotation-based pose representation that enables the disentanglement of the human skeleton and the motion trajectory, while still allowing an accurate reconstruction of the original anatomy. The core idea of the manipulation approach is to use a transformer encoder for discovering highlevel semantics, and a diffusion probabilistic model for modeling the remaining stochastic variations. We show that the embedding space obtained from the transformer encoder is semantically meaningful and linear. This enables the manipulation of high-level attributes, by discovering their linear direction of change in the semantic embedding space and moving the embedding along said direction. The code and data are available at https://github.com/anthony-mendil/MoDiffAE.

1 Introduction

Recently, there have been many advances in the field of generative modeling due to the development of diffusion probabilistic models (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020; Song, Meng, and Ermon 2020; Dhariwal and Nichol 2021). Besides the generation of new data, further applications have emerged, one of which is attribute manipulation (Preechakul et al. 2022). The task of attribute manipulation deals with the problem of changing specific attributes of data, while leaving all other aspects unaffected. Achieving this on human motion data would create new possibilities in multiple fields: In kinesiology, it would support the study of how different diseases and injuries affect human motion. For instance, analyzing how humans with different impairments solve everyday tasks, could enable the design of disease and injury friendly environments. In sport science, it could be used as a training tool that demonstrates to athletes how to improve their level of skill or how to apply it to different types of movements. Attribute manipulation could also significantly streamline computer animation, as it would become possible to manually animate one motion for a specific character and

then generate a variety of other motions for that character, without the need of further manual animation.

While attribute manipulation has seen success in other domains, such as manipulating facial attributes in images (Shen and Liu 2017; Akhtar, Mouree, and Dasgupta 2020; Wang et al. 2018; Preechakul et al. 2022), human motion manipulation is confronted with additional challenges. Firstly, human motion is sequential and its attributes can be *time-variant*. For example, the skill levels of two athletes might be highly distinguishable during the most challenging segments of the executed motion, but show little difference in other parts. Secondly, existing state-of-the-art pose representations discard anatomical details, which is undesirable for attribute manipulation. We address both of these challenges and present the first success at manipulating attributes of human motion data, in the context of karate techniques.

For such karate motions, our goal is to manipulate either the technique or the skill level of the recorded athlete. When changing one of those attributes, the other one should be preserved. These attributes can be regarded as high-level semantics. However, given the stochastic nature of human motion (Aliakbarian et al. 2020), not all technique executions by athletes, sharing the same semantics, will be the same. Those differences can be interpreted as stochastic variations, specific to the athlete or the recording. During manipulation, those stochastic variations should not be lost, so that generated samples are not reduced to few templates. Accordingly, both the remaining semantics and the stochastic variations should be preserved by the manipulation.

To achieve such a preservation, we follow (Preechakul et al. 2022) and explicitly model the high-level semantics and low-level stochastic variations in two separate embeddings. Manipulation is performed only on the semantic embedding. If the semantic embedding space is linearly separable with regards to the attributes, motion manipulation becomes possible by moving the semantic embedding in the linear direction of change for the specific attribute. Such a manipulation would preserve the remaining semantics of the embedding. As the stochastic embedding is not changed, the aspects captured by it are also maintained. Afterwards, the original stochastic and the new semantic embedding are used to reconstruct a corresponding motion.

2 Related Work

Human Motion Manipulation: To the best of our knowledge, there exists no system that can manipulate individual high-level attributes in human motion, while preserving the remaining attributes as well as low-level details, unique to the specific motion execution. However, attribute manipulation has seen various success in the image domain. (Elarabawy, Kamath, and Denton 2022) introduce a diffusion-based technique called *direct inversion*, which models a trade-off between the preservation of the original sample and the realization of the desired manipulation. A diffusion model is expected to capture and preserve the semantic aspects not targeted by the manipulation. However, according to (Preechakul et al. 2022), the embedding space of diffusion models lacks such abilities due to their stochastic nature. Instead, (Preechakul et al. 2022) propose to explicitly model the separation of a semantic and a stochastic embedding space. Manipulation is then achieved by moving the semantic image embedding in the linear directions of change for specific attributes. In a work parallel to this, (Kim et al. 2023) transfer the idea from (Preechakul et al. 2022) to the task of face video editing, where the main challenge is to achieve temporal consistency among edited frames. High-level semantics are assumed to be time-invariant and are computed frame wise. However, this approach is predetermined to fail on human motion and any other sequence domain, where the semantic aspects require temporal context to be observed and are time-variant, meaning their evidence may vary throughout time. Most recently, video diffusion models have demonstrated capabilities of manipulating videos through text guidance. However, they struggle in fields such as human motion, were intricate details and small variations can markedly influence the overall perception (Chai et al. 2023; Feng et al. 2024). We approach this challenge by focusing on human motion capture and explicitly modeling manipulation attributes instead of using textdriven methods. Moreover, we transfer the approach from (Preechakul et al. 2022) into the sequence domain without restricting the model to time-invariant attributes.

Human Pose Representations: Human pose representation plays a foundational role in modeling human motions (Guo et al. 2020). A common approach is to represent skeletal poses through 3D Cartesian coordinates (Hussein et al. 2013; Han et al. 2017). However, this introduces extra barriers in faithful modeling of human kinematics. Constraints that are inherent to the skeleton, such as a constant bone length, need to be learned by the model. In contrast, rotationbased pose representations disentangle the human skeleton and their motion trajectory (Guo et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2022). Here, many approaches utilize continuous representations, as they can avoid stability and convergence issues during training (Grassia 1998; Saxena, Driemeyer, and Ng 2009). In a quantitative comparison of different pose representations, (Liu et al. 2022) found that the Stiefel manifold representation consistently outperforms the other considered representations. Nonetheless, related work that uses rotation-based pose representations has one major issue. The disentanglement, mentioned above, is achieved by only storing joint rotations for a uniform skeleton and disregarding any information about the specific anatomy of the recorded human (Guo et al. 2020; Tevet et al. 2022; Mandery et al. 2015). Additional models are then designed to restore joint coordinates, based on the computed rotations (Plappert, Mandery, and Asfour 2016; Pavlakos et al. 2018). However, given the goal of attribute manipulation to preserve all aspects other than those targeted for manipulation, a change of anatomy due to loss of information is undesirable. Instead, we want to enable our model to correctly deal with the variations in anatomy, so that the skeleton of a manipulated motion matches that of the recorded human. Therefore, we design a novel pose representation that utilizes the Stiefel manifold representation, but also enables the preservation of anatomical details through an iterative reconstruction process.

Beyond the state of the art, the contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- 1. The first success at manipulating attributes of human motion data
- 2. The investigation of the learned embedding space in terms of linearity and semantic interpretability
- 3. The creation of the first rotation-based pose representation that allows the preservation of anatomical details

3 The Challenges of Benchmarking Human Motion Manipulation

Since there is no prior work that achieves attribute manipulation on human motion data, there exists no benchmark to compare our models performance against. Moreover, when creating such a benchmark, we faced two main difficulties.

Absence of large suitable datasets: For an attribute to be suitable for manipulation, attribute differences should be reflected in the motion capture data. Moreover, at least two suitable attributes are needed in order to evaluate the preservation of untargeted attributes. We further exclude markerless motion capture datasets such as AMASS (Mahmood et al. 2019), HumanAct12 (Guo et al. 2020) and Motion-X (Lin et al. 2024). On top of achieving inferior recording accuracy, they represent motions using uniform skeletons and thus fail to capture anatomical details. When inspecting the largest remaining datasets, we discovered that most of them, including Human3.6m (Ionescu et al. 2013), KIT-ML (Plappert, Mandery, and Asfour 2016) and UESTC (Ji et al. 2019), do not fulfill both criteria mentioned above. To the best of our knowledge, the Kyokushin karate dataset (Szczkesna, Błaszczyszyn, and Pawlyta 2021) is the largest available marker-based motion capture dataset that does so.

Lack of reliable metrics for small datasets: Related work in the field of attribute manipulation performs model evaluation by measuring the Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) between the manipulated samples and test groups that represent the desired attribute changes (Preechakul et al. 2022). A successful manipulation is expected to produce samples with a distribution closest to that of the target attribute. However, to obtain reliable measurements, the creators of the FID recommend a minimum of 10k samples per group (Heusel et al. 2017). Applying this rule, even the least data demanding scenario, consisting of manipulating two binary attributes, already requires a test set with four groups of 10k samples each. To the best of our knowledge, there neither exists a suitable motion capture dataset large enough to meet this requirement nor an alternative distribution-based metric with a data demand low enough for the existing suitable motion capture datasets. As an alternative to distribution-based approaches, (Karras, Laine, and Aila 2019) propose to quantify the latent space disentanglement by measuring its linear separability. We adapt this idea into the domain of human motion manipulation and further inspect the latent space after projection into two dimensions.

Given these limitations at quantifying the models performance on human motion manipulation, we additionally perform a qualitative evaluation, where we first formulate expected outcomes based on expert knowledge and then inspect whether the test manipulations match those expectations. We use and publish fixed splits of the rigorously preprocessed data, so that the measurements of linear separability and the qualitative evaluation serve as the first benchmark for human motion manipulation.

4 Data

We utilize the Kyokushin karate dataset, collected by (Szczkesna, Błaszczyszyn, and Pawlyta 2021). Thirty-seven healthy individuals, between the ages of 10 and 50, participated in the study. Fore more details about the dataset see (Szczkesna, Błaszczyszyn, and Pawlyta 2021). Most importantly for this work, it includes two attributes suitable for manipulation. These take the form of the karate grades of the athletes, ranging from 9th kyu (lowest) to 4th dan (highest), and the executed techniques. The study included five different techniques: Reverse punches, spinning back kicks, front kicks as well as low and high roundhouse kicks. They will often be abbreviated by their initials (e.g. RP for reverse punch). The data was captured using a Vicon motion tracking system (Vicon 2023) at a sampling rate of 250 Hz (Szczkesna, Błaszczyszyn, and Pawlyta 2021). After our preprocessing steps, the dataset consists of 3308 samples.

5 Preprocessing

First, the data was sampled down to 25 Hz. Based on the z-score, we then designed statistical criteria to detect various types of outliers. The detected cases were then inspected and either removed or, if possible, corrected. This included particularly long or short recordings and those that showed little to no activity. Furthermore, untypical head movement was detected that corresponded to participants falling down or adjusting markers. Additionally, poses that were part of the calibration process but unrelated to the techniques were detected and trimmed. All samples were centered to start at the origin of the coordinate system and rotated to initially face the negative y-direction. Additionally, they were normalized so that all techniques are executed with the right limbs. This was achieved by first mirroring the left limb executions on the x-axis and then switching their left and right marker names. However, the marker set from Vicon contains additional markers that are placed between adjacent joints at varying height to distinguish between left and right (Vicon 2023). This helps the automatic labeling process of the

Plug-In Gait software from Vicon, but makes the positioning of the markers unsymmetrical, which causes irregularities during the previously mentioned switch of the left and right side. To avoid this, we center these additional markers between their neighboring joints.

6 Pose Representation

The core idea of our representation is to approximate bone lengths based on the distances of neighboring markers. If for every marker there exists at least one other marker, that is anatomically placed on a neighboring joint, the distance between those markers will remain approximately constant throughout the whole motion, due to the stiffness of bones. Let *a* and *b* be two such neighboring markers. Assuming, that the coordinates of *a* as well as the direction and distance towards *b* are known, the coordinates of *b* can be determined. Based on this observation, we define a hierarchical structure of neighbors that enables the coordinate reconstruction of all markers given a starting point, the marker distances and angles, implying the directions between them. Given the original coordinate representation, an axis angle ω for two markers *a* and *b* is calculated by

$$\omega = \underbrace{\frac{a' \times b'}{||a' \times b'||_2}}_{\text{axis } k} \cdot \underbrace{\operatorname{atan2}\left(a' \times b' \cdot \frac{a' \times b'}{||a' \times b'||_2}, a' \cdot b'\right)}_{\text{angle } \theta},$$
(1)

where
$$a' = \frac{-a}{||-a||_2}$$
 and $b' = \frac{b-a}{||b-a||_2}$ (2)

As visualized in Figure 1, the angle θ , used to compute ω , describes the rotation between the origin of the coordinate system and b, where a is the center of rotation. Specifically this angle was chosen, due to its property of allowing a unique reconstruction of b. In contrast, the angle between a and b can result in ambiguous cases (Dobbs 2023). Afterwards, we calculate its rotation matrix using the Rodrigues rotation formula and map the result into the Stiefel manifold representation. Said mapping functions by dropping the last column of the rotation matrix (Zhou et al. 2019):

$$g_{\rm GS}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} | & | & | \\ r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \\ | & | & | \end{array}\right]\right) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} | & | \\ r_1 & r_2 \\ | & | \end{array}\right]$$
(3)

For the marker distance between a and b, we calculate the average distance d over all time steps of the sample. This is based on the assumption of constant distances between neighbors. The distances d are stored and not passed through the model. (Zhou et al. 2019) show that the mapping g_{GS} is reversible. Following the defined hierarchical structure, it becomes possible to iteratively reconstruct the whole skeleton. Accordingly, this novel rotation based-based pose representation is the first one capable of preserving anatomical details.

7 Architecture

The embedding space of diffusion models is in itself highly stochastic and not semantically rich, given the fact that



Figure 1: Extracting the angle θ for two markers a and b.



Figure 2: Overview of the MoDiffAE architecture.

representations x_t are obtained by adding Gaussian noise. This makes their embedding spaces unsuitable for attribute manipulation, despite their ability to accurately reconstruct noisy samples (Preechakul et al. 2022). The proposed architecture aims at creating a semantically rich embedding space that enables attribute manipulation on sequential data, while maintaining the high reconstruction capabilities of diffusion models. In other words, it seeks to extract a semantically meaningful and decodable representation. (Preechakul et al. 2022) argue that this requires capturing both the highlevel semantic and low-level stochastic variations. To obtain a semantically meaningful and decodable representation, we design an autoencoder, consisting of three components: A stochastic encoder to produce the stochastic embedding x_T , a semantic encoder to produce the semantic embedding zand a decoder to reconstruct the original motion x_0 based on those embeddings. We refer to the reconstructed motion as \hat{x}_0 . An overview of the architecture is shown in Figure 2. As this system is an adaptation of the Diffusion Autoencoder by (Preechakul et al. 2022) into the motion domain, we call our model Motion Diffusion Autoencoder, or MoDiffAE in short.

Decoder

We design a Denoising Diffusion Implicit Model (DDIM) which models $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t, z)$ and is conditioned on an additional latent variable z, representing the semantic embedding. Since the embedding space of diffusion models,

given by the x_t at different diffusion steps t, struggles to capture semantic aspects, it will be regarded as stochastic embedding. The decoder then starts at x_T and uses the model $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t, z)$ to iteratively predict \hat{x}_0 , following the Markov chain of the reverse diffusion process. This is defined as

$$q_{\theta}(x_{0:T}|z) = q(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t, z)$$
 , where (4)

$$q(x_T) = \mathcal{N}(x_T; 0, 1) \tag{5}$$

The model $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t, z)$ first predicts \hat{x}_0 and then diffuses it back to x_{t-1} . This is defined as

$$p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t, z) = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}\hat{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}\epsilon \quad \text{, where} \quad (6)$$
$$\hat{x}_0 = m_{\theta}(x_t, t, z) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(x_t; 0, 1) \quad (7)$$

The different $\overline{\alpha}$ are given by the employed noise schedule and m_{θ} denotes a transformer encoder that predicts \hat{x}_0 .

Semantic Encoder

An additional transformer encoder $f_{\psi}(x_0) = z$ is designed to take the original motion x_0 as input and produce the corresponding semantic embedding z, needed by the decoder. The architecture is built in a way that motivates $f_{\psi}(x_0)$ to capture information, that assists the decoder in the reconstruction of the original motion and that the stochastic embedding x_T fails to capture. Given that diffusion models excel at capturing stochastic details but lack semantic richness of their embedding space, the model $f_{\psi}(x_0)$ will be incentivized to capture those missing semantic aspects. From an optimization perspective, there is little sense for the semantic encoder to capture stochastic aspects if the stochastic encoder is much better at doing so. While there is no guarantee that this will result in purely semantic and stoachstic embeddings, success was already demonstrated in the image domain (Preechakul et al. 2022).

Stochastic Encoder

The model m_{θ} , used by the decoder, can also be used to encode an input motion x_0 into the corresponding stochastic embedding x_T . In the context of m_{θ} and wrapped into a recursive function, this process is defined as

$$h_{\theta}(x_t) = \begin{cases} x_t & \text{if } t = T\\ b_{\theta}(h(x_t)) & \text{else} \end{cases} \text{, where}$$
(8)

$$b_{\theta}(x_t) \approx \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} \hat{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \hat{\epsilon}$$
(9)

$$\hat{x}_0 = m_\theta(x_{t-1}, t-1, z) \tag{10}$$

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{x_{t-1} - \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} m_{\theta}(x_{t-1}, t-1, z)}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}}$$
(11)

 b_{θ} is the process that deterministically diffuses x_{t-1} to x_t . This process is not used during training, but only during the guided manipulation, explained in section 8.

Training Objective

The first component of the loss function is the *simple loss*, introduced by (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020). When directly predicting \hat{x}_0 , this loss is defined as:

$$L_{\text{simple}} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[||x_0 - \hat{x}_0||_2^2\right] \quad \text{, where} \tag{12}$$

$$\hat{x}_0 = m_\theta(\sqrt{\alpha_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}\epsilon, t, f_\psi(x_0))$$
(13)

Following (Tevet et al. 2022), we directly predict \hat{x}_0 in order to incorporate domain knowledge in the form of geometric losses, which are defined as

$$L_{\text{pos}} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} ||JP(x_0^i) - JP(\hat{x}_0^i)||_2^2\right]$$
(14)

$$L_{\text{foot}} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=0}^{L-2} ||JP(\hat{x}_0^{i+1}(F)) - JP(\hat{x}_0^i(F)) \cdot c_i||_2^2\right]$$
(15)

$$L_{\text{vel}} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=0}^{L-2} ||(x_0^{i+1} - x_0^i) - (\hat{x}_0^{i+1} - \hat{x}_0^i)||_2^2\right]$$
(16)

Here, JP is a differentiable process that restores the joint positions based on x_0 and the stored distances of neighboring markers. Equation 14 represents the positional loss, penalizing differences between the original and reconstructed joint positions. Equation 15 defines the foot contact loss, where (F) indicates that only the foot markers are used and $c_i \in \{0,1\}^{|F|}$ is the binary foot contact mask, indicating, for each frame *i*, whether the foot markers in *F* touch the ground. Following (Shi et al. 2020), c_i is set according to binary ground truth data. Essentially, L_{foot} penalizes footsliding by nullifying velocities when touching the ground. Lastly, equation 16 penalizes velocity differences. The overall training loss is defined as

$$L = L_{\text{simple}} + \phi_{\text{pos}} L_{\text{pos}} + \phi_{\text{foot}} L_{\text{foot}} + \phi_{\text{vel}} L_{\text{vel}}$$
(17)

where the different ϕ are weights, determining the influence of the corresponding components. Training is done by optimizing L with respect to θ and ψ .

8 Guided Manipulation

Similar to (Preechakul et al. 2022), we train an additional linear layer to predict attributes based on semantic embeddings. More precisely, it is used to obtain probabilities for the five karate techniques as well as an estimation of the grade. The technique labels are one-hot encoded, while the grades are modeled continuously on a linear scale between zero and one. During training, the respective MoDiffAE model is frozen. If the linear classifier performs well, this implies that its weights for the individual attributes represent linear directions of change. Accordingly, attribute manipulation becomes possible by moving semantic embeddings in said directions, where λ is a factor determining the manipulation strength. While (Preechakul et al. 2022) choose a fixed λ for all manipulations, we extend this approach by a guidance mechanism. When continuously increasing λ we found

that the attribute predictions based on the resulting embeddings reach a point of convergence, which we term λ_{max} . Furthermore, the ideal λ w.r.t. to the attributes appears to be at a point between $\lambda = 0$ and λ_{max} . To determine an appropriate λ , we therefore interpolate in small steps between those borders, predict the respective attributes using the linear classifier and score all resulting embeddings by equally weighing the distance of the predicted technique and grade to the targets. The embedding with the lowest average distance is chosen as the manipulated semantic embedding. In summary, we first obtain the semantic and stochastic embeddings for a motion, then manipulate the semantic embedding using the explained guidance mechanism and finally reconstruct a motion based on the original stochastic and the manipulated semantic embedding.

9 Evaluation

We evaluate our model both quantitatively and qualitatively. In the quantitative evaluation, we focus on analyzing the models embedding space, while also proving an exemplary FID-based evaluation. For the qualitative evaluation, we formulate expected movement characteristics based on expert knowledge and inspect whether the test manipulations match those expectations.

Quantitative Evaluation

Analysis of the Embedding Space: Following (Karras, Laine, and Aila 2019) and (Preechakul et al. 2022), we quantitatively evaluate our model by measuring the linear separability of its embedding space w.r.t. different attributes. This analysis is of special interest for the proposed manipulation approach, as it explicitly relies on a classifier to discover linear directions of change in the embedding space. Figure 3 shows how said linear classifier performs at predicting the techniques and grades for the validation data. The unweighted average recall of the technique predictions is 0.789. Regarding the skill level, the unweighted mean absolute error is 0.146, which can be interpreted as 1.752 out of 13 grades. Further insight on the structure of the semantic embedding space can be gained through visualization. Here, we use UMAP to project the semantic embeddings of the training data into a 2D space. The resulting structure is shown in Figure 4. It can be observed that the reverse punch and spinning back kick form separate clusters, while the remaining kick variations appear very intertwined. This makes sense given how unique the reverse punch and spinning back and how similar the remaining techniques are. Regarding the grades, the 2D embedding space shows a separation of low and high grades inside the technique clusters. Overall, this analysis demonstrates that, despite being obtained through unsupervised training, the semantic embedding space captures attribute-specific information and is approximately linear separable with regards to those attributes.

Exemplary FID-based analysis: Table 1a and 1b show the FID scores for the techniques and grades when changing from high roundhouse kicks to front kicks. We choose this example as it has the most available samples in the compared groups. Aligning with the intention of the manipula-



Figure 3: Grade (left) and technique (right) confusion matrices for validation data.



Figure 4: UMAP projection of semantic embeddings of the train data with visualized grades (left) and techniques (right).

tion, it can be seen that the test samples are closest to the expected techniques before and after manipulation, while the closest grade reference group remains the same. However, these measurements should be interpreted with caution. Due to the lack of data, each underlying high dimensional distribution is approximated using less than 50 samples. This results in unreliable measurements and motivates us to complement this with alternative evaluation approaches.

Qualitative Evaluation

Research in martial arts revealed that speed, power, flexibility as well as upper and lower limb synchronization are differentiating factors of different skill levels (Chaabene et al. 2012, 2019; De Giorgis et al. 2019; Probst, Fletcher, and Seelig 2007). Concerning the differences between the five techniques, we follow the descriptions from (Szczkesna, Błaszczyszyn, and Pawlyta 2021). Accordingly, front kicks are executed frontal, whereas roundhouse kicks follow a circular motion and strike from the side. The two roundhouse kick variations differ in their height. More precisely, low roundhouse kicks are performed at knee to hip height, while high roundhouse kicks are targeted at the shoulder or head. The spinning back kick is the only technique including a spin, while the reverse punch is the only technique that is not a kick (Szczkesna, Błaszczyszyn, and Pawlyta 2021). We investigated for all test samples whether these skill and technique related characteristics are changed or preserved during manipulation. The examples shown in Figure 5 represent frequent observations in the test manipulations.

Technique Manipulations: We observe that the models create plausible and realistic motions when changing between the front kicks as well as the low and high round house kicks. Moreover, clear signs of grade preservation are noticeable. Figure 5a shows a technique manipulation from a high roundhouse to a front kick. After manipulation, the kick is performed completely frontal, i.e., without any rotation. Regarding the grade preservation, we first inspect the time difference of the right foot starting to move and it returning to the ground. It can be seen that the duration, and therefore the speed of the kick stays similar after manipulation. Secondly, the arms are swung synchronously in the opposite direction of the kick in both cases, presumably to generate power. Furthermore, Figure 5b shows a manipulation from a low to a high roundhouse kick. Here, the rotation is maintained, while the kick height is increased. Again, the speed of the kick as well as the amount of upper and lower limb synchronization stay similar throughout the manipulation. In contrast, we find the models to struggle with technique manipulations that involve reverse punches and spinning back kicks. In many of those test cases, the type of technique is not changed.

Grade Manipulations: We observe that the models perform grade manipulations that align with the skill factors previously mentioned, while preserving the type of technique. Figure 5c shows a grade increase for a reverse punch. It can be seen that the speed and therefore also the power of

Technique	RP	FK	LRK	HRK	SBK	Grade	9 kyu	8 kyu	7 kyu	6 kyu	5 kyu
FID before	87.70	33.16	29.54	26.69	55.50	FID before	81.40	26.69	34.18	62.22	66.55
FID after	115.63	85.84	87.62	86.71	127.94	FID after	130.01	85.84	100.08	91.83	161.93

(a) Technique FIDs. From high roundhouse kick to front kick. (8th kyu) (b) Grade FIDs. From high roundhouse kick to front kick. (8th kyu)

Table 1: FIDs between test samples and reference groups using semantic embeddings. Not listed grades also have larger FIDs.



Figure 5: Exemplary technique and grade manipulations.

the punch is increased. Originally, the duration, between the start of the first arm swing at 0.44s and the return to a neutral position at 1.56s, is 1.12s. After manipulation, this time difference is reduced to roughly 0.8s. Figure 5d shows a grade decrease for a spinning back kick. Originally, the kick exceeds head height and is executed with two straight legs, requiring a high level of flexibility. After decreasing the grade, the character does not reach the same kicking height, even when bending both legs, indicating a lower level of flexibility. Additionally, the arm swing in the opposite direction of the kick is less noticeable after manipulation, which is tied to power as well as upper and lower limb synchronization.

10 Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, Motion Diffusion Autoencoders present the first success at attribute manipulation on human motion data. They demonstrate successful technique manipulations on three out of five techniques and are capable of changing the skill level from low to high and vice versa. Moreover, we propose the first benchmark on human motion manipulation. Evaluating attribute manipulation requires a test set, containing reference groups for different attributes and their values. When restricted to small datasets, these groups become exceedingly small. Therefore, we employ evaluation methods that provide reliable insights despite the size limitation of the used dataset. Nonetheless, future work could explore further ways of evaluating attribute manipulation on small datasets.

The manipulation of human motion data was in part enabled by the design of a novel rotation-based pose representation. We showed that it enables the disentanglement of the human skeleton and its motion trajectory, while still allowing an accurate reconstruction of the original anatomy. However, this representation is not directly applicable to use-cases with different markers sets. Instead, one needs to design a new hierarchical structure and re-evaluate whether the assumption of constant distances between neighboring markers is justified. In the future, it could be attempted to design a pose representation that has the same qualities but is independent of the specific marker set.

11 Acknowledgements

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 459360854

References

Akhtar, Z.; Mouree, M. R.; and Dasgupta, D. 2020. Utility of deep learning features for facial attributes manipulation detection. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Humanized Computing and Communication with Artificial Intelligence (HCCAI), 55–60. IEEE.

Aliakbarian, S.; Saleh, F. S.; Salzmann, M.; Petersson, L.; and Gould, S. 2020. A stochastic conditioning scheme for diverse human motion prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 5223–5232.

Chaabene, H.; Hachana, Y.; Franchini, E.; Mkaouer, B.; and Chamari, K. 2012. Physical and physiological profile of elite karate athletes. *Sports medicine*, 42: 829–843.

Chaabene, H.; Negra, Y.; Capranica, L.; Prieske, O.; and Granacher, U. 2019. A needs analysis of karate kumite with recommendations for performance testing and training. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 41(3): 35–46.

Chai, W.; Guo, X.; Wang, G.; and Lu, Y. 2023. Stablevideo: Text-driven consistency-aware diffusion video editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 23040–23050.

De Giorgis, N.; Puppo, E.; Alborno, P.; and Camurri, A. 2019. Evaluating Movement Quality Through Intrapersonal Synchronization. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 49(4): 304–313.

Dhariwal, P.; and Nichol, A. 2021. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34: 8780–8794.

Dobbs, D. E. 2023. How to Motivate and Remember the Law of Cosines, the Law of Sines and the Law of Tangents and the Connections Between these Laws. *Moroccan Journal of Algebra and Geometry with Applications*, 1–35.

Elarabawy, A.; Kamath, H.; and Denton, S. 2022. Direct inversion: Optimization-free text-driven real image editing with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07825*.

Feng, R.; Weng, W.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Bao, J.; Luo, C.; Chen, Z.; and Guo, B. 2024. Ccedit: Creative and controllable video editing via diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 6712–6722.

Grassia, F. S. 1998. Practical parameterization of rotations using the exponential map. *Journal of graphics tools*, 3(3): 29–48.

Guo, C.; Zuo, X.; Wang, S.; Zou, S.; Sun, Q.; Deng, A.; Gong, M.; and Cheng, L. 2020. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings* of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, 2021–2029.

Han, F.; Reily, B.; Hoff, W.; and Zhang, H. 2017. Spacetime representation of people based on 3D skeletal data: A review. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 158: 85–105.

Heusel, M.; Ramsauer, H.; Unterthiner, T.; Nessler, B.; and Hochreiter, S. 2017. GANs trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30. Ho, J.; Jain, A.; and Abbeel, P. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33: 6840–6851.

Hussein, M. E.; Torki, M.; Gowayyed, M. A.; and El-Saban, M. 2013. Human action recognition using a temporal hierarchy of covariance descriptors on 3d joint locations. In *Twenty-third international joint conference on artificial intelligence*.

Ionescu, C.; Papava, D.; Olaru, V.; and Sminchisescu, C. 2013. Human3. 6m: Large scale datasets and predictive methods for 3d human sensing in natural environments. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 36(7): 1325–1339.

Ji, Y.; Xu, F.; Yang, Y.; Shen, F.; Shen, H. T.; and Zheng, W.-S. 2019. A large-scale varying-view rgb-d action dataset for arbitrary-view human action recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.10681*.

Karras, T.; Laine, S.; and Aila, T. 2019. A Style-Based Generator Architecture for Generative Adversarial Networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).*

Kim, G.; Shim, H.; Kim, H.; Choi, Y.; Kim, J.; and Yang, E. 2023. Diffusion Video Autoencoders: Toward Temporally Consistent Face Video Editing via Disentangled Video Encoding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 6091–6100.

Lin, J.; Zeng, A.; Lu, S.; Cai, Y.; Zhang, R.; Wang, H.; and Zhang, L. 2024. Motion-x: A large-scale 3d expressive whole-body human motion dataset. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.

Liu, Z.; Wu, S.; Jin, S.; Ji, S.; Liu, Q.; Lu, S.; and Cheng, L. 2022. Investigating pose representations and motion contexts modeling for 3D motion prediction. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 45(1): 681–697.

Mahmood, N.; Ghorbani, N.; Troje, N. F.; Pons-Moll, G.; and Black, M. J. 2019. AMASS: Archive of motion capture as surface shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 5442–5451.

Mandery, C.; Terlemez, Ö.; Do, M.; Vahrenkamp, N.; and Asfour, T. 2015. The KIT whole-body human motion database. In *2015 International Conference on Advanced Robotics (ICAR)*, 329–336. IEEE.

Pavlakos, G.; Zhu, L.; Zhou, X.; and Daniilidis, K. 2018. Learning to estimate 3D human pose and shape from a single color image. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 459–468.

Plappert, M.; Mandery, C.; and Asfour, T. 2016. The KIT motion-language dataset. *Big data*, 4(4): 236–252.

Preechakul, K.; Chatthee, N.; Wizadwongsa, S.; and Suwajanakorn, S. 2022. Diffusion autoencoders: Toward a meaningful and decodable representation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 10619–10629.

Probst, M. M.; Fletcher, R.; and Seelig, D. S. 2007. A Comparison of Lower-Body Flexibility, Strength, And Knee Stability between KArate Athletes and Active Controls. *The* Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 21(2): 451–455.

Saxena, A.; Driemeyer, J.; and Ng, A. Y. 2009. Learning 3-d object orientation from images. In 2009 IEEE International conference on robotics and automation, 794–800. IEEE.

Shen, W.; and Liu, R. 2017. Learning residual images for face attribute manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 4030–4038.

Shi, M.; Aberman, K.; Aristidou, A.; Komura, T.; Lischinski, D.; Cohen-Or, D.; and Chen, B. 2020. Motionet: 3d human motion reconstruction from monocular video with skeleton consistency. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* (*TOG*), 40(1): 1–15.

Song, J.; Meng, C.; and Ermon, S. 2020. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*.

Szczkesna, A.; Błaszczyszyn, M.; and Pawlyta, M. 2021. Optical motion capture dataset of selected techniques in beginner and advanced Kyokushin karate athletes. *Scientific Data*, 8(1): 13.

Tevet, G.; Raab, S.; Gordon, B.; Shafir, Y.; Cohen-Or, D.; and Bermano, A. H. 2022. Human motion diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14916*.

Vicon. 2023. Full body modeling with Plug-in Gait. https://docs.vicon.com/display/Nexus212/Full+body+modeling+with+Plug-in+Gait. Accessed: 2023-11-20.

Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Qi, G.; Tang, J.; and Li, B. 2018. Weakly supervised facial attribute manipulation via deep adversarial network. In 2018 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), 112–121. IEEE.

Zhou, Y.; Barnes, C.; Lu, J.; Yang, J.; and Li, H. 2019. On the continuity of rotation representations in neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 5745–5753.