

# COHOMOLOGICAL VERTEX ALGEBRAS

COLTON GRIFFIN

ABSTRACT. We introduce a family of algebraic structures as a higher-dimensional generalization of vertex algebras, which we term cohomological vertex algebras. The formal punctured 1-disk underlying a vertex algebra is replaced by a ring modeling the cohomology of certain schemes such as the formal punctured  $n$ -disk and the formal 1-disk with doubled origin. The latter scheme leads to the raviolo vertex algebras of Garner & Williams. We prove several structural theorems for cohomological vertex algebras, as well as examine an appropriate Witt-like algebra and its central extensions, giving a definition of a cohomological vertex operator algebra. Using a reconstruction theorem for cohomological vertex algebras, we provide basic examples analogous to their vertex algebra counterparts.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries on formal calculus and local systems of fields	5
3. Cohomological Vertex Algebras	26
4. An analog of the Witt algebra	43
5. Examples of cohomological vertex algebras	50
6. An alternative approach to vertex algebras in several variables	63
References	69

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Vertex algebras reflect the data of 2D conformal field theories and arise in a wide variety of other purely mathematical contexts. One may naturally associate to every vertex algebra a pointed algebraic curve with a coordinate at the point. Under natural assumptions, representations of vertex algebras give rise to algebraic structures on moduli spaces of pointed coordinatized curves [FB04], [DGT21], [DGT23]. A natural question is then whether these notions extend to higher dimensions. More specifically, one can ask whether there are “higher-dimensional” vertex algebras that are suitable for the application of building analogous structures on moduli spaces of higher-dimensional varieties. One may hope that such a generalization will also be useful in the study of higher-dimensional conformal field theories.

Here we describe a natural higher-dimensional generalization of vertex algebras, which we term *cohomological vertex algebras*, with a view towards this particular application, giving several examples. Generalizing vertex algebras is a subtle problem, as certain natural constructions lead to overly complicated objects, overly simple objects, or objects that do not appear to suit our desired application. See Section 1.1 for further discussion on some of these different approaches.

We note that a classical vertex algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  may be described as a pair consisting of a vector space  $V$  and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map from  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  to the vector space  $V((z)) = V[[z]][z^{-1}]$  of (lower bounded) Laurent series with coefficients in  $V$ , satisfying various

axioms. On the other hand, one may interpret Laurent series  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  as the global sections  $\Gamma(D_1^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  of the formal punctured 1-disk  $D_1^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[z]] \setminus \{(z)\}$ . The notion of a standard vertex algebra may then be generalized by replacing  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  with some other  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. For the cohomological vertex algebras introduced here we replace  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  with a suitably general commutative graded  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}^z$ , where  $R$  is an arbitrary ring (defined in Section 2.2). The algebra  $\mathcal{K}^z$  is a commutative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra concentrated in degrees 0 and  $N$ , with the degree 0 part given by  $R[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$  and degree  $N$  part given by  $z_1^{-1} \dots z_n^{-1} R[z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1}]$ .

If one replaces Laurent series in the definition of a vertex algebra with the global sections  $\Gamma(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  of the formal punctured  $n$ -disk  $D_n^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]] \setminus \{(z_1, \dots, z_n)\}$ , then by Hartogs' phenomenon we have  $\Gamma(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$  for  $n \geq 2$ . This does not give an analogous higher-dimensional generalization since the vertex operators underlying a vertex algebra have a natural notion of singularity. Such a replacement would only provide a higher-dimensional generalization of commutative vertex algebras, which are known to be equivalent to unital commutative algebras together with a derivation, hence too simple of a generalization.

One could instead consider the derived global sections  $R\Gamma(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  as a commutative differential graded (dg) algebra as is done in the study of higher-dimensional Kac-Moody algebras in [FHK19]. Though one could do this, this would be far more complicated to analyze because  $R\Gamma(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  is only well-defined up to quasi-isomorphism. The axioms of a vertex algebra structure corresponding to a dg model may depend on the given model, and depending on the model, such a structure is arguably impractical to work with.

The  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}^z$  that we propose models the cohomology ring of certain schemes. For example,  $\mathcal{K}^z$  models the cohomology ring of the punctured  $n$ -disk, or the formal 1-disk with a doubled origin, hence the adjective "cohomological" in the name "cohomological vertex algebra." The development of a vertex algebra structure for the punctured 1-disk has already been done by Garner & Williams in [GW23], which they call raviolo vertex algebras (also see [AKY24] for a derived approach).

Cohomological vertex algebras satisfy several properties in common with vertex algebras. For example, we show there are natural analogs of locality/weak commutativity (Definition 2.3.9), a weak version of the Dong-Li lemma (Lemma 2.3.14), skew-symmetry (Proposition 3.2.6), Goddard uniqueness (Proposition 3.2.4), weak associativity (Proposition 3.2.8), and the Jacobi identity (Theorem 3.2.13), a foundational identity in the theory of vertex algebras. We also examine some equivalent ways of presenting the data of a cohomological vertex algebra, and we show it may be equivalently arranged into that of generalized correlation functions (Theorem 3.3.12).

The most widely studied vertex algebras are vertex operator algebras, which have a compatible action by the Virasoro algebra. The Virasoro algebra is the universal one-dimensional central extension of the Witt algebra  $W_n = \text{Der } \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ . In the cohomological setting we similarly define a Witt-like algebra  $CW_n$ , and being a perfect Lie algebra it admits a (infinite-dimensional) universal central extension. We show that  $CW_n$  admits a central extension of dimension 2 for  $n = 1$  and  $n$  for  $n > 1$ , with the rest of the elements in the universal central extension not being useful for our applications. We also show that there are no central elements akin to that of the Virasoro charge for  $n > 1$  (Theorem 4.2.2). The remaining central elements are well-defined and compatible with our notion of locality, but it is unclear what applications or physics interpretations such central elements might have. Finally, using an analog of the reconstruction theorem for vertex algebras (Theorem 5.2.1), we provide some examples

of cohomological vertex algebras, which are natural analogues of the Virasoro,  $\beta\gamma$ -free field, affine Kac-Moody, and Heisenberg vertex algebras.

There are other relevant constructions in vertex algebras such as vertex Lie algebras, modules, and vertex Poisson algebras. These will be explored in a follow-up paper.

**1.1. Earlier approaches to vertex algebras and generalizations.** Here we briefly discuss some of the developments of vertex algebras. Borchers defined the notion of a vertex algebra in [Bor86], identifying a vertex algebra structure on the moonshine module  $V^h$  constructed by Frenkel, Lepowsky, & Meurman in [FLM84]. Around the same time as Borchers, Frenkel, Lepowsky, & Meurman define a vertex operator algebra [FLM88], an important class of vertex algebras. Later, Borchers proved the monstrous moonshine conjecture using the theory of vertex operator algebras. Since then, several books on vertex algebras have been published such as [FHL93], [DL93], [LL04], [Kac98], and [FB04]. These books developed the theory of vertex algebras in various directions, developing the theory of modules, universal enveloping algebras, abelian intertwining operators (also called generalized vertex algebras), and coinvariants on algebraic curves. Some motivations for such constructions arose from string theory and 2D conformal field theory. For example, the data of Möbius vertex algebras can be used to construct well-defined 2D Wightman conformal field theories, [Kac98], [Car+18], [Car+24].

Other algebraic structures exist in algebraic geometry and mathematical physics that are closely related to vertex algebras, including the chiral algebras and factorization (co)algebras of Beilinson & Drinfeld [BD04]. Chiral algebras describe families of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules on an algebraic curve  $X$ , intended to generalize the corresponding notions from quantum field theory to an algebraic setting. This work was further generalized to higher dimensions by Francis and Gaitsgory in [FG12]. It is a result due to [FB04] that quasi-conformal vertex algebras are equivalent to universal chiral algebras, which is an assignment of a curve  $X$  to a chiral algebra  $\mathcal{Y}_X$  together with compatibility via étale maps of curves. Another way in which vertex algebras are related to chiral algebras is in the work of Huang and Lepowsky [HL96], who show that vertex algebras (without vacuum) over an open affine subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  are equivalent to chiral algebras (without unit) over  $U$ . An interpretation of this result is that vertex algebras describe the local data of a chiral algebra. Some work has been done in developing the theory of chiral algebras in higher dimensions, for example in the study of Hilbert schemes of points as a factorization space [Cli19]. We hope that our notion of cohomological vertex algebras here can be related to chiral algebras in higher dimensions.

There are also analytic approaches to understanding vertex algebras, where grading restrictions are imposed to have some notion of convergence for the vertex operators  $Y(a, z)$ . Some examples are Huang's work in [Hua12] in understanding the correspondence between vertex algebras and 2D conformal field theory using sewing operations on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . An approach in relationship with perturbative field theory is by factorization algebras, due to Costello & Gwilliam in [CG16]. In Chapter 5 of their book and in [Bru21b] it is shown explicitly how to take the data of a (sufficiently nice) factorization algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and arrive at a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra, or equivalently what is called a geometric vertex algebra in [Bru21a]. We expect that our definition of a cohomological vertex algebra may be closely related to some class of factorization algebras over  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

There are also some analogs of vertex algebras defined entirely algebraically. One example is the work of Nikolov in defining vertex algebras in higher dimensions for the study of conformal field theories with global conformal invariance [Nik04]. This notion studies field maps of the form  $Y : V \otimes V \rightarrow V[[z]][(z \cdot z)^{-1}]$ , where  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is

a tuple of formal variables and  $z \cdot z = \sum_i z_i^2$ . While this formulation is explicit, it is difficult to perform calculations in this framework. Furthermore, considering only singularities of the form  $0 = \sum_i z_i^2$  seems restrictive from the point of view of algebraic geometry. From this perspective, we opt to generalize the point singularity  $z = 0$  in higher dimensions by having the singularity manifest in higher cohomology classes.

Other algebraic generalizations of vertex algebras include [Bor98] and [LTW12]. The work of Li, Tan, & Wang on vertex algebras is related to our approach, where they replace  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  with a similar  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra for the purposes of studying representation theory of toroidal Lie algebras. Borchers' approach is unique since it interprets a vertex algebra as a generalization of a commutative associative algebra. Under Borchers' formalism, we can think of a cohomological vertex algebra as a commutative  $G$  vertex algebra for  $G$  being the vertex group formed from a Hopf algebra  $H$  given by a basis of elements  $D^{(k)}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $D^{(j)}D^{(k)} = \binom{j+k}{k}D^{(j+k)}$  and the cohomology ring  $K = \mathcal{K}^z$  (see [Bor98, Example 3.5]).

For us, an important application of vertex algebras is in the construction of sheaves of coinvariants on moduli spaces of curves, which were developed for affine vertex algebras in genus 0 [KT87], then developed further for moduli spaces of pointed and coordinatized stable curves of arbitrary genus in [TUY89], [FB04], [NT05], [DGT21], and [DGT23] among others. This turns out to be an interesting method of constructing vector bundles on moduli spaces of curves since for instance their Chern characters often form cohomological field theories, and under certain conditions their Chern classes are positive [DGT22]. It is natural to ask whether a similar construction exists for moduli spaces of higher-dimensional varieties. In the long term, we aim to show that such a construction may be done for cohomological vertex algebras.

One of the primary inspirations for our work is [FHK19], in which Faonte, Hennion, & Kapranov examine a kind of derived Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R\Gamma(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  for a reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $n = 1$  we get the usual current algebra  $\mathfrak{g}((z))$ , whose universal central extension gives rise to the famous affine vertex operator algebras. In Section 3.2 they describe a central extension of the dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\bullet$  as an  $L_\infty$  algebra. This motivated us to analyze the cohomology  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_n^\bullet)$  and determine if a natural vertex algebra structure exists in the way it does for  $n = 1$ . Unfortunately, the central extension of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\bullet$  is only provided as an  $L_\infty$  algebra. In section 5.6 we give one guess as to what the corresponding central extension of  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_n^\bullet)$  is as a graded Lie algebra.

Another paper that heavily influenced the development of our work was [GW23], in which they develop a vertex algebra structure with  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  replaced by the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $H^\bullet(\text{Rav}, \mathcal{O})$ , where  $\text{Rav} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_1 \sqcup_{D_1^\circ} D_1$  is the formal raviolo (or 1-disk with a doubled origin). Our original goal was to develop a vertex algebra structure with  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  replaced by  $H^\bullet(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  for  $n > 1$ . The algebras  $H^\bullet(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  and  $H^\bullet(D_1 \sqcup D_1, \mathcal{O})$  have similar structures, which led to our definition of  $\mathcal{K}^z$  to encompass the raviolo setting. We adopt much of the paper's notation, and for some key lemmas and propositions, we provide generalizations of the proofs in raviolo setting. While Garner & Williams often work with the fields  $Y(a, z)$  with an analytic interpretation (as is done in [FB04]), we primarily work in formal calculus.

**1.2. Plan of the paper.** In Section 2 we describe an explicit model for the cohomology rings  $H^\bullet(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  and  $H^\bullet((\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  using the Jouanolou torsor for  $D_n^\circ$  and  $(\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ$  respectively. We develop a formal calculus for  $R$ -modules similar to these cohomology rings, defining a notion of locality, fields, normal ordered products, and more.

In Section 3 we give our definition of a cohomological vertex algebra and the usual categorical structures such as morphisms, subalgebras, and ideals. We provide analogs of famous properties of classical vertex algebras such as weak commutativity, weak associativity, skew-symmetry, Goddard uniqueness, the iterate formula, and the Jacobi identity. We also discuss various equivalent sets of axioms, showing for example that some of our axioms may be equivalently replaced by the Jacobi identity or skew-symmetry together with the iterate formula (as is done in Borcherds' definition). We also discuss how to identify the data of a vertex algebra in terms of correlation functions.

In Section 4 we analyze an analog of the Witt algebra in our setting, namely the Lie algebra  $CW_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^\bullet(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})\partial_{z_i}$ . We carry out an explicit cocycle calculation to show that for  $n > 1$  this Lie algebra admits no Virasoro-type central elements, although for all  $n$  it does inherit some central elements from the fact that it contains the Lie subalgebra  $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$ . These central elements are ignored in the raviolo case, and one reason for doing so is that the associated vertex algebra to  $CW_1$  does not carry a natural spin grading unless these central elements are 0. We also analyze the conformal algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(n+2; \mathbb{C})$  as a subalgebra of  $CW_n$ , and we describe an infinite-dimensional abelian extension with explicit bracket relations. A result due to Kapranov [Kap21] shows that  $\mathfrak{so}(n+2; \mathbb{C})$  admits an infinite-dimensional abelian extension for  $n \geq 2$  as the cohomology of the dg Lie algebra of derived conformal Killing vector fields of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We conjecture that these abelian extensions are related in some natural way.

In Section 5 we provide examples of cohomological vertex algebras in arbitrary dimensions, with our primary result being a cohomological analog of the reconstruction theorem. We provide analogous constructions of the Virasoro,  $\beta\gamma$ -free field, affine Kac-Moody, and Heisenberg vertex algebras.

In Section 6 we describe an alternative approach to defining vertex algebras, given by replacing  $\mathbb{C}((z))$  with the ring  $\mathbb{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]][z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1}]$ . We define a multivariable vertex algebra in this setting, only to show that the axioms of such an object imply that the fields are all commutative. This observation suggests that a proper higher-dimensional analog of the vertex algebra requires the use of commutative graded rings, such as those arising from cohomology.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Angela Gibney, James Lepowsky, and Yi-Zhi Huang for the helpful conversations that led to the development of this paper. We also thank Mikhail Kapranov for the interesting correspondence that led to the observations in Section 4.1.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON FORMAL CALCULUS AND LOCAL SYSTEMS OF FIELDS

In this section, we provide all of the necessary constructions to define cohomological vertex algebras, and we give a kind of formal calculus necessary to expedite our discussions of cohomological vertex algebras. Section 2.1 is intended to provide motivation and an analytic interpretation of our constructions for the case of the formal punctured  $n$ -disk, but it is optional for the rest of the paper.

We start with some notational conventions.

**Notation 2.0.1.** All rings are commutative with unit. Since we will mention vertex algebras and cohomological vertex algebras in the same discussions, we refer to vertex algebras as *classical vertex algebras* to avoid confusion. We will repeatedly make an analogy to the theory of classical vertex algebras as it is very similar to what we develop, but no knowledge of the classical theory is necessary here.

Now we discuss multi-index notation since it will be used for the entire paper. We define multi-index notation for tuples indexed by an arbitrary set  $S$ . Our convention is that  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \dots\}$ . Given integers  $r \leq s$ , we write  $[r, s]$  to mean the set of integers  $m$  such that  $r \leq m \leq s$ . Given a set  $S$  and a monoid  $M$ , the direct product  $M^S$  is the monoid of sequences  $(m_i)_{i \in S}$ . The direct sum  $M^{(S)}$  is the monoid consisting of sequences  $(m_i)_{i \in S}$  of elements of  $M$  indexed by  $S$  such that all but finitely many components are zero. We will frequently make use of the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$  and the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^{(S)}$  when doing formal calculus. We write  $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$  to denote the  $i$ th standard basis element, whose  $i$ th component is 1 and the rest are 0. That is,  $(e_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$ . In abuse of notation, we write  $n = (n)_{i \in S} \in \mathbb{Z}^S$  to be the tuple such that each component is equal to  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . When referring to tuples  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$  with  $S$  clear in context, we write  $k \geq j$  when  $k - j \in \mathbb{N}^{(S)}$ . When  $S$  is finite we write  $k > j$  to mean  $k - j - 1 \in \mathbb{N}^{(S)}$ . Given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$  we write  $|k| = \sum_{i \in S} k_i$ , which is well-defined as it is a finite sum. Given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}^{(S)}$ , we define

$$(2.0.1) \quad (k)_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i \in S} k_i(k_i - 1) \dots (k_i - j_i + 1), \quad j! \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i \in S} j_i!$$

$$(2.0.2) \quad \binom{k}{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(k)_j}{j!}, \quad (z + w)^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{k}{j} z^{k-j} w^j.$$

The symbols  $z$  and  $w$  will typically denote tuples of formal variables, i.e.  $z = (z_i)_{i \in S}$ . Subscripts of these tuples will always denote their  $i$ th components. Given a tuple  $z = (z_i)_{i \in S}$  of (commuting) formal variables and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$  we define  $z^k = \prod_{i \in S} z_i^{k_i}$ , which is well-defined since it amounts to a finite product of formal variables. Given  $j, k \geq 0$  we also define the derivatives

$$(2.0.3) \quad \partial_z^j z^k = (k)_j z^{k-j}, \quad \partial_z^{(j)} z^k = \binom{k}{j} z^{k-j}.$$

The latter of which we use throughout the paper. We define the scalar product of two tuples of objects to be

$$(2.0.4) \quad z \cdot w = \sum_{i \in S} z_i w_i,$$

defined whenever multiplication makes sense in context.

For almost the entire paper we will let  $S = \{1, \dots, n\}$  for some positive integer  $n$ , for which we write  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\mathbb{N}^n$ . In our discussion of commutative vertex algebras in Section 5.1 and in Section 6 we will let  $S$  be arbitrary at times.

Finally, we fix some notation regarding gradings on modules. A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module  $V$  will always be written as  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$ . Given a homogeneous element  $a \in V^r$  we write its degree as  $p(a) = r$ , and for homogeneous elements  $a, b \in V$  we also define  $p(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}$  and the graded commutator  $[a, b] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab - p(a, b)ba$  when  $V$  has an  $R$ -algebra structure. The graded commutator for  $a, b \in V$  can be defined by splitting into homogeneous parts and asserting that  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  be  $R$ -bilinear. For a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading usually the grading of a homogeneous element is denoted by  $|a|$  instead of  $p(a)$  (taken from [Kac98] for  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -gradings), but in this paper we write  $|k| = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$  to denote the sum of elements of a multi-index  $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . We also reserve  $\deg(a)$  to denote a separate kind of grading on  $V$  (introduced in Definition 3.1.7), so we write  $p(a)$  to avoid using the same notation twice.

**2.1. Punctured affine space and the formal disk.** Here we motivate our definition of the  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}^z$  by describing a geometric motivation for its definition, which will be given in the next section. This discussion is not necessary to understand the rest of the paper.

Here we follow the discussions in [FHK19, Section 1]. Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field of characteristic 0. We define the *formal  $n$ -disk* to be the affine scheme  $D_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$ , and we denote the *punctured formal  $n$ -disk* as  $D_n^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_n \setminus \{(z_1, \dots, z_n)\}$ . We can perform the same construction for affine space, where we define  $\mathbb{A}^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  and *punctured affine  $n$ -space* as  $(\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{(z_1, \dots, z_n)\}$ . In complex analysis, Hartogs' phenomenon says that a holomorphic function defined on  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  (or more generally  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  for a compact subset  $K$ ) for  $n \geq 2$  can be extended to a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In algebraic geometry, one manifestation of this phenomenon is that for  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{O}) &= H^0((\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_n], \\ H^0(D_n, \mathcal{O}) &= H^0(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbf{k}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]. \end{aligned}$$

However, this statement is not true when  $n = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}) &= \mathbf{k}[z], & H^0((\mathbb{A}^1)^\circ, \mathcal{O}) &= \mathbf{k}[z^{\pm 1}], \\ H^0(D_1, \mathcal{O}) &= \mathbf{k}[[z]], & H^0(D_1^\circ, \mathcal{O}) &= \mathbf{k}((z)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{k}((z)) = \mathbf{k}[[z]][z^{-1}]$  is the ring of Laurent series over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Thus the global sections do not notice any difference in higher dimensions; however, the total cohomology does track this change:

**Proposition 2.1.1.** *For  $n \geq 2$  we have the cohomologies*

$$(2.1.1) \quad H^i(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]], & i = 0, \\ z_1^{-1} \dots z_n^{-1} \mathbf{k}[z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1}], & i = n - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The cohomology  $H^i((\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  differs from the above by replacing the algebra  $\mathbf{k}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$  with  $\mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

This proposition may be proved by using an appropriate open cover of the scheme and calculating via Čech cohomology. An informal reading of this proposition is that as we increase from  $n = 1$  to  $n \geq 2$ , the singular portion of the global sections of  $D_1^\circ$  is moved into higher cohomologies. A similar observation holds in the analytic setting when looking at the cochain complex  $\Omega^{0, \bullet}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \bar{\partial})$  of Dolbeault forms and taking cohomology.

Instead of just looking at the sheaf cohomology one may prefer to look at the full derived global sections. Here we describe a model for the derived global sections  $R\Gamma(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O})$  as a commutative dg algebra using the Jouanolou torsor  $J$  (see [FHK19, Section 1.2] for an in-depth discussion). We denote

$$(2.1.2) \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad z^* = (z_1^*, \dots, z_n^*),$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad \partial_z = (\partial_{z_1}, \dots, \partial_{z_n}), \quad \partial_{z^*} = (\partial_{z_1^*}, \dots, \partial_{z_n^*}),$$

$$(2.1.4) \quad dz = (dz_1, \dots, dz_n), \quad dz^* = (dz_1^*, \dots, dz_n^*).$$

We may think of  $z^*$  as the set of coordinates in a dual affine space  $\check{\mathbb{A}}^n$ . We let

$$\mathbf{k}[z, z^*] = \mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_n, z_1^*, \dots, z_n^*], \quad \mathbf{k}[[z]][z^*] = \mathbf{k}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]][z_1^*, \dots, z_n^*].$$

Then we have the following result [FHK19, Proposition 1.1.1]:

**Proposition 2.1.2.** *Let  $m = 0, \dots, n - 1$ . The  $m$ -th graded component  $A_{[n]}^m$  (respectively  $A_n^m$ ) is identified with the vector space spanned by differential forms*

$$(2.1.5) \quad \omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(z, z^*) dz_{i_1}^* \dots dz_{i_m}^*,$$

where each  $f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(z, z^*)$  is an element of the localized algebra  $\mathbf{k}[z, z^*][(z \cdot z^*)^{-1}]$  (respectively  $\mathbf{k}[[z]][[z^*]][(z \cdot z^*)^{-1}]$ ) such that the following hold:

- (1)  $\omega$  is homogeneous of total degree 0 in  $z^*, dz^*$ . That is,  $f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$  is of degree  $-m$  in  $z^*$ .
- (2) The contraction  $i_{\xi}(\omega)$  with the Euler vector field  $\xi = \sum_i z_i^* \partial_{z_i^*}$  vanishes.

The differential  $\bar{\partial}$  is given by

$$(2.1.6) \quad \bar{\partial} = \sum_{i=1}^n dz_i^* \partial_{z_i^*}.$$

Here  $A_n^\bullet$  and  $A_{[n]}^\bullet$  are concentrated in degrees  $[0, n - 1]$ . Moreover  $A_n^\bullet$  (respectively  $A_{[n]}^\bullet$ ) is a model for the derived global sections of  $D_n^\circ$  (respectively  $(\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ$ ).

Now we consider the cohomology of  $A_n^\bullet$ . From [FHK19, Proposition 1.4.7] we have that  $H^0(A_n^\bullet, \bar{\partial}) = \mathbf{k}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$  and the basis for  $H^{n-1}(A_n^\bullet, \bar{\partial})$  is given by every class of the form  $[P(\partial_z)\Omega]$ , where  $P$  is a polynomial in  $\partial_z = (\partial_{z_1}, \dots, \partial_{z_n})$  and

$$(2.1.7) \quad \Omega = \frac{1}{(z \cdot z^*)^n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} z_i^* dz_1^* \dots \widehat{dz_i^*} \dots dz_n^*$$

is the algebraic Martinelli-Bochner form.

Here we examine the action of  $H^0$  on  $H^{n-1}$  so we can assign a natural algebra structure to the cohomology ring. For  $f \in \mathbf{k}[[z]]$  and a polynomial  $P \in \mathbf{k}[\partial]$  the action is given by

$$[f(z)] \cdot [P(\partial_z)\Omega] = [f(z)P(\partial_z)\Omega].$$

It suffices to consider  $[z^j \partial_z^k \Omega]$  for all  $j, k \geq 0$ . We can further see that  $[f] \cdot [g] = [fg]$  for all  $f, g \in \mathbf{k}[[z]]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.3.** *The form  $z_i \Omega$  is exact for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, it suffices to prove for  $i = 1$ . Generally we write an  $n - 2$  form  $\omega$  as

$$\omega = \sum_{j < l} f_{jl}(z, z^*) dz_1^* \dots \widehat{dz_j^*} \dots \widehat{dz_l^*} \dots dz_n^*.$$

Now let  $I \subset [1, n]$  have size  $n - 1$ , that is  $I = \{\mu\}^c$  for some  $\mu$ . Then for any  $j > 1$  we set

$$f_{1j}(z, z^*) = \int (-1)^{j-1} \frac{z_1 z_j^*}{(z \cdot z^*)^n} dz_1^* = \frac{(-1)^j}{n-1} \frac{z_j^*}{(z \cdot z^*)^{n-1}}.$$

Set  $f_{jl} = 0$  if  $j > 1$ . Then the only term remaining for us to check is the term in front of  $dz_2^* \dots dz_n^*$ :

$$\sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^j \partial_{z_j^*} f_{1j}(z, z^*) = - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{z \cdot z^* - (n-1)z_j z_j^*}{(z \cdot z^*)^n} = \frac{z_1 z_1^*}{(z \cdot z^*)^n}.$$

This shows that the form  $\omega$  defined with the series  $f_{1j}$  has the differential  $\bar{\partial}\omega = z_1 \Omega$ .  $\square$

This lemma allows us to establish the algebraic structure of these classes:



**Corollary 2.1.4.** *For all  $i$  and  $k \geq 0$  we have  $[z_i \partial_z^k \Omega] = -k_i [\partial_{z_i}^{k-e_i} \Omega]$  as cohomology classes in  $H^{n-1}(A_n^\bullet, \bar{\partial})$ . More generally for all  $j, k \geq 0$  we have*

$$(2.1.8) \quad [z^j \partial_z^k \Omega] = (-1)^j (k)_j [\partial_z^{k-j} \Omega] = 0.$$

*Proof.* By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$0 = \partial_z^k [z_i \Omega] = [\partial_z^k (z_i \Omega)] = [z_i \partial_z^k \Omega] + k_i [\partial_z^{k-e_i} \Omega] = 0.$$

The second identity follows by induction.  $\square$

Let  $\Omega_z^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{k!} \partial_z^k \Omega$ , then at the level of cohomology we have  $z^j \Omega_z^k = \Omega_z^{k-j}$  when  $k \geq j$  and 0 otherwise by the above lemma and corollary. We observe that these algebraic identities are nearly identical to that of the forms  $\Omega_z^k$  described in [GW23] in terms of the cohomology of the Dolbeault-de Rham complex on the space  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$ . The only significant difference here is that we are working with  $z$  being a tuple of variables and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$  being a multi-index.

**Remark 2.1.5.** It is worth noting that the Jouanolou model  $A_n^\bullet$  is useful as an algebraic analog of the Dolbeault complex  $(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}), \bar{\partial})$ . One key distinction is that the Dolbeault complex is concentrated in degrees  $[0, n]$ , whereas the Jouanolou model is concentrated in degrees  $[0, n-1]$ , but under the identification  $dz_i^* \simeq d\bar{z}_i$  it can be shown that  $A_n^\bullet$  injects into  $(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}), \bar{\partial})$  naturally, and the cohomology classes of  $(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}), \bar{\partial})$  may be naturally represented by the classes coming from  $A_n^\bullet$ . Over  $\mathbb{C}^n$  we write  $\Omega = \Omega(z)$  as a differential form in  $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \bar{\partial})$  as a function of  $z$ . This differential form provides us with the Martinelli-Bochner formula, which states that

$$(2.1.9) \quad f(0) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\|z\|=\epsilon} f(z) \Omega(z) dz_1 \dots dz_n,$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f$  is a holomorphic function on the domain given by  $\|z\| \leq \epsilon$ . See [FHK19, Section 1.3] for more discussion on this analogy.

**2.2. Formal Calculus.** Here we define a generalization of the graded ring  $H^\bullet(A_n^\bullet, \bar{\partial})$  that generalizes the underlying graded ring in the raviolo setting, following the notation in [GW23]. We develop the basics of formal calculus, which is necessary for the rest of the work done in this paper. Fix a ring  $R$ , an  $R$ -module  $V$ , and integers  $n, N$  such that  $n \geq 1$ . We define for a tuple of formal variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  the  $R$ -modules

$$(2.2.1) \quad \mathcal{K}_{dist}^z(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[[z]] \oplus V[[\Omega_z^k]]_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n},$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \mathcal{K}^z(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[[z]] \oplus V[\Omega_z^k]_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n},$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad \mathcal{K}_{poly}^z(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[z] \oplus V[\Omega_z^k]_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n},$$

where the variables  $z_i$  are degree 0 and the forms  $\Omega_z^k$  are all degree  $N$ . To be explicit, an element of  $\mathcal{K}_{dist}^z(V)$  is a series  $f(z)$  of the form

$$(2.2.4) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k f_{-1-k} + \Omega_z^k f_k.$$

The  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{K}_{poly}^z(V)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^z(V)$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_{dist}^z(V)$  are analogs of the  $R$ -modules  $V[z, z^{-1}]$ ,  $V[[z]][z^{-1}]$ , and  $V[[z^{\pm 1}]$  respectively. We call these the *spaces of cohomological Laurent polynomials*, *Laurent series*, and *formal distributions* respectively. To make the analogy with Laurent series appropriate sometimes we write  $V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  for  $\mathcal{K}^z(V)$ .

For cohomological vertex algebras, the  $R$ -module  $V$  will come with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, which allows us to endow the above  $R$ -modules with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading.

Now let  $U$  be an  $R$ -algebra. We impose a product structure on  $\mathcal{K}_{poly}^z(U)$  and  $\mathcal{K}^z(U)$  as follows. For all  $j, k \geq 0$  we set

$$(2.2.5) \quad z^j \Omega_z^k = \Omega_z^{k-j} = \Omega_z^k z^j, \quad z^j z^k = z^{j+k} = z^k z^j, \quad \Omega_z^j \Omega_z^k = 0.$$

Here  $n$  and  $N$  are not explicitly stated when writing  $\mathcal{K}^z$ , and they should be assumed to be arbitrary unless stated otherwise. These definitions are related to the schemes we discussed in the previous section in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet((\mathbb{A}^n)^\circ, \mathcal{O}) &\cong \mathcal{K}_{poly}^z(\mathbf{k}) \text{ for } N = n - 1, \\ H^\bullet(D_n^\circ, \mathcal{O}) &\cong \mathcal{K}^z(\mathbf{k}) \text{ for } N = n - 1, \\ H^\bullet(D_1 \sqcup_{D_1^\circ} D_1, \mathcal{O}) &\cong \mathcal{K}^z(\mathbf{k}) \text{ for } N = n = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The modules  $\mathcal{K}^z(V), \mathcal{K}_{poly}^z(V)$  also come with natural degree 0 endomorphisms  $\partial_z^{(j)}$  for all  $j \geq 0$ , which we call the *Hasse derivatives*. They are defined via the assignments

$$(2.2.6) \quad \partial_z^{(j)} z^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \binom{k}{j} z^{k-j}, \quad \partial_z^{(j)} \Omega_z^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{|j|} \binom{k+j}{j} \Omega_z^{k+j}.$$

Here we identify  $\Omega_z^k$  with  $z^{-1-k}$  when taking derivatives. As a basic convention, for a product of series  $A(z)B(z)$  we denote their derivative as  $\partial_z^{(k)}[A(z)B(z)]$ ; this is not to be confused with  $\partial_z^{(k)} A(z)B(z) = (\partial_z^{(k)} A(z))B(z)$ .

When  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra we can see that these operators are given by regular derivatives  $\partial_z^{(j)} = \frac{1}{j!} \partial_z^j$  with  $\partial_{z_i} = \partial_z^{(e_i)}$ , hence our notation.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *For all  $j, k \geq 0$  we have  $\partial_z^{(j)} \partial_z^{(k)} = \binom{j+k}{j} \partial_z^{(j+k)}$ .*

**Notation 2.2.2.** Sometimes we write  $\mathcal{K}$  instead of  $\mathcal{K}^z(V)$  when the variable letter and underlying ring are obvious in context. When referring to the operators  $\partial_z^{(j)}$  we will sometimes refer specifically to  $\partial_z^{(e_i)}$  as  $\partial_{z_i}$  for ease of reading.

Given an element  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}(V)$  (or  $\mathcal{K}(V)$ , or  $\mathcal{K}_{poly}(V)$ ) we split it into regular and singular parts:

$$(2.2.7) \quad f(z) = f_+(z) + f_-(z), \quad f_+(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k f_{-1-k}, \quad f_-(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 0} \Omega_z^k f_k.$$

Note that unless  $f(z), g(z) \in \mathcal{K}^z(V)$  the product  $f(z)g(z)$  is not necessarily well-defined as it may contain an infinite sum of elements of  $V$ . In any formula or identity we write we implicitly also claim that such a formula is well-defined, avoiding such infinite sums.

For any  $a(z), b(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ , we have the usual Leibniz product rule

$$(2.2.8) \quad \partial_z^{(k)}[a(z)b(z)] = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_z^{(j)} a(z) \partial_z^{(k-j)} b(z).$$

These series also admit a natural generalization of the residue, which can be realized as a degree  $-N$  map  $\text{Res}_z : \mathcal{K}_{dist}(V) \rightarrow V$ . For all  $k \geq 0$  we set

$$(2.2.9) \quad \text{Res}_z(z^k) = 0, \quad \text{Res}_z(\Omega_z^k) = \delta_{k,0}.$$

Now we wish to manipulate series in two tuples of variables. Fix an integer  $N > 0$ , and define the graded  $R$ -modules

$$(2.2.10) \quad \mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[[z, w]] \oplus (V[[z, \Omega_w^k]]_{k \geq 0} \oplus V[[w, \Omega_z^k]]_{k \geq 0}) \oplus V[[\Omega_z^j, \Omega_w^k]]_{j, k \geq 0},$$

$$(2.2.11) \quad \mathcal{K}^{z,w}(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[[z, w]] \oplus (V[[z]][[\Omega_w^k]]_{k \geq 0} \oplus V[[w]][[\Omega_z^k]]_{k \geq 0}) \oplus V[[\Omega_z^j, \Omega_w^k]]_{j, k \geq 0},$$

$$(2.2.12) \quad \mathcal{K}_{poly}^{z,w}(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[z, w] \oplus (V[z, \Omega_w^k]_{k \geq 0} \oplus V[w, \Omega_z^k]_{k \geq 0}) \oplus V[\Omega_z^j, \Omega_w^k]_{j, k \geq 0}.$$

Here the first summand is in degree 0, the second in degree  $N$ , and the third in degree  $2N$ . We impose the commutation relations

$$(2.2.13) \quad \Omega_z^j \Omega_w^k = (-1)^N \Omega_w^k \Omega_z^j, \quad w^j \Omega_z^k = \Omega_z^k w^j, \quad z^j \Omega_w^k = \Omega_w^k z^j.$$

Here the factor  $(-1)^N$  is really  $(-1)^{N^2}$ , arising from the Koszul rule for graded commutation. Hence when  $V$  is an  $R$ -algebra the  $R$ -module  $\mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(V)$  comes equipped with a partially defined multiplication structure (that is, the multiplication is well-defined when no infinite sums of elements of  $V$  occur in the product). In the case where  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -algebra, we require that elements of  $V$  graded commute with  $\Omega_x^j$  for  $j \geq 0$  and  $x = z, w$ . That is, for  $a \in V^r$  we require that  $a \Omega_z^j = (-1)^{rN} \Omega_z^j a$ . Otherwise, we only speak of multiplication of elements of  $\mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(V)$  when the multiplication makes sense.

We can naturally extend the residue map  $\text{Res}_z$  for two-variable series as follows. We define for any  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^w(V)$

$$\text{Res}_z \left( z^j f(w) \right) = 0$$

$$\text{Res}_z \left( \Omega_z^j f(w) \right) = \delta_{j,0} f(w).$$

Recall that in classical vertex algebra theory, the  $\delta$ -distribution is defined as

$$(2.2.14) \quad \delta(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^k,$$

and there is also the auxiliary series  $\delta(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z^{-1} \delta(z/w)$ , which is sometimes written suggestively as  $\delta(z - w)$  due to its functional identities. We avoid using this latter notation since it conflicts with the notation for  $\delta(z)$ . Here we define an analog of this auxiliary series, inspired by [GW23]:

**Definition 2.2.3.** We define the  $\Delta$ -distributions  $\Delta(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^z(R)$  and  $\Delta(z, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(R)$  as

$$(2.2.15) \quad \Delta(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j + \Omega_z^j,$$

$$(2.2.16) \quad \Delta(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \geq 0} w^j \Omega_z^j + (-1)^N z^j \Omega_w^j.$$

If one wishes they may also write  $\Delta(z - w)$  instead of  $\Delta(z, w)$ , which is what Garner & Williams write for  $N = n = 1$ .  $\Delta(z)$  and  $\Delta(z, w)$  are not as obviously related as  $\delta(z)$  and  $\delta(z, w)$  are since  $\Omega_z^k$  is a zero divisor. Recall that we use the analogy  $\Omega_z^k \sim z^{-1-k}$ ; under this interpretation of  $\Omega_z^k$  we may then write

$$(2.2.17) \quad \Delta(z, w) = \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ k < 0}} (-1)^{N \chi_{k < 0}} w^k z^{-1-k},$$

where  $\chi_{k<0} = 1$  when  $k < 0$  and 0 otherwise. Note that the conditions  $k \geq 0$  and  $k < 0$  together are not the same as  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for  $n > 1$ .

We may also split this series into two parts, defining

$$(2.2.18) \quad \Delta_-(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \geq 0} w^j \Omega_z^j, \quad \Delta_+(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^N \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j \Omega_w^j.$$

Thus we have  $\Delta(z, w) = \Delta_-(z, w) + \Delta_+(z, w)$ . Applying  $\partial_w^{(k)}$ , we obtain

$$(2.2.19) \quad \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{k+j}{k} w^j \Omega_z^{k+j},$$

$$(2.2.20) \quad \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) = (-1)^{|k|+N} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{k+j}{k} z^j \Omega_w^{k+j}.$$

The series  $\Delta(z, w)$  also naturally satisfies many similar properties to that of  $\delta(z, w)$ :

**Lemma 2.2.4.** *The  $\Delta$ -distribution has the following properties:*

(1) For all  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{dist}}(V)$  we have

$$\Delta(z, w)f(z) = \Delta(z, w)f(w).$$

(2) For any  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{dist}}(V)$  we have

$$\text{Res}_z (\Delta(z, w)f(z)) = f(w).$$

When  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{poly}}(V)$  then

$$\text{Res}_z (\Delta(z)f(z)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j + f_{-1-j}.$$

(3)  $\Delta_{\pm}(z, w) = (-1)^N \Delta_{\mp}(w, z)$ .

(4)  $(\partial_z^{(e_i)} + \partial_w^{(e_i)})\Delta_{\pm}(z, w) = 0$  for all  $i$ .

(5)  $(z-w)^j \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_{\pm}(z, w) = \partial_w^{(k-j)} \Delta_{\pm}(z, w)$  for all  $j, k \geq 0$ . In particular, we have  $(z-w)^j \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_{\pm}(z, w) = 0$  if for some  $i$  we have  $j_i > k_i$ .

(6) For all  $A \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{dist}}(V)$  and  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$(2.2.21) \quad \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w)A(z) = \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} (z-w)^j \partial_w^{(j)} A(w).$$

(7) For all  $p, k \geq 0$  we have

$$\partial_y^{(\ell)} \Delta(x, y) \partial_z^{(p)} \Delta(y, z) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \partial_z^{(\ell+k)} \Delta(x, z) \partial_z^{(p-k)} \Delta(y, z)$$

*Proof.* Expand both sides of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(z, w)f(z) &= \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \left( w^k \Omega_z^k + (-1)^N z^k \Omega_w^k \right) f_{-1-j} z^j + \left( w^k \Omega_z^k + (-1)^N z^k \Omega_w^k \right) f_j \Omega_z^j \\ &= \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \left( w^k \Omega_z^{k-j} + (-1)^N z^{j+k} \Omega_w^k \right) f_{-1-j} + (-1)^N \Omega_z^{j-k} \Omega_w^k f_j \\ \Delta(z, w)f(w) &= \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \left( w^k \Omega_z^k + (-1)^N z^k \Omega_w^k \right) f_{-1-j} w^j + \left( w^k \Omega_z^k + (-1)^N z^k \Omega_w^k \right) f_j \Omega_w^j \\ &= \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \left( w^{j+k} \Omega_z^k + (-1)^N z^k \Omega_w^{k-j} \right) f_{-1-j} + \Omega_w^{j-k} \Omega_z^k f_j. \end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relations and reindexing the sums shows us (1). (2) follows from (1). (3), (4), and (5) follow from similar expansions and observations. (6) follows from using the product rule on  $\partial_w^{(j)}[\Delta(z, w)A(w)]$  and (5). (7) is a corollary of (6).  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.5.** Recall the analogy  $\delta(z, w) = (z - w)^{-1} - (-w + z)^{-1}$ , where we write  $(z - w)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-1-k}w^k$  and  $(-w + z)^{-1} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k w^{-1-k}$ . We may think of  $\Delta_-(z, w)$  and  $-\Delta_+(z, w)$  respectively as providing a similar kind of decomposition of  $\Delta(z, w)$ . We would like to write  $-\Delta_+(z, w) = \Delta(-w, -z)$  to make the analogy complete, but we cannot do this yet as we have not shown how to make sense of the expression  $\Omega_{-z}^k$  yet. We will discuss these concerns further in Section 2.4.

A remark must also be made on the properties given above, specifically on property (5). We have  $(x - y)(x - y)^{-1} = 1$  and  $(x - y)(-y + x)^{-1} = 1$ , but property (5) tells us that  $(z_i - w_i)\Delta_{\pm}(z, w) = 0$  for all  $i$ . This property means that certain structural aspects of cohomological vertex algebras will be noticeably different from classical vertex algebras.

The role of the  $\delta$ -distribution is most prominent in the concept of locality for vertex operators, which means that the commutator of series can be expressed as a sum of terms of the form  $\partial_w^{(j)}\delta(z - w)g^j(w)$ , where  $g^j(w)$  are some series (they are fields when discussing locality of fields). The  $\delta$ -distribution also admits a generalization  $\delta(x - y, z) = z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x-y}{z}\right)$ , of which we will describe the analog in Section 2.4.

To provide the first definition of locality, we give a generalization of [GW23, Lemma 1.3.2]:

**Lemma 2.2.6.** *Let  $f(z, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(V)$  be a bivariate formal cohomological distribution, and let  $K \geq 0$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f(z, w)$  can be written as a sum

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) g^j(w),$$

where  $g^j(w) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^w$ .

- (2)  $f(z, w)$  satisfies the following equations for all  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $m \geq 0$ :

$$(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1} f(z, w) = 0,$$

$$\left( \Omega_z^m - \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} (-1)^{|j|} \binom{m+j}{j} (z-w)^j \Omega_w^{m+j} \right) f(z, w) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Supposing (1) holds, then the first equation in (2) holds by identity (5) from Lemma 2.2.4. Letting  $A(z) = \Omega_z^m$  and  $k = e_i K_i$  in identity (6) gives us the second identity, thus (1) implies (2).

Now assume (2) holds. Since we can split  $f$  into sums by degree, without loss of generality we assume that  $f$  is homogeneous in degree, hence in degree 0,  $N$ , or  $2N$ .

If  $f$  is degree 0 then it is a power series, and the first identity implies  $f = 0$ . If  $f$  is degree  $N$  the series admits an expansion of the form

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{\substack{j < 0 \\ k \geq 0}} z^{-j-1} \Omega_w^k f_{j,k} + \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ k < 0}} \Omega_z^j w^{-k-1} f_{j,k}.$$

Now denote

$$(2.2.22) \quad (\delta_i f)_{j,k} = f_{j+e_i, k} - f_{j, k+e_i}.$$

Then the first set of equations implies that for all  $i$

$$(\delta_i^{K_i+1} f)_{j,k} = 0.$$

Let  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^2$  be the set of tuples  $(j, k)$  such that  $j < 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ , and let  $\beta$  be the set of tuples such that  $j \geq 0$  and  $k < 0$ . Then the above relation must hold for the set of tuples  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Furthermore, we have the expansion

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f^{(p)}(z, w),$$

where  $f_{j,k}^{(p)} = 0$  unless  $j + k = p$  and  $(j, k)$  belongs to either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ . If  $(j, k) \in \alpha$ , then by the theory of recursive equations we have  $\sum_i (K_i + 1)$  independent solutions of the form

$$w^{p+m+1} \Delta_+(z, w),$$

where  $0 \leq m \leq K$ . Similarly if  $(j, k) \in \beta$  we have the solutions

$$w^{p+m+1} \Delta_-(z, w).$$

These solutions imply that  $f$  is of the form

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_+(z, w) g_+^{(j)}(w) + \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_-(z, w) g_-^{(j)}(w),$$

where  $g_{\pm}^{(j)}(w) = \sum_{m \geq 0} g_{\pm, m}^{(j)} w^m$  are power series in  $w$ . Note the following identities for all  $m \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_z^m \Delta_+(z, w) &= \Omega_w^m \Delta_-(z, w) = \Omega_{z,w}^m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq m} \Omega_w^\ell \Omega_z^{m-\ell} \\ \Omega_z^m \Delta_-(z, w) &= \Omega_w^m \Delta_+(z, w) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we have that

$$\Omega_z^m f(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq K} \partial_w^{(i)} \Omega_{z,w}^m g_+^{(i)}(w),$$

and by (7) from Lemma 2.2.4 we have

$$\left( \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} (-1)^{|j|} \binom{m+j}{j} (z-w)^j \Omega_w^{m+j} \right) f(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq K} \partial_w^{(i)} \Omega_{z,w}^m g_-^{(i)}(w).$$

Thus the second identity from the above tells us that

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq K} \partial_w^{(i)} \Omega_{z,w}^m [g_+^{(i)}(w) - g_-^{(i)}(w)] = 0.$$

Applying the above identities, then by induction we can see that  $g_{+,m}^{(i)} = g_{-,m}^{(i)}$  for all  $i, m \geq 0$ . This completes the proof for degree  $N$ .

If  $f(z, w)$  is of degree  $2N$ , then we can write  $f$  as

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \Omega_z^j \Omega_w^k f_{j,k}.$$

The second identity is vacuously true. Similar to before, the recursive relations imply that  $f(z, w) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f^{(p)}(z, w)$ , where  $f_{j,k}^{(p)} = 0$  unless  $k - j = p$ . This admits  $\sum_i (K_i + 1)$  many independent solutions. This implies that  $f(z, w)$  can be written in the form

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq K} \partial_w^{(i)} \Delta(z, w) h^{(i)}(w),$$

where  $h^{(i)}(w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_j^{(i)} \Omega_w^j$ . This finishes the proof for degree  $2N$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.7.** Given  $f(z, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(V)$ , we say it is *local* with respect to  $(z, w)$  if it satisfies either of the equivalent conditions in the above proposition. We refer to the right-hand side of (1) as the *local expansion* of  $f(z, w)$ .

Condition (1) is symmetric in the variables  $(z, w)$ . That is, if condition (2) holds then we also have an expansion

$$\begin{aligned} f(z, w) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_z^{(k)} \Delta(w, z) h^k(z) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|+N} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) h^k(z) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} (-1)^{|k|+N} \partial_w^{(k-j)} \Delta(z, w) \partial_w^{(j)} h^k(w) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} (-1)^{|k|+N} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K-k} \partial_w^{(j)} h^{k+j}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the second equation in condition (2) can be replaced by the requirement that for all  $0 \leq k \leq K$  we have

(2.2.23)

$$\text{Res}_z \left( (z-w)^k f(z, w) \right) = (-1)^N \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K-k} (-1)^{|j|} \binom{k+j}{j} \partial_z^{(j)} \text{Res}_w \left( (z-w)^{k+j} f(z, w) \right).$$

We finish the discussion of formal calculus by noting that one may present the identity in (1) of Lemma 2.2.6 using a generalization of the formal Fourier transform as defined in [Kac98, p.22-23]. Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a tuple of commuting formal variables. Then we define the *exponential series*

$$e^{z \cdot X} = \prod_i e^{z_i X_i} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{X^k}{k!}.$$

**Definition 2.2.8.** Let  $U$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -algebra, where  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Given a bivariate formal distribution  $a(z, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(U)$  we define the *formal Fourier transform* in the variables  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  as

$$(2.2.24) \quad F_{z,w}^\lambda(a(z, w)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Res}_z \left( e^{\lambda(z-w)} a(z, w) \right).$$

It is straightforward to see that for all  $k \geq 0$

$$(2.2.25) \quad e^{\lambda(z-w)} \partial_w^j \Delta(z, w) = (\lambda + \partial_w)^j \Delta(z, w),$$

where we write  $\lambda + \partial_w = (\lambda_1 + \partial_{w_1}, \dots, \lambda_n + \partial_{w_n})$ , applying the usual multi-index rules. Thus we have

$$(2.2.26) \quad F_{z,w}^\lambda \left( \partial_w^k \Delta(z, w) \right) = \lambda^k.$$

If  $f(z, w)$  satisfies (1) from the previous lemma then

$$F_{z,w}^\lambda (f(z, w)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} g^k(w).$$

This allows us to read off the coefficients of the expansion of  $f(z, w)$  in (1) more easily. The formal Fourier transform behaves similarly to the classical definition:

**Lemma 2.2.9.** *The following identities hold:*

- (1)  $F_{z,w}^\lambda \partial_{z_i} = -\lambda_i F_{z,w}^\lambda = [\partial_{w_i}, F_{z,w}^\lambda]$ .
- (2) *If  $f(z, w)$  is local, then  $F_{z,w}^\lambda = F_{z,w}^{-\lambda - \partial_w} a(z, w)$ .*
- (3) *Let  $a(z, w, x) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w,x}$  be a trivariate formal distribution. Then*

$$F_{z,w}^\lambda F_{x,w}^\mu (a(z, w, x)) = F_{x,w}^{\lambda+\mu} F_{z,x}^\lambda (a(z, w, x)).$$

**2.3. Fields and Locality.** Let  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$  be an  $R$ -module with a (cohomological)  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading. Here we examine the primary object of interest for our study of cohomological vertex algebras, namely fields. Recall that the  $R$ -module  $V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  is the set of series of the form

$$a(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k a_{(-1-k)} + \Omega_z^k a_{(k)}$$

such that  $a_{(k)}, a_{(-1-k)} \in V$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $A_{(k)} = 0$  unless  $k \leq m$ . We also consider series of the form  $V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle$ , defined inductively.

**Definition 2.3.1.** A *cohomological field* on  $V$  (or just field) is an element

$$A(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j A_{(-1-j)} + \Omega_z^j A_{(j)} \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^z(\text{End}(V))$$

such that for all  $v \in V$  there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $a_{(j)}v = 0$  unless  $j \leq k$ . Equivalently we require that  $A(z)v \in V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  for all  $v \in V$ . We denote the set of cohomological fields on  $V$  as  $\mathcal{E}_c(V)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{E}_c(V)$  is an  $R$ -module using the usual addition and scalar multiplication. We also have a partial notion of grading:

**Definition 2.3.2.** Given a field  $A(z)$  on  $V$ , we say  $A$  is *homogeneous of cohomological degree*  $p(A)$  when for  $j \geq 0$  the endomorphism  $A_{(-1-j)}$  is of cohomological degree  $p(A)$  and  $A_{(j)}$  is of cohomological degree  $p(A) - N$ .

This definition does not quite extend to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on all of  $\mathcal{E}_c(V)$ , for example we could have a power series  $A(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k a_{(-1-k)}$  such that  $a_{(-1-k)}$  is a degree  $|k|$  map.

We can also see that fields are closed under derivatives:

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *If  $A(z)$  is a field then so is  $\partial_z^{(k)} A(z)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .*

Arguably one of the most important operations with formal series is the normal ordered product, which we define here:

**Definition 2.3.4.** Let  $A(z), B(w)$  be fields on  $V$ . We define their *normal ordered product* for  $A, B$  homogeneous to be

$$(2.3.1) \quad :A(z)B(w): \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A(z)_+ B(w) + p(A, B)B(w)A(z)_-$$

For general fields  $A, B$  the normal ordered product is given by splitting into homogeneous fields. In components we have

$$(2.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & :A(z)B(w): \\ &= \sum_{j,k \geq 0} w^k \left( z^j A_{(-1-j)} B_{(-1-k)} + (-1)^{(p(A)+N)p(B)} \Omega_z^j B_{(-1-k)} A_{(j)} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \Omega_w^k \left( (-1)^{p(A)N} z^j A_{(-1-j)} B_{(k)} + (-1)^{(p(A)+N)(p(B)+N)} \Omega_z^j B_{(k)} A_{(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$



This provides us with a bilinear product on  $\mathcal{E}_c(V)$ , even though this product is not necessarily commutative nor associative. As with the regular normal ordered product, a nice formula of the above when  $z = w$  in terms of residues is desirable. More generally the normal ordered product  $:A(z)B(z):$  fits into a family of bilinear products on fields that we call the  $k$ -products, analogous to the theory of classical fields:

**Definition 2.3.5.** Let  $A, B$  be fields. We define their  $k$ -products as follows. For  $k \geq 0$  we define

$$(2.3.3) \quad A(w)_{(k)}B(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Res}_z \left( (z-w)^k [A(z), B(w)] \right) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{k}{j} (-w)^j [A_{(j)}, B(w)],$$

$$(2.3.4) \quad A(w)_{(-1-k)}B(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} : \partial_w^{(k)} A(w)B(w) : .$$

That is,

$$(2.3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & :A(z)B(z) : \\ & = A(z)_+B(z) + p(A, B)B(z)_+A(z)_- \\ & = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \ell} z^\ell A_{(-1-\ell+k)}B_{(-1-k)} \\ & \quad + \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \Omega_z^k \left( (-1)^{(p(A)+N)p(B)} B_{(-1-j)}A_{(j+k)} + (-1)^{p(A)N} A_{(-1-j)}B_{(j+k)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

These products are indeed well-defined when  $A$  and  $B$  are fields:

**Lemma 2.3.6.** Let  $A(z)$  and  $B(w)$  be homogeneous fields on  $V$ . Then the following hold:

- (1) The series  $A(w)_{(k)}B(w)$  is a homogeneous field of degree  $p(A) + p(B) - N$  on  $V$ .
- (2) The series  $:AB:(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} :A(z)B(z):$  is a homogeneous field of degree  $p(A) + p(B)$  on  $V$ , and

$$(2.3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} : \partial_w^{(k)} A(w)B(w) : & = \text{Res}_z \left( \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) A(z)B(w) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + p(A, B) \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) B(w)A(z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By applying some  $v \in V$  we can see that  $[A_{(m)}, B(w)_-]v$  is a finite sum, so this shows  $C^j(w)_-v$  is a finite sum. Hence  $C^j(w)$  is a field for all  $j \geq 0$ .

For all  $v \in V$  we have  $B(z) \in V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$ , and since  $A(z)_+$  is a power series we have  $A(z)_+B(z)v \in V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$ .  $A(z)_-v$  is a finite sum, hence  $B(z)A(z)_-v \in V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$ . This shows  $A(z)_{(-1)}B(z) = :AB:(z)$  is a field. As for the residue identity we use the identities

$$\text{Res}_z (\Delta_-(z, w)A(z)) = A(w)_+, \quad \text{Res}_z (\Delta_+(z, w)A(z)) = A(w)_-.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(w)_+B(w) & = \text{Res}_z (\Delta_-(z, w)A(z)) B(w) \\ & = \text{Res}_z (\Delta_-(z, w)A(z)B(w)), \\ B(w)_+A(w)_- & = B(w)_+ \text{Res}_z (\Delta_+(z, w)A(z)) \\ & = (-1)^{p(B)N} \text{Res}_z (B(w)\Delta_+(z, w)A(z)) \\ & = \text{Res}_z (\Delta_+(z, w)B(w)A(z)), \end{aligned}$$

which shows the residue formula for  $k = 0$ . The general formula for  $k \geq 0$  follows from taking derivatives and using the property of the residue that

$$\text{Res}_z \left( \partial_z^{(k)} A(z)B(z) \right) = (-1)^{|k|} \text{Res}_z \left( A(z) \partial_z^{(k)} B(z) \right).$$

□

As expected, the normal ordered product obeys the Leibniz rule:

**Lemma 2.3.7.** *Let  $A(z), B(w)$  be fields on  $V$ , then for all  $k \geq 0$  we have*

$$(2.3.7) \quad \partial_z^{(k)} : A(z)B(z) : = \sum_{j \geq 0} : \partial_z^{(k-j)} A(z) \partial_z^{(j)} B(z) : .$$

The normal ordered product for homogeneous fields is also related to the regular product as follows:

$$(2.3.8) \quad A(z)B(w) = [A(z)_-, B(w)]_+ : A(z)B(w) :,$$

$$(2.3.9) \quad p(A, B)B(w)A(z) = -[A(z)_+, B(w)]_+ : A(z)B(w) : .$$

These expressions only make sense when  $z \neq w$ .

Now we describe our notion of locality, which has similar properties to locality of fields in the classical setting. As with [GW23] we define locality similarly to how it is defined in Lemma 2.2.6:

**Proposition 2.3.8.** *Let  $K \geq 0$ . For  $A(z)$  and  $B(w)$  homogeneous fields we write  $C^j(w) = A(w)_{(j)}B(w)$  for  $j \geq 0$ . The following are equivalent:*

(1)  $[A(z), B(w)]$  can be written as a sum

$$[A(z), B(w)] = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) C^j(w).$$

(2)  $[A(z), B(w)]$  satisfies the following equations for all  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $m \geq 0$ :

$$(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1} [A(z), B(w)] = 0,$$

$$\left( \Omega_z^m - \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} (-1)^{|j|} \binom{m+j}{j} (z-w)^j \Omega_z^{m+j} \right) [A(z), B(w)] = 0.$$

(3)  $A(z)B(w)$  and  $p(A, B)B(w)A(z)$  can be written as the operator product expansions

$$A(z)B(w) = : A(z)B(w) : + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_-(z, w) C^j(w)$$

$$p(A, B)B(w)A(z) = : A(z)B(w) : - \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_+(z, w) C^j(w)$$

(4) There exist fields  $C^j(w)$  such that for all  $j \geq 0$  we have

$$[A_{(r)}, B(w)] = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \binom{r}{j} w^{r-j} C^j(w),$$

$$[A_{(-1-r)}, B(w)] = (-1)^N \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \binom{-1-r}{j} \Omega_w^{r+j} C^j(w).$$

(5) There exist fields  $C^j(w)$  such that for all  $r, s \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A_{(-1-r)}, B_{(-1-s)}] &= 0, \\ [A_{(r)}, B_{(s)}] &= (-1)^{N(p(A)+N)} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \binom{r}{j} C^j_{(r+s-j)} \\ [A_{(r)}, B_{(-1-s)}] &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \binom{r}{j} C^j_{(-1+r-s-j)} \\ [A_{(-1-r)}, B_{(s)}] &= (-1)^{Np(A)+N} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \binom{-1-r}{j} C^j_{(-1-r+s-j)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the argument of Lemma 2.2.6 we have that (1) implies (2), and (2) implies that there are  $D^j \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^w$  for all  $j \geq 0$  such that

$$[A(z), B(w)] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) D^j(w).$$

Now multiply by  $(z-w)^k$  and take  $\text{Res}_z$  to get that  $D^j(w) = C^j(w)$ , hence is a field.

(3) is equivalent to (1) by noting the identities

$$\begin{aligned} A(z)B(w) &= :A(z)B(w): + [A(z)_-, B(w)] \\ (-1)^{|A||B|} B(w)A(z) &= :A(z)B(w): - [A(z)_+, B(w)]. \end{aligned}$$

(4) and (5) follow by expanding (1) as a series in  $z$  and  $w$ . For (5) we expand the identity in (1). We have four separate terms to consider.

$$\begin{aligned} [A(z)_+, B(w)_+] &= 0, \\ [A(z)_-, B(w)_-] &= \sum_{j, m \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \binom{j}{k} \Omega_z^j \Omega_w^m C^k_{(m+j-k)} \\ [A(z)_-, B(w)_+] &= \sum_{j, m \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \binom{j}{k} \Omega_z^j \Omega_w^m C^k_{(-1-m+j-k)} \\ [A(z)_+, B(w)_-] &= \sum_{j, m \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \binom{-1-j}{k} (-1)^N z^j \Omega_w^m C^k_{(-1-j+m-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we set  $C^k_{(-1-m)} = 0$  and  $C^k_{(m)} = 0$  unless  $m \geq 0$  again. With this, we obtain (5) by expanding into components on the left-hand side.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.9.** Given two homogeneous fields  $A(z)$  and  $B(w)$ , we say they are *mutually local* when any of the equivalent conditions in Proposition 2.3.8 holds. If  $A(z), B(w)$  are general fields we say they are mutually local when after splitting into homogeneous fields each homogeneous pair is mutually local.

Using  $\Delta$  identities and that derivatives of fields are fields we can see that locality is a symmetric relation. That is, if  $A(z)$  and  $B(w)$  are mutually local then  $B(w)$  and  $A(z)$  are mutually local. However,  $A(z)$  is not necessarily mutually local to  $A(w)$ . Naturally, locality is preserved by taking derivatives:

**Lemma 2.3.10.** *Let  $A(z)$  and  $B(w)$  be mutually local fields on  $V$ . Then for all  $k, \ell \geq 0$  the fields  $\partial_z^{(k)} A(z)$  and  $\partial_w^{(\ell)} B(w)$  are mutually local fields on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* By locality, we have the following expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_z^{(k)} A(z), B(w)] &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_z^{(k)} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) C^m(w) \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|} \partial_w^{(k)} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) C^m(w) \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|} \binom{m+k}{k} \partial_w^{(m+k)} \Delta(z, w) C^m(w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\partial_z^{(k)} A(z), B(w)$  are mutually local. Similarly  $A(z), \partial_w^{(\ell)} B(w)$  are mutually local because locality is symmetric. Applying these results gives us the lemma.  $\square$

Now we discuss a few more properties of the normal ordered product as it relates to locality. We recall from the above proposition that for mutually local  $A, B$  we have

$$[A(z), B(w)] = \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) A(w)_{(k)} B(w).$$

There is a similar formula for the normal ordered products  $A(w)_{(-1-k)} B(w)$ :

**Lemma 2.3.11.** *Let  $A(z)$  and  $B(w)$  be mutually local homogeneous fields. Then for all  $k \geq 0$  we have*

$$(2.3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) A(z) B(w) + p(A, B) \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) B(w) A(z) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) A(w)_{(-1-k+j)} B(w). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the operator product expansion (3) in 2.3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) A(z) B(w) + p(A, B) \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) B(w) A(z) \\ &= \left( \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) + \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) \right) : A(z) B(w) : \\ &= \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) : A(z) B(w) : . \end{aligned}$$

By property (6) from Lemma 2.2.4, we can expand the normal ordered product:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) : A(z) B(w) : \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) (z-w)^j : \partial_w^{(j)} A(w) B(w) : \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_w^{(k-j)} \Delta(z, w) : \partial_w^{(j)} A(w) B(w) : \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) : \partial_w^{(k-j)} A(w) B(w) : . \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The following proposition, originally from [GW23], shows a significant departure from the usual theory of vertex algebras, which is that the normal ordered product of mutually local fields is graded commutative and associative:

**Proposition 2.3.12.** *Let  $A(z), B(x), C(w)$  be mutually local fields. Then we have*

$$(2.3.11) \quad : A(z) B(z) : = p(A, B) : B(z) A(z) :,$$

$$(2.3.12) \quad : A(z) : B(z) C(z) : : = : : A(z) B(z) : C(z) : .$$

*Proof.* Note that  $A(z)_-B(z)_- = 0$ .  $[A(z), B(x)]$  has no power series terms by decomposition, so taking the specialization  $z = x$  shows that  $[A(z)_+, B(z)_+] = 0$ . Both identities follow from these facts by expanding both sides.  $\square$

From graded commutativity we have a natural corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.13.** *Let  $R$  be a ring in which 2 is invertible. Let  $A(z)$  be a homogeneous field that is mutually local to  $A(w)$  and  $p(A)$  is odd. Then  $:AA:(z) = 0$ .*

As with classical vertex algebras, the normal ordered product of fields behaves well with locality. Here is our analog of what is usually called Dong's lemma<sup>1</sup> in the literature. In contrast to the classical lemma, this version of the lemma is slightly weaker, not that it will hinder our discussions at all:

**Lemma 2.3.14** (Dong-Li lemma). *Let  $A(z)$ ,  $B(x)$ , and  $C(w)$  be fields on  $V$  with some  $r \geq 0$  such that for all  $i$  we have*

$$(z_i - x_i)^{r_i}[A(z), B(x)] = (z_i - w_i)^{r_i}[A(z), C(w)] = (x_i - w_i)^{r_i}[B(x), C(w)] = 0.$$

Then the following hold:

- (1) For all  $i$  and  $k \geq 0$  we have  $(z_i - w_i)^{4r_i}[A(z), B(w)_{(k)}C(w)] = 0$ .
- (2) Further suppose that  $A, B$  and  $A, C$  are mutually local, with

$$\begin{aligned} [A(z), B(x)] &= \sum_{0 \leq m \leq r-1} \partial_x^{(m)} \Delta(z, x) D^m(x), \\ [A(z), C(w)] &= \sum_{0 \leq m \leq r-1} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) E^m(w). \end{aligned}$$

Then the pair  $A(z)$  and  $:BC:(w)$  is mutually local, with commutator

$$(2.3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &[A(z), :BC:(w)] \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) \left( :D^m C:(w) + (-1)^{p(B)(p(A)+N)} :BE^m:(w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For statement (1) we start by carrying out an argument similar to [Li96, Proposition 3.2.7] in the classical setting. We expand out to have

$$\begin{aligned} &(z_i - w_i)^{4r_i}[A(z), [B(x), C(w)]] \\ &= \sum_{s_i=0}^{3r_i} \binom{3r_i}{s_i} (z_i - x_i)^{s_i} (x_i - w_i)^{3r_i - s_i} (z_i - w_i)^{r_i}[A(z), [B(x), C(w)]]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $s_i \leq r_i$  then  $3r_i - s_i \geq 2r_i$ , so we invoke locality of  $B, C$  to get 0. But for  $s_i \geq r_i$  we can invoke locality of the pairs  $A, B$  and  $A, C$  to get 0 again. Multiplying by  $(x - w)^k$  and taking  $\text{Res}_x$  gives us statement (1). For statement (2) we expand to get

$$\begin{aligned} &[A(z), :BC:(w)] \\ &= [A(z), B(w)_+C(w)] + p(B, C)[A(z), C(w)_+B(w)_-] \\ &= [A(z), B(w)_+]C(w) + p(A, B)B(w)_+[A(z), C(w)] \\ &\quad + p(B, C)[A(z), C(w)_+]B(w)_- + p(B, C)p(A, C)C(w)_+[A(z), B(w)_-]. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>The classical lemma was originally proven by Haisheng Li, and Dong provided Li with advice on correcting his proof.

We expand two of the terms on the right hand side together:

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(A, B)B(w)_+[A(z), C(w)] + p(B, C)[A(z), C(w)_+]B(w)_- \\
&= p(A, B) \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) \left( (-1)^{p(B)N} B(w)_+ E^m(w) + p(A, B)p(B, C)E^m(w)B(w)_- \right) \\
&= (-1)^{p(B)(p(A)+N)} \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) :BE^m:(w).
\end{aligned}$$

As for the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [A(z), B(w)_+]C(w) + p(B, C)p(A, C)C(w)_+[A(z), B(w)_-] \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w) D^m(w)_+ C(w) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(p(A)+p(B)+N)p(C)} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w) C(w)_+ D^m(w)_- \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(p(A)+p(B)+N)p(C)} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_+(z, w) C(w)_+ D^m(w)_+ \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) :D^m C:(w) - \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_+(z, w) [D^m(w)_+, C(w)_+].
\end{aligned}$$

By the first statement of the lemma, we have that  $[C(z)_+, D^m(w)_+] = 0$ , and since these are power series we can set  $z = w$ . Thus the second term is 0, proving statement (2).  $\square$

In practice, one may assume that  $A, B, C$  are all mutually local, but neither of the statements above require the full use of the locality of  $B, C$  to hold. However, we suspect that even with these assumptions the fields  $A(z)$  and  $F^m(w)$  are not necessarily mutually local.

**Remark 2.3.15.** Statement (1) in the above lemma does not prove that  $A(z)$  and  $F^m(w)$  are mutually local for all  $m \geq 0$ . We suspect in general it is not true, though we do not have any counterexamples to give. For our applications with cohomological vertex algebras, this subtlety will not matter because the fields  $Y(a, z)$  and  $Y(b, w)_{(k)} Y(c, w)$  will always be mutually local due to the iterate formula (Proposition 3.2.10).

**2.4. Taylor expansions and correlation functions.** The configuration space of two formal variables  $V[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}, (z-w)^{-1}]$  with coefficients in  $V$  plays a key role in the theory of vertex algebras. One may think of this as the global sections of a scheme representing the configuration space of two points in  $D_1^\circ$  (with  $V$  chosen appropriately). In [FB04] mutual locality of homogeneous fields (over  $\mathbb{C}$  or more generally any field  $R$ ) is actually defined by interpreting the series

$$\langle \varphi, A(z)B(w)v \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad p(A, B) \langle \varphi, B(w)A(z)v \rangle$$

as different series expansions of the same function in this configuration space for all  $\varphi \in V^*$  and  $v \in V$ . Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the canonical dual pairing, that is for a linear functional  $\varphi \in V^*$  we write  $\langle \varphi, v \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi(v)$ . More generally we can use configuration spaces of the form above for a collection of variables  $z_1, \dots, z_d$  and interpret the axioms of a classical vertex algebra in terms of its correlation functions.

Here we consider a kind of configuration space that generalizes the classical setting, which is similar to the definition given in [GW23, Section 1.4]. Explicitly, we define  $\mathcal{K}^{z, w, z-w}(V)$  to be the the graded  $R$ -module  $V[[z, w]][\Omega_z^j, \Omega_w^k, \Omega_{z-w}^m]_{j, k, m \geq 0}$  where the degree  $N$  forms  $\Omega_z^j, \Omega_w^k, \Omega_{z-w}^m$  are subject to the following relations:

$$(1) \quad x^j \Omega_x^k = \Omega_x^{k-j} \text{ when } k \geq j \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise for } x = z, w, z-w.$$

- (2)  $\Omega_x^j \Omega_x^k = 0$  for all  $j, k \geq 0$  and  $x = z, w, z - w$ .  
(3)  $(\Omega_w^0 - \Omega_z^0) \Omega_{z-w}^0 = \Omega_w^0 \Omega_z^0$ .  
(4)  $\partial_z^{(k)} \Omega_{z-w}^j = (-1)^{|k|} \partial_w^{(k)} \Omega_{z-w}^j = (-1)^{|k|} \binom{j+k}{k} \Omega_{z-w}^{j+k}$ .

We also include all the relations produced by applying  $\partial_w^{(k)}$  or  $\partial_z^{(k)}$  to (3).

Before discussing locality for cohomological fields, we recall that there are two canonical embeddings of  $(z - w)^{-1}$  into  $V((z))((w))$  and  $V((w))((z))$  respectively, given by

$$(2.4.1) \quad i_{z,w}(z - w)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-1-k} w^k, \quad i_{w,z}(z - w)^{-1} = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^{-1-k} z^k.$$

Often times the first expansion is denoted as  $(z - w)^{-1}$ , and the second is denoted by  $(-w + z)^{-1}$ . Similarly we will also define two embeddings  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(V) \rightarrow V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle$  and  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(V) \rightarrow V\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  by choosing a map for  $\Omega_{z-w}^k$  such that its expansion still satisfies condition (3) above. First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_z^0 \Delta_-(z, w) &= 0, & \Omega_w^0 \Delta_-(z, w) &= \Omega_w^0 \Omega_z^0, \\ \Omega_z^0 \Delta_+(z, w) &= \Omega_w^0 \Omega_z^0, & \Omega_w^0 \Delta_+(z, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these identities we can declare our embeddings:

**Lemma 2.4.1.** *The assignment*

$$(2.4.2) \quad i_{z,w} : \Omega_{z-w}^m \mapsto \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m+k}{k} w^k \Omega_z^{m+k} = \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w)$$

defines a graded  $R$ -algebra embedding  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w} \rightarrow R\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle$ . Similarly the assignment

$$(2.4.3) \quad i_{w,z} : \Omega_{z-w}^m \mapsto - \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k (-1)^{|m|+N} \binom{m+k}{k} \Omega_w^{m+k} = -\partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_+(z, w)$$

defines a graded  $R$ -algebra embedding  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w} \rightarrow R\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$ .

One may also construct a graded  $R$ -algebra embedding  $i_{w,z-w}$  from  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}$  into  $R\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z - w \rangle\rangle$  by writing  $z = w + (z - w)$  and expanding in  $z - w$ .

The analytic interpretation of our definitions of  $i_{z,w}$  and  $i_{w,z}$  is that we think of  $\Omega_{z-w}^m$  as being an function of  $z - w$ , which we can Taylor expand in the annulus defined by  $|w_i| < |z_i|$  (or  $|w_i| > |z_i|$ ) for all  $i$ . That is,

$$i_{z,w} \Omega_{z-w}^m = e^{-w \cdot \partial_z} \Omega_z^m = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-w)^k \partial_z^{(k)} \Omega_z^m = \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w).$$

Naturally we want  $\Omega_{z-w}^m$  and  $\Omega_{z-w}^m$  to be the same element, meaning they also expand to the same element under  $i_{w,z}$ :

$$i_{w,z} \Omega_{-w+z}^m = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \partial_{-w}^{(k)} \Omega_{-w}^m = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k (-1)^{|k|} \binom{m+k}{k} \Omega_{-w}^{m+k}.$$

Comparing this expansion to our definition of  $i_{w,z} \Omega_{z-w}^m$  we may obtain a natural interpretation of  $\Omega_{-w}^m$ :

**Definition 2.4.2.** For all  $m \geq 0$  we define

$$(2.4.4) \quad \Omega_{-z}^m = (-1)^{|m|+N+1} \Omega_z^m.$$

In the above maps we may also similarly take expansions of  $\Omega_{-z-w}^m$  or  $\Omega_{z+w}^m$  using either  $i_{z,w}$  or  $i_{w,z}$  using this definition. Under this convention, we may then discuss the  $\Delta$ -distribution for different arguments. For example, we have

$$(2.4.5) \quad \Delta_-(-w, -z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-w)^k \Omega_{-z}^k = (-1)^{N+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} w^k \Omega_z^k = -\Delta_+(z, w).$$

Thus we have  $\Delta(x, y) = \Delta_-(x, y) - \Delta_-(-y, -x)$ , which also shows that  $\Delta(-y, -x) = -\Delta(x, y)$  similar to the classical case.

**Notation 2.4.3.** Given a field  $A(z)$ , we may speak of various substitutions of  $z$ . We write

$$(2.4.6) \quad A(-z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|} z^k A_{(-1-k)} + (-1)^{|k|+N+1} \Omega_z^k A_{(k)}.$$

Similarly, we write  $A(z-w)$  in terms of its Taylor expansion:

$$(2.4.7) \quad A(z-w) = e^{-w \cdot \partial_z} A(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (z-w)^k A_{(-1-k)} + \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) A_{(k)},$$

where  $(z-w)^k$  is expanded as a binomial series as usual.

Now we describe a generalization of the  $\delta$ -distribution  $z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x-y}{z}\right)$ , which we denote as  $\Delta(x-y, z)$ :

$$(2.4.8) \quad \Delta(x-y, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-y \cdot \partial_x} \Delta(x, z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta_-(x, y) + (-1)^N (x-y)^k \Omega_z^k.$$

Using the identity  $\Delta_-(-y, -x) = -\Delta_+(x, y)$  we also write

$$(2.4.9) \quad \Delta(-y+x, z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} -z^k \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta_+(x, y) + (-1)^N (x-y)^k \Omega_z^k.$$

There are several basic properties that these series satisfy:

**Lemma 2.4.4.** *We have the following identities:*

$$(2.4.10) \quad \Delta(x-z, y) = (-1)^N \Delta(y+z, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta(x, y),$$

$$(2.4.11) \quad \Delta(x-y, z) - \Delta(-y+x, z) = \Delta(x-z, y).$$

Here we provide one last equivalent definition of mutual locality in terms of this setting, carried out similar to the proof in [GW23]:

**Proposition 2.4.5.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}_c(V)$  be homogeneous. Then  $A(z), B(w)$  are mutually local if and only if for all  $v \in V$  the series*

$$A(z)B(w)v \in V \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad p(A, B)B(w)A(z)v \in V \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$$

*are expansions of the same element  $f_v \in \mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(V)$ , with the order of the pole of  $z-w$  uniformly bounded for all  $v$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A, B$  are mutually local. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(z)B(w)v &= :A(z)B(w):v + \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) C^k(w), \\ p(A, B)B(w)A(z)v &= :A(z)B(w):v - \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) C^k(w). \end{aligned}$$



We just need to examine the second term since the normal ordered product term is in  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w}(V)$ . Since  $\partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w)$  and  $-\partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w)$  are the expansions of  $\Omega_{z-w}^k$  in their respective domains, we obtain that  $A(z)B(w)v$  and  $p(A, B)B(w)A(z)v$  are the expansions of the same element in  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(V)$ . The pole is uniformly bounded by locality again, which asserts that  $\sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) C^k(w)$  is a finite sum.

Conversely, we may uniquely write  $f_v \in \mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(V)$  as a sum

$$f_v = g_v(z, w) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \Omega_{z-w}^k f_v^k(w),$$

where  $f_v^k(w) \in V \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle$  and  $g_v \in \mathcal{K}^{z,w}(V)$ . By applying the embeddings from the previous lemma, we obtain the embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} f_v &\mapsto g_v(z, w) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z, w) f_v^k(w) \\ f_v &\mapsto g_v(z, w) - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w) f_v^k(w). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the difference in  $\mathcal{K}_{dist}^{z,w}(V)$ , we obtain

$$[A(z), B(w)]v = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) f_v^k(w),$$

which holds for all  $v$ . Since the order of the pole of  $z - w$  is uniformly bounded for all  $v$ , we conclude that the commutator must take the form

$$[A(z), B(w)] = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) C^k(w),$$

where  $C^k(w) \in \mathcal{K}_{dist}^w(\text{End}(V))$ . Since  $A, B$  are fields we conclude that so are  $C^k$  by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.3.8.  $\square$

Here we use a slightly more general notion of expansion to avoid discussing linear functionals on  $V$ . However, when  $R$  is a field then  $V$  is a vector space, and  $\langle \varphi, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in V^*$  implies  $v = 0$ . Then we can also see that  $A(z), B(w)$  are mutually local if and only if for all  $v \in V$  and  $\varphi \in V^*$  the series

$$\langle \varphi, A(z)B(w)v \rangle \in V \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varphi, p(A, B)B(w)A(z)v \rangle \in V \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$$

are expansions of the same element  $f_{v,\varphi} \in \mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(R)$ , with the order of the pole of  $z - w$  uniformly bounded for all  $v$  and  $\varphi$ .

We can further generalize the graded algebra  $\mathcal{K}^{z,w,z-w}(V)$  by considering a configuration space in  $d \geq 1$  many variables:

$$(2.4.12) \quad H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d^\circ(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[[z]][\Omega_{z^k}^m, \Omega_{z^k - z^l}^m]_{k < l, m \geq 0}.$$

Here the degree  $N$  forms are subject to similar conditions as above. We may also consider a version of the above except we omit the forms  $\Omega_{z^k}^m$ :

$$(2.4.13) \quad H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V[[z]][\Omega_{z^k - z^l}^m]_{k < l, k \geq 0}$$

We consider our analog of  $d$ -point correlation functions, an important object of study in 2D conformal field theories. Before we present the proposition we give a small piece of notation. Let  $A^1, \dots, A^d$  be homogeneous fields. Given  $\sigma \in S_d$  define  $\chi(\sigma, A^1, \dots, A^d)$  as follows: start with the ordered tuple  $(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(d))$ , and swap pairwise elements until we reach the ordering  $(1, \dots, d)$ . For every pairwise swap  $k \leftrightarrow l$  introduce the factor  $p(A^k, A^l)$ ; we say that  $\chi(\sigma, A^1, \dots, A^d)$  is the product of all such factors. It is not

hard to see that any method of reordering the tuple  $(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(d))$  gives an equivalent  $\chi$  value.

**Proposition 2.4.6.** *Let  $A^1, \dots, A^d$  be pairwise mutually local homogeneous fields. Then for all  $v \in V$  and  $\sigma \in S_d$  the series*

$$\chi(\sigma, A^1, \dots, A^d) A^{\sigma(1)}(z^{\sigma(1)}) \dots A^{\sigma(d)}(z^{\sigma(d)}) v$$

*is the expansion of the same element  $f_v$  in  $H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d^\circ(V)$ , with the order of all poles uniformly bounded for all  $v \in V$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows similarly to the  $d = 2$  statement above. Given  $\sigma \in S_d$ , apply locality on pairs to rearrange the product  $\chi(\sigma, A^1, \dots, A^d) A^{\sigma(1)}(z^{\sigma(1)}) \dots A^{\sigma(d)}(z^{\sigma(d)}) v$  into  $A^1(z^1) \dots A^d(z^d)$  plus a finite combination of terms in which each term is some iterated series in several variables multiplied by a product of  $\Delta$ -functions of the form  $\partial_{z^l}^{(m^l)} \Delta(z^k - z^l)$  for  $k < l$ . After applying the standard embeddings from Lemma 2.4.1 we see all of the  $\Delta$ -functions vanish, showing the result.  $\square$

### 3. COHOMOLOGICAL VERTEX ALGEBRAS

Here we define our higher-dimensional analog of a classical vertex algebra, which we term cohomological vertex algebras, and provide analogous results to the standard development of classical vertex algebras. Here the setting can be over any ring  $R$  with integers  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . In practice,  $R$  will be an algebra over a field. Our primary motivation for the definition is to provide a notion of vertex algebra in higher dimensions, but we remark that our definition for  $N = n = 1$  and  $R = \mathbb{C}$  specializes to the definition of the raviolo vertex algebra in [GW23].

The key object in the definition of a cohomological vertex algebra is the *state-field correspondence* map  $Y$ , which maps every element  $a$  of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module  $V$  to a field  $Y(a, z) \in \mathcal{E}_c(V)$  as defined in Definition 2.3.1. When we provide examples of cohomological vertex algebras in Section 5 we will sometimes write  $a(z)$  to mean  $Y(a, z)$ .

**3.1. The main definitions.** As mentioned in Section 2.4 we will write  $Y(a, z - w)$  or  $Y(a, -z)$  using the identifications

$$\Omega_{-z}^m = (-1)^{1+N+|m|} \Omega_z^m, \quad \Omega_{z-w}^m \sim i_{z,w} \Omega_{z-w}^m = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-w)^k \partial_z^{(k)} \Omega_z^m = \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w).$$

Now we state the definition.

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring, and fix  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . An  *$N$ -shifted cohomological vertex algebra in  $n$  variables* is the data  $(V, Y, e^{z \cdot D}, \mathbf{1})$  consisting of the following:

- (1) (State space) A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$ .
- (2) (Vertex operator map) A degree 0  $R$ -linear map  $Y : V \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\text{dist}}(\text{End}(V))$ :

$$a \mapsto Y(a, z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j a_{(-1-j)} + \Omega_z^j a_{(j)}.$$

That is,  $\Omega_z^j$  has degree  $N$ ,  $a_{(-1-j)}$  is a degree  $p(a)$  endomorphism of  $V$ , and  $a_{(j)}$  is a degree  $p(a) - N$  endomorphism of  $V$ .

- (3) (Translation operator) A collection  $(D^{(j)})_{j \geq 0} \subset \text{End}(V)$  of degree 0 endomorphisms such that  $D^{(0)} = \text{id}_V$ , which we write together in a power series as  $e^{z \cdot D} = \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j D^{(j)} \in \text{End}(V)[[z]]$ .

- (4) (Vacuum) A distinguished degree 0 element  $\mathbf{1} \in V^0$ .

This data satisfies the following conditions for all  $a, b \in V$ :

- (V1) For all  $a \in V$  we have  $Y(a, z) \in \mathcal{E}_c(V)$ . That is,  $Y(a, z)$  is a cohomological field as in Definitions 2.3.1. When  $a$  is homogeneous then  $Y$  being degree 0 means that  $Y(a, z)$  is homogeneous of degree  $p(a)$ . 2.3.2.
- (V2)  $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$ .
- (V3)  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D}a$ .
- (V4) We have

$$(3.1.1) \quad e^{-w \cdot D}Y(a, z)e^{w \cdot D} = Y(a, z - w),$$

with  $Y(a, z - w)$  defined as in Notation 2.4.3.

- (V5) The fields  $Y(a, z)$  and  $Y(b, w)$  are mutually local as in Definition 2.3.9.

We sometimes refer to axiom V4 as *translation covariance* and axiom V5 as *weak commutativity*. We will drop what  $N$  and  $n$  are in the definition, just referring to the above as cohomological vertex algebras where appropriate. From here we will assume that all referred elements of  $V$  are homogeneous. At the moment the motivation for writing  $e^{z \cdot D}$  is not obvious, but we will soon see that  $e^{z \cdot D}e^{w \cdot D} = e^{(z+w) \cdot D}$ , motivating the notation we have given.

**Remark 3.1.2.** We note that axiom V1 is redundant given V3 and V5, but we leave it in anyways since for  $V$ -modules we will not necessarily have a vacuum element to speak of. To see this, we note that axioms V3 and V5 imply that for all homogeneous  $a, b \in V$  we have some  $K \geq 0$  such that for all  $i$

$$(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1}Y(a, z)e^{w \cdot D}b = p(a, b)(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1}Y(b, w)e^{z \cdot D}a.$$

The left-hand side is a power series in  $w$ , and the right-hand side is a power series in  $z$ . Therefore both sides are power series in  $z, w$ . We can set  $w = 0$  on both sides to see that  $z_i^{K_i+1}Y(a, z)b$  is a power series for all  $i$ . This implies that  $Y(a, z)b \in V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$ .

Here are the basic definitions of various categorical structures for cohomological vertex algebras. We write  $\text{CVA}_{N,n}$  for the category of  $N$ -shifted cohomological vertex algebras in  $n$  variables.

**Definition 3.1.3.** Let  $W, I \leq V$  be  $R$ -submodules of  $V$  invariant under  $D^{(j)}$  for all  $j \geq 0$ .  $W$  is said to be a *cohomological vertex subalgebra* (or just a subalgebra) of  $V$  if  $Y(a, z)b \in W\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  whenever  $a, b \in W$ .  $I$  is said to be a *cohomological vertex algebra ideal* (or just an ideal) if  $Y(a, z)b \in I\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  whenever  $a \in I$  and  $b \in V$ .

**Definition 3.1.4.** A *morphism* of cohomological vertex algebras

$$\rho : (V_1, Y_1, e^{z \cdot D_1}, \mathbf{1}_1) \rightarrow (V_2, Y_2, e^{z \cdot D_2}, \mathbf{1}_2)$$

is an  $R$ -linear degree 0 map  $\rho : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that for all  $a, b \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{1}_1) &= \mathbf{1}_2, \\ e^{z \cdot D_2}\rho(a) &= \rho(e^{z \cdot D_1}a), \\ \rho(Y_1(a, z)b) &= Y_2(\rho(a), z)\rho(b). \end{aligned}$$

We will often refer to such morphisms as just  $\rho : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ .

The kernel of a morphism  $\rho : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is an ideal of  $V_1$ , and the image is a subalgebra of  $V_2$ . If  $J \subseteq V$  is an ideal then the quotient  $V/J$  has the natural structure of a cohomological vertex algebra since  $Y(a, z)b \in J\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  implies  $Y(b, z)a \in J\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  by the skew-symmetry identity (Proposition 3.2.6).

**Example 3.1.5.** One example of a simple change in the structure of a cohomological vertex algebra is given by swapping the variables. Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  and write for a general tuple  $z_\sigma = (z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)})$ . For a cohomological vertex algebra  $(V, Y, e^{z \cdot D}, \mathbf{1})$  we define  $Y_\sigma(a, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y(a, z_{\sigma^{-1}})$  and  $e^{z \cdot D_\sigma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{z_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot D}$  (that is  $D_\sigma^{(k)} = D^{(k_{\sigma^{-1}})}$ ). It is straightforward to check that  $(V, Y_\sigma, e^{z \cdot D_\sigma}, \mathbf{1})$  defines a cohomological vertex algebra that is not equivalent to the original structure, even though they present identical data.

Now we define the tensor product of vertex algebras. Recall that for homogeneous endomorphisms  $M_i \in \text{End}(V_i)$  of degree  $p(M_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  we define the tensor product  $M_1 \otimes M_2$  on pure tensors  $a \otimes b$  as

$$(3.1.2) \quad (M_1 \otimes M_2)(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{p(M_2)p(a)} M_1(a) \otimes M_2(b).$$

The definition for a  $d$ -fold tensor product of endomorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded modules can be defined similarly.

**Definition 3.1.6.** Let  $\{(V_i, Y_i, e^{z \cdot D_i}, \mathbf{1}_i)\}_{i=1}^d$  be a collection of cohomological vertex algebras all in the same number of variables  $n$ , with the same degree shifting  $N$ , and over the same ring  $R$ . Then their *tensor product*  $V$  is the cohomological vertex algebra given as follows:

- (1) The underlying  $R$ -module is the tensor product  $V = V_1 \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R V_d$ .
- (2) The vacuum is the element  $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_d$ .
- (3) The field map is given by

$$(3.1.3) \quad Y(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^d, z) = Y_1(a^1, z) \otimes \dots \otimes Y_d(a^d, z),$$

where we expand bilinearly and use the Koszul rule to move the formal variables out of the tensor product.

- (4) In light of the definition of the field map  $Y$ , the translation operator  $e^{z \cdot D}$  is given by the assignment

$$e^{z \cdot D}(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^d) = e^{z \cdot D_1} a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes e^{z \cdot D_d} a^d.$$

The direct sum of cohomological vertex algebras can be defined similarly, replacing  $\otimes$  with  $\oplus$ .

The first additional structure we consider on a cohomological vertex algebra is a compatible grading on the underlying  $R$ -module, which we call a *spin grading* (following [GW23]). This is analogous to the usual grading that is considered for classical vertex algebras.

**Definition 3.1.7.** Let  $L > 0$ . A *spin grading* on a cohomological vertex algebra  $V$  is an additional (non-cohomological) grading  $V = \bigoplus_{s \in (\frac{1}{L}\mathbb{Z})^n} V^{(s)}$  such that:

- (1)  $\mathbf{1} \in V^{(0)}$ .
- (2)  $Y$  is a spin degree 0 map.
- (3)  $D^{(j)}$  is a spin degree  $j$  map, that is  $D^{(j)} V^{(k)} \subset V^{(k+j)}$  for all  $k$ .
- (4) For all  $j \geq 0$  and  $a \in V^{(s)}$  the mode  $a_{(j)}$  is a spin degree  $s - j - 1$  map, and  $a_{(-1-j)}$  is a spin degree  $s + j$  map.

We denote the spin degree of an element or mode via  $\text{deg}$ , and we denote the  $i$ th component of the spin degree via  $\text{deg}_i$ . Further we say that an  $\mathbb{N}^n$ -grading of  $V$  is a spin grading for  $L = 1$  such that  $V^{(s)} = 0$  unless  $s \geq 0$ .

$\mathbb{N}^n$ -gradings (or more generally spin gradings that are bounded below in each degree component) are very useful for us since the field requirement becomes explicit.

If  $a, b \in V$  are homogeneous in spin and cohomological degree, then  $a_{(k)}b = 0$  unless  $k \leq \deg a + \deg b - 1$ . Given an  $\mathbb{N}^n$ -grading  $\deg$  we may also descend to an  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading  $|\deg|$  given by

$$(3.1.4) \quad |\deg|(a) = |\deg(a)| = \sum_i \deg_i(a).$$

Consider the condition  $a_{(k)}b = 0$  unless  $k \leq K$  for some  $K$ . Then if  $|k| > n(\max_i\{K_i\})$ , then there exists  $j \in [1, n]$  such that  $k_j > \max_i\{K_i\} \geq K_j$ , implying  $k \leq K$  does not hold. Thus we may say that  $a_{(k)}b = 0$  unless  $|k| \leq n \max_i\{K_i\}$ . This condition is almost always weaker than  $k \leq K$ , but there is less to check. For the case of  $\mathbb{N}^n$ -grading, we may let  $K = \deg a + \deg b - 1$  and conclude that  $a_{(k)}b = 0$  whenever  $\deg_i(a_{(k)}b) < 0$  for some  $i$ .

There is one more kind of grading we can impose, namely a super grading:

**Definition 3.1.8.** A *cohomological vertex superalgebra* is a cohomological vertex algebra together with an additional  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  grading on each  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded component  $V^r$  such that

$$V^r = V_0^r \oplus V_1^r,$$

where  $V_0^r$  and  $V_1^r$  are respectively the even and odd parts such that:

- (1) The vacuum  $\mathbf{1}$ , the field map  $Y$ , and the operators  $D^{(j)}$  are all even endomorphisms.
- (2) For  $a \in V_{\bar{q}}^{(r)}$  we assign  $p(a) = (r + q) \bmod 2$ . We call a state or field *bosonic* (resp. *fermionic*) if its total grading is even (resp. odd).

Given a spin grading on  $V$  we define its *n-variable graded character*

$$(3.1.5) \quad \text{ch}_V(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \sum_{s \in (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})^n} \text{grdim}(V^{(s)})q^s,$$

where  $\text{grdim}(V^{(s)})$  is given by the dimension of the bosonic degree  $s$  elements minus the dimension of the fermionic degree  $s$  elements.

We also have a natural notion of derivation:

**Definition 3.1.9.** A *derivation*  $d$  of degree  $r$  is a homogeneous degree  $r$  linear map  $d : V \rightarrow V$  such that

$$[d, Y(a, z)] = Y(da, z).$$

$d$  is said to be a *differential* if  $d^2 = 0$  and  $r = 1$ . A differential-graded (dg) cohomological vertex algebra  $(V, d)$  is a cohomological vertex algebra  $V$  together with a differential  $d$ .

If  $d$  is a derivation of  $V$ , then the kernel is a subalgebra of  $V$ ; if  $d$  is a differential, then the image is an ideal of the kernel of  $d$ , implying that the cohomology  $H^\bullet(V, d) = \ker d / \text{im } d$  has the structure of a cohomological vertex algebra. For this paper, we will not discuss derivations nor super gradings any further, but we leave them in to make an analogy with [GW23].

**3.2. Basic properties of cohomological vertex algebras.** Let  $V = (V, Y, e^{z \cdot D}, \mathbf{1})$  be a cohomological vertex algebra over a ring  $R$ . We prove some general properties of these objects. The first property we note is that the generator of translations  $e^{z \cdot D}$  behaves as its notation suggests:

**Lemma 3.2.1.** We have  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{w \cdot D} = e^{w \cdot D} e^{z \cdot D} = e^{(z+w) \cdot D}$ .

*Proof.* By V3 we have

$$Y(a, z - w)\mathbf{1} = e^{(z-w)\cdot D}a.$$

On the other hand, by V4 and V2 we have

$$Y(a, z - w)\mathbf{1} = e^{-w\cdot D}Y(a, z)e^{w\cdot D}\mathbf{1} = e^{-w\cdot D}Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{-w\cdot D}e^{z\cdot D}a$$

Replacing  $w$  with  $-w$  gives us for all  $a \in V$

$$e^{w\cdot D}e^{z\cdot D}a = e^{(z+w)\cdot D}a = e^{(w+z)\cdot D}a = e^{z\cdot D}e^{w\cdot D}a.$$

□

Setting  $w = -z$  gives  $e^{z\cdot D}e^{-z\cdot D} = \text{id}_V$ . Reading off the coefficients of the above lemma, we get for all  $k, \ell \geq 0$

$$(3.2.1) \quad D^{(k)}D^{(\ell)} = \binom{k+\ell}{k}D^{(k+\ell)}.$$

If we are allowed to divide by  $\binom{k+\ell}{k}$ , then we can write  $D^{(k+\ell)}$  recursively:

**Corollary 3.2.2.** *If  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra then  $D^{(k)} = T^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{k!}T^k$  for  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , where  $T_i = D^{(e_i)}$  for all  $i \in [1, n]$ .*

**Notation 3.2.3.** Whenever  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, we write its generator of translations as  $T^{(k)}$  instead of  $D^{(k)}$  and  $e^{z\cdot T}$  instead of  $e^{z\cdot D}$ . Note that  $[T_i, T_j] = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , so  $e^{z\cdot T} = \prod_i e^{z_i T_i}$ .

Now we prove an analog of the vertex algebraic version of the Reeh-Schlieder theorem, also called Goddard uniqueness. The proof here is very similar to the proof in [GW23, Proposition 3.1.1]. For the proof we only require axioms V3 and V5.

**Proposition 3.2.4** (Goddard uniqueness). *Let  $A(z)$  be a field on  $V$  that is mutually local with  $Y(b, w)$  for all  $b \in V$  and there exists  $a \in V$  such that*

$$A(z)\mathbf{1} = Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}.$$

*Then  $A(z) = Y(a, z)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to check when  $a \in V$  is homogeneous. The equality  $a_{(-1-k)}\mathbf{1} = A_{(-1-k)}\mathbf{1}$  implies that  $A_{(-1-k)}$  is homogeneous of degree  $p(A) = p(a)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . By locality there exists a  $K \geq 0$  such that  $(z_i - w_i)^{K_i}[A(z), Y(b, w)]\mathbf{1} = 0$  for all  $i$ . Then by skew-symmetry and taking nonsingular parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (z_i - w_i)^{K_i}A(z)_+Y(b, w)_+\mathbf{1} &= p(A, b)(z_i - w_i)^{K_i}Y(b, w)A(z)_+\mathbf{1} \\ &= p(A, b)(z_i - w_i)^{K_i}Y(b, w)_+Y(a, z)_+\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

By locality again we can pick  $K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(A, b)(z_i - w_i)^{K_i}Y(b, w)_+Y(a, z)_+\mathbf{1} &= p(A, b)p(a, b)(z_i - w_i)^{K_i}Y(a, z)_+Y(b, w)_+\mathbf{1} \\ &= (z_i - w_i)^{K_i}Y(a, z)_+Y(b, w)_+\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now set  $w = 0$  on both sides to get  $z_i^{K_i}A(z)_+b = z_i^{K_i}Y(a, z)_+b$ . Canceling  $z_i^{K_i}$  on both sides gives us  $A(z)_+b = Y(a, z)_+b$  for each  $b$ , hence  $A(z)_+ = Y(a, z)_+$ .

On the other hand, by locality we have an expansion

$$[A(z) - Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] = \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) C^m(w).$$

Applying the vacuum and using the fact that  $A(z)_+ = Y(a, z)_+$ , we get

$$(A(z)_- - Y(a, z)_-)Y(b, w)\mathbf{1} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta(z, w) C^m(w)\mathbf{1}.$$

The left-hand side does not contain any terms with a  $\Omega_w^m$  factor, so

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_+(z, w) C_+^m(w)\mathbf{1} + \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w) C_-^m(w)\mathbf{1} = 0.$$

By taking residues in  $z$ , we can see that  $C^m(w)\mathbf{1} = 0$ . Therefore

$$(A(z)_- - Y(a, z)_-)Y(b, w)\mathbf{1} = 0.$$

Setting  $w = 0$  gives us  $A(z)_- b = Y(b, w)_- b$  for all  $b \in V$ , so we are done.  $\square$

Note that the above proof did not assume that  $A(z)$  was a homogeneous field; this property follows as a result of the proposition since  $Y(a, z)$  is homogeneous for  $a \in V$  homogeneous. For classical vertex algebras, this result alone can be used to prove that  $Y(a_{(k)}b, z) = Y(a, z)_{(k)}Y(b, z)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  using the fact that  $Y(a, z)$  is mutually local to the  $k$ -product  $Y(b, w)_{(k)}Y(c, w)$  for all  $a, b, c \in V$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by the classical Dong-Li lemma. In the cohomological setting, locality is not guaranteed by our version of the Dong-Li lemma (2.3.14), so this strategy fails. However, the analogous statement is still true by a different proof (see Proposition 3.2.10).

Here is one application of Goddard uniqueness.

**Corollary 3.2.5.** *For all  $a \in V$  we have  $Y(e^{w \cdot D}a, z) = Y(a, z + w)$ .*

*Proof.* We can use Goddard uniqueness. The  $w^k$  component of the above identity is  $Y(D^{(k)}a, z) = \partial_z^{(k)}Y(a, z)$ , and to see this we note that

$$Y(D^{(k)}a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D}D^{(k)}a = D^{(k)}e^{z \cdot D}a = \partial_z^{(k)}Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}.$$

$\square$

Reading off both sides of this equation, we have for all  $\ell, k \geq 0$

$$(3.2.2) \quad (D^{(k)}a)_{(-1-\ell)} = \binom{j+k}{k} a_{(-1-\ell-k)},$$

$$(3.2.3) \quad (D^{(k)}a)_{(\ell)} = (-1)^{|k|} \binom{\ell}{k} a_{(\ell-k)}.$$

The next analogous identity we provide is skew-symmetry. The proof here is similar to the proof in [GW23, Proposition 3.2.2], using axioms V3, V4, and V5:

**Proposition 3.2.6** (Skew-symmetry). *For all  $a, b \in V$  homogeneous we have*

$$(3.2.4) \quad Y(a, z)b = p(a, b)e^{z \cdot D}Y(b, -z)a.$$

*Proof.* By V5, let  $\{C^j\}_{j \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{E}_c(V)$  be fields such that

$$[Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) C^j(w).$$

Applying the vacuum, we get by V3 and V4

$$\begin{aligned} [Y(a, z), Y(b, w)]\mathbf{1} &= Y(a, z)e^{w \cdot D}b - p(a, b)Y(b, w)e^{z \cdot D}a \\ &= Y(a, z)e^{w \cdot D}b - p(a, b)e^{z \cdot D}Y(b, w - z)a. \end{aligned}$$

Splitting into parts implies the following four identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(a, z)_+ e^{w \cdot D} b &= p(a, b) e^{z \cdot D} Y(b, w - z)_+ a, \\
Y(a, z)_- e^{w \cdot D} b &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_-(z, w) C^j(w)_+ \mathbf{1}, \\
p(a, b) e^{z \cdot D} Y(b, w - z)_- a &= - \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_+(z, w) C^j(w)_+ \mathbf{1}, \\
0 &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_-(z, w) C^j(w)_- \mathbf{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $w = 0$  in the first identity to get  $Y(a, z)_+ b = p(a, b) e^{z \cdot D} Y(b, -z)_+ a$ , which is one half of the skew-symmetry identity.

Taking  $w = 0$  in the second identity gives us for all  $k \geq 0$

$$a_{(k)} b = C_{(-1)}^k \mathbf{1}.$$

Note that  $\partial_z^{(k)} \Delta_-(w, z) = (-1)^{|k|+N} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_+(z, w)$  in the series  $Y(b, w - z)_-$ , so the left-hand side of the third identity reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(a, b) e^{z \cdot D} Y(b, w - z)_- a \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{m, \ell \geq 0} z^\ell (-1)^{|m|+N} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_+(z, w) D^{(\ell)} b_{(m)} a \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{j, m, \ell \geq 0} \binom{\ell}{j} w^j (z - w)^{\ell-j} (-1)^{|m|+N} \partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_+(z, w) D^{(\ell)} b_{(m)} a \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{j, m, \ell \geq 0} \binom{\ell}{j} w^j (-1)^{|m|+N} \partial_w^{(m-\ell+j)} \Delta_+(z, w) D^{(\ell)} b_{(m)} a \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{j, k, \ell \geq 0} \binom{\ell}{j} w^j (-1)^{|k|+|\ell|+N} \partial_w^{(k+j)} \Delta_+(z, w) D^{(\ell)} b_{(k+\ell)} a.
\end{aligned}$$

Now look at the  $w^0$  term above, which we can recover on both sides by taking residues. We have for all  $k \geq 0$

$$p(a, b) \sum_{\ell \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|+|\ell|+N+1} D^{(\ell)} b_{(k+\ell)} a = C_{(-1)}^k \mathbf{1} = a_{(k)} b.$$

Equivalently, under the convention  $\Omega_{-z}^m = (-1)^{|m|+N+1} \Omega_z^m$ ,

$$p(a, b) e^{z \cdot D} Y(b, -z)_- a = Y(a, z)_- b,$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

Now we consider different associativity relations for cohomological vertex algebras. For these identities, we need to make sense of the composition  $Y(Y(a, z)b, w)$  for  $a, b \in V$ . This expression is a slight abuse of notation since  $Y$  as a map takes an element of  $V$  as input, not an element of  $V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$ , which is what  $Y(a, z)b$  is. In some sense we are going to extend the definition of  $Y$  so that it is a well-defined map  $Y : V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_c(V)$ . In the theory of classical vertex algebras, the discussion is straightforward due to a lack of cohomological signs, but here it is a bit more subtle.

**Definition 3.2.7.** For  $a, b \in V$  we define the composition  $Y(Y(a, z)b, w)$  as follows:

$$(3.2.5) \quad Y(Y(a, z)b, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k Y(a_{(-1-k)} b, w) + \Omega_z^k Y(a_{(k)} b, w).$$



Now we note by skew-symmetry that

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y(Y(a, z)b, w)c \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k Y(a_{(-1-k)}b, w)c + \Omega_z^k Y(a_{(k)}b, w)c \\
&= e^{w \cdot D} \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k (-1)^{p(c)(p(a+p(b)))} Y(c, -w)a_{(-1-k)}b + \Omega_z^k (-1)^{p(c)(p(a+p(b)-N)} Y(c, -w)a_{(k)}b \\
&= e^{w \cdot D} Y(c, -w) \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k (-1)^{p(c)(p(a+p(b)))} a_{(-1-k)}b + (-1)^{p(c)(p(a+p(b)-N)} (-1)^{p(c)N} \Omega_z^k a_{(k)}b \\
&= p(b, c)p(a, c)e^{w \cdot D} Y(c, -w)Y(a, z)b.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus our definition behaves well with the skew-symmetry identity. We will see this definition employed when formulating versions of the weak associativity, iterate and Jacobi identities.

One of the most useful identities for classical vertex algebras is weak associativity [Li96], which we provide a version of here. We use skew-symmetry and V4 to obtain a key identity, from which we obtain locality by V5.

**Proposition 3.2.8** (Weak associativity). *For all  $a, b, c \in V$  homogeneous the series*

$$(3.2.6) \quad Y(a, z-w)Y(b, -w)c - Y(Y(a, z)b, -w)c$$

*is local for the pair of variables  $(z, w)$  with the number of terms in the local expansion uniformly bounded for all  $b \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Expanding the left-hand side using V4 and skew-symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y(a, z-w)Y(b, -w)c - Y(Y(a, z)b, -w)c \\
&= e^{-w \cdot D} Y(a, z)e^{w \cdot D} Y(b, -w)c - p(b, c)p(a, c)e^{-w \cdot D} Y(c, w)Y(a, z)b \\
&= p(b, c)e^{-w \cdot D} [Y(a, z), Y(c, w)]b.
\end{aligned}$$

The bracket  $[Y(a, z), Y(c, w)]$  is local for all  $a, c \in V$ , hence we may multiply by the power series  $p(a, b)e^{-w \cdot D}$  and the resulting series is still local.  $\square$

We note that weak associativity is equivalent to the associativity property in [GW23, Theorem 3.3.1], which is a more computationally tractable identity to work with:

**Proposition 3.2.9** (Associativity). *For  $a, b \in V$  homogeneous, we have that for all  $c \in V$  the series*

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y(a, z)Y(b, w)c \in V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle, \\
& p(a, b)Y(b, w)Y(a, z)c \in V\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle, \\
& Y(Y(a, z-w)b, w)c \in V\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z-w \rangle\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

*are expansions, in their respective domains, of the same element of  $\mathcal{K}^{z, w, z-w}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* The first two series being expansions of the same element of  $\mathcal{K}^{z, w, z-w}(V)$  is equivalent to the weak commutativity axiom V5. For the first and third series we note that weak associativity implies that  $Y(a, x-y)Y(b, -y)c$  and  $Y(Y(a, x), -y)c$  are expansions of the same element of  $\mathcal{K}^{x, y, x-y}$  in the domains  $V\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle\langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$  and  $V\langle\langle y \rangle\rangle\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$  respectively. Now make the formal variable substitution  $(x, y) = (z-w, -w)$  in  $\mathcal{K}^{x, y, x-y}$ , so

$$Y(a, z)Y(b, w)c \in V\langle\langle z-w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle -w \rangle\rangle = V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle$$

and similarly

$$Y(Y(a, z - w)b, w)c \in V\langle\langle -w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z - w \rangle\rangle = V\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z - w \rangle\rangle.$$

□

Using the associativity relation for classical vertex algebras we can prove many convenient identities. For example, the associativity property as stated above can be used to show the iterate formula [LL04, Remark 3.1.12]. Indeed the same approach works here.

**Proposition 3.2.10** (Iterate formula). *For all  $a, b \in V$  homogeneous and  $k \geq 0$  we have*

$$(3.2.7) \quad Y(a_{(k)}b, w) = Y(a, w)_{(k)}Y(b, w) = \text{Res}_z \left( (z - w)^k [Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] \right),$$

$$(3.2.8) \quad Y(a_{(-1-k)}b, w) = Y(a, w)_{(-1-k)}Y(b, w) = : \partial_w^{(k)} Y(a, w) Y(b, w) : .$$

Equivalently, we have the series identity

$$(3.2.9) \quad Y(Y(a, z)b, w) = Y(a, z + w)Y(b, w) - p(a, b)Y(b, w) (Y(a, z + w)_- - Y(a, w + z)_-).$$

*Proof.* First, we show the two statements are equivalent. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y(Y(a, z)_+ b, w) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k Y(a_{(-1-k)}b, w) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k : \partial_w^{(k)} Y(a, w) Y(b, w) : =: Y(a, w + z) Y(b, w) : . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y(Y(a, z)_- b, w) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \Omega_z^k Y(a_{(k)}b, w) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \Omega_z^k \text{Res}_x \left( (x - w)^k [Y(a, x), Y(b, w)] \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \Omega_z^k \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^{k-\ell} [a_{(\ell)}, Y(b, w)] \\ &= \sum_{k, \ell \geq 0} \binom{k + \ell}{\ell} (-w)^k \Omega_z^{k+\ell} [a_{(\ell)}, Y(b, w)] \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \partial_{-w}^{(k)} \Delta_-(z + w) [a_{(\ell)}, Y(b, w)] \\ &= [Y(a, z + w)_-, Y(b, w)]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two gives us the desired series identity, giving us the stated equivalence.

Now in  $V\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle\langle\langle z - w \rangle\rangle$  we have

$$Y(Y(a, z - w)b, w)c = \sum_{k \geq 0} (z - w)^k Y(a_{(-1-k)}b, w) + \Omega_{z-w}^k Y(a_{(k)}b, w),$$

and in  $V\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle$  we have the operator product expansion

$$Y(a, z)Y(b, w)c = : Y(a, z)Y(b, w) : + \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta_-(z - w) Y(a, w)_{(k)} Y(b, w).$$

Now we take the preimage of  $Y(a, z)Y(b, w)c$  in  $\mathcal{K}^{z, w, z-w}$ . In the region  $V \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle \langle\langle z - w \rangle\rangle$  the above equation translates to

$$\begin{aligned} Y(a, z)Y(b, w)c &= :Y(a, w + (z - w))Y(b, w): + \sum_{k \geq 0} \Omega_{z-w}^k Y(a, w)_{(k)} Y(b, w) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (z - w)^k : \partial_w^{(k)} Y(a, w) Y(b, w) : + \sum_{k \geq 0} \Omega_{z-w}^k Y(a, w)_{(k)} Y(b, w). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to  $Y(Y(a, z - w)b, w)c$  gives us the desired identities.  $\square$

We mentioned after the proof of the Dong-Li lemma (2.3.14) that it is unclear whether the collection  $A, B, C$  being pairwise mutually local implies that  $A(z)$  is mutually local to  $B(w)_{(k)}C(w)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . The iterate formula shows that this concern is not relevant since we have  $Y(b, w)_{(k)}Y(c, w) = Y(b_{(k)}c, w)$ , which is by definition mutually local to  $Y(a, z)$ .

The iterate formula allows us to prove many useful identities for vertex algebras, such as the following:

**Corollary 3.2.11.** *The following hold for all  $a, b \in V$ :*

(1) *For all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k_i \geq 0$  or  $k_i < 0$  for all  $i$  we have*

$$(3.2.10) \quad Y(a_{(k^1)}^1 \dots a_{(k^d)}^d b, z) = Y(a^1, z)_{(k_1)} \left( Y(a^2, z)_{(k_2)} \left( \dots Y(a^d, z)_{(k^d)} Y(b, z) \right) \right).$$

(2) *We have the following operator product expansions for  $a, b \in V$  homogeneous:*

$$(3.2.11) \quad Y(a, z)Y(b, w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_-(z, w) Y(a_{(j)} b, w) + :Y(a, z)Y(b, w):,$$

$$(3.2.12) \quad p(a, b)Y(b, w)Y(a, z) = - \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta_+(z, w) Y(a_{(j)} b, w) + :Y(a, z)Y(b, w):.$$

(3) *We have the following commutator formula for  $a, b \in V$ :*

$$(3.2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} [Y(a, x), Y(b, y)] &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta(x, y) Y(a_{(k)} b, y) \\ &= Y(Y(a, x - y)_- b - Y(a, -y + x)_- b, y). \end{aligned}$$

(4) *For all  $a, b, c \in V$  we have*

$$(3.2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &[Y(a, z), Y(b_{(-1)}c, w)] \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) Y(a_{(k)}(b_{(-1)}c), w) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) \left( Y((a_{(k)}b)_{(-1)}c, w) + (-1)^{p(b)(p(a)+N)} Y(b_{(-1)}(a_{(k)}c), w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $a_{(k)}(b_{(-1)}c) = (a_{(k)}b)_{(-1)}c + (-1)^{p(b)(p(a)+N)} b_{(-1)}(a_{(k)}c)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

The proofs of these identities follow from the iterate formula, weak commutativity, and the Dong-Li lemma. The commutator formula appeared in [FLM88, Corollary 8.5.1].

**Remark 3.2.12.** In abuse of notation, one could write the iterate and commutator formulas as

$$\begin{aligned} Y(Y(a, z)b, w) &= Y(a, z + w)Y(b, w) - p(a, b)Y(b, w)(Y(a, z + w) - Y(b, w + z)), \\ [Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] &= Y(Y(a, z - w)b - Y(a, -w + z)b, w), \end{aligned}$$

but one should be careful as the series  $Y(Y(a, z - w)b, w)$  and  $Y(Y(a, -w + z)b, w)$  are not well-defined on their own unless considered as elements of  $\mathcal{K}^{z, w, z-w}$ . It is only when we take their difference that we obtain a well-defined expression, in which case we have  $Y(a, z - w)_+ b = Y(a, -w + z)_+ b$ . The second form of the commutator formula appeared in [LL04, Remark 3.1.11].

Now we use locality and the iterate formula to prove our analog of the Jacobi identity, which first appeared in [FLM88, Theorem 8.8.9]. This identity is formulated in terms of the three-variable  $\Delta$ -functions  $\Delta(x - y, z) = e^{-y \cdot \partial_x} \Delta(x, z)$  as defined in Equation 2.4.8.

**Theorem 3.2.13** (Jacobi identity). *For all  $a, b \in V$  homogeneous and  $c \in V$  we have*

$$(3.2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Delta(x - y, z)Y(a, x)Y(b, y)c - p(a, b)\Delta(-y + x, z)Y(b, y)Y(a, x)c \\ & = \Delta(x - z, y)Y(Y(a, z)b, y)c. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the commutator formula we have

$$(3.2.16) \quad (x - y)^k [Y(a, x), Y(b, y)] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_y^{(j)} \Delta(x, y) Y(a_{(k+j)} b, y).$$

From Lemma 2.3.11 we have

$$(3.2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta_-(x, y) Y(a, x) Y(b, y) + p(a, b) \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta_+(x, y) Y(b, y) Y(a, x) \\ & = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_y^{(j)} \Delta(x, y) Y(a, y)_{(-1-k+j)} Y(b, y) \\ & = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \partial_y^{(j)} \Delta(x, y) Y(a_{(-1-k+j)} b, y). \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side of the Jacobi identity can be expanded in  $z$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(x - y, z) Y(a, x) Y(b, y) - p(a, b) \Delta(-y + x, z) Y(b, y) Y(a, x) \\ & = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^N \Omega_z^k (x - y)^k [Y(a, x), Y(b, y)] \\ & \quad + z^k \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta_-(x, y) Y(a, x) Y(b, y) + p(a, b) z^k \partial_y^{(k)} \Delta_+(x, y) Y(b, y) Y(a, x). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(x - z, y) Y(Y(a, z)b, y) \\ & = \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \partial_y^{(j)} \Delta(x, y) \left( z^k Y(a_{(-1-k+j)} b, y) + \Omega_z^k Y(a_{(k+j)} b, y) \right) \\ & = \sum_{j, k \geq 0} z^k \partial_y^{(j)} \Delta(x, y) Y(a_{(-1-k+j)} b, y) + (-1)^N \Omega_z^k \partial_y^{(j)} \Delta(x, y) Y(a_{(k+j)} b, y). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both sides and using the first two identities we showed establishes the Jacobi identity.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.14.** Following [GW23], we denote  $\{\{a, b\}\}^{(m)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{(m)} b$  and  $a \cdot b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{(-1)} b$ . We have the following identities for all  $a, b, c \in V$  homogeneous and  $m \geq 0$ :

- (1) The product  $a \cdot b$  is graded commutative:  $a \cdot b = p(a, b) b \cdot a$ .
- (2) The product  $a \cdot b$  is associative:  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ .
- (3) We have the following graded skew-symmetry:

$$\{\{a, b\}\}^{(m)} = p(a, b) \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{|m|+|k|+N+1} D^{(k)} \{\{b, a\}\}^{(m+k)}.$$

(4) The products are compatible in the following derivation property:

$$(3.2.18) \quad \{\{a, b \cdot c\}\}^{(m)} = \{\{a, b\}\}^{(m)} \cdot c + (-1)^{(p(a)-N)p(b)} b \cdot \{\{a, c\}\}^{(m)}.$$

(5) We have the following Jacobi-type identity:

$$(3.2.19) \quad \{\{a, \{\{b, c\}\}^{(m)}\}\}^{(k)} = (-1)^{N(p(a)+N)} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{m}{\ell} \{\{\{a, b\}\}^{(\ell)}, c\}\}^{(m+k-\ell)} \\ + (-1)^{(p(a)-N)(p(b)-N)} \{\{b, \{\{a, c\}\}^{(k)}\}\}^{(m)}.$$

Identities (1), (4), and (5) all follow from the commutator formula, (2) follows from associativity of  $\text{End}(V)$ , and (3) follows from skew-symmetry. The bracket  $\{\{a, b\}\}^{(m)}$  and the product  $a \cdot b$  form an algebra similar to a Gerstenhaber algebra except for some differences in graded signs and the appearance of the endomorphisms  $D^{(k)}$  in the skew-symmetry identity. In [GW23] these identities are interpreted as encoding the data of the singularities of the OPE of what is called the *first descendant* of  $a$  with  $b$ . Raviolo vertex algebras were shown to relate to local observables of certain three-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories, so it would be interesting to see whether cohomological vertex algebras in general describe aspects of some higher-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories.

Now that we have proven all of the main identities of vertex algebras, we provide a base change property of vertex algebras:

**Proposition 3.2.15.** *Let  $V$  be a cohomological vertex algebra over  $R$  and  $S$  a (commutative, unital)  $R$ -algebra. Then  $V$  is a cohomological vertex algebra over  $S$  if and only if  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $S$ -module such that the action of  $S$  commutes with  $e^{z \cdot D}$  and  $Y(\cdot, z)$  for all  $a \in V$ .*

We omit the proof as it is more or less identical to that of [GW23, Proposition 2.5.4] since an  $S$ -module for  $S$  a (commutative, unital)  $R$ -algebra is also an  $R$ -module.

**3.3. Equivalence of various axioms for vertex algebras.** Here we outline a few different equivalences of axiomatic presentations so that one may arrive at a cohomological vertex algebra in different ways. In [FHL93, Chapter 3] it is shown how different sets of axioms can be used as replacements of the Jacobi identity. Our definition is similar to the definition as given by [Kac98] and [FB04] but instead allows for an arbitrary base ring  $R$  like Borchers' definition. Haisheng Li observed that stronger axioms like the Jacobi identity or the iterate formula can be replaced with weaker axioms like weak commutativity (V5) or weak associativity. We show that our definition specializes when  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra to a more standard definition that is seen for classical vertex algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We also show that axioms V4 and V5 may be replaced by more powerful relations such as the Jacobi identity or skew-symmetry together with the iterate formula as is done in Borchers' definition.

**3.3.1. The case of characteristic 0.** We start by a discussion of the axioms V2, V3, and V4 when  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. To compare these axioms we show how to replace axioms V2, V3, and V4 with simpler axioms given by [Kac98] and [FB04]. To start we provide a formal calculus existence and uniqueness result for first-order differential equations in arbitrarily many variables.

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *Let  $U$  be an associative algebra over a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $R$  and  $z = (z_i)_{i \in S}$  be a collection of formal variables. Given a collection of series  $(R_i(z))_{i \in S} \subset U[[z]]$  and  $f_0 \in U$ , and suppose that  $\partial_{z_i} R_j(z) - \partial_{z_j} R_i(z) - [R_i(z), R_j(z)] = 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $f(z) \in U[[z]]$  to the equations  $\partial_{z_i} f(z) = R_i(z) f(z)$  for all  $i$  with the initial condition  $f(0) = f_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{(S)}} f_k z^k$  and  $R_i(z) = \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{(S)}} R_{i,m} z^m$ . We take the convention that  $f_m = R_{i,m} = 0$  if  $\exists j$  such that  $m_j < 0$ . We can expand the differential equation as

$$\implies \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{(S)}} f_{k+e_i} (k_i + 1) z^k = \sum_{m, k \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{(S)}} R_{i,m} f_k z^{m+k}.$$

Thus the differential equation is equivalent to the recursion formula

$$f_{k+e_i} = \frac{1}{k_i + 1} \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{(S)}} R_{i,m} f_{k-m} \iff f_k = \frac{1}{k_i} \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{(S)}} R_{i,m} f_{k-e_i-m}.$$

We want to obtain a consistent value of  $f_k$  from this recursion relation, i.e. for all  $k$  the value of  $f_k$  does not depend on  $i$ . It suffices to show that if we consider  $f_{k+e_i+e_j}$ , then the two orders in which we apply the above identity agree. This corresponds to either taking  $\partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} f(z)$  or  $\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} f(z)$ . These agree, but using the differential equation we need the expressions  $\partial_{z_i} [R_j(z) f(z)]$  and  $\partial_{z_j} [R_i(z) f(z)]$  to agree. Expanding out, we get

$$\partial_{z_i} [R_j(z) f(z)] - \partial_{z_j} [R_i(z) f(z)] = \left[ \partial_{z_i} R_j(z) - \partial_{z_j} R_i(z) + [R_j(z), R_i(z)] \right] f(z).$$

Since we assume that the factor in front of  $f(z)$  is 0, the two substitution orders agree and a consistent formula for the coefficients exists. Hence there exists a solution  $f(z)$ . The linear recursion guarantees by induction that every  $f_k$  only depends on  $f_0$  and the given functions  $R_i(z)$ , so uniqueness follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.2.** *Suppose  $U$  has a unit 1. Given a collection  $X = (X_i)_{i \in S}$  of commuting elements of  $U$ , we have for all  $Y \in U$*

$$(3.3.1) \quad e^{z \cdot X} Y e^{-z \cdot X} = e^{z \cdot \text{ad}_X} Y = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{z^k}{k!} \prod_{i \in S} (\text{ad}_{X_i})^{k_i} Y.$$

*Proof.* Define  $f(z)Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{z \cdot X} Y e^{-z \cdot X}$ , and treat  $f(z)$  as a series of endomorphisms of  $U$ , which is an associative algebra with unit. Then we have  $f(0) = \text{id}_U$  and we can see that for all  $i \in S$

$$\partial_{z_i} f(z)Y = \text{ad}_{X_i} (f(z)Y).$$

But we can see that  $f(z) = e^{z \cdot \text{ad}_X}$  also satisfies the same initial condition and differential equation, and by the Jacobi identity

$$\partial_{z_i} \text{ad}_{X_j} - \partial_{z_j} \text{ad}_{X_i} - [\text{ad}_{X_i}, \text{ad}_{X_j}] = 0 - 0 - \text{ad}_{[X_i, X_j]} = 0.$$

This gives us the result.  $\square$

From this result, we provide our first equivalent characterization of the axioms of a cohomological vertex algebra over a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra:

**Proposition 3.3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Then a cohomological vertex algebra  $V$  is equivalent to the data  $(V, Y, T_1, \dots, T_n, \mathbf{1})$ , where  $T_i \in \text{End}(V)$  is a degree 0 endomorphism for all  $i$  such that the following hold:*

- (1)  $Y(a, z)$  is a field for all  $a \in V$ .
- (2) For all  $a \in V$  the series  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}$  is a power series such that the constant term is  $a$ . That is, we have  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}|_{z=0} = a$ .
- (3) For all  $i$  we have  $[T_i, Y(a, z)] = \partial_{z_i} Y(a, z)$ .
- (4) For all  $i$  we have  $T_i \mathbf{1} = 0$ .
- (5)  $Y(a, z)$  and  $Y(b, w)$  are mutually local for all  $a, b \in V$ .

*Proof.* Axioms (1) and (5) are identical to V1 and V5 respectively. Note that (3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} [[T_i, T_j], Y(a, z)] &= [T_i, [T_j, Y(a, z)]] - [T_j, [T_i, Y(a, z)]] \\ &= \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} Y(a, z) - \partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} Y(a, z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply by  $\mathbf{1}$  and set  $z = 0$  to get that  $[T_i, T_j]a = 0$  by (4) and (2). Therefore  $[T_i, T_j] = 0$ .

By the above lemma we have that  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot T}a$  if and only if  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}$  satisfies the initial condition  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}|_{z=0}$  and  $\partial_{z_i} Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = T_i Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}$ . The initial condition follows from (2), and the derivative identity follows from (3). This shows V3, and we define  $D^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} T^k$ .

Note that  $[T_i, T_j] = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , so by the above corollary V4 is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{w^k}{k!} \prod_{i=1}^n (\text{ad}_{T_i})^{k_i} Y(a, z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} w^k \partial_z^{(k)} Y(a, z),$$

hence V4 follows from the first property of (3).

We note that the proof of skew-symmetry only uses V3, V4, and V5. Thus we have

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, z)a = e^{z \cdot T} Y(a, -z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot T} e^{-z \cdot T} a = a.$$

This shows V2, implying that the set of axioms (1)-(5) imply V1-V5. The other direction is clear.  $\square$

**3.3.2. Equivalent presentations of the Jacobi identity.** The Jacobi identity is one of the most important identities for classical vertex algebras; in [FHL93] it is shown how to present the data of the Jacobi identity in other equivalent ways as an example of what the authors call ‘‘duality,’’ taken from physics terminology. Similarly, here we provide two ways of equivalently presenting the data of the Jacobi identity. Here we fix the data  $(V, Y, e^{z \cdot D})$  of a cohomological vertex algebra together with the assumed axiom V1 ( $Y(a, z) \in \mathcal{E}_c(V)$  for all  $a \in V$ ).

We note from the proof of the theorem that the Jacobi identity is algebraically equivalent to V5 together with the iterate formula, that is no other axioms (particularly those related to the vacuum) are necessary to obtain this equivalence. Moreover, the iterate formula follows from weak associativity, so we have the following:

**Proposition 3.3.4.** *The Jacobi identity for cohomological vertex algebras is equivalent to weak commutativity (V5) together with weak associativity (3.2.8), or equivalently the associativity relation (3.2.9).*

There is one other common way of presenting the data of the Jacobi identity for classical vertex algebras, which is equivalent to splitting the Jacobi identity into components and looking directly at the modes, without any formal variables. This component presentation is sometimes called the Borcherds identity. In principle, this can be done here, but the series approach here is important because the additional graded signs that appear in every formula means that the component form of the Jacobi identity above splits into  $2^3$  different identities (or one very complicated identity if one carefully uses special notation). Another equivalent way of presenting the components of the Jacobi identity is in terms of residues.

**Proposition 3.3.5.** *The Jacobi identity for cohomological vertex algebras is equivalent to the property that for every  $F(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}_{poly}^{x, y, x-y}(R)$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3.2) \quad & \text{Res}_y \text{Res}_{x-y} (i_{y, x-y} [F(x, y)] Y(Y(a, x-y)b, y)) \\ & = \text{Res}_y \text{Res}_x (i_{x, y} [F(x, y)] Y(a, x) Y(b, y) - p(a, b) i_{y, x} [F(x, y)] Y(b, y) Y(a, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where by  $i_{y,x-y}$  we mean to write  $x = y + (x - y)$  and expand in the term  $x - y$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to examine this identity when  $F(x, y)$  is some monomial in the generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{K}^{x,y,x-y}$ . From this, we obtain all of the components of the Jacobi identity.  $\square$

**3.3.3. Replacing translation covariance and weak commutativity.** Now we discuss how to replace axioms V4 and V5 of a cohomological vertex algebra. The first characterization we aim to arrive at is that we may replace V4 and V5 with skew-symmetry and weak associativity.

**Lemma 3.3.6.** *Skew-symmetry, V2, and V3 together imply that  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $a = \mathbf{1}$ :

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, z)b = b = e^{z \cdot D} Y(b, -z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} \mathbf{1}.$$

This applies for all  $b \in V$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.7.** *Weak associativity, V3, and  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$  together imply V4.*

*Proof.* Take  $c = \mathbf{1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Y(a, z - w)Y(b, -w)\mathbf{1} - Y(Y(a, z)b, -w)\mathbf{1} \\ = Y(a, z - w)e^{-w \cdot D}b - e^{-w \cdot D}Y(a, z)b. \end{aligned}$$

By weak associativity this expression is local, meaning that it can be written as a sum of terms of the form  $\partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w)g^j(w)$  for various series  $g^j(w)$ . However, this expression must be a power series in  $w$ , implying that we can only have terms of the form  $\Delta_-(z, w)g^j(w)$  with  $g^j(w)$  a power series for all  $j$ . Therefore this sum must be 0 by locality, implying that

$$Y(a, z - w)e^{-w \cdot D}b - e^{-w \cdot D}Y(a, z)b = 0.$$

The identity  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$  gives us V4.  $\square$

With these lemmas, we can now provide our first reformulation of a cohomological vertex algebra:

**Proposition 3.3.8.** *The axioms V4 and V5 of a cohomological vertex algebra may be replaced by skew-symmetry and weak associativity.*

*Proof.* Skew-symmetry implies  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$ , which together with weak associativity implies V4. We can now carry out the proof of weak associativity to obtain

$$Y(a, z - w)Y(b, -w)c - Y(Y(a, z)b, -w)c = p(b, c)e^{-w \cdot D}[Y(a, z), Y(c, w)]b.$$

Both sides of the equation are local by weak associativity, but we may multiply by  $e^{w \cdot D}$  to obtain that the bracket  $[Y(a, z), Y(c, w)]b$  is local for all  $b$  (with the locality independent of  $b$ ). Therefore  $[Y(a, z), Y(c, w)]$  is local, with the coefficients in the local expansion being fields.  $\square$

We recall that Borcherds' definition of a vertex algebra, in terms of formal series, imposes axioms V1, V2, V3, skew-symmetry, and the iterate formula. Here we may do the same, as the iterate formula implies weak associativity:

**Proposition 3.3.9.** *The axioms V4 and V5 of a cohomological vertex algebra may be replaced by skew-symmetry and the iterate formula.*



*Proof.* The iterate formula reads as

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a, z-w)Y(b, -w) - Y(Y(a, z)b, -w) \\ &= p(a, b)Y(b, -w) (Y(a, z-w) - Y(a, -w+z)) \\ &= (-1)^{p(b)(p(a)+N)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_w^{(k)} \Delta(z, w) Y(b, -w) a_{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying an element  $c \in V$ , the number of terms on the right-hand side is only dependent on  $a$  and  $c$ , so weak associativity follows.  $\square$

The most common presentation of the axioms of a vertex algebra in the literature uses the Jacobi identity, which we show may be done here as well:

**Proposition 3.3.10.** *The axioms V4 and V5 of a cohomological vertex algebra may be replaced by the Jacobi identity.*

*Proof.* The Jacobi identity is equivalent to V5 and the iterate formula, and by the proof of the previous proposition we can apply the iterate formula to  $\mathbf{1}$  to get

$$Y(a, z-w)e^{-w \cdot D} b - e^{-w \cdot D} Y(a, z)b = 0.$$

Now we just need to show  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$  to get V4. To see this, set  $b = \mathbf{1}$  in the iterate formula. Noting that  $p(a, \mathbf{1}) = 1$  for all  $a \in V$  and canceling on both sides gives us  $Y(e^{z \cdot D} a, w) = Y(a, w+z)$ . Now apply  $\mathbf{1}$  to get  $e^{w \cdot D} e^{z \cdot D} = e^{(w+z) \cdot D}$ , and setting  $w = -z$  gives us  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$ .  $\square$

In [Li96] it is shown how skew-symmetry and weak associativity together imply the Jacobi identity for classical vertex algebras. We suspect that this proof may not work in the cohomological setting since the proof requires us to be able to divide by  $z$ , which we cannot do here.

**3.3.4. Vertex algebras in terms of correlation functions.** One of the primary objects of study in 2D conformal field theory is the collection of correlation functions, which by the Wightman reconstruction theorem [Sch10, Theorem 8.18] allows us to recover all information about the fields underlying the theory. There is an algebraic analog of this statement for classical vertex algebras, which says that the data of a vertex algebra may be arranged into an operad-like collection of correlation function maps satisfying appropriate axioms, which after taking residues and expansions allows us to recover the modes of all vertex operators. We show a similar construction here for cohomological vertex algebras.

**Proposition 3.3.11** (Correlation functions). *Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $a^1, \dots, a^d \in V$ . Then for all  $v \in V$  and  $\sigma \in S_d$  the series*

$$\chi(\sigma, a^1, \dots, a^d) Y(a^{\sigma(1)}, z^{\sigma(1)}) \dots Y(a^{\sigma(d)}, z^{\sigma(d)}) v$$

*is the expansion of the same element  $f_{a^1, \dots, a^d; v}$  in  $H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d^\circ(V)$ , with the order of all poles uniformly bounded for all  $v \in V$ . Furthermore, we have the following:*

- (1) *If  $v = \mathbf{1}$  then the element is in  $H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d(V)$ .*
- (2) *For all  $r \geq 0$  and any  $j$  we have  $f_{a^1, \dots, D^{(r)} a^j, \dots, a^d; v} = \partial_{z^j}^{(r)} f_{a^1, \dots, a^d; v}$ .*
- (3) *For all  $i$  we have*

$$f_{a^1, \dots, a^d; v} = f_{a^1, \dots, a^{i-1}, Y(a^i z^i - z^{i+1}) a^{i+1}, a^{i+2}, \dots, a^d}.$$

*Proof.* The main statement is the same as Proposition 2.4.6. (1) follows from axiom V2, (2) follows from 3.2.5, and (3) follows from weak associativity.  $\square$

Now we present a converse, showing that we can equivalently present the data of a cohomological vertex algebra as correlation functions.

**Theorem 3.3.12.** *A cohomological vertex algebra is equivalent to the data  $(V, \mu, e^{z \cdot D}, \mathbf{1})$ , where  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module,  $\mathbf{1} \in V^0$ ,  $e^{z \cdot D} = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k D^{(k)}$  is an endomorphism series, and  $\mu = (\mu_d)_{d=0}^\infty$  is a collection of degree 0  $R$ -linear maps*

$$\mu_d : V^{\otimes d} \rightarrow H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d(V),$$

where we operate under the convention that  $V^{\otimes 0} = R$ . This data satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) For all  $r \in R$  we have  $\mu_0(r) = r\mathbf{1}$ .
- (2) For all  $a \in V$  we have  $\mu_1(a)(z) = e^{z \cdot D}a$ . In particular,  $\mu_1(a)(0) = a$ .
- (3)  $\mu_d$  is  $S_d$ -invariant, that is for all  $d \geq 1$ ,  $a^1, \dots, a^d \in V$  homogeneous, and  $\sigma \in S_d$  we have

$$(3.3.3) \quad \mu_d(a^1, \dots, a^d)(z^1, \dots, z^d) = \chi(\sigma, a^1, \dots, a^d) \mu_d(a^{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a^{\sigma(d)})(z^{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z^{\sigma(d)}).$$

- (4) For all  $d_2 \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d_1$ , and  $a^j, b^j \in V$  we have

$$(3.3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu_{d_1}(a^1, \dots, a^{i-1}, \mu_{d_2}(b^1, \dots, b^{d_2})(z^1, \dots, z^{d_2}), a^{i+1}, \dots, a^{d_1})(w^1, \dots, w^{d_1}) \\ &= i_{z, w^i} \mu_{d_1+d_2-1}(a^1, \dots, a^{i-1}, b^1, \dots, b^{d_2}, a^{i+1}, \dots, a^{d_1}) \\ & \quad (w^1, \dots, w^{i-1}, z^1 + w^i, \dots, z^{d_2} + w^i, w^{i+1}, \dots, w^{d_1}) \end{aligned}$$

The correspondence is given by the relation

$$(3.3.5) \quad i_{z^1, \dots, z^d} \mu_d(a^1, \dots, a^d)(z^1, \dots, z^d) = Y(a^1, z^1) \dots Y(a^d, z^d) \mathbf{1}.$$

*Proof.* Given  $Y$  it is clear how to construct the set of maps  $\mu$  by the previous proposition. Axioms (1), (2), and (3) follow from V2, V3, and V5 respectively. Axiom (4) follows from an inductive application of the associativity property (3) from the previous proposition.

Given  $\mu$ , we can define  $Y$  and recover all of its properties as follows:

- (1) Recall that  $\mu_2(a, b)(z, w) \in H^\bullet \text{Conf}_2(V)$ , which is generated by power series over  $z, w$  and symbols  $\Omega_{z-w}^m$ . Applying the expansion  $i_{z, w}$  we map  $\Omega_{z-w}^m$  to  $\partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w)$ . Letting  $w = 0$  we have  $\partial_w^{(m)} \Delta_-(z, w)|_{w=0} = \Omega_z^m$ . In abuse of notation, we write

$$\mu_2(a, b)(z, 0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i_{z, w} \mu_2(a, b)(z, w)|_{w=0},$$

so for all  $a, b \in V$  we have

$$\mu_2(a, b)(z, 0) \in \mathcal{K}^z(V).$$

So we define  $Y(a, z)b = \mu_2(a, b)(z, 0)$ , and this shows V1.

- (2) Using axiom (4) we have

$$\mu_2(a, \mathbf{1})(z, w) = \mu_1(a)(z) = e^{z \cdot D}a.$$

Setting  $w = 0$ , we obtain  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D}a$ , which shows V3.

- (3) By the same reasoning as in the previous point and axiom (3) we have

$$\mu_2(\mathbf{1}, a)(w, z) = e^{z \cdot D}a,$$

so setting  $z = 0$  gives us  $\mu_2(\mathbf{1}, a)(z, 0) = Y(\mathbf{1}, z)a = a$ . This shows V2.

(4) Axiom (3) tells us that

$$\mu_3(a, b, c)(z, w, x) = p(a, b)\mu_3(b, a, c)(w, z, x),$$

so  $Y(a, z)Y(b, w)c$  and  $p(a, b)Y(b, w)Y(a, z)c$  are expansions of the same element in  $H^\bullet \text{Conf}_d(V)$ . By Proposition 2.4.5 this implies that  $[Y(a, z), Y(b, w)]c$  is local in the variables  $(z, w)$  for all  $a, b, c \in V$ . Thus, we have that  $[Y(a, z), Y(b, w)]$  is local, showing V5.

(5) We have

$$e^{w \cdot D}Y(a, z)b = \mu_1(\mu_2(a, b)(z, 0))(w) = i_{z, w}\mu_2(a, b)(z + w, 0).$$

If we set  $b = \mathbf{1}$  then the resulting expression is a power series in  $z$  and  $w$ , so we can set  $z = -w$ . This implies that

$$e^{w \cdot D}Y(a, -w)\mathbf{1} = e^{w \cdot D}e^{-w \cdot D}a = \mu_2(a, \mathbf{1})(w - w, 0) = \mu_1(a)(0) = a.$$

Thus  $e^{w \cdot D}e^{-w \cdot D} = \text{id}_V$ .

(6) We also have

$$Y(a, z + w)e^{w \cdot D}b = i_{z, w}\mu_2(a, b)(z + w, w),$$

which shows that  $e^{w \cdot D}Y(a, z)b = Y(a, z + w)e^{w \cdot D}b$  for all  $a, b \in V$ . By the previous point we then have  $e^{w \cdot D}Y(a, z)e^{-w \cdot D} = Y(a, z + w)$ , showing V4.  $\square$

It is worth noting that although we left a much more general set of axioms, we only needed to use facts about  $\mu_d$  for  $d = 0, 1, 2, 3$  to recover all of the information of the theory.

**Remark 3.3.13.** In [Bru21a] Brueggemann considers an analytic version of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebras in terms of correlation functions, which are called *geometric vertex algebras*. A similar interpretation is carried out using factorization algebras in [CG16, Chapter 5]. By carefully defining a notion of convergence, one may think of  $\mu_d$  in the classical setting as being a map to holomorphic functions of  $\text{Conf}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with values in  $V$ . Here the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading is necessary to have an appropriate notion of convergence in an analytic setting.

Given an  $N$ -shifted cohomological vertex algebra in  $n$  variables, we expect our definition of  $\mu_d$  under appropriate spin grading assumptions may be interpreted as a map from  $V^{\otimes d}$  to the Dolbeault forms  $\Omega^{0, \bullet}(\text{Conf}_d(X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$ , where  $X = X_{N, n}$  is some smooth manifold (likely a product of affine spaces).

#### 4. AN ANALOG OF THE WITT ALGEBRA

Often vertex algebras are endowed with extra structure, usually some notion of conformality. In the definition of a vertex operator algebra, one requires that there is a distinguished element  $\omega \in V$  such that the modes of the field  $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} L_k z^{-k-2}$  satisfy the bracket relations of the Virasoro algebra for some central charge  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the universal central extension of the Witt algebra  $W = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ . These structures allow for extra control of the vertex algebra, and it is only natural to ask for a similar structure for cohomological vertex algebras. This section is devoted to the study of a Lie algebra we introduce as an analog of the Witt algebra, which we denote  $CW_n$ . We show that the generators of  $CW_n$  can be arranged into a set of local series  $(\Gamma^i(z))_{i=1}^n$ , and we completely describe the universal central extension of  $CW_n$ .

We define the *cohomological Witt algebra*  $CW_n$  to be the Lie algebra of vector fields

$$(4.0.1) \quad CW_n = \bigoplus_{a=1}^n \mathcal{K}_{poly}^x(R) \partial_{x_a}.$$

There are other possible derivations of  $\mathcal{K}_{poly}^x$  that exist due to the zero-divisors in  $\mathcal{K}_{poly}^x$ , but  $CW_n$  consists of the naturally defined vector fields from an analytic perspective.

This  $R$ -module has a basis given by elements of the form  $G_a^r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -z^r \partial_{x_a}$  and  $\Gamma_a^r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\Omega_x^r \partial_{x_a}$  for  $r \geq 0$  and  $a \in [1, n]$ , where  $G_a^k$  has degree 0 and  $\Gamma_a^r$  has degree  $N$ . These satisfy the bracket relations

$$(4.0.2) \quad [G_r^a, G_s^b] = r_b G_{r+s-e_b}^a - s_a G_{r+s-e_a}^b,$$

$$(4.0.3) \quad [\Gamma_r^a, \Gamma_s^b] = 0,$$

$$(4.0.4) \quad [G_r^a, \Gamma_s^b] = r_b \Gamma_{s-r+e_b}^a + (s_a + 1) \Gamma_{s-r+e_a}^b.$$

These bracket formulas provide  $CW_n$  with a natural Lie algebra structure over  $R$ , and although we can naturally assign a grading to the Lie algebra it is not present in any of the bracket relations. We may also arrange these modes into a collection of series  $(\Gamma^a(z))_a$  via the definition

$$(4.0.5) \quad \Gamma^a(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k (-1)^N \Gamma_k^a + \Omega_z^k G_k^a = -\Delta(z, x) \partial_{x_a}.$$

This is similar to the classical case where we set  $T(z) = \sum_k z^{-k-2} L_k = -\sum_k z^{-1-k} x^k \partial_x = -\delta(z, x) \partial_x$ . We can rescale the elements  $\Gamma_a^k$  together by a unit, so the extra  $(-1)^N$  factor may be absorbed into  $\Gamma_a^k$  above.

The bracket relations for the degree  $N$  series  $\Gamma^a(z)$  is given on a test function by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma^a(z) \Gamma^b(w) f \\ &= \Delta(z, x) \partial_{x_a} [\Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_b}] f \\ &= \Delta(z, x) \partial_{x_a} \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_b} f + \Delta(z, x) \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_a} \partial_{x_b} f, \\ &= -\Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_a} \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_b} f - \partial_{w_a} \Delta(z, w) \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_b} f + \Delta(z, x) \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_a} \partial_{x_b} f, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^N \Gamma^b(w) \Gamma^a(z) f &= \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_b} \Delta(z, x) \partial_{x_a} f + \Delta(z, x) \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_a} \partial_{x_b} f \\ &= \Delta(w, x) \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{x_a} f + \Delta(z, x) \Delta(w, x) \partial_{x_a} \partial_{x_b} f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the graded commutator is

$$(4.0.6) \quad [\Gamma^a(z), \Gamma^b(w)] = \partial_{w_a} \Delta(z, w) \Gamma^b(w) + \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \Gamma^a(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_a} \Gamma^b(w).$$

It is sometimes preferable to work instead with the shifted generators  $L_r^a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_{r+e_a}^a$  for  $r \geq -e_a$ . These have for all  $r \geq -e_a$  and  $s \geq -e_b$  the bracket relations

$$(4.0.7) \quad [L_r^a, L_s^b] = r_b L_{r+s}^a - s_a L_{r+s}^b.$$

Additionally we define  $\Lambda_s^b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{s-e_b}^b$  for  $s \geq e_b$ . For all  $r \geq -e_a$  and  $s \geq e_b$  we additionally have the relations

$$(4.0.8) \quad [\Lambda_r^a, \Lambda_s^b] = 0$$

$$(4.0.9) \quad [L_r^a, \Lambda_s^b] = r_b \Lambda_{s-r}^a + (s_a + 1) \Lambda_{s-r}^b.$$

**4.1. On Kapranov's work on derived conformal maps.** The conformal algebra of infinitesimal conformal transformations is an important Lie algebra for conformal field theory. If we are to expect that our theory of vertex algebras is relevant to conformal geometry, then we should have access to this in our Witt algebra analog. Here we explicitly describe the generators of the conformal algebra for flat space, and we remark on a result due to Kapranov [Kap21] regarding derived conformal geometry.

We start with some standard physics notation, which we will only use here to make a comparison to what we are doing. For  $n = p + q$  we write the  $(p, q)$ -Minkowski metric tensor of type  $(0, 2)$  as

$$(4.1.1) \quad \eta = \text{diag}(\underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{p \text{ times}}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{q \text{ times}}).$$

We define  $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} = (\mathbb{R}^n, \eta)$ . We write  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  to denote the  $(\mu, \nu)$ -component of the tensor, and naturally  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu,\nu}\eta_{\mu\mu}$ . We write the tuple of variables and partial derivatives here as

$$x = (x^1, \dots, x^n), \quad \partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n).$$

Note that normally we denote the  $i$ th component of  $x$  with a subscript, not a superscript. We will work with this notation for this section. We write  $a_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu}a^\nu = \eta_{\mu\mu}x^\mu$ , and we also use the Einstein summation notation  $a^\mu b_\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_\mu a^\mu b_\mu$ .

We write  $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$  to denote the Lie algebra of matrices  $A$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\eta A \eta = -A^T$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{C})$  is actually isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{so}(n+2; \mathbb{C})$ . We can describe  $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$  for  $p+q = n$  explicitly with the following vector fields for all  $\mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu &= -\partial_\mu, \\ M_{\mu\nu} &= x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu, \\ H &= -x^\mu \partial_\mu, \\ K_\mu &= -(2x_\mu x^\nu \partial_\nu - x^\nu x_\nu \partial_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Naturally we can see that  $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\text{Der } \mathbb{R}[x]$  (or  $\text{Der } \mathbb{R}[[x]]$  if one prefers) for all  $p, q \geq 0$  such that  $p+q = n$ . These satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [H, T_\mu] &= T_\mu, \\ [H, K_\mu] &= -K_\mu, \\ [K_\mu, T_\nu] &= 2(\eta_{\mu\nu}H - M_{\mu\nu}), \\ [K_\rho, M_{\mu\nu}] &= (\eta_{\rho\mu}K_\nu - \eta_{\rho\nu}K_\mu), \\ [T_\rho, M_{\mu\nu}] &= (\eta_{\rho\mu}T_\nu - \eta_{\rho\nu}T_\mu), \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] &= (\eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

Any brackets not listed above are 0. Reverting back to our usual notation where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we let  $\eta_i = \eta_{ii}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= -\partial_{x_i} = G_0^i, \\ M_{ij} &= \eta_i x_i \partial_{x_j} - \eta_j x_j \partial_{x_i} = \eta_j G_{e_j}^i - \eta_i G_{e_i}^j, \\ H &= -\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial_{x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n G_{e_j}^j, \\ K_i &= -\sum_{j=1}^n (2\eta_i x_i x_j \partial_{x_j} - \eta_j x_j^2 \partial_{x_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (2\eta_i G_{e_i+e_j}^j - \eta_j G_{2e_j}^i). \end{aligned}$$

We define  $\tilde{\mathfrak{so}}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$  by extending  $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$  by the abelian Lie subalgebra  $\text{Der } R[\Omega_x^k]_{k \geq 0}$ . This is an infinite-dimensional abelian extension.

Given a complex manifold of appropriate type, Kapranov defines a dg Lie algebra  $R\text{conf}(M)$  of derived conformal Killing vector fields such that  $H^0 = \text{conf}(M)$  is the usual Lie algebra of conformal Killing vector fields and  $H^1$  is the space of infinitesimal deformations [Kap21]. It is a well-known fact that the space of conformal Killing vector fields of  $M = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$  is finite-dimensional if and only if  $n = p+q \neq 2$ , where in dimension 2 any holomorphic function gives rise to such a vector field. This gives a drastic restriction for conformal field theory in dimensions  $n \neq 2$ , but Kapranov's derived geometric construction of  $R\text{conf}(M)$  is one way to overcome this restriction since the infinite dimensions can be located in higher cohomologies.

The cohomology of  $R\text{conf}(M)$  is a graded Lie algebra  $H^\bullet = H^0 \oplus H^1$ , but since  $[H^1, H^1] = 0$  the cohomology is just a regular Lie algebra (since the Koszul signs never appear in formulas), and more specifically  $H^\bullet$  is an abelian extension of  $H^0$  by  $H^1$ . Moreover for  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$  we have  $H^0 = \mathfrak{so}(n+2; \mathbb{C})$ . Looking back at our setting, we have a straightforward abelian extension  $\tilde{\mathfrak{so}}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1; \mathbb{R})$ . Kapranov does not explicitly describe the Lie algebra structure of  $H^\bullet$  for  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ , so we conjecture that  $H^1 = \mathbb{C}[\Omega_x^k]_{k \geq 0}$  or at least one is contained in the other.

**4.2. Central extensions of the cohomological Witt algebra.** Here we examine the universal central extension of  $CW_n$ . Since  $CW_n$  has the structure of an abelian extension of  $\text{Der}_R R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we first examine the central extensions for this subalgebra.

**Proposition 4.2.1.** *For all  $n \geq 1$  the Lie algebra  $\text{Der}_R R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  admits an  $n$ -dimensional universal central extension given by the following bracket:*

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [L_r^a, L_s^b] &= r_b L_{r+s}^a - s_a L_{r+s}^b \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_{a,b})\delta_{r+s,0}(\delta_{r,e_b} \mathbf{c}_a - \delta_{r,-e_a} \mathbf{c}_b + \delta_{r,e_b-e_a}(\mathbf{c}_a - \mathbf{c}_b)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider a 2-cocycle  $\beta$  to define a central extension on  $CW_n$ . Recall that this means for all  $x, y, z$

$$\beta(x, y) = -\beta(y, x), \quad \beta(x, [y, z]) + \beta(y, [z, x]) + \beta(z, [x, y]) = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we may add in arbitrary coboundaries to get the same central extension. Define  $\theta_{a,\beta}(L_s^b) = \beta(L_0^a, L_s^b)/s_a$  for  $s_a \neq 0$ , which allows us to define  $\beta' = \beta + d\theta_{a,\beta}$ . Then  $d\beta_{a,\beta}(L_0^a, L_s^b) = \beta(L_0^a, [L_0^a, L_s^b])/s_a = -\beta(L_0^a, L_s^b)$ , so  $\beta'$  has the property that  $\beta'(L_0^a, L_s^b) = 0$  for all  $b$  and  $s$ . By inductively adding in more coboundaries we may assume without loss of generality that  $\beta(L_0^a, L_s^b) = 0$  for all  $a, b$  and  $s$ .

Letting  $(x, y, z) = (L_j^a, L_k^b, L_\ell^c)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k_c \beta(L_j^a, L_{k+\ell}^b) - \ell_b \beta(L_j^a, L_{k+\ell}^c) \\ & + \ell_a \beta(L_k^b, L_{j+\ell}^c) - j_c \beta(L_k^b, L_{j+\ell}^a) \\ & + j_b \beta(L_\ell^c, L_{j+k}^a) - k_a \beta(L_\ell^c, L_{j+k}^b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We make several observations:

- First set  $\ell = 0$ , which implies  $(j_c + k_c)\beta(L_j^a, L_k^b) = 0$ . Therefore  $\beta(L_j^a, L_k^b) = 0$  unless  $j_c + k_c = 0$  for all  $c$ , that is  $j + k = 0$ . Since  $j \geq -e_a$  and  $k \geq -e_b$  this implies that  $j_c = k_c = 0$  whenever  $c$  is not  $a$  or  $b$ .
  - If  $a = b$  then  $j_a + k_a = 0$  implies  $(j, k) = (\pm e_a, \mp e_a)$  or  $(0, 0)$ . By skew-symmetry, we may ignore the last case since  $(L_0^a, L_0^a) = 0$ .
  - If  $a \neq b$  we (ignoring  $(j, k) = (0, 0)$ ) can have

$$(j, k) = (e_b, -e_b), \quad (-e_a, e_a), \quad (e_b - e_a, e_a - e_b).$$

When  $n = 1$  this means that  $\beta(L_k, L_\ell) = 0$  unless  $k + \ell = 0$ , which means that  $(k, \ell) = (\pm 1, \mp 1)$  or  $(0, 0)$  (which by skew-symmetry is 0 anyways). Thus we may write  $\beta(L_k, L_\ell) = \delta_{k+\ell, 0} \text{sgn}(k) \mathbf{c}$  for a central element  $\mathbf{c} = \beta(L_1, L_{-1})$ .

- Set  $a = c$  and  $a \neq b$ . Set  $j = \pm e_a, k = -e_b$ , and  $\ell = \mp e_a + e_b$ . Then

$$-\beta(L_{\pm e_a}^a, L_{\mp e_a}^a) \mp 2\beta(L_{-e_b}^b, L_{e_b}^a) = 0.$$

Both cases above are equivalent, giving

$$\beta(L_{e_a}^a, L_{-e_a}^a) = 2\beta(L_{e_b}^a, L_{-e_b}^b), \quad \beta(L_{e_b}^b, L_{-e_b}^b) = -2\beta(L_{-e_a}^a, L_{e_a}^b).$$

- Set  $a = c$  and  $a \neq b$ . Set  $j = e_b, k = e_a - e_b$ , and  $\ell = -e_a$ . Then

$$\beta(L_{e_b}^a, L_{-e_b}^b) - \beta(L_{e_a - e_b}^b, L_{e_b - e_a}^a) + \beta(L_{-e_a}^a, L_{e_a}^a) - \beta(L_{-e_a}^a, L_{e_a}^b) = 0.$$

Using the previous identity, we get

$$\beta(L_{e_b - e_a}^a, L_{e_a - e_b}^b) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \beta(L_{e_a}^a, L_{-e_a}^a) - \beta(L_{e_b}^b, L_{-e_b}^b) \right).$$

Now let  $\mathbf{c}_a = \beta(L_{e_a}^a, L_{-e_a}^a)$ , and the above observations give us the above central extensions.  $\square$

Now we consider the universal central extension of  $CW_n$ , which is the main result of this section. Once again this amounts to an explicit cocycle calculation. Recall that for an abelian Lie algebra  $L$  of dimension  $d$  over a field  $k$ , it admits a central extension of dimension  $\binom{d}{2}$ . In our case the abelian subalgebra  $\text{span}_R \{\Gamma_k^a\}_{a,k}$  is infinite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , hence it admits an infinite-dimensional central extension. We would like to preserve the locality property of the series  $\Gamma^a(z)$ , and to do so we must ignore these central elements. Here we describe the remaining central elements, which are compatible with locality.

**Theorem 4.2.2.**  *$CW_n$  admits an  $(n + \delta_{n,1})$ -dimensional central extension  $\widetilde{CW}_n$  given by central elements  $\{\mathbf{c}_a\}_{a=1}^n$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  when  $n = 1$  such that  $\mathbf{c}_a$  is of degree 0 for all  $a$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  is of degree  $N$ . The brackets are given as follows:*

- For  $r \geq -e_a$  and  $s \geq -e_b$  we have the same brackets as in the previous proposition:

$$(4.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [L_r^a, L_s^b] &= r_b L_{r+s}^a - s_a L_{r+s}^b \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{a,b}) \delta_{r+s,0} (\delta_{r,e_b} \mathbf{c}_a - \delta_{r,-e_a} \mathbf{c}_b + \delta_{r,e_b - e_a} (\mathbf{c}_a - \mathbf{c}_b)). \end{aligned}$$

- $[\Lambda_r^a, \Lambda_s^b] = 0$  for all  $a, b$  and  $r, s$ .
- For  $r \geq -e_a$  and  $s \geq e_b$  we have for  $n \geq 2$

$$(4.2.3) \quad [L_r^a, \Lambda_s^b] = r_b \Lambda_{s-r}^a + (s_a + 1) \Lambda_{s-r}^b.$$

When  $n = 1$  we have the nontrivial central extension by  $\mathbf{k}$ :

$$(4.2.4) \quad [L_r, \Lambda_s] = (r + s + 1) \Lambda_{s-r} + \delta_{r,s+1} \binom{s+2}{3} \mathbf{k}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a 2-cocycle  $\beta$  for the Lie bracket to extend by for a central extension. This has the properties that for all  $x, y, z \in CW_n$

$$\beta(x, y) = -\beta(y, x), \quad \beta(x, [y, z]) + \beta(y, [z, x]) + \beta(z, [x, y]) = 0.$$

By bilinearity, it suffices to consider when the elements  $x, y, z$  are one of the generators. By adding in extra cocycles, without loss of generality we may assume that  $\beta(G_{e_a}^a, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in CW_n$ . We have three cases to examine:

- (1) If we set  $(x, y, z) = (G_j^a, G_k^b, G_\ell^c)$  for  $j \geq -e_a, k \geq -e_b, \ell \geq -e_c$ , then we reduce to the case considered in the previous proposition for 2-cocycles of  $W_{poly}$ .
- (2) When  $(x, y, z) = (L_j^a, \Lambda_k^b, \Lambda_\ell^c)$  for  $j \geq -e_a, k \geq e_b, \ell \geq e_c$  we have

$$j_c \beta(\Lambda_k^b, \Lambda_{\ell-j}^a) + (\ell_a + 1) \beta(\Lambda_k^b, \Lambda_{\ell-j}^c) = j_b \beta(\Lambda_\ell^c, \Lambda_{k-j}^a) + (k_a + 1) \beta(\Lambda_\ell^c, \Lambda_{k-j}^b).$$

Setting  $a = c$  and  $j = 0$  we have

$$(k_a + \ell_a + 2) \beta(\Gamma_k^b, \Gamma_\ell^a) = 0.$$

Since  $k_a, \ell_a \geq 0$  we have  $\beta(\Gamma_k^b, \Gamma_\ell^a) = 0$  identically.

- (3) When  $(x, y, z) = (L_j^a, L_k^b, \Lambda_\ell^c)$  for  $j \geq -e_a, k \geq -e_b, \ell \geq e_c$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k_c \beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{\ell-k}^b) + (\ell_b + 1) \beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{\ell-k}^c) \\ & - j_c \beta(L_k^b, \Lambda_{\ell-j}^a) - (\ell_a + 1) \beta(L_k^b, \Lambda_{\ell-j}^c) \\ & + j_b \beta(\Lambda_\ell^c, L_{j+k}^a) - k_a \beta(\Lambda_\ell^c, L_{j+k}^b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We make a number of observations again:

- Setting  $j = 0$  we have

$$(\ell_a - k_a + 1) \beta(L_k^b, \Lambda_\ell^c) = 0.$$

Thus  $\beta(L_k^a, \Lambda_\ell^b) = 0$  unless  $k = \ell + 1$ . If we set  $k = 0$  above then we get an equivalent expression.

- Set  $\ell = j + k - 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & k_c \beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^b) + (j_b + k_b) \beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^c) \\ & - j_c \beta(L_k^b, \Lambda_{k-1}^a) - (j_a + k_a) \beta(L_k^b, \Lambda_{k-1}^c) \\ & + j_b \beta(\Lambda_{j+k-1}^c, L_{j+k}^a) - k_a \beta(\Lambda_{j+k-1}^c, L_{j+k}^b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replace  $k$  by  $k + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_c + 1) \beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^b) + (j_b + k_b + 1) \beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^c) \\ & - j_c \beta(L_{k+1}^b, \Lambda_k^a) - (j_a + k_a + 1) \beta(L_{k+1}^b, \Lambda_k^c) \\ & + j_b \beta(\Lambda_{j+k}^c, L_{j+k+1}^a) - (k_a + 1) \beta(\Lambda_{j+k}^c, L_{j+k+1}^b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $a = b = c$  and  $j_a = k_a + 1$ . Assuming  $k_a \geq 1$ , we have

$$\beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^a) = \beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^a).$$



Recall that  $\ell = j + k \geq e_a$ , and since  $j_a = k_a + 1$  this is satisfied so long as  $j, k \geq 0$ . Setting  $j_b = 0$  for any  $b \neq a$ , we get that the left-hand side is 0, so  $\beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^a) = 0$  whenever  $n \geq 2$ .

- When  $n = 1$  we have

$$0 = (j + 2k + 2)\beta(L_j, \Lambda_{j-1}) - (2j + k + 1)\beta(L_{k+1}, \Lambda_k) \\ + (k - j + 1)\beta(L_{j+k+1}, \Lambda_{j+k}).$$

Now set  $j = 1$ , so

$$0 = -(k + 3)\beta(L_{k+1}, \Lambda_k) + k\beta(L_{k+2}, \Lambda_{k+1}).$$

Solving this recurrence relation gives us for  $\ell \geq 0$

$$\beta(L_{\ell+2}, \Lambda_{\ell+1}) = \binom{\ell + 3}{3}\beta(L_2, \Lambda_1).$$

- Set  $a = b$  and  $a \neq c$ :

$$(k_c + 1)\beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^a) + (j_a + k_a + 1)\beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^c) \\ - j_c\beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^a) - (j_a + k_a + 1)\beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^c) \\ + (k_a - j_a + 1)\beta(L_{j+k+1}^a, \Lambda_{j+k}^c) = 0.$$

Now suppose  $j_a = k_a + 1$ . By the previous observation terms of the form  $\beta(L_{a'}^k, \Lambda_{b'}^\ell)$  are already 0, so

$$2(k_a + 1)\beta(L_j^a, \Lambda_{j-1}^c) = 2(k_a + 1)\beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^c).$$

$\beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^c) = 0$  unless  $k \geq e_c$ , so we may divide out the constants in front.

Once again we have  $\ell = j + k \geq e_c$ , so it suffices to let  $j_b = 0$  for some  $b \neq c$ .

From this the left-hand side is 0, implying  $\beta(L_{k+1}^a, \Lambda_k^c) = 0$  for all  $a \neq c$ .

From the above observations we conclude  $\beta(L_k^a, \Lambda_\ell^b) = 0$  for all  $k, \ell$  and  $a, b$  unless  $n = 1$ , in which case

$$\beta(L_{\ell+2}, \Lambda_{\ell+1}) = \binom{\ell + 3}{3}\beta(L_2, \Lambda_1).$$

These identities completely determine all values of a general 2-cocycle, leaving us with the bracket given in the proposition when we let  $\mathbf{k} = \beta(L_2, \Lambda_1)$  for  $n = 1$  and  $\mathbf{c}_a = \beta(L_{e_a}^a, L_{-e_a}^a)$  for all  $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .  $\square$

We can translate the brackets for the universal central extension back for the generators  $G_r^a$  and  $\Gamma_s^b$ :

$$(4.2.5) \quad [G_r^a, G_s^b] = r_b G_{r+s-e_b}^a - s_a G_{r+s-e_a}^b \\ + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_{a,b})\delta_{r+s, e_a+e_b} (\delta_{r, e_a+e_b} \mathbf{c}_a - \delta_{r,0} \mathbf{c}_b + \delta_{r, e_b} (\mathbf{c}_a - \mathbf{c}_b)),$$

Again  $[\Gamma_r^a, \Gamma_s^b] = 0$ , and for  $n \geq 2$  we have  $[G_r^a, \Gamma_s^b] = r_b \Gamma_{r-s+e_b}^a + (s_a + 1)\Gamma_{r-s+e_a}^b$ . For  $n = 1$  we have

$$(4.2.6) \quad [G_r, \Gamma_s] = (r + s + 1)\Gamma_{r-s+1} + \delta_{r, s+3} \binom{s+3}{3} \mathbf{k}.$$

Recall that we define  $\Gamma^a(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} x^k \Gamma_k^a + (-1)^N \Omega_z^k G_k^a$ . We may describe the bracket relations for  $\widetilde{CW}_n$  in terms of a local expansion:

**Proposition 4.2.3.** *The commutation relations for  $\widetilde{CW}_n$  are equivalent to the following brackets for  $n \geq 2$ :*

$$(4.2.7) \quad [\Gamma^a(z), \Gamma^b(w)] = \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_a} \Gamma^b(w) + \partial_{w_a} \Delta(z, w) \Gamma^b(w) + \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \Gamma^a(w) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{a,b}) (\partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{e_a} \mathbf{c}_a + \partial_{w_a} [\Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{e_b}] \mathbf{c}_b).$$

For  $n = 1$  the bracket relations are equivalent to

$$(4.2.8) \quad [\Gamma(z), \Gamma(w)] = \Delta(z, w) \partial_w \Gamma(w) + 2 \partial_w \Delta(z, w) \Gamma(w) + \partial_w^{(3)} \Delta(z, w) \mathbf{k} \\ + \left( \partial_w \Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^1 + \partial_w [\Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^1] \right) \mathbf{c}.$$

*Proof.* We already showed that the first few terms from the bracket combine into a series as stated at the start of this section, so we just have to examine the central terms. From  $[G_a^r, G_b^s]$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{a,b}) \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \Omega_z^r \Omega_w^s \delta_{r+s, e_a+e_b} (\delta_{r, e_a+e_b} \mathbf{c}_a - \delta_{r,0} \mathbf{c}_b + \delta_{r, e_b} (\mathbf{c}_a - \mathbf{c}_b)) \\ = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{a,b}) \left( \Omega_z^{e_a+e_b} \Omega_w^0 \mathbf{c}_a - \Omega_z^0 \Omega_w^{e_a+e_b} \mathbf{c}_b + \Omega_z^{e_b} \Omega_w^{e_a} (\mathbf{c}_a - \mathbf{c}_b) \right).$$

When  $n = 1$  we can see that

$$\sum_{r,s \geq 0} w^s \Omega_z^r \delta_{r,s+3} \binom{s+3}{3} \mathbf{k} - (-1)^N z^r \Omega_w^s \delta_{s,r+3} \binom{r+3}{3} \mathbf{k} \\ = \sum_{r,s \geq 0} w^s \Omega_z^{s+3} \binom{s+3}{3} \mathbf{k} - (-1)^N z^s \Omega_w^{s+3} \binom{s+3}{3} \mathbf{k} = \partial_w^{(3)} \Delta(z, w) \mathbf{k}.$$

We recall that

$$\Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^m = \Omega_{w,z}^m = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq m} \Omega_z^\ell \Omega_w^{m-\ell}.$$

Moreover

$$\Omega_z^{e_a+e_b} \Omega_w^0 + \Omega_z^{e_b} \Omega_w^{e_a} = -\partial_{z_b} (\Omega_z^{e_a} \Omega_w^0 + \Omega_z^0 \Omega_w^{e_a}) = -\partial_{z_b} \Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{e_a}, \\ \Omega_z^0 \Omega_w^{e_a+e_b} + \Omega_z^{e_b} \Omega_w^{e_a} = -\partial_{w_a} (\Omega_z^0 \Omega_w^{e_b} + \Omega_z^{e_b} \Omega_w^0) = -\partial_{w_a} [\Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{e_b}].$$

Thus we have

$$\Omega_z^{e_a+e_b} \Omega_w^0 \mathbf{c}_a - \Omega_z^0 \Omega_w^{e_a+e_b} \mathbf{c}_b + \Omega_z^{e_b} \Omega_w^{e_a} (\mathbf{c}_a - \mathbf{c}_b) \\ = \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{e_a} \mathbf{c}_a + \partial_{w_a} [\Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{e_b}] \mathbf{c}_b. \quad \square$$

Having these series  $\Gamma^a(z)$  acting on an appropriate vector space they will act as fields, providing our first nontrivial example of a cohomological vertex (operator) algebra.

## 5. EXAMPLES OF COHOMOLOGICAL VERTEX ALGEBRAS

With all of the tools we have developed for cohomological vertex algebras we can now easily discuss the construction of examples using the identities from our version of Dong's lemma and various  $k$ -product identities. The main result in this section is the reconstruction theorem (5.2.1), and along the way, we provide a characterization of commutative vertex algebras in several variables as algebras with derivations. We also provide a definition of a cohomological vertex operator algebra, meaning that it contains an appropriate action of  $CW_n$ . At the end of the section, we provide some

explicit constructions of analogs of the Virasoro vertex algebra, the  $\beta\gamma$ -free field (or bosonic ghost) vertex algebra, the Heisenberg vertex algebra, and the affine vertex algebra.

**5.1. Commutative vertex algebras.** In this section, we consider our first class of examples, namely commutative vertex algebras. We will consider a more general class of commutative vertex algebras in which the fields have variables indexed by any set  $S$ , not just a finite set like we have done so far. One could try to define an infinite-dimensional vertex algebra with commutative vertex algebras in infinitely many variables as an example, but it is unclear what such a definition should be at the moment.

Similar to the one-variable case, we show that commutative vertex algebras indexed by a set  $S$  are equivalent to unital, associative, graded commutative algebras  $U$  with a collection of endomorphisms (in bijection with  $S$ ) satisfying specific properties. In characteristic 0, this is equivalent to having a set  $(T_i)_{i \in S}$  of derivations of  $U$ . Moreover, in characteristic 0 we show that any cohomological vertex algebra whose underlying vector space is finite-dimensional must be commutative.

For an  $R$ -module  $V$  and a set  $S$  we define  $V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$  to be the space of formal distributions of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}} z^k f_k,$$

where  $f_k \in V$ . Before beginning, we note that Taylor series still work with infinitely many variables. Similarly, we define  $V[[z]] \subset V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$  to be the ring of power series over  $V$  to consist of series  $f(z)$  such that  $f_k = 0$  unless  $k \geq 0$ , that is  $k \in \mathbb{N}^{(S)}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.1** (Taylor series). *Let  $V$  be an  $R$ -module, and let  $f(z) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ . Then*

$$(5.1.1) \quad f(z+w) = e^{w \cdot \partial_z} f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} w^k \partial_z^{(k)} f(z).$$

Now we define commutative vertex algebras.

**Definition 5.1.2.** Let  $S$  be a set and  $R$  a ring. A *commutative vertex algebra indexed by  $S$*  is the data  $(V, Y, e^{z \cdot D}, \mathbf{1})$  consisting of:

- (1) (State space) An  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$ .
- (2) (Vertex operator map)  $Y$  is an  $R$ -linear degree 0 map  $a \mapsto Y(a, z) \in \text{End}(V)[[z]]$ , with variables  $z = (z_i)_{i \in S}$ , which we write as

$$Y(a, z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{(-1-k)} z^k.$$

- (3) (Translation operator) A collection of degree 0 endomorphisms  $(D^{(j)})_{j \geq 0}$  such that  $D^{(0)} = \text{id}_V$ , which we write together as  $e^{z \cdot D} = \sum_{j \geq 0} z^j D^{(j)}$ .
- (4) (Vacuum) A distinguished degree 0 element  $\mathbf{1} \in V^0$ .

This data satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1)  $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$ .
- (C2)  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D} a$ .
- (C3) For all  $a \in V$  we have  $e^{-w \cdot D} Y(a, z) e^{w \cdot D} = Y(a, z - w)$ .
- (C4)  $[Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in V$ .

Here, we require  $V$  to have a cohomological  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, but the non-graded setting may be obtained by assuming  $V$  is concentrated in degree 0.

The basic theory of commutative vertex algebras is straightforward to describe, and all of the standard categorical structures as in the cohomological case may be defined

similarly here. We write  $\text{ComVA}_S$  for the category of commutative vertex algebras indexed by  $S$ . Many of the standard proofs about vertex algebras also apply here:

**Lemma 5.1.3.** *Let  $V$  be a commutative vertex algebra indexed by  $S$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) (Skew-symmetry)  $Y(a, z)b = p(a, b)e^{z \cdot D}Y(b, -z)a$ .
- (2) (Goddard uniqueness) Let  $A(z) \in \text{End}(V)[[z]]$  be a power series that commutes with  $Y(a, z)$  and  $A(z)\mathbf{1} = Y(a, z)\mathbf{1}$ . Then  $A(z) = Y(a, z)$ .
- (3) (Associativity)  $Y(a, z)Y(b, w) = Y(Y(a, z - w)b, w)$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of skew-symmetry, Goddard uniqueness, and weak associativity follow identically to the one-variable case, see [FB04], [Kac98]. Following the proof of weak associativity as in the cohomological case, we get

$$Y(a, z - w)Y(b, -w) = Y(Y(a, z)b, -w).$$

Replacing  $w$  with  $-z$ , then  $z$  with  $z - w$  (which is valid since this is a power series identity), gives us the desired identity.  $\square$

Note that the associativity formula (3) does not even necessarily make sense unless  $Y(a, z)$  is a power series.

Given a commutative vertex algebra  $V$ , define the bilinear product on  $V$  via  $ab \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{(-1)}b$ . Commutativity and injectivity of the vertex operators imply that the product satisfies  $ab = p(a, b)ba$  and  $(ab)c = a(bc)$  for all  $a, b, c$ . The axiom  $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$  implies that  $\mathbf{1}$  is a unit. This product also comes equipped with the endomorphism series  $e^{z \cdot D}$  satisfying the *Leibniz rule*:

$$D^{(k)}(ab) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} D^{(j)}(a)D^{(k-j)}(b).$$

**Definition 5.1.4.** A unital, commutative, associative  $R$ -algebra  $(A, \mathbf{1})$  is said to be a *differential  $R$ -algebra indexed by  $S$*  if there exists an endomorphism series  $e^{z \cdot D} = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k D^{(k)}$  satisfying the Leibniz rule above,  $D^{(0)} = \text{id}_V$ , and  $e^{z \cdot D}e^{w \cdot D} = e^{(z+w) \cdot D}$ . A *cohomological differential  $R$ -algebra indexed by  $S$*  is a differential  $R$ -algebra indexed by  $S$  that is also a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra such that the endomorphisms  $D^{(k)}$  are all degree 0.

Morphisms of (cohomological) differential algebras are simply given by (graded) algebra morphisms that intertwine their endomorphism series  $e^{z \cdot D}$ . We note that the extra modes of a commutative vertex algebra do not carry extra information as  $Y(a, z) = (e^{z \cdot D}a)_{(-1)}$ . The above reasoning may be continued to arrive at the following correspondence:

**Proposition 5.1.5.** *Given a set  $S$  and a ring  $R$ , the category  $\text{ComVA}_S$  of commutative vertex algebras over  $R$  indexed by  $S$  is equivalent to the category of cohomological differential  $R$ -algebras indexed by  $S$ .*

If we forget the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded structure in both categories the equivalence still holds. We finish the discussion by considering cohomological vertex algebras over fields of characteristic 0

**Proposition 5.1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a field of characteristic 0. Then any cohomological vertex algebra over  $R$  whose underlying vector space is finite-dimensional is commutative.*

*Proof.* We have to show that  $a_{(r)} = 0$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . We have for all  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{1}{k_i!}(\text{ad}_{T_i})^{k_i}a_{(r)} = (-1)^{k_i} \binom{r_i}{k_i} a_{(r - k_i e_i)}.$$

Note that  $\binom{r_i}{k_i} = 0$  if  $k_i > r_i$ .  $V$  being finite-dimensional implies that for any  $a$  the subspace

$$\langle a_{(r)} : r \geq 0 \rangle \subset \text{End}(V)$$

is spanned by only finitely many  $a_{(r)}$ 's. Thus there is some  $k_i$  such that  $(\text{ad}_{T_i})^{k_i} a_{(r)} = 0$  for all  $r \geq 0$  and  $i \in [1, n]$ . But then this means

$$\frac{1}{k_i!} (\text{ad}_{T_i})^{k_i} a_{(r+k_i e_i)} = (-1)^{k_i} \binom{r_i + k_i}{k_i} a_{(r)} = 0.$$

The binomial is nonzero, hence  $a_{(r)} = 0$  whenever  $r$  has a negative component.  $\square$

Note that for this proof to work we need  $R$  to be a field of characteristic 0; it would be interesting to know what kind of finite-dimensional noncommutative cohomological vertex algebras there are in characteristic  $p > 0$ .

**5.2. The Reconstruction Theorem.** In this section, we prove an analog of the famous reconstruction theorem for classical vertex algebras as well as provide some basic examples of cohomological vertex algebras. The reconstruction theorem was discovered nearly simultaneously by different people and is presented differently depending on the author (for example [FB04], [Kac98], [LL04] all present the reconstruction theorem slightly differently).

**Theorem 5.2.1 (Reconstruction).** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Suppose we are given the following data:*

- A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $R$ -module  $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^r$ .
- A nonzero element  $\mathbf{1} \in V^0$ .
- A collection of degree 0 endomorphisms  $(D^{(k)})_{k \geq 0} \subset \text{End}(V)$ .
- An  $R$ -submodule  $\Sigma \leq V$  together with a degree 0  $R$ -linear assignment

$$Y_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_c(V), \quad a \mapsto Y_0(a, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a(z)$$

in the sense that for  $a = \sum_r a^r$  decomposed into homogeneous parts the corresponding field is  $a(z) = \sum_r a_r(z)$  such that  $a_r(z)$  is homogeneous and  $p(a_r) = p(a_r(z)) = r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose the following holds:

- (1) For all  $a \in V$  we have  $e^{-w \cdot D} a(z) e^{w \cdot D} = a(z - w)$ .
- (2) For all  $a \in V$  we have  $a(z) \mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D} a$ .
- (3) For all  $a, b \in V$  the series  $a(z)$  and  $b(w)$  are mutually local.
- (4)  $V$  is generated by the symbols  $a_{(k^1)}^1 \dots a_{(k^d)}^d \mathbf{1}$  with  $k^s < 0$  and  $a^i \in \Sigma$ .

Then the definition of  $Y$  on the generating set of  $V$  given by

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Y(a_{(k^1)}^1 \dots a_{(k^d)}^d \mathbf{1}, z) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^1(z)_{(k^1)} \left( a^2(z)_{(k^2)} \left( \dots a^d(z)_{(k^d)} \text{id}_V \right) \right) \\ &= : \hat{\partial}_z^{(k^1)} a^1(z) \dots \hat{\partial}_z^{(k^d)} a^d(z) : \end{aligned}$$

gives a well-defined structure of a cohomological vertex algebra on  $V$  such that the vacuum is  $\mathbf{1}$ , the translation operator is  $e^{z \cdot D}$ , and  $a(z) = Y_0(a, z) = Y(a, z)$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ . Moreover, this structure is the unique extension of  $Y_0$  with respect to the generating set of  $V$  given.

*Proof.* We verify each axiom.

- (1) The fact that  $Y$  maps every element of  $V$  to a field is guaranteed by the Dong-Li lemma (2.3.14), showing V1.
- (2) The fact that  $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$  follows trivially from the definition of  $Y$ , showing V2.

- (3) V5 follows from the Dong-Li lemma applied inductively on the generating set of  $V$ .
- (4) Using properties (1), (2), and V2 we have that  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{w \cdot D} a = e^{(z+w) \cdot D} a$  for any  $a \in \Sigma$ . Using the definition of the  $k$ -products and that  $e^{z \cdot D} e^{-z \cdot D} a = a$  we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{w \cdot D} \left( a^1(z)_{(k)} a^2(z) \right) e^{-w \cdot D} &= \left( e^{w \cdot D} a^1(z) e^{-w \cdot D} \right)_{(k)} \left( e^{w \cdot D} a^2(z) e^{-w \cdot D} \right) \\ &= a^1(z+w)_{(k)} a^2(z+w). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this inductively shows us that axiom V4  $e^{w \cdot D} Y(v, z) e^{-w \cdot D} = Y(v, z+w)$  holds for any  $v$  in the generating set of  $V$ .

- (5) First note that for  $k \geq 0$  and  $a^1, a^2 \in \Sigma$

$$\left( a^1(w)_{(-1-k)} a^2(w) \right) \mathbf{1} = e^{w \cdot D} a^1_{(-1-k)} a^2.$$

By induction, we can see that axiom V2  $Y(v, z) \mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D} v$  holds for any element  $v$  of the generating set.

This shows that  $Y$  is well-defined on every  $v$  in the generating set of  $V$ . Now for an arbitrary element  $v \in V$  we write it as a finite linear combination  $v = \sum_i r_i v_i$  of elements  $v_i$  of the generating set and define  $Y(v, z) = \sum_i r_i Y(v_i, z)$ . The only remaining concern here is that this formula could be dependent on the formula for  $v$ .

Suppose we have two different formulas for  $a \in V$  in terms of the generating set of  $V$ , say  $a$  can be written as two different linear combinations  $A^1$  and  $A^2$  of elements of the generating set. We then wish to show that  $Y(A^1, z) = Y(A^2, z)$  if and only if  $A^1 = A^2$ , showing that  $Y$  is well-defined. By linearity we can bring both formulas into the same argument, meaning that we wish to show that  $Y(v, z) = 0$  if and only if  $v = 0$ . If  $Y(v, z) = 0$ , then by V2 we have  $e^{z \cdot D} v = 0$ , implying  $v = 0$ . Conversely we note that if  $v = 0$  then  $Y(v, z) \mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D} v = 0$ . The proof of Goddard uniqueness may then be used here, allowing us to conclude that  $Y(v, z) \mathbf{1} = 0$  implies  $Y(v, z) = 0$ . Therefore  $Y(v, z)$  is independent of the presentation of  $v$  in terms of the generating set.  $\square$

Axioms (1) and (2) imply that  $e^{-w \cdot D} e^{z \cdot D} = e^{(z-w) \cdot D}$ , so once again when  $R$  has characteristic 0 we have  $D^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} T^k$  for some endomorphisms  $(T_i)_{i=1}^n$ .

For classical vertex algebras, one may consider a more general result by asking that  $V$  be generated by symbols of the form  $a^1_{(k^1)} \dots a^d_{(k^d)} \mathbf{1}$  for  $k^s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here we are unable to do this since our version of the Dong-Li lemma does not show that  $a(z)$  is mutually local to  $b(w)_{(k)} c(w)$  for  $a, b, c$  all mutually local and  $k \geq 0$ .

**Definition 5.2.2.** Let  $V$  be a cohomological vertex algebra. A collection of (homogeneous) elements  $\{a^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \subset V$  is said to be (*homogeneous*) *generators* of  $V$  when  $V$  is generated by elements of the form  $a^{\alpha^1}_{(k^1)} \dots a^{\alpha^d}_{(k^d)} \mathbf{1}$  for  $d \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha^i \in I$ , and  $k^i < 0$  or  $k^i \geq 0$ . When this holds when  $k^i < 0$  for all  $i$  (that is omitting  $k^i \geq 0$ ), then the collection is said to be *strong generators* of  $V$ .

All of the examples of cohomological vertex algebras we provide will be strongly generated, as are most of the well-known classical vertex algebras. Note that the endomorphisms  $D^{(k)}$  are determined by property (2), so property (1) is the only requirement imposed on the series  $e^{z \cdot D}$ .

5.2.1. *Constructing cohomological vertex algebras from mutually local fields.* In general, the procedure for constructing an arbitrary strongly generated cohomological vertex algebra is as follows. Start with a collection of series  $a(z)$  for all  $a \in I$  for some index set  $I$ , and suppose  $a(z), b(w)$  are mutually local for all  $a, b \in I$ . Assign to each  $a(z)$  and  $a \in I$  an integer  $p(a)$ . Then one may define the module  $V$  on which the fields  $a(z)$  to be generated by strings of the form  $s = a_{(-1-k^1)}^1 \cdots a_{(-1-k^d)}^d \mathbf{1}$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a^i \in I$  and  $k^i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . Naturally  $a_{(-1-k)}$  acts on such strings by concatenation, and for  $a(k)$  we act on a string inductively, using the commutator formula from (5) of Lemma 2.3.8 to expand  $a_{(k)}s$  into a sum of strings of appropriate form. From this definition we can naturally see that given  $s$  we have  $a_{(k)}s = 0$  whenever any component of  $k$  is sufficiently large, showing  $(a(z))_{a \in I}$  form a set of local fields on  $V$ .

Furthermore we set  $a_{(k)}\mathbf{1} = 0$  for all  $a \in I$  and  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $a(z)\mathbf{1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k a_{(-1-k)}\mathbf{1}$ , so we define  $D^{(k)}a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{(-1-k)}\mathbf{1}$  for  $k \geq 0$ . Requiring that  $D^{(0)} = \text{id}_V$  means we identify  $a \in I$  with  $a_{(-1)}\mathbf{1} \in V$ , which we impose to be injective. This defines  $D^{(k)}$  on elements of  $V$  of the form  $a_{(-1)}\mathbf{1}$ , but in general we can define  $D^{(j)}a_{(-1-k)}\mathbf{1} = \binom{j+k}{j} a_{(-1-j-k)}\mathbf{1}$  so that  $D^{(j)}D^{(k)} = \binom{j+k}{j} D^{(j+k)}$  on elements of the form  $a_{(-1-k)}\mathbf{1}$ . To extend the construction of  $e^{z \cdot D}$  to arbitrary strings in the generating set of  $V$ , we may simply impose the rule that  $e^{w \cdot D}a(z) = a(z+w)e^{w \cdot D}$  as operators on an arbitrary string (the modes of  $a(z)$  act by concatenation). Now let  $\Sigma$  be the free submodule generated by the basis  $I$ , and let the assignment  $a \mapsto a(z)$  for arbitrary  $a \in \Sigma$  be given piecewise. Then we have obtained the data required to use the reconstruction theorem, so  $V$  naturally has the structure of a vertex algebra, and this structure is unique in the sense that  $Y(a, z) = a(z)$  for  $a \in I$ . Therefore given a collection of local series  $(a(z))_{a \in I}$ , we can think of these as strongly generating a vertex algebra  $V_I$ . We will use this construction in our examples, simply stating the collection of generating fields and their bracket identities.

5.3. **The cohomological Virasoro vertex algebra.** The first noncommutative example of a cohomological vertex algebra we describe is the *cohomological Virasoro vertex algebra*  $V_{\widetilde{CW}_n}$  over  $R[\mathbf{k}]$  over a ring  $R$  (ignoring  $\mathbf{k}$  when  $n > 1$ ). In practice we should set  $R$  to be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra; otherwise, the central extension  $\widetilde{CW}_n$  will likely be incorrect. This cohomological vertex algebra is strongly generated by the fields  $\{\Gamma^a(z)\}_{a=1}^n$  such that its coefficients satisfy the commutator relations of  $\widetilde{CW}_n$  for some  $\mathbf{c}_a \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $a$  and for  $n = 1$  the degree  $N$  element  $\mathbf{k}$ . Explicitly, the underlying vector space  $V_{\widetilde{CW}_n}$  is given by

$$V_{\widetilde{CW}_n} = \text{span}_R \left\{ \Gamma_{k^1}^{a^1} \cdots \Gamma_{k^d}^{a^d} \mathbf{1} \right\}_{d, a^i, k^i \geq 0},$$

and the fields are generated by the  $k$ -product relations given in the reconstruction theorem together with  $\Gamma^a(z) = Y(\omega^a, z)$  for  $\omega^a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_0^a \mathbf{1}$ . Note that  $\omega_{(k)}^a = G_a^k$  and  $\omega_{(-1-k)}^a = \Gamma_k^a$ . For this discussion we will consider  $n \geq 2$  since the discussion for  $n = 1$  is more or less similar to the raviolo case [GW23, Section 4.4].

With the above notation, we obtain the following commutator formula:

$$\begin{aligned} [Y(\omega^a, z), Y(\omega^b, w)] &= \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_a} Y(\omega^b, w) + \partial_{w_a} \Delta(z, w) Y(\omega^b, w) + \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) Y(\omega^a, w) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{a,b}) (\partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{\ell_a} \mathbf{c}_a + \partial_{w_a} [\Delta(z, w) \Omega_w^{\ell_b}] \mathbf{c}_b). \end{aligned}$$

For analogy to the classical case, we set all the central elements  $\mathbf{c}_a = 0$ . We will explicitly describe the actions of the modes  $\omega_{(e_b)}^a$  and  $\omega_{(0)}^a$  on  $V_{CW_n}$ . Recall that these

modes must act via graded derivations on the normal ordered product  $ab \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{(-1)}b$  from Corollary 3.2.11, so we have for  $j \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3.1) \quad & \omega_{(j)}^a \left( \Gamma_{k^1}^{b^1} \dots \Gamma_{k^d}^{b^d} \mathbf{1} \right) \\
&= \omega_{(j)}^a \left( (T^{(k^1)} \omega^{b^1})_{(-1)} \dots (T^{(k^d)} \omega^{b^d})_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (T^{(k^1)} \omega^{b^1})_{(-1)} \dots (\omega_{(j)}^a T^{(k^i)} \omega^{b^i})_{(-1)} \dots (T^{(k^d)} \omega^{b^d})_{(-1)} \mathbf{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also note that  $\omega_{(j)}^a \mathbf{1} = 0$ . Since  $Y(T^{(k)} \omega^b, w)$  we have the commutator formula

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y(\omega^a, z), Y(D^{(k)} \omega^b, w)] &= (k_a + 1) \partial_w^{(k+e_a)} \left[ \Delta(z, w) Y(\omega^b, w) \right] + \partial_w^{(k)} [\partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) Y(\omega^a, w)] \\
&= (k_a + 1) \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \Delta(z, w) \partial_w^{(k+e_a-j)} Y(\omega^b, w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \partial_w^{(k-j)} Y(\omega^a, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Up to the first few terms we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y(\omega^a, z), \partial_w^{(k)} Y(\omega^b, w)] &= \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_a} \partial_w^{(k)} Y(\omega^b, w) + \partial_{w_b} \Delta(z, w) \partial_w^{(k)} Y(\omega^b, w) \\
&\quad + (k_a + 1) \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \partial_w^{(k+e_a-e_i)} Y(\omega^a, w) + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Reading off the commutator expansion, we have

$$(5.3.2) \quad \omega_{(0)}^a \left( T^{(k)} \omega^b \right) = T_a \left( T^{(k)} \omega^b \right),$$

$$(5.3.3) \quad \omega_{(e_c)}^a \left( T^{(k)} \omega^b \right) = \delta_{b,c} \left( T^{(k)} \omega^a \right) + (k_a + 1) T^{(k+e_a-e_c)} \omega^b.$$

It is then straightforward to check by induction via the commutator formula and the  $k$ -product formula that

$$(5.3.4) \quad \omega_{(0)}^a \left( \Gamma_{k^1}^{b^1} \dots \Gamma_{k^d}^{b^d} \mathbf{1} \right) = T_a \left( \Gamma_{k^1}^{b^1} \dots \Gamma_{k^d}^{b^d} \mathbf{1} \right),$$

$$(5.3.5) \quad \omega_{(e_a)}^a \left( \Gamma_{k^1}^{b^1} \dots \Gamma_{k^d}^{b^d} \mathbf{1} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d (1 + \delta_{a,b^i} + k_a^i) \Gamma_{k^1}^{b^1} \dots \Gamma_{k^d}^{b^d} \mathbf{1}.$$

Thus  $\omega_{(0)}^a = T_a$  for all  $a$  and the collection of operators  $(\omega_{(e_a)}^a)_a$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. This gives us a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -spin grading on  $V_{CW_n}$  via the assignment

$$(5.3.6) \quad \deg(\Gamma_{k^1}^{a^1} \dots \Gamma_{k^d}^{a^d} \mathbf{1}) = \sum_{i=1}^d 1 + e_{a^i} + k^i.$$

We write this spin grading as  $V_{CW_n} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^n} V_{CW_n}^{(s)}$ . As before we denote  $\deg_i(v)$  for the  $i$ th component of  $\deg(v)$ . In terms of commutator formulas, we can then write for every spin homogeneous  $v \in V$  of  $\omega_{(e_i)}^i$

$$(5.3.7) \quad [\omega_{(e_i)}^i, Y(v, z)] = (z_i \partial_{z_i} + \deg_i(v)) Y(v, z).$$

Then at the level of modes we have  $[\omega_{(e_i)}^i, a_{(k)}] = (\deg_i(a) - k_i - 1) a_{(k)}$  for  $k \geq 0$  or  $k < 0$ , implying that  $a_{(k)}$  is a spin homogeneous endomorphism of  $V$  of degree  $\deg(a) - 1 - k$ ,



meaning  $a_{(k)} V_{CW_n}^{(s)} \subset V_{CW_n}^{(s+\deg(a)-1-k)}$ . Therefore,  $\omega_{(k)}^i$  is a spin homogeneous endomorphism of degree  $e_i - k$ .

We have one last property of  $V_{CW_n}$  to note, which is that  $\omega_{(e_j)}^i$  acts on each element over a finite-dimensional subspace.

**Proposition 5.3.1.** *For every  $v \in V$  there is a finite-dimensional subspace  $V_v \ni v$  such that  $V_v$  is invariant under the action of  $\omega_{(e_j)}^i$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , that is  $\omega_{(e_j)}^i V_v \subset V_v$ .*

*Proof.* By Equation 5.3.3, for  $v = \Gamma_a^k \mathbf{1} = T^{(k)} \omega^a$  we can set  $V_{|k|}$  to be the set of all elements of the form  $T^{(\ell)} \omega^b$  for  $b \in [1, n]$  and  $\ell \geq 0$  such that  $|\ell| = |k|$ . This is finite-dimensional (the dimension is  $n \binom{n+|k|-1}{|k|-1}$ ) and the action of  $\omega_{(e_j)}^i$  maps  $V_{|k|}$  into itself. More generally, for a string of the form  $\Gamma_{a^1}^{k^1} \dots \Gamma_{a^d}^{k^d} \mathbf{1}$  we can set the invariant subspace  $V_{|k^1|, \dots, |k^d|}$  to be the set of strings of the form  $s = \Gamma_{b^1}^{\ell^1} \dots \Gamma_{b^d}^{\ell^d} \mathbf{1}$  in which  $|\ell^i| = |k^i|$  for all  $i$  and  $b^i \in [1, n]$ . A larger subspace would be to take the set of strings such that  $|\ell^i| = \max_j |k^j|$  for all  $j$ . Such larger subspaces also contain linear combinations of strings  $s_1 + \dots + s_m$ .  $\square$

When  $n = 1$  then we only have the mode  $\omega_{(1)}$ , which is diagonalizable. For an element  $v \in V_{CW_n}$  we can take the eigenvector decomposition of  $v$ , then form  $V_v$  to be the subspace spanned by those eigenvectors, which is finite-dimensional. Therefore this proposition is only interesting for  $n > 1$ .

Let  $R = \mathbb{C}$ . We note that the graded character for  $V_{CW_n}$  admits a straightforward infinite product formula, though it depends on  $N$  in the following way. Note that  $0 = [\omega_{(-1-k)}^a, \omega_{(-1-k)}^a] = (1 - (-1)^N) (\omega_{(-1-k)}^a)^2$ . When  $N$  is odd then  $(\omega_{(-1-k)}^a)^2 = 0$  otherwise we may take arbitrary powers of  $\omega_{(-1-k)}^a$  for even  $N$ . These observations give us the following graded character formula:

**Proposition 5.3.2.** *The  $n$ -variable graded character  $\text{ch}_{\text{Vir}}(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  is given by*

$$(5.3.8) \quad \text{ch}_{\text{Vir}}(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \prod_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ a \in [1, n]}} \frac{1}{(1 - (-1)^N q_1^{k_1+1} \dots q_n^{k_n+1} q_a)^{(-1)^N}}.$$

If we set  $q_1 = \dots = q_n = q$ , then

$$(5.3.9) \quad \text{ch}_{\text{Vir}}(q, \dots, q) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - (-1)^N q^{i+2})^{(-1)^N}} \right)^{\binom{i+n-1}{n-1}}.$$

Treated as a holomorphic function in  $q$ , this infinite product has a radius of convergence 1 for any  $n, N$ .

It is known that under certain conditions the characters of a classical vertex operator algebra have the structure of modular forms, so it would be interesting to identify similar structures with the graded characters of cohomological vertex algebras.

**5.3.1. Cohomological vertex operator algebras.** Since we have described the cohomological vertex algebra  $V_{CW_n}$ , we remark that it has multiple properties similar to the definition of a classical vertex operator algebra. More specifically,  $V_{CW_n}$  has  $n$  elements  $\{\omega^i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that the following properties hold:

- The modes of the series  $\Gamma^i(z) = Y(\omega^i, z)$  for all  $i$  obey the bracket relations of  $CW_n$ .
- We have an  $\mathbb{N}^n$ -spin grading  $\deg$  on  $V_{CW_n}$  such that  $\omega_{(e_i)}^i = \deg_i$  for all  $i$ .
- For all  $i$  we have  $\omega_{(0)}^i = T_i$ .

- For all  $i$  we have  $\deg(\omega^i) = 1 + e_i$ .
- For all  $s \geq 0$  the spin weight space  $V_{CW_n}^{(s)}$  is finite dimensional.
- For all  $a \in V$  there is a finite-dimensional subspace  $V_a \ni a$  such that  $\omega_{(e_j)}^i V_a \subset V_a$  for all  $i, j$ .

This leads us to give a tentative definition of a *cohomological vertex operator algebra* as a cohomological vertex algebra  $V$  as having a collection of vectors  $(\omega^i)_{i=1}^n$  satisfying the properties above. We do not fix this definition since there could be other important properties to impose on  $V$  that are not determined yet.

**5.4. The  $\beta\gamma$ -system.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Arguably one of the simplest cases of locality is as follows: Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be formal series

$$\beta(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \beta_{(-1-k)} + \Omega_z^k \beta_{(k)}, \quad \gamma(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \gamma_{(-1-k)} + \Omega_z^k \gamma_{(k)}$$

be mutually local fields such that for some  $c \in R$  we have

$$[\gamma(z), \beta(w)] = \Delta(z, w)c \iff [\beta(z), \gamma(w)] = (-1)^{p(\beta)p(\gamma)+N+1} \Delta(z, w)c.$$

The right side having degree  $N$  implies that  $p(\gamma) + p(\beta) = N$ . These two fields can be seen to strongly generate a vertex algebra  $V_{\beta\gamma}^c$  over  $R$ , which we call the  $\beta\gamma$ -vertex algebra. For simplicity we set  $c = 1$ , in which case we write  $V_{\beta\gamma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_{\beta\gamma}^c$ .

Here we will repeatedly apply the Dong-Li lemma to identify a family of conformal structures. We define the series

$$B^i(z) =: \gamma(z) \partial_{z_i} \beta(z) :, \quad G^i(z) =: \beta(z) \partial_{z_i} \gamma(z) :.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [B^i(z), \gamma(w)] &= (-1)^{p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \gamma(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w) \right) \\ [B^i(z), \beta(w)] &= (-1)^{p(\beta)} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \beta(w) \\ [G^i(z), \gamma(w)] &= (-1)^{1+p(\beta)+p(\beta)p(\gamma)} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w) \\ [G^i(z), \beta(w)] &= (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \beta(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \beta(w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B^i(z), \partial_{w_j} \gamma(w)] &= (-1)^{p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \gamma(w) + \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_j} \gamma(w) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w) \right) \\ [B^i(z), \partial_{w_j} \beta(w)] &= (-1)^{p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \beta(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \beta(w) \right) \\ [G^i(z), \partial_{w_j} \gamma(w)] &= (-1)^{1+p(\beta)+p(\beta)p(\gamma)} \left( \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w) \right) \\ [G^i(z), \partial_{w_j} \beta(w)] &= (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \beta(w) + \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_j} \beta(w) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \beta(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \beta(w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we also define the field

$$(5.4.1) \quad J(z) =: \beta(z) \gamma(z) :,$$

which has the brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [J(z), \beta(w)] &= (-1)^{p(\beta)+p(\beta)p(\gamma)} \Delta(z, w) \beta(w), \\ [J(z), \gamma(w)] &= (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \Delta(z, w) \gamma(w), \\ [J(z), J(w)] &= (-1)^{p(\beta)+p(\beta)p(\gamma)} \Delta(z, w) J(w) + (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \Delta(z, w) J(w). \end{aligned}$$

Then the above identities give us

$$\begin{aligned} [B^i(z), B^j(w)] \\ = (-1)^{p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) B^j(w) + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) B^i(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} B^j(w) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and when  $(-1)^{p(\beta)p(\gamma)} = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [G^i(z), G^j(w)] \\ = (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) G^j(w) + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) G^i(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} G^j(w) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[J(z), J(w)] = 0.$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} [B^i(z), G^j(w)] \\ = (-1)^{p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) : \beta(w) \gamma(w) : + \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) G^j(w) \right. \\ \left. + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) G^i(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} G^j(w) \right) \\ = (-1)^{p(\beta)} \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) : \beta(w) \gamma(w) : - [G^i(z), G^j(w)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [G^i(z), B^j(w)] \\ = (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) : \gamma(w) \beta(w) : + \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) B^j(w) \right. \\ \left. + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) B^i(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} B^j(w) \right) \\ = (-1)^{1+p(\beta)} \partial_{w_j} \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) : \gamma(w) \beta(w) : - [B^i(z), B^j(w)]. \end{aligned}$$

We can identify a natural conformal structure on  $V_{\beta\gamma}$ . We set  $\Gamma^i(z) = (-1)^{p(\beta)} B^i(z)$  and  $\omega^i(z) = (-1)^{p(\beta)} \gamma_{(-1)} \beta_{(-1-e_i)} \mathbf{1}$ , from which we can see that the modes of  $\Gamma^i(z)$  obey all the properties mentioned in Section 5.3.1. We have  $\omega_{(0)}^i = D^{(e_i)}$ , and the collection  $(\omega_{(e_i)}^i)_i$  induces a  $\mathbb{N}^n$ -spin grading on  $V_{\beta\gamma}$  with  $\deg(\beta) = 0$  and  $\deg(\gamma) = 1$ . When  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra then  $\omega_{(0)}^i = T_i$ , describing every translation operator  $T^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} T^k$ .

We can also identify a family of conformal structures and spin gradings when we assume that  $(-1)^{p(\beta)p(\gamma)} = (-1)^{p(\beta)} = 1$ . For these to be satisfied we set  $p(\beta)$  to be even. Now define  $\Gamma_\lambda^i(z)$  for  $\lambda \in R$  to be

$$(5.4.2) \quad \Gamma_\lambda^i(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda B^i(z) + (\lambda - 1) G^i(z).$$

From all of the above identities we obtain the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\Gamma_\lambda^i(z), \Gamma_\lambda^j(w)] \\
&= (\lambda - 1)^2 [G^i(z), G^j(w)] + \lambda^2 [B^i(z), B^j(w)] \\
&\quad - (\lambda^2 - \lambda) ([B^i(z), B^j(w)] + [G^i(z), G^j(w)]) \\
&= \lambda [B^i(z), B^j(w)] - (\lambda - 1) [G^i(z), G^j(w)] \\
&= (-1)^{p(\beta)} \left( \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \Gamma_\lambda^j(w) + \partial_{w_j} \Delta(z, w) \Gamma_\lambda^i(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \Gamma_\lambda^j(w) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and these fields bracket with  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  to give

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\Gamma_\lambda^i(z), \gamma(w)] = (\lambda \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \gamma(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \gamma(w)) \\
& [\Gamma_\lambda^i(z), \beta(w)] = ((1 - \lambda) \partial_{w_i} \Delta(z, w) \beta(w) + \Delta(z, w) \partial_{w_i} \beta(w)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we note that  $\Gamma_\lambda^i(z) = Y(\omega^{i,\lambda}, z)$ , where

$$\omega^{i,\lambda} = \lambda \gamma_{(-1)} \beta_{(-1-e_i)} \mathbf{1} + (\lambda - 1) \beta_{(-1)} \gamma_{(-1-e_i)} \mathbf{1}.$$

The above identities tell us that for  $i \neq j$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{(0)}^{i,\lambda} \gamma_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} &= D^{(e_i)} \gamma_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} & \omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda} \gamma_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} &= \lambda \gamma_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} \\
\omega_{(0)}^{i,\lambda} \beta_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} &= D^{(e_i)} \beta_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} & \omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda} \beta_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} &= (1 - \lambda) \beta_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} \\
\omega_{(0)}^{i,\lambda} \omega^{j,\lambda} &= D^{(e_i)} \omega^{j,\lambda} & \omega_{(0)}^{i,\lambda} \omega^{i,\lambda} &= D^{(e_i)} \omega^{i,\lambda}, \\
\omega_{(e_j)}^{i,\lambda} \omega^{j,\lambda} &= \omega^{i,\lambda} & \omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda} \omega^{j,\lambda} &= \omega^{i,\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction we may see that  $\omega_{(0)}^{i,\lambda} = D^{(e_i)}$  for all  $i$ . Furthermore we can see by induction that for  $d \geq 1$  and  $a^i \in \{\beta, \gamma\}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

$$\omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda} (a_{(k^1)}^1 \dots a_{(k^d)}^d) \mathbf{1} = \sum_{i=1}^d \deg a^i - 1 - k^i, \quad \omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda} \mathbf{1} = 0.$$

Now let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . When  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  the operators  $(\omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda})_{i=1}^n$  naturally induce a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading, hence we write  $\deg_i = \omega_{(e_i)}^{i,\lambda}$  for homogeneous elements  $a$ . This  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  grading is actually a  $\mathbb{N}^n$  grading when  $\lambda = 0, 1$ . When  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  then the spin grading is in fact a  $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})^n$ -spin grading.

Now let  $R = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We may also further assign a *flavor grading* by declaring the modes of  $\beta$  to have flavor charge 1 and the modes of  $\gamma$  to have flavor charge  $-1$ . Thus  $V_{\beta\gamma}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  has a direct sum decomposition via its spin and flavor gradings

$$(5.4.3) \quad V_{\beta\gamma} = \bigoplus_{\substack{s \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ r \in \mathbb{Z}}} V_{\beta\gamma}^{(s),r}.$$

We choose  $p(\beta) = p(\gamma) - N$  to be even. Thus  $p(\gamma)$  and  $N$  have the same parity, so  $0 = [\gamma_{(-1-j)}, \gamma_{(-1-j)}] = (1 - (-1)^N)(\gamma_{(-1-j)})^2$ . In the case that  $N$  is odd we have  $(\gamma_{(-1-j)})^2 = 0$ . From this, we can define the two-variable graded character

$$(5.4.4) \quad \text{ch}_{\beta\gamma}(q_1, \dots, q_n, y) = \sum_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \text{grdim}_{r,s}(V_{\beta\gamma}^{(s),r}) q^s y^r.$$

**Proposition 5.4.1.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{C}$  and  $p(\beta)$  be even. The two-variable graded character of the spin grading induced by the fields  $(\Gamma_\lambda^i(z))_i$  on  $V_{\beta\gamma}$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is given by*

$$(5.4.5) \quad \text{ch}_{\beta\gamma}(q_1, \dots, q_n, y) = \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - yq_1^{1-\lambda+k_1} \dots q_n^{1-\lambda+k_n}} \left( \frac{1}{1 - (-1)^N y^{-1} q_1^{\lambda+k_1} \dots q_n^{\lambda+k_n}} \right)^{(-1)^N}.$$

**5.5. A Heisenberg analog.** Here we briefly mention a kind of generalization of the Heisenberg vertex algebra given in [GW23]. That is, we consider a collection of series  $\gamma(z), (b^i(z))_i$  satisfying the following bracket relations:

$$(5.5.1) \quad [\gamma(z), b^i(w)] = \partial_w \Delta(z, w)c,$$

where  $c \in R$ . These series can be seen to strongly generate a cohomological vertex algebra, which we denote as  $V_H^c$ . For simplicity again we set  $c = 1$ , writing  $V_H^1 = V_H$ . We refer to cohomological vertex algebras of this form as *cohomological Heisenberg vertex algebras*. When  $N = n = 1$  this corresponds to the Heisenberg raviolo vertex algebra  $H$  from [GW23].

Note that the above relation implies that  $p(\gamma) + p(b^i) = N$  for all  $i$ . Now we define the series  $B^i(z) =: \gamma(z)b^i(z)$ : following the previous section, and we have by the Dong-Li lemma

$$\begin{aligned} [B^i(z), \gamma(w)] &= (-1)^{p(b)} (\partial_w \Delta(z, w)\gamma(w) + \Delta(z, w)\gamma(w)) \\ [B^i(z), b^j(w)] &= (-1)^{p(b)} (\partial_w \Delta(z, w)b^j(w) + \Delta(z, w)\partial_w b^j(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Gamma^i(z) = (-1)^{p(b)} B^i(z) = Y(\omega^i, z)$  and  $\omega^i = (-1)^{p(b)} \gamma_{(-1)} b_{(-1)}^i \mathbf{1}$  almost provides a conformal structure with  $\deg(b^i) = e_i$  and  $\deg(\gamma) = 1$ , except the property that  $\omega_{(0)}^i$  does not quite act as  $T_i$ . This is because it maps  $\omega_{(0)}^i b_{(-1)}^j \mathbf{1} = b_{(-1-e_i)}^j \mathbf{1}$ . The fix here is to require that the series  $b^i(z)$  be satisfy the differential equations  $\partial_{z_j} b^i(z) = \partial_{z_i} b^j(z)$  for all  $i, j$ . Doing so provides us with a well-defined conformal structure on  $V_H$ .

**5.6. Affine vertex algebras.** When  $A$  is a commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a simple complex Lie algebra, it is a result due to Kassel [Kas84] that the universal central extension of  $\mathfrak{g}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$  is

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(A) \oplus \Omega_A/dA,$$

where  $\Omega_A$  is the vector space of Kähler differentials over  $A$ . This can be thought of as a generalization of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$  since the extension  $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]} / d\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  is one-dimensional. Similarly, we can ask that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a graded Lie algebra as well, but we leave this case for future work.

First we analyze the extension  $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}^u} / d\mathcal{K}^u$ . First note by basic properties of Kähler that for all  $k \geq 0$

$$(5.6.1) \quad 0 = d(u^k) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i u^{k-e_i} du_i.$$

We have  $d\Omega_u^k = 0$ , but further we have for all  $j, k \geq 0$

$$(5.6.2) \quad 0 = d(u^j \Omega_u^{k+j}) = \Omega_u^{k+j} d(u^j) + u^j d\Omega_u^{k+j} = u^j d\Omega_u^{k+j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + j_i) \Omega_u^{k+e_i} du_i.$$

For our purposes however, we require two particular identities to hold, namely that  $\Omega_u^j d\Omega_u^k = 0$  for all  $j, k \geq 0$  and

$$(5.6.3) \quad 0 = d(\Omega_u^k) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + 1) \Omega_u^{k+e_i} du_i.$$

This second identity is a chain rule identity similar to that of  $du^k$ . Neither of these identities appears to hold in  $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}^u}/d\mathcal{K}^u$ , so we instead force the identity to hold by quotienting by the relations, denoting this quotient as  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}^u)$ . This implies that

$$\Omega(\mathcal{K}^u) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}^u du_i,$$

and for  $n = 1$  we have  $u^k du = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Omega_u^k du = 0$  unless  $k = 0$ . We can also naturally induce a cohomological  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}^u)$  from  $\mathcal{K}^u$ .  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}^u)$  is then one-dimensional, recovering the degree 1 central extension as described in [GW23].

Now let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field of characteristic 0, and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{k}$  with a symmetric, invariant,  $\mathbf{k}$ -bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (for example  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  a simple Lie algebra, with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the Killing form). We define the Lie algebra

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \langle\langle u \rangle\rangle \oplus \Omega(\mathcal{K}^u)$$

with the bracket

$$[X \otimes \alpha, Y \otimes \beta] = [X, Y] \otimes \alpha\beta - \langle X, Y \rangle \alpha d\beta.$$

From this, we define the fields

$$X(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k (X \otimes \Omega_u^k) + \Omega_z^k (X \otimes u^k), \quad C^\ell(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \Omega_u^k du_\ell + \Omega_z^k u^k du_\ell.$$

The series  $X(z)$  and  $C^\ell(z)$  are naturally homogeneous of degree  $N$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\ell \in [1, n]$ . We can naturally see that

$$(5.6.4) \quad [X(z), Y(w)] = \Delta(z, w) [X, Y](w) + \langle X, Y \rangle \sum_{\ell=1}^n \partial_{w_\ell} \Delta(z, w) K^\ell(w),$$

with  $K_\ell(w)$  central for all  $\ell$ . When  $n = 1$  we have  $K^1(w) = \Omega_u^0 du$ , as expected.

Now we may define the *cohomological affine vertex algebra*  $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$  to be the vertex algebra strongly generated by the fields  $X(z)$  and  $K^\ell(z)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\ell \in [1, n]$ . The forms  $u^k du_i$  and  $\Omega_z^k du_i$  are all central, so  $u^k du_i$  act as 0 on  $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The natural next step in the classical setting would be to quotient the central elements in the vertex algebra so that they act on the vacuum by scalars, but we cannot do this here since  $K_{(-1-k)}^\ell$  is of cohomological degree  $N$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . For brevity, denote  $\mathbf{k}[C]$  as the symmetric algebra of  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}^u)$  generated by the symbols  $\Omega_u^k du_\ell$  for  $k \geq 0$  and  $\ell \in [1, n]$  such that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + 1) \Omega_u^{k+e_i} du_i.$$

There is a well-defined vertex algebra  $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$  strongly generated by the fields  $X(z)$  and  $K^\ell(z)_+$  over  $\mathbf{k}[C]$ , where  $\mathbf{k}[C]$  is the symmetric algebra of  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}^u)$ .

As remarked in [GW23] it is unclear whether there is a natural conformal structure on  $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and we suspect there is not. This suggests that to obtain interesting conformal cohomological vertex algebras (particularly for  $n > 1$ ) one must construct them in other ways, for example by looking at certain models in perturbative gauge theory.

## 6. AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO VERTEX ALGEBRAS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

We finish the paper with a discussion of a different approach to higher-dimensional vertex algebras, one in which the underlying coordinate ring for  $Y(a, z)b$  is not  $\mathcal{K}^z(V)$  but instead  $V[[z_1, \dots, z_n]][[z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1}]]$ , the space of power series in  $n$  variables over  $V$  localized by the variables. This is one of the simplest generalizations of  $V((z))$  to write down, but we show that it does not allow for a natural higher dimensional vertex algebra structure.

**6.1. Formal calculus and locality.** We return to the setting where we work with variable sets indexed by a set  $S$ :  $z = (z_i)_{i \in S}$ . We define the space of formal distributions over an  $R$ -module  $V$  to be

$$(6.1.1) \quad V[[z^{\pm 1}]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}} a_k z^k : a_k \in V \right\}.$$

We may similarly define the space of formal distributions in two variables  $V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]$ . The *formal residue* of  $a(z) = \sum_k a_k z^k \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$  is defined to be

$$(6.1.2) \quad \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} a(z) \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_0.$$

Our reason for defining the residue as above is because when  $S$  is infinite we require that  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}$ , so there is no coefficient  $a_{-1}$  in the series  $a(z)$ . However, when  $|S| = n$  is finite then  $z^{-1}$  is well-defined, so we may then equivalently define

$$(6.1.3) \quad \text{Res}_z f(z) = a_{-1}.$$

Many of the usual properties of the one-variable residue have a similar generalization, albeit written a bit differently:

**Lemma 6.1.1.** *Given  $f \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$  and  $i \in S$  we have*

$$(6.1.4) \quad \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} \partial_{z_i} f(z) \right) = \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} z_i^{-1} f(z) \right).$$

Similarly, we have a notion of a  $\delta$ -distribution. We define

$$(6.1.5) \quad \delta(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}} z^k.$$

When  $|S| = n$  is finite, we also recall the definition

$$(6.1.6) \quad \delta(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z^{-1} \delta(z/w).$$

Many properties of the  $\delta$ -distribution for  $|S| = 1$  generalize to any  $S$ , and the proof is analogous:

**Lemma 6.1.2.** *For any formal distribution  $a(z) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$  with variables indexed by  $S$ , we have the following identities:*

(1) For all  $i \in S$

$$a(\dots z_i, \dots) \delta(z_i/w_i) = a(\dots w_i, \dots) \delta(z_i/w_i).$$

(2) For all  $i \in S$

$$(z_i - w_i) \delta(z/w) = 0.$$

(3) Applying  $\delta(z/w)$ , we get

$$a(z) \delta(z/w) = a(w) \delta(z/w), \quad \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} a(z) \delta(z/w) \right) = a(w).$$

(4)  $\partial_{z_i} \delta(z/w) = -\partial_{w_i} \delta(z/w)$  for all  $i \in S$ .

(5) For all  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we have

$$(z-w)^k \partial_w^{(j+k)} \delta(z/w) = \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z/w).$$

Given our definition of the  $\delta$ -distribution in several variables, we now consider a generalization of the statements made in [Kac98, Section 2.2]. We consider when  $f(z, w)$  generally admits an expansion of the form

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z/w) c^j(w).$$

Multiplying by  $(z-w)^k$  for  $k \geq 0$  and applying  $\text{Res}_z z^{-1}$ , we similarly get

$$c^k(w) = \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} (z-w)^k f(z, w) \right).$$

To start, let  $\pi$  be the projection onto the space  $V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]^0$ , which we define to be the set of formal distributions for which the following series converges (meaning it is a well-defined expression):

$$\pi f(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \geq 0} \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} (z-w)^j f(z, w) \right) \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z/w).$$

Here is a generalization of [Kac98, Proposition 2.2]:

**Proposition 6.1.3.** *The following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\pi$  is a projection onto  $V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]^0$ , that is  $\pi^2 = \pi$ .
- (2) A series  $f(z, w) = \sum_k a_k(w) z^k$  has the property that  $\pi f(z, w) = 0$  if and only if  $a_{-j}(w) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) is clear from the definition. For (2) we suppose  $f(z, w) = \sum_k a_k(w) z^k \in \ker \pi$ . Then applying  $\text{Res}_z z^{-1} (z-w)^k$  for all  $k$  implies that  $c^k(w) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Note that

$$c^k(w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{k}{j} (-w)^j a_{-j}(w).$$

We have  $c^0(w) = a_0(w) = 0$ , and suppose by induction there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{-j}(w) = 0$  whenever  $|j| = m$ . Then for  $|k| = m+1$  we have

$$c^k(w) = (-w)^k a_{-k}(w) = 0 \implies a_{-k}(w) = 0.$$

By induction we have  $a_{-j}(w) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$ . □

From this, we can see that any element  $f \in V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]^0$  admits an expansion of the form

$$(6.1.7) \quad f(z, w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z/w) c^j(w) + a(z, w),$$

for some formal distributions  $c^j(w)$  and  $a(z, w) \in \ker \pi$ .

Here we define our first notion of locality.

**Definition 6.1.4.** Given  $f(z, w) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]$ , we say  $f(z, w)$  is *pointwise local* if there exists  $K \geq 0$  such that for all  $i \in S$

$$(6.1.8) \quad (z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1} f(z, w) = 0.$$

Two formal distributions  $a(z), b(z) \in U[[z^{\pm 1}]]$  are said to be *mutually pointwise local* if  $[a(z), b(w)]$  is pointwise local.



Here is an equivalent characterization of pointwise locality. To start we need one lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.5.** *Let  $a(z, w) = \sum_k a_k(w)z^k$  be pointwise local and  $a_{-k}(w) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $a(z, w) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Letting  $K \geq 0$  be the tuple from the definition of pointwise locality, we have for all  $i \in S$

$$\sum_{j_i=0}^{K_i+1} \binom{K_i+1}{j_i} (-w_i)^{K_i+1-j_i} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{(S)}} a_{k-e_{ij_i}}(w) z^k = 0.$$

Now suppose  $k$  has one positive component, say at index  $i$ . Without loss of generality, it suffices to consider  $k = k_i e_i$ . We have  $a_{\ell_i e_i}(w) = 0$  for  $\ell_i \leq 0$ , so  $(-w_i)^{K_i+1} a_{e_i}(w) = 0$ . This implies  $a_{e_i}(w) = 0$ , and by induction we can similarly see that  $a_{k_i e_i}(w) = 0$  for all  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \in S$ . So  $a_k(w) = 0$  whenever  $k$  has a single positive component. By induction suppose that for some  $m \geq 1$  we have  $a_k(w) = 0$  whenever  $k$  has at most  $m$  positive components. Then by the same method as in the  $m = 1$  case, we can see that  $a_k(w) = 0$  whenever  $k_i = 1$  for some  $i$  and  $k$  has  $m$  other positive components. By induction, we can then see that  $a_k(w) = 0$  whenever it has any positive component, so it holds for all  $k$ .  $\square$

From this we immediately get the following characterization:

**Proposition 6.1.6** (Pointwise decomposition). *Let  $f(z, w) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]$  be such that there is  $K \geq 0$  such that for all  $i \in S$*

$$(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1} f(z, w) = 0.$$

*Then  $f(z, w)$  admits an expansion of the form*

$$(6.1.9) \quad f(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \text{Res}_z \left( z^{-1} (z - w)^j f(z, w) \right) \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z/w).$$

*Proof.* If  $(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1} f(z, w) = 0$ , then  $c^j(w) = 0$  whenever  $j_i > K_i$  for any  $i \in S$ . Thus  $f(z, w) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]^0$ , so we can write

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} c^j(w) \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z/w) + a(z, w).$$

For all  $i$  we have  $(z_i - w_i)^{K_i+1} a(z, w) = 0$ , so by the previous lemma  $a(z, w) = 0$ .  $\square$

This form of decomposition is slightly different from the form that is usually shown in the literature, but when  $S$  is finite then  $f(z, w)$  is pointwise local if and only if it admits the expansion

$$(6.1.10) \quad f(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq K} \text{Res}_z \left( (z - w)^j f(z, w) \right) \partial_w^{(j)} \delta(z, w),$$

where we denote  $\delta(z, w) = z^{-1} \delta(z/w)$  as mentioned before.

**Example 6.1.7.** One example where this type of expansion naturally arises is given by looking at the classical Witt algebra  $W_n(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Der } R[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ , where  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. We again work with the generators  $G_r^a = -x^r \partial_{x_a}$ , where  $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $r \geq 0$ . These generators have the bracket relations

$$(6.1.11) \quad [G_r^a, G_s^b] = r_b G_{r+s-e_b}^a - s_a G_{r+s-e_a}^b.$$

Letting  $T^a$  be the series

$$(6.1.12) \quad T^a(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} G_r^a z^{-r-1},$$

then it is straightforward to see that

$$(6.1.13) \quad [T^a(z), T^b(w)] = \partial_{w_a} \delta(z, w) T^b(w) + \partial_{w_b} \delta(z, w) T^a(w) + \delta(z, w) \partial_{w_a} T^b(w).$$

This is entirely analogous to the bracket relations for  $CW_n$ , replacing  $T^a$  with  $\Gamma^a$  and  $\delta$  with  $\Delta$ . It is known that  $W_n(R)$  is centrally closed for  $n > 1$ , that is it does not admit any central extensions. Our cocycle calculations in Section 4 are analogous to the calculations done to prove this.

**6.2. Classical vertex algebras in several variables.** Here we define a classical vertex algebra with  $n$  variables. We will ultimately show that such classical vertex algebras must be commutative.

**Definition 6.2.1.** A classical vertex algebra in  $n$  variables consists of the data  $(V, Y, e^{z \cdot D}, \mathbf{1})$ , where  $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded  $R$ -module,  $\mathbf{1} \in V_{\bar{0}}$  is the vacuum vector,  $e^{z \cdot D} = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k D^{(k)}$  is the generator of translations (with  $D^{(k)}$  all degree 0 endomorphisms), and  $Y$  is a degree 0 field map assigning to  $a \in V$  a series

$$Y(a, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{(-1-k)} z^k \in \text{End}(V)[[z^{\pm 1}]].$$

This data satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) For all  $a, b \in V$  we have  $Y(a, z)b \in V[[z]][[z^{-1}]]$ , that is there exists  $K \geq 0$  such that  $a_{(-1-k)}b = 0$  unless  $k \geq K$ .
- (2)  $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$ .
- (3)  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{z \cdot D}a$ .
- (4)  $e^{w \cdot D}Y(a, z)e^{-w \cdot D} = Y(a, z + w)$ .
- (5) For any  $a, b \in V$  the fields  $Y(a, z)$  and  $Y(b, w)$  are pointwise mutually local.

One could likely try to define a notion of classical vertex algebra in arbitrarily many variables, but this is enough for our discussion.

**Lemma 6.2.2.** Let  $V$  be a classical vertex algebra in  $n$  variables. For all  $a, b \in V$  we have the skew-symmetry property

$$(6.2.1) \quad Y(a, z)b = p(a, b)e^{z \cdot D}Y(b, -z)a.$$

Furthermore, we have the commutator formula

$$(6.2.2) \quad [Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^{-1} \partial_w^{(k)} \delta(z/w) Y(a_{(k)}b, w)$$

The proofs of these identities is carried out identical to the  $n = 1$  case. Now we prove that our definition above is equivalent to  $V$  being commutative for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 6.2.3.** Let  $V$  be a classical vertex algebra in  $n$  variables with  $n$  even. Then for all  $a, b \in V$  we have

$$(6.2.3) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} Y(a_{(k)}b, w) z^{-1} \partial_w^{(k)} \delta(z/w) = (-1)^n \sum_{k \geq 0} Y(b_{(k)}a, w) w^{-1} \partial_z^{(k)} \delta(z/w).$$

Thus  $V$  is commutative when 2 is invertible.

*Proof.* Starting from the component version of the commutator formula in axiom (5), using skew-symmetry gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{r}{k} (a_{(k)} b)_{(r+s-k)} \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{r}{k} \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|+|j|+n} (D^{(j)} b_{(k+j)} a)_{(r+s-k)} \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{r}{k} \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{|k|+n} \binom{r+s-k}{j} (b_{(k+j)} a)_{(r+s-k-j)} \\
&= p(a, b) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \ell} \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{|k|+n} \binom{r+s-k}{\ell-k} (b_{(\ell)} a)_{(r+s-\ell)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Vandermonde's identity we can see that  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq \ell} (-1)^{|k|+n} \binom{r}{k} \binom{r+s-k}{\ell-k} = (-1)^n \binom{s}{\ell}.$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
[a_{(r)}, b_{(s)}] &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{r}{k} (a_{(k)} b)_{(r+s-k)} \\
&= (-1)^n p(a, b) \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{s}{k} (b_{(k)} a)_{(s+r-k)} = (-1)^n p(a, b) [b_{(s)}, a_{(r)}].
\end{aligned}$$

So we are done.  $\square$

Here is the second step: let  $V$  be a classical vertex algebra in  $n$  variables with  $n$  odd. Then for any  $i$  consider the residue

$$\tilde{Y}(a, z) = \text{Res}_z \left( z_i^{-1} Y(a, z) \right) = \sum_{k_i = -1} a_{(k)} z^{-1-k}.$$

It is easy to see that all vertex operators  $\tilde{Y}(a, z)$  are still mutually pointwise local in the remaining variables, they are fields,  $\tilde{Y}(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$ , and the generator of translations  $e^{z \cdot \tilde{D}} = \sum_{k_i=0} D^{(k)} z^k$  satisfies the rest of the conditions of a vertex algebra in  $n-1$  variables, which is even. Therefore by the previous lemma,  $a_{(k)} = 0$  whenever  $k_i = -1$  and any other component of  $k$  is nonnegative. Using the identity that  $(D^{(j e_i)} a)_{(k)} = (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} a_{(k-j e_i)}$  for all  $j_i \geq 0$ , we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.2.4.** *Let  $V$  be a classical vertex algebra in  $n$  variables with  $n$  odd such that all vertex operators are mutually pointwise local. Let 2 be invertible in  $R$ . Then  $a_{(k)} = 0$  for all  $a \in V$  whenever some component of  $k$  is nonnegative and some other component is negative.*

The only operators not covered by this lemma are  $a_{(j)}$  for  $j \geq 0$ , and we use the combinatorics of the commutator identity to see this:

**Proposition 6.2.5.** *Every classical vertex algebra in  $n$  variables over a ring  $R$  in which 2 is invertible for  $n \geq 2$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider when  $n$  is odd, and by the previous lemma, we just have to show that  $a_{(j)} = 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$  and  $a \in V$ . This is equivalent to  $(a_{(j)} b)_{(-1)} = 0$  for all  $b \in V$  since it would imply  $(a_{(j)} b)_{(-1)} \mathbf{1} = a_{(j)} b = 0$ .

First, we consider  $j = 0$ . There exists a  $K \geq 0$  such that for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$[a_{(-r)}, b_{(-1+r)}] = \sum_{0 \leq j < K} \binom{-r}{j} (a_{(j)} b)_{(-1-j)}.$$

First pick  $i \in S$  and let  $x_{j_i} = (a_{(j_i e_i)} b)_{(-1-j_i e_i)}$ . Then for all  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we have

$$0 = [a_{(-r_i e_i)}, b_{(-1+r_i e_i)}] = \sum_{0 \leq j < k} \binom{-r_i}{j_i} x_{j_i}^i = \sum_{0 \leq j_i < K_i} (-1)^{j_i} \binom{r_i + j_i - 1}{j_i} x_{j_i}^i.$$

Denote the right-hand side as  $L_{r_i}^{(0)}$ . For all  $r_i$  the coefficient in front of  $x_0$  is 1. Then  $L_k^{(1)} = L_{2k-1} - L_{2k}$  is a sum in which all coefficients are nonzero except for the  $x_0$  coefficient for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Defining  $L_k^{(\ell)} = L_{2k-1}^{(\ell-1)} - L_{2k}^{(\ell-1)}$ , by binomial relations we get a sum in which the coefficients for  $x_{j_i}$  are zero for  $j_i \leq \ell$ , but not for any other coefficient. Continuing on like this to  $\ell = K_i - 1$  we get that  $x_{k_i} = 0$ . Looking at the  $\ell = K_i - 2$  term implies that  $x_{k_i-1} = 0$ . Going backwards all the way implies that for all  $j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$x_{j_i} = (a_{(j_i e_i)} b)_{(-1-j_i e_i)} = 0.$$

Thus, we have  $(a_{(0)} b)_{(-1)} = 0$  for all  $b \in V$ , so  $a_{(0)} = 0$ . For a given  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$[a_{(r+k)}, b_{(-1-r)}] = \sum_{0 \leq j < K} \binom{r+k}{j} (a_{(j)} b)_{(-1+k-j)}.$$

Suppose by induction that  $a_{(j)} = 0$  for all  $j \leq k$ . Then the sum above restricts to

$$[a_{(-r+k)}, b_{(-1-j)}] = \sum_{0 \leq j < K-k} \binom{-r+k}{j+k} (a_{(j+k)} b)_{(-1-j)}.$$

More specifically for  $r_i > k_i$  we have

$$[a_{(-r_i e_i + k)}, b_{(-1-j)}] = \sum_{0 \leq j_i < K_i - k_i} (-1)^{j_i + k_i} \binom{r_i + j_i - 1}{j_i + k_i} (a_{(j_i e_i + k)} b)_{(-1-j_i e_i)} = 0.$$

Using similar methods to the  $k = 0$  case we can see that  $(a_{(j_i e_i + k)} b)_{(-1-j_i e_i)} = 0$  for all  $j_i \geq 0$ . Specifically we get  $(a_{(k)} b)_{(-1)} = 0$  for all  $b \in V$ , so by induction  $a_{(k)} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

We may think of this proposition as providing a kind of Hartogs' phenomenon for vertex algebras. In this analogy, cohomological vertex algebras are the correct approach to a higher-dimensional notion of a vertex algebra (at least from an algebro-geometric perspective).

**Remark 6.2.6.** More generally, if we were just to impose that  $Y(a, z)$  and  $Y(b, w)$  are mutually pointwise local, then by a similar argument to [FB04, Theorem 4.5.1] we can see that by pointwise locality we can multiply by a monomial  $\prod_{a < b} (z_i^a - z_i^b)^{N^{ab}}$  such that  $N^{ab} \geq 0$  are all even so that for any  $\sigma \in S_d$  and  $a^1, \dots, a^d \in V$  we have

$$\prod_{a < b} (z_i^a - z_i^b)^{N^{ab}} Y(a^{\sigma(1)}, z^{\sigma(1)}) \dots Y(a^{\sigma(d)}, z^{\sigma(d)}) \mathbf{1} \in V[[z_1, \dots, z_d]].$$

We can see from this that for all  $\sigma$  the series  $Y(a^{\sigma(1)}, z^{\sigma(1)}) \dots Y(a^{\sigma(d)}, z^{\sigma(d)}) \mathbf{1}$  represents the expansion of a rational function over  $V$  whose poles occur when  $z_i^a = z_i^b$  for some  $a, b$ . But  $i$  is arbitrary, so we must conclude that the rational function has no poles.

## REFERENCES

- [FLM84] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman. “A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function  $J$  as character”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 81.10 (1984), pp. 3256–3260. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.81.10.3256](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.81.10.3256).
- [Kas84] Christian Kassel. “Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple lie algebras extended over a commutative algebra”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 34.2 (1984), pp. 265–275. ISSN: 0022-4049.
- [Bor86] Richard E. Borcherds. “Vertex Algebras, Kac-Moody Algebras, and the Monster”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 83.10 (1986), pp. 3068–3071. ISSN: 00278424. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27441> (visited on 12/08/2024).
- [KT87] Yukihiko Kanie and Akio Tsuchiya. “Vertex operators in the conformal field theory on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and monodromy representations of the braid group”. In: *Lett Math Phys* (13 1987), pp. 303–312.
- [FLM88] Igor Frenkel, James Lepowsky, and Arne Meurman, eds. *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*. Vol. 134. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Elsevier, 1988. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-8169\(13\)62912-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-8169(13)62912-8).
- [TUY89] Akihiro Tsuchiya, Kenji Ueno, and Yasuhiko Yamada. “Conformal Field Theory on Universal Family of Stable Curves with Gauge Symmetries”. In: *Integrable Systems in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*. Ed. by M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and A. Tsuchiya. San Diego: Academic Press, 1989, pp. 459–566. ISBN: 978-0-12-385342-4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385342-4.50020-2>.
- [DL93] Chongying Dong and James Lepowsky. *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1993. ISBN: 978-1-4612-0353-7. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-0353-7\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0353-7_6). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0353-7\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0353-7_6).
- [FHL93] I. Frenkel, Y.Z. Huang, and J. Lepowsky. *On Axiomatic Approaches to Vertex Operator Algebras and Modules*. American Mathematical Society: Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society no. 494. American Mathematical Soc., 1993.
- [HL96] Yi-Zhi Huang and James Lepowsky. “On the D-Module and Formal-Variable Approaches to Vertex Algebras”. In: *Topics in Geometry: In Memory of Joseph D’Atri*. Ed. by Simon Gindikin. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1996, pp. 175–202. ISBN: 978-1-4612-2432-7. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-2432-7\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2432-7_5). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2432-7\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2432-7_5).
- [Li96] Hai-Sheng Li. “Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 109.2 (1996), pp. 143–195. ISSN: 0022-4049. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049\(95\)00079-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(95)00079-8).
- [Bor98] Richard E. Borcherds. “Vertex Algebras”. In: *Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics*. Ed. by Masaki Kashiwara et al. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1998, pp. 35–77. ISBN: 978-1-4612-0705-4. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-0705-4\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0705-4_2). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0705-4\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0705-4_2).
- [Kac98] Victor G. Kac. *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*. University lecture series. American Mathematical Society, 1998. ISBN: 9780821813966.
- [BD04] A. Beilinson and V.G. Drinfeld. *Chiral Algebras*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium publications. American Mathematical Society, 2004. ISBN: 9780821835289.
- [FB04] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi. *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2004. ISBN: 9780821836743.

- [LL04] James Lepowsky and Haisheng Li. *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 2004. ISBN: 978-0-8176-8186-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-0-8176-8186-9\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8186-9_1). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8176-9\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8176-9_1).
- [Nik04] Nikolay M. Nikolov. “Vertex Algebras in Higher Dimensions and Globally Conformal Invariant Quantum Field Theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 253.2 (Aug. 2004), pp. 283–322. ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-004-1133-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-004-1133-4). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-004-1133-4>.
- [NT05] Kiyokazu Nagatomo and Akihiro Tsuchiya. “Conformal field theories associated to regular chiral vertex operator algebras I: theories over the projective line”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 128 (3 2005), pp. 393–471.
- [Sch10] M. Schottenhauer. *A Mathematical Introduction to Conformal Field Theory*. Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [FG12] John Francis and Dennis Gaitsgory. “Chiral Koszul duality”. English (US). In: *Selecta Mathematica, New Series* 18.1 (Mar. 2012), pp. 27–87. ISSN: 1022-1824. DOI: [10.1007/s00029-011-0065-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-011-0065-z).
- [Hua12] Y.Z. Huang. *Two-Dimensional Conformal Geometry and Vertex Operator Algebras*. Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, 2012. ISBN: 9781461242765.
- [LTW12] Haisheng Li, Shaobin Tan, and Qing Wang. “Toroidal vertex algebras and their modules”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 365 (2012), pp. 50–82. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2012.04.031>.
- [CG16] Kevin Costello and Owen Gwilliam. *Factorization Algebras in Quantum Field Theory*. New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [Car+18] S. Carpi et al. “From Vertex Operator Algebras to Conformal Nets and Back”. In: *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 254.1213 (2018). ISSN: 1947-6221. DOI: [10.1090/memo/1213](https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1213). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/1213>.
- [Cli19] Emily Cliff. “Universal factorization spaces and algebras”. In: *Mathematical Research Letters* 26.4 (2019), pp. 1059–1096. ISSN: 1945-001X. DOI: [10.4310/mrl.2019.v26.n4.a5](https://doi.org/10.4310/mrl.2019.v26.n4.a5). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2019.v26.n4.a5>.
- [FHK19] G. Faonte, B. Hennion, and M. Kapranov. “Higher Kac–Moody algebras and moduli spaces of G-bundles”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 346 (2019), pp. 389–466. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2019.01.040>.
- [Bru21a] Daniel Bruegmann. *Geometric Vertex Algebras*. 2021. arXiv: [2012.09717](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09717).
- [Bru21b] Daniel Bruegmann. *Vertex Algebras and Costello-Gwilliam Factorization Algebras*. 2021. arXiv: [2012.12214](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.12214) [math.QA]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.12214>.
- [DGT21] Chiara Damiolini, Angela Gibney, and Nicola Tarasca. “Conformal blocks from vertex algebras and their connections on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 25.5 (Sept. 2021), pp. 2235–2286. ISSN: 1465-3060. DOI: [10.2140/gt.2021.25.2235](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2021.25.2235). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/gt.2021.25.2235>.
- [Kap21] Mikhail Kapranov. *Conformal maps in higher dimensions and derived geometry*. 2021. arXiv: [2102.11507](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.11507) [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.11507>.
- [DGT22] Chiara Damiolini, Angela Gibney, and Nicola Tarasca. *Vertex algebras of CohFT-type*. 2022. arXiv: [1910.01658](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.01658) [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.01658>.
- [DGT23] Chiara Damiolini, Angela Gibney, and Nicola Tarasca. “On factorization and vector bundles of conformal blocks from vertex algebras”. In: (2023). arXiv: [1909.04683](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.04683) [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.04683>.
- [GW23] Niklas Garner and Brian R. Williams. “Raviolo vertex algebras”. In: (2023). arXiv: [2308.04414](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04414) [math.QA]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04414>.
- [AKY24] Luigi Alfonsi, Hyungrok Kim, and Charles A. S. Young. *Raviolo vertex algebras, cochains and conformal blocks*. 2024. arXiv: [2401.11917](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11917) [math.QA]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11917>.

- [Car+24] S. Carpi et al. *Non-unitary Wightman CFTs and non-unitary vertex algebras*. 2024. arXiv: [2409.08454](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.08454) [math-ph]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.08454>.

DAVID RITTENHOUSE LAB, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, 209 SOUTH 33RD STREET, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-6395